



# Music Listening in Classical Concerts: Theory, Literature Review, and Research Program

Melanie Wald-Fuhrmann<sup>1</sup>\*, Hauke Egermann<sup>2</sup>, Anna Czepiel<sup>1</sup>, Katherine O'Neill<sup>2</sup>, Christian Weining<sup>3</sup>, Deborah Meier<sup>4</sup>, Wolfgang Tschacher<sup>4</sup>, Folkert Uhde<sup>5</sup>, Jutta Toelle<sup>1,6</sup> and Martin Tröndle<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Music, Max Planck Institute for Empirical Aesthetics, Frankfurt am Main, Germany, <sup>2</sup> York Music Psychology Group, University of York, York, United Kingdom, <sup>3</sup> WÜRTH Chair of Cultural Production, Zeppelin University, Friedrichshafen, Germany, <sup>4</sup> Experimental Psychology Division, University Hospital for Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland, <sup>5</sup> Radialsystem V, Berlin, Germany, <sup>6</sup> Department of Applied Musicology, Gustav Mahler Private University for Music, Klagenfurt, Austria

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Graham Frederick Welch, University College London, United Kingdom

#### Reviewed by:

Diana Mary Blom, Western Sydney University, Australia Karen Burland, University of Leeds, United Kingdom

\*Correspondence:

Melanie Wald-Fuhrmann melanie.wald-fuhrmann@ae.mpg.de

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Performance Science, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 07 December 2020 Accepted: 25 March 2021 Published: 27 April 2021

#### Citation:

Wald-Fuhrmann M, Egermann H, Czepiel A, O'Neill K, Weining C, Meier D, Tschacher W, Uhde F, Toelle J and Tröndle M (2021) Music Listening in Classical Concerts: Theory, Literature Review, and Research Program. Front. Psychol. 12:638783. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.638783 Performing and listening to music occurs in specific situations, requiring specific media. Empirical research on music listening and appreciation, however, tends to overlook the effects these situations and media may have on the listening experience. This article uses the sociological concept of the frame to develop a theory of an aesthetic experience with music as the result of encountering sound/music in the context of a specific situation. By presenting a transdisciplinary sub-field of empirical (concert) studies, we unfold this theory for one such frame: the classical concert. After sketching out the underlying theoretical framework, a selective literature review is conducted to look for evidence on the general plausibility of the single elements of this emerging theory and to identify desiderata. We refer to common criticisms of the standard classical concert, and how new concert formats try to overcome alleged shortcomings and detrimental effects. Finally, an empirical research program is proposed, in which frames and frame components are experimentally manipulated and compared to establish their respective affordances and effects on the musical experience. Such a research program will provide empirical evidence to tackle a question that is still open to debate, i.e., whether the diversified world of modern-day music listening formats also holds a place for the classical concert - and if so, for what kind of classical concert.

Keywords: concert, music listening, classical music, performance, aesthetic experience

# INTRODUCTION

Humans love music. We see it as a fitting accompaniment to virtually every situation – using it for a plethora of purposes – and an activity that we engage in daily (Merriam, 1964; DeNora, 2000; Schäfer et al., 2013). We have further developed our passion for music since the invention of music recording, broadcasting, and playback techniques; and even more so since music has become portable and digital and thus all-available (O'Hara and Brown, 2006; Gopinath and Stanyek, 2014). At present, in what the economist and social theorist Jacques Attali (1977, 1985) called "the period of musical repetition," people in those countries that account for the vast majority of the global recorded music market listen to recorded music about 18 hours a week (IFPI, 2019). Before this, namely throughout the longest part of its history, music could only be listened to when played live. In other words, musicians and listeners had to be copresent, with production and reception occuring simultaneously in situations such as church services or opera theaters, during public festivities, banquets or dance entertainments, and, starting in the late 17th century, in concerts devoted exclusively to attentive music listening (Schwab, 1971; Salmen, 1988; Johnson, 1995; Weber, 1997; Müller, 2014).

Nowadays, live music performance is only one of many ways of listening to and utilizing music and it has to compete with mediatized formats, very similar to other genres of live performances (Heister, 1983; Bontinck, 1999; Auslander, 2008). One could even wonder if homo economicus still needs the concert at all, given the numerous practical and financial advantages of recorded and streamed music. Economically, however, the live music market has not yet fallen behind. In 2019, it was neck and neck with the market for recorded music, either industry creating a global revenue of around 28 billion \$ (IFPI, 2020; Statista, 2021). Yet, mostly thanks to music streaming, the market for recorded music could boast an annual growth rate of around 8% per year since 2015, while the live music business has been growing by only about 3% (the COVID-19 pandemic not yet factored in).

While one could leave this for the consumer to decide, publicly funded and subsidized forms of live music, as well as the institutions that have been developed to ensure the public provision with high-quality music performances, face the pressure to substantiate their viability, in addition to their aesthetic and societal relevance. In particular, Western classical music concerts have been challenged. Critics point to shrinking audience numbers, their rapid aging (Heinen, 2013; Gembris and Menze, 2020) as well as the narrow social strata that attend those concerts at all (Reuband, 2007, 2013, 2018). Music managers, orchestras and music festivals are busy with attempts to respond to these calls, giving the classical concert a makeover and restoring its appeal to contemporary and more diverse audiences (Schröder, 2014; Tröndle, 2020). At the same time, the unique character of liveness has found passionate advocates who write about it from an artistic or theoretical standpoint (Gracyk, 1997; Tröndle, 2011, 2018). People are still queuing to listen to famous orchestras, conductors or musicians. New representative concert halls are being built and meeting with enormous public interest, and music festivals are mushrooming in many parts of the world.

The question of whether the diversified world of contemporary music listening formats also holds a place for (different kinds of) classical concerts is still open to debate. At its core stands, we argue, the question whether the concert offers particular and meaningful experiences to its audiences that are qualitatively distinct from those afforded by other musical media (Burland and Pitts, 2014). This is ultimately an empirical question that researchers of liveness in general as well as researchers of the concert and its audiences in particular have only recently started to pursue systematically.

With this article, we want to bring the question of what a classical concert has to offer contemporary audiences to the fore. We present a transdisciplinary sub-field of empirical concert studies with which we expand on earlier ideas of "concert studies" (Tröndle, 2018, 2020) and take up Eric Clarke's claim for an "ecological approach" to understanding music listening (Clarke, 2005). We start by sketching out the underlying theoretical framework (part 2). From this, we conduct a selective literature review evaluating evidence on the general plausibility of the single elements of this emerging theory and point to desiderata. Along the way, we refer to common criticisms of the standard classical concert and report how new concert formats try to overcome alleged shortcomings and detrimental effects (part 3). Finally, we suggest an empirical research program, in which frames and frame components are experimentally manipulated and compared to establish their respective affordances and effects on the musical experience (part 4).

## THEORETICAL CORE CONCEPTS: FRAME, AESTHETIC EXPERIENCE, CLASSICAL CONCERT

#### Frame

Our approach towards the study of music listening in classical concerts is grounded on a theoretical framework that understands a musical experience as the result of a person's interaction with a musical stimulus in a specific situation (see **Figure 1**). A situation encompasses material, social, spatio-temporal, and cultural characteristics. Adopting a term from the sociologist Goffman (1974; see also Willems, 1997), aspects of situations that have a bearing on music listening can



be conceptualized as frames, that is, features perceived as essentially belonging to the situation and used by participants to understand and interpret it as well as to align their behavior accordingly. As such, the concept of frame is much more specific whilst simultaneously broader than that of context; a term which is typically used if researchers want to address factors that neither belong to the aesthetic object nor the individual (North and Hargreaves, 2010; Brattico et al., 2013; Leder and Nadal, 2014)<sup>1</sup>. Frames for music listening can be places (e.g., living rooms, cars, concert halls, and public areas), situations (e.g., commuting to work, a romantic dinner, a church service, being alone, or with others), media (e.g., live, recording, digital stream), and discursive contexts (such as a culture's overarching art and music concepts, or the aesthetics of specific musical styles and genres), all of which are socioculturally determined.

The frame concept can be related to the theory of embedded cognition. By coining the term "affordance," Gibson (1966; see also Lewin, 1936) developed a theory of how an object or an environment (implicitly) affects and structures human behavior by virtue of its material and formal properties. Recently, affordances have also been proposed to shape and organize mental processes (Bruineberg and Rietveld, 2014). In the context of music, frames can thus be understood as environmental properties that affect music-related behavior, as well as the mental processes underlying musical experience. In particular, frames in which music is embedded suggest specific listening modes (e.g., attentive, non-attentive, analytical, and emotional), listening behaviors (e.g., sitting still vs. gesturing or dancing), or functions attributed to the music (e.g., for its own sake or aesthetic pleasure vs. mood management, atmosphere creation, or social bonding).

Frames provide a horizon for evaluation and understanding; they can even define if a sound sequence is heard as music at all (e.g., in the case of noise music, it is more likely that it will be heard as music if presented in a typical music frame like a CD or a concert, rather than when heard on the street). The affordances activated by frames are tied to the material properties of the situation (such as space, technologies), as well as the sociocultural meaning attached to them (e.g., concert halls and opera houses as "temples" of high-quality art music performances). Therefore, it can be expected that such frames affect the music experience in bottom-up and top-down ways and act as moderator and mediator variables.

