Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Vassilis Martiadis, Asl Napoli 1 Centro, Italy

REVIEWED BY Luca Steardo Jr, University Magna Graecia of Catanzaro, Italy Fabiola Raffone, Asl Napoli 1 Centro, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Bo Ao Zryhyyab@163.com Youliang Huang huangyl@bucm.edu.cn

RECEIVED 14 January 2025 ACCEPTED 16 April 2025 PUBLISHED 16 May 2025

CITATION

Cai Q, Zhong Q, Ali S, Yang W, Chen Y, Ao B and Huang Y (2025) Bidirectional relationship between serum creatinine to cystatin C ratio and chronic kidney disease: a mediation analysis of depression in a national aging cohort. *Front. Psychiatry* 16:1554695. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2025.1554695

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Cai, Zhong, Ali, Yang, Chen, Ao and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Bidirectional relationship between serum creatinine to cystatin C ratio and chronic kidney disease: a mediation analysis of depression in a national aging cohort

Qiong Cai^{1,2}, Qing Zhong³, Sajid Ali⁴, Wenting Yang⁵, Yanan Chen², Bo Ao^{6*} and Youliang Huang^{2,7*}

¹Department of Social Medicine and Health Education, School of Public Health, Peking University, Beijing, China, ²School of Management, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, ³First Affiliated Hospital & Clinical Medical College, Gannan Medical University, Ganzhou, Jiangxi, China, ⁴Department of Information Sciences, University of Education, Multan, Pakistan, ⁵Department of Acupuncture, Beijing Tongzhou District Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine Integrated Western Medicine, Beijing, China, ⁶Department of Pediatric, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Beijing, China, ⁷National Institute of Chinese Medicine Development and Strategy, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China

Objective: CCR is an emerging biomarker for renal function, yet its relationship with depression and CKD risk has not been confirmed and is understudied in the population. This study aimed to investigate the association between CCR and CKD, as well as all-cause mortality, among middle-aged and elderly Chinese individuals. Additionally, it sought to explore the bidirectional mediating effect of depression in the association of CCR with CKD.

Method: This study analyzed participant data from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study(CHARLS) from 2011 to 2020. The 10-item Center for Epidemiological Survey Depression Scale(CES-D-10) was used to assess depressive symptoms. Kaplan-Meier survival analyses were used to generate survival curves for participants stratified by different levels of CCR. Multivariate logistic regression was employed to examine the CCR-CKD, CCR-depression, and CKD-depression association. Multivariate Cox regression assessed the association between CCR and all-cause mortality. The potential mediating effect of depression between CCR and CKD was determined by bidirectional mediation analysis.

Result: The study included a total of 6,243 participants, comprising 2,835 men and 3,408 women. Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that CCR was positively correlated with an increased risk of CKD(*OR*:1.13, 95%*Cl*:1.09-1.18, p<0.001) and negatively correlated with the severity of depression(*OR*:0.94, 95% *Cl*:0.91-0.96, p<0.001). Higher CCR levels correlated with lower all-cause mortality(*HR*:0.83, 95%*Cl*:0.74-0.97, p<0.001). Depression had a partial negative mediating effect in the association between CCR and CKD. Restricted cubic spline curves showed a U-shaped, nonlinear association between CCR and all-cause mortality.

Conclusions: Higher levels of CCR are associated with a higher risk of CKD and with lower all-cause mortality, and CCR could be a biomarker for early diagnosis of CKD. Depression may have a negative effect on the association of CKD and CCR, suggesting that CCR has an underestimated risk for predicting and recognizing CKD in depressed populations. Mental health factors are important in risk prediction and comprehensive management of CKD.

KEYWORDS

serum creatinine to cystatin C ratio, depressive symptoms, chronic kidney disease, allcause mortality, mediating effect

1 Introduction

Chronic Kidney Disease(CKD) is highly prevalent yet often under-recognized (1), posing a significant threat to human health alongside cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (2, 3). The pathogenesis of CKD is complex and multifaceted, involving not only elevated glomerular pressure leading to hyperfiltration but also processes such as chronic inflammation, fibrosis, and oxidative stress-induced loss of renal units (4, 5), all of which contribute to irreversible renal function impairment. Early-stage CKD progresses gradually and is challenging to detect, often necessitating prognostication and diagnosis through laboratory test indicators. In addition, CKD has a poor prognosis and is strongly associated with elevated mortality rates in the elderly population (6).

Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD Work Group defines CKD as persistent abnormalities of renal structure or function for more than 3 months, as evidenced by estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) or the presence of markers of renal injury, changes in urinary sediment, or proteinuria (7). The eGFR is the main form of reflection of changes in renal function, but due to its time-consuming measurement, it is usually estimated using endogenous markers such as serum creatinine and cystatin C (8). Serum creatinine used to be the gold standard for testing renal function, but it is susceptible to interference from other factors thereby affecting the determination of abnormal renal function. Cystatin C is a low molecular protein that is independent of gender, age, and body size, and despite its high sensitivity to early renal abnormalities (9), it is still susceptible to bias in the eGFR. Studies have shown that the combination of the two can accurately predict and improve the progression of kidney disease (8, 10). A meta-analysis indicated that creatinine to Cystatin C Ratio (CCR) can be used to make up for the limitations of a single evaluation index, and can better reduce the influence of other factors on CKD (11), and can assess the occurrence of CKD more comprehensively. Studies have shown that eGFR calculated based on CCR helps to recognize the decline of renal function at an early stage and is predictive of renal failure (1). Early detection of abnormalities can help in the diagnosis and treatment of CKD, and the association between CCR and CKD may provide new perspectives for the early monitoring of CKD. However, most of the current evidence focuses on the association between CCR and CKD mortality, and the association between CCR and CKD has not been established. In addition, higher CCR is associated with a lower risk of death, which we validated (12, 13).

Depression is a complex disorder influenced by the dynamic interplay of genetic characteristics, clinical presentation, environmental exposures, co-morbidities, and psychosocial risk factors, and usually results in a more severe disease burden and significantly impaired quality of life (14). Depression is more common in patients with CKD (15, 16), with a prevalence rate of more than 25%, which is higher than that of the general population (17). Depression was associated with the risk of rapid decline in renal function (OR:1.15, 95% CI:1.03-1.28), and more significantly with high depressive symptoms (OR:1.39, 95% CI:1.03-1.88) (18). Psychological factors influence the course of CKD to a greater extent, and most of the past studies have focused on the relationship between depression and CKD, ignoring the possibility of depression as a mediator to influence biomarkers and disease development mechanisms. Previous studies have examined the relationship between CCR and depression, with lower CCR associated with depression in men (OR:0.49, 95%CI:0.31-0.75, p=0.001) (19). A cross-sectional investigation found that cystatin C may be involved in pathophysiologic processes in depressed patients (20, 21), and may be associated with the promotion of inflammatory factor release or apoptosis (22, 23). Serum creatinine reflects muscle mass, and lower muscle mass affecting social activity is also associated with an increased risk of depression. While existing studies have revealed two-by-two associations of CCR-depression and depression-CKD (18, 19), the mediating effect of depression has not been elucidated. Given the above studies, we tentatively hypothesized a mediating effect of depression between CCR and CKD.

