



On the Role of EFL/ESL Teachers' Emotion Regulation in Students' Academic Engagement

Fang Wang^{1*} and Zhi Ye²

¹ College of Public Education, Zhejiang Institute of Economics and Trade, Hangzhou, China, ² School of Marxism, Zhejiang Police College, Hangzhou, China

Considering the pivotal role of students' academic engagement in their success, discovering the intrinsic and extrinsic factors that inspire students to engage in class activities seems crucial. Notwithstanding, only a few empirical studies have been devoted to teachers' personal factors such as emotion regulation and their predictive function. Further, to our knowledge, no theoretical or systematic review study has been conducted on the association between teacher emotion regulation and student academic engagement. The current review study seeks to fill these lacunas by illustrating English as a foreign language (EFL) or English as a second language (ESL) teachers' emotion regulation and its' capability to enhance students' academic engagement. Using the existing evidence, the power of ESL/EFL teachers' emotion regulation in predicting their pupils' academic engagement was proved. The findings' educational implications are further discussed.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Ali Derakhshan, Golestan University, Iran

Reviewed by:

Yongliang Wang, Henan University, China Omid Mazandarani, Islamic Azad University, Iran

*Correspondence: Fang Wang raulwfmking2021@163.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Educational Psychology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 15 August 2021 Accepted: 09 September 2021 Published: 06 October 2021

Citation:

Wang F and Ye Z (2021) On the Role of EFL/ESL Teachers' Emotion Regulation in Students' Academic Engagement. Front. Psychol. 12:758860. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.758860 Keywords: teacher emotion regulation, student academic engagement, EFL/ESL teachers, EFL/ESL, education

INTRODUCTION

Given the fact that students' disengagement is a major threat to their success (Hiver et al., 2021), fostering students' academic engagement is of high importance for teachers in all instructionallearning contexts, notably ESL/EFL classes. The notion of student engagement is generally conceptualized as "the extent and manner of involvement manifested by learners in relation to academic activities" (Guz and Tetiurka, 2016, p. 136). As a multifaceted construct, student academic engagement covers both emotional and behavioral aspects of students' involvement in performing learning tasks (Christenson et al., 2012). As put forward by Finn and Zimmer (2012), students' academic engagement can remarkably contribute to their increased achievement, mainly due to the fact that engaged students who have positive attitudes toward the learning process commonly put more effort into acquiring the course content. Thus, discovering factors that enhance students' academic engagement seems essential. In line with this premise, the impact of students' personal factors on their academic engagement has been widely studied (e.g., Renninger and Hidi, 2015; Li et al., 2016; Qureshi et al., 2016; Alley, 2019; Khajavy, 2021). Similarly, considerable attention has been paid to the role of teacher interpersonal behaviors (e.g., Estepp and Roberts, 2015; Derakhshan, 2021; Jiang and Zhang, 2021; Xie and Derakhshan, 2021; Zheng, 2021, Dixson et al., 2017). In contrast, the probable effects of teacher personal traits such as emotion regulation has been the focus of less empirical research (e.g., Akbari et al., 2017; Kwon et al., 2017; Burić and Frenzel, 2020).

Teacher emotion regulation as a personal factor pertains to internal and external processes an instructor goes through to assess and manage his/her inner feelings (Thompson et al., 2008). In their study, Cole and Deater-Deckard (2009) conceptualized teacher emotion regulation as "the ability to respond to the ongoing demands of experience with the range of emotions in a manner that is socially tolerable and sufficiently flexible to permit spontaneous reaction as well as the ability to delay spontaneous reactions as needed" (p. 1327). Regulating their emotions, teachers can create a desirable learning environment (Shao et al., 2020; Fathi et al., 2021), which is fundamental for involving pupils in the learning process.

