Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ernest Marek Tyburski, Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin, Poland

REVIEWED BY Mario R. Louzã, University of São Paulo, Brazil Pasquale Pezzella, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Lander Madaria Image: Madariamarijuan@osakidetza.eus

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share senior authorship

RECEIVED 09 March 2025 ACCEPTED 02 June 2025 PUBLISHED 01 July 2025 CORRECTED 08 August 2025

CITATION

Madaria L, Aymerich C, Pedruzo B, Salazar de Pablo G, Alonso-Alconada D, Fusar-Poli P, Gonzalez-Torres MÁ and Catalan A (2025) Allostatic load index across the psychosis spectrum: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Psychiatry* 16:1590547. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2025.1590547

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Madaria, Aymerich, Pedruzo, Salazar de Pablo, Alonso-Alconada, Fusar-Poli, Gonzalez-Torres and Catalan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Allostatic load index across the psychosis spectrum: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Lander Madaria^{1,2,3*}, Claudia Aymerich^{1,4,5}, Borja Pedruzo^{1,2,3,4}, Gonzalo Salazar de Pablo^{5,6,7}, Daniel Alonso-Alconada⁸, Paolo Fusar-Poli^{9,10,11}, Miguel Ángel Gonzalez-Torres^{1,2,3,4†} and Ana Catalan^{1,2,3,4,5†}

¹Psychiatry Department, Basurto University Hospital., Bilbao, Spain, ²Biobizkaia Health Research Institute, Organización Sanitaria Integrada (OSI) Bilbao-Basurto, Bilbao, Spain, ³Neuroscience Department, University of the Basque Country, UPV/EHU, Leioa, Spain, ⁴Centro de Investigación en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Madrid, Spain, ⁵Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience (IoPPN), King's College London, London, United Kingdom, ⁶Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services, South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom, ⁷Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry and Mental Health, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense, IiSGM, CIBERSAM, Madrid, Spain, ⁸Department of Cell Biology and Histology, School of Medicine and Nursing, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Leioa, Spain, ⁹Early Psychosis – Interventions and Clinical-detection (EPIC) Lab, Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, United Kingdom, ¹⁰Department of Brain and Behavioral Sciences, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy. Outreach and Support in South-London (OASIS) Service, South London and Maudsley (SLaM) NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom, ¹¹Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Hospital, Ludwig-Maximilian-University (LMU), Munich, Germany

Background: Individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia spectrum disorders experience significantly higher morbidity and mortality rates than the general population, with evidence of multisystemic alterations. The concept of allostatic load (AL) provides a framework for understanding the cumulative physiological burden imposed by chronic stress. This burden is quantified using the AL index, which integrates multiple biomarkers to assess the impact of prolonged stress on various physiological systems. This review aims to measure the difference in the AL index between individuals with psychosis and the general population, as well as to evaluate the methods used to assess AL in this population.

Methods: A PRISMA/MOOSE-compliant systematic search was conducted in the Web of Science, PubMed, BIOSIS, KCI-Korean Journal Database, MEDLINE, Russian Science Citation Index, SciELO, and Cochrane Central Register databases from inception to January 28th, 2025. Studies reporting on the AL index of individuals with psychosis or clinical high risk of psychosis (CHR-P) compared to healthy controls (HC) were included. We used random effects meta-analysis to evaluate: (1) differences between patients with a chronic schizophrenia spectrum disorder (C-SSD) or first-episode psychosis (FEP), compared to healthy controls (HC); (2) differences between patients with C-SSD and FEP. We conducted quality assessment, heterogeneity, publication bias, and meta-regression analyses (PROSPERO: CRD 42024579704).

Results: From 922 citations, five studies were included (N=669), showing a higher AL in individuals with psychosis (C-SSD, k=3; g= 1.3315; 95% CI: 0.9679– 1.6951; FEP, k=4; g = 0.5464; 95% CI, 0.0698 to 1.0230) compared to HC. The AL index was also higher in patients with C-SSD compared to FEP (k=3; g = 0.8196;

95% CI, 0.2977 to 1.3415). No CHR-P data were found for analysis. Different methods for computing the AL index were observed.

Conclusion: Allostatic load seems higher in individuals with psychosis compared to the general population, with chronic conditions exhibiting higher allostatic load than the early stages of the disorder. However future research is needed to consolidate these emerging trends.

KEYWORDS

allostatic load, psychosis, schizophrenia spectrum disorders, first-episode psychosis, allostatic load index

1 Introduction

The lifetime prevalence of psychotic disorders is estimated to be over 3% (1), resulting in a substantial economic, social (2) and subjective burden (3). Schizophrenia, once primarily regarded as a disorder of central nervous system dysfunction, has increasingly been investigated from a multisystemic perspective in recent years (4). Emerging evidence suggests that alterations in antipsychoticnaïve, first-episode psychosis (FEP) individuals, extend across multiple systems, including metabolic (5), neuroendocrine (6), and immunological alterations (7, 8). Additionally, individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia tend to have poorer dietary habits (9, 10), lead more sedentary lifestyles (11) and exhibit higher smoking rates (12). The well-established association between antipsychotic treatment and its metabolic side effects further exacerbates the decline in overall health (13, 14). Consequently, this population experiences worse physical health (15) and reduced life expectancy compared to the general population (16), with this gap steadily increasing over time (17).

In this context, the role of stress as a mediating factor in psychosis, along with its physiological effects, has gathered increasing attention (18-20). Certain extreme psychosocial stressors, such as childhood traumatic events, have been linked to psychotic disorders in adulthood (21, 22). Additionally, greater exposure to psychosocial stress, emotional abuse, and perceived discrimination has been shown to significantly heighten the risk of transition to psychosis in individuals at clinical high risk for psychosis (CHR-P) (18). Various models have been proposed to investigate the relationship between stress and psychosis, including the adaptive calibration model (23), the reactive scope model and the neural diathesis-stress model (24). More recently, the concept of allostatic load (AL) has been suggested as a useful paradigm, as it provides a measurable construct-the AL index-which encompasses multiple biological markers altered by chronic stress (25).

AL, first defined by McEwen and Stellar (26) in 1993, is a concept that explains the physiological consequences caused when an organism's adaptive responses to stressors become maladaptive,

also defined as the "wear and tear" exacted on the organism by chronic stress (27).

To quantify AL, a set of stress-associated biomarkers that undergo sustained alterations over time has been employed (28). However, a recent research indicates that, there is still no consensus on the specific components required for the formulation of the AL index (29). Most studies employ metabolic, cardiovascular, neuroendocrine, and immunological parameters, as these tend to undergo lasting changes following chronic stress exposure (30).

