



Exploration of Functional Connectivity During Preferred Music Stimulation in Patients with Disorders of Consciousness

Lizette Heine^{1,2*†}, Maïté Castro^{2†}, Charlotte Martial¹, Barbara Tillmann², Steven Laureys^{1†} and Fabien Perrin^{2†}

¹ Coma Science Group, GIGA & Cyclotron Research Center and Neurology Department, University and University Hospital of Liège, Liège, Belgium, ² Auditory Cognition and Psychoacoustics Team – Lyon Neuroscience Research Center (UCBL, CNRS, UMR 5292, INSERM U1028), Lyon, France

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Heather Berlin, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, USA

Reviewed by:

Julien Dubois, California Institute of Technology, USA Luis Lemus, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico

> *Correspondence: Lizette Heine Iheine@ulg.ac.be

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Consciousness Research, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 03 June 2015 Accepted: 22 October 2015 Published: 09 November 2015

Citation:

Heine L, Castro M, Martial C, Tillmann B, Laureys S and Perrin F (2015) Exploration of Functional Connectivity During Preferred Music Stimulation in Patients with Disorders of Consciousness. Front. Psychol. 6:1704. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01704 Preferred music is a highly emotional and salient stimulus, which has previously been shown to increase the probability of auditory cognitive event-related responses in patients with disorders of consciousness (DOC). To further investigate whether and how music modifies the functional connectivity of the brain in DOC, five patients were assessed with both a classical functional connectivity scan (control condition), and a scan while they were exposed to their preferred music (music condition). Seed-based functional connectivity (left or right primary auditory cortex), and mean network connectivity of three networks linked to conscious sound perception were assessed. The auditory network showed stronger functional connectivity with the left precentral gyrus and the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex during music as compared to the control condition. Furthermore, functional connectivity of the external network was enhanced during the music condition in the temporo-parietal junction. Although caution should be taken due to small sample size, these results suggest that preferred music exposure might have effects on patients auditory network (implied in rhythm and music perception) and on cerebral regions linked to autobiographical memory.

Keywords: music, disorders of consciousness, fMRI, functional connectivity, auditory network, external network

INTRODUCTION

Patients with disorders of consciousness (DOC) are a patient population that is very difficult to assess. Following coma, these patients can be in an unresponsive wakefulness syndrome (UWS) where behavior is reflexive, and awareness of the self and surrounding is absent (The Multi-Society Task Force of Pvs, 1994; Laureys et al., 2010), or in a minimally conscious state (MCS) where behaviors indicating awareness are limited, fluctuating but reproducible (Giacino et al., 2002). Various interferences, both physical and cognitive impairments, or medical complications can affect the diagnosis based on clinical assessments of consciousness (Schnakers et al., 2009). This is one of the issues underlying the current misdiagnosis rate of 40% (Schnakers et al., 2009; van Erp et al., 2015). Consequently, numerous research is investigating the neural and cerebral responses of these patients, with the aim to provide unbiased and objective measures complementing bedside evaluation and helping diagnosis (Laureys and Schiff, 2011; Stender et al., 2014).

1

Previous research has also proposed to increase the sensitivity of clinical tests by using personally relevant stimuli (Perrin et al., 2015). For example, several behavioral studies have shown that a higher number of responses could be observed following self-referential stimuli, like the use of a mirror or the patient's own name, as compared to neutral stimuli (Vanhaudenhuyse et al., 2008; Cheng et al., 2013; Di et al., 2014). Neurophysiological studies have indicated that salient and emotional stimuli increase the probability of observing a cerebral response in patients with DOC. For example, the probability to observe a P300 event-related response (i.e., a brain response reflecting stimulus processing) is enhanced when the deviant stimulus is not a tone stimulus but the patient's own name (Perrin et al., 2006; Cavinato et al., 2011). Very recently, it has also been shown that preferred music (i.e., an autobiographical and emotional stimulus) has an effect on cognitive processes of patients with DOC. Indeed, observing a P300 to one's own name was increased in patients with DOC after having been exposed to their preferred music compared to a control condition (i.e., acoustically similar noise; Castro et al., 2015). This result is in agreement with a study showing increased behavioral responses after preferred music (Verger et al., 2014), and several single-case studies with DOC patients suggesting effects of music on a behavioral level (Magee, 2005; Magee et al., 2014).

Resting state functional MRI allows investigation of several distinct, reproducible and dynamic brain networks (Beckmann et al., 2005; Damoiseaux et al., 2006; De Luca et al., 2006; Laird et al., 2011), without the need for patients' cooperation (Soddu et al., 2011). The auditory network is one of the reliably observed networks, even though not yet extensively studied. This network encompasses primary auditory cortices including Heschls gyri, superior temporal gyri, insula, cingulate, post- and pre-central gyri, and supramarginal gyrus (Beckmann et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2009; Laird et al., 2011). The auditory network can be observed in 81% of healthy subjects, 46% in MCS, and is limited to 21% of UWS patients (Demertzi et al., 2014). In fact, it has strong power to discriminate MCS and UWS patients, making automatic classification possible (Demertzi et al., 2015). Another network that is also related to auditory processing (Brunetti et al., 2008) is the external network. This network is also related to external orientation, goal-directed behaviors, and cognitive processing of somatosensory (Boly et al., 2007), and visual (Dehaene and Changeux, 2005) input. The external network is often named the 'dorsal attention network,' or 'task positive' network (Greicius et al., 2003; Vanhaudenhuyse et al., 2010a). It has been shown to be anticorrelated with an internal/default mode network (Greicius et al., 2003; Vanhaudenhuyse et al., 2010a), implicated in self-awareness and stimulus-independent thoughts in healthy controls (Raichle et al., 2001; Greicius et al., 2009). Interestingly, auditory, external and internal/default mode networks include cortical regions that have been shown to be modulated by emotional sounds. Indeed, as compared to noise, meaningful sounds (infant cries or the patient's own name) are associated to a widespread activation of the auditory cortex and medial cortical structures in DOC patients (Laureys et al., 2004). Thus, the effect of music as

reported in Castro et al. (2015) is probably also associated to functional connectivity changes of these regions.