#### **Aesthetic Experience**

The aesthetic experience is a central concept of philosophical aesthetics (Dewey, 1934; Beardsley, 1958; Bubner, 1980; Shusterman, 1997; Küpper and Menke, 2003; Reicher, 2005; Caroll, 2012; Deines et al., 2013). It has also been much studied in psychological aesthetics, where it is discussed also under a variety of other terms such as aesthetic appreciation, appraisal, enjoyment, engagement, perception

and evaluation, responses, or, simply, reading, watching, and listening (Abeles and Chung, 1996; Leder et al., 2004; North and Hargreaves, 2005; Marković, 2012; Brattico et al., 2013; Leder and Nadal, 2014; Pelowski et al., 2016). Philosophical concepts and psychological operationalizations, however, do not yet fit together very well. Philosophical concepts emphasize the perceivers' contemplative, even disinterested attitude (Stolnitz, 1960; Bullough, 1995), their attempt at understanding a piece of art formally and conceptually, as well as the piece's potential to provide them with a transformative experience. In contrast, psychological theory would conceptualize aesthetic experience as an output variable in the context of a stimulus-response model, with outputs such as liking, aesthetic judgment and elicited emotion, and their physiological and neurological correlates. Recently, more specific qualities of aesthetic experiences were proposed, i.e., aesthetic emotion (Marković, 2012; Juslin, 2013; Schindler et al., 2017; Menninghaus et al., 2019), fascination (Marković, 2012), awe (Konečni, 2005), or being moved (Konečni, 2005; Menninghaus et al., 2015). In general, however, psychological research still emphasizes a primarily passive, physical, and emotional understanding of aesthetic experience, whereas philosophical theorizing tends to apply an overly cognitivist concept.

In psychology and philosophy of mind, a lived experience is generally defined as a first-person, qualitative phenomenon (Chalmers, 1995). Experiences are distinguished from objective response phenomena, such as physiological and behavioral processes. Experiences have qualitative properties ("qualia"), and they are elements of cognitive and emotional processes. In the terminology of phenomenology, qualia comprise "what it feels like" to have exactly this experience in the here-and-now (Nagel, 1974). Cognition refers to the processing of information through mental representations, thought, evaluation, the activation of memory traces and schemata. Cognition can, but need not, be conscious and experienced, sometimes even in a linguistic form as inner speech. Lastly, emotions are generally experienced. Emotions lend a specific flavor to experiences, thus the experience of joy, sadness, fear, or any number of further emotions or mixtures of emotions.

For the remainder of this paper, we will continue with a provisional comprehensive concept of an aesthetic experience of music that combines facets of existing philosophical, aesthetic, and psychological concepts. We conceive of it as a person's phenomenal state while attending to and internally interacting with a sequence of sounds primarily for the sake of its perceptual and formal properties and their possible meaning, but not so much its real-life information value. In the case of a temporally unfolding stimulus as music, such a state is necessarily dynamic and may combine feelings, perceptions, emotions, associations, expectations, and insights, as well as the evaluation of the musical piece itself and the state(s) into which it puts the listener - all of them mutually influencing each other. It is related to a listener's present attitude and degree of attention, and comes with physiological, motivational, and behavioral responses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A frame conceptualized as a set of concepts that organize experiences guiding the actions of individuals and groups, can be closely connected to Michael Focault's idea of the dispositif. Goffman is referring to the experience, Focault to power, both theories stem from the 1970s and try to conceptualize human behavior.

# The Classical Concert as a Frame for Music Listening

This paper claims that a classical concert is one particular frame for music listening, which shapes the aesthetic experience of the music featured within it in specific ways, and that we need empirical studies to test this claim and understand the underlying mechanisms. But which of its characteristics are most likely to guide and influence the experience of a piece of music? Existing descriptions and theories, as well as results that have emerged from qualitative empirical studies (Heister, 1983; Gracyk, 1997; Small, 1998; Auslander, 2008; Gross, 2013; Burland and Pitts, 2014; Tröndle, 2020), point to two defining factors of the classical concert frame: its work-centered aesthetics and its liveness.

As a result of the co-evolution of its forms, its discourses, and its repertoires, the concert has developed into the embodiment (and driving factor) of a specific and presupposition-rich musical aesthetics (Johnson, 1995; Weber, 1997; Müller, 2014; Tröndle, 2020). Heister, who has provided the most exhaustive theoretical concept of the classical concert so far, defines it as the "place where musical autonomy is realized" (Heister, 1983, p. 42). A concert, at least in the form it has taken on in the late 19th and early 20th century, publicly celebrates the idea of the musical artwork, which is literally placed centerstage (Goehr, 1994). The musicians have to devote all their skill and artistic refinement into the work's realization. Meanwhile the audience, which first had to learn "the art of listening" (Gay, 1984), has to receive it with concentration, even contemplation, and reverence, in an act of "purely aesthetic and musical savoring" (Heister, 1983, p. 522ss).

Almost all other characteristics of the concert are direct consequences of this aesthetics, as Heister meticulously spelled out. On the one hand, concert hall acoustics, program selections, the training of professional musicians, and the behavioral regimes of sitting still and quietly seek to provide optimal conditions for the production and reception of the greatest musical works (Gross, 2013). On the other hand, the building and design of concert halls, a certain cult of great names and charismatic artists – be they composers or performers – formal dress codes, and rituals serve as constant reminders of the ideology of autonomous music (Cressman, 2012).

The other main factor of a concert is its nature as a live performance featuring the distinct, but interrelated roles, of performers and listeners. This spatio-temporal co-presence entails a number of other aspects, most importantly the possibility to watch the performers creating the music and the genuinely social and interactive character of the event (Gracyk, 1997). Although the concert has typically been seen as the pure embodiment of presentational performance (Besseler, 1926, 1959; Turino, 2008), recently, social-interactive and participatory aspects have been identified as well. As a live performance, a concert affords (verbal) communication between audience members (at least before the concert and in the pause of classical music concerts), inviting participants to form a short-lived community (Cochrane, 2009; Burland and Pitts, 2014). It can also lead to manifold interaction processes: audience members can show support, interest, attention and appreciation, or displeasure, thus providing feedback to the performers which they are then likely to respond to, closing the autopoietic feedback loop (Fischer-Lichte, 2004).

Apart from its social character, liveness is also typically associated with ideas of immediacy, indeterminacy, uniqueness, and non-repeatability of the event (Auslander, 2008). Neither the audience members nor the musicians know exactly how the performance will turn out, which might be seen as another mechanism of directing and fixing the audience's attention. This, in turn, lends presence and an event-like character to a performance, which comes with the promise of a not only quantitatively, but also qualitatively unique experience – a feature of present-day leisure culture that is very much sought-after by audiences (Schulze, 1992; Gumbrecht, 2004a; Seel, 2008; Tröndle, 2011; Rebstock, 2020).

In sum, the concert is a frame for music listening that is supposed to provide optimal conditions for the purely aesthetic contemplation of (excellent performances of) great musical works together with like-minded people. This historically evolved frame might afford a specific concert experience which consists of a certain type of listening (being pleasurably immersed into the music), a multi-modal character of the stimulus, its social embeddedness (feeling as part of a community), and the appreciation of its singular character. Such experiences have been described in qualitative studies and claimed by theoreticians and advocates of the genre (Heister, 1983; Radbourne et al., 2014; Rebstock, 2020), but not yet quantitatively corroborated. In addition, while the standard form incorporates implicit assumptions about the relationship between its features and the hoped-for experience resulting from them, new and experimental concert formats that have been developed over the past decades can be understood as a form of aesthetic and social critique of the standard format (Brüstle, 2013; Schröder, 2014; Roselt, 2020). Typically, these new forms modify the venue, the forms of listening, but also the relationships between performers and audience members and their respective rituals. By singling out and modifying such elements, they point to their potentially detrimental effect on the aesthetic experience and at the same time exemplify how this could be overcome to allow for fresh, heightened and new musical and social experiences that can also have the potential to attract younger audiences or audiences from other social and cultural backgrounds. Thus, they also tend to shift the focus of a concert away from the musical work toward the event-like aspects of a live performance.

This apparent conflict between existing concert formats points to the gap between implicit assumptions of concert practitioners and the lack of empirical knowledge about how exactly the elements of a concert – individually, as well as jointly – contribute to listeners' actual experiences. Further, each element can, in principle, be realized in a multitude of ways, which might in turn substantially affect the degree and direction of its effect. This as well has not been examined empirically.

### WHAT WE ALREADY KNOW ABOUT MUSIC LISTENING IN CLASSICAL CONCERTS, AND WHAT WE STILL NEED TO KNOW: A LITERATURE REVIEW

To date, concert research consists of several branches. Of these, the history of the concert, its repertoires, halls, and listening forms (Schwab, 1971; Heister, 1983; Forsyth, 1985; Salmen, 1988; Johnson, 1995; Weber, 1997; Cressman, 2012; Thorau and Ziemer, 2019), as well as the demography, sociology and consumer behavior of audiences (Dollase, 1998; Reuband, 2007, 2013; Glogner-Pilz and Föhl, 2011; Gembris and Menze, 2020; Tröndle, 2020) have been examined most comprehensively. More recently, a number of qualitative studies has addressed also the motivations and experiences of various audiences (Pitts, 2005; Roose, 2008; Dobson, 2010; Gross, 2013; Brown and Knox, 2017; Toelle and Sloboda, 2019). There are studies which adopted a quantitative approach in measuring listeners' experiences in concerts, by collecting continuous or retrospective selfreport data or physiological recordings (McAdams et al., 2004; Thompson, 2006; Egermann et al., 2013; Stevens et al., 2014).