The most used methodology for calculating the AL index involves distributing each parameter's values into quartiles and assigns a score of 1 to those parameters that fall into the quartile closest to altered values. Thus, parameters such as blood pressure would receive a point if they are in the upper quartile, while parameters like high density lipoprotein (HDL) or dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) values in the lower quartile would also score 1 point (31).

However, the reference values used for this classification have varied across studies. Some studies have utilized healthy controls from their own sample (32), while others have relied on predefined standard reference values (30). In cases where a control group was not available, the only existing cohort within the study has been used as the reference (31).

Regarding the calculation of the AL index, some authors have suggested summing all the assigned points (30), whereas others advocate for grouping the parameters by categories, such as the neuroendocrine or metabolic system, calculating an average for each group, and balancing the weight of each system in the final sum (33).

The concept of AL has been linked to an increased prevalence of diseases and a higher risk of mortality in old age (34, 35). In mental health, it has been also studied as a mediator between traumatic experiences and depressive symptoms (36), as well as being associated with anxiety, depression, and suicidal symptoms (37). It is also a factor related to functioning and hyperreactivity in bipolar disorder (38). When it comes to psychosis, research on AL is rapidly expanding, showing higher levels in individuals with psychosis and being associated with higher severity of positive and depressives symptoms and lower overall functioning (39).

To date, the evidence on AL in psychosis relies exclusively on independent observational studies, without any integrated data analysis among them. Furthermore, findings are often inconclusive due to small sample sizes and the heterogeneity of the included samples. Our aim is to study the association of AL at different stages of psychotic disorders compared with the general population, and to assess with the moderating effect of variables such as sex, age, symptom severity and study quality. Furthermore, we seek to evaluate the consistency of the methods used to measure AL index across studies analyzing this parameter within the psychosis spectrum.

2 Methods

This study was registered in PROSPERO (CRD42024579704). This systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted according to the PRISMA 2020 (Supplementary Table S1) (40) and the MOOSE checklists (41) (Supplementary Table S2), following the EQUATOR Reporting Guidelines (42).

2.1 Search strategy and selection criteria

A systematic search strategy was used to identify relevant articles, and two-step literature search was implemented by two independent researchers (LM, CA). The Web of Science database (Clarivate Analytics) was searched, incorporating the Web of Science Core Collection, BIOSIS Citation Index, KCI-Korean Journal Database, MEDLINE, Russian Science Citation Index, and SciELO Citation Index, as well as the Cochrane Central Register of Reviews and Ovid/PsycINFO databases. The search was conducted in English from inception until January 28, 2025. The following search terms were applied: ((ultra-high risk OR clinical high risk OR BLIPS OR prodrom* AND psychosis OR psychotic) OR schizophren* OR psychosis OR psychot*) AND (allosta* OR alosta*). Articles identified through this search were screened at the abstract level. After excluding those that did not meet the inclusion criteria, the full texts of the remaining articles were assessed for eligibility, and decisions were made regarding their inclusion in the review.

The PICOS framework was used to define eligibility criteria, focusing on observational studies comparing allostatic load between healthy controls, individuals with FEP, and those with chronic schizophrenia spectrum disorders (C-SSD).

Thus, inclusion criteria were the following: (1) individual studies presenting original data; (2) reporting on a sample of patients meeting criteria for clinical high-risk (CHR-P) defined according to established psychometric instruments (e.g., CAARMS (43) or SIPS (44)), FEP (defined as patients presenting with psychosis under 5 years from onset), or a schizophrenia spectrum disorder, according to ICD (45) or DSM (46) criteria; (3) including a HC comparison group; and (4) providing quantitative data on the AL index, as defined by the study authors. No language restrictions

were applied. Exclusion criteria were (1) studies focusing on patients with affective psychotic disorders, (2) studies lacking a control group, and (3) overlapping samples. Overlap was assessed by analyzing the studies inclusion dates, the type of population studied, and the country where the research was conducted. In case of overlapping, we chose either the largest sample or the study that includes the most subgroups among those considered.

2.2 Outcome measures and data extraction

Two researchers (LM and CA) independently extracted data from all included studies into the database, that was later crosschecked. When there were doubts about whether to include an article or how to extract its relevant information, a senior researcher (AC) made the final decision. The summary of included variables comprised the following information: first author and year of publication, site, recruiting period and follow-ups, study design, sample size, sample type (C-SSD, FEP or CHR-P), diagnostic criteria, age (mean and standard deviation [SD]), sex, education, allostatic load index in each group, AL index definition and components, clinical outcomes (measured by Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) (47)), quality assessment (according to the Newcastle Otawa scale (NOS), see below (48)), and key findings. In accordance with the inclusion criteria of the original studies, we used the term C-SSD to refer to individuals with chronic conditions within the schizophrenia spectrum. The main outcome, AL index, was extracted as defined by the authors. To reduce the amount of missing data, we utilized WebPlotDigitizer version 5.2 (49) to extract information available solely from figures (50).

2.3 Strategy for data analysis

Anticipating high heterogeneity among studies, random-effects meta-analyses were performed (51). Heterogeneity was evaluated using the Q statistic, and the proportion of variability attributable to heterogeneity was quantified with the I² index (52). Potential publication bias was assessed through visual inspection of funnel plots and statistical testing using Egger's test (53).

First, the standardized mean difference (Hedges' g) was calculated for each study using the reported means and standard deviations. Then, Hedges' g values from the different studies were pooled in a meta-analysis for each of the available comparisons: FEP vs HC, C-SSD vs HC, FEP vs C-SSD, and C-SSD (including both FEP and chronic schizophrenia samples) vs HC.

Despite of the limited number of studies, we conducted metaregression analyses to estimate the association between AL index and outcomes to estimate the association between the AL index and the (1) mean age, (2) sex (% females), (3) psychosis severity (using the PANSS scale (47)), and (4) quality of the study (total NOS score). In order to evaluate the consistency of the AL index measurement criteria across the five analyzed studies, a frequency analysis of each employed biomarker was conducted. Based on the total number of stars awarded, studies are classified as good, fair, or poor quality.

2.4 Risk of bias (quality) assessment

For study appraisal, we used the NOS (48), which assesses study quality across three domains: selection, comparability, and outcome. The selection domain includes four categories evaluating sample representativeness, sample size, non-response rate, and exposure ascertainment, with a maximum of five stars. The comparability domain assesses control for confounding factors, allowing up to two stars. The outcome domain consists of two categories evaluating outcome measurement and the appropriateness of statistical tests, with a maximum of three stars.

