



Cultural Transmission, Evolution, and Revolution in Vocal Displays: Insights From Bird and Whale Song

Ellen C. Garland^{1*} and Peter K. McGregor²

¹Centre for Social Learning and Cognitive Evolution, and Sea Mammal Research Unit, School of Biology, University of St. Andrews, St. Andrews, United Kingdom, ²Eco-Ethology Research Unit, ISPA-Instituto Universitário, Lisbon, Portugal

Culture, defined as shared behavior or information within a community acquired through some form of social learning from conspecifics, is now suggested to act as a second inheritance system. Cultural processes are important in a wide variety of vertebrate species. Birdsong provides a classic example of cultural processes: cultural transmission, where changes in a shared song are learned from surrounding conspecifics, and cultural evolution, where the patterns of songs change through time. This form of cultural transmission of information has features that are different in speed and form from genetic transmission. More recently, culture, vocal traditions, and an extreme form of song evolution have been documented in cetaceans. Humpback whale song "revolutions," where the single population-wide shared song type is rapidly replaced by a new, novel song type introduced from a neighboring population, represents an extraordinary example of ocean basin-wide cultural transmission rivaled in its geographic extent only by humans. In this review, we examine the cultural evolutions and revolutions present in some birdsong and whale song, respectively. By taking a comparative approach to these cultural processes, we review the existing evidence to understand the similarities and differences for their patterns of expression and the underlying drivers, including anthropogenic influences, which may shape them. Finally, we encourage future studies to explore the role of innovation vs. production errors in song evolution, the fitness information present in song, and how human-induced changes in population sizes, trajectories, and migratory connections facilitating cultural transmission may be driving song revolutions.

Keywords: birdsong, whale song, vocal learning, cultural transmission, cultural evolution, cultural revolution, local dialect, sexual selection

INTRODUCTION

Some of the most important aspects of animals' lives have a large learned component (Whiten et al., 2017). Learning plays an important role in, for example, tool use (Whiten et al., 1999), foraging specializations (Allen et al., 2013; Aplin et al., 2015), and migratory routes (Carroll et al., 2015). Vocal communication provides another good example: many species of birds socially learn details of the songs that underpin successful resource defense and breeding, while a few whale species show signs of song learning that likely contributes to their reproductive success. This form of cultural transmission of information has features that are different in

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

David Rothenberg, New Jersey Institute of Technology, United States

Reviewed by:

Eduardo Mercado, University at Buffalo, United States Lesley J. Rogers, University of New England, Australia Stephanie Laura King, University of Bristol, United Kingdom

> ***Correspondence:** Ellen C. Garland ecg5@st-andrews.ac.uk

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Comparative Psychology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 23 March 2020 Accepted: 24 August 2020 Published: 29 September 2020

Citation:

Garland EC and McGregor PK (2020) Cultural Transmission, Evolution, and Revolution in Vocal Displays: Insights From Bird and Whale Song. Front. Psychol. 11:544929. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.544929

1

speed and form from genetic transmission (see Aplin, 2019, for a recent review), and these features have given cultural transmission a role in one of the major transitions in life (sensu Maynard Smith and Szathmary, 1999). Studies of birdsong have provided a wealth of information on learning mechanisms, including social learning aspects and the underlying hormonal and neural pathways, and these have contributed to our understanding of cultural evolution in general. Production learning is a form of social learning, where as a result of experience with signals of other individuals, an animal learns to modify the form of its own signal (Janik and Slater, 2000; Naguib et al., 2009; Janik, 2014). Production learning is considered rare in vertebrates. As whales and songbirds are thought of as exemplars of this advanced form of social learning, it is reasonable to adopt a comparative approach to better understand the factors driving their evolution. For example, the pattern of song "revolutions" in humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae), where the single population-wide shared song type is rapidly replaced by a new, novel song type introduced from a neighboring population, is unique in whales (Noad et al., 2000; Garland et al., 2011). The rapid (within season) and extensive (ocean basin-wide) spreading waves of song replacement represents an extreme example of song change that has the potential to increase our understanding of cultural transmission in general. While cultural evolution of song in birds has been widely documented (e.g., Williams et al., 2013; Pipek et al., 2018), sometimes at a continent-wide scale (e.g., Otter et al., 2020), we are aware of only one songbird species, the corn bunting [Emberiza (Miliaria) calandra], that shows concerted change in details of local dialects from year to year (McGregor et al., 1997). Therefore, the aim of this paper is to compare humpback whale song revolutions with the cultural evolution of song in birds (focusing on corn buntings) and to identify the sort of data that would be required to test some of the possible explanations, both in birds and whales.

Controlled-environment studies of factors influencing whale song cultural transmission in both field and lab experiments are unlikely in the foreseeable future because of insurmountable practicalities; for example, humpback whales are 14 m long, weigh 30 tonnes, and migrate ~6,000 km one-way. While agent-based models can provide an informative framework for investigating various social learning scenarios (Mcloughlin et al., 2018), all types of model can lack real-world applicability. Therefore, a comparative approach is currently the best option to understand the key factors of such phenomena. Information from lab studies of song learning in birds may seem the obvious comparison; however, such studies are problematic to interpret and apply to a real-world setting because virtually all such studies lack relevant social context. This is a significant limitation of lab studies because a social context is a prerequisite for song learning in nature and because song-learning mechanisms have evolved in a social environment. Cultural transmission of birdsong has been studied in the field, with individually identifiable subjects followed for some generations, for several species. Examples include the pioneering study on song sparrows Melospiza melodia (Nice, 1943; since extended by Beecher's lab, e.g., Beecher, 2017; Beecher et al., 2020), Bewick's wren Thryothorus bewickii (Kroodsma, 1974), saddlebacks Philesturnus carunculatus (Jenkins, 1978) and Philesturnus rufusater (Parker et al., 2012), indigo buntings Passerina cyanea (Payne, 1981; Payne and Payne, 1993), great tits Parus major (McGregor and Krebs, 1982), and savannah sparrows Passerculus sandwichiensis (Wheelwright et al., 2008). An approach using unidentified singers recorded continent- or country-wide by many workers including citizen scientists (e.g., Pipek et al., 2018; Otter et al., 2020) can allow cultural evolution to be documented on comparable spatial scales to whale song. While lacking the control of lab studies, field studies do take place in a natural social context. Field studies have produced sufficient indications of patterns and factors affecting song learning in birds to be useful in a comparative approach to understanding humpback song revolutions.

