#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Eduardo Fernández-Jiménez, European University of Madrid, Spain

REVIEWED BY Silvana Alves Pereira, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil Anne Koponen, University of Helsinki, Finland

\*CORRESPONDENCE Zaisheng Qin Mzkqzs@smu.edu.cn Jun Fan fanjunq1002@163.com

RECEIVED 07 February 2025 ACCEPTED 09 June 2025 PUBLISHED 27 June 2025

#### CITATION

Zhou Q, Liang S, Sun Y, Fan J and Qin Z (2025) In-hospital neonatal maternal separation as early-life stress and neurodevelopmental disorders: a crosssectional and population-based study. *Front. Psychiatry* 16:1572600. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2025.1572600

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhou, Liang, Sun, Fan and Qin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# In-hospital neonatal maternal separation as early-life stress and neurodevelopmental disorders: a cross-sectional and population-based study

Quan Zhou<sup>1,2</sup>, Senrui Liang<sup>1</sup>, Yanyan Sun<sup>3</sup>, Jun Fan<sup>4\*</sup> and Zaisheng Qin<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Greater Bay Area Center for Brain Science and Brain-Inspired Intelligence, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, Shenzhen University General Hospital, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China, <sup>4</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangdong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Child Health, Guangzhou, China

**Background:** Neurodevelopmental disorders are the most common psychiatric disorders in children and adolescents; however, preventing their onset and progression remains challenging. Due to ethical constraints, population-based studies investigating neonatal maternal separation (NMS) as an early-life stress in children and adolescents are scarce.

**Methods:** We analyzed data from five cycles (1999–2000 to 2007–2008) of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, focusing on participants aged 1–15 years. The participants were identified using their replies to survey interview questions. The exposure of interest was in-hospital NMS, while the primary outcome was neurodevelopmental disorders, which include attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, learning disability, and special education or early intervention services. Multifactorial weighted logistic regressions with confounder adjustment were performed for participants with available data on the exposures, confounders, and outcome.

**Results:** Overall, data from 15502 participants (mean age, 8.05 years [SE 0.06]; 7759 males [weighted, 50.99%]) were analyzed. Neurodevelopmental disorders were more common in participants with prior experience of in-hospital NMS. Multifactorial weighted logistic regression model analyses revealed a significant positive association between in-hospital NMS and the occurrence risk of neurodevelopmental disorders (adjusted OR 1.82 [95% CI 1.40–2.37], P < 0.001). The pattern of association was largely consistent across the subgroups.

**Conclusions:** In-hospital NMS as an early-life stress is associated with an increased incidence of neurodevelopmental disorders in children and adolescents. This may be a targetable risk factor for future trials to examine the long-term outcomes of newborn-mother connection interventions and to tailor preventative and treatment interventions.

#### KEYWORDS

neonatal maternal separation, neurodevelopment disorders, in-hospital, early-life stress, children and adolescents

# **1** Introduction

Neurodevelopmental disorders are complex and heterogeneous disorders characterized by developmental deficits resulting in learning, memory, attention, and social interaction impairments (1). They frequently occur concurrently and are often co-diagnosed with other physical or mental health disorders (1, 2). Neurodevelopmental disorders have a significant socio-economic impact and are the most common psychiatric and behavioral disorders in children and adolescents (2, 3).

Although several studies have shown that maternal separation, as a form of early-life stress, is linked to neurodevelopmental disorders (4), available population studies focused primarily on the causes of maternal separation, including early institutionalization, war, separation during asylum, trafficking, and abandonment when parents leave for economic or other reasons (5, 6). Neonatal maternal separation (NMS) is an important but often overlooked form of maternal separation and typically refers to the behavior of a newborn who is being separated from its mother immediately or for an early period after birth, mostly occurring in hospitals, especially in special neonatal care facilities or neonatal intensive care units (7, 8). NMS also occurs during epidemics of some infectious diseases, such as tuberculosis and COVID-19 (9-13). The period when a newborn is separated from its mother is critical for the infant's psychophysiological development and sensitivity, which can reprogram future physiology and behavior (7). Studies have shown the benefits of maintaining close contact between a mother and an infant immediately after birth (14). Other studies have shown that changes in hospital nursing care models (e.g., family integrated care and kangaroo mother care) increased the opportunities for newborns to interact with their parents (15, 16). Increasing evidence also suggests that NMS may be a risk factor for neurodevelopmental disorders due to deleterious epigenetic regulation caused by separation stress in animal models (7). However, owing to ethical concerns, few clinical studies have focused on in-hospital NMS and its long-term outcomes in children and adolescents.

To our knowledge, only one cohort, the Finnish Christmas Seal Home, which was temporarily separated from its family and placed in appropriate care facilities immediately after birth for an average of 7 months due to a family history of tuberculosis between 1945 and 1965, examined the long-term outcomes of NMS (10–13). The research team examined the effects of NMS on schizophrenia and found that separation at birth was not linked to schizophrenia or other psychiatric disorders (10). However, further analyses revealed that NMS had an impact on later criminality of the offspring, hospitalization for depression in adulthood, and substance use disorders (11–13). Currently, no cross-sectional study has used a representative national sample to investigate the effects of inhospital NMS. Consequently, there is limited knowledge regarding whether in-hospital NMS is a potential risk factor for neurodevelopmental disorders in children and adolescents.

To address this gap, we utilized data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), a prospective cohort of nationally representative samples in the United States, to examine the association between in-hospital NMS and neurodevelopmental disorders in children and adolescents, controlling for demographic variables and early life factors. We hypothesized that in-hospital NMS would be associated with a higher risk of neurodevelopmental disorders.

# 2 Methods

## 2.1 Study design and participants

NHANES used a complex, stratified, multistage probability cluster sampling and cross-sectional design to collect health and nutrition data from a representative sample of the civilian noninstitutionalized population of the United States of America. Participants are selected every 2 years since 1999 to complete an inperson, structured interview at home and to undergo standardized laboratory examinations and laboratory tests in mobile health centers. NHANES questionnaires cover several topics, including demographic characteristics, lifestyle, general health, and medical history (17). The study protocols for NHANES were approved by the National Center for Health Statistics Ethics Review Board. All adult participants provided written informed consent. The deidentified data analyzed in this study were publicly available at NHANES; therefore, the study did not require review board approval. This study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology reporting guidelines for cohort studies.

Children and adolescents <15 years of age who participated in five continuous NHANES survey cycles (1999–2000 to 2007–2008) and who had available data on in-hospital NMS and neurodevelopmental disorder questionnaires were included in the analysis. Participants for whom data on the intake of alcohol and individual foods (first day) were missing were further excluded because weights for complicated sampling designs were created using the sampling weight of the first-day dietary data according to NHANES analytical guidelines (18). Figure 1 shows the participant engagement flowchart.

## 2.2 Exposure and outcome assessment

Exposure and outcome assessment were based on responses from parents, guardians, or other adult guardians. In-hospital NMS was assumed as a positive answer to the question, "Did [Sample Person (SP) name] receive any newborn care in an intensive care unit, premature nursery, or any other type of special care facility?" Such settings often necessitate the physical separation of mothers and their newborns, especially in cases of preterm birth or common neonatal illness, limiting maternal presence and direct contact, resulting in maternal-infant separation (19–21). The primary outcome, neurodevelopmental disorders, was defined by a response of "Yes" to one of the three questions as described previously (22): "Has a representative from a school or a health professional ever told you/SP that s/he/SP had a learning disability?" "Has a doctor or health professional ever told you/SP that you/s/he/SP had attention deficit disorder?" or "Does SP receive special education or early intervention services?"

## 2.3 Covariates

The selection of confounders was based on existing studies and theoretical assumptions. All confounders included were routinely associated with NMS and neurodevelopmental disorders. Population baseline data included sex, age, body mass index (BMI), race, family income to poverty ratio (PIR), health insurance status, household education levels, household smoking status, childbearing age, birth weight, and alcohol intake. The cohort was further classified into two groups based on age:  $\leq 12$  years and 12–15 years. BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared and divided into normal (BMI  $< 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ), overweight ( $25 \text{ kg/m}^2 \leq \text{BMI} < 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ), and obesity (BMI  $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ). Race and ethnicity were categorized and recorded as Mexican American, other Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, and other races including other non-



10.3389/fpsyt.2025.1572600

Hispanic; multiple non-Hispanic races were collected because they were considered together as a confounder. Family income was classified as the PIR and categorized into three levels (< 1.30, 1.30–3.49, or  $\geq$  3.50) (23). The health insurance status of the participants was divided as insurance covered or not insurance covered according to the responses to the questionnaire on health insurance. Household education levels were categorized as lower than high school, high school, college, and college graduate. Household smoking was divided as yes or no according the questionnaire of smoking-household smokers. Information about the participants' early life included mother's age at child's birth (< 25, 25–34, and  $\geq$  35 years) and birth weight (low birth weight < 2500 g, and non-low birth weight  $\geq$  2500 g). Alcohol intake of the participants was divided as yes or no according the questionnaire on individual foods (first day).

## 2.4 Statistical analyses

Sample weights, strata, and primary sampling units were applied according to NHANES analytical guidelines (18). Weight was calculated based on the dietary day one sample weight divided by the number of cycles in the main analyses. Regarding data from the 2003–2004 to 2007–2008 cycle, dietary day one sample weight (WTDRD1) was used, and dietary day one 4-year sample weight (WTDR4YR) was used for data from the 1999–2000 to 2001– 2002 cycle.

Data were presented as unweighted frequencies (weighted percentages) for categorical variables. Differences between groups were compared using the chi-squared test for categorical variables. Covariates with missing values were imputed using the multiple imputation by chained equations method. Using neurodevelopmental disorders as the dependent variable, batch univariate weighted logistic regression models with covariates as independent variables were constructed to examine influencing factors. The Generalized Variance Inflation Factor (GVIF) was used to assess multicollinearity in regression models that include both continuous and categorical predictor variables, and values exceeding 5 or 10 were considered problematic (24). The multifactorial weighted logistic regression models were utilized to compute the adjusted odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for examining the associations between in-hospital NMS and the risk of neurodevelopmental disorders. Three models were constructed: Model 1 was a crude model that did not adjust for any covariate; Model 2 adjusted for age, sex, BMI, and race; and Model 3 adjusted for sex, age, BMI, race, PIR, household education levels, household smoking status, childbearing age, and birth weight.

We further stratified the analyses by covariates. The significance of the interactions was estimated using P-values for the interaction terms between in-hospital NMS and the stratified factors. We also conducted two sensitivity analyses. Sensitivity analysis 1: Weighted samples to reflect the target population were used, and nonweighted data were used to confirm the robustness of the weighted estimates. Sensitivity analysis 2: Imputed data help to reduce bias and to make the best use of available information; multiple interpolation was performed with the R package mice, and imputation stability was confirmed with non-imputed data.

All statistical analyses were performed from March 20 to April 26, 2024, using R software, version 4.3.0. A two-sided P < 0.05 was considered the threshold for statistical significance.

# **3** Results

## 3.1 Characteristics of the participants

Data from 15,502 participants were analyzed in this study (mean age, 8.05 years; participants age ≤12 years [weighted, 79.31%]; 7759 males [weighted, 50.99%]). Overall, 4970 (weighted, 13.33%) participants were Mexican American, 908 (weighted, 5.57%) were other Hispanic, 4372 (weighted, 60.21%) were non-Hispanic White, 4499 (weighted, 14.66%) were non-Hispanic Black, and 753 (6.24%) were categorized as other race. A total of 338 participants (weighted, 22.43%) with neurodevelopmental disorders and 1589 participants (weighted, 11.74%) who did not have neurodevelopmental disorders had experienced in-hospital NMS. Furthermore, 496 participants (weighted, 31.63%) with neurodevelopmental disorders and 2442 participants (weighted, 18.58%) without neurodevelopmental disorders had experienced family smoking. A total of 297 participants (weighted, 18.32%) with neurodevelopmental disorders had low birth weight while 1644 participants (weighted, 11.51%) without neurodevelopmental disorders had low birth weight. The baseline characteristics of the 15,502 participants are summarized according to their neurodevelopmental disorders and in-hospital NMS in Table 1.

Within the analytical subjects, the incidence rate of hospitalized NMS showed a slight upward trend (11.06–12.18%) from the 1999–2000 to 2007–2008 survey cycle, while the incidence of neurodevelopmental disorders decreased significantly (13.58% to 6.80%). Additionally, 338 of the 1927 participants (17.54%) who were exposed to in-hospital NMS had a higher rate of neurodevelopmental disorders compared to 1314 of the 13,575 participants (9.68%) who were not exposed to NMS. The incidences of in-hospital NMS and neurodevelopmental disorders sorted according to survey cycles are shown in Figure 2.

# 3.2 Association between in-hospital NMS and neurodevelopmental disorders

Univariate weighted regression analyses revealed that covariates such as sex, age, BMI, race, PIR, household education levels, household smoking status, childbearing age, and birth weight had an impact on neurodevelopmental disorders (Supplementary Table S1). In our analysis, the largest GVIF ranged from 1 to 2, indicating negligible variance inflation, confirming that the multivariate regression model was not biased by multicollinearity (Supplementary Table S2). TABLE 1 Baseline Characteristics of participants in NHANES 1999 to 2008<sup>a</sup>.

		Neurodevelop			
Variable	Total (n = 15502)	Yes (n=1652)	No (n=13850)	— P	
In hospital NMS, n (%)				<.001	
No	13575 (87.06)	1314 (77.57)	12261 (88.26)		
Yes	1927 (12.94)	338 (22.43)	1589 (11.74)		
Sex, n (%)				<.001	
Male	7759 (50.99)	1067 (66.85)	6692 (48.97)		
Female	7743 (49.01)	585 (33.15)	7158 (51.03)		
Age, n (%)				<.001	
≤12 years	11806 (79.31)	1084 (71.61)	10722 (80.29)		
>12 years	3696 (20.69)	568 (28.39)	3128 (19.71)		
BMI, n (%)				<.001	
Normal	11911 (89.69)	1291 (85.58)	10620 (90.25)		
Overweight	1172 (6.85)	171 (8.72)	1001 (6.60)		
Obesity	694 (3.46)	132 (5.70)	562 (3.15)		
Race, n (%)				<.001	
Mexican American	4970 (13.33)	387 (8.57)	4583 (13.93)		
Other Hispanic	908 (5.57)	91 (5.98)	817 (5.52)		
Non-Hispanic White	4372 (60.21)	529 (64.02)	3843 (59.72)		
Non-Hispanic Black	4499 (14.66)	582 (16.87)	3917 (14.38)		
Other Race <sup>b</sup>	753 (6.24)	63 (4.56)	690 (6.45)		
PIR, n (%)				<.001	
<1.3	6501 (33.25)	741 (41.17)	5760 (32.23)		
1.3-3.49	5140 (37.22)	559 (38.49)	4581 (37.05)		
≥3.5	2838 (29.53)	256 (20.33)	2582 (30.72)		
Health insurance, n (%)				0.112	
Not insured	2324 (11.23)	188 (9.23)	2136 (11.48)		
Insured	13053 (88.77)	1446 (90.77)	11607 (88.52)		
Household education, n (%)				<.001	
<high school<="" td=""><td>5189 (22.19)</td><td>551 (25.79)</td><td>4638 (21.73)</td><td></td></high>	5189 (22.19)	551 (25.79)	4638 (21.73)		
High School	3664 (25.06)	433 (27.87)	3231 (24.70)		
College	3773 (28.64)	424 (31.77)	3349 (28.24)		
College Graduate	2345 (24.11)	190 (14.57)	2155 (25.33)		
Household smoking, n (%)				<.001	
No	12426 (79.94)	1143 (68.37)	11283 (81.42)		
Yes	2938 (20.06)	496 (31.63)	2442 (18.58)		
Childbearing age, n (%)				<.001	
<25	6986 (38.01)	850 (47.93)	6136 (36.77)		
25-34	6900 (50.26)	622 (42.75)	6278 (51.20)		

(Continued)

Variable	Total (n = 15502)	Neurodevelopn	P	
		Yes (n=1652)	No (n=13850)	P
Childbearing age, n (%)				<.001
≥35	1490 (11.73)	140 (9.32)	1350 (12.03)	
Low birth weight, n (%)				<.001
No (≥ 2500 g)	13145 (87.74)	1284 (81.68)	11861 (88.49)	
Yes (< 2500 g)	1941 (12.26)	297 (18.32)	1644 (11.51)	
Alcohol intake, n (%)				0.535
No	14818 (95.90)	1588 (96.39)	13230 (95.84)	
Yes	596 (4.10)	64 (3.61)	532 (4.16)	

#### TABLE 1 Continued

<sup>a</sup>All estimates accounted for complex survey designs, and all percentages are weighted. <sup>b</sup>Other race and ethnicity include other non-Hispanic, and non-Hispanic multiple races.

Subsequently, we employed a multi-model, multi-factor weighted logistic regression analysis strategy to examine the correlation. In the crude model, the OR for neurodevelopmental disorders was 2.18 (95% CI, 1.75–2.71) compared with the reference group (P < 0.001). Accounting for sex, age, BMI, and race, the adjusted OR was 2.03 (95% CI, 1.61–2.56) compared with the reference group (P < 0.001). After multivariable adjustment in Model 3, there was still a significant association between inhospital NMS and neurodevelopmental disorders; the adjusted OR was 1.82 (95% CI, 1.40–2.37) compared with the reference group (P < 0.001) (Table 2).

## 3.3 Stratified and sensitivity analyses

Subgroup analyses indicated that exposure to in-hospital NMS was associated with a continuous increase in the risk of neurodevelopmental disorders in the subgroups (Figure 3). The study populations were stratified according to age (>12), BMI (overweight, obesity), race (Mexican American, other Hispanic, non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, other race), household education level (< high school, college, college graduate), and childbearing age (25–34,  $\geq$  35 years), this association was not statistically significant (P > 0.05) (Supplementary Table S3).



Incidence of in-hospital NMS and neurodevelopmental disorders. Cumulative incidence of neurodevelopmental disorders was 1927 (12.4%) for inhospital NMS, and 1652 (10.7%) for neurodevelopmental disorders.