3 Results

The literature search yielded 922 citations, which were screened; 15 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. After excluding those not meeting the inclusion criteria, 5 studies were included (54–58), reporting on 4 independent cohorts (Figure 1).

As shown in Table 1, four studies provided data on individuals diagnosed with psychosis (54–57), three on individuals with C-SSD (54–56), and four on individuals with FEP (54–56, 58). Only one of the studies found included data on CHR-P individuals (59), but it was excluded from the analysis due to the lack of a control group.



Author & year	Nos	Patients		Controls		Sample	Diagnosis method	
		n (M/F)	Age (mean <u>+</u> SD)	n (M/F)	Age (mean <u>+</u> SD)	туре		
Piotrowski2019 (55)	9	CHRONIC 25 (14/ 11) FEP 42 (21/21)	CHRONIC 48,8 ± 13,8 FEP 27,7 ± 7,3	42 (16/26)	27,8 ± 8,4	FEP, SCZ-AR, FHR-P	OPCRIT	
Berger2018 (54)	8	CHRONIC 28 (19/9) FEP 28 (15/13)	CHRONIC 40,07 ± 10,12 FEP 32,96 ± 11,49	53 (36/17)	36,34 ± 11,49	FEP, SCZ	SCID-IV	
Savranski2018 (56)	9	CHRONIC 37 (25/ 12) FEP 21 (16/5)	CHRONIC 43,35 ± 12,91 FEP 23,41 ± 4,34	34 (20/14)	35,26 ± 14,03	FEP, SCZ	SCID-IV and V (More than 5 years since onset)	
Zhou2021 (57)	9	PSYCHOSIS 167 (93/74)	CHRONIC 37,59 ± 13,78	72 (36/36)	39,25 ± 12,01	SCZ	SCID-IV	
Zhou2020 (58)	9	FEP 79 (38/41)	FEP 27,2 ± 7,6	41 (21/20)	29,8 ± 6,4	FEP	SCID-IV (First episode schizophrenia within 2 weeks of treatment)	
Total		427 (241/186) CHRONIC 257 (151/106) FEP 170 (90/80)	34,11 ± 12,96 CHRONIC 39,78 ± 13,12 FEP 27,80 ± 7,98	242 (129/113)	34,45 ± 11,05			

TABLE 1 characteristics of included studies.

FEP, first-episode psychosis; FHR-P, individuals at familial high risk of psychosis; OPCRIT, the Operational Criteria for Psychotic Illness Checklist[87] [87]; SCID, the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV or V[45]; SCZ, schizophrenia; SCZ-AR, schizophrenia acute relapse;

The overall database comprised 549 individuals, with 348 diagnosed with psychosis (41.67% women, 36.8 ± 12.5 years). 90 were diagnosed with C-SSD (35.56%% women, 43.84 ± 12.82 years), 170 with FEP (47.06% women, 27.8 ± 7.98 years), and 242 were HC (46.69% women, 34.45 ± 11.05 years).

3.1 AL index in subjects with psychosis vs HC

When comparing AL index between individuals with psychosis with healthy controls samples, the analysis revealed a significantly higher AL index in the psychosis group (Figure 2a) (k=4; g = 0.8882; 95% CI: 0.6722–1.1043). A similar pattern was observed when comparing individuals with C-SSD to the HC group (Figure 2b), with a markedly higher AL index (k=3; g = 1.3315; 95% CI: 0.9679–1.6951). Likewise, in the FEP group (Figure 2c), AL index was significantly elevated compared to HC (k=4; g = 0.5464; 95% CI: 0.0698–1.0230).

Furthermore, a statistically significant difference was found when comparing the C-SSD group to the FEP group (Figure 3), indicating a higher AL index in chronic patients (k=3; g = 0.8196; 95% CI: 0.2977-1.3415).

3.2 AL index measurement system

To evaluate the consistency of the AL index measurement criteria across the five meta-analyzed studies, the frequency of use of each biomarker was recorded and distributed (Figure 4) as follows: 8 biomarkers (cortisol, C-reactive protein [CRP], systolic blood

pressure [SBP], diastolic blood pressure [DBP], body mass index [BMI], waist-to-hip ratio [WHR], total cholesterol [Total C], and high-density lipoprotein [HDL]) were used in all five studies. 5 biomarkers (dehydroepiandrosterone [DHEA], urinary epinephrine [Urine E], urinary norepinephrine [Urine NE], heart rate [HR], and glycated hemoglobin [HbA1c]) were reported in four studies. 4 biomarkers (low-density lipoprotein [LDL], triglycerides [TG], glucose, and insulin) were reported in two studies, and finally, 8 biomarkers (copeptin, fibrinogen, albumin, interleukin-6 receptor [IL6R], E-selectin, Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha [TNF- α], creatine kinase [CK], and extracellular newly identified RAGE-binding protein [enRAGE]) were only reported in one study.

Some biomarkers, such as DHEA, were measured differently, with one study opting to analyze its sulfated form (55). Others, like cortisol, were obtained from different biological samples depending on the study, while epinephrine and norepinephrine were measured through their metabolites, metanephrine and normetanephrine, respectively, in one study (54).

As shown in Table 2, when calculating the AL index, some biomarkers were grouped differently depending on the study methodology. While all studies use the reference control group biomarker values to calculate risk quartiles, only two of them calculate sex specific cut-offs (54, 55).

3.3 Metaregressions

The meta-regression analyses conducted for age, sex, and study quality did not find statistically significant differences (p>0.05). Similarly, no significant associations were identified when exploring



the potential relationship between AL index and symptom severity through meta-regression analyses for the PANSS-N, PANSS-P, and PANSS-G scales (47), in individuals diagnosed with C-SSD, FEP, or in the general psychosis analysis. Detailed information is available in Supplementary Table S4.

3.4 Heterogeneity and publication bias assessment

Heterogeneity varied across comparisons, ranging from 0.0% to 36.6%. Moderate heterogeneity was observed in the C-SSD vs. control group ($I^2 = 59.4\%$, Q = 7.39, p = 0.0606) and in the FEP vs. control group ($I^2 = 36.6\%$, Q = 4,73, p = 0.1928) while the FEP vs. C-SSD comparison showed no significant heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0.0\%$,

Q = 1.16, p = 0.5585) as well as psychosis vs. control group ($I^2 = 0.0\%$, Q = 1.58, p = 0.6647). Publication bias was not identified through visual inspection of funnel plots (Supplementary figure S1) for neither of the studied comparisons, and no statistical tests for small-study effects were conducted due to the limited number of studies in each comparison.

4 Discussion

To the best of the authors' knowledge, this is the first metaanalysis to examine whether individuals with psychosis exhibit significant differences in terms of AL compared with HC. Our study indicates that the AL index is higher in individuals with psychosis spectrum diagnosis compared to healthy controls.

Study	Total	Mean	C-SSD SD	Total	Mean	FEP SD	Standardised Mean Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Berger2018	28	4.91	1.8900	28	3.80	1.6600	<u></u>	0.62	[0.08; 1.15]	33.7%
Piotrowski2019	25	3.17	1.2400	42	2.20	1.1200		0.82	[0.31; 1.34]	36.5%
Savranski2018	37	6.14	2.8200	21	3.39	2.1200		1.05	[0.48; 1.62]	29.8%
Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, τ^2 :	90 = 0, ρ =	= 0.56		91				0.82	[0.30; 1.34]	100.0%
GURE 3 omparison of AL index between	C-SSD	and FE	EP group	S.						

Furthermore, individuals with chronic schizophrenia exhibit higher AL index than those in early stages of the illness. This supports the theory that AL reflects multisystem deterioration driven by chronic stress (26). However, these findings are based on a small dataset and require further consolidation.

There are several potential explanations for these findings. First, individuals with chronic conditions tend to be older, and aging itself is a factor associated with an increased AL (60). Also, individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia spectrum disorders often face worse social determinants of health (61), which are linked to elevated



FIGURE 4

Frequency of biomarkers included in AL scores across all 5 studies retained for the systematic review. BMI, body mass index; CK, creatinine kinase; CRP, C-reactive protein; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DHEA, dehydroepiandrosterone; enRAGE, extracellular newly identified RAGE-binding protein; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin; HDL, high-density lipoproteins; HR, hearth rate; IL6R, interleukin 6 receptor; LDL, low-density lipoproteins; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; TNF-a, tumor necrosis factor-a; UriEph, urine epinephrine; UriNEph, urine norepinephrine; WHR, waist-to-hip ratio.

Madaria et al.

Autohr & year	Biomarkers and categories	Computation	Range
Piotrowski2019 (55)	CV: SBP, DBP ANT: BMI, WHR INFL: hsCRP, fibrinogen, albumin GLU: glucose, insulin LIP: TC, LDL, HDL, TG STEROIDS: cortisol, DHEA-S	Based on the sample's distribution of biomarker values. Divided by categories: Sex specific cut-off calculated	0 to 6
Berger2018 (54)	CV: SBP, DBP, HR, CK NE: cortisol, copeptine, metanephrine, normetanephrine INM: TNF-a, IL6R, CRP, eselectin MET: BMI, WHR, insulin, glucose, HbA1c, enRAGE, TG, TC, LDL, HDL,	Based on the sample's distribution of biomarker values. Divided by categories: Sex specific cut-off calculated	0 to 4
Savranski2018 (56)	CV: SBP, DBP HR MET: BMI, WHR, HDL, TC, HbA1c INF: CRP Stress: UrEph, UrNeph, Ucor, DHEA	Based on the sample's distribution of biomarker values. Not divided by categories: Not sex specific cut-off calculated	0 to 13
Zhou2021 (57)	CV: SBP, DBP, HR; MET: BMI, WHR, HDL,TC,HbA1c; INF: hCRP; NE: UriEph, UriNEph, UriCor, DHEA	Based on the sample's distribution of biomarker values. Not divided by categories: Not sex specific cut-off calculated	0 to 13
Zhou2020 (58)	CV: SBP, DBP, HR; MET: BMI, WHR, HDL,TC,HbA1c; INF: hCRP; NE: UriEph, UriNEph, UriCor, DHEA	Based on the sample's distribution of biomarker values. Not divided by categories: Not sex specific cut-off calculated	0 to 13

ANT, anthropometric; BMI, body mass index; CK, creatinine kinase; CRP, C-reactive protein; hsCRP, high sensitive C-reactive protein; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; CV, cardiovascular; DHEA, dehydroepiandrosterone; DHEA-S, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate; enRAGE, extracellular newly identified RAGE-binding protein; GLU, glucose metabolism; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin; HDL, high-density lipoproteins; HR, hearth rate; IL6R, interleukin 6 receptor; INF, inflammatory; LDL, low-density lipoproteins; LIP, lipid metabolism; MET, metabolic; NE, neuroendocrine; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; TNF-a, tumor necrosis factor-a; UriEph, urine epinephrine; UriNEph, urine norepinephrine; UriCor, urine cortisol; WHR, waist-to-hip ratio.

allostatic load (62), along with a high prevalence of unhealthy lifestyle factors (63, 64). Another important aspect to consider is the relationship between AL biomarkers and metabolic syndrome. Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, HDL, triglycerides, and waist circumference comprise the values that determine metabolic syndrome (65), and, as previously stated (30), they are used in the computation of the AL index. On the other hand, it is well known that pharmacological treatment itself significantly alters these parameters (13, 66), although a higher prevalence has also been observed among drug-naïve individuals (67). It has been also observed that key stress-related biomarkers, such as cortisol (6), norepinephrine (68), and DHEA (69), as well as cytokines (70) and C-reactive protein (71), show individual alterations in psychosis. These findings support the allostatic load (AL) measurements, as they reflect the same physiological dysregulation associated with chronic stress in this population.

The role of psychosocial stress associated with a psychosis diagnosis should not be overlooked. The social stigma, associated with individuals with mental disorders (72), could be considered another determining factor for an increased AL. Notably, similar results have been observed in other groups facing social disadvantages, including individuals from racially and ethnically diverse backgrounds (73) and members of minority communities (74).

Alternatively, the psychotic symptoms themselves should be regarded as a stressor. This study did not find a statistically significant relationship between a higher AL index and greater symptom severity in psychosis, probably due to very limited statistical power. However, the work of Piotrowsky et al. (55) reported such a relationship. Moreover, other included studies (54, 56–58) have reported a correlation between a higher AL index and positive symptom subscales. Additionally, Berger et al. (54) examined AL index during acute psychotic episodes and after the initiation of treatment, observing a reduction in AL following psychopathological stabilization. This finding is consistent with studies linking elevated AL to worse problem-solving coping strategies and increased depressive symptoms (75, 76). However, some studies did not find a significant relationship between AL and self-appraisal of stress (55, 76). As a physiological marker, AL differs from perceived stress, which reflects a subjective interpretation of emotional tension. This distinction suggests that physiological and perceived stress may involve different underlying mechanisms.

It is also important to note that early life stress play a significant role in the genesis of the psychotic disorder (21, 22). This relates with AL, both in general population (77) and individuals with psychosis connecting an elevated AL index and events such as sexual abuse and parental antipathy (78). In our review, one study, excluded from the quantitative analysis, examined the AL index in individuals with CHR-P (59), found a correlation with poorer social and occupational functioning, as well as mania symptomatology. Although other studies have reported altered stress-related biomarker levels in this population (20, 79), it is important to note that, to date, no study has compared the AL index of CHR-P individuals with a control group. On the other hand, other studie (55) evaluated the AL index in relatives of patients with schizophrenia, finding that they exhibit a higher AL than HC and a similar level to individuals with FEP.

Neuroanatomical studies have also demonstrated a relationship between elevated AL and structural changes, including alterations of the fornix connectivity (80), reduction in prefrontal cortex thickness (81) and elongation of the choroid plexuses (58). AL has been associated with reduced brain plasticity (82), which, in turn, has been linked to impaired global cognition and executive function, with no significant impact on memory (83). The association between the brain plasticity and the cognition has been previously documented in the literature (84) and this may also explain the observed association between AL and greater cognitive impairment in psychosis (57, 85).

Nevertheless, considerable heterogeneity exists in both the biomarkers used to determine the AL index and the methods for its computation. While all analyzed studies align with Juster et al.'s (86) definition of the "Group Allostatic Load Index" when using reference control values, differences arise in category selection, such as sex adjustments, a variation noted in other studies (38). Despite similar findings across computational approaches (33), a recent metaanalysis suggests that an AL index including C-reactive protein, resting heart rate, HDL, waist-to-hip ratio, and glycosylated hemoglobin may better predict adverse health outcomes (28), though its exclusion of HPA-axis markers weakens its connection to stress as a causal factor. Integrating the AL index is crucial, as it can serve as a prognostic tool in clinical practice and promote a multisystemic approach to psychosis, applicable from early detection to chronic stages and even preventive strategies. Future integration into clinical frameworks will require validation of its sensitivity, specificity, and utility in real-world settings.

It is essential to move toward the development of a unified allostatic load model, supported by a standardized and consensual computational procedure that enables its application in broad, homogeneous, and methodologically robust analyses. Implementing this model from a transdiagnostic perspective would allow for a deeper understanding of the symptoms and phenomena most closely associated with allostatic load in diverse populations, thereby enhancing our comprehension of its underlying mechanisms and clinical relevance. Moreover, this approach would also facilitate a better understanding of the relationship between allostatic load and potential confounding variables, such as substance use, antipsychotic medication, sex, or other relevant factors.

This study must be interpreted within its limitations. One of the main limitations of the study is the small sample size, with only five studies included, comprising four different cohorts. This has made it impossible to assess the relationship between AL and relevant aspects such as antipsychotic medications, tobacco and alcohol use, or other illicit substances. On the other hand, the previously mentioned variability in the computation of the AL index represents a limitation; however, we sought to address this by focusing on relative differences in allostatic load across groups. Additionally, another aspect to consider is that, in one of the studies (54), the FEP group consists predominantly of drug-naive patients,

representing a sample that is slightly different from those studied in the other works, which, having initiated pharmacological treatment, could have some of the biomarkers used in the AL index altered.

5 Conclusions

Given the impact of stress, as measured by the AL index, across the psychosis spectrum, it emerges as a valuable tool for both clinical practice and research. It can aid in identifying prognostic factors associated with cardio-metabolic comorbidities and premature mortality while also serving as a potential biomarker to differentiate individuals with varying levels of stress exposure or as a mediator of structural deterioration observed in imaging studies. This meta-analysis is the first to evaluate allostatic load in individuals with schizophrenia spectrum disorders, revealing a clear increase in AL index among individuals with psychosis compared to healthy controls, with those in chronic stages exhibiting even higher levels than those experiencing a first episode. However, due to the limited number of studies, further research is needed to establish a relationship between AL index and symptom severity. Additionally, developing a standardized methodology for measuring AL index is crucial to ensuring more consistent findings, ultimately strengthening the evidence on the mechanisms linking stress and psychosis.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

LM: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. CA: Writing – review & editing. BP: Writing – review & editing. GP: Writing – review & editing. DA-A: Writing – review & editing. PF-P: Writing – review & editing. MG-T: Writing – review & editing. AC: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. PFP is supported by #NEXTGENERATIONEU (NGEU), funded by the Ministry of University and Research (MUR), National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), project MNESYS (PE0000006) – A multiscale integrated approach to the study of the nervous system in health and disease (DN. 1553 11.10.2022). This research received funding from the Biobizkaia Health Research Institute – OSI Bilbao Basurto Research Commission for publication fees.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Correction note

A correction has been made to this article. Details can be found at: 10.3389/fpsyt.2025.1673042.

Generative Al statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2025.1590547/ full#supplementary-material

References

1. Perälä J, Suvisaari J, Saarni SI, Kuoppasalmi K, Isometsä E, Pirkola S, et al. Lifetime prevalence of psychotic and bipolar I disorders in a general population. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. (2007) 64:19. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.64.1.19

2. Solmi M, Seitidis G, Mavridis D, Correll CU, Dragioti E, Guimond S, et al. Incidence, prevalence, and global burden of schizophrenia - data, with critical appraisal, from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) 2019. *Mol Psychiatry*. (2023) 28:5319–27. doi: 10.1038/s41380-023-02138-4

3. Fusar-Poli P, Estradé A, Stanghellini G, Venables J, Onwumere J, Messas G, et al. The lived experience of psychosis: a bottom-up review co-written by experts by experience and academics. *World Psychiatry*. (2022) 21:168–88. doi: 10.1002/wps.2095

4. Pillinger T, D'Ambrosio E, McCutcheon R, Howes OD. Is psychosis a multisystem disorder? A meta-review of central nervous system, immune, cardiometabolic, and endocrine alterations in first-episode psychosis and perspective on potential models. *Mol Psychiatry*. (2019) 24:776–94. doi: 10.1038/s41380-018-0058-9

 Greenhalgh AM, Gonzalez-Blanco L, Garcia-Rizo C, Fernandez-Egea E, Miller B, Arroyo MB, et al. Meta-analysis of glucose tolerance, insulin, and insulin resistance in antipsychotic-naïve patients with nonaffective psychosis. *Schizophr Res.* (2017) 179:57– 63. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2016.09.026

 Aymerich C, Pedruzo B, Pacho M, Laborda M, Herrero J, Pillinger T, et al. Prolactin and morning cortisol concentrations in antipsychotic naïve first episode psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (2023) 150:106049. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2023.106049

7. Fraguas D, Díaz-Caneja CM, Ayora M, Hernández-Álvarez F, Rodríguez-Quiroga A, Recio S, et al. Oxidative stress and inflammation in first-episode psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Schizophr Bulletin.* (2019) 45:742–51. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sby125

8. Pillinger T, Osimo EF, Brugger S, Mondelli V, McCutcheon RA, Howes OD. A meta-analysis of immune parameters, variability, and assessment of modal distribution in psychosis and test of the immune subgroup hypothesis. *Schizophr Bulletin.* (2019) 45:1120–33. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sby160

9. Teasdale SB, Ward PB, Samaras K, Firth J, Stubbs B, Tripodi E, et al. Dietary intake of people with severe mental illness: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*. (2019) 214:251–9. doi: 10.1192/bjp.2019.20

10. Aucoin M, Lachance L, Cooley K, Kidd S. Diet and psychosis: A scoping review. *Neuropsychobiology*. (2020) 79:20–42. doi: 10.1159/000493399

11. Ratliff JC, Palmese LB, Reutenauer EL, Liskov E, Grilo CM, Tek C. The effect of dietary and physical activity pattern on metabolic profile in individuals with schizophrenia: a cross-sectional study. *Compr Psychiatry.* (2012) 53:1028–33. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2012.02.003

12. Sagud M, Mihaljevic Peles A, Pivac N. Smoking in schizophrenia: recent findings about an old problem. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. (2019) 32. https://journals.lww.com/co-psychiatry/fulltext/2019/09000/smoking_in_schizophrenia:recent_findings_about_an. 7.aspx.

13. Pillinger T, McCutcheon RA, Vano L, Mizuno Y, Arumuham A, Hindley G, et al. Comparative effects of 18 antipsychotics on metabolic function in patients with schizophrenia, predictors of metabolic dysregulation, and association with psychopathology: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry.* (2020) 7:64–77. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30416-X

14. Tek C, Kucukgoncu S, Guloksuz S, Woods SW, Srihari VH, Annamalai A. Antipsychotic-induced weight gain in first-episode psychosis patients: a meta-analysis of differential effects of antipsychotic medications: Weight gain in FEP patients. *Early Intervention Psychiatry.* (2016) 10:193–202. doi: 10.1111/eip.2016.10.issue-3

15. De Hert M, Correll CU, Bobes J, Cetkovich-Bakmas M, Cohen D, Asai I, et al. Physical illness in patients with severe mental disorders. I. Prevalence, impact of medications and disparities in health care. *World Psychiatry.* (2011) 10:52–77. doi: 10.1002/j.2051-5545.2011.tb00014.x

16. Dickerson F, Origoni A, Schroeder J, Adamos M, Katsafanas E, Khushalani S, et al. Natural cause mortality in persons with serious mental illness. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* (2018) 137:371–9. doi: 10.1111/acps.2018.137.issue-5

17. Hayes JF, Marston L, Walters K, King MB, Osborn DPJ. Mortality gap for people with bipolar disorder and schizophrenia: UK-based cohort study 2000-2014. Br J Psychiatry. (2017) 211:175–81. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.117.202606

 Georgiades A, Almuqrin A, Rubinic P, Mouhitzadeh K, Tognin S, Mechelli A. Psychosocial stress, interpersonal sensitivity, and social withdrawal in clinical high risk for psychosis: a systematic review. *Schizophr.* (2023) 9:38. doi: 10.1038/s41537-023-00362-z

19. Paetzold I, Myin-Germeys I, Schick A, Nelson B, Velthorst E, Schirmbeck F, et al. Stress reactivity as a putative mechanism linking childhood trauma with clinical outcomes in individuals at ultra-high-risk for psychosis: Findings from the EU-GEI High Risk Study. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci.* (2021) 30:e40. doi: 10.1017/S2045796021000251

20. Labad J, Stojanovic-Pérez A, Montalvo I, Solé M, Cabezas Á, Ortega L, et al. Stress biomarkers as predictors of transition to psychosis in at-risk mental states: Roles for cortisol, prolactin and albumin. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2015) 60:163–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2014.10.011

21. Varese F, Smeets F, Drukker M, Lieverse R, Lataster T, Viechtbauer W, et al. Childhood adversities increase the risk of psychosis: A meta-analysis of patient-control, prospective- and cross-sectional cohort studies. *Schizophr Bulletin.* (2012) 38:661–71. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbs050

22. Pastore A, De Girolamo G, Tafuri S, Tomasicchio A, Margari F. Traumatic experiences in childhood and adolescence: a meta-analysis of prospective studies assessing risk for psychosis. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. (2022) 31:215–28. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01574-9

23. Del Giudice M, Ellis BJ, Shirtcliff EA. The Adaptive Calibration Model of stress responsivity. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2011) 35:1562-92. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.11.007

24. Walker EF, Diforio D. Schizophrenia: a neural diathesis-stress model. Psychol Rev. (1997) 104:667–85. doi: 10.1037/0033-295X.104.4.667

25. Misiak B, Frydecka D, Zawadzki M, Krefft M, Kiejna A. Refining and integrating schizophrenia pathophysiology – Relevance of the allostatic load concept. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2014) 45:183–201. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.06.004

26. McEwen BS, Stellar E. Stress and the individual. Mechanisms leading to disease. Arch Intern Med. (1993) 153:2093–101. doi: 10.1001/archinte.1993.00410180039004

27. Beckie TM. A systematic review of allostatic load, health, and health disparities. *Biol Res For Nurs.* (2012) 14:311–46. doi: 10.1177/1099800412455688 28. Seeman TE. Price of adaptation—Allostatic load and its health consequences: macArthur studies of successful aging. *Arch Intern Med.* (1997) 157:2259. doi: 10.1001/archinte.1997.00440400111013

29. McCrory C, McLoughlin S, Layte R, NiCheallaigh C, O'Halloran AM, Barros H, et al. Towards a consensus definition of allostatic load: a multi-cohort, multi-system, multibiomarker individual participant data (IPD) meta-analysis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (2023) 153:106117. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2023.106117