WHALE SONG: FROM SIMPLE SONGS TO CULTURAL REVOLUTIONS

Most baleen whale species sing. Like songbirds, their songs range from simple songs comprised of a few sound types (e.g., fin whales, Balaenoptera physalus: Delarue et al., 2009 and blue whales, Balaenoptera musculus: Stafford et al., 2001) through the complex songs of bowhead (Balaena mysticetus; Stafford et al., 2018) and humpback whales (Payne and McVay, 1971). While cultural transmission of vocalizations is not limited to song in cetaceans (e.g., killer whale and sperm whale repertoires; Ford, 1991; Rendell and Whitehead, 2003), the aim of this review is to examine the cultural transmission of male song displays. To that end, male humpback whales sing a long, complex, stereotyped, and hierarchically structured vocal sexual display termed "song" (Payne and McVay, 1971; Herman and Tavolga, 1980). It is generally agreed that song functions in sexual selection, but the details of whether its primary function is to attract a mate, mediate male-male interactions, or as a multi-message signal, are currently contested (see review by Herman, 2017). In terms of the comparative approach taken in this paper, both humpback whales and corn buntings are producing complex patterns that change over time; we compare the ways in which these patterns change. A humpback whale song unit is considered analogous to a birdsong note or element, and a humpback whale song phrase (itself consisting of a stereotyped sequence of units; Payne and McVay, 1971) is considered analogous to a bird's song (Figure 1; Cholewiak et al., 2013). In the humpback whale song hierarchy, phrases are repeated multiple times to make a *theme*, and a sequence of different themes forms the song (Payne and McVay, 1971).

A single humpback whale song can last from 5 to 30 min, and a song session can last for many hours (Payne and McVay, 1971). All humpback whales produce units with predictable acoustic characteristics that are similar within and across whales and populations, making it possible to compare songs. From this, we hypothesize that there may be a general, worldwide repertoire of unit types (although the exact number of unit types per location is a current focus of research), suggesting that humpbacks may possess an inherited basic template of



FIGURE 1 (A) Spectrograms illustrating the hierarchical structure of humpback whale song (from Garland et al., 2017b). A single unit ("trumpet") and a single phrase from Theme 25a are shown in the top panel. Theme 25a units from the single phrase in the top panel are as follows: short ascending moan, grunt, grunt, grunt, grunt, grunt, grunt, short ascending moan, trumpet, squeak, trumpet, squeak, and trumpet. The repetition of phrases and the sequential singing of themes are shown in each of the subsequent panels. Spectrograms were 2048 point fast Fourier transform (FFT), Hann window, 31 Hz resolution, and 75% overlap, generated in Raven Pro 1.4. Reprinted with permission from Garland et al. 2017b (Copyright 2017, Acoustic Society of America). (B) Spectrograms illustrating the hierarchical structure of corn bunting song. The lower panel represents part of a bout of singing lasting several minutes. It shows two song types (song types differ mainly in the first part of the song; McGregor, 1986) sung in an eventual variety style: five repeats of song type 1, then four repeats of song type 2. A single song (top panel) is composed of units of grouped elements, where elements are single continuous sounds. Spectrograms produced with 20 kHz sampling rate and 160 Hz bandwidth.

own species sound units. It is the arrangement and rearrangement of units into distinct, stereotyped patterns (like with birdsong) that is the major focus of humpback song research. Humpback whales are considered "*eventual variety*" singers (Cholewiak et al., 2013, a term that originated in birdsong; Hartshorne, 1956), as they repeat phrases within each theme before moving onto the next theme (e.g., AAAA, BBB, CCC, etc.). At any point in time, most males within a population will sing the same song type, thus, there is strong cultural conformity to the current arrangement (version) of the song display (Payne et al., 1983; Payne and Payne, 1985).

Song evolution occurs in humpback whale song through addition, deletion, and/or substitution at all levels within the nested song hierarchy. Individual sound units can be stretched and split into 2 units, new themes can be added, and older themes deleted from the song (Winn and Winn, 1978; Payne et al., 1983). The process is one-way; no song has reappeared once it has been dropped entirely by a population (Winn and Winn, 1978; Payne and Payne, 1985; Cato, 1991). As the humpback song changes, all males within the population incorporate the changes into their own song, maintaining the observed cultural conformity. A salient feature of humpback song is strong cultural conformity; they may learn the changes to the song from surrounding males in acoustic contact or innovate their own changes. Recent work into song learning suggests that humpback whales use learning mechanisms similar to those of songbirds and humans when acquiring new material (Garland et al., 2017a). The song is segmented into themes and learned as separate segments. New themes can evolve from older themes or can be completely new arrangements of units (Payne and Payne, 1985) created de novo. However, which male(s) innovate or create new de novo arrangements and who ultimately leads the evolutionary song progression remains elusive.

At the ocean basin scale, songs are similar (based on "matched" themes/phrase types), but this similarity depends on the geographical distance and variable interactions among populations (Payne and Guinee, 1983; Helweg et al., 1998; Darling and Sousa-Lima, 2005; Darling et al., 2019). Song sharing among populations is hypothesized to occur due to three mechanisms (Payne and Guinee, 1983): song sharing on shared feeding grounds and/or on shared or partially shared migratory routes, through males visiting more than one wintering ground in consecutive years, and by males visiting more than one wintering ground within a breeding season (McSweeney et al., 1989; Clapham and Mattila, 1990; Calambokidis et al., 2001; Clark and Clapham, 2004; Smith, 2009; Garrigue et al., 2011; Stimpert et al., 2012; Garland et al., 2013a; Owen et al., 2019). A recent study by Darling et al. (2019) in the North Pacific demonstrated a single song type with varying amounts of theme sharing among the four North Pacific populations, mirroring previous studies (Helweg et al., 1990, 1992; Darling et al., 2014). There were year-to-year regional differences among populations suggesting variable interactions, as geographic distance was not a predictor (but was a factor) in song similarity (Darling et al., 2019). The authors suggest that differences among these populations are best viewed as "fluid divergences from a more common [single] North Pacific song." In contrast, in 2000, Noad et al. (2000) documented an extraordinary cultural phenomenon: the song from the west Australian population of humpback whales appeared in the east Australian population and rapidly replaced the existing song. This process was termed a "song revolution" to clearly distinguish it from the common and much slower process of song evolution as described above (Noad et al., 2000). The complete replacement of a population-wide display was striking; Noad et al. (2000) were able to trace this process over 2 years where the new song first appeared in low numbers and then increased in frequency until the old song was completely gone. The movement of a small number of whales from the west Australian population to the east Australian population taking their song with them was hypothesized to have initiated the cultural transmission of the song between the ocean basins. Most importantly, this cultural phenomenon (song revolution) was believed to be a unique event.

This was however not the case. Garland et al. (2011, 2012, 2013a,b, 2015, 2017a) have conclusively demonstrated multiple song revolutions where multiple song types from the east Australian population have been horizontally transmitted east across the populations in the South Pacific in a series of cultural waves spanning a decade (Figure 2). Song types take 2 years to spread from east Australia across to French Polynesia in a series of steps creating a checkerboard of behavioral phenotypes at the decadal scale. Recently, a variant of white-throated sparrow (Zonotrichia albicolis) song spread across Canada in a west to east direction replacing the existing song; the spread was similar in the extent of change, but much slower in that the change took some decades (Otter et al., 2020). Humpback whale song dynamics in the South Pacific appear to differ from other ocean basins as several different song types can be present at any point in time instead of a single song



FIGURE 2 | Humpback whale song types identified in the South Pacific region from 1998 to 2008 (from Garland et al., 2011). Populations are listed from west to east across the region. Each color represents a distinct song type; song type colors are as follows: black, gray, pink, dark blue, blue, light blue, dark red, light red, yellow, dark green, and light green. Two colors within the same year and location indicate that both song types were present. In these cases, the seasons are broken into three periods (early, middle, and late) to indicate when a new song type was recorded. Crosshatching indicates no data available. Reproduced with permission.