Variable	Model 1ª		Mod	lel 2 <sup>b</sup>	Model 3 <sup>c</sup>		
variable	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value	
In-hospital NMS							
No	1.00 (Reference)		1.00 (Reference)		1.00 (Reference)		
Yes	2.18(1.75-2.71)	<0.001	2.028(1.61-2.56)	<0.001	1.82(1.40-2.37)	<0.001	

TABLE 2 Associations between in-hospital NMS and neurodevelopmental disorders in NHANES, 1999 to 2008.

<sup>a</sup>Crude model. <sup>b</sup>Adjusted for age, sex, BMI and race.

<sup>c</sup>Further adjusted for PIR, household education levels, household smoking status, childbearing age and birth weight.

Interaction analyses revealed that the interactions between each covariate and in-hospital NMS had no significant impact on the relationship between in-hospital NMS and neurodevelopmental disorders except alcohol intake (P for Interaction = 0.049). Two sensitivity analyses revealed no substantial changes in these findings (Supplementary Table S4-5).

# 4 Discussion

This is the first large-scale cross-sectional study to examine the long-term effect of in-hospital NMS on neurodevelopmental disorders in children and adolescents. Our results showed a clear downward trend in the incidence rate of neurodevelopmental disorders, while in-hospital NMS showed a slightly fluctuating increase in the 1999–2000 to 2007–2008 survey cycle. Ultimately, we found that in-hospital NMS was associated with a higher risk of neurodevelopmental disorders compared to non-NMS, after controlling for multiple confounding factors. Stratified analyses and sensitivity analyses demonstrated the stability and reliability of our results.

In contemporary society, most women choose to give birth in a hospital, regardless of whether they have a cesarean section or a natural birth, and this has significantly increased the survival rate of newborns (25). Early social experiences have a profound long-term impact on development outcomes as they occur when the brain is best able to be permanently programmed due to the exceptional brain plasticity during this period (26). Studies on maternal separation have shown that the neuropsychological status of mothers changes after the separation, and these mental changes would also affect the neurological development of the offspring (27). Studies have also shown early mother-infant contact is associated with several benefits, including promoting breastfeeding, fostering emotional attachment, improving physiological stability, reducing crying, promoting sleep, and supporting neurodevelopment (4, 28). This is the first large-scale cross-sectional study on the role of inhospital NMS on neurodevelopmental disorders in children and adolescents that used data from a nationwide scale. After adjusting for confounders, weighted logistic regression analysis revealed a significant positive correlation between in-hospital NMS and neurodevelopmental disorders, with an adjusted OR of 1.82 (95% CI 1.40–2.37, P < 0.001). Therefore, it is critical to utilize a large longitudinal cohort study to further investigate the potential

contributing factors and long-term consequences of in-hospital NMS and neurodevelopmental disorders.

In this study, we identified possible demographic and preterm neonatal influencing factors as covariates based on previous research and theoretical hypotheses combined with data collected from the NHANES database. Although some subgroup analyses showed no statistically significant differences (Supplementary Table S3), the overall risk of neurodevelopmental disorders continued to increase in all subgroups. Furthermore, interaction analyses revealed no subgroup effects for each covariate except alcohol intake. Studies have also confirmed that alcohol influences the development of the central nervous system and may lead to neurodevelopmental disorders (29). Subgroup stratification by alcohol intake may serve as a basis for screening high-risk populations or patients. Existing evidence suggests that inhospital NMS may be considered a major cause of neurodevelopmental disorders in children and adolescents. Moreover, previous evidence suggests that NMS may affect several aspects of maternal and newborn health (10-13, 27) and could serve as a comprehensive assessment indicator that is closely correlated with neurodevelopmental disorders. Although the average follow-up time in this study is 8.05 years from birth, future studies should have a longer follow-up period to facilitate further investigation of potential confounding factors in children and adolescents.

Another notable result of this study was that the incidence of inhospital NMS showed a slightly fluctuating increase, while the incidence of neurodevelopmental disorders showed a clear downward trend. Previous studies have shown that newborns in the United States are increasingly likely to be admitted to neonatal intensive care units each year, and this increases the possibility of neonatal intensive care units overuse (30, 31). Additionally, studies have shown that family-integrated care and kangaroo mother care can improve chronic physiological stress in mothers of premature infants and influence children's behaviors (15, 16). This provides a reference for improving the outcome of in-hospital NMS. Future rigorously designed prospective longitudinal cohort studies are needed to demonstrate the association between care model and in-hospital NMS outcomes in children and adolescents, not just only preterm infants. Our results may also provide certain guidelines for the treatment of in-hospital NMS and the design and care model of special neonatal care facilities, aiming to improve the long-term prognosis caused by in-hospital NMS.