We here aim to explore whether the effect of music in severely brain-damaged patients with DOC is related to functional connectivity changes. Functional MRI scans were acquired while participants were exposed to their preferred music as well as a control condition when they were exposed to the repetitive noise from the scanner (also present in the music condition). Using a functional connectivity parcellation (Gordon et al., 2014), we assessed functional connectivity using seed regions in both primary auditory cortices. We also analyzed network connectivity of the auditory network, the external network, and default mode network. We expect to observe changes, and more specifically increases, in functional connectivity in the auditory and attentional systems in patients with DOC during the music stimulation (vs. the control condition).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Eight healthy participants (four female; mean age = 26 years, SD = 3), and seven patients (four MCS; three UWS) were scanned between March 2014 and April 2015 for this study. Patients were excluded for this study when any contraindication for MRI was present (e.g., presence of ferromagnetic aneurysm clips, pacemakers), or when patients needed sedation. Chronic patients with DOC were hospitalized for 1 week of assessment at the coma science group, University hospital of Liege, Belgium. Multiple behavioral assessments in the form of the CRS-R were completed, including one the morning before the (f)MRI acquisition. One patient showed drain artifacts on the T1 and functional MRI scan covering more then 40% of the brain, and in one patient the segmentation could not be reliably performed due to the lesion extent. Our patient population consisted thus of five patients (three MCS, two UWS; mean age = 50 years, SD = 10; Table 1). The ethics committee of the medical school of the University of Liège approved the study.

Music Stimulation and Procedure

Five musical excerpts were selected for each participant from a questionnaire on musical preference completed by family members or loved ones (for the patients) or the participant him/her self (for the healthy participants). These musical excerpts had a mean duration of 2 min and were all dynamic, musically coherent, and representative of the whole musical piece. The five excerpts were combined to create a musical stimulus of a duration of 10 min and 10 s, which overlaps with the duration of the functional scan. Fading in and fading out (around 2 s) was added to avoid rough transitions between the excerpts.

The functional scan was acquired twice during one MRI scanning session. Once with the participants' preferred music (i.e., music condition), and once when participants were exposed to the repetitive noise from the scanner (i.e., control condition). This control condition is the same as used for the investigation of a classical resting state. The order of the conditions was randomized between participants, and the two functional scans

| TABLE 1 Diagnostics of the five patients with | n disorders of consciousness (DOC). |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| in the patient of the patients into | |

| | | DOC1 | DOC2 | DOC3 | DOC4 | DOC5 | |
|----------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Sex | | Male | Female | Female | Male | Male | |
| Age (years) | | 40 | 50 | 39 | 61 | 58 | |
| Time since injury (months) | | 12 | 6 | 26 | 13 | 25 | |
| Etiology | | Trauma | Anoxic | Trauma | Anoxic | Anoxic | |
| Diagnosis | | UWS | UWS | MCS - | EMCS | MCS + | |
| CRS-R | А. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | |
| score | V. | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | |
| | Μ. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | |
| | О. | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | |
| | C. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | |
| | Ar. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | |
| | Total | 4 | 5 | 10 | 23 | 7 | |
| Structural M | 1RI | Subcortical diffuse axonal injury, moderate enlargement of the ventricles, and atrophy of midbrain and sulci | Cortical and subcortical atrophy with severe post-anoxic leukoencephalopathy | Right lenticular lesion, diffuse axonal injury, and enlargement of the third ventricles | Extensive defects in region of the posterior cerebral artery, thalamus, and enlargement of right lateral ventricle | Global hemosiderosis and ischemic damage, white matter intensities (frontal + temporal), and enlargement of the ventricles | |
| Neuroimagir | ng (PET) | Indicated MCS | Consistent with an UWS | Consistent with MCS | Consistent with EMCS | Consistent with MCS | |

CRS-R, coma recovery scale revised; A., auditory function; V., visual function; M., motor function; O., oromotor/verbal function; C., communication; Ar., arousal; UWS, unresponsive wakefulness syndrome; MCS, minimally conscious state; EMCS, emergence from minimally conscious state.

were always separated by a delay of 10 min to reduce any potential order effects. Instructions and musical stimuli were delivered through MR compatible Siemens headphones. Participants were instructed to keep their eyes closed, stay awake, avoid any structured thoughts, and listen attentively to the music.

MRI Acquisition and Analysis

Two sets of 300 T2*-weighted images were acquired using a 3T Siemens TIM Trio MRI scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) with a gradient-echo echo-planar imaging sequence using axial slice orientation and covering the whole brain (32 slices; voxel size = $3 \text{ mm} \times 3 \text{ mm} \times 3 \text{ mm}$; matrix size $= 64 \times 64 \times 32$; repetition time = 2000 ms; echo time = 30 ms; flip angle = 78° ; field of view = 192 mm × 192 mm). The 10 initial volumes were discarded to avoid T1 saturation effects. Data preprocessing was performed using Statistical Parametric Mapping 8 (SPM81). Preprocessing steps included realignment and adjustment for movement-related effects, slice time correction, co-registration of functional onto structural data, segmentation of structural data, spatial normalization of all data to standard stereotactic Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space using the normalization parameters which had resulted from the segmentation step. Normalized functional data were then smoothed using a Gaussian kernel with an isotropic 8 mm of full-width half-maximum.

Motion correction was applied using an automatic artifact detection tool for global mean and motion outliers². Outliers in the global mean signal intensity and motion were identified and included in the subsequent statistical analysis as nuisance

parameters (i.e., one regressor per outlier within the first-level general linear models). Specifically, an image was defined as an outlier (artifact) image if the head displacement in x, y, or z direction was greater than 0.5 mm from the previous frame, or if the rotational displacement was greater than 0.02 radians from the previous frame, or if the global mean intensity in the image was greater than 3 SD from the mean image intensity for the entire resting session. For our group of patients, the number of motion outlier images did not differ significantly between music and noise sessions (two-sided paired *t*-test; p = 0.16, music condition m = 16, SD = 18; control condition m = 3, SD = 4). Healthy participants did not show any movement-affected outlier scans.

Analyses of functional connectivity were performed using the connectivity toolbox "conn," version 15D3 (Whitfield-Gabrieli and Nieto-Castanon, 2012). As recently recommended (Behzadi et al., 2007; Murphy et al., 2009; Saad et al., 2012; Wong et al., 2012), we used a regression of nuisance effects before bandpass filtering (RegBP; Hallquist et al., 2013). The data were despiked, and white matter (WM) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) components were regressed out as nuisance variables according to the aCompCor method. We then applied a linear detrending term. The residual BOLD time series went through a bandpass filter between 0.008 and 0.09 Hz to reduce the effect of low frequency drifts and high-frequency noise. All described steps are part of the standard procedure in the "conn" toolbox (Behzadi et al., 2007; Whitfield-Gabrieli and Nieto-Castanon, 2012). The residual head motion parameters (three rotation and three translation parameters, plus another six parameters representing their first-order temporal derivatives) were regressed out.