type (Darling et al., 2019). The unidirectional cultural transmission of song types is hypothesized to occur due to differences in population sizes across the region; novel song types appear to spread from larger to smaller populations (Garland et al., 2011, 2015). Rapid and complete replacement of a behavioral phenotype is a striking cultural process. The only mechanism to date that appears to trigger a song revolution is the appearance in an ocean basin of a new song type that can be traced to come from another (i.e., song from the west Australian population located in the Indian Ocean appearing in the east Australian population in the South Pacific). We speculate this phenomenon occurs throughout the Southern Hemisphere due to humpbacks' circumpolar feeding grounds and no landmasses to impede movement at high latitude. We would not expect to find such revolutionary dynamics in Northern Hemisphere populations (primarily located in the North Pacific and North Atlantic) because these populations are constrained by continents on each side of the ocean basins. However, there may be the possibility of trans-hemisphere cultural transmission of song (e.g., North and South Pacific breeding grounds may overlap along the northern South American and central American coasts). What is clear, though,

is that when a novel song appears within a population, singers switch to the novel song. Novel songs are hypothesized to be rapidly learned, and this quest for novelty is thought to be driven by sexual selection (Noad et al., 2000; Cerchio et al., 2001). This would allow a male to stand out against the background of song. However, this novelty needs to be constrained; otherwise, all songs would rapidly diverge at the individual level (Noad, 2002), which is not what we observe.

Understanding the underlying mechanisms of this process and the individual learning strategies that create such a pattern is challenging. Recently, Garland et al. (2017a,b) investigated how humpback whales learn a new song type by examining instances of song hybridization, where we recorded a whale hypothesized to be in the process of learning a new song. Songs were segmented and then learned as whole themes, akin to how songbirds learn song and human infants learn language in segments (Garland et al., 2017a). The position in the song a singer switched from "old" to "new" song themes was not random; a "switch when similar rule" was uncovered where singers smoothly transitioned from old to new themes where the similarity in unit type and arrangement was highest (Garland et al., 2017a). Agent-based models of humpback whale song revolutions have also provided valuable information on the various scenarios that may initiate a song revolution (Lamoni, 2018). By modeling the west-east Australian song revolutions and comparing this to actual song revolution data, individual agents required a song memory to ensure they did not immediately revert to their old song. This hints at a cognitive capacity to remember not only the current song but also the previous season's song, similar to songbirds' discrimination learning (e.g., McGregor and Avery, 1986).

The appearance of a new song type presents the whales with a substantial amount of novel material that requires rapid learning to mirror the pattern we observe. Allen et al. (2018) investigated the complexity of song in the east Australian population over 13 consecutive years. As songs evolved, complexity increased; however, song revolutions were significantly less complex than their predecessor. The relative complexity of songs in song revolutions may represent an upper limit to song learning (Allen et al., 2018). It may also support the "cognitive capacity hypothesis," where song complexity may convey an individual's overall cognitive ability and could be used by prospective mates. Finally, this cyclic pattern of increasing complexity during evolutions and decrease in complexity with a novel, revolutionary song may superficially mirror broadscale human music evolutionary processes (e.g., Baroque to Classical and then into the Romantic period).

SONG LEARNING IN BIRDS

The general pattern of song learning in songbirds is commonly described as an inherited basic template of own species song and a predisposition to add details from singing adults (often fathers) within a sensitive period in early life (when nestling or fledgling), after which the song is unchanging (e.g., Brainard and Doupe, 2002). This pattern is apparent in lab studies of

song learning using tape tutoring (see Mennill et al., 2018, for tape tutoring in the field), i.e., in the absence of social interaction. The potential importance of male-male interaction in driving song learning was shown by a lab experiment using live tutors (Baptista and Petrinovitch, 1984) - males learned the song of their social tutor even when it was a different species. The importance of social interaction in song acquisition has been shown in several other bird species (e.g., Farabaugh et al., 1994; Nordby et al., 2000; Poirier et al., 2004; Beecher, 2017), discussed in relation to the "classic" song-learning pattern (e.g., Beecher and Burt, 2004; Beecher and Brenowitz, 2005) and linked to human speech acquisition (e.g., Kuhl, 2007). Given that male-male interaction, including singing interactions, is a prerequisite for establishing a territory in songbirds, there is good reason to expect natural song learning to be affected by such interactions. Indeed, field studies of juvenile song sparrows show they are particularly attracted to adult singing interactions during their prime song-learning phase (Templeton et al., 2010). Below, we explore two patterns of song learning in the field that may be salient in understanding song learning in humpback whales.

Field Evidence for Song Learning: A Clinal Pattern, e.g., Great Tits

Male great tits defend a territory in the breeding season and pair with a (non-singing) female. The role of song in territory defense is well-established (Krebs et al., 1978). Males sing a repertoire of one to six discrete song types (McGregor and Krebs, 1982) and are known to perceive song type categories in a similar way to researchers' visual categorization of spectrograms (e.g., Falls et al., 1982; Shy et al., 1986; Weary, 1990). Evidence that song is learned mainly from territorial neighbors is two-fold: the extent of repertoire sharing with a focal male declines with increasing distance from the male (Figure 3A), as does the similarity of details of songs of the same song type (Figure 3B; Falls et al., 1982), and the details of song types shared between sons and fathers are less similar than song types shared between sons and males in the sons' year of breeding (McGregor and Krebs, 1982). The advantage of sharing song types with neighbors is likely related to song type matching (e.g., Falls et al., 1982; King and McGregor, 2016) in aggressive singing interactions between males. Field studies of individually marked males have shown that song learning can continue throughout life [for both production (McGregor and Krebs, 1989) and discrimination (McGregor and Avery, 1986) learning], with the stimulus for learning being interactions with male neighbors. Interestingly, it seems that songs learned for discrimination are not forgotten and create proactive memory interference (McGregor and Avery, 1986), whereas songs for production are added and others not used with the effect that repertoire size does not change significantly with age (McGregor et al., 1981; McGregor and Krebs, 1989). The result of this pattern of song learning is that song types change in commonness in the population across years mainly due to males leaving/arriving (McGregor and Krebs, 1989) and that both repertoire and song type



similarity decline with distance from a focal male. Similar patterns are seen in other territorial, discontinuous-singing, repertoire species (examples in Hill et al., 1999).

Field Evidence for Song Learning: Local Dialects, e.g., Corn Bunting

In contrast to the gradual, clinal change in song similarity described in the previous section, other species show a mosaic pattern of song similarity, with high similarity within a local dialect and abrupt changes in similarity at boundaries between contiguous local dialects. Such a pattern is often termed microgeographic variation to acknowledge that the distances between local dialects are too small to create the differences by geographical isolation. The corn bunting shows clear local dialect variation in song in the United Kingdom (McGregor, 1980; Holland et al., 1996), France (Pellerin, 1981), Poland (Osiejuk and Ratynska, 2003), and Portugal (Latruffe et al., 2000). Within an area of suitable habitat, the population is fragmented into a number of local dialects, song variation is discrete, and no examples of intermediate or mixed songs have been found, although some males on the boundary between local dialects are "bilingual" in the sense that they



sing both local dialects. Playback experiments have shown the salience of local dialects to males (Pellerin, 1983); for example, males respond differently to playback of own, neighboring, and distant dialects (McGregor, 1983). Another indication of the salience of local dialect variation to males is the example of a polyterritorial male that held territories in neighboring dialects – this male always sang the "*right*" dialect for the territory (McGregor et al., 1997).