Despite the facilitative function that teacher emotion regulation may serve in engaging students, a few studies (Akbari et al., 2017; Kwon et al., 2017; Burić and Frenzel, 2020) have empirically explored the impact of teacher emotion regulation on ESL/EFL students' academic engagement. Further, no theoretical review study has been conducted on the association between these variables. Hence, in this study, the researcher aims to explicate different conceptualizations of teacher emotion regulation and student academic engagement, their theoretical underpinnings, and their interrelationships.

Teacher Emotion Regulation

The construct of emotion regulation is generally conceptualized as "individuals' ability to respond to the ongoing demands of experience with the range of emotions in a manner that is socially tolerable and sufficiently flexible to permit spontaneous reaction" (Cole et al., 1994, p. 76). More specifically, teacher emotion regulation is defined as the ability of instructors to manage their emotional experiences in classroom contexts (Fried, 2011). Emotion regulation strategies that teachers utilize before and after the generation of emotions are called responsefocused strategies and antecedent-focused strategies, respectively (Greenier et al., 2021). Among various response-focused and antecedent-focused strategies, one can refer to expressive suppression and cognitive reappraisal as two main strategies that teachers frequently employ to navigate their feelings. Cognitive reappraisal is described as one's endeavor to reframe an emotional event or experience in such a manner that modifies its underlying meaning and impression (Troy et al., 2018). Expressive suppression is also defined as individuals' effort to hide, impede, or restrict emotion-expressive behavior (Cutuli, 2014).

Student Academic Engagement

Student academic engagement is primarily defined as "the quality of students' participation or connection with the educational endeavor and hence with activities, values, individuals, aims, and place that comprise it" (Skinner et al., 2009, p. 496). This concept is further conceptualized as students' cognitive, emotional, and behavioral investment in learning academic content (Archambault et al., 2009). In line with this conceptualization, Reeve and Tseng (2011) divided the construct of student academic engagement into four components of "behavioral engagement," "cognitive engagement," "emotional engagement," and "agentive engagement." Behavioral engagement, as the first component, refers to students' amount of effort, persistence, and attention in doing learning activities. As the second component, cognitive engagement pertains to students' adoption of advanced learning techniques and self-regulation strategies. Emotional engagement also relates to students' interest and passion for acquiring course content. Agentive engagement as the last component of student academic engagement refers to "pupils' positive contribution to the flow of instruction" (Alrashidi et al., 2016, p. 43).

The Positive Connection Between ESL/EFL Teachers' Emotion Regulation and Their Students' Academic Engagement

To illuminate the importance of ESL/EFL teachers' emotion regulation in fostering students' academic engagement, Dewaele and Li (2020) stated that teachers who are able to control and navigate their emotions can create a positive atmosphere which is crucial for raising students' learning engagement. In this regard, Kwon et al. (2017) also postulated that the manner in which teachers control or express their feelings can enormously affect students' academic functioning, notably academic engagement. To them, those who do not express severe emotional reactions to students' misbehaviors are more successful in motivating pupils to participate in class activities. Similarly, in light of positive psychology core assumptions, Wang et al. (2021) proposed that the emotion regulation strategies that instructors utilize to down-regulate their undesirable feelings enable them to establish close relationships with their students. Those students who have favorable relations with their instructors are more motivated to engage in learning activities (Reyes et al., 2012; Quin, 2017; Martin and Collie, 2019; Xie and Derakhshan, 2021).

EMPIRICAL STUDIES

Given the undeniable role of ESL/EFL students' academic engagement in their success (Hiver et al., 2021), a large amount of study has been devoted to this construct and its predictors. Nevertheless, limited attention has been paid to the predictive power of teacher personal factors such as emotion regulation (Akbari et al., 2017; Kwon et al., 2017; Burić and Frenzel, 2020). Kwon et al., 2017, for instance, explored the association between teacher emotion regulation and students' engagement. Distributing two validated questionnaires among participants, their perspectives on the impact of teacher emotion regulation on students' academic success were obtained. The inspection of the correlations portrayed a favorable relation between teacher emotion regulation and students' learning engagement. In a qualitative study, Akbari et al. (2017) also investigated the positive consequences of teacher emotion regulation for EFL students' academic behaviors. In doing so, some semi-structured interview sessions were performed. Analyzing participants' answers to interview questions, the researchers reported that the emotion regulation strategies that teachers utilize in EFL classes can dramatically affect student academic behaviors, notably academic engagement. In a similar vein, Burić and Frenzel (2020) also examined the role of instructors' emotion regulation in their pupils' academic engagement. To gather data, Teacher Emotion Regulation Scale (TERS) and Student Engagement in Schools Questionnaire (SESQ) were given to participants. Analyzing participants' responses to the questionnaires, the researchers discovered a positive connection between teachers' emotion regulation and students' engagement. That is, students viewed teacher emotion regulation as a strong antecedent of their engagement.