This study aims to examine the role of the CCR as a predictor of CKD and all-cause mortality in a middle-aged and elderly Chinese cohort, evaluating depression as a potential mediator of this association.

2 Material and method

2.1 Data source and research people

The China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (*https://charls.pku.edu.cn/*) (CHARLS) is a prospective national cohort study encompassing Chinese rural and urban residents aged 45 years and older. This study is representative of middle-aged and older adults across 150 districts and counties and 450 villages in China, with data collected at five intervals: 2011, 2013, 2015, 2018, and 2020 (24). The CHARLS study was approved by the Biomedical Ethics Committee of Peking University(IRB00001052-11015), and all participants provided written informed consent.

This study included data from the CHARLS spanning from 2011 through 2020. Initially, 17,705 participants completed the baseline data collection during the first survey conducted in 2011, with a subsequent follow-up survey commencing in 2013. Given that blood tests for participants were conducted exclusively in 2011 and 2015, and survival data were collected in 2013 and 2020, the inclusion criteria for this study were as follows: (1) participants who took part in the survey in 2011 and had documented survival status in either 2013 or 2020; (2) participants newly enrolled in 2015 with documented survival status in 2020; (3) participants aged between 45 and 79 years. The exclusion criteria comprised: (1) participants with incomplete data concerning CKD, serum creatinine, cystatin C, depressive symptom scores, and survival status; (2) participants with abnormal values for height(greater than 300 centimeters or less than 100 centimeters), body weight(greater than 200 kilograms), systolic blood pressure(greater than 300 mm Hg), and diastolic blood pressure (greater than 200 mm Hg). Ultimately, a total of 6,243 participants were enrolled in the study to assess the association of baseline CCR levels with the risk of CKD, depression, and all-cause mortality, as well as the bidirectional mediating effect of depression. The study process is shown in Figure 1.

2.2 Definition of serum creatinine to cystatin C ratio

The CCR index is determined by calculating the ratio of creatinine to cystatin C (25). Participants were instructed to provide blood samples at their local hospital or CDC following a face-to-face interview. These samples were subsequently analyzed to test complete blood counts, as well as levels of serum creatinine, cystatin C, and other blood biomarkers. Notably, serum creatinine is measured in mg/dL and cystatin C is measured in mg/L. To standardize the ratio unit to 1, the serum creatinine unit was converted to mg/L. In this study, participants were categorized into four groups (Q1, Q2, Q3, and Q4) based on the quartiles of the CCR index, with the Q1 group serving as the reference group. For more detailed information on blood sample collection and analysis, please see other topics (26).

2.3 Chronic kidney disease events and allcause mortality measures

The outcome variables for this study were defined as CKD and all-cause mortality. The incidence of CKD was determined through self-reports, wherein a physician inquired, "Have you been diagnosed with Kidney disease(except for tumor or cancer)?" or



"Are you now taking any of the following treatments to treat Kidney or its complications(Check all that apply)? Taking Chinese traditional medicine, taking Western modern medicine, other treatments?". Data based on self-reporting may be subject to selective bias or measurement bias, so there may be some uncertainty in this definition. Additionally, the eGFR is calculated using the CKD epidemiology collaborative equation incorporating both creatinine and cystatin C values. This calculation is instrumental in establishing a definitive diagnosis of CKD (27). An eGFR of less than 60 ml/min/1.73 m² is also considered to be an indication of CKD (28, 29). Although eGFR values may not accurately reflect the true state of renal function in all individuals, it is a standardized measure commonly used in current epidemiologic studies. All-cause mortality was defined as death from any cause within a specified timeframe, with the exact date of death documented on a death certificate or within a medical record. Data collection in the CHARLS database was conducted exclusively in the years 2013 and 2020.

2.4 Assessment of depressive symptoms

Depression were assessed using the 10-item Center for Epidemiological Survey Depression Scale(CES-D-10) was used to assess depressive symptoms, which is an adaptation of the original 20-item scale developed by Radloff at the National Institute of Mental Health(USA) (30). This scale has been validated for use among middle-aged and elderly populations in China (31). The CES-D-10 short scale consists of the following items: ① I was bothered by things that usually don't bother me; I had trouble keeping my mind on what I was doing; 3 I felt depressed; 4 I felt that everything I did was an effort; I felt hopeful about the future (reverse scoring); I felt fearful; My sleep was restless; I was happy(reverse scoring); I felt lonely; I could not "get going". All options are divided into 4 levels: 0 indicates "rarely or not at all"; 1 indicates "not too much"; 2 indicates "sometimes or half the time"; 3 indicates "most of the time". The total score ranges from 0 to 30, with higher scores reflecting greater depressive symptoms. To categorize CES-D-10 scores and evaluate depressive symptomatology, a threshold value of 10 was employed, where scores below 10 were classified as normal and scores of 10 or higher were indicative of depression (31).

2.5 Covariates

In light of the study's characteristics and previous research, a series of controls were incorporated for sociodemographic, lifestyle, and health status variables (32). Socio-demographic variables included age(both continuous and categorical, with categories: 45-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70-79), gender(female, male) and body mass index (BMI). Lifestyle variables encompassed alcohol consumption(yes, no) and smoking status(smoker, ex-smoker, non-smoker). Health status variables comprised hypertension(yes, no), diabetes mellitus (yes, no), and dyslipidemia(yes, no). BMI was calculated as weight

in kilograms divided by height in meters squared(kg/m2). Detailed definitions and categorizations of covariates are provided in the Supplementary File, see Supplementary Table S1 for details.

2.6 Handling of missing variables

Supplementary Figure S1 and Supplementary Figure S2 show the distribution of data and the missingness of the variables included in our study. The sample has a small proportion of missing data, assuming random missingness. Therefore, we used the method of Multiple Interpolation of Chained Equations ('MICE') to deal with the missing data, keeping the sample size as large as possible.

2.7 Statistical analyses

This study was statistically analyzed using STATA/MP(version 17, StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA) and R(version 4.4.1, R Core Team, Vienna, Austria) software. Baseline characteristics were stratified according to quartiles of the CCR. Continuous variables that conformed to a normal distribution were presented as mean ± standard deviation, while categorical variables were reported as frequencies(percentage, %). One-way ANOVA was used to compare the characteristics of continuous variables across participants in different CCR quartiles and between participants in CKD and non-CKD. In contrast, the chi-square test was utilized to examine differences in categorical variables among various CCR quartiles, and between participants in CKD and Non-CKD. Kaplan-Meier survival analyses were conducted to generate overall survival curves for CCR across the entire cohort and specifically within the CKD population. Linear regression and multivariate logistic regression models were applied to evaluate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals(95%CI) for the association between CCR and both depressive symptoms and the risk of CKD. Additionally, multivariate Cox regression models were used to assess hazard ratios(HRs) and 95% confidence intervals(95%CI) for the association between CCR and all-cause mortality. Model 1 focused on analyzing the fundamental effect of CCR on the risk of CKD, depressive symptoms, and all-cause mortality, treating CCR or CKD as the independent variable. Additionally, in Model 1, the relationship between depressive and CCR, depression and CKD was examined, with depressive serving as the independent variable. Model 2 expanded upon Model 1 by incorporating sociodemographic variables, including age(as a continuous variable), gender, and BMI. Model 3 further adjusted Model 2 by including individual lifestyle characteristics, specifically alcohol consumption and smoking status. Model 4 extended Model 3 by considering additional health status characteristics, namely hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia.

To investigate potential linear or nonlinear relationships between CCR indices, depressive symptoms, renal disease incidence, and all-cause mortality, restricted cubic spline(RCS) linear regression was applied to Model 4 to mitigate the influence of outliers. Furthermore, the study examined the interaction effects across various subgroups, including age, gender, drinking status, smoking status, hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia, utilizing multivariate logistic regression and Cox regression models. These interactions were evaluated using likelihood ratio tests.

In this study, we simultaneously constructed mediation effect models for the forward path (CCR \rightarrow Depression \rightarrow CKD) and the reverse path (CKD \rightarrow Depression \rightarrow CCR). Due to the limitation of the time factor, we investigated the mediating effect of depression in the bidirectional relationship between CCR-CKD and CKD-CCR based on the guidance of causal mediation analysis to validate the directionality of the causal path (33). Then, we constructed two models: a multifactorial logistic regression model with CCR as the exposure, other variables as confounders, and CKD as the outcome; and a multifactorial logistic regression model with CKD as the exposure, other variables as confounders, and CCR as the outcome. The mediator proportion was calculated by dividing the natural indirect effect by the total effect, utilizing the natural effects model estimation as proposed by VanderWeele (34).

In addition, we performed the following sensitivity analyses: (1) repeated all analyses using the complete dataset without any missing variables and without multiple interpolations; (2) computed E-values to assess the potential effect of unmeasured confounders in the mediation analyses; and (3) considering the possible influence of CES-D scale thresholds on the results, the results were tested for both thresholds of CESD \geq 8 points and CESD \geq 12 points.

3 Results

3.1 Baseline characteristics

In our final analysis, we included a total of 6,243 participants, with a mean age of 58.4 ± 8.8 years. The prevalence of CKD within the study population was 8.8%, and the mean depressive symptom score was 8.5 ± 6.3. Among the participants, 2,835(45.4%) were male and 3,408(54.6%) were female. We characterized the study participants based on CCR quartiles and found that the prevalence of nephropathy was 6.4% in the first quartile group of the CCR. With progressively higher CCR scores, the prevalence was 8.9% in the second quartile, 9.2% in the third quartile, and 10.6% in the fourth quartile. Lower CCR levels were associated with a higher incidence of CKD. In contrast to the increased incidence of CKD, all-cause mortality decreased significantly with increasing CCR. The all-cause mortality rate was 4.2% at the first quartile of the CCR and 0.9% at the fourth quartile of the CCR. In our study, we also characterized the CKD and non-CKD populations, and the CKD population had higher levels of CCR and depression than the non-CKD population (Supplementary Table S2). More detailed information about the basic characteristics of the population in this study is shown in Table 1 and Supplementary Table S2.

3.2 Association analysis of CCR with depressive symptoms and the risk of CKD

Table 2 elucidates the CCR-CKD, CCR-depression and depression-CKD association. The results of the one-way logistic regression model showed that CCR was significantly associated with CKD(OR: 1.10, 95% CI: 1.06-1.14, p<0.001). This association persisted as statistically significant even after adjusting for various confounding factors. In the multivariate logistic regression analysis, Model 2 adjusted for age, gender, and BMI with an OR of 1.13(95% CI:1.09-1.18, p<0.001). Model 3, which further adjusted for alcohol consumption and smoking based on Model 2, also demonstrated an OR of 1.14(95%CI:1.09-1.18, p<0.001). Finally, Model 4, which incorporated adjustments for hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia based on Model 3, maintained an OR of 1.13 (95% CI:1.09-1.18, p<0.001). In addition, CCR was stratified into quartiles, revealing significant differences in the risk of CKD across varying CCR levels. Notably, individuals in the fourth quartile of CCR exhibited the highest risk of CKD, a finding that was particularly pronounced in Model 3(OR: 2.34, 95%CI: 1.77-3.12, p<0.001). This is consistent with the study by Hyun YY et al. A nationwide prospective cohort study conducted in Korea showed that higher CCR levels had lower eGFR values (35). And in our study, eGFR values represent to some extent CKD.Univariate logistic regression analysis indicated an association between CCR and depression, with an OR of 0.88(95% CI: 0.86-0.91, p<0.001) for the relationship between CCR and depression. This association persisted even after adjusting for sociodemographic, lifestyle, and health status variables, with an OR of 0.94(95%CI: 0.91-0.96, p < 0.001). Lower CCR values were correlated with an increased risk of depression. The covariate adjustments in Model 3 and Model 4 were largely consistent. The findings were similar to those of Liu F et al, Zhu Y et al (19, 36). Depression is common in the CKD population and is associated with a significant risk of adverse outcomes (37). The study accounted for CCR while constructing logistic regression models to assess the risk of depression and CKD. In the univariate logistic regression model, depression was found to have a negative effect on CKD(OR: 1.05, 95% CI: 1.04-1.07, p < 0.001). After controlling for confounding variables, the risk of CKD in individuals with depression was found to be 1.87 times greater than in those without depression(OR: 1.87, 95%CI: 1.56-2.24, p<0.001).

In addition, we also explored the association with CKD as exposure, other variables as confounders, and CCR as outcome. The results of the study, as shown in Table 3, showed a strong association between CKD and CCR (p<0.001). Although there is a paucity of studies with CKD as exposure and CCR as outcome. We also analyzed the inverse association of CKD as an exposure to depression, consistent with previous studies that found depression to be more common in the CKD population. For subsequent analysis of bidirectional mediating effects, in the context of controlling for CKD, we also explored the effect of depression on CCR to control for confounding.

TABLE 1 Baseline characterization of the CCR quartiles.