¹www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm

²http://www.nitrc.org/projects/artifactdetect/

³http://www.nitrc.org/projects/conn

One pitfall of the analysis of resting state functional connectivity using seeds is the selection of seeds. The seed placement bias could lead to different and overlapping networks depending on the amount and placement of seeds (Cole et al., 2010). This bias can be reduced through the use of parcellations instead of spheres based on coordinates from the literature. We used a functional connectivity parcellation atlas based on a selection of parcels out of 330 parcels containing highly homogenous signal patterns (Gordon et al., 2014). This parcellation allowed us to perform two different analyses.

We first assessed functional connectivity on a seed based level. Two parcels were taken from the atlas of Gordon et al. (2014), localized at the structurally defined Heschl's gyrus (left and right). These two seeds were chosen for their importance in auditory processing. With these seeds group analysis was performed to assess functional connectivity within both conditions as well as differences between the preferred music and control condition. Furthermore, first level beta maps were extracted (i.e., fisher transformed correlation values) for each participant and used to create individual figures for our a priori regions during both conditions (supplementary material). Data of healthy subjects were not directly compared to patients due to age differences, thus the difference between the music and control condition within one patient could not be compared to the range of differences within controls. Therefore, no within-subject statistical analysis was performed.

Although studies in healthy subjects show that single seeds can reveal whole networks, this is not necessarily the case in brain-damaged patients. Network disruption can be expected due to underlying neuropathology excluding regions from overall networks. To assess overall network characterization it is advised to use multiple seeds/regions (Demertzi et al., 2015). All parcels belonging to the auditory network, external network, and default mode network according to Gordon et al. (2014) were assessed for our group of patients in each condition. For all networks, time courses of the parcels were averaged and correlated to the whole brain (Halko et al., 2014; Demertzi et al., 2015). Thus, this averaged time series was used to estimate whole-brain correlation r maps, which were then converted to normally distributed Fisher's z transformed correlation maps to allow for subsequent group-level analysis on the mean network connectivity (comparing music vs. control conditions). For all analyses on the group level (seed based and network based functional connectivity analysis) one sample t-tests were used for estimation of functional connectivity in each condition, and two-sample paired t-tests were used for between condition comparisons. The results were reported as significant when they exceeded a height threshold of uncorrected p = 0.001 with a family wise error corrected extent threshold of p = 0.05 at the cluster level. For clusters that showed significant stronger functional connectivity during the music condition contrast estimates (beta values) were extracted (Supplementary Figure S2). We did not compare the healthy group to our patient group due to differences in age, and the possible effects this might have on network integrity, as well as the possible differences in reaction to preferred music in terms of memory or emotion.







RESULTS

In healthy participants, seed-based analyses of both left and right primary auditory areas showed functional connectivity in areas considered as being part of the auditory network during both music and control conditions. Indeed, functional connectivity with seeds in both primary auditory cortices was observed in bilateral temporal gyri (encompassing Heschl's gyrus, opercular gyrus, insula, planum polare, and superior temporal areas), anterior cingulate, pre- and post-central areas and the occipital pole (Figure 1; Supplementary Table S1) in both conditions. No significant difference was observed between the two conditions. Similarly, the auditory network showed activation in bilateral temporal gyri (encompassing Heschl's gyrus, opercular, insula, planum polare, and superior temporal areas). This temporal cluster extended from inferior frontal, to precentral and angular areas. The auditory network also included the anterior cingulate, pre- and post-central areas and the occipital fusiform gyrus and cortex (Figure 1; Supplementary Table S2). The external network encompassed regions of bilateral inferior parietal sulcus and lobule, dorsolateral prefrontal, supramarginal, frontal eye field, lateral occipital and precentral, as well as cerebellar and insular areas. The default mode network showed functional connectivity with the precuneus, frontal pole and superior frontal gyrus, angular and lateral occipital gyrus, and middle temporal gyrus. For these three networks, the music condition did not significantly differ from the control condition.

In patients, seed-based analyses of patients showed that functional connectivity was mainly restricted to the areas surrounding each of the two seeds (i.e., left and right primary auditory cortex) for both the music and the control conditions; however, several other clusters of functional connectivity were also observed (**Figure 2**; **Table 2**). The left primary auditory seed showed functional connectivity with the middle temporal gyrus during the control condition, and the left frontal operculum, superior temporal gyrus and cerebellum during the music condition. The right primary auditory seed showed several smaller clusters in the temporal area as well as the supramarginal area during the control condition, and one large cluster of activation in the temporal cortex during the music condition. When the music condition was directly compared to the control condition, the left primary auditory seed showed more functional connectivity in the right precentral gyrus during music. No difference was observed with the right primary auditory seed for this direct comparison. Single subject first level beta values (i.e., Fisher's z transformed correlation values) were used to create individual patient figures for the two primary auditory seed activations during both conditions (Supplementary Figure S1). Correlation values during music and control conditions were mainly restricted to the areas surrounding each of the seeds, but in general, more voxels seemed to be strongly correlated in the music condition than in to the control condition (correlations higher than 0.8 were assessed and shown in the Supplementary Material).

Patients showed a severely limited auditory network of functional connectivity during both conditions (Figure 3; Table 3A). During the control condition, activation was only seen in bilateral temporal areas. During the music condition, the auditory network consisted of bilateral temporal gyri (only including left Heschl gyrus), as well as small clusters in the right inferior frontal gyrus and the left supramarginal gyrus; these were areas also included in the temporal cluster for the healthy subjects. When the music condition was compared to the control condition, the auditory network showed significantly more functional connectivity with the left precentral gyrus and a region on the junction of the middle frontal gyrus and frontal pole: the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex.

The external network in patients was restricted to the inferior parietal sulcus and lobule, dorsolateral, middle frontal, and supra marginal areas during both control and music conditions.

TABLE 2 | Results of the seed-based analyses in the patients.

| | MN | I coordina | ates (x,y,z) | Cluster size | Cluster p-FWE | p-unc peak | Region | |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|------------|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Left primary audi | tory corte | х | | | | | | |
| Music | -40 | 24 | 6 | 223 | 0 | 0.000003 | Left | Frontal operculum |
| | -40 | -26 | 6 | 200 | 0 | 0.000023 | Left | Heschl/planum temporale |
| | -68 | -36 | 14 | 52 | 0.025011 | 0.000007 | Left | Superior temporal gyrus |
| | 0 | -48 | -8 | 50 | 0.030795 | 0.000067 | | Cerebellum |
| Control | -60 | -20 | 6 | 403 | 0 | 0 | Left | Heschl/planum temporale |
| | -68 | -46 | 4 | 163 | 0 | 0.000003 | Left | Middle temporal gyrus |
| Music > Control | 46 | 0 | 54 | 113 | 0.00007 | 0.000002 | Right | Precentral gyrus |
| Right primary aud | litory cort | ex | | | | | | |
| Music | 40 | 26 | 10 | 886 | 0 | 0.000003 | Right | Temporal cortex: insula/centra opercular/planum temporale/ Heschl/frontal operculum |
| Control | 44 | -16 | 10 | 379 | 0 | 0.000001 | Right | Heschl gyrus/central opercula |
| | -68 | -10 | -2 | 85 | 0.00046 | 0.000047 | Left | Superior temporal gyrus |
| | -64 | -18 | 6 | 50 | 0.017807 | 0.000005 | Left | Planum temporale |
| | 28 | -32 | 32 | 47 | 0.02515 | 0.000053 | Right | Supramarginal gyrus |



(Figure 3; Table 3B). Compared to the control condition, music showed more functional connectivity with the supramarginal/ angular gyrus, also referred to as the temporoparietal junction.

The default-mode network in patients seemed disconnected in patients (Figure 3; Table 3C). The control condition only showed

functional connectivity in the frontal pole/paracingulate gyrus. The music condition showed further functional connectivity with the precuneus, post-central gyrus, lateral occipital pole, and middle temporal gyrus. However, no difference could be found between the two conditions.

| TABLE 3A Results of network-based analysis in patients: auditory net | vork. |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|

| | MNI o | coordinates | ; (x,y,z) | Cluster size | Cluster p-FWE | p-unc peak | Region | |
|------------------|-------|-------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|------------|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Auditory network | | | | | | | | |
| Music | -66 | -40 | 14 | 161 | 0.000004 | 0.000034 | Left | Supramarginal gyrus |
| | 40 | 20 | 18 | 109 | 0.000174 | 0.000002 | Right | Inferior frontal gyrus |
| | 60 | -4 | 12 | 97 | 0.000466 | 0.000032 | Right | Temporal, central opercular |
| | -50 | -30 | 20 | 48 | 0.042949 | 0.000507 | Left | Parietal operculum/Heschl |
| Control | -50 | -40 | 10 | 1152 | 0 | 0.000004 | Left | Temporal cortex: planum temporale/central opercular/ superior temporal |
| | 28 | 6 | 2 | 997 | 0 | 0 | Right | Temporal, central opercular/insula |
| | -36 | 20 | 12 | 208 | 0 | 0.000011 | Left | Frontal operculum |
| | 28 | -26 | 26 | 46 | 0.046101 | 0.000094 | Right | Parietal operculum |
| Music > Control | -66 | -8 | 36 | 319 | 0 | 0.000001 | Left | Precentral gyrus |
| | -28 | 42 | 30 | 44 | 0.028322 | 0.000019 | Left | DLPFC |

TABLE 3B | Results of network-based analysis in patients: external network.

| | MN | I coordinat | es (x,y,z) | Cluster size | Cluster p-FWE | p-unc peak | Region | |
|------------------|-----|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------|------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------|
| External network | | | | | | | | |
| Music | 58 | -32 | 44 | 4974 | 0 | 0 | Bilateral | Inferior parietal sulcus/inferior parietal lobule |
| | -36 | 24 | 52 | 424 | 0 | 0.000005 | Left | DLPFC |
| | -52 | 32 | 16 | 122 | 0.000111 | 0.00008 | Left | Middle frontal gyrus (small part FEF) |
| | -14 | -10 | 64 | 116 | 0.000174 | 0.000013 | Left | SMA |
| | 48 | 12 | 56 | 100 | 0.000594 | 0.000021 | Right | Middle frontal gyrus (small part FEF) |
| | 30 | 34 | -8 | 69 | 0.007915 | 0.000044 | Right | DLPFC |
| | -38 | -54 | -12 | 59 | 0.019658 | 0.000013 | Left | Lateral occipital/MT |
| | -56 | -58 | 4 | 50 | 0.046263 | 0.000163 | Left | Lateral occipital/MT |
| Control | -24 | -62 | 48 | 2072 | 0 | 0.000001 | Left | Inferior parietal sulcus/inferior parietal lobule |
| | 12 | -74 | 54 | 1026 | 0 | 0.000004 | Right | Inferior parietal sulcus/inferior parietal lobule |
| | -32 | 14 | 24 | 403 | 0 | 0.000003 | Left | SMA extending to small part FEF |
| | -42 | 48 | 24 | 104 | 0.000223 | 0.000012 | Left | DLPFC |
| | 34 | 8 | 52 | 82 | 0.001473 | 0.000065 | Right | Middle frontal gyrus (small part FEF) |
| Music > Control | -42 | -50 | 30 | 103 | 0.000078 | 0.000003 | Left | Supramarginal/angular gyrus |

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we aimed at assessing the potential effect of music on the brain's functional connectivity in patients with DOC. We compared patients' intrinsic brain activation while being exposed to their preferred music and during a control condition. For this purpose, seed-based functional connectivity as well as network-level functional connectivity was assessed. Seed-based functional connectivity analyses of primary auditory cortices showed significant differences in functional connectivity between music and control conditions for the patients. Networklevel analyses showed that patients' functional connectivity is increased when being exposed to their preferred music in the auditory and external network (in comparison to the control condition).

In healthy participants, the network of functional connectivity based on both primary auditory regions encompasses large parts of the auditory cortex, superior temporal gyri, insula, cingulate cortex, central areas (pre and post), supramarginal gyrus, and occipital areas (**Figure 1**), in both the music condition and the control condition. These are, as expected, part of the auditory network (Beckmann et al., 2005; Damoiseaux et al., 2006; De Luca et al., 2006; Smith and Tindell, 2009; Laird et al., 2011; Demertzi et al., 2014). To assess network integrity, mean network connectivity was assessed in the auditory network, external network, and default mode network, i.e., networks that are

| | MN | I coordinat | tes (x,y,z) | Cluster size | Cluster p-FWE | p-unc peak | Region | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Default mode network | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Music | -26 | 32 | 34 | 1247 | 0 | 0.000001 | Bilateral | Middle frontal gyrus/fronta pole/paracingulate gyrus | | | | |
| | 12 | -66 | 62 | 233 | 0 | 0.000004 | Right | Precuneus/lateral occipital | | | | |
| | -38 | -76 | 48 | 150 | 0.000014 | 0.000001 | Left | Lateral occipital | | | | |
| | -30 | 52 | 2 | 110 | 0.000264 | 0.000124 | Left | Frontal pole | | | | |
| | -58 | -24 | -12 | 81 | 0.002724 | 0.000004 | Left | Middle temporal gyrus | | | | |
| | 8 | 60 | -4 | 56 | 0.025536 | 0.000149 | Right | Frontal pole | | | | |
| | 28 | -24 | 46 | 53 | 0.034 | 0.000094 | Right | Post-central gyrus | | | | |
| Control | -10 | 48 | 18 | 679 | 0 | 0.000034 | Left | Frontal pole/paracingulate gyrus | | | | |

TABLE 3C | Results of network-based analysis in patients: default mode network.

respectively linked to auditory processing, external orientation, and internal thoughts.

Network-based second level analysis of functional connectivity showed that the auditory network was clearly replicated in our healthy subjects during both the music and control conditions. This network has consistently been observed in previous resting state studies investigating not only healthy participants but also DOC patients (Demertzi et al., 2014). In healthy participants it encompassed bilateral temporal gyri (including Heschl's gyrus, opercular, insula, planum polare, and superior temporal areas), extending to inferior frontal, precentral and angular areas, as well as clusters in anterior cingulate, pre- and post-central areas and the occipital fusiform gyrus (Beckmann et al., 2005; Damoiseaux et al., 2006; De Luca et al., 2006; Smith and Tindell, 2009; Laird et al., 2011; Demertzi et al., 2014). The external network has also been observed in healthy participants. It encompassed, as consistently observed in previous studies (Fox et al., 2005; Vanhaudenhuyse et al., 2010a), regions of bilateral inferior parietal sulcus and lobule, dorsolateral prefrontal, supramarginal gyrus, the frontal eye field, lateral occipital and precentral, as well as cerebellar and insular areas. The default-mode network showed functional connectivity in regions consistently observed in healthy participants and patient populations (Buckner et al., 2008). Most importantly, music did not show any increases in functional connectivity compared to the control condition for the seed-based and all three network-level analyses. This result is consistent with Castro et al. (2015) who observed that music (in comparison to noise) did not modify the event-related responses in healthy participants (while this was the case for the DOC patients). This observation suggests that the effects of music observed in previous research are possibly not present in healthy subjects (or that the cerebral responses could not be enhanced because they were already at ceiling). This finding could be due to the nature of our experimental material. Indeed Wilkins et al. (2014) have shown functional connectivity differences (in the default mode network and between auditory brain areas and the hippocampus) between two music materials that strongly differ in terms of emotion, i.e., preferred and disliked music (in healthy participants). It is thus possible, that our control condition, which can be considered as rather neutral, was not disliked enough to warrant significant

differences in functional connectivity with the preferred music condition.

Seed-based analysis indicated that patients showed strongly limited functional correlations with the primary auditory cortices: activation was only observed around the seed areas and no long distance connectivity emerged within the auditory network. This finding is in line with previous research showing a linear decrease in functional connectivity ranging from healthy participants to unresponsive patients (Vanhaudenhuyse et al., 2010b; Thibaut et al., 2012; Demertzi et al., 2014). In fact, many studies have shown that functional connectivity still exists in DOC patients, and other forms of decreased levels of consciousness (Heine et al., 2012). Low-level activations in primary auditory cortices, without top-down feedback have also been observed in unresponsive patients (Laureys et al., 2000; Boly et al., 2011). In fact, patients seem to have a general disconnection between brain regions, notably missing long range connectivity (Casali et al., 2013). Our results are congruent with this observation as we observe mainly functional connectivity in the hemisphere of the seed. Furthermore, significant differences in the right precentral gyrus are observed during the preferred music condition compared to the control condition (Figure 2). This finding is in agreement with a previous study investigating DOC patients and reporting activation in the right superior temporal gyrus during three 10-s blocks of musical stimulation based on a famous song (Okumura et al., 2014).

First-level connectivity maps of each patient suggest larger areas of correlation near the seed during the music condition than during the control condition (Supplementary Figure S1). This difference seems to be present for all subjects, even the subjects clinically diagnosed as UWS (DOC1 and 2). This finding fits with the neuroimaging results observed in DOC1: diagnostic assessment based on PET metabolism suggested MCS (e.g., Stender et al., 2014). However, the second patient who was diagnosed as UWS (DOC2) both clinically and using neuroimaging, also showed more voxels correlated to the seed, indicating that the effect of music as reported here (if replicable in future studies with extended patient samples) might be present for all DOC. It is important to note that stronger correlating voxels were observed during the music condition (as compared to the control condition) in all patients for at least one seed. Also, no clear correlation with etiology, or time since injury can be seen due to the limited sample.

The three network analyses further revealed significant differences in the auditory network and external network, but not the default mode network, during the music condition. Patients showed a severely limited auditory network of functional connectivity during both conditions (Figure 3). During the control condition, activation was only seen in bilateral temporal areas. During the music condition, the auditory network was restricted to bilateral temporal gyri (only left including Heschl's gyrus) and small clusters in the right inferior frontal gyrus and the left supramarginal gyrus, areas included in the temporal cluster for the healthy subjects. The right inferior frontal gyrus is implicated in auditory memory as well as the processing of musical syntactic-like structures (Maess et al., 2001; Janata et al., 2002; Koelsch et al., 2002, 2005; Tillmann et al., 2003, 2006; Koelsch and Siebel, 2005; Albouy et al., 2013). When music was compared to the control condition, patients' auditory network showed significantly more functional connectivity with the left precentral gyrus (Note that the seed-based analysis also revealed significant increased functional enhancement in the right precentral gyrus during music; see Figure 2) and the left frontal pole. The precentral cluster overlaps with regions of the auditory network in healthy subjects. The lateral prefrontal cortex has also been linked to autobiographical memory (Svoboda et al., 2006; Cabeza and St Jacques, 2007), and has also been implicated in rhythm perception (Zatorre et al., 2007). The finding of increased functional connectivity in music compared to the control condition suggests that music has an effect on the auditory-related network in DOC patients, in whom short-term functional plasticity might appear following the lesions.

In patients, the external network observed during the control condition was restricted to clusters of functional connectivity in inferior parietal sulcus and lobule, dorsolateral, middle frontal, and supramarginal areas. In the music condition, the external network showed besides these regions also connectivity with the region MT and parts of the frontal eye field. When directly compared to the control condition, the music condition showed more functional connectivity with the supramarginal/angular gyrus. This cluster overlaps with the supramarginal regions activated during spatial orienting in healthy subjects (Corbetta and Shulman, 2002). Interestingly, this region overlaps with disconnected areas in UWS patients (Laureys et al., 2000). Laureys et al. (2000) proposed that a lack of integration between primary regions (that activate after simple auditory stimulations in UWS), and higher order regions like the temporoparietal junction and superior temporal gyri (activated in MCS after simple auditory stimuli; Boly and Faymonville, 2004) makes conscious processing unlikely (Laureys et al., 2000; Boly and

REFERENCES

Albouy, P., Mattout, J., Bouet, R., Maby, E., Sanchez, G., Aguera, P.-E., et al. (2013). Impaired pitch perception and memory in congenital amusia: the deficit starts in the auditory cortex. *Brain* 136, 1639–1661. doi: 10.1093/brain/ awt082 Faymonville, 2004). Put differently, unconsciousness might be related to a disruption in feedback processing to the auditory regions (Boly et al., 2011).

CONCLUSION

The effect of music on functional cerebral connectivity is reminiscent of previous findings which have shown effects of music in brain-damaged patients (Soto and Funes, 2009; Särkämö and Soto, 2012; Verger et al., 2014; Castro et al., 2015). For example, a recent EEG study investigating DOC patients has shown that the patients' cerebral responses following the presentation of one's own name were increased after having been exposed to their preferred music (Castro et al., 2015). A "Mood and Arousal hypothesis," attributes the beneficial effects of music on cognition to an increase in mood and arousal (Chabris, 1999; Nantais and Schellenberg, 1999). Within this hypothesis, the effects of music in DOC patients might be due to an overall cortical arousal in the cerebral structures that have been reported to be involved in emotional and mood states. A second hypothesis attributes the effect of music to autobiographical priming (Castro et al., 2015). Interestingly, in the present study, an increased functional connectivity during the music condition (vs. the control condition) was shown in cortical structures linked to music perception, autobiographical memory and consciousness for DOC patients. These results need to be confirmed in an extended group of patients, and future studies should also disentangle the general effect of music (because of its acoustic and structural features) from its autobiographical effects (because of its emotional and meaningful contents in relation to the patients' personal memory).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research was funded by the le Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique – FNRS; by the federation Wallonie-Bruxelles – FWB; by institutional grants from the LabEx CeLyA ("Centre Lyonnais d'Acoustique," ANR-10-LABX-60); by the grant ANR CogniComa, the "France Traumatisme Crânien" Association and the "Gueules Cassées" Fondation.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpsyg. 2015.01704

Beckmann, C. F., Deluca, M., Devlin, J. T., and Smith, S. M. (2005). Investigations into resting-state connectivity using independent component analysis. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 360, 1001–1013. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2005.1634

Behzadi, Y., Restom, K., Liau, J., and Liu, T. T. (2007). A component based noise correction method (CompCor) for BOLD and perfusion based fMRI. *Neuroimage* 37, 90–101. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.04.042

- Boly, M., Balteau, E., Schnakers, C., Degueldre, C., Moonen, G., Luxen, A., et al. (2007). Baseline brain activity fluctuations predict somatosensory perception in humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 12187–12192. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0611404104
- Boly, M., and Faymonville, M. (2004). Auditory processing in severely brain injured patients: differences between the minimally conscious state and the persistent vegetative state. *Arch. Neurol.* 61, 233–238. doi: 10.1001/archneur.6 1.2.233
- Boly, M., Garrido, M. I., Gosseries, O., Bruno, M., Laureys, S., and Friston, K. (2011). Preserved feedforward but impaired top-down processes in the vegetative state. *Science* 332, 858–862. doi: 10.1126/science.12 02043
- Brunetti, M., Della Penna, S., Ferretti, A., Del Gratta, C., Cianflone, F., Belardinelli, P., et al. (2008). A frontoparietal network for spatial attention reorienting in the auditory domain: a human fMRI/MEG study of functional and temporal dynamics. *Cereb. Cortex* 18, 1139–1147. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhm145
- Buckner, R. L., Andrews-Hanna, J., and Schacter, D. (2008). The brain's default network: anatomy, function, and relevance to disease. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1124, 1–38. doi: 10.1196/annals.1440.011
- Cabeza, R., and St Jacques, P. (2007). Functional neuroimaging of autobiographical memory. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 11, 219–227. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2007.0 2.005
- Casali, A. G., Gosseries, O., Rosanova, M., Boly, M., Sarasso, S., Casali, K. R., et al. (2013). A theoretically based index of consciousness independent of sensory processing and behavior. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 5:198ra105. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3006294
- Castro, M., Tillman, B., Luauté, J., Corneyllie, A., Dailler, F., André-Obadia, N., et al. (2015). Boosting cognition with music in patients with disorders of consciousness. *Neurorehabil. Neural Repair* 29, 734–742. doi: 10.1177/1545968314565464
- Cavinato, M., Volpato, C., Silvoni, S., Sacchetto, M., Merico, A., and Piccione, F. (2011). Event-related brain potential modulation in patients with severe brain damage. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 122, 719–724. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.201 0.08.024
- Chabris, C. F. (1999). Prelude or requiem for the "Mozart effect?" Nature 400, 826-827. doi: 10.1038/23608
- Cheng, L., Gosseries, O., Ying, L., Hu, X., Yu, D., Gao, H., et al. (2013). Assessment of localisation to auditory stimulation in post-comatose states: use the patient's own name. *BMC Neurol* 13:27. doi: 10.1186/1471-2377-13-27
- Cole, D. M., Smith, S. M., and Beckmann, C. F. (2010). Advances and pitfalls in the analysis and interpretation of resting-state FMRI data. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 4:8. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2010.00008
- Corbetta, M., and Shulman, G. L. (2002). Control of goal-directed and stimulus-driven attention in the brain. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 3, 201–215. doi: 10.1038/nrn755
- Damoiseaux, J. S., Rombouts, S. A. R. B., Barkhof, F., Scheltens, P., Stam, C. J., Smith, S. M., et al. (2006). Consistent resting-state networks across healthy subjects. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 13848–13853. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0601417103
- Dehaene, S., and Changeux, J.-P. (2005). Ongoing spontaneous activity controls access to consciousness: a neuronal model for inattentional blindness. *PLoS Biol.* 3:e141. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030141
- De Luca, M., Beckmann, C. F., De Stefano, N., Matthews, P. M., and Smith, S. M. (2006). fMRI resting state networks define distinct modes of longdistance interactions in the human brain. *Neuroimage* 29, 1359–1367. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.08.035
- Demertzi, A., Antonopoulos, G., Heine, L., Voss, H. U., Crone, J. S., de Los Angeles, C., et al. (2015). Intrinsic functional connectivity differentiates minimally conscious from unresponsive patients. *Brain* 138(Pt 9), 2619–2631. doi: 10.1093/brain/awv169
- Demertzi, A., Gómez, F., Crone, J. S., Vanhaudenhuyse, A., Tshibanda, L., Noirhomme, Q., et al. (2014). Multiple fMRI system-level baseline connectivity is disrupted in patients with consciousness alterations. *Cortex* 52, 35–46. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2013.11.005
- Di, H., Nie, Y., Hu, X., Tong, Y., Heine, L., Wannez, S., et al. (2014). Assessment of visual fixation in vegetative and minimally conscious states. *BMC Neurol.* 14:147. doi: 10.1186/1471-2377-14-147