Although the specificity of the concert as a medium or format for music listening has theoretically been identified sufficiently well, musical audience research has not yet addressed this issue systematically. Typically, audience experiences are neither analyzed with regard to which of their components are concert-specific, nor are frame effects explicitly addressed. This is related to the fact that music psychological research in general tends to overlook situational and frame effects (Clarke, 2005). Even if studies had been conducted during live concerts, this context was so far neither explicitly addressed nor experimentally manipulated. Likewise, if concerts were compared with other musical media, the focus was not on actual experiences but listening times (Roose and Vander Stichele, 2010).

This is very different from the situation in museum studies, which were the first to experimentally address the effects a museum, and the way it displays and communicates artworks, has on the experience of visitors (Falk and Dierking, 1992; Stuffmann, 2005; Brieber et al., 2014).

In the following sections of this chapter, we come back to the most distinctive features of a concert identified above and point out what they might contribute to the afforded musical experience. We summarize related results from the fields of concert and audience research. We also identify desiderata and refer to other research contexts and approaches that might prove fruitful for the endeavor of understanding how concerts frame and affect music experiences.

### **Effects of Venue**

Concert halls, the majority of which have been built since the 19th century, are both a prerequisite for a performance-centered staging of classical music and a potent sign of the concert's underlying aesthetics. By their mere existence as buildings specifically dedicated to hosting musical performances, they signal an assumed importance, seriousness, and high-art quality of the music and the entire event of going to a concert. The architectural style and design of the hall is an aesthetic stimulus in itself that creates a specific atmosphere. Further, their acoustics co-constitute the auditory musical stimulus.

A concert hall is also perhaps the most influential component in the concert regime, as it materially affords what people can perceive and do within such as situation: the tiers require everyone to sit during the performance. Their spatial arrangement directs the audience's attention to the stage by orienting them physically toward it. Although usually, parts of the audience can also be seen, the lighting control makes it clear that this is only accidental and that the audience should focus on the performers onstage. Taken together, the effect of a concert hall on the musical experience can be studied with regard to (1) the atmosphere created, (2) its function as a framing and/or priming intervention, (3) its contribution to the actual acoustic stimulus, and (4) the behavior it affords.

- (1) The concept of atmospheres stems from phenomenology and has engendered broad and mostly theoretical research in the past years with strong affinities to aesthetic contexts and questions (Böhme, 1995, 2006; Griffero, 2014; Schmitz, 2014). It refers to the perception and experience a certain (often architecturally defined) space affords, but also to the social interaction that takes place in that space and theorizes upon the effects a certain atmosphere has on the experience and behavior of an individual. Psychological studies on the perception of atmospheres still are a desideratum (Schönhammer, 2014; but see Tröndle and Tschacher, 2012 for a first example), although practitioners in the fields of concert hall architecture and concert locations are aware of this issue (Göbel, 2020; Kirchberg, 2020). Today, concert series or festivals in particular, as well as individual concerts, are often staged in unusual locations. Such locations comprise, among others, of castles, museums, churches, factories, farms, outdoor stages, or dance clubs. In the case of the Yellow Lounge concert series in Berlin, its organizers from Deutsche Grammophon advertise it with particular reference to an altered atmosphere: "classical music can thrill even outside of the concert hall, goodhumored and fully relaxed in the Club. (...) Good drinks, communicative atmosphere<sup>2</sup>." Qualitative research has provided first evidence that festival audiences take note of and appreciate specific atmospheres and see them as a factor that positively influences their experiences (Karlsen, 2014). However, no research so far has examined how exactly the experience of one and the same piece of music differs when listened to in a barn as compared to a hall in a palace, or in a concert hall with modern architecture as compared to one in the styles of the 19th century.
- (2) A large body of market, media, and social psychology research shows that people's judgments, interpretations, and experiences of any given phenomenon can be modified by priming or framing. While priming is conceptualized as additional information that influences the appreciation of a subsequent stimulus, framing means to select and highlight

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>http://www.communicating-music.eu/en/345/yellow-lounge-berlin-de.html, 19 June 2020.

specific aspects inherent to a stimulus in order to modify its appreciation (Entman, 1993). Emotionally charged framing information (Entman, 1993), as well as those implying a positive or negative evaluation (Levin et al., 1998) have been found to be particularly powerful. The latter is also related to the so-called prestige effect.

That these effects also work in the contexts of the arts in general (Tröndle et al., 2014; Tröndle and Tschacher, 2016), and in music has been shown by a number of studies (for a recent overview, see Fischinger et al., 2020). Effects of program notes and other additional information in the form of texts or images have been found for emotions induced by music (Vuoskoski and Eerola, 2015), enjoyment of music (Margulis, 2010), children's attention and comprehension (Margulis et al., 2015), evaluation (Anglada-Tort and Müllensiefen, 2017; Fischinger et al., 2020), and even perception of basic musical characteristics (Chapman, 1981; North and Hargreaves, 2010; Fischinger et al., 2020).

That listeners might wish for additional information helping them understand and appreciate a piece of music is plausible. Most people lack advanced musical training to be confident in their judgment about any work and performance. In addition, the meaning of musical elements is typically far from being clear but contains a large degree of ambiguity. Even more, in the context of the arts, there simply are no such things as objective value and meaning, according to Umberto Eco's theory of the open work (Eco, 1989).

So far, priming and framing information about music have been studied in the form of texts or images. These media also play a role in the context of a concert, be it in the form of programs, advertisements, paintings or sculptures of famous composers, program notes, or introductory talks. However, the atmosphere of a concert hall has not yet been researched with regards to its potential nature as prime and frame.

(3) A concert hall provides a specific acoustic setting ideally optimized for performances of classical music (Lindau, 2010). Tajadura-Jiménez et al. (2010) were among the first to test the effect of room size and sound direction on emotional responses to natural and artificial sounds. They observed that sound sources in front of listeners were perceived as less arousing than those behind listeners, while the sound of a large concert hall was experienced as more arousing and negatively valenced than the sound of a small room. This finding was explained by the additional observation that the larger room in the experiment was also perceived as "less safe" than the small room. Furthermore, Pätynen and Lokki (2016) showed that concert halls with a traditional rectangular shape evoke stronger physiological (skin conductance) and subjective responses to music presented in them (in this case excerpts from Beethoven's Seventh Symphony). In sum, however, empirical research that compares perception of - and responses to - acoustical variations of the same musical pieces is scarce.

(4) The behavioral restrictions created by the design of the auditorium together with learned norms are meant to provide, on the one hand, the condition for an undisturbed, attentive, even immersive listening experience in a specific time-frame. On the other hand, such restrictions also favor disembodied listening and the suppression of any overt spontaneous response. Originally a necessity to make music audible to a large group of people, this aspect of a concert has been met with the sharpest criticism in a time in which undisturbed listening is always possible via radio and recordings. In particular, the discouragement of overt and spontaneous interaction between participants might be experienced as antagonizing the inherently social nature of a concert (Small, 1998). The implicit, but nonetheless perceivable, behavioral norms can produce stress in first-time and only occasional attenders thus preventing them from attending at all (Radbourne et al., 2009; Dobson, 2010; Dobson and Pitts, 2011; Tröndle, 2019). The focus on contemplative and disembodied listening might counteract bodily entrainment afforded by some pieces. Some concert organizers have started to address this criticism by experimenting with concert formats that allow the audience to behave differently, e.g., lying down or walking around instead of sitting still, or by providing opportunities for real interaction and spontaneity.

A significant strand of research supports the concept of embodiment among various disciplines (e.g., developmental, social, and clinical psychology). Especially in the field of music psychology, researchers demonstrated the impact of bodily responses on listeners' music experiences and vice versa. Particularly strong is the urge to move elicited by rhythmically accentuated music with a salient beat, which has been discussed as sensorimotor coupling (Janata et al., 2012; Stupacher et al., 2016).

Although a considerable amount of research has already been conducted and published around embodied music experience, literature that examines effects of listening contexts, media, and frames is scarce. As a first step, it has been shown that participants' non-verbal responses to live music differ from those to recorded music. For example, Swarbrick et al. (2019) found that head movements were faster during a live performance of a Rock musician than during the recorded version as well as finding that movements of self-identified fans being faster and having higher degrees of rhythmic entrainment (movement to beat) compared neutral listeners.

Further, research on non-verbal behavioral synchrony – which refers to the temporal coupling of movement or physiology between at least two individuals – is closely linked to the concept of embodiment and is viable in social listening situations. Although non-verbal synchrony research in the classical concert is still in an early phase, studies in realistic concert settings have so far revealed significant non-verbal synchrony effects within the audience. For example, Seibert et al. (2019) examined the spontaneous coordination of bodily movements – dyadic temporal coupling – within audience members and between audience members and musicians in a classical (chamber music) concert. They found strong movement synchrony between musicians, and also small to medium movement synchrony within the audience, despite the behavioral norms of sitting still. Aspects of music experience, namely absorption and the feeling of being connected to the musicians, were significantly negatively associated with nonverbal synchrony.

In addition to movement synchrony, some studies have explored physiological synchrony across audience members as an index of an embodied experience. Sato et al. (2017) investigated respiratory activity and emotional states within fifteen audience members of a live concert, where they found that respiratory synchronization effects emerged from time to time. Importantly, participants' excitement seemed to correspond with the respiration activity indicated by synchronized respiratory phases. In another concert study, Bernardi et al. (2017) found that cardiorespiratory synchrony among audience members were higher during live music listening, compared to a resting baseline. In corroborating findings of Sato et al. (2017), Bernardi and colleagues also found that synchrony and ratings of pleasantness were positively correlated; though it should be noted that synchrony was more strongly correlated (i.e., more variance explained) with low-level acoustic features such as loudness variability (compared to pleasantness ratings). Thus, it could be argued that the quality of performance in terms of excitement and pleasantness can be estimated - at least to a certain extent - by synchronous phase respiration.