Level	Overall	Q1 (<6.84)	Q2 (<7.86)	Q3 (<9.12)	Q4 (≥9.12)	р
Ν	6243	1561	1562	1560	1560	
Age [mean (SD)]	58.4 (8.8)	61.2 (8.9)	59.4 (8.7)	57.5 (8.5)	55.6 (7.9)	< 0.001
BMI [mean (SD)]	23.6 (3.9)	23.3 (4.2)	23.5 (3.9)	23.7 (3.5)	24.1 (3.7)	< 0.001
CESD [mean (SD)]	8.5 (6.3)	9.7 (6.4)	8.9 (6.6)	8.1 (6.2)	7.4 (5.9)	< 0.001
Gender (%)						<0.001
Female	3408 (54.6)	1192 (76.4)	976 (62.5)	723 (46.3)	517 (33.1)	
Male	2835 (45.4)	369 (23.6)	586 (37.5)	837 (53.7)	1043 (66.9)	
Drinking (%)						< 0.001
No	4230 (67.8)	1232 (78.9)	1141 (73.0)	1000 (64.1)	857 (54.9)	
Yes	2013 (32.2)	329 (21.1)	421 (27.0)	560 (35.9)	703 (45.1)	
Smoking (%)						< 0.001
Non-smoker	3887 (62.3)	1167 (74.8)	1035 (66.3)	888 (57.0)	797 (51.1)	
Ex-smoker	496 (7.9)	72 (4.6)	92 (5.9)	146 (9.4)	186 (11.9)	
Smoker	1858 (29.8)	322 (20.6)	435 (27.8)	525 (33.7)	576 (36.9)	
Hypertension (%)						0.236
No	3378 (58.7)	818 (56.6)	848 (58.4)	865 (60.0)	847 (59.6)	
Yes	2381 (41.3)	627 (43.4)	605 (41.6)	576 (40.0)	573 (40.4)	
Diabetes (%)						0.007
No	5712 (92.1)	1458 (93.8)	1427 (91.9)	1427 (92.4)	1400 (90.4)	
Yes	488 (7.9)	97 (6.2)	125 (8.1)	118 (7.6)	148 (9.6)	
Dyslipidemia (%)						<0.001
No	4672 (74.8)	1246 (79.8)	1226 (78.5)	1185 (76.0)	1015 (65.1)	
Yes	1570 (25.2)	315 (20.2)	336 (21.5)	374 (24.0)	545 (34.9)	
Chronic Kidney Disease (%)						<0.001
No	5694 (91.2)	1461 (93.6)	1423 (91.1)	1416 (90.8)	1394 (89.4)	
Yes	549 (8.8)	100 (6.4)	139 (8.9)	144 (9.2)	166 (10.6)	
Depression (%)						<0.001
Ν	3846 (61.6)	827 (53.0)	935 (59.9)	1004 (64.4)	1080 (69.2)	
Y	2397 (38.4)	734 (47.0)	627 (40.1)	556 (35.6)	480 (30.8)	
All cause mortality (%)						<0.001
No	6101 (97.7)	1496 (95.8)	1525 (97.6)	1534 (98.3)	1546 (99.1)	
Yes	142 (2.3)	65 (4.2)	37 (2.4)	26 (1.7)	14 (0.9)	

3.3 Association analysis of CCR and allcause mortality

The CCR is an emerging marker that has been increasingly studied in relation to adverse health outcomes (38). Several studies have shown that lower levels of CCR are associated with higher allcause mortality (12, 13, 39). In this study, the correlation between CCR and all-cause mortality was further verified using Kaplan-Meier curves, which showed that survival rates were not the same in populations with different CCR levels, generally presenting a lower risk of death with higher CCR levels (p<0.05), as illustrated in Figure 2. The risk of mortality was notably higher in the CKD cohort (Figure 2B), compared to the general population (Figure 2A), aligning with established trends. A multivariate cox proportional hazards regression model was employed to evaluate the association between CCR and all-cause mortality, as detailed in Table 4. The analysis revealed that as CCR levels increased, the risk of mortality decreased within the study population. Model 4 was

Variable	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4					
variable	OR (95% CI)	OR(95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)					
CCR and CKD									
CCR (continuous)	1.10 (1.06, 1.14)	1.13 (1.09, 1.18)	1.14 (1.09, 1.18)	1.13 (1.09, 1.18)					
CCR (categorical)									
Quartile 1	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]					
Quartile 2	1.43 (1.09, 1.87)	1.56 (1.19, 2.05)	1.56 (1.19, 2.05)	1.54 (1.18, 2.03)					
Quartile 3	1.49 (1.14, 1.94)	1.78 (1.35, 2.35)	1.80 (1.36, 2.38)	1.77 (1.34, 2.34)					
Quartile 4	1.74 (1.35, 2.26)	2.29 (1.73, 3.05)	2.34 (1.77, 3.12)	2.26 (1.70, 3.03)					
P for trend	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001					
CCR and depressive symptoms									
CCR (continuous)	0.88 (0.86, 0.91)	0.94 (0.91, 0.96)	0.94 (0.91, 0.96)	0.94 (0.91, 0.96)					
CCR (categorical)	CCR (categorical)								
Quartile 1	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]					
Quartile 2	0.76 (0.66, 0.87)	0.83 (0.72, 0.96)	0.84 (0.72, 0.97)	0.83 (0.72, 0.96)					
Quartile 3	0.62 (0.54, 0.72)	0.78 (0.67, 0.90)	0.78 (0.67, 0.90)	0.77 (0.66, 0.90)					
Quartile 4	0.50 (0.43, 0.58)	0.69 (0.59, 0.81)	0.70 (0.59, 0.82)	0.69 (0.59, 0.81)					
P for trend	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001					
CESD/Depression and CKD (controlling for CCR)									
CESD,CCR (continuous)	1.05 (1.04, 1.07)	1.05 (1.04, 1.06)	1.05 (1.03, 1.06)	1.05 (1.03, 1.06)					
Depression,CCR (categorical)									
No (≤ 10 scores)	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]					
Yes (> 10 scores)	1.96 (1.64, 2.34)	1.93 (1.61, 2.31)	1.90 (1.58, 2.28)	1.87 (1.56, 2.24)					
<i>P</i> for trend	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001					

TABLE 2 CCR->Depression->CKD: Multivariate logistic regression models for CCR, depressive symptoms, and CKD.

adjusted for the effects of the additional variables including age, gender, drinking, and hypertension, and yielded a HR of 0.85(95% *CI:* 0.75-0.97, p=0.012). The fourth quartile of the CCR represents a range of elevated CCR levels, and the performance of the model adjusted for the rest of the variables indicates that high CCR levels are associated with a reduced risk of mortality(*HR:* 0.35, 95% *CI:* 0.18-0.67, p=0.001).