- Fox, M. D., Snyder, A. Z., Vincent, J. L., Corbetta, M., Van Essen, D. C., and Raichle, M. E. (2005). The human brain is intrinsically organized into dynamic, anticorrelated functional networks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 9673–9678. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0504136102
- Giacino, J. T., Ashwal, S., and Childs, N. (2002). The minimally conscious state?: definition and diagnostic criteria. *Neurology* 58, 349–353. doi: 10.1212/WNL.58.3.349
- Gordon, E. M., Laumann, T. O., Adeyemo, B., Huckins, J. F., Kelley, W. M., and Petersen, S. E. (2014). Generation and evaluation of a cortical area parcellation from resting-state correlations. *Cereb. Cortex* doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhu239 [Epub ahead of print].
- Greicius, M. D., Krasnow, B., Reiss, A. L., and Menon, V. (2003). Functional connectivity in the resting brain: a network analysis of the default mode hypothesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 253–258. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0135058100
- Greicius, M. D., Supekar, K., Menon, V., and Dougherty, R. F. (2009). Restingstate functional connectivity reflects structural connectivity in the default mode network. *Cereb. Cortex* 19, 72–78. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhn059
- Halko, M. A., Farzan, F., Eldaief, M. C., Schmahmann, J. D., and Pascual-Leone, A. (2014). Intermittent theta-burst stimulation of the lateral cerebellum increases functional connectivity of the default network. *J. Neurosci.* 34, 12049–12056. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1776-14.2014
- Hallquist, M. N., Hwang, K., and Luna, B. (2013). The nuisance of nuisance regression: spectral misspecification in a common approach to resting-state fMRI preprocessing reintroduces noise and obscures functional connectivity. *Neuroimage* 82, 208–225. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.116
- Heine, L., Soddu, A., Gomez, F., Vanhaudenhuyse, A., Tshibanda, L., Thonnard, M., et al. (2012). Resting state networks and consciousness. Alterations of multiple resting state network connectivity in physiological, pharmacological and pathological consciousness states. *Front. Psychol.* 3:295. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00295
- Janata, P., Birk, J. L., Van Horn, J. D., Leman, M., Tillmann, B., and Bharucha, J. J. (2002). The cortical topography of tonal structures underlying Western music. *Science* 298, 2167–2170. doi: 10.1126/science.1076262
- Koelsch, S., Fritz, T., Schulze, K., Alsop, D., and Schlaug, G. (2005). Adults and children processing music: an fMRI study. *Neuroimage* 25, 1068–1076. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.12.050
- Koelsch, S., Gunter, T. C., v Cramon, D. Y., Zysset, S., Lohmann, G., and Friederici, A. D. (2002). Bach speaks: a cortical "language-network" serves the processing of music. *Neuroimage* 17, 956–966. doi: 10.1006/nimg.2002.1154
- Koelsch, S., and Siebel, W. A. (2005). Towards a neural basis of music perception. Trends Cogn. Sci. 9, 578–584. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2005.10.001
- Laird, A. R., Fox, P. T. M., Eickhoff, S. B., Turner, J. A., Ray, K. L., McKay, D. R., et al. (2011). Behavioral interpretations of intrinsic connectivity networks. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 17, 1–16. doi: 10.1162/jocn_a_00077
- Laureys, S., Celesia, G. G., Cohadon, F., Lavrijsen, J., Leon-Carrrion, J., Sannita, W. G., et al. (2010). Unresponsive wakefulness syndrome: a new name for the vegetative state or apallic syndrome. *BMC Med.* 8:68. doi: 10.1186/1741-70 15-8-68
- Laureys, S., Faymonville, M. E., Degueldre, C., Fiore, G. D., Damas, P., Lambermont, B., et al. (2000). Auditory processing in the vegetative state. *Brain* 123, 1589–1601. doi: 10.1093/brain/123.8.1589
- Laureys, S., Owen, A. M., and Schiff, N. D. (2004). Brain function in coma, vegetative state, and related disorders. *Lancet* 3, 537–546. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(04)00852-X
- Laureys, S., and Schiff, N. D. (2011). Coma and consciousness: paradigms (re)framed by neuroimaging. *Neuroimage* 61, 478–491. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.12.041
- Maess, B., Koelsch, S., Gunter, T. C., and Friederici, A. D. (2001). Musical syntax is processed in Broca's area: an MEG study. *Nat. Neurosci.* 4, 540–545. doi: 10.1038/87502
- Magee, W. L. (2005). Music therapy with patients in low awareness states: approaches to assessment and treatment in multidisciplinary care. *Neuropsychol. Rehabil.* 15, 522–536. doi: 10.1080/096020104430 00461
- Magee, W. L., Siegert, R. J., Daveson, B. A., Lenton-Smith, G., and Taylor, S. M. (2014). Music therapy assessment tool for awareness in disorders of consciousness (MATADOC): standardisation of the principal subscale to assess

awareness in patients with disorders of consciousness. *Neuropsychol. Rehabil.* 24, 101–124. doi: 10.1080/09602011.2013.844174