Accordingly, the presented results on non-verbal synchrony and its association with perceived quality of performance and music experience underlined the embodiment perspective and stresses the relevance of embodied musical experience despite behavioral regimes that try to suppress it.

## **Effects of Multi-Modal Perception**

As a consequence of the co-presence of performers and audience, music in a concert becomes a richer, multi-modal stimulus. In particular, visual aspects might add layers of meaning and aesthetic affordance to the musical sound. Studies and deliberations of a more general kind have argued that aesthetic pleasure is most commonly evoked by combining multi-modal perception into one single experience, including sight, sound, environment, and company (Cohen, 2009; Huron, 2012). So far, potential multimodality effects in music listening and concerts have been primarily studied with regard to visual aspects, i.e., (1) visual aspects of the concert hall and performer, and (2) performers' gestures. But it can be assumed that aspects of vibrotactile perception of sounds, room temperature and climate, lighting, or seating comfort might also affect the experience within a concert.

(1) The style and design of a concert venue provides a very strong visual stimulus, which may affect audience members' emotions and level of engagement and to which they

will respond with a judgment of taste (Cook, 2012). By investigating the concert setting, a study by Coutinho and Scherer (2017) compared emotions during a live performance in a real-world musical context in a church (as part of a Lieder recital) to the audio-video recording in a laboratory situation (university lecture hall). Selfreports of emotion engagement, feelings of wonder and tenderness were much higher in the church setting, while boredom, tension and sadness were higher in the lecture hall setting, showing that environment could indeed be a crucial component in evoking more intense aesthetic emotions. Equally, fashion is a field where visual properties carry meaning and where human tastes vary a lot (Solomon, 1985). In a concert, it is present via performers' attire. For example, formal dress can create a "sense of occasion" (Griffiths, 2011) and increase the perception of a performer's technical and musical proficiency (Griffiths, 2010).

(2)How auditory information interacts with performer gesture has been widely examined in psychology, specifically in the field of multi-modal perception. Such gestures can provide additional information about the music's expressive and structural properties, thus enabling the audience to enter into a more engaging internal dialog with the musical pieces. For example, it has been shown that musical expertise can be perceived through performer movement, even in the absence of any auditory information pointing to a substantial effect of performers' movements and gestures (Tsay, 2013; Griffiths and Reay, 2018). Additionally, performative and expressive movements of instrumentalists (Davidson, 1993, 2012; Broughton and Stevens, 2009; Vines et al., 2011; Silveira, 2014; Vuoskoski et al., 2014), singers (Davidson, 2001; Lange et al. in review), and conductors (Luck et al., 2010; Morrison and Selvey, 2014), show that gestures can increase perceived expressivity of the music. Movements of a performer can further enhance communication of tension (Vines et al., 2004) and emotion of the music (Dahl and Friberg, 2004), as well as the emotion of the performer (Van Zijl and Luck, 2013), to an audience.

Using psychophysiology as a measure of felt affect, Chapados and Levitin (2008) demonstrated that electrodermal activity (representing felt arousal) was significantly higher in audiovisual performances of Stravinsky's Second Piece for solo clarinet, compared to audio-only and visual-only performances. Together with evidence showing that performer movement increases perception of expressivity, emotionality, and skill, this suggests that the visual component of a live concert performance can enhance our experience of the music. Indeed, first-time concertgoers commented on how they felt the visual cues enhanced enjoyment of the music (Dobson, 2010; Dobson and Pitts, 2011).

However, there is also some research showing that visuals do not seem to enhance the emotional experience in listeners. Finnäs (1992) found no significant difference of subjectively rated felt emotional response (of either musicians or nonmusicians) between audio-only and audiovisual versions of Mahler's Second Symphony. Vuoskoski et al. (2016) found that audio-only performances of Brahms' piano Intermezzo in B minor – compared to audiovisual performances – elicited more emotional arousal (as indexed by skin conductance), contrary to findings of Chapados and Levitin (2008). The authors discuss how musical styles (Romantic vs. Modern) and the degrees of freedom of the performer (a clarinetist who is standing up compared to a pianist who is sitting down) may influence the extent to which visuals play a role in musical experience. Thus, the specific role of visuals as an enhancer in live music experience still requires further empirical study to consider possible variables (styles, instrument, and musical expertise of perceiver), as well as considering these factors in more applied and multi-modal contexts, such as a concert setting.

# Effects of the Social Character of Music Listening

The presence and visibility of musicians, as well as the group nature of the audience, lend a social, and participatory component to the aesthetic experience. This social component is moderated, however, by behavioral protocols, arrangement of tiers vs. stage, and existing power relationships.

Qualitative research shows how much listeners appreciate the social nature of a concert and whether it is able to induce feelings of a shared experience with peers, a sense of belonging, direct interaction with the performers, and participation in something meaningful (Radbourne et al., 2014). The possibility to watch performers is often mentioned as a positively experienced element of concerts alongside a real interest in personal connections with performers (Burland and Pitts, 2014).

Quantitative and experimental studies have further corroborated these qualitative findings, in particular regarding the social character of the audience. For example, it was experimentally demonstrated that social feedback about other music listeners' enjoyment changes how listeners respond to musics subjectively, where knowledge of previous ratings of a musical performance influences an individual, motivated by a desire to conform (Egermann et al., 2013). This finding was interpreted as a form of normative social influence on social appraisals (Manstead and Fischer, 2001) assuming that a similar mechanism could be activated in classical music concerts through social feedback via (*inter alia*) applause (Mann et al., 2013).

Previous research has demonstrated the effect the presence of other people has on a listener's response to music. The emotion experienced when listening to music, specifically strong experiences with music, has been shown to be influenced by the social context in which the listening occurred (Gabrielsson and Wik, 2003), with intense experiences occurring more frequently in live concerts when other people were present (Lamont, 2011). In a more controlled study that utilized recorded stimuli – where participants listened to self-chosen or randomly sampled music samples – more intense emotions were reported when participants were listening with a close friend or partner compared to when listening alone (Liljeström et al., 2013). However, another study found that listening in a group does not lead to more intense emotional responses perhaps due to less concentration on the music (Egermann et al., 2011). In a later study by Linnemann et al. (2016), music was found to reduce stress more if it was listened to in the presence of others, regardless of the original motivation for listening to the music, where influence of others has been found to be stronger if they are known to the listener.

Research on the effects of an interaction between listeners and performers, however, is much more sparse. Here, qualitative studies also provide evidence for the general importance and appreciation audiences and performers attribute to it (Moelants et al., 2012; Toelle and Sloboda, 2019). In the behaviorally restricted setting of a classical concert, however, real and spontaneous interaction is only possible to a small degree. The only legitimate form of mutual feedback is applause (Toelle, 2018), which not only informs the musicians how the audience is responding to their performances, but also provides feedback to an audience member on the reception of the music by other audience members.

If compared with other concert types, such as jazz and popular music concerts, classical concerts seem to leave the potential of creating a social experience of music largely unused, which is one factor behind the different experiences these concert types can afford (Pitts, 2005; Kulczynski et al., 2016). This has not only been criticized from a theoretical point of view (Small, 1998), but also been addressed by performers and concert curators who have started to experiment with forms that invite true interaction and even participation (Schröder, 2014; Toelle and Sloboda, 2019). So far, the underlying assumptions as to how exactly such changes impact the collective experience have not yet been explored in a systematic way. The need to test these assumptions in a multidisciplinary and ecologically valid way is central to further the understanding of the social experience of a concert and how the group experience can be enhanced.

# Effects of Presence, Uniqueness, Immediacy

The live character of a concert is also closely tied to its nature as a single, unique, and un-repeatable event that might be valued for its presence and immediacy (Auslander, 2008). According to Walter Benjamin, this special quality of a live concert could be described as the "aura," i.e., the authenticity, realness and presence of the aesthetic object that technical reproduction would not be able to recreate (Benjamin, 1963). Gumbrecht (2004b) even puts "presence" in the focus of the aesthetic experience as the sensorial and lived experience of an appearance. Further developing this concept of presence in the context of classical music concerts, Rebstock (2020) claims that the production of presence might be the key component of a concert and, therefore, new concert formats should aim for a higher intensity of presence (Rebstock, 2020).

Although the concept of presence in the context of aesthetic experiences is repeatedly discussed in theory (Seel, 2003; Fischer-Lichte, 2004; Gumbrecht, 2004b; Rebstock, 2020; Roselt, 2020), no specific empirical research on it seems to exist, supposedly because of its intangible nature. However, some of the studies mentioned in the above sections include certain components, as

Music Listening in Classical Concerts

the experience of presence can be understood as a sensorial and intense physical experience and is *per se* part of the aesthetic experience (Gumbrecht, 2003).

While on the one hand the "incursion of reproduction into the live event" (Auslander, 2008) can be seen as a threatening development for the live experience, on the other hand it can be argued that technical reproduction might increase the demand of experiences of unmediated presence or is already even a fixed component of auratic moments (Schulze, 2011). Either way, there is no denying the fact that the link between uniqueness and presence dissolves due to technical developments, which empirical research might take up in a fruitful way.

# TOWARD A RESEARCH PROGRAM

Our literature review has shown that the (classical) concert and concert listening experiences have been already acknowledged as worthwhile research topics by a multitude of disciplines. At the same time, more thorough, systematic, and transdisciplinary research is still needed since (so far) a theoretical framework able to generate interrelated research questions and overarching hypotheses has not been postulated. In the final passages of our paper, we develop what we have outlined in the preceding sections into a sketch of a research program that, albeit functioning within a psychological scheme, is genuinely interdisciplinary. In a nutshell, this research program stipulates the comparison and experimental manipulation of frames as well as frame components to establish their respective affordances and effects on the musical experience. Here, the aesthetic experience of music is the dependent variable of interest. Although it is brought about by listening to a specific performance of music, i.e., the stimulus, and how a listener interacts with it, this is not what will be of primary interest. Rather, the focus will lie on the mediating and moderating effects of the concert frame, as well as its interactions with person- and stimulus-related factors.