Subgroup	Total	OR(95%CI)				P for	interaction
Sex						0.433	
Male	7759 (50.99)	1.895 (1.389-2.586)					
Female	7743 (49.01)	1.680 (1.101-2.564)	-				
∖ge						0.196	
≤12	11806 (79.31)	2.079 (1.544-2.800)					
>12	3696 (20.69)	1.291 (0.795-2.096)	+				
ЗМІ						0.076	
Normal	11911 (89.69)	1.979 (1.511-2.591)					
Overweight	1172 (6.85)	1.097 (0.487-2.468)	-				
Obesity	694 (3.46)	0.983 (0.207-4.676)	-+				
Race						0.094	
Mexican American	4970 (13.33)	1.116 (0.682-1.827)					
Other Hispanic	908 (5.57)	2.239 (1.181-4.246)		-			
Non-Hispanic White	4372 (60.21)	1.731 (1.170-2.561)	-	-			
Non-Hispanic Black	4499 (14.66)	1.896 (1.291-2.786)					
Other Race	753 (6.24)	3.207 (1.381-7.447)			-		
PIR						0.221	
<1.3	6501 (33.25)	1.996 (1.365-2.918)					
1.3-3.49	5140 (37.22)	2.178 (1.526-3.109)			-		
≥3.5	2838 (29.53)	1.025 (0.512-2.053)	-				
Health insurance						0.638	
Not insured	2324 (11.23)	3.061 (1.238-7.568)					
Insured	13053 (88.77)	1.742 (1.287-2.358)					
Household education						0.621	
<high school<="" td=""><td>5189 (22.19)</td><td>1.528 (0.972-2.401)</td><td>+</td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></high>	5189 (22.19)	1.528 (0.972-2.401)	+	•			
High School	3664 (25.06)	2.383 (1.408-4.034)					
College	3773 (28.64)	1.596 (1.022-2.493)	H				
College Graduate	2345 (24.11)	1.769 (0.969-3.226)	-	-	_		
Household smoking						0.106	
No	12426 (79.94)	1.759 (1.340-2.309)			_		
Yes	2938 (20.06)	1.951 (1.207-3.154)	-				
Childbearing age			-			0.150	
<25	6986 (38.01)	2.302 (1.579-3.356)					
25-34	6900 (50.26)	1.450 (0.999-2.103)					
≥35	1490 (11.73)	1.106 (0.448-2.729)	į.	-	-		
ow birth weight						0.595	
No (≥ 2500 g)	13145 (87.74)	1.759 (1.340-2.309)					
Yes (< 2500 g)	1941 (12.26)	1.951 (1.207-3.154)		-	-		
Alcohol intake						0.049	
No	14818 (95.90)	1.759 (1.340-2.309)					
Yes	596 (4.10)	1.951 (1.207-3.154)			_		

FIGURE 3

Associations of in-hospital NMS with neurodevelopmental disorder in subgroups. The model was adjusted for age, sex, BMI, race, PIR, health insurance status, household education levels, household smoking status, childbearing age, birth weight, and alcohol intake. The strata variable was not included in the adjustment when stratifying by itself.

# 4.1 Strengths and limitations

We used nationally representative data from NHANES, which allowed us to generalize our results to a broader population. Existing studies on NMS mainly focused on preschool age under 5 years of age (10, 32, 33); however, as the average follow-up period in this study was 8.05 years, it better reflected the long-term effects of in-hospital NMS in children and adolescents. The extensive follow-up period facilitated validation of both in-hospital NMS and neurodevelopmental disorders within a nationally representative sample and enabled examination of the association in children and adolescents.

This study has some limitations. First, a previous study reported that admitting preterm infants to neonatal intensive care units can

influence their neurological development (34); however, this study lacked data on potential confounders, including preexisting congenital comorbidities, surgical or pharmacological interventions (e.g., anesthesia, medication exposure), and gestational age at birth. Second, this study could not determine the duration of in-hospital NMS and excluded instances where mother-child separation occurred at a different stage of life. Third, neonatal separation data were based on self-reports, which were subject to recall bias and may consequently lead to an underestimation of its incidence. Finally, due to the extensive rate of missing data in the NHANES dataset, particularly regarding breastfeeding status and maternal smoking during pregnancy, it was not feasible to analyze these covariables, which may have introduced potential confounding factors that influenced the study outcome (35, 36). Furthermore, some potential confounders may have not been adequately accounted for, leaving residual and unidentified confounders that cannot be completely ruled out.

# 5 Conclusions

Overall, our study is the first to examine the association between in hospital NMS and the risk of neurodevelopmental disorders in children and adolescents using cross-section data from a nationally representative cohort of children and adolescents. Our findings support the hypothesis that in-hospital NMS is a risk factor for neurodevelopmental disorders in children and adolescents. Although our results are preliminary, they support the evaluation of interventions targeting in-hospital NMS, thereby changing the care model or inpatient ward design.

# Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data are publicly available at https://www.cdc. gov/nchs/nhanes/ (accessed June 18, 2025).

# **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by National Center for Health Statistics Ethics Review Board. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

# Author contributions

QZ: Formal Analysis, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft. SL: Data curation,

Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. YS: Funding acquisition, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. JF: Conceptualization, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. ZQ: Conceptualization, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Shenzhen Science and Technology Innovation Commission (grant number JCYJ20210324100206017). The funder had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

# Acknowledgments

We thank the staff and participants in the 1999–2008 NHANES for their hard work and dedication.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# **Generative AI statement**

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2025.1572600/ full#supplementary-material

# References

1. American Psychiatric Association. Neurodevelopmental Disorders: DSM-5<sup>®</sup> selections. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing. (2015).

2. Mulraney M, de Silva U, Joseph A, Sousa Fialho MDL, Dutia I, Munro N, et al. International consensus on standard outcome measures for neurodevelopmental disorders: A consensus statement. *JAMA Netw Open*. (2024) 7:e2416760. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.16760

3. Sampaio SSS, Amorim Rodrigues NA, Souza TLG, Moura JR, Azevedo IG, Lima-Alvarez CD, et al. Cognitive and motor improvement by tummy time practice in preemies from low-income settings: a randomized clinical trial. *Front Psychol.* (2024) 15:1289446. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1289446

4. de Almeida VA, da Cruz MCL, Morais NR, Rodrigues IVT, CRFd S, Morya E, et al. Simultaneous eye tracking and cerebral hemodynamic monitoring in infants: A guide for pediatric outpatient follow-up. *Brain Sci.* (2025) 15(5):469. doi: 10.3390/ brainsci15050469

5. Mooney-Leber SM, Brummelte S. Neonatal pain and reduced maternal care: Early-life stressors interacting to impact brain and behavioral development. *Neuroscience.* (2017) 342:21–36. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.05.001

6. Waddoups AB, Yoshikawa H, Strouf K. Developmental effects of parent–child separation. *Annu Rev Dev Psychol.* (2019) 1:387–410. doi: 10.1146/annurev-devpsych-121318-085142

 Császár-Nagy N, Bókkon I. Mother-newborn separation at birth in hospitals: A possible risk for neurodevelopmental disorders? *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2018) 84:337–51. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.08.013

 Morgan BE, Horn AR, Bergman NJ. Should neonates sleep alone? *Biol Psychiatry*. (2011) 70:817–25. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.06.018

9. Cimino S, Cerniglia L. Impact of maternal-newborn separation in italian women with suspected COVID-19 infection on psychopathological symptoms and quality of interactions during breastfeeding. *Psychopathology.* (2023) 56:335–41. doi: 10.1159/000528410

10. Mäki P, Veijola J, Joukamaa M, Läärä E, Hakko H, Jones PB, et al. Maternal separation at birth and schizophrenia-a long-term follow-up of the Finnish Christmas Seal Home Children. *Schizophr Res.* (2003) 60:13–9. doi: 10.1016/s0920-9964(02)00203-7

11. Veijola J, Mäki P, Joukamaa M, Läärä E, Hakko H, Isohanni M. Parental separation at birth and depression in adulthood: a long-term follow-up of the Finnish Christmas Seal Home Children. *Psychol Med.* (2004) 34:357–62. doi: 10.1017/S003291703008407

12. Mäki P, Hakko H, Joukamaa M, Läärä E, Isohanni M, Veijola J. Parental separation at birth and criminal behaviour in adulthood-a long-term follow-up of the Finnish Christmas Seal Home children. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* (2003) 38:354–9. doi: 10.1007/s00127-003-0642-3

13. Veijola J, Läärä E, Joukamaa M, Isohanni M, Hakko H, Haapea M, et al. Temporary parental separation at birth and substance use disorder in adulthood. A long-term follow-up of the Finnish Christmas Seal Home Children. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* (2008) 43:11–7. doi: 10.1007/s00127-007-0268-y

14. Ionio C, Ciuffo G, Landoni M. Parent-infant skin-to-skin contact and stress regulation: A systematic review of the literature. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2021) 18(9):4695. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18094695

15. Foster J, Pathrose SP, Briguglio L, Trajkovski S, Lowe P, Muirhead R, et al. Scoping review of systematic reviews of nursing interventions in a neonatal intensive care unit or special care nursery. *J Clin Nurs.* (2024) 33(6):2123–2137. doi: 10.1111/jocn.17053

16. Arya S, Naburi H, Kawaza K, Newton S, Anyabolu CH, Bergman N, et al. Immediate "Kangaroo mother care" and survival of infants with low birth weight. *New Engl J Med.* (2021) 384:2028–38. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2026486

17. Prevention CfDCa. About the national health and nutrition examination survey. Available online at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/about/index.html (Accessed June 18, 2025).

