



Corrigendum: Basic Values, Career Orientations, and Career Anchors: Empirical Investigation of Relationships

Marc Abessolo^{1*}, Jérôme Rossier¹ and Andreas Hirschi²

¹ Research Center in Vocational Psychology and Career Counseling, Institute of Psychology, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland, ² Department of Work and Organization Psychology, Institute for Psychology, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

Keywords: Schwartz's basic values, protean career orientation, boundaryless career orientation, career anchors, relationships

A Corrigendum on

Basic Values, Career Orientations, and Career Anchors: Empirical Investigation of Relationships

by Abessolo, M., Rossier, J., and Hirschi, A. (2017). Front. Psychol. 8:1556. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01556

In the original article, due to an unfortunate miswording, it is possible for readers to think that the PVQ5X was translated by Abessolo and colleagues, which is not the case. Therefore, and at the request of Mrs. Pulfrey, we would like to replace the citation of Abessolo et al., 2017b with a citation of a personal communication between Abessolo and Mrs. Pulfrey in September 2014. A correction has been made to Materials and Methods, sub-section Measures, sub-subsection Portrait Values Questionnaire, and the reference above has been removed.

We used a validated French translation (Mrs. Pulfrey personal communication) of Schwartz's portrait values questionnaire (PVQ5X, Schwartz et al., 2012), which consisted of 51 items measuring the ten basic values of self-direction (6 items; e.g., "Being creative is important to him/her"), stimulation (3 items; e.g., "Excitement in life is important to him/her"), hedonism (3 items; e.g., "Having a good time is important to him/her"), achievement (3 items; e.g., "Being very successful is important to him/her"), power (6 items, e.g., "He/She pursues high status and power"), security (6 items; e.g., "It is important to him/her to live in secure surroundings"), tradition (3 items; e.g., "It is important to him/her"), benevolence (6 items; e.g., "It's very important to him/her"), and universalism (9 items; e.g., "Protecting society's weak and vulnerablemembers is important to him/her"). We used a six-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not like me at all) to 6 (very much like me) (Schwartz et al., 2012).

The authors apologize for this error and state that it does not affect the scientific conclusions of the article in any way.

The original article has been updated.

REFERENCES

Schwartz, S. H., Cieciuch, J., Vecchione, M., Davidov, E., Fischer, R., Beierlein, C., et al. (2012). Refining the theory of basic individual values. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 103, 663–688. doi: 10.1037/a0029393

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Abessolo, Rossier and Hirschi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

OPEN ACCESS

Approved by:

Frontiers in Psychology, Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

> *Correspondence: Marc Abessolo marc.abessolo@unil.ch

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Organizational Psychology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 19 May 2018 Accepted: 30 July 2018 Published: 21 August 2018

Citation:

Abessolo M, Rossier J and Hirschi A (2018) Corrigendum: Basic Values, Career Orientations, and Career Anchors: Empirical Investigation of Relationships. Front. Psychol. 9:1501. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01501