A local dialect pattern of song variation has been shown in a number of species (e.g., white-crowned sparrow Zonotrichia leucophrys: Marler and Tamura, 1964; village indigo birds Vidua chalybeata: Baker and Cunningham, 1985; Payne, 1985). Corn bunting local dialects are comparable to humpback whale song because of a pattern of concerted change in a local dialect, with all males concurrently changing their song to a newer version. The nature of change in corn bunting local dialects from one breeding season to the next is that the detailed note structure of the song changes to varying degrees, but it is still possible to see the relationship to songs from the location recorded several years previously (e.g., McGregor and Thompson, 1988; Holland et al., 1996; McGregor et al., 1997; Figure 4). The surprising aspect of this change over time is that it is a concerted change by all males singing in the population; a study of males individually marked as nestlings showed that no males sang a song from the previous year, even if they had successfully bred then (McGregor et al., 1997). This pattern of concerted change appears comparable to humpback whales in that all males concurrently change their song to a newer version. However, humpback song revolutions are characterized by completely novel arrangements that bear no resemblance to the previous years' song, whereas the new corn bunting songs show considerable similarity to the previous local dialect - corn bunting song change is evolutionary rather than revolutionary.

SONG CHANGE IN SPACE AND TIME: WHALES AND SONGBIRDS

There are clear differences between humpback and corn bunting song variation that may shed some light on the observed differences in cultural transmission and evolution. First, the extent of change in song - wholesale replacement in humpbacks vs. changes in some details of corn bunting local dialects - merits the use of the term song revolution for humpbacks, but not for corn buntings. Second, the geographical scale of change - much more extensive (ocean basin-wide) in humpbacks vs. a few kilometers for corn buntings - this is unremarkable given the differences between species in patterns of movement (corn buntings are sedentary, dispersing a few 100 m from birth to breeding site). However, the associated population size differences (1,000-40,000 individuals in humpbacks vs. 10-100s of males in corn buntings) may have relevance for population genetics. Active singing space, i.e., the number of other individuals in the communication network centered around a singing male, may be a better way to consider the geographical scale of change. Humpback song transmits for tens of kilometers (see Cato, 1991) and could potentially encompass hundreds of individuals, whereas corn bunting song could at best encompass tens of individuals. However, for high-fidelity copying, it is suggested that a whale would need to be within 10 km of the singer to copy higher frequency components of the song that do not transmit as far within the environment; therefore, the size of a network of singers within a breeding ground may be comparable (i.e., tens of individuals in both species). A third major difference is the likely source of the new song variant. In humpbacks, a male from a different and potentially "isolated" humpback population may immigrate into the focal population and initiates a song revolution (Noad et al., 2000). In corn buntings, the source is likely a male from the same population (see below).

There are also some interesting similarities between humpbacks and corn buntings, both in aspects of song acquisition and factors that could affect learning. First, one explanation for the high degree of similarity of songs seen within populations of both species is that the change occurred because a single male produced a new song variant that was then copied, as observed in village indigo birds (Payne, 1985). This raises two questions: how did the new song variant occur and why was this new song copied? The new song variants in corn buntings show relatively limited change, making it more likely that change resulted from a learning or production error at the beginning of the season (McGregor et al., 1997). A similar process may occur in humpback song; recent work using agentbased models of song evolution demonstrated that production errors (rate = 1 or 0.1%) facilitated the gradual song evolution observed in the wild (Mcloughlin et al., 2018). Using both novelty bias and production errors in the model mirrored the progressive song evolution observed in the South Pacific (Mcloughlin et al., 2018). However, no scenarios resulted in song revolutions; to produce a revolution in the agent-based model required a song memory to be added (Lamoni, 2018). While learning or production errors are likely causing the gradual evolution of song, innovation cannot be discounted. Understanding whether some males innovate, and to what extent this occurs, or an innovation is copied requires research.

The learning of novel songs seems to be contrary to the arguments for conformity in other species (e.g., Lachlan et al., 2018). The propensity of corn buntings to copy the new song variant may reflect their breeding system. While considered a territorial species, the Hebrides study population is better described as an exploded lek, with polygynous males (one-third total singing males) at the center of the dialect area, monogamous males (also one-third total) surrounding them and unmated males at the periphery. In subsequent years, if males move territories, they do so toward the center and their mating status changes accordingly (Shepherd, 1992; Shepherd et al., 1997). If territory settlement is asynchronous, then the song of the first bird to settle (likely at the dialect center) would be copied (together with any of its learning and/or production errors) by the males settling subsequently in adjacent territories. That is, the current year's version of the local dialect would spread out from the center of the local dialect area as more males settle. Likewise, the mating system of humpback whales may explain their propensity to copy new song variants including completely novel songs. Humpback whales have a mating system that is polygynous, promiscuous (Clapham, 1996; Clapham and Palsbøll, 1997; Cerchio et al., 2005; Darling et al., 2006), or a lek (including the "floating lek" proposed by Clapham, 1996). In a recent review of song function by Herman (2017), he concluded that humpbacks adhere to a classical lekking system (with a few additions), and the arena is at the scale of a breeding ground (e.g., over 1,000 km²). In such a scenario, song changes are unlikely to radiate out from a center akin to corn buntings, as male humpbacks do not hold territories, rather they roam freely (along with females) on the breeding grounds. Song changes are hypothesized to be passed along a chain of singers or to radiate out from a single singer regardless of his position on the breeding grounds. It is unclear which male(s) within the population "lead" song changes, if this is a collective process, or if the directional change observed in song units follows an innate template of how songs should evolve (Cerchio et al., 2001). If song evolution is not based on an innate template, who should a male copy? In some songbirds, males copy the song of the most successful breeding male (e.g., Payne, 1985; West and King, 1988). It is unclear how a male humpback whale could assess his reproductive success let alone another male's. In lieu of a clear indication (e.g., eggs in a nest/fledglings), a feasible method of assessing reproductive "success" and a suggestion of whose song to copy would be akin to mate-copying: copy the song of a male who is escorting a female. Males interrupting singers may be prospecting for females (Smith et al., 2008); if a male is unable to displace the current male, he would be a good song model to copy. Whether songs convey fitness information that females use in mate choice is in desperate need of research.

Both humpback whales and corn buntings have suffered extreme population declines through anthropogenic effects, respectively, through whaling (Jackson et al., 2015) and agricultural intensification (in 1970s and 1980s in the UK, central and western Europe; Donald, 1997). While humpbacks are generally recovering worldwide (exception Oceania/western and central South Pacific; Childerhouse et al., 2008; Jackson et al., 2015), UK corn buntings have declined to 10% of their 1970 numbers (Defra, 2018). These different trajectories in population size may help explain the differences in the source of new songs; in recovering humpback populations (such as west or east Australia), immigrating males may bring new songs, whereas new songs originate within declining corn bunting populations.

