



# Corrigendum: Biofunctional Understanding and Judgment of Size

Zheng Jin 1,2\*, Yang Lee 3,4 and Zheng Yuan 1

<sup>1</sup> Zhengzhou Normal University, Zhengzhou, China, <sup>2</sup> University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, United States, <sup>3</sup> Department of Psychology, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju, South Korea, <sup>4</sup> Haskins Laboratories, Yale University, New Heaven, CT, United States

Keywords: embodied cognition, biofunctional understanding, action, affordances, size judgments

# A Corrigendum on

# Biofunctional Understanding and Judgment of Size

by Jin, Z., Lee, Y., and Yuan, Z. (2016). Front. Psychol. 7:436. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00436

In the original article, there was an error. It was stated that "Chinese word frequency was estimated from a database with a corpus of over 973,338 Chinese dissyllable words (Modern Chinese Character Frequency List, Da, 2004)." The database title was incorrect and may affect the future replication of this research.

A correction has been made to the *Materials and Methods*, sub-section *Experiment 2*, sub-sub-section *Materials*, Paragraph 1:

A set of disyllabic Korean words consisted of Sino-Korean words correspond closely to modern Chinese (Mandarin) in phonological structure and *pure* Korean words lacking a clear Chinese phonological translation were selected from a corpus of Korean words that was developed by the Korean Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST, 1999). A different set of Chinese words, possessed similar word frequency to the Chinese words from which the Sino-Korean words were derived, was chosen for generating Korean disyllabic non-words. Chinese word frequency was estimated from a database with a corpus of over 973,338 Chinese dissyllable words (Bigram frequencies and mutual information in Modern Chinese, Da, 2004). Given the fact that each Korean syllable possesses one-to-one correspondence between letters and phonemes (Taylor, 1980), a number of Korean disyllables were then created to resemble the pronunciations of these Chinese words and none of them are words.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way.

The original article has been updated.

## **OPEN ACCESS**

### Approved by:

Frontiers in Psychology, Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

### \*Correspondence:

Zheng Jin zhjin@ucdavis.edu

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cognition, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 28 July 2018 Accepted: 31 July 2018 Published: 27 August 2018

### Citation

Jin Z, Lee Y and Yuan Z (2018) Corrigendum: Biofunctional Understanding and Judgment of Size. Front. Psychol. 9:1515. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01515

# REFERENCES

Da, J. (2004). Bigram Frequencies and Mutual Information in Modern Chinese. Available at: http://lingua.mtsu.edu/chinese-computing/

KAIST (1999). KAIST Corpus. Available online at: http://semanticweb.kaist.ac.kr/home/index.php/KAIST\_Corpus. Taylor, I. (1980). "The Korean writing system: an alphabet? A syllabary? A logography?," in *Processing of Visible Language*, eds P. A. Kolers, M. E. Wrolstad, and H. Bouma (New York, NY: Plenum), 67–82.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Jin, Lee and Yuan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

1