### Frame Components as Stimuli

At the heart of empirical concert research, as we propose in this current article, stands the idea of using frames and their individual components as stimuli and manipulating them experimentally, in order to establish the nature, form, and strength of their influence on the musical stimulus and the affordances they unfold for performers and listeners (see the expanded model in Figure 2). By nature, we mean whether an effect enhances or disturbs the experience, and on which component(s) of the aesthetic experience it exerts its influence. By form, we mean whether an effect is rather a mediating or moderating one. While some aspects of frames can be studied in the lab (which should be acknowledged as a particular frame of its own), frames that largely rely on particular venues and social situations cannot. This is especially true for the concert. While virtual reality technologies might provide a more lab-like solution for this in the near future, at the moment, researchers have to go to or create such frames and situations themselves.

In essence, series of experiments need to be designed in which individual concert components are manipulated. Inspirations for



the components and types of such experimental manipulations should be derived from contemporary (and possibly even historical) concert practices, most importantly from practitioners who critically reflect on the concert (Rebstock, 2020; Roselt, 2020). In such a case, the pieces to be performed as well as the musicians performing them need to remain the same to control for (the largest part of) the acoustic stimulus. Components to be manipulated would be those that have been shown to define the concert as a concert, namely: the venue and the atmosphere it creates, the multi-modality, the listening mode, the behavior, and the social component. This will, at least partially, result in concerts that have a very different character and atmosphere, concerts whose frame function will thus become increasingly more "visible" up to a degree where it might no longer be working as a frame, but as an artistic stimulus in its own right, completely merged with the music.

In terms of the venue, a considerable number of effects on the musical stimulus and the listeners can be studied, that likely work either in the form of mediators or moderators. A manipulation in the form of performing the same program in halls with different acoustics, architectural styles, layout of tiers and stage, and social connotations suggests itself. While the acoustics have an effect on the sound of the performed piece, the atmosphere and architecture may likewise influence the state of the listeners. Potential priming effects of style and decoration of a venue, however, might primarily work as moderators on how a listener experiences the music.

The multimodal character of music in a concert, that follows from the co-presence of performers and listeners, is also a way in which the concert exerts an influence on the musical stimulus or even contributes to it. It can be examined by varying the visual appearance of performers, their behavior toward the audience, the degree and form of their overt interaction with each other, their display of their own emotions and engagement. An extreme form would be to hide performers from sight, as was repeatedly proposed and realized by historical theoreticians and practitioners of the concert since the 17th century (Schwab, 1991; Schröder, 2014). Besides, existing ideas to increase the value of the visual aspect even more by an artistic design of lighting, stage decorations, or the integration of video projections could be taken up and experimentally explored if they have an effect on attention, immersion, understanding, and appreciation.

Although, as a consequence of its underlying aesthetics, the attentive and disembodied listening mode is the historically preferred one in a concert, neither do all concerts afford these to a satisfying degree, nor should other potentially pleasurable and meaningful listening modes be excluded due to ideological reasons. Manipulations of a concert in the attempt to afford a specific listening mode is therefore another potential area of experimental variation of the classical standard concert. Such listening modes could include the exploration of the embodiment of the music, listening emotionally, associatively, or auto-biographically.

Related to this is the aspect of behavioral regimes exerted by a standard concert that can be assumed to moderate listening experiences. Here, moreover, variations can be designed that explore which other (less strict and ritualized) behaviors are possible and how they change the experience of the music.

Further, the social component needs careful consideration. Obvious variations could target the relationship between performers and audience in the attempt to make it less hierarchical, more spontaneous, personal, interactive, and – on the side of the audience – more participatory. Also, moderating effects of the size, density, and spatial arrangement of audiences can be examined.

Finally, variations could address the aspect of a perceived event-character and uniqueness of a concert. Here, elements that enhance the degree of surprise and indeterminacy would be related to the programming, the staging of pieces, or the integration of improvisation, among others, and thus moderate their experience.

All such variations would have to fulfill the double need of making sense artistically as a concert and of singling out individual components. To achieve this, concert curators need to form an essential part of a research team. Any hypothesis underlying a concrete experimental manipulation should primarily regard direct and mediated effects on attention, relating to the music, making sense of it, perceived presence and event-character, and the social components of the concert experience. This is because the distinctive features of a concert can all be seen as meant to afford intense, immersed, unique, and personally meaningful musical experiences that are characterized by two dimensions of relationships: between the individual listener and the music, and between the listeners and performers.

#### **Perspectives**

Such a research program that thoroughly and empirically investigates, as well as manipulates, the concert frame and its

components can only be performed in interdisciplinary teams that gather musicologists, sociologists, concert practitioners, and under the guidance of psychologists (Tröndle et al., 2020). It will come with a lot of challenges, above all methodological and conceptual ones in order to balance control with realism. However, it also provides important perspectives and promises to greatly advance (music) psychology, (cultural) sociology, and (empirical) aesthetics. In particular, it places a defining aspect of the art of music centerstage, namely that music requires to be mediated by performers, technologies, and even the air circulating through particular rooms. At the same time, our concept of frame highlights an aspect that is not only relevant, but crucial for all art forms. How (art) objects are perceived and experienced is only in part a direct result of their sensory and formal properties, but depends to a large degree on the aesthetic, social, and cultural discourses creating and surrounding them, as well as the situations in which they are perceived (Clarke, 2005). Artifacts, cultural objects, and art works in particular do not have a meaning of their own, but gain their meaning from cultural practices and discourses in which they are embedded. The concert provides a particularly convenient example to embark on a systematic exploration of effects of frames - their situational, social, multi-modal, and discursive constituents - on one set of aesthetic experience, the experience of music. Thus, we can expect to gather insights that will help us answer the initial questions, whether and in what respects music listening in classical concerts is different from other listening frames, and also, which types of concerts may continue to be of aesthetic interest to contemporary societies.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

MW-F created a first and second draft of the article, prepared figures, wrote most of the sections, and led the process. KO'N, HE, AC, CW, DM, WT, JT, and MT wrote individual sections of the article. All authors discussed and revised earlier versions of the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

## FUNDING

This article is related to the research project Experimental Concert Research (ECR), which receives substantial funds from the Volkswagen Foundation and the Aventis Foundation.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Felix Bernoully from the graphics department at the MPI for Empirical Aesthetics for designing the figures.

### REFERENCES

- Abeles, H., and Chung, J. W. (1996). "Response to music," in *Handbook of Music Psychology*, 2nd Edn, ed. D. A. Hodges (Hong Kong: IMR Press), 285–342.
- Anglada-Tort, M., and Müllensiefen, D. (2017). The repeated recording illusion: the effects of extrinsic and individual difference factors on musical judgments. *Music Percept.* 35, 94–117. doi: 10.1525/mp.2017.35.1.94
- Attali, J. (1977). Bruits: Essai sur l'économie politique de la musique. Paris: Presses universitaires de France.
- Attali, J. (1985). Noise: The Political Economy of Music. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Auslander, P. (2008). Liveness: Performance in a Mediatized Culture, 2nd Edn. Milton Park: Routledge.
- Beardsley, M. C. (1958). Aesthetics. Indianapolis: Hackett.
- Benjamin, W. (1963). Das Kunstwerk im Zeitalter seiner technischen Reproduzierbarkeit (1936). Das Kunstwerk im Zeitalter seiner technischen Reproduzierbarkeit. Drei Studien zur Kunstsoziologie. Berlin: Suhrkamp.
- Bernardi, N. F., Codrons, E., Di Leo, R., Vandoni, M., Cavallaro, F., Vita, G., et al. (2017). Increase in synchronization of autonomic rhythms between individuals when listening to music. *Front. Physiol.* 8:785. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2017.00785
- Besseler, H. (1926). Grundfragen des musikalischen Hörens. Jahrbuch Musikbibliothek Peters 32, 35–52.
- Besseler, H. (1959). Umgangsmusik und Darbietungsmusik im 16. Jahrhundert. Archiv für Musikwissenschaft 16:21. doi: 10.2307/930115
- Böhme, G. (1995). Atmosphäre: Essays zur neuen Ästhetik. Berlin: Suhrkamp.

Böhme, G. (2006). Architektur und Atmosphäre. Germany: Wilhelm Fink.

- Bontinck, I. (1999). "Öffentliche Aufführung und Konzert als Kategorien musikalischen Handelns," in Musik-Soziologie: Thematische Umkreisungen einer Disziplin, ed. Irmgard Bontinck (Straßhof: Vier Viertel Verlag), 25–36.
- Brattico, E., Bogert, B., and Jacobsen, T. (2013). Toward a neural chronometry for the aesthetic experience of music. *Front. Psychol.* 4:206. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013. 00206
- Brieber, D., Nadal, M., Leder, H., and Rosenberg, R. (2014). Art in time and space: context modulates the relation between art experience and viewing time. *PLoS One* 9:e99019. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0099019
- Broughton, M., and Stevens, C. (2009). Music, movement and marimba: an investigation of the role of movement and gesture in communicating musical expression to an audience. *Psychol. Music* 37, 137–153. doi: 10.1177/ 0305735608094511
- Brown, S. C., and Knox, D. (2017). Why go to pop concerts? The motivations behind live music attendance. *Musicae Sci.* 21, 233–249. doi: 10.1177/ 1029864916650719
- Bruineberg, J., and Rietveld, E. (2014). Self-organization, free energy minimization, and optimal grip on a field of affordances. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 8:599. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00599
- Brüstle, C. (2013). Konzert-Szenen. Bewegung, Performance, Medien. Musik zwischen performativer Expansion und medialer Integration 1950–2000. Stuttgart: Franz Steiner Verlag.
- Bubner, R. (ed.) (1980). Über einige Bedingungen gegenwärtiger Ästhetik. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht.
- Bullough, E. (1995). "Psychical distance' as a factor in art and as an aesthetic principle," in *The Philosophy of Art: Readings Ancient and Modern*, eds A. Neill and A. Ridley (New York, NY: McGraw-Hill).
- Burland, K., and Pitts, S. (eds) (2014). Coughing and Clapping: Investigating Audience Experience. Farnham: Ashgate Publishing Group.
- Caroll, N. (2012). Recent approaches to aesthetic experience. J. Aesthet. Art Crit. 70, 165–177. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6245.2012.01509.x
- Chalmers, D. J. (1995). Facing up to the problem of consciousness. J. Consci. Stud. 2, 200–219.
- Chapados, C., and Levitin, D. J. (2008). Cross-modal interactions in the experience of musical performances: physiological correlates. *Cognition* 108, 639–651. doi: 10.1016/j.cognition.2008.05.008
- Chapman, A. (1981). Some intervallic aspects of pitch-class set relations. J. Music Theory 25:275. doi: 10.2307/843652
- Clarke, E. F. (2005). Ways of Listening: An Ecological Approach to the Perception of Musical Meaning. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Cochrane, T. (2009). Joint attention to music. Br. J. Aesthet. 49, 59–73. doi: 10.1093/ aesthj/ayn059

- Cohen, A. (2009). "Music in performance arts: film, theatre and dance," in *The Oxford Handbook of Music Psychology*, 1st Edn, eds S. Hallam, I. Cross, and M. Thaut (Oxford: Oxford University Press).
- Cook, N. (2012). Introduction: refocusing theory. *Music Theory Online* 18. [Online ahead of print].
- Coutinho, E., and Scherer, K. R. (2017). The effect of context and audio-visual modality on emotions elicited by a musical performance. *Psychol. Music* 45, 550–569. doi: 10.1177/0305735616670496
- Cressman, D. M. (2012). The Concert Hall as a Medium of Musical Culture: The Technical Mediation of Listening in the 19th Century. Dissertation, Burnaby: Simon Fraser University.
- Dahl, S., and Friberg, A. (2004). "Expressiveness of musician's body movements in performances on marimba," in *Gesture-Based Communication in Human-Computer Interaction*, eds A. Camurri and G. Volpe (Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg), 479–486. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-24598-8\_44
- Davidson, J. W. (1993). Visual perception of performance manner in the movements of solo musicians. *Psychol. Music* 21, 103–113. doi: 10.1177/ 030573569302100201
- Davidson, J. W. (2001). The role of the body in the production and perception of solo vocal performance: a case study of annie lennox. *Musicae Sci.* 5, 235–256. doi: 10.1177/102986490100500206
- Davidson, J. W. (2012). Bodily movement and facial actions in expressive musical performance by solo and duo instrumentalists: two distinctive case studies. *Psychol. Music.* 40, 595–633. doi: 10.1177/0305735612449896
- Deines, S., Liptow, J., and Seel, M. (eds) (2013). Kunst und Erfahrung: Beiträge zu einer philosophischen Kontroverse. Berlin: Suhrkamp.
- DeNora, T. (2000). *Music in Everyday Life*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dewey, J. (1934). Art as Experience (Perigee Trade Paperback Edition), New York, NY: Minton, Balch & Company.
- Dobson, M. C. (2010). New audiences for classical music: the experiences of nonattenders at live orchestral concerts. J. New Music Res. 39, 111–124. doi: 10.1080/ 09298215.2010.489643
- Dobson, M. C., and Pitts, S. E. (2011). Classical cult or learning community? Exploring new audience members' social and musical responses to first-time concert attendance. *Ethnomusicol. Forum* 20, 353–383. doi: 10.1080/17411912. 2011.641717
- Dollase, R. (1998). "Das Publikum in Konzerten, Theatervorstellungen und Filmvorführungen," in *Zuschauer*, ed. B. Strauß (Göttingen: Hogrefe Verlag), 139–174.
- Eco, U. (1989). Opera aperta., English edition: The Open Work. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Egermann, H., Kopiez, R., and Altenmüller, E. (2013). The influence of social normative and informational feedback on musically induced emotions in an online music listening setting. *Psychomusicology* 23, 21–32. doi: 10.1037/ a0032316
- Egermann, H., Sutherland, M. E., Grewe, O., Nagel, F., Kopiez, R., and Altenmüller, E. (2011). Does music listening in a social context alter experience? A physiological and psychological perspective on emotion. *Musicae Sci.* 15, 307– 323. doi: 10.1177/1029864911399497
- Entman, R. M. (1993). Framing: toward clarification of a fractured paradigm. J. Commun. 43, 51-58. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01304.x
- Falk, J. H., and Dierking, L. D. (1992). *The Museum Experience*. Washington, DC: Whalesback.
- Finnäs, L. (1992). The effects of listening experience of different modes of presenting music. *Pedagogiska* 1, 105–144.
- Fischer-Lichte, E. (2004). Ästhetik des Performativen. Berlin: Suhrkamp Verlag.
- Fischinger, T., Kaufmann, M., and Schlotz, W. (2020). If it's mozart, it must be good? The influence of textual information and age on musical appreciation. *Psychol. Music* 48, 579–597. doi: 10.1177/0305735618812216
- Forsyth, M. (1985). Buildings for Music: The Architect, the Musician, and the Listener from the Seventeenth Century to the Present Day. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gabrielsson, A., and Wik, S. L. (2003). Strong experiences related to music: a descriptive system. *Musicae Sci.* 7, 157–217. doi: 10.1177/102986490300700201
- Gay, P. (1984). The Bourgeois Experience: Victoria to Freud: Vol. IV: The Naked Heart. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Gembris, H., and Menze, J. (2020). "Between audience decline and audience development: perspectives on the professional musician, music education, and cultural policy," in *Classical Concert Studies: A Companion to Contemporary Research and Performance*, ed. M. Tröndle (Milton Park: Routledge), 211–226. doi: 10.4324/9781003013839-26
- Gibson, J. J. (1966). *The Senses Considered as Perceptual Systems*. Crows Nest: Allen and Unwin.
- Glogner-Pilz, P., and Föhl, P. S. (2011). Das Kulturpublikum: Fragestellungen und Befunde der empirischen Forschung. Wiesbaden: Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH.
- Göbel, H. K. (2020). "The cultural dimensions of atmospheres: sociological observations of the Resonanzraum in Hamburg," in *Classical Concert Studies:* A Companion to Contemporary Research and Performance, ed. M. Tröndle (Milton Park: Routledge), 180–187. doi: 10.4324/9781003013839-21
- Goehr, L. (1994). Political music and the politics of music. J. Aesthet. Art Crit. 52, 99–112. doi: 10.2307/431589
- Goffman, E. (1974). Frame Analysis: An Essay on the Organization of Experience. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Gopinath, S. S., and Stanyek, J. (eds) (2014). The Oxford Handbook of Mobile Music Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Gracyk, T. (1997). Listening to music: performances and recordings. J. Aesthet. Art Crit. 55:139. doi: 10.2307/431260
- Griffero, T. (2014). Atmospheres: Aesthetics of Emotional Spaces. Farnham: Ashgate Pub.
- Griffiths, N. K. (2011). The fabric of performance: values and social practices of classical music expressed through concert dress choice. *Music Perform. Res.* 4, 30–48.
- Griffiths, N. K., and Reay, J. L. (2018). The relative importance of aural and visual information in the evaluation of Western Canon music performance by musicians and nonmusicians. *Music Percept.* 35, 364–375. doi: 10.1525/mp. 2018.35.3.364
- Griffiths, P. (2010). Modern Music and After. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Gross, J. (2013). Concert going in Everyday Life: An Ethnography of Still and Silent Listening at the BBC Proms. Doctoral dissertation, London: University of London.
- Gumbrecht, H. U. (2003). "Epiphanien," in *Dimensionen ästhetischer Erfahrung*, eds J. Küpper and C. Menke (Frankfurt: Suhrkamp Taschenbuch Wissenschaft), 203–222. doi: 10.1007/978-3-476-04311-5\_6
- Gumbrecht, H. U. (2004a). Diesseits der Hermeneutik: Die Produktion von Präsenz. Berlin: Suhrkamp Verlag, 157.
- Gumbrecht, H. U. (2004b). *Production of Presence: What Meaning cannot Convey*. Palo Alto, CL: Stanford University Press.
- Heinen, A. (2013). Wer will das noch hören. Wiesbaden: Springer Fachmedien.
- Heister, H.-W. (1983). Das Konzert: Theorie einer Kulturform. Wilhelmshaven: Heinrichshofen.
- Huron, D. (2012). Aesthetics S. Hallam, I. Cross, and M. Thaut Eds 1. Oxford: Oxford University Press. doi: 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199298457.013.0014
- IFPI (2019). *IFPI Releases Music Listening 2019*. Available online at: https://new. ifpi.org/ifpi-releases-music-listening-2019/ (accessed December 7, 2020).
- IFPI (2020). *IFPI Issues Annual Global Music Report*. Available online at: https: //www.ifpi.org/ifpi-issues-annual-global-music-report/
- Janata, P., Tomic, S. T., and Haberman, J. M. (2012). Sensorimotor coupling in music and the psychology of the groove. J. Exp. Psychol. 141, 54–75. doi: 10. 1037/a0024208
- Johnson, J. (1995). Analysis in Adorno's aesthetics of music. *Music Anal*. 14:295. doi: 10.2307/854016
- Juslin, P. N. (2013). From everyday emotions to aesthetic emotions: towards a unified theory of musical emotions. *Phys. Life Rev.* 10, 235–266. doi: 10.1016/ j.plrev.2013.05.008
- Karlsen, S. (2014). "Context, cohesion and community. Characteristics of festival audience members' strong experiences with music," in *Coughing and Clapping: Investigating Audience Experience*, eds K. Burland and S. Pitts (Farnham: Ashgate Publishing Group), 115–126.
- Kirchberg, V. (2020). "A sociological reflection on the concert venue," in *Classical Concert Studies: A Companion to Contemporary Research and Performance*, ed. Martin Tröndle (New York, NY: Routledge). doi: 10.4324/97810030138 39-22