The effect of declining corn bunting populations seems to be that dialects become less clear (Holland et al., 1996), similar to the less apparent local dialects in less suitable habitats (Osiejuk and Ratynska, 2003). The information on the rate of change of songs in newly established populations is mixed. Songbirds introduced to (and colonizing) areas well outside their natural geographical range may show a slower rate of song change (e.g., chaffinches Fringilla coelebs introduced to New Zealand from Sussex, UK; Ince et al., 1980; Jenkins and Baker, 1984) and may retain dialect variation that has been lost from the source population (e.g., yellowhammers Emberiza citronella; Pipek et al., 2018). But can also show rapid cultural evolution (e.g., Eurasian tree sparrows Passer montanus introduced to the USA; Lang and Barlow, 1997). In the South Pacific, French Polynesia appears to have been recently colonized by humpbacks (Olavarría et al., 2007). French Polynesian song is also perplexing in that song revolutions can fail to take hold (Garland et al., 2011); perhaps this slower rate of song change may be tied to their colonization past. The details of relative isolation of populations and the rate of introgression of males/songs from other populations would seem to be key factors affecting evolutionary and revolutionary change in songs.

KNOWLEDGE GAPS AND FUTURE AVENUES OF RESEARCH

Ultimately, the aim of this comparative approach is to understand population-wide processes in the natural environment that could apply to any species of animal. In whales and songbirds, a few specific data gaps are clear; filling these, while extremely challenging to say the least, is what we should be striving for. Different species may be more amenable to address specific questions than others. Long-term datasets are key to answering questions in cultural evolution. These can take a researcher's lifetime to build and to address processes and mechanisms will require information at an individual level on genotype, song samples (through time), reproductive success, and movements (to name a few). The following list of questions in key topics needs to be addressed:

- i. Innovation. Do some or all males innovate? To what extent does innovation occur? Are all innovations copied? How do males choose which male (or innovation!) to copy?
- ii. Song and mating. Do songs (or parts of songs) convey fitness information? And if so, what aspects do females use in mate choice? Is novely part of the answer?
- iii. Source of variation. Is song evolution primarily caused by production errors?

iv. Anthropogenic influences. Are human-induced changes in population size and distribution critical factors driving revolution, innovation, and local dialects?

To test these questions, meticulous individual-level data are needed for some (e.g., song, or song parts, conveying fitness), while other broader-scale questions (e.g., production errors) can be addressed by population surveillance. For example, the study of cultural evolution of savannah sparrow song over three decades showed a change in some song elements but not others, and variants of some parts of the song were associated with reproductive success (Williams et al., 2013). In terms of other tractable systems, testing such questions using corn buntings (or other applicable species, e.g., village indigo birds) would greatly enhance our wider understanding of song evolution. There is undoubtedly a strong genetic basis to singing and song, where the genetic influence ends and cultural processes begin is where things get interesting. Unfortunately, no songbird or any other animal species to date have exhibited the rapid and repeated population-wide replacement of a cultural phenotype as observed in humpback song revolutions. However, the recent report that a variant of white-throated sparrow song spread across Canada in a west to east direction replacing the existing song is similar in the extent of change but much slower in that the change took some decades (Otter et al., 2020).

There is one final piece to the cultural puzzle we have not considered here: human culture. Cultural evolution, language evolution, horizontal transmission of fads, and the like all provide a rich expansion for the comparative approach we have taken here (Smith and Kirby, 2008; Whiten et al., 2017). The spread of pop songs or fashion through a population bears a striking resemblance to the spread of song revolutions. Markers of group identity shown through the rapid cultural change in slang words within a group are also present in shared songbird dialects. With the maturing of multiple long-term datasets, the next decade is poised to provide answers to some of these important questions in cultural evolution.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EG and PM conceived of the study, drafted the manuscript, critically revised it, and provided approval for publication of the final version.

FUNDING

EG is funded by a Royal Society University Research Fellowship (UF160081).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the organizers of the 2018 UNEP CMS workshop on the Conservation Implications of Animal Culture and Social Complexity and, in particular, Philippa Brakes for providing the environment and stimuli for the discussions that led to this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Allen, J. A., Garland, E. C., Dunlop, R. A., and Noad, M. J. (2018). Cultural revolutions reduce complexity in the songs of humpback whales. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 285:20182088. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2018.2088
- Allen, J., Weinrich, M., Hoppitt, W., and Rendell, L. (2013). Network-based diffusion analysis reveals cultural transmission of lobtail feeding in humpback whales. *Science* 340, 485–488. doi: 10.1126/science.1231976
- Aplin, L. M. (2019). Culture and cultural evolution in birds: a review of the evidence. Anim. Behav. 147, 179–187. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2018.05.001
- Aplin, L. M., Farine, D. R., Morand-ferron, J., Cockburn, A., Thornton, A., and Sheldon, B. C. (2015). Experimentally induced innovations lead to persistent culture via conformity in wild birds. *Nature* 518, 538–541. doi: 10.1038/nature13998
- Baker, M. C., and Cunningham, M. A. (1985). The biology of bird-song dialects. Behav. Brain Sci. 8, 85–100. doi: 10.1017/S0140525X00019750
- Baptista, L. F., and Petrinovitch, L. (1984). Social interaction, sensitive phases and the song template hypothesis in the white-crowned sparrow. *Anim. Behav.* 32, 172–174.
- Beecher, M. D. (2017). Birdsong learning as a social process. Anim. Behav. 124, 233-246. doi: 10.1016/J.ANBEHAV.2016.09.001
- Beecher, M. D., Akçay, Ç., and Campbell, S. E. (2020). Birdsong learning is mutually beneficial for tutee and tutor in song sparrows. *Anim. Behav.* 166, 281–288. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2020.05.015
- Beecher, M. D., and Brenowitz, E. A. (2005). Functional aspects of song learning in songbirds. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 20, 143–149. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2005.01.004
- Beecher, M. D., and Burt, J. M. (2004). The role of social interaction in bird song learning. *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* 13, 224–228. doi: 10.1111/j.0963-7214.2004.00313.x
- Brainard, M. S., and Doupe, A. J. (2002). What songbirds teach us about learning. *Nature* 417, 351–358. doi: 10.1038/417351a
- Calambokidis, J., Steiger, G. H., Straley, J. M., Herman, L. M., Cerchio, S., Salden, D. R., et al. (2001). Movements and population structure of humpback whales in the North Pacific. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 17, 769–794. doi: 10.1111/ j.1748-7692.2001.tb01298.x
- Carroll, E. L., Baker, C. S., Watson, M., Alderman, R., Bannister, J., Gaggiotti, O. E., et al. (2015). Cultural traditions across a migratory network shape the genetic structure of southern right whales around Australia and New Zealand. *Sci. Rep.* 5:16182. doi: 10.1038/srep16182
- Cato, D. H. (1991). Songs of humpback whales: the Australian perspective. Mem. Queensl. Museum 30, 277–290.
- Cerchio, S., Jacobsen, J. K., Cholewiak, D. M., Falcone, E. A., and Merriwether, D. A. (2005). Paternity in humpback whales, *Megaptera novaeangliae*: assessing polygyny and skew in male reproductive success. *Anim. Behav.* 70, 267–277. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2004.10.028
- Cerchio, S., Jacobsen, J. K., and Norris, T. F. (2001). Temporal and geographical variation in songs of humpback whales, *Megaptera novaeangliae*: synchronous change in Hawaiian and Mexican breeding assemblages. *Anim. Behav.* 62, 313–329. doi: 10.1006/anbe.2001.1747
- Childerhouse, S. J., Jackson, J. A., Baker, C. S., Gales, N. J., Clapham, P. J., and Brownell, R. L. Jr.. (2008). "Megaptera novaeangliae (Oceania subpopulation)" in IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.
- Cholewiak, D. M., Sousa-Lima, R. S., and Cerchio, S. (2013). Humpback whale song hierarchical structure: historical context and discussion of current classification issues. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 29, 1–21. doi: 10.1111/mms.12005
- Clapham, P. J. (1996). The social and reproductive biology of humpback whales: an ecological perspective. *Mammal Rev.* 26, 27-49.
- Clapham, P. J., and Mattila, D. K. (1990). Humpback whale songs as indicators of migration routes. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 6, 155–160. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.1990. tb00238.x
- Clapham, P. J., and Palsbøll, P. J. (1997). Molecular analysis of paternity shows promiscuous mating in female humpback whales. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 264, 95–98.
- Clark, C. W., and Clapham, P. J. (2004). Acoustic monitoring on a humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) feeding ground shows continual singing into late spring. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 271, 1051–1057. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2004.2699
- Darling, J. D., Acebes, J. M. V., Frey, O., Jorge Urbán, R., and Yamaguchi, M. (2019). Convergence and divergence of songs suggests ongoing, but annually