3.4 Restricted cubic spline to explore the association between CCR and the risk of CKD, depressive symptoms, and all-cause mortality

Utilizing Model 4, the study employed restricted cubic splines to determine the linearity or non-linearity of relationships between variables, with findings presented in Figure 3. The analysis revealed a linear relationship between CCR and CKD, as indicated by a nonlinear p-value of 0.542 (p>0.05), and a similar linear

relationship with depression, with a nonlinear p-value of 0.273 (p>0.05). Conversely, CCR and all-cause mortality exhibited a significant nonlinear correlation (p<0.05), characterized by a "U" curve.

3.5 Subgroup analysis

In this study, subgroup analyses focusing on CCR-CKD and CCR-All-cause mortality were conducted separately, with results depicted in Figures 4 and 5. These subgroup analyses were consistent with the overall analysis. The association between the CCR and CKD was more pronounced in individuals over 60 years of age, non-smokers, and non-alcohol drinkers. The association between the CCR and all-cause mortality was more pronounced among individuals with normal lipids and those without diabetes. The association between CCR values and variables such as age, gender, alcohol consumption, smoking status, hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia did not have an interaction (p > 0.05).

Variable	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4					
variable	OR (95% CI)	OR(95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)					
CKD and CCR (categorical)									
No	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]					
Yes	1.55 (1.24, 1.95)	1.79 (1.42, 2.28)	1.80 (1.43, 2.30)	1.77 (1.39, 2.26)					
<i>P</i> for trend	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001					
CKD and depressive symptoms									
No	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]					
Yes	1.85 (1.55, 2.20)	1.85 (1.55, 2.22)	1.82 (1.52, 2.18)	1.80 (1.50, 2.16)					
<i>P</i> for trend	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001					
Depression and CCR (categorical) (controlling for CKD)									
Depression, CCR (categorical)									
No (≤ 10 scores)	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]					
Yes (> 10 scores)	0.61 (0.54, 0.68)	0.74 (0.65, 0.84)	0.76 (0.67, 0.86)	0.76 (0.67, 0.86)					
P for trend	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001					

TABLE 3 CKD-Depression-CCR: Multivariate logistic regression models for CCR, depressive symptoms, and CKD.

3.6 Mediation analysis of depressive symptoms in the association between CCR and the risk of CKD

The study explored the bidirectional mediating utility of depression in the relationship between CCR and CKD based on model 4, and the results of the analysis are shown in Figure 6. The results suggest that depression mediates the association between CCR and CKD, although the mediating effect may be relatively small. After excluding as much as possible the effects of confounding factors such as age, gender, and chronic diseases, depression exerted a weak negative mediating effect on the effect of CCR on CKD (*mediation effect=-0.0003, 95%CI:-0.0005-0.0000,* p<0.001), and an even more pronounced negative mediating effect

on the reverse effect of CKD on CCR (*mediation effect=-0.0318, 95% CI:-0.0547-0.0200, p<0.001*). Although the Nagelkerke \mathbb{R}^2 was lower and the explanatory power of the variable was weaker, we believe that this suggests that CKD may indirectly lead to a decrease in CCR levels by exacerbating depression. The relevant computational information for the bidirectional mediated effects model of this study is presented in Supplementary Table S3.

3.7 Sensitivity analysis

To ensure the accuracy of the funding of the study, three methods were used in this study for sensitivity analysis. The study produced a complete dataset without any missing variables, a post-



08



interpolated dataset with depression judged by a CESD score of ≥ 8 , and a post-interpolated dataset with depression judged by a CESD score of ≥ 12 . Multifactor logistic regression analyses of CCR- CKD, CCR-depressive, and depressive-CKD were performed for each of the three datasets (all based on model 4), as well as multifactor cox proportional risk regression modeling of CCR and all-cause mortality (based on model 4). The results of the data analyses were consistent with previous data analyses. In addition, the study used E-values to assess sensitivity analyses for the likelihood of unmeasured confounders, and the results are supplemented with Supplementary Table S4, Supplementary Table S5 and Supplementary Table S6.

4 Discussion

This study utilized data from a nationally representative sample of 6,243 Chinese middle-aged and elderly adults to investigate the relationship between CCR, depression, CKD and all-cause mortality, and the potential bidirectional mediating effect of depression in the association between CCR and CKD. Our findings indicated that greater CCR levels were positively correlated with an increased risk of CKD while being negatively related to depression and all-cause mortality. Depression plays a bidirectional negative mediating role in the association between CCR and CKD, albeit a relatively weak one. Subgroup and sensitivity analyses affirmed the robustness of these results. To our knowledge, this is the inaugural study to concurrently investigate the association of CCR with CKD and all-cause mortality, along with the bidirectional mediating effect of depression on the association between CCR and CKD, within a middle-aged and elderly Chinese population.

In this study, our results suggest an association between CCR and CKD. Previous studies have shown that CCR can be used as a biopredictive marker for CKD with higher sensitivity and specificity in assessing renal function abnormalities (35). Creatinine, as a metabolite of muscle tissue, is mainly eliminated from the body through the kidneys. However, there is a certain lag in creatinine measurement, which usually fails to detect CKD in a timely and accurate manner, whereas cystatin C, a low-molecular-weight protein, is completely dependent on glomerular reabsorption and degradation (9), which can make up for the limitations of creatinine measurement to a certain extent (40). Therefore, CCR can synthesize the advantages of both and help to detect the occurrence and progression of CKD in time (41). Current studies have focused on the association of CCR with mortality or all-cause

Variable	Count	Percent(%)		OR (95% CI)	P value	P for interaction
age5							0.05
45-49	1324	21.2	٠		1.06 (0.98 to 1.15)	0.171	
50-59	2254	36.2			1.07 (1.00 to 1.16)	0.067	
60-69	1801	28.9			1.17 (1.09 to 1.25)	<0.001	
70-79	853	13.7		⊢−−−− 1	1.22 (1.11 to 1.33)	<0.001	
Gender				1			0.885
Female	3408	54.6		┝╼┥	1.09 (1.04 to 1.15)	0.001	
Male	2835	45.4		·	1.09 (1.03 to 1.15)	0.003	
smoking				1			0.803
Non-smoker	3887	62.3		⊢ ∎-4	1.10 (1.05 to 1.15)	<0.001	
Ex-smoker	496	7.9	F		1.06 (0.95 to 1.18)	0.27	
Smoker	1858	29.8		⊢ ⊷ı	1.08 (1.00 to 1.16)	0.053	
drinking				1			0.283
No	4230	67.8		+=+	1.12 (1.07 to 1.17)	<0.001	
Yes	2013	32.2		⊢ i	1.07 (1.00 to 1.15)	0.062	
Hypertension				1			0.121
No	3378	58.7		⊢ ∎i	1.06 (1.00 to 1.13)	0.034	
Yes	2381	41.3		⊢ ⊷-1	1.13 (1.07 to 1.19)	<0.001	
Dyslipidemia				1			0.362
No	4672	74.8		⊢∎ -1	1.11 (1.06 to 1.17)	<0.001	
Yes	1570	25.2		 	1.07 (1.01 to 1.13)	0.02	
Diabetes				1			0.224
No	5712	92.1		HBH I	1.08 (1.04 to 1.13)	<0.001	
Yes	488	7.9		·	1.17 (1.04 to 1.31)	0.008	
Overall	6243	100		HIH	1.10 (1.06 to 1.14)	<0.001	
			0.5	1 1	.5		

FIGURE 4

Subgroup analysis of the association between CCR and the risk of CKD. OR is the odds ratio and 95% CI is the 95% confidence interval.

Variable	Count	Percent(%)			HR (95% CI)	P value	P for interaction
age5							0.78
45-49	1324	21.2	·		0.55 (0.22 to 1.41)	0.212	
50-59	2254	36.2	F		0.90 (0.71 to 1.14)	0.374	
60-69	1801	28.9	⊢	•	0.87 (0.72 to 1.04)	0.124	
70-79	853	13.7	,i		0.87 (0.75 to 1.01)	0.066	
Gender							0.136
Female	3408	54.6			0.78 (0.66 to 0.92)	0.003	
Male	2835	45.4	H=-1		0.66 (0.57 to 0.75)	<0.001	
smoking							0.113
Non-smoker	3887	62.3	┝╼╌┥		0.78 (0.67 to 0.90)	0.001	
Ex-smoker	496	7.9	н—— 4		0.79 (0.63 to 0.99)	0.045	
Smoker	1858	29.8	— —		0.62 (0.52 to 0.74)	<0.001	
drinking							0.902
No	4230	67.8	⊢ ∎→1		0.76 (0.67 to 0.86)	<0.001	
Yes	2013	32.2	⊢ →		0.75 (0.63 to 0.90)	0.002	
Hypertension							0.409
No	3378	58.7	⊢ ∎→1		0.72 (0.61 to 0.85)	<0.001	
Yes	2381	41.3	→→		0.79 (0.69 to 0.90)	<0.001	
Dyslipidemia							0.112
No	4672	74.8	H B -1		0.72 (0.64 to 0.82)	<0.001	
Yes	1570	25.2		1	0.86 (0.72 to 1.03)	0.101	
Diabetes							0.214
No	5712	92.1	H B -1		0.74 (0.66 to 0.82)	<0.001	
Yes	488	7.9			0.87 (0.69 to 1.11)	0.258	
Overall	6243	100	HEH		0.76 (0.69 to 0.84)	<0.001	
		0	0.5 1	1	5		

FIGURE 5

Subgroup analysis of the association between the CCR and all-cause mortality. OR is the odds ratio and 95% CI is the 95% confidence interval.



mortality in CKD and other diseases, and the relationship between CCR and CKD is not clear enough. Nevertheless, we still found some similarities with our study. A study comparing creatinine, cystatin C, and iohexol clearance measurements for the identification of persistent renal function found a correlation between changes in CCR and muscle loss (R=0.61, 95% CI:0.50-0.72) (42). The progression of CKD inevitably presents with muscle atrophy, and early detection of muscle loss is crucial for the detection of CKD (43). Furthermore, a case report describes the development of CKD accompanied by elevated levels of CCR (44). This is consistent with our findings using CKD as an exposure factor, where a higher risk of CKD was associated with higher CCR levels. Since we used cross-sectional data, we could not explore the causal relationship between CCR and CKD. However, exploring the association between CCR and CKD is an important addition to further exploring the possibility that CCR may be a valid predictive biomarker of CKD risk in the future.

A meta-analysis has indicated that depression is more prevalent among CKD patients and that elevated depression are correlated with a heightened risk of CKD (15). In this study, the prevalence of depression among participants with CKD was higher compared to those without CKD, aligning with findings from previous research. However, a retrospective cross-sectional study has demonstrated an association between low CCR levels and elevated depression (19). We also analyzed the CCR-depression and depression-CKD association in our study, validating previous findings. Due to the limitation of time

factor, we used bidirectional mediated effects analysis to establish two models for the forward path (CCR-Depression-CKD) and the reverse path (CKD→Depression→CCR). We further explored the effect of depression between CCR-CKD and CKD-CCR based on Model 4. Model 4 controlled the interference of confounders to some extent and satisfied the hypothesis of mediation analysis. The findings indicated that the negative effect of depression was stronger in the association of CKD-CCR than in the association of CCR-CKD. Despite the weaker explanatory strength of the model, we believe this suggests that CKD may contribute to death by exacerbating depression and indirectly leading to lower levels of CCR. Specifically, higher CKD risk was associated with higher CCR levels, but depression may have attenuated this effect. This is likely because patients with depression are often accompanied by increased levels of inflammation, and inflammatory cells are associated with increased levels of cystatin C, leading to underestimated CCR levels. In addition, depressed populations are inextricably linked to lower creatinine due to decreased activity levels. Reduced creatinine levels also lead to underestimation of CCR levels. CKD patients are a highprevalence group for depression, which not only affects the subjective quality of life of patients but also interferes with biomarkers for monitoring and management of CKD. Therefore, the mental health of CKD patients needs to be emphasized. Although the CCR is effective in predicting CKD, its use in the depressed middle-aged and elderly population requires additional attention to the negative effects of depression.

Variable	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	
	HR (95% CI)	HR(95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	
CCR (continuous)	0.76 (0.69, 0.84)	0.84 (0.75, 0.94)	0.84 (0.75, 0.94)	0.83 (0.74, 0.93)	
CCR (categorical)					
Quartile 1	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	
Quartile 2	0.56 (0.38, 0.84)	0.63 (0.40, 0.91)	0.61 (0.41, 0.92)	0.59 (0.39, 0.90)	
Quartile 3	0.39 (0.25, 0.62)	0.48 (0.30, 0.77)	0.47 (0.29, 0.77)	0.46 (0.29, 0.74)	
Quartile 4	0.21 (0.12, 0.38)	0.34 (0.18, 0.62)	0.34 (0.18, 0.62)	0.31 (0.17, 0.58)	
P for trend	<0.001	0.001	0.001	<0.001	

TABLE 4 Multivariate cox proportional hazards regression models for CCR and all-cause mortality.

Our findings corroborate the established association between CCR and all-cause mortality. A prospective cohort study conducted in Korea concluded that elevated levels of CCR were linked to reduced all-cause mortality (35). Our findings align with these previous studies, confirming similar conclusions. In this study, we also generated the kaplan-meier survival curves of CCR across the entire cohort and specifically for all-cause mortality in individuals with CKD. The analysis revealed that higher CCR levels correspond to increased survival rates regardless of CKD. Moreover, the restricted cubic spline analysis indicated that the relationship between CCR and all-cause mortality is nonlinear, exhibiting a "U"-shaped curve.

This study possesses both strengths and limitations. One of the primary advantages is the utilization of data from a nationally representative sample of middle-aged and elderly people in China, a country characterized by its large population and aging demographic. The results of this study are of great practical significance for the health management and intervention of CKD in middle-aged and elderly people. The employment of a large sample of dataset, the development of multiple models and the application of sensitivity analyses reinforced the robustness of the study results. However, our study also has certain limitations. Firstly, the definition of CKD within the CHARLS database relies on participants' self-reporting rather than verified medical diagnosis. Although we simultaneously examined participants' CKD medication intake as well as calculated participants' eGFR values, there was still selective bias or measurement bias. Second, due to the lack of detailed dietary intake and systemic inflammatory marker data in CHARLS, this study failed to include dietary composition and inflammatory indicators, which may constitute potential residual confounding. The study relied on cross-sectional data precludes to determine causality. Even though we explored the bidirectional mediating effect of depression in the CCR-CKD and CKD-CCR associations, we were unable to confirm causality between the other variables. As we utilized data from middle-aged and elderly individuals aged 45 and 79, the findings of this study cannot be extrapolated to younger populations for application.

5 Conclusion

Overall, We analyzed the data based on the CHARLS in 2011 and 2015. The results showed that higher levels of CCR were associated with a higher risk of CKD and with lower all-cause mortality, and CCR could be a biomarker for early diagnosis of CKD. CCR was negatively correlated with depression, and depression may have a negative effect on the association of CKD and CCR, suggesting that CCR has an underestimated risk for predicting and recognizing CKD in depressed populations. Mental health factors are important in risk prediction and comprehensive management of CKD. Future studies may further explore the mechanism of depression in the progression of CKD and the potential causal relationship with biomarkers through prospective cohort studies and incorporate psychological intervention strategies to optimize the prevention, monitoring, treatment, and prognostic management of CKD.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) online datasets (https://charls.pku.edu.cn/).

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Biomedical Ethics Committee of Peking University(IRB00001052-11015). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

QC: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. QZ: Conceptualization, Validation, Writing – original draft. SA: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. WY: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. YC: Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Validation. BA: Visualization, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Project administration. YH: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. The work was supported by the Special Funds for Basic Research Operating Expenses of Central Universities (2024-JYB-PY-003).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

References

1. Appel LJ, Grams M, Woodward M, Harris K, Arima H, Chalmers J, et al. Estimated glomerular filtration rate, albuminuria, and adverse outcomes: an individualparticipant data meta-analysis. *Jama*. (2023) 330:1266–77. doi: 10.1001/ jama.2023.17002

2. Francis A, Harhay MN, Ong A, Tummalapalli SL, Ortiz A, Fogo AB, et al. Chronic kidney disease and the global public health agenda: an international consensus. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2024) 20:473–85. doi: 10.1038/s41581-024-00820-6

 Bikbov B, Purcell CA, Levey AS, Smith M, Abdoli A, Abebe M, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of chronic kidney disease, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet.* (2020) 395:709–33. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30045-3

 Chagnac A, Zingerman B, Rozen-Zvi B, Herman-Edelstein M. Consequences of glomerular hyperfiltration: the role of physical forces in the pathogenesis of chronic kidney disease in diabetes and obesity. *Nephron.* (2019) 143:38–42. doi: 10.1159/ 000499486

5. Ruiz-Ortega M, Rayego-Mateos S, Lamas S, Ortiz A, Rodrigues-Diez RR. Targeting the progression of chronic kidney disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2020) 16:269–88. doi: 10.1038/s41581-019-0248-y

6. Wang J, Zhang L, SC-w T, Kashihara N, Kim Y-S, Togtokh A, et al. Disease burden and challenges of chronic kidney disease in North and East Asia. *Kidney Int.* (2018) 94:22–5. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2017.12.022

 Lamb EJ, Levey AS, Stevens PE. The Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) guideline update for chronic kidney disease: evolution not revolution. *Clin Chem.* (2013) 59:462–5. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2012.184259

8. Lopez-Giacoman S, Madero M. Biomarkers in chronic kidney disease, from kidney function to kidney damage. *World J nephrol.* (2015) 4:57. doi: 10.5527/ wjn.v4.i1.57

9. Priyadarshini G, Rajappa M. Predictive markers in chronic kidney disease. *Clinica Chimica Acta*. (2022) 535:180-6. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2022.08.018

 Shlipak MG, Matsushita K, Ärnlöv J, Inker LA, Katz R, Polkinghorne KR, et al. Cystatin C versus creatinine in determining risk based on kidney function. *New Engl J Med.* (2013) 369:932–43. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1214234

11. Zheng W-H, Zhu Y-B, Yao Y, Huang H-B. Serum creatinine/cystatin C ratio as a muscle mass evaluating tool and prognostic indicator for hospitalized patients: A metaanalysis. *Front Med.* (2023) 9:1058464. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.1058464

12. Rizk JG, Streja E, Wenziger C, Shlipak MG, Norris KC, Crowley ST, et al. Serum creatinine-to-cystatin-C ratio as a potential muscle mass surrogate and racial differences in mortality. *J Renal Nutrition*. (2023) 33:69–77. doi: 10.1053/jirn.2021.11.005

13. Wu Y, Wang H, Tong Y, Zhang X, Long Y, Li Q, et al. Sarcopenia index based on serum creatinine and cystatin C is associated with mortality in middle-aged and older adults in Chinese: a retrospective cohort study from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study. *Front Public Health*. (2023) 11:1122922. doi: 10.3389/foubh.2023.1122922

14. Maina G, Adami M, Ascione G, Bondi E, De Berardis D, Delmonte D, et al. Nationwide consensus on the clinical management of treatment-resistant depression in Italy: a Delphi panel. *Ann Gen Psychiatry.* (2023) 22:48. doi: 10.1186/s12991-023-00478-7

15. Palmer S, Vecchio M, Craig JC, Tonelli M, Johnson DW, Nicolucci A, et al. Prevalence of depression in chronic kidney disease: systematic review and metaanalysis of observational studies. *Kidney Int*. (2013) 84:179–91. doi: 10.1038/ki.2013.77

16. Pu L, Zou Y, S-k Wu, Wang F, Zhang Y, Li G-s, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of depressive symptoms among chronic kidney disease patients in China: Results from the Chinese Cohort Study of Chronic Kidney Disease (C-STRIDE). J Psychosomatic Res. (2020) 128:109869. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2019.109869

or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2025. 1554695/full#supplementary-material

