

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Antoine Bechara, University of Southern California, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE
Vicki A. Nejtek

vicki.nejtek@unthsc.edu

RECEIVED 14 August 2025
ACCEPTED 19 August 2025
PUBLISHED 08 September 2025

CITATION

Nejtek VA and Salvatore MF (2025) Editorial: Translational research advancements utilizing the lowa Gambling Task in preclinical and clinical studies: 30 years of the IGT. Front. Psychiatry 16:1686127. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2025.1686127

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Nejtek and Salvatore. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Translational research advancements utilizing the Iowa Gambling Task in preclinical and clinical studies: 30 years of the IGT

Vicki A. Nejtek^{1,2*} and Michael F. Salvatore^{1,2}

¹College of Biomedical and Translational Sciences, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, TX, United States, ²The Parkinson Discovery Institute, Fort Worth, TX, United States

KEYWORDS

translational, Iowa Gambling Task, IGT, evidence-based data, cross-species, pre-clinical

Editorial on the Research Topic

Translational research advancements utilizing the Iowa Gambling Task in preclinical and clinical studies: 30 years of the IGT

Merging outcomes from rodent and human studies is critical to identify underlying mechanisms of behavior in health and disease. Critical elements needed in experimental design to optimize translation of animal study results have been identified and proposed (1, 2). However, there remains an insufficient level of awareness of this perspective that has generated unwarranted skepticism that hinders necessary evolutionary growth of translational research. This special 30th Anniversary Research Topic of the Iowa Gambling Task (IGT) features original studies and comprehensive reviews that rigorously challenge perceptions that cross-species translational research has limited reproducibility or generalizability to clinical populations. Since Bechara's seminal 1994 publication (3), the IGT has provided crucial insights on brain networks involved in cognitive processing (4–7) influencing decision-making (4, 8), revealing those at-risk for poor health trajectories in addiction (9–13), impulsivity (14), psychiatric illnesses (13–15) and neurodegenerative disease (16, 17).

Rodent studies, built upon translatable experimental designs, lend themselves well to elucidate neurobiological mechanisms (16, 18), and the rodent IGT (rGT) represents such an advancement for insight into mechanisms of decision-making in humans (19–21). Preclinical data from rGT studies must be recognized as the missing link to reveal therapeutic drug or gene targets that, in this case, will improve strategic decision-making in diseases where executive function is vulnerable (9–13, 15, 16, 22). Such cross-species translational studies provide critical evidence-based data needed to improve our ability to identify individuals at-risk for severe pathology or disability.

Cross-species translational research has shed light on the influence of human realities of aging, biological sex, psychological stress, and neurobiological perturbations on cognitive function. Singh et al. provide an unprecedented wealth of comprehensive data from rat (N

Nejtek and Salvatore 10.3389/fpsyt.2025.1686127

= 170) and human studies (N = 722), illustrating many strong correlations between species and similar differences. For instance, in contrast to men, women make better decisions when there are fewer risks and punishments. The underlying neurobiological mechanisms of these biological sex-related and stress-induced differences are being identified with rGT paradigms. Their review elegantly aligns the preclinical and clinical paradigms and results, defines variables of cross-species alignment in behavioral patterns, and highlights relevant neurocognitive brain areas driving these variables.

Pratt and Morris recommend three significant factors to align neurocognitive processes between humans and rodents, thereby ensuring cross-species face, construct, and predictive validity: (1) the rGT paradigm should interrogate the same neural circuitry of humans, (2) the neurocognitive domain evaluated is comparable in both species, and (3) the behavioral constructs (i.e. stress, impulsivity, etc.) elicited between species align. This incisive review chronicles the earliest and most recently refined rGT protocol to generate translational data that satisfy the rules of face, construct, and predictive validity. Finally, they discuss how genetic and neural circuitry manipulation, environment, and age affect the rodent's decision-making process that maps neatly onto human cognitive processes in psychiatric illnesses.

Rehn et al. focus on gene and environmental interactions impacting decision-making by investigating polymorphisms in genes that regulate monoamines, a serotonin transporter gene and monoamine oxidase A. Those carrying the short (S) allele in serotonin transporter gene and MAOA confers less transcription efficiency, decreasing serotonin reuptake and monoamine metabolism, which increases risk of impulsivity, gambling, and response inhibition. They evaluated the impact a negative vs. positive parenting environment had on IGT performance in Sallele carriers (age 18 - 22). Individuals living with negative parenting had lower IGT scores, whereas individuals living with positive parenting had the highest IGT scores, despite both groups carrying the same S-alleles. These differences were restricted to males, adding to the evidence of sex-based differences in decisionmaking. This study makes a compelling case for gene-environment interaction and impact on decision-making capabilities, setting the stage for strategic mechanistic preclinical research.

Salice et al. scoping review evaluates 7 studies on transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) impact on IGT performance in healthy individuals and those with clinical conditions. As tDCS evaluates active brain pathways during IGT performance, they summarize evidence that dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) are active in decision-making and compare differences between decisions involving risks versus those that are ambiguous (unknown options for risks). They conclude that tDCS enhances IGT performance in healthy adults and in patients with 3 different conditions that affect decision-making, including Parkinson's disease. Stimulating DLPFC enhances goal-directed decision-making that involves risk assessment, whereas OFC could be targeted to improve feedback learning to inhibit disadvantageous choices. Thus, IGT-based therapies targeting DLPFC and/or OFC may improve decision-making associated

with medication compliance vs. non-compliance in individuals with neurological or psychiatric disorders (16).

Individual differences in focusing attention, efficient working memory, and IQ take center-stage in Orm et al. In schizophrenia spectrum disorders, researchers reported IQ and executive function affected IGT performance, with lower IQ leading to disadvantageous decision-making. Going forward, they conclude low-IQ individuals with schizophrenia can benefit from interventions to mitigate the impact of impaired decision-making. They proposed that new cognitive assessments should be developed, because cortical networks are compromised in schizophrenia, thereby confounding IGT data interpretation. Similarly, variability in rat training time with the rGT has been speculated as a confound to rGT data interpretation. However, Lindberg et al. exquisitely addressed this long-standing question by scrutinizing training effects on learning speed and found time-to-train did not affect decision-making strategies or behavioral profiles. Their results are consistent with outcomes reported in a double-blind randomized clinical intervention trial, wherein despite monthly testing, learning the IGT strategy rarely occurred in subjects with bipolar disorder, comorbid with stimulant dependence (13).

Latibeaudière et al. provides comprehensive insight concerning IGT's ability to assess the variability of anticipation, choices, and feedback that either enhances or degrades learning processes involved in decision-making. Here, event-related potentials (ERPs) obtained during the IGT reveal unique neural activation associated with novel decision-making that can characterize distinct neuropathologies such as Multiple Sclerosis, Borderline Personality Disorder, and Parkinson's disease (PD). Doshier et al. reported in PD patients that poor IGT performance coincided with poorer performance on cognitive tests of executive function. Currently, PD is diagnosed after motor impairment, at which time major neuron loss has already occurred. Thus, detecting PD at the earliest premotor stage is critical. As impaired cognitive function can occur 10 years prior to diagnosis (22), the IGT could serve as a first line diagnostic tool to identify PD before motor impairment, giving clinicians a chance to forestall disease progression with preventative therapies.

In summary, evidence clearly shows that the IGT and rGT are invaluable in numerous neurological and psychiatric disorders to acquire evidence, and the mechanistic basis thereof, of impaired cognitive functions leading to non-strategic decision-making. As impaired executive function is comorbid with several neurological and psychiatric conditions, the IGT is invaluable for detecting current or eventual impairments. With the rGT, the mechanistic basis for these deficiencies can be revealed, thus giving avenues to improve decision-making and thwart disease progression in vulnerable individuals.

Author contributions

VN: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MS: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Conceptualization.

Neitek and Salvatore 10.3389/fpsyt.2025.1686127

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- 1. McGonigle P, Ruggeri B. Animal models of human disease: Challenges in enabling translation. *Biochem Pharmacol.* (2014) 87:162–71. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2013.08.006
- Denayer T, Stöhr T, Van Roy M. Animal models in translational medicine: validation and prediction. New Horizons Trans Med. (2014) 2:5–11. doi: 10.1016/j.nhtm.2014.08.001
- 3. Bechara A, Damasio AR, Damasio H, Anderson SW. Insensitivity to future consequences following damage to human prefrontal cortex. *Cognition*. (1994) 50:7–15. doi: 10.1016/0010-0277(94)90018-3
- 4. Bechara A, Damasio H, Tranel D, Damasio AR. Deciding advantageously before knowing the advantageous strategy. *Science*. (1997) 275:1293–5. doi: 10.1126/science.275.5304.1293
- 5. Anderson SW, Bechara A, Damasio H, Tranel D, Damasio AR. Impairment of social and moral behaviour related to early damage in human prefrontal cortex. *Nat Neurosci.* (1999) 2:1032–7. doi: 10.1038/14833
- 6. Bechara A, Damasio H, Damasio AR, Lee GP. Different contributions of the human amygdala and ventromedial prefrontal cortex to decision-making. *J Neurosci.* (1999) 19:5473–81. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.19-13-05473.1999
- 7. Bechara A, Damasio H, Damasio AR. Emotion, decision making and the orbitofrontal cortex. *Cereb Cortex*. (2000) 10:295–307. doi: 10.1093/cercor/10.3.295
- 8. Bechara A, Tranel D, Damasio H. Characterization of the decision-making deficit of patients with ventromedial prefrontal cortex lesions. *Brain*. (2000) 123:2189–202. doi: 10.1093/brain/123.11.2189
- 9. Bechara A, Damasio H. Decision-making and addiction (Part I): Impaired activation of somatic states in substance dependent individuals when pondering decisions with negative future consequences. *Neuropsychologia*. (2000) 40:1675–89. doi: 10.1016/S0028-3932(02)00015-5
- $10.\,$ Bechara A, Dolan S, Hindes A. Decision-making and addiction (Part II): myopia for the future or hypersensitivity to reward? Neuropsychologia. (2002) 40:1690–705. doi: 10.1016/S0028-3932(02)00016-7
- 11. Bechara A, Dolan S, Denburg N, Hindes A, Anderson SW, Nathan PE. Decision-making deficits, linked to a dysfunctional ventromedial prefrontal cortex, revealed in alcohol and stimulant abusers. *Neuropsychologia*. (2001) 39:376–89. doi: 10.1016/S0028-3932(00)00136-6
- Bechara A, Martin E. Impaired decision-making related to working memory deficits in substance addicts. *Neuropsychology*. (2004) 18:152–62. doi: 10.1037/0894-4105.18.1.152

- 13. Nejtek VA, Kaiser KA, Zhang B, Djokovic M. Iowa Gambling Task scores predict future drug use in bipolar disorder outpatients with stimulant dependence. *Psychiatry Res.* (2013) 210:871–9. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2013.08.021
- 14. Elliott MV, Johnson SL, Pearlstein JG, Muñoz Lopez DE, Keren H. Emotion-related impulsivity and risky decision-making: A systematic review and meta-regression. *Clin Psychol Rev.* (2023) 100:102232. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2022.102232
- 15. Betz LT, Brambilla P, Ilankovic A, Premkumar P, Kim M-S, Raffard S, et al. Deciphering reward-based decision-making in schizophrenia: A meta-analysis and behavioral modeling of the Iowa Gambling Task. *Schizophr Res.* (2019) 204):7–15. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2018.09.009
- 16. Salvatore MF, Soto I, Alphonso H, Cunningham R, James R, Nejtek VA. Is there a neurobiological rationale for the utility of the iowa gambling task in parkinson's disease? *J Parkinson's Dis.* (2021) 11:405–19. doi: 10.3233/JPD-202449
- 17. Singh V, Chaudhary K, Kumaran SS, Chandra S, Tripathi M. Functional cerebral specialization and decision making in the Iowa gambling task: A single-case study of left-hemispheric atrophy and hemispherotomy. *Front Psychol.* (2020) 11:725. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00725
- 18. Salvatore MF, Soto I, Kasanga EA, James R, Shifflet MK, Doshier K, et al. Establishing equivalent aerobic exercise parameters between early-stage parkinson's disease and pink1 knockout rats. *J Parkinson's disease*. (2022) 12:1897–915. doi: 10.3233/JPD-223157
- 19. Hultman C, Tjernström N, Vadlin S, Rehn M, Nilsson KW, Roman E, et al. Exploring decision-making strategies in the Iowa gambling task and rat gambling task. Front Behav Neurosci. (2022) 16:964348. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2022.964348
- 20. Barrus MM, Winstanley CA. Dopamine D3 receptors modulate the ability of win-paired cues to increase risky choice in a rat gambling task. *J Neurosci.* (2016) 36:785–94. doi: 10.1523/INEUROSCI.2225-15.2016
- 21. Bozorgmehr A, Alizadeh F, Sadeghi B, Shahbazi A, Ofogh SN, Joghataei MT, et al. Oxytocin moderates risky decision-making during the Iowa Gambling Task: A new insight based on the role of oxytocin receptor gene polymorphisms and interventional cognitive study. *Neurosci Lett.* (2019) 708):134328. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2019.134328
- 22. Durcan R, Wiblin L, Lawson RA, Khoo TK, Yarnall AJ, Duncan GW, et al. Prevalence and duration of non-motor symptoms in prodromal Parkinson's disease. *Eur J Neurol.* (2019) 26:979–85. doi: 10.1111/ene.13919