- Konečni, V. J. (2005). The aesthetic trinity: awe, being moved, thrills. *Bull. Psychol. Arts* 5, 27–44. doi: 10.1037/e674862010-005
- Kulczynski, A., Baxter, S., and Young, T. (2016). Measuring motivations for popular music concert attendance. *Event Manage*. 20, 239–254. doi: 10.3727/ 152599516X14643674421816
- Küpper, J., and Menke, C. (eds) (2003). *Dimensionen ästhetischer Erfahrung*. Berlin: Suhrkamp.
- Lamont, A. (2011). University students' strong experiences of music: pleasure, engagement, and meaning. *Musicae Sci.* 15, 229–249. doi: 10.1177/1029864911403368
- Leder, H., Belke, B., Oeberst, A., and Augustin, D. (2004). A model of aesthetic appreciation and aesthetic judgments. *Br. J. Psychol.* 95, 489–508. doi: 10.1348/0007126042369811
- Leder, H., and Nadal, M. (2014). Ten years of a model of aesthetic appreciation and aesthetic judgments: the aesthetic episode - developments and challenges in empirical aesthetics. *Br. J. Psychol.* 105, 443–464. doi: 10.1111/bjop. 12084
- Levin, I. P., Schneider, S. L., and Gaeth, G. J. (1998). All frames are not created equal: a typology and critical analysis of framing effects. Organ. Behav. Hum. Decis. Process. 76, 149–188. doi: 10.1006/obhd.1998.2804
- Lewin, K. (1936). Principles of Topological Psychology. Eastford: Martino Fine Books.
- Liljeström, S., Juslin, P. N., and Västfjäll, D. (2013). Experimental evidence of the roles of music choice, social context, and listener personality in emotional reactions to music. *Psychol. Music* 41, 579–599. doi: 10.1177/ 0305735612440615
- Lindau, A. (2010). Zu den Dimensionen des Unterschieds live aufgeführter und reproduzierter Musik: Ergebnisse einer qualitativ/quantitativen Umfragestudie. Fortschritte der Akustik – DAGA 2010, 609–610.
- Linnemann, A., Strahler, J., and Nater, U. M. (2016). The stress-reducing effect of music listening varies depending on the social context. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 72, 97–105. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016. 06.003
- Luck, G., Toiviainen, P., and Thompson, M. R. (2010). Perception of expression in conductors' gestures: a continuous response study. *Music Percept.* 28, 47–57. doi: 10.1525/mp.2010.28.1.47
- Mann, R. P., Faria, J., Sumpter, D. J. T., and Krause, J. (2013). The dynamics of audience applause. J. R. Soc. Interface 10:20130466. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2013. 0466
- Manstead, A. S. R., and Fischer, A. H. (2001). "Social appraisal: the social world as object of and influence on appraisal processes," in *Appraisal Processes in Emotion: Theory, Methods, Research*, eds K. R. Scherer, A. Schorr, and T. Johnstone (London: Oxford University Press), 221–232.
- Margulis, E. (2010). When program notes don't help: music descriptions and enjoyment. *Psychol. Music* 38, 285–302. doi: 10.1177/03057356093 51921
- Margulis, E., Kisida, B., and Greene, J. (2015). A knowing ear: the effect of explicit information on children's experience of a musical performance. *Psychol. Music* 43, 596–605. doi: 10.1177/0305735613510343
- Marković, S. (2012). Components of aesthetic experience: aesthetic fascination, aesthetic appraisal, and aesthetic emotion. *Iperception* 3, 1–17. doi: 10.1068/ i0450aap
- McAdams, S., Vines, B. W., Vieillard, S., Smith, B. K., and Reynolds, R. (2004). Influences of large-scale form on continuous ratings in response to a contemporary piece in a live concert setting. *Music Percept.* 22, 297–350. doi: 10.1525/mp.2004.22.2.297
- Menninghaus, W., Wagner, V., Hanich, J., Wassiliwizky, E., Kuehnast, M., and Jacobsen, T. (2015). Towards a psychological construct of being moved. *PLoS One* 10:e0128451. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0128451
- Menninghaus, W., Wagner, V., Wassiliwizky, E., Schindler, I., Hanich, J., Jacobsen, T., et al. (2019). What are aesthetic emotions? *Psychol. Rev.* 126, 171–195. doi: 10.1037/rev0000135
- Merriam, A. P. (1964). *The Anthropology of Music*. Evanston, IL: Northwestern University Press.
- Moelants, D., Demey, M., Grachten, M., Wu, C.-F., and Leman, M. (2012). The influence of an audience on performers: a comparison between rehearsal and concert using audio, video and movement data. J. New Music Res. 41, 67–78. doi: 10.1080/09298215.2011.642392

- Morrison, S. J., and Selvey, J. D. (2014). The effect of conductor expressivity on choral ensemble evaluation. *Bull. Counc. Res. Music Educ.* 199, 7–18. doi: 10.5406/bulcouresmusedu.199.0007
- Müller, S. O. (2014). Das Publikum Macht die Musik: Musikleben in Berlin, London und Wien im 19. Jahrhundert. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Nagel, T. (1974). What is it like to be a bat? *Philos. Rev.* 83:435. doi: 10.2307/2183914
- North, A. C., and Hargreaves, D. J. (2005). Brief report: labelling effects on the perceived deleterious consequences of pop music listening. J. Adolesc. 28, 433–440. doi: 10.1016/j.adolescence.2004.09.003
- North, A. C., and Hargreaves, D. J. (2010). "Music and marketing," in *Handbook of Music and Emotion: Theory, Research, Applications*, eds P. N. Juslin and J. A. Sloboda (Oxford: Oxford University Press), 909–930.
- O'Hara, K., and Brown, B. (eds) (2006). "Consuming music together," in Social and Collaborative Aspects of Music Cobsumption Technologies, (Berlin: Springer).
- Pätynen, J., and Lokki, T. (2016). Perception of music dynamics in concert hall acoustics. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 140, 3787–3798. doi: 10.1121/1.4967157
- Pelowski, M., Markey, P. S., Lauring, J. O., and Leder, H. (2016). Visualizing the impact of art: an update and comparison of current psychological models of art experience. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 10:160. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2016. 00160
- Pitts, S. E. (2005). What makes an audience? Investigating the roles and experiences of listeners at a chamber music festival. *Music Lett.* 86, 257–269. doi: 10.1093/ ml/gci035
- Radbourne, J., Johanson, K., and Glow, H. (2014). "The value of "being there": how the live experience measures quality for the audience," in *Coughing* and Clapping: Investigating Audience Experience, eds K. Burland and S. Pitts (Farnham: Ashgate Publishing Group), 53–88.
- Radbourne, J., Johanson, K., Glow, H., and White, T. (2009). The audience experience: measuring quality in the performing arts. *Int. J. Arts Manage*. 3:16.
- Rebstock, M. (2020). "Strategies for the production of presence," in *Classical Concert Studies: A Companion to Contemporary Research and Performance*, ed. M. Tröndle (Milton Park: Routledge), 134–140. doi: 10.4324/978100301 3839-16
- Reicher, M. E. (2005). *Einführung in die philosophische Ästhetik*. Darmstadt: Wiss. Buchges.
- Reuband, K.-H. (2007). Partizipation an der Hochkultur und die Überschätzung kultureller Kompetenz: Wie sich das Sozialprofil der Opernbesucher in Bevölkerungs- und Besucherbefragungen (partiell) unterscheidet. Österr. Z. Soziol. 32, 46–70. doi: 10.1007/s11614-007-0020-6
- Reuband, K.-H. (2013). Macht Kultur glücklich? Überlegungen und Befunde zum Verhältnis von kultureller Partizipation und Lebenszufriedenheit. Österr. Z Soziol. 38, 77–92. doi: 10.1007/s11614-013-0073-7
- Reuband, K.-H. (2018). Die Neustrukturierung der Altersbeziehung kultureller Partizipation Ein Langzeitvergleich bundesweiter Bevölkerungsumfragen. *Z. Kulturmanage.* 4, 23–52. doi: 10.14361/zkmm-2018-0102
- Roose, H. (2008). Many-voiced or unisono? An inquiry into motives for attendance and aesthetic dispositions of the audience attending classical concerts. *Acta Sociol.* 51, 237–253. doi: 10.1177/000169930809 4168
- Roose, H., and Vander Stichele, A. (2010). Living room vs. concert hall: patterns of music consumption in flanders. Soc. Forces 89, 185–207. doi: 10.1353/sof.2010. 0077
- Roselt, J. (2020). "4'33": The concert as a performative moment," in *Classical Concert Studies: A Companion to Contemporary Research and Performance*, ed. M. Tröndle (Milton Park: Routledge), 34–39.