18. Johnson CL, Paulose-Ram R, Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kruszon-Moran D, Dohrmann SM, et al. National health and nutrition examination survey: analytic guidelines, 1999-2010. *Vital Health Stat 2*. (2013) 161):1–24.

19. van Veenendaal NR, van der Schoor SRD, Broekman BFP, de Groof F, van Laerhoven H, van den Heuvel MEN, et al. Association of a family integrated care model with paternal mental health outcomes during neonatal hospitalization. *JAMA Netw Open.* (2022) 5:e2144720. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.44720

20. Tubbs-Cooley HL, Mara CA, Carle AC, Mark BA, Pickler RH. Association of nurse workload with missed nursing care in the neonatal intensive care unit. *JAMA Pediatr.* (2019) 173:44–51. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2018.3619

21. van Veenendaal NR, van Kempen A, Broekman BFP, de Groof F, van Laerhoven H, van den Heuvel MEN, et al. Association of a zero-separation neonatal care model with stress in mothers of preterm infants. *JAMA Netw Open.* (2022) 5:e224514. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.4514

22. Ciesielski T, Weuve J, Bellinger DC, Schwartz J, Lanphear B, Wright RO. Cadmium exposure and neurodevelopmental outcomes in U. S children Environ Health Perspect. (2012) 120:758–63. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1104152

23. Vilar-Gomez E, Nephew LD, Vuppalanchi R, Gawrieh S, Mladenovic A, Pike F, et al. High-quality diet, physical activity, and college education are associated with low risk of NAFLD among the US population. *Hepatology*. (2021) 75:1491–506. doi: 10.1002/hep.32207

24. Chatterjee S, Hadi AS. Regression analysis by example. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons. (2015).

25. Barros FC, Victora CG, Barros AJ, Santos IS, Albernaz E, Matijasevich A, et al. The challenge of reducing neonatal mortality in middle-income countries: findings from three Brazilian birth cohorts in 1982, 1993, and 2004. *Lancet.* (2005) 365:847–54. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)71042-4

26. Knudsen EI. Sensitive periods in the development of the brain and behavior. J Cognit Neurosci. (2004) 16:1412–25. doi: 10.1162/0898929042304796

27. Cook N, Ayers S, Horsch A. Maternal posttraumatic stress disorder during the perinatal period and child outcomes: A systematic review. J Affect Disord. (2018) 225:18–31. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2017.07.045

28. Peahl AF, Howell JD. The evolution of prenatal care delivery guidelines in the United States. *Am J obstetrics gynecol.* (2021) 224:339-47. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2020.12.016

29. Luderer M, Ramos Quiroga JA, Faraone SV, Zhang James Y, Reif A. Alcohol use disorders and ADHD. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2021) 128:648-60. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2021.07.010

30. Zehra Valencia AS, Martin K. NICU admissions and spending increased slightly from 2017-2021–2023. (2024). Available online at: https://healthcostinstitute.org/hcci-originals-dropdown/all-hcci-reports/nicu-use-and-spending-1 (Accessed June 18, 2025).

31. Harrison W, Goodman D. Epidemiologic trends in neonatal intensive care, 2007-2012. JAMA Pediatrics. (2015) 169(9):855-62. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics. 2015.1305

32. Anglin DM, Cohen PR, Chen H. Duration of early maternal separation and prediction of schizotypal symptoms from early adolescence to midlife. *Schizophr Res.* (2008) 103:143–50. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2008.02.016

33. Crawford TN, Cohen PR, Chen H, Anglin DM, Ehrensaft M. Early maternal separation and the trajectory of borderline personality disorder symptoms. *Dev Psychopathol.* (2009) 21:1013–30. doi: 10.1017/S0954579409000546

34. Chiorean A, Savoy C, Beattie K, el Helou S, Silmi M, Van Lieshout RJ. Childhood and adolescent mental health of NICU graduates: an observational study. *Arch Dis Childhood*. (2020) 105:684–9. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2019-318284

35. Chen CN, Lin YC, Ho SR, Fu CM, Chou AK, Yang YH. Association of exclusive breastfeeding with asthma risk among preschool children: an analysis of national health and nutrition examination survey data, 1999 to 2014. *Nutrients*. (2022) 14(20):4250. doi: 10.3390/nu14204250

36. Correa ML, da Silva BGC, Wehrmeister FC, Horta BL, Goncalves H, Barros F, et al. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and children's mental health at age 22 years: Results of a birth cohort study. *J Affect Disord.* (2022) 300:203–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.12.125