variable, mixing of humpback whale populations throughout the North Pacific. Sci. Rep. 9, 1-14. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-42233-7

- Darling, J. D., Acebes, J. M. V., and Yamaguchi, M. (2014). Similarity yet a range of differences between humpback whale songs recorded in the Philippines, Japan and Hawaii in 2006. Aquat. Biol. 21, 93–107. doi: 10.3354/ab00570
- Darling, J., Nicklin, C., and Jones, M. (2006). Humpback whale songs: do they organize males during the breeding season? *Behaviour* 143, 1051–1101. doi: 10.1163/156853906778607381
- Darling, J. D., and Sousa-Lima, R. S. (2005). Songs indicate interaction between humpback whale. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 21, 557–566. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.2005. tb01249.x
- Defra (2018). Wild bird populations in the UK, 1970 to 2017. York, UK.
- Delarue, J., Todd, S. K., Van Parijs, S. M., and Di Iorio, L. (2009). Geographic variation in Northwest Atlantic fin whale (*Balaenoptera physalus*) song: implications for stock structure assessment. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 125, 1774–1782. doi: 10.1121/1.3068454
- Donald, P. F. (1997). "The corn bunting *Miliaria calandra* in Britain: a review of current status, patterns of decline and possible causes" in *The ecology and conservation of corn buntings Miliaria calandra*. eds. P. F. Donald and N. J. Aebischer (Peterborough: JNCC), 11–27.
- Falls, J. B., Krebs, J. R., and McGregor, P. K. (1982). Song matching in the great tit (*Parus major*): the effect of similarity and familiarity. *Anim. Behav.* 30, 997–1009. doi: 10.1016/S0003-3472(82)80188-7
- Farabaugh, S. M., Linzenbold, A., and Dooling, R. J. (1994). Vocal plasticity in budgerigars (*Melopsittacus undulatus*): evidence for social factors in the learning of contact calls. *J. Comp. Psychol.* 108, 81–92. doi: 10.1037/ 0735-7036.108.1.81
- Ford, J. K. B. (1991). Vocal traditions among resident killer whales (Orcinus orca) in coastal waters of British Columbia. Can. J. Zool. 69, 1454–1483.
- Garland, E. C., Gedamke, J., Rekdahl, M. L., Noad, M. J., Garrigue, C., and Gales, N. (2013a). Humpback whale song on the Southern Ocean feeding grounds: implications for cultural transmission. *PLoS One* 8:e79422. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0079422
- Garland, E. C., Goldizen, A. W., Lilley, M. S., Rekdahl, M. L., Garrigue, C., Constantine, R., et al. (2015). Population structure of humpback whales in the western and central South Pacific Ocean as determined by vocal exchange among populations. *Conserv. Biol.* 29, 1198–1207. doi: 10.1111/cobi.12492
- Garland, E. C., Goldizen, A. W., Rekdahl, M. L., Constantine, R., Garrigue, C., Hauser, N. D., et al. (2011). Dynamic horizontal cultural transmission of humpback whale song at the ocean basin scale. *Curr. Biol.* 21, 687–691. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2011.03.019
- Garland, E. C., Lilley, M. S., Goldizen, A. W., Rekdahl, M. L., Garrigue, C., and Noad, M. J. (2012). Improved versions of the Levenshtein distance method for comparing sequence information in animals' vocalisations: tests using humpback whale song. *Behaviour* 149, 1413–1441. doi: 10.1163/ 1568539X-00003032
- Garland, E. C., Noad, M. J., Goldizen, A. W., Lilley, M. S., Rekdahl, M. L., Garrigue, C., et al. (2013b). Quantifying humpback whale song sequences to understand the dynamics of song exchange at the ocean basin scale. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 133, 560–569. doi: 10.1121/1.4770232
- Garland, E. C., Rendell, L., Lamoni, L., Poole, M. M., and Noad, M. J. (2017a). Song hybridization events during revolutionary song change provide insights into cultural transmission in humpback whales. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114, 7822–7829. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1621072114
- Garland, E. C., Rendell, L., Lilley, M. S., Poole, M. M., Allen, J., and Noad, M. J. (2017b). The devil is in the detail: quantifying vocal variation in a complex, multi-levelled, and rapidly evolving display. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 142, 460–472. doi: 10.1121/1.4991320
- Garrigue, C., Franklin, T., Constantine, R., Russell, K., Burns, D., Poole, M., et al. (2011). First assessment of interchange of humpback whales between Oceania and the east coast of Australia. J. Cetacean Res. Manag. 3, 269–274.
- Hartshorne, C. (1956). The monotony-threshold in singing birds. Auk 73, 176-192.
- Helweg, D. A., Cato, D. H., Jenkins, P. F., Garrigue, C., and McCauley, R. D. (1998). Geographic variation in South Pacific humpback whale songs. *Behaviour* 135, 1–27. doi: 10.2307/4535507
- Helweg, D. A., Frankel, A. S., Mobley, J. R. Jr., and Herman, L. M. (1992). "Humpback whale song: our current understanding" in *Marine mammal*

sensory systems. eds. J. A. Thomas, R. A. Kastelein and A. Y. Supin (New York: Plenum Press), 459-483.