30. Bizik G, Picard M, Nijjar R, Tourjman V, McEwen BS, Lupien SJ, et al. Allostatic load as a tool for monitoring physiological dysregulations and comorbidities in patients with severe mental illnesses. *Harvard Rev Psychiatry*. (2013) 21:296–313. doi: 10.1097/HRP.000000000000012

31. Seeman TE, McEwen BS, Rowe JW, Singer BH. Allostatic load as a marker of cumulative biological risk: MacArthur studies of successful aging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2001) 98:4770–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.081072698

32. Nugent KL, Chiappelli J, Rowland LM, Hong LE. Cumulative stress pathophysiology in schizophrenia as indexed by allostatic load. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (2015) 60:120–9. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.06.009

33. Chen E, Miller GE, Lachman ME, Gruenewald TL, Seeman TE. Protective factors for adults from low-childhood socioeconomic circumstances: the benefits of shift-andpersist for allostatic load. *Psychosomatic Med.* (2012) 74:178–86. doi: 10.1097/ PSY.0b013e31824206fd

34. Parker HW, Abreu AM, Sullivan MC, Vadiveloo MK. Allostatic load and mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Prev Med.* (2022) 63:131–40. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2022.02.003

35. Polick CS, Harris-Gersten ML, Dennis PA, Noonan D, Hastings SN, Calhoun PS, et al. Allostatic load, morbidity, and mortality among older adults: A multi-wave analysis from the national health and aging trends study. *J Appl Gerontol.* (2024) 43:1052–9. doi: 10.1177/07334648241230010

36. O'Shields J, Mowbray O, Patel D. Allostatic load as a mediator of childhood maltreatment and adulthood depressive symptoms: A longitudinal analysis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (2022) 143:105839. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2022.105839

37. Gou Y, Cheng S, Kang M, Zhou R, Liu C, Hui J, et al. Association of allostatic load with depression, anxiety, and suicide: A prospective cohort study. *Biol Psychiatry*. (2024), S000632232401655X. doi: 1016/j.biopsych.2024.09.026

38. Dargél AA, Volant S, Brietzke E, Etain B, Olié E, Azorin JM, et al. Allostatic load, emotional hyper-reactivity, and functioning in individuals with bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord*. (2020) 22:711–21. doi: 10.1111/bdi.12927

39. Misiak B. Stress, allostatic load, and psychosis: one step forward in research but where to go next? *Front Psychiatry*. (2020) 10:937. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00937

40. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PloS Med.* (2009) 6: e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097

41. Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. *JAMA*. (2000) 283:2008–12. doi: 10.1001/jama.283.15.2008

42. Altman DG, Simera I, Hoey J, Moher D, Schulz K. EQUATOR: reporting guidelines for health research. *Lancet*. (2008) 371:1149–50. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60505-X

43. Yung AR, Yung AR, Pan Yuen H, Mcgorry PD, Phillips LJ, Kelly D, et al. Mapping the onset of psychosis: the comprehensive assessment of at-risk mental states. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. (2005) 39:964–71. doi: 10.1080/j.1440-1614.2005.01714.x

44. Fusar-Poli P, Cappucciati M, Rutigliano G, Lee TY, Beverly Q, Bonoldi I, et al. Towards a Standard Psychometric Diagnostic Interview for Subjects at Ultra High Risk of Psychosis: CAARMS versus SIPS. *Psychiatry J.* (2016) 2016:1–11. doi: 10.1155/2016/7146341

45. World Health Organization. ICD-11: international classification of diseases (11th revision) (2022). Available online at: https://icd.who.int/en.

46. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders [Internet]. DSM-5-TR.* Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association Publishing (2022). doi: 10.1176/appi.books.9780890425787

47. Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. The positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull.* (1987) 13:261–76. doi: 10.1093/schbul/13.2.261

48. Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, et al. *The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses.* Ottawa, Canada: Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Clinical Epidemiology Program (2000).

49. Rohatgi A. WebPlotDigitizer. Version 5.2 (2025). Available online at: https://automeris.io/.

50. Cramond F, O'Mara-Eves A, Doran-Constant L, Rice A, Macleod M, Thomas J. The development and evaluation of an online application to assist in the extraction of data from graphs for use in systematic reviews [version 3; peer review: 3 approved. *Wellcome Open Res.* (2019) 3. https://wellcomeopenresearch.org/articles/3-157/v3.

51. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Controlled Clin Trials.* (1986) 7:177–88. doi: 10.1016/0197-2456(86)90046-2

52. Lipsey MW, Wilson DB. *Practical meta-analysis*. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: SAGE Publications (2001). Available online at: https://books.google.es/books?id=G-PnRSMxdIoC.

53. Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*. (1997) 315:629–34. doi: 10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629

54. Berger M, Juster RP, Westphal S, Amminger GP, Bogerts B, Schiltz K, et al. Allostatic load is associated with psychotic symptoms and decreases with antipsychotic treatment in patients with schizophrenia and first-episode psychosis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (2018) 90:35–42. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018.02.001

55. Piotrowski P, Kotowicz K, Rymaszewska J, Beszłej JA, Plichta P, Samochowiec J, et al. Allostatic load index and its clinical correlates at various stages of psychosis. *Schizophr Res.* (2019) 210:73–80. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2019.06.009

56. Savransky A, Chiappelli J, Fisseha F, Wisner KM, Xiaoming D, Mirmomen SM, et al. Elevated allostatic load early in the course of schizophrenia. *Transl Psychiatry.* (2018) 8:246. doi: 10.1038/s41398-018-0299-z

57. Zhou Y, Huang J, Zhang P, Tong J, Fan F, Gou M, et al. Allostatic load effects on cortical and cognitive deficits in essentially normotensive, normoweight patients with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bulletin*. (2021) 47:1048–57. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbaa196

58. Zhou YF, Huang JC, Zhang P, Fan FM, Chen S, Fan HZ, et al. Choroid plexus enlargement and allostatic load in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bulletin*. (2020) 46:722–31. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbz100

59. Berger M, Lavoie S, McGorry PD, Nelson B, Markulev C, Yuen HP, et al. Relationship between allostatic load and clinical outcomes in youth at ultra-high risk for psychosis in the NEURAPRO study. *Schizophr Res.* (2020) 226:38–43. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2018.10.002

60. Kallen V, Tahir M, Bedard A, Bongers B, Van Riel N, Van Meeteren N. Aging and allostasis: using bayesian network analytics to explore and evaluate allostatic markers in the context of aging. *Diagnostics.* (2021) 11:157. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics11020157