Salmen, W. (1988). Das Konzert: Eine Kulturgeschichte. Munich: C. H. Beck.

- Sato, T. G., Shiraki, Y., and Moriya, T. (2017). "Audience excitement reflected in respiratory phase synchronization," in *Proceeding of the 2017 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC)*, (New York, NY: IEEE), 2856–2860. doi: 10.1109/SMC.2017.8123060
- Schäfer, T., Sedlmeier, P., Städtler, C., and Huron, D. (2013). The psychological functions of music listening. *Front. Psychol.* 4:511. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013. 00511
- Schindler, I., Hosoya, G., Menninghaus, W., Beermann, U., Wagner, V., Eid, M., et al. (2017). Measuring aesthetic emotions: a review of the literature and a new assessment tool. *PLoS One* 12:e0178899. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0178899

Schmitz, H. (2014). Atmosphären. Freiburg im Breisgau: Verlag Karl Alber.

- Schönhammer, R. (2014). "Atmosphäre Leben am Ort: Psychologie der Umwelt und Gestaltung," in Atmosphären entwerfen, eds J. Weidinger and R. Schönhammer (Berlin: Technische Universität), 153–195.
- Schröder, J. H. (2014). Zur Position der Musikhörenden: Konzeptionen ästhetischer Erfahrung im Konzert. Hofheim: Wolke Verlag.
- Schulze, G. (1992). Die Erlebnisgesellschaft: Kultursoziologie der Gegenwart (2. Aufl.). New York, NY: Campus-Verl.
- Schulze, G. (2011). "Die Erfindung des Musik Hörens," in Das Konzert. Neue Aufführungskonzepte für eine klassische Form, ed. M. Tröndle (Bielefeld: transcript-Verlag), 45–52.
- Schwab, H. W. (1971). Konzert: Öffentliche Musikdarbietung vom 17. bis 19. Jahrhundert. Leipzig: Deutscher Verlag für Musik.
- Schwab, H. W. (1991). Von unsichtbaren Orchestern und verdunkelten Hörräumen: Berichte und Bilder aus der Kulturgeschichte des Konzertsaals. Das Orchester 39, 2–7.
- Seel, M. (2003). Ästhetik des Erscheinens. Berlin: Suhrkamp.
- Seel, M. (2008). Ästhetik des Erscheinens (Nachdr.). Berlin: Suhrkamp.
- Seibert, C., Greb, F., and Tschacher, W. (2019). Nonverbale Synchronie und Musik-Erleben im klassischen Konzert. Jahrb. Musikpsychol. 28, 2569–5665. doi: 10. 5964/jbdgm.2018v28.18
- Shusterman, R. (1997). The end of aesthetic experience. J. Aesthet. Art Crit. 55, 29–41. doi: 10.2307/431602
- Silveira, J. M. (2014). The effect of body movement on listeners' perceptions of musicality in trombone quartet performance. *Int. J. Music Educ.* 32, 311–323. doi: 10.1177/0255761413491210
- Small, C. (1998). Musicking: The Meanings of Performing and Listening. Middletown, CT: Wesleyan University Press.
- Solomon, M. R. (ed.) (1985). The Psychology of Fashion. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.
- Statista (2021). Live Music Industry Revenue Worldwide 2014-2024. Availble at: https://www.statista.com/statistics/1096424/live-music-industry-revenueworldwide/ (accessed March 22, 2021).
- Stevens, C. J., Dean, R. T., Vincs, K., and Schubert, E. (2014). "In the heat of the moment: audience real-time response to music and dance performance," in *Coughing and Clapping: Investigating Audience Experience*, eds K. Burland and S. Pitts (Farnham: Ashgate Publishing), 69–87.
- Stolnitz, J. (1960). Aesthetics and Philosophy of Art Criticism. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
- Stuffmann, M. (2005). "Das Kunstmuseum und seine neue Öffentlichkeit: Gefahren und Möglichkeiten der Neuen Medien für die gegenwärtige Praxis," in Sichtweisen: Zur veränderten Wahrnehmung von Objekten in Museen, eds B. Graf and A. B. Müller (Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften), 69–77. doi: 10.1007/978-3-322-80678-9\_8
- Stupacher, J., Hove, M. J., and Janata, P. (2016). Audio features underlying perceived groove and sensorimotor synchronization in music. *Music Percept.* 33, 571–589. doi: 10.1525/mp.2016.33.5.571
- Swarbrick, D., Bosnyak, D., Livingstone, S. R., Bansal, J., Marsh-Rollo, S., Woolhouse, M. H., et al. (2019). How live music moves us: head movement differences in audiences to live versus recorded music. *Front. Psychol.* 9:2682. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02682
- Tajadura-Jiménez, A., Larsson, P., Väljamäe, A., Västfjäll, D., and Kleiner, M. (2010). When room size matters: acoustic influences on emotional responses to sounds. *Emotion* 10, 416–422. doi: 10.1037/a0018423
- Thompson, S. (2006). Audience responses to a live orchestral concert. *Musicae Sci.* 10, 215–244. doi: 10.1177/102986490601000204
- Thorau, C., and Ziemer, H. (eds) (2019). *The Oxford Handbook of Music Listening in the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Toelle, J. (2018). "Applaus," in Handbuch Sound: Geschichte Begriffe Ansätze, eds D. Morat and H. Ziemer (Stuttgart: J.B. Metzler), 178–182. doi: 10.1007/ 978-3-476-05421-0\_31
- Toelle, J., and Sloboda, J. A. (2019). The audience as artist? The audience's experience of participatory music. *Musicae Sci.* 25:102986491984480. doi: 10. 1177/1029864919844804
- Tröndle, M. (2019). Nicht-Besucherforschung: Audience Development für Kultureinrichtungen. Hessen: Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden.

- Tröndle, M. (2020). Classical Concert Studies: A Companion to Contemporary Research and Performance. Milton Park: Routledge, doi: 10.4324/ 9781003013839
- Tröndle, M. (ed.) (2011). Das Konzert. Neue Aufführungskonzepte für eine klassische Form. Bielefeld: transcript-Verlag.
- Tröndle, M. (ed.) (2018). Das Konzert II. Beiträge zum Forschungsfeld der Concert Studies. Bielefeld: transcript-Verlag.
- Tröndle, M., Greenwood, S., Bitterli, K., and van den Berg, K. (2014). The effects of curatorial arrangements. *Mus. Manage. Curatorsh.* 29, 140–173. doi: 10.1080/ 09647775.2014.888820
- Tröndle, M., Greenwood, S., Ramakrishnan, C., Uhde, F., Egermann, H., and Tschacher, W. (2020). "Integrated methods. A pledge for integrative and interdisciplinary research," in *The Oxford Handbook of Empirical Aesthetics*, eds O. Vartanian and M. Nadal (Oxford: Oxford University Press).
- Tröndle, M., and Tschacher, W. (2012). The physiology of phenomenology: the effects of artworks. *Empir. Stud. Arts* 30, 75–113. doi: 10.2190/EM.30.1.g
- Tröndle, M., and Tschacher, W. (2016). Art affinity influences art reception (in the eye of the beholder). *Empir. Stud. Arts* 34, 74–102. doi: 10.1177/ 0276237415621187
- Tsay, C.-J. (2013). Sight over sound in the judgment of music performance. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 110, 14580–14585. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1221454110
- Turino, T. (2008). Music as Social Life: The Politics of Participation. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Van Zijl, A. G. W., and Luck, G. (2013). Moved through music: the effect of experienced emotions on performers' movement characteristics. *Psychol. Music* 41, 175–197. doi: 10.1177/03057356124 58334
- Vines, B. W., Krumhansl, C. L., Wanderley, M. M., Dalca, I. M., and Levitin, D. J. (2011). Music to my eyes: cross-modal interactions in the perception of emotions in musical performance. *Cognition* 118, 157–170. doi: 10.1016/j. cognition.2010.11.010

- Vines, B. W., Wanderley, M. M., Krumhansl, C. L., Nuzzo, R. L., and Levitin, D. J. (2004). "Performance gestures of musicians: what structural and emotional information do they convey?," in *Gesture-Based Communication in Human-Computer Interaction*, Vol. 2915, eds A. Camurri and G. Volpe (Berlin: Springer), 468–478. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-24598-8\_43
- Vuoskoski, J. K., and Eerola, T. (2015). Extramusical information contributes to emotions induced by music. *Psychol. Music* 43, 262–274. doi: 10.1177/ 0305735613502373
- Vuoskoski, J. K., Gatti, E., Spence, C., and Clarke, E. F. (2016). Do visual cues intensify the emotional responses evoked by musical performance? A psychophysiological investigation. *Psychomusicology* 26, 179–188. doi: 10.1037/ pmu0000142
- Vuoskoski, J. K., Thompson, M. R., Clarke, E. F., and Spence, C. (2014). Crossmodal interactions in the perception of expressivity in musical performance. *Atten. Percept. Psychophys.* 76, 591–604. doi: 10.3758/s13414-013-0582-2
- Weber, W. (1997). Did people listen in the 18th century? *Early Music* 25, 678–691. doi: 10.1093/em/25.4.678
- Willems, H. (1997). Zum theoretischen und methodischen Ansatz Erving Goffmans: Vergleiche, Anschlüsse und Anwendungen. Berlin: Suhrkamp.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Wald-Fuhrmann, Egermann, Czepiel, O'Neill, Weining, Meier, Tschacher, Uhde, Toelle and Tröndle. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.