



Corrigendum: Leadership Training to Increase Need Satisfaction at Work: A Quasi-Experimental Mixed Method Study

Susanne Tafvelin^{1*}, Ulrica von Thiele Schwarz^{2,3} and Andreas Stenling¹

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Con Stough, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia

*Correspondence:

Susanne Tafvelin susanne.tafvelin@umu.se

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Organizational Psychology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 10 February 2020 Accepted: 15 April 2020 Published: 05 May 2020

Citation:

Tafvelin S, von Thiele Schwarz U and Stenling A (2020) Corrigendum: Leadership Training to Increase Need Satisfaction at Work: A Quasi-Experimental Mixed Method Study. Front. Psychol. 11:937. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00937 ¹ Department of Psychology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden, ² Medical Management Centre, Department of Learning, Informatics, Management and Ethics Karolinska Institutet, Solna, Sweden, ³ School of Health, Care and Social Welfare, Mälardalen University, Västerås, Sweden

Keywords: basic psychological needs theory, leadership training, self-determination theory, need support, quasi-experimental design, focus group interviews

A Corrigendum on

Leadership Training to Increase Need Satisfaction at Work: A Quasi-Experimental Mixed Method Study

by Tafvelin, S., von Thiele Schwarz, U., and Stenling, A. (2019). Front. Psychol. 10:2175. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02175

In the original article, there was a mistake in **Table 1** as published. Autonomy support ratings for employees across the three time points were missing. There were also some minor formatting errors that have been corrected. The corrected **Table 1** appears below.

The authors apologize for these errors and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2020 Tafvelin, von Thiele Schwarz and Stenling. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

1

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of participants at baseline and descriptive statistics of the study variables.

	T1 (Baseline)				T2				тз			
	Control		Experimental		Control		Experimental		Control		Experimental	
	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD
Managers												
Age	45.06	7.25	42.50	9.21								
Tenure as manager	5.53	8.84	6.45	6.58								
Number of employees	24.29	11.88	24.2	10.31								
Autonomy support*	4.19	0.50	3.85	0.36	4.17	0.49	3.75	0.45	4.08	0.51	3.86	0.30
Competence support	3.57	0.53	3.54	0.40	3.67	0.54	3.50	0.35	3.61	0.49	3.65	0.35
Relatedness support	4.31	0.55	4.18	0.43	4.15	0.50	4.04	0.34	4.23	0.49	4.05	0.36
Employees												
Age	44.59	11.37	43.99	11.90								
Tenure	9.61	8.74	9.45	8.33								
Years with manager*	0.52	0.67	1.01	0.84								
Autonomy support	3.72	0.87	3.85	0.82	3.80	0.79	3.85	0.84	3.79	0.81	3.84	0.78
Competence support	3.50	0.88	3.64	0.83	3.50	0.91	3.65	0.88	3.54	0.90	3.62	0.89
Relatedness support	3.97	0.85	4.09	0.83	3.99	0.83	4.06	0.84	3.98	0.84	4.00	0.86
Autonomy*	3.88	0.56	3.76	0.67	3.79	0.60	3.75	0.66	3.83	0.59	3.74	0.56
Competence	4.15	0.51	4.19	0.54	4.12	0.60	4.09	0.60	4.03	0.49	4.15	0.58
Relatedness	4.18	0.71	4.03	0.72	4.12	0.73	4.00	0.72	4.04	0.68	4.02	0.67
Job satisfaction	2.82	0.56	2.75	0.55	2.81	0.53	2.75	0.57	2.81	0.57	2.73	0.58
Vigor	4.50	1.00	4.33	1.09	4.39	1.13	4.19	1.20	4.39	1.07	4.19	1.18
Burnout	2.35	0.76	2.34	0.74	2.41	0.86	2.41	0.82	2.32	0.81	2.37	0.79
Work performance	7.77	1.35	7.68	1.41	7.52	1.48	7.47	1.75	7.53	1.57	7.58	1.54

*Statistically significant difference between the control and experimental group at T1 (p < 0.05).