- Helweg, D. A., Herman, L. M., Yamamoto, S., and Forestell, P. H. (1990). Comparison of songs of humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) recorded in Japan, Hawaii, and Mexico during the winter of 1989. *Sci. Rep. Cetacean Res.* 1, 1–20.
- Herman, L. M. (2017). The multiple functions of male song within the humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) mating system: review, evaluation, and synthesis. *Biol. Rev.* 92, 1795–1818. doi: 10.1111/brv.12309
- Herman, L. M., and Tavolga, W. N. (1980). "The communication system of cetaceans" in *Cetacean behaviour: Mechanisms and functions*. ed. L. M. Herman (New York: John Wiley & Sons), 149–209.
- Hill, C. E., Campbell, S. E., Nordby, J. C., Burt, J. M., and Beecher, M. D. (1999). Song sharing in two populations of song sparrows (*Melospiza melodia*). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 46, 341–349. doi: 10.1007/s002650050628
- Holland, J., McGregor, P. K., and Rowe, C. L. (1996). Changes in microgeographic song variation of the corn bunting *Miliaria calandra. J. Avian Biol.* 27, 47–55. doi: 10.2307/3676960
- Ince, S. A., Slater, P. J. B., and Weismann, C. (1980). Changes with time in the songs of a population of chaffinches. *Condor* 82, 285–290. doi: 10.2307/ 1367393
- Jackson, J. A., Ross-Gillespie, A., Butterworth, D., Findlay, K., Holloway, S., Robbins, J., et al. (2015). Southern Hemisphere Humpback Whale Comprehensive Assessment—A synthesis and summary: 2005–2015. Report No. (SC/66a/SH3, 1–38) to the Scientific Committee of the International Whaling Commission.
- Janik, V. M. (2014). Cetacean vocal learning and communication. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 28, 60–65. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2014.06.010
- Janik, V. M., and Slater, P. J. (2000). The different roles of social learning in vocal communication. Anim. Behav. 60, 1–11. doi: 10.1006/anbe.2000.1410
- Jenkins, P. F. (1978). Cultural transmission of song patterns and dialect development in a free-living bird population. *Anim. Behav.* 26, 50–78. doi: 10.1016/0003-3472(78)90007-6
- Jenkins, P. F., and Baker, A. J. (1984). Mechanisms of song differentiation in introduced populations of chaffinches *Fringilla coelebs* in New Zealand. *Ibis* 126, 510–552.
- King, S. L., and McGregor, P. K. (2016). Vocal matching: the what, the why and the how. *Biol. Lett.* 12:20160666. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2016.0666
- Krebs, J., Ashcroft, R., and Webber, M. (1978). Song repertoires and territory defence in the great tit. *Nature* 271, 539–542. doi: 10.1038/271539a0
- Kroodsma, D. E. (1974). Song learning, dialects, and dispersal in the bewick's wren. Z. Tierpsychol. 35, 352–380.
- Kuhl, P. K. (2007). Is speech learning "gated" by the social brain? *Dev. Sci.* 10, 110–120. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7687.2007.00572.x
- Lachlan, R. F., Ratmann, O., and Nowicki, S. (2018). Cultural conformity generates extremely stable traditions in bird song. *Nat. Commun.* 9:2417. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-04728-1
- Lamoni, L. (2018). The role of individual behaviour in the collective cultural evolution of humpback whale songs. [PhD thesis]. UK: University of St Andrews.
- Lang, A. L., and Barlow, J. C. (1997). Cultural evolution in the Eurasian tree sparrow: divergence between introduced and ancestral populations. *Condor* 99, 413–423. doi: 10.2307/1369948
- Latruffe, C., McGregor, P. K., Tavares, J. P., and Mota, P. G. (2000). Microgeographic variation in corn bunting (*Miliaria calandra*) song: quantitative and discrimination aspects. *Behaviour* 137, 1241–1255. doi: 10.1163/15685 3900502628
- Marler, P., and Tamura, M. (1964). Culturally transmitted patterns of vocal behavior in sparrows. *Science* 146, 1483–1486. doi: 10.1126/science.146. 3650.1483
- Maynard Smith, J., and Szathmary, E. (1999). The origins of life: From the birth of life to the origin of language. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- McGregor, P. K. (1980). Song dialects in the corn bunting (*Emberiza calandra*).
 Z. Tierpsychol. 54, 285–297. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0310.1980.tb01246.x
- McGregor, P. K. (1983). The response of corn buntings to playback of dialects. Z. Tierpsychol. 62, 256–260. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0310.1983.tb02155.x
- McGregor, P. K. (1986). Song types in the corn bunting *Emberiza calandra*: matching and discrimination. J. Ornithol. 127, 37–42. doi: 10.1007/BF01641440

- McGregor, P. K., and Avery, M. I. (1986). The unsung songs of great tits (*Parus major*)—learning neighbors songs for discrimination. *Behav. Ecol.* Sociobiol. 18, 311–316.
- McGregor, P. K., Holland, J., and Shepherd, M. (1997). The ecology of corn bunting *Miliaria calandra* song dialects and their potential use in conservation. *UK Nat. Conserv.* 13, 76–87.
- McGregor, P. K., and Krebs, J. R. (1982). Song types in a population of great tits (*Parus major*): their distribution, abundance and acquisition by individuals. *Behaviour* 79, 126–152. doi: 10.1163/156853982X00210
- McGregor, P. K., and Krebs, J. R. (1989). Song learning in adult great tits (*Parus major*): effects of neighbours. *Behaviour* 108, 139–159. doi: 10.2307/4534747
- McGregor, P. K., Krebs, J. R., and Perrins, C. M. (1981). Song repertoires and lifetime reproductive success in the great tit (*Parus major*). Am. Nat. 118, 149–159. doi: 10.1086/283811
- McGregor, P. K., and Thompson, D. B. A. (1988). Constancy and change in local dialects of the corn bunting. Ornis Scand. 19:153. doi: 10.2307/3676465
- Mcloughlin, M., Lamoni, L., Garland, E. C., Ingram, S., Kirke, A., Noad, M. J., et al. (2018). Using agent-based models to understand the role of individuals in the song evolution of humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*). *Music. Sci.* 1, 1–17. doi: 10.1177/2059204318757021
- McSweeney, D. J., Chu, K. C., Dolphin, W. F., and Guinee, L. N. (1989). North Pacific humpback whale songs: a comparison of southeast Alaskan feeding ground songs with Hawaiian wintering ground songs. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 5, 139–148. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.1989.tb00328.x
- Mennill, D. J., Doucet, S. M., Newman, A. E. M., Williams, H., Moran, I. G., Thomas, I. P., et al. (2018). Wild birds learn songs from experimental vocal tutors. *Curr. Biol.* 28, 3273.e4–3278.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2018.08.011
- Naguib, M., Zuberbuhler, K., Clayton, N. S., and Janik, V. (2009). Vocal communication in birds and mammals. *Adv. Study Behav.* 40, 1-339.
- Nice, M. M. (1943). Studies in the life history of the song sparrow II. The behavior of the song sparrow and other passerines. *Trans. Linn. Soc. New York* 6, 1–328.
- Noad, M. J. (2002). The use of song by humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) during migration off the east coast of Australia. [PhD thesis]. Australia: University of Sydney.
- Noad, M., Cato, D. H., Bryden, M. M., Jenner, M., and Jenner, K. C. S. (2000). Cultural revoultion in whale songs. *Nature* 408:537. doi: 10.1038/35046199
- Nordby, J. C., Campbell, S. E., Burt, J. M., and Beecher, M. D. (2000). Social influences during song development in the song sparrow: a laboratory experiment simulating field conditions. *Anim. Behav.* 59, 1187–1197. doi: 10.1006/ANBE.1999.1412
- Olavarría, C., Baker, C. S., Garrigue, C., Poole, M., Hauser, N., Caballero, S., et al. (2007). Population structure of South Pacific humpback whales and the origin of the eastern Polynesian breeding grounds. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 330, 257–268. doi: 10.3354/meps330257
- Osiejuk, T., and Ratynska, K. (2003). Song repertoire and microgeographic variation in song types distribution in the corn bunting *Miliaria calandra* from Poland. *Folia Zool.* 52, 275–286.
- Otter, K. A., Mckenna, A., Lazerte, S. E., and Ramsay, S. M. (2020). Continentwide shifts in song dialects of white-throated sparrows. *Curr. Biol.* 30, 1–5. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2020.05.084
- Owen, C., Rendell, L., Constantine, R., Noad, M. J., Allen, J., Andrews, O., et al. (2019). Migratory convergence facilitates cultural transmission of humpback whale song. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 6:190337. doi: 10.1098/rsos.190337
- Parker, K. A., Anderson, M. J., Jenkins, P. F., and Brunton, D. H. (2012). The effects of translocation-induced isolation and fragmentation on the cultural evolution of bird song. *Ecol. Lett.* 15, 778–785. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01797.x
- Payne, R. B. (1981). Song learning and social interaction in indigo buntings. Anim. Behav. 29, 688–697. doi: 10.1016/S0003-3472(81)80003-6
- Payne, R. B. (1985). Behavioral continuity and change in local song populations of village indigobirds *Vidua chalybeata. Z. Tierpsychol.* 70, 1–44.
- Payne, R., and Guinee, L. N. (1983). "Humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) songs as an indicator of "stocks" in Communication and behavior of whales. ed. R. Payne (Boulder, CO: Westview Press Inc.), 333–358.
- Payne, R. S., and McVay, S. (1971). Songs of humpback whales. *Science* 173, 585–597.