- Murphy, K., Birn, R. M., Handwerker, D. A., Jones, T. B., and Bandettini, P. A. (2009). The impact of global signal regression on resting state correlations: are anti-correlated networks introduced? *Neuroimage* 44, 893–905. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.09.036
- Nantais, K. M., and Schellenberg, E. G. (1999). The mozart effect: an artifact of preference. Psychol. Sci. 10, 370–373. doi: 10.1111/1467-9280.00170
- Okumura, Y., Asano, Y., Takenaka, S., Fukuyama, S., Yonezawa, S., Kasuya, Y., et al. (2014). Brain activation by music in patients in a vegetative or minimally conscious state following diffuse brain injury. *Brain Inj.* 28, 944–950. doi: 10.3109/02699052.2014.888477
- Perrin, F., Castro, M., Tillmann, B., and Luauté, J. (2015). Promoting the use of personally relevant stimuli for investigating patients with disorders of consciousness. *Front. Psychol.* 6:1102. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01102
- Perrin, F., Schnakers, C., Schabus, M., Degueldre, C., Goldman, S., Brédart, S., et al. (2006). Brain response to one's own name in vegetative state, minimally conscious state, and locked-in syndrome. *Arch. Neurol.* 63, 562–569. doi: 10.1001/archneur.63.4.562
- Raichle, M. E., MacLeod, A. M., Snyder, A. Z., Powers, W. J., Gusnard, D. A., and Shulman, G. L. (2001). A default mode of brain function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A 98, 676–686. doi: 10.1073/pnas.98.2.676
- Saad, Z. S., Gotts, S. J., Murphy, K., Chen, G., Jo, H. J., Martin, A., et al. (2012). Trouble at rest: how correlation patterns and group differences become distorted after global signal regression. *Brain Connect.* 2, 25–32. doi: 10.1089/brain.2012.0080
- Särkämö, T., and Soto, D. (2012). Music listening after stroke: beneficial effects and potential neural mechanisms. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1252, 266–281. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.06405.x
- Schnakers, C., Vanhaudenhuyse, A., Giacino, J. T., Ventura, M., Boly, M., Majerus, S., et al. (2009). Diagnostic accuracy of the vegetative and minimally conscious state: clinical consensus versus standardized neurobehavioral assessment. *BMC Neurol.* 9:35. doi: 10.1186/1471-2377-9-35
- Smith, K., and Tindell, A. (2009). Ventral pallidum roles in reward and motivation. *Behav. Brain Res.* 196, 155–167. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.200 8.09.038.Ventral
- Smith, S. M., Fox, P. T., Miller, K. L., Glahn, D. C., Fox, P. M., Mackay, C. E., et al. (2009). Correspondence of the brain's functional architecture during activation and rest. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 13040–13045. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0905267106
- Soddu, A., Vanhaudenhuyse, A., Demertzi, A., Bruno, M. A., Tshibanda, L., Noirhomme, Q., et al. (2011). Resting state activity in patients with disorders of consciousness. *Funct. Neurol.* 26, 37–43.
- Soto, D., and Funes, M. (2009). Pleasant music overcomes the loss of awareness in patients with visual neglect. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 6011–6016. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0811681106
- Stender, J., Gosseries, O., Bruno, M.-A., Charland-Verville, V., Vanhaudenhuyse, A., Demertzi, A., et al. (2014). Diagnostic precision of PET imaging and functional MRI in disorders of consciousness: a clinical validation study. *Lancet* 384, 514–522. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60042-8
- Svoboda, E., McKinnon, M. C., and Levine, B. (2006). The functional neuroanatomy of autobiographical memory: a meta-analysis. *Neuropsychologia* 44, 2189–2208. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.05.023
- The Multi-Society Task Force of Pvs. (1994). Medical aspects of the persistent vegetative state. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 330, 1499–1508. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199405263 302107

- Thibaut, A., Bruno, M.-A., Chatelle, C., Gosseries, O., Vanhaudenhuyse, A., Demertzi, A., et al. (2012). Metabolic activity in external and internal awareness networks in severely brain-damaged patients. *J. Rehabil. Med.* 44, 481–516. doi: 10.2340/16501977-0940
- Tillmann, B., Janata, P., and Bharucha, J. J. (2003). Activation of the inferior frontal cortex in musical priming. *Brain Res. Cogn. Brain Res.* 16, 145–161. doi: 10.1016/S0926-6410(02)00245-8
- Tillmann, B., Koelsch, S., Escoffier, N., Bigand, E., Lalitte, P., Friederici, A. D., et al. (2006). Cognitive priming in sung and instrumental music: activation of inferior frontal cortex. *Neuroimage* 31, 1771–1782. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.02.028
- van Erp, W. S., Lavrijsen, J. C. M., Vos, P. E., Bor, H., Laureys, S., and Koopmans, R. T. C. M. (2015). The vegetative state: prevalence, misdiagnosis, and treatment limitations. *J. Am. Med. Dir. Assoc.* 16, 85.e9–85.e14. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2014.10.014
- Vanhaudenhuyse, A., Demertzi, A., Schabus, M., Noirhomme, Q., Bredart, S., Boly, M., et al. (2010a). Two distinct neuronal networks mediate the awareness of environment and of self. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 23, 570–578. doi: 10.1162/jocn.2010.21488
- Vanhaudenhuyse, A., Noirhomme, Q., Tshibanda, L. J. F., Bruno, M. A., Boveroux, P., Schnakers, C., et al. (2010b). Default network connectivity reflects the level of consciousness in non-communicative brain-damaged patients. *Brain* 133, 161–171. doi: 10.1093/brain/ awp313
- Vanhaudenhuyse, A., Schnakers, C., Brédart, S., and Laureys, S. (2008). Assessment of visual pursuit in post-comatose states: use a mirror. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 79, 223. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2007.121624
- Verger, J., Ruiz, S., Tillmann, B., Ben Romdhane, M., De Quelen, M., Castro, M., et al. (2014). [Beneficial effect of preferred music on cognitive functions in minimally conscious state patients]. *Rev. Neurol. (Paris)* 170, 693–699. doi: 10.1016/j.neurol.2014.06.005
- Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., and Nieto-Castanon, A. (2012). Conn: a functional connectivity toolbox for correlated and anticorrelated brain networks. *Brain Connect.* 2, 125–141. doi: 10.1089/brain.2012.0073
- Wilkins, R. W., Hodges, D. A., Laurienti, P. J., Steen, M., and Burdette, J. H. (2014). Network science and the effects of music preference on functional brain connectivity: from Beethoven to Eminem. *Sci. Rep.* 4:6130. doi: 10.1038/srep06130
- Wong, C. W., Olafsson, V., Tal, O., and Liu, T. T. (2012). Anti-correlated networks, global signal regression, and the effects of caffeine in resting-state functional MRI. *Neuroimage* 63, 356–364. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.06.035
- Zatorre, R. J., Chen, J. L., and Penhune, V. B. (2007). When the brain plays music: auditory-motor interactions in music perception and production. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 8, 547–558. doi: 10.1038/nrn2152

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Heine, Castro, Martial, Tillmann, Laureys and Perrin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.