 Jester DJ, Thomas ML, Sturm ET, Harvey PD, Keshavan M, Davis BJ, et al. Review of major social determinants of health in schizophrenia-spectrum psychotic disorders: I. Clin Outcomes Schizophr Bulletin. (2023) 49:837–50. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbad023

62. McEwen CA. Connecting the biology of stress, allostatic load and epigenetics to social structures and processes. *Neurobiol Stress.* (2022) 17:100426. doi: 10.1016/j.ynstr.2022.100426

63. Yuan D, Wang M, Bu S, Mu T, Li Y. Associations of socioeconomic factors and unhealthy lifestyles with allostatic load: A meta-analysis. *IntJ Behav Med.* (2024) 31:772–86. doi: 10.1007/s12529-023-10235-5

64. Henderson DC, Vincenzi B, Andrea NV, Ulloa M, Copeland PM. Pathophysiological mechanisms of increased cardiometabolic risk in people with schizophrenia and other severe mental illnesses. *Lancet Psychiatry*. (2015) 2:452–64. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(15)00115-7

65. Grundy SM, Becker D, Clark LT, Cooper RS, Denke MA, Howard WJ, et al. "Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) Final Report (2002)." in *Circulation*. (Philadelphia, PA, USA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins) (2002). 106(25):3143–421.

66. Vancampfort D, Stubbs B, Mitchell AJ, De Hert M, Wampers M, Ward PB, et al. Risk of metabolic syndrome and its components in people with schizophrenia and related psychotic disorders, bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World Psychiatry*. (2015) 14:339–47. doi: 10.1002/wps.20252

67. Garrido-Torres N, Rocha-Gonzalez I, Alameda L, Rodriguez-Gangoso A, Vilches A, Canal-Rivero M, et al. Metabolic syndrome in antipsychotic-naïve patients with first-episode psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *psychol Med.* (2021) 51:2307–20. doi: 10.1017/S0033291721002853

68. Van Venrooij JAEM, Fluitman SBAHA, Lijmer JG, Kavelaars A, Heijnen CJ, Westenberg HGM, et al. Impaired neuroendocrine and immune response to acute stress in medication-naive patients with a first episode of psychosis. *Schizophr Bulletin.* (2012) 38:272–9. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbq062

69. Misiak B, Frydecka D, Loska O, Moustafa AA, Samochowiec J, Kasznia J, et al. Testosterone, DHEA and DHEA-S in patients with schizophrenia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (2018) 89:92–102. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018.01.007

70. Miller BJ, Buckley P, Seabolt W, Mellor A, Kirkpatrick B. Meta-analysis of cytokine alterations in schizophrenia: clinical status and antipsychotic effects. *Biol Psychiatry*. (2011) 70:663–71. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.04.013

71. Fernandes BS, Steiner J, Bernstein HG, Dodd S, Pasco JA, Dean OM, et al. C-reactive protein is increased in schizophrenia but is not altered by antipsychotics: metaanalysis and implications. *Mol Psychiatry*. (2016) 21:554–64. doi: 10.1038/mp.2015.87

72. Corrigan PW. Lessons learned from unintended consequences about erasing the stigma of mental illness. World Psychiatry febrero . (2016) 15:67–73. doi: 10.1002/wps.20295

73. Duru OK, Harawa NT, Kermah D, Norris KC. Allostatic load burden and racial disparities in mortality. *J Natl Med Assoc.* (2012) 104:89–95. doi: 10.1016/S0027-9684 (15)30120-6

74. DuBois LZ, Juster RP. Lived experience and allostatic load among transmasculine people living in the United States. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (2022) 143:105849. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2022.105849

75. Misiak B, Kotowicz K, Loska O, Stramecki F, Beszłej JA, Samochowiec J, et al. Decreased use of active coping styles contributes to elevated allostatic load index in first-episode psychosis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (2018) 96:166–72. doi: 10.1016/ j.psyneuen.2018.06.021

76. Zhou Y, Wang L, Yang K, Huang J, Li Y, Li W, et al. Correlation of allostatic load and perceived stress with clinical features in first-episode schizophrenia. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2024) 172:156–63. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2024.02.025

77. Danese A, McEwen BS. Adverse childhood experiences, allostasis, allostatic load, and age-related disease. *Physiol Behavior*. (2012) 106:29–39. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.08.019

78. Piotrowski P, Frydecka D, Kotowicz K, Stańczykiewicz B, Samochowiec J, Szczygieł K, et al. A history of childhood trauma and allostatic load in patients with psychotic disorders with respect to stress coping strategies. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (2020) 115:104645. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2020.104645

79. Chaumette B, Kebir O, Mam-Lam-Fook C, Morvan Y, Bourgin J, Godsil BP, et al. Salivary cortisol in early psychosis: New findings and meta-analysis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (2016) 63:262–70. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.10.007

80. Savransky A, Chiappelli J, Rowland LM, Wisner K, Shukla DK, Kochunov P, et al. Fornix structural connectivity and allostatic load: empirical evidence from schizophrenia patients and healthy controls. *Psychosom Med.* (2017) 79:770–6. doi: 10.1097/PSY.00000000000487

81. Chiappelli J, Kochunov P, Savransky A, Fisseha F, Wisner K, Du X, et al. Allostatic load and reduced cortical thickness in schizophrenia. *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* (2017) 77:105–11. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.11.021

82. McEwen BS, Gianaros PJ. Stress- and allostasis-induced brain plasticity. Annu Rev Med. (2011) 62:431–45. doi: 10.1146/annurev-med-052209-100430

83. D'Amico D, Amestoy ME, Fiocco AJ. The association between allostatic load and cognitive function: A systematic and meta-analytic review. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (2020) 121:104849. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2020.104849

84. Greenwood PM, Parasuraman R. Neuronal and cognitive plasticity: A neurocognitive framework for ameliorating cognitive aging. *Front Ag Neurosci.* (2010) 2:150/abstract. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2010.00150/abstract

85. Misiak B, Kotowicz K, Loska O, Stramecki F, Beszłej JA, Samochowiec J, et al. Elevated allostatic load index is associated with working memory deficits in first-episode psychosis. *Schizophr Res.* (2019) 204:439-41. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2018.09.003

86. Juster RP, McEwen BS, Lupien SJ. Allostatic load biomarkers of chronic stress and impact on health and cognition. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2010) 35:2–16. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.10.002