- Payne, K., and Payne, R. S. (1985). Large scale changes over 19 years in songs of humpback whales in Bermuda. Z. Tierpsychol. 68, 89–114. doi: 10.1111/ j.1439-0310.1985.tb00118.x
- Payne, R. B., and Payne, L. L. (1993). Breeding dispersal in indigo buntings: circumstances and consequences for breeding success and population structure. *Condor* 95, 1–24. doi: 10.2307/1369382
- Payne, K., Tyack, P., and Payne, R. (1983). "Progressive changes in the songs of humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*): a detailed analysis of two seasons in Hawaii" in *Communication and behavior of whales*. ed. R. Payne (Boulder, CO: Westview Press Inc.), 9–57.
- Pellerin, M. (1981). Study of a dialect in the corn-bunting (*Emberiza calandra*) physical parameters of territorial song recognition. *Comptes Rendus L Acad. Des Sci. Ser. iii-Sci. La Vie-Life Sci.* 293, 713–715.
- Pellerin, M. (1983). Variability of response of the corn bunting, *Emberiza calandra*, to songs of different dialects. *Behav. Process.* 8, 157–163. doi: 10.1016/0376-6357(83)90004-9
- Pipek, P., Petrusková, T., Petrusek, A., Diblíková, L., Eaton, M. A., and Pyšek, P. (2018). Dialects of an invasive songbird are preserved in its invaded but not native source range. *Ecography* 41, 245–254. doi: 10.1111/ecog.02779
- Poirier, C., Henry, L., Mathelier, M., Lumineau, S., Cousillas, H., and Hausberger, M. (2004). Direct social contacts override auditory information in the songlearning process in starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*). J. Comp. Psychol. 118, 179–193. doi: 10.1037/0735-7036.118.2.179
- Rendell, L. E., and Whitehead, H. (2003). Vocal clans in sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*). Proc. R. Soc. B 270, 225–231. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2002.2239
- Shepherd, M. (1992). Some aspects of the breeding biology of the corn bunting. [PhD thesis]. UK: University of Nottingham.
- Shepherd, M., Hartley, I. R., and McGregor, P. K. (1997). Natal philopatry and breeding site fidelity of corn buntings *Miliaria calandra*. UK Nat. Conserv. 13, 103–114.
- Shy, E., McGregor, P. K., and Krebs, J. R. (1986). Discrimination of song types by male great tits. *Behav. Process.* 13, 1–12. doi: 10.1016/0376-6357(86)90012-4
- Smith, J. N. (2009). Song function in humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae): the use of song in the social interactions of singers on migration. [PhD thesis]. Australia: University of Queensland.
- Smith, J. N., Goldizen, A. W., Dunlop, R. A., and Noad, M. J. (2008). Songs of male humpback whales, *Megaptera novaeangliae*, are involved in intersexual interactions. *Anim. Behav.* 76, 467–477. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2008. 02.013
- Smith, K., and Kirby, S. (2008). Cultural evolution: implications for understanding the human language faculty and its evolution. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B Biol. Sci.* 363, 3591–3603. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2008.0145

- Stafford, K. M., Lydersen, C., Wiig, Ø., and Kovacs, K. M. (2018). Extreme diversity in the songs of Spitsbergen's bowhead whales. *Biol. Lett.* 14:20180056. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2018.0056
- Stafford, K. M., Nieukirk, S. L., and Fox, C. G. (2001). Geographical and seasonal variation of blue whale calls of the North Pacific. J. Cetacean Res. Manag. 3, 65–76.
- Stimpert, A. K., Peavey, L. E., Friedlaender, A. S., and Nowacek, D. P. (2012). Humpback whale song and foraging behavior on an Antarctic feeding ground. *PLoS One* 7:e51214. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0051214
- Templeton, C. N., Akçay, Ç., Campbell, S. E., and Beecher, M. D. (2010). Juvenile sparrows preferentially eavesdrop on adult song interactions. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 277, 447–453. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2009.1491
- Weary, D. M. (1990). Categorization of song notes in great tits: which acoustic features are used and why? Anim. Behav. 39, 450–457. doi: 10.1016/S0003-3472(05)80408-7
- West, M. J., and King, A. P. (1988). Female visual displays affect the development of male song in the cowbird. *Nature* 334, 244–246. doi: 10.1038/334244a0
- Wheelwright, N. T., Swett, M. B., Levin, I. I., Kroodsma, D. E., Freeman-Gallant, C. R., and Williams, H. (2008). The influence of different tutor types on song learning in a natural bird population. *Anim. Behav.* 75, 1479–1493. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2007.08.030
- Whiten, A., Ayala, F. J., Feldman, M. W. D., and Laland, K. N. (2017). The extension of biology through culture. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 114, 7775–7781. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1707630114
- Whiten, A., Goodall, J., McGrew, W. C., Nishida, T., Reynoldsk, V., Sugiyama, Y., et al. (1999). Cultures in chimpanzees. *Nature* 399, 682–685.
- Williams, H., Levin, I. I., Norris, D. R., Newman, A. E. M., and Wheelwright, N. T. (2013). Three decades of cultural evolution in Savannah sparrow songs. *Anim. Behav.* 85, 213–223. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2012.10.028
- Winn, H., and Winn, L. (1978). The song of the humpback whale Megaptera novaeangliae in the West Indies. Mar. Biol. 47, 97-114.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Garland and McGregor. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.