17. Adejumo OA, Edeki IR, Sunday Oyedepo D, Falade J, Yisau OE, Ige OO, et al. Global prevalence of depression in chronic kidney disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Nephrol. (2024) 37:2455–72. doi: 10.1007/s40620-024-01998-5

18. Zhang Z, He P, Liu M, Zhou C, Liu C, Li H, et al. Association of depressive symptoms with rapid kidney function decline in adults with normal kidney function. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2021) 16:889–97. doi: 10.2215/CJN.18441120

19. Zhu Y, Guo X, Zhang X, Shi X, Yang Y, Zhang Q. Sex differences in the relationship of serum creatinine to cystatin C ratio and depressive symptoms among middle-aged and older adults in China. *J Affect Disord*. (2022) 319:57–61. doi: 10.1016/jiad.2022.09.030

20. Sun T, Chen Q, Li Y. Associations of serum cystatin C with depressive symptoms and suicidal ideation in major depressive disorder. *BMC Psychiatry.* (2021) 21:1–8. doi: 10.1186/s12888-021-03509-3

21. Li H, Wang A, Qi G, Guo J, Li X, Wang W, et al. Cystatin C and risk of new-onset depressive symptoms among individuals with a normal creatinine-based estimated glomerular filtration rate: A prospective cohort study. *Psychiatry Res.* (2019) 273:75–81. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2019.01.009

22. Daria S, Proma MA, Shahriar M, Islam SMA, Bhuiyan MA, Islam MR. Serum interferon-gamma level is associated with drug-naïve major depressive disorder. *SAGE Open Med.* (2020) 8:2050312120974169. doi: 10.1177/2050312120974169

23. Le-Niculescu H, Levey D, Ayalew M, Palmer L, Gavrin L, Jain N, et al. Discovery and validation of blood biomarkers for suicidality. *Mol Psychiatry*. (2013) 18:1249–64. doi: 10.1038/mp.2013.95

24. Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang G. Cohort profile: the China health and retirement longitudinal study (CHARLS). *Int J epidemiol.* (2014) 43:61–8. doi: 10.1093/ ije/dys203

25. Kim S-w, Jung H-W, Kim C-H, Kim K-i, Chin HJ, Lee H. A new equation to estimate muscle mass from creatinine and cystatin C. *PloS One.* (2016) 11:e0148495. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148495

26. Chen X, Crimmins E, Hu P, Kim JK, Meng Q, Strauss J, et al. Venous bloodbased biomarkers in the China health and retirement longitudinal study: rationale, design, and results from the 2015 wave. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2019) 188:1871–7. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwz170

27. Inker LA, Schmid CH, Tighiouart H, Eckfeldt JH, Feldman HI, Greene T, et al. Estimating glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine and cystatin C. *New Engl J Med.* (2012) 367:20–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1114248

28. Shlipak MG, Inker LA, Coresh J. Serum cystatin C for estimation of GFR. JAMA. (2022) 328:883–4. doi: 10.1001/jama.2022.12407

29. Kashani K, Rosner MH, Ostermann M. Creatinine: from physiology to clinical application. *Eur J Internal med.* (2020) 72:9–14. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2019.10.025

30. Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Appl psychol measurement*. (1977) 1:385–401. doi: 10.1177/ 014662167700100306

31. Boey KW. Cross-validation of a short form of the CES-D in Chinese elderly. *Int J geriatric Psychiatry*. (1999) 14:608–17. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1166(199908) 14:8<608::AID-GPS991>3.0.CO;2-Z

32. Wang S, Yang T, Bao Y, Zhou L, Jing P, Gu L, et al. Association of serum creatinine-cystatin C ratio with all-cause, cardiovascular and cancer mortality in US adults: a nationwide cohort study. *BMC geriatrics*. (2024) 24:963. doi: 10.1186/s12877-024-05546-5

33. VanderWeele TJ. Marginal structural models for the estimation of direct and indirect effects. *Epidemiology*. (2009) 20:18–26. doi: 10.1097/EDE.0b013e31818f69ce

34. Imai K, Keele L, Tingley D. A general approach to causal mediation analysis. psychol Methods. (2010) 15:309. doi: 10.1037/a0020761 35. Hyun YY, Lee K-B, Kim H, Kim Y, Chung W, Park HC, et al. Serum creatinine to cystatin C ratio and clinical outcomes in adults with non-dialysis chronic kidney disease. *Front Nutrition.* (2022) 9:996674. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.996674

36. Liu F, Zhong X, Wang C. Lower creatinine levels are associated with an increased risk of depression: evidence from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study. *Front Psychiatry.* (2025) 16:1446897. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2025.1446897

37. Bautovich A, Katz I, Smith M, Loo CK, Harvey SB. Depression and chronic kidney disease: a review for clinicians. *Aust New Z J Psychiatry.* (2014) 48:530-41. doi: 10.1177/0004867414528589

38. Fernandes LV, Paiva AEG, Silva ACB, de Castro IC, Santiago AF, de Oliveira EP, et al. Prevalence of sarcopenia according to EWGSOP1 and EWGSOP2 in older adults and their associations with unfavorable health outcomes: a systematic review. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* (2022) 34:505–14. doi: 10.1007/s40520-021-01951-7

39. Ren C, Su H, Tao J, Xie Y, Zhang X, Guo Q. Sarcopenia index based on serum creatinine and cystatin C is associated with mortality, nutritional risk/malnutrition and sarcopenia in older patients. *Clin Interventions Aging.* (2022) 17:211–21. doi: 10.2147/CIA.S351068

40. Benoit SW, Ciccia EA, Devarajan P. Cystatin C as a biomarker of chronic kidney disease: latest developments. *Expert Rev Mol diagnostics*. (2020) 20:1019–26. doi: 10.1080/14737159.2020.1768849

41. Stehlé T, Delanaye P. Which is the best glomerular filtration marker: Creatinine, cystatin C or both? *Eur J Clin Invest.* (2024) 54:e14278. doi: 10.1111/ eci.14278

42. Haines RW, Fowler AJ, Liang K, Pearse RM, Larsson AO, Puthucheary Z, et al. Comparison of cystatin C and creatinine in the assessment of measured kidney function during critical illness. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2023) 18:997–1005. doi: 10.2215/CJN.00000000000203

43. Carrero JJ, Johansen KL, Lindholm B, Stenvinkel P, Cuppari L, Avesani CM. Screening for muscle wasting and dysfunction in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.* (2016) 90:53–66. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2016.02.025

44. Jiang R, Huang Y, Zeng M, Xing C, Mao H, Wu B. A marked elevation in serum creatinine/cystatin C ratio may indicate pseudo-acute kidney injury due to urinary ascites: a case report and literature review. *BMC nephrol.* (2023) 24:243. doi: 10.1186/s12882-023-03289-w