CONCLUSION AND PEDAGOGICAL IMPLICATIONS

In the current review study, the variables of teacher emotion regulation and student academic engagement were fully described. Further, the favorable association between these constructs was clarified. The existing literature was then reviewed to prove the capability of teacher emotion regulation in fostering students' academic engagement. Considering the empirical and theoretical evidence, it is logical to conclude that teacher emotion regulation as an important personal trait can drastically influence students' propensity to actively engage in the learning experience. This finding seems to be illuminative for teacher trainers who are expected to give teachers adequate instructions on how to control and navigate their emotions in instructional-learning settings. It is mainly due to the fact that in order to motivate students to become involved in the learning process, teachers should be able to control their negative reactions to students' misconducts (Kwon et al., 2017). Besides, both EFL and ESL teachers can also benefit from the findings of this study. As put forward by Martin and Collie (2019), a positive

REFERENCES

- Akbari, R., Samar, R. G., Kiany, G. R., and Tahernia, M. (2017). A qualitative study of EFL teachers' emotion regulation behavior in the classroom. *Theory Pract. Lang. Stud.* 7, 311–321. doi: 10.17507/tpls.0704.10
- Alley, K. M. (2019). Fostering middle school students' autonomy to support motivation and engagement. *Middle School J.* 50, 5–14. doi: 10.1080/00940771.2019.1603801
- Alrashidi, O., Phan, H. P., and Ngu, B. H. (2016). Academic engagement: An overview of its definitions, dimensions, and major conceptualisations. *Int. Educ. Stud.* 9, 41–52. doi: 10.5539/ies.v9n12p41
- Archambault, I., Janosz, M., Morizot, J., and Pagani, L. (2009). Adolescent behavioral, affective, and cognitive engagement in school: Relationship to dropout. J. School Health 79, 408–415. doi: 10.1111/j.1746-1561.2009.00428.x
- Burić, I., and Frenzel, A. C. (2020). Teacher emotional labour, instructional strategies, and students' academic engagement: A multilevel analysis. *Teach. Teaching* 27, 1–18. doi: 10.1080/13540602.2020.1740194
- Christenson, S. L., Reschly, A. L., and Wylie, C. (Eds.). (2012). Handbook of Research on Student Engagement. Boston, MA: Springer.
- Cole, P. M., and Deater-Deckard, K. (2009). Emotion regulation, risk, and psychopathology. J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry 50, 1327–1330. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2009.02180.x
- Cole, P. M., Michel, M. K., and Teti, L. O. D. (1994). The development of emotion regulation and dysregulation: A clinical perspective. *Monogr. Soc. Res. Child Dev.* 59, 73–102. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-5834.1994.tb01278.x
- Cutuli, D. (2014). Cognitive reappraisal and expressive suppression strategies role in the emotion regulation: an overview on their modulatory effects and neural correlates. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 8:175. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2014.00175

learning environment is vital for raising students' engagement. To them, teachers can create such desirable atmosphere through controlling their emotions. Accordingly, those teachers who aspire to improve their pupils' academic engagement should regulate their emotions in classroom contexts. Given the paucity of research on this topic, more empirical and theoretical investigations are required to delve into the association of teacher emotion regulation and student academic engagement. Due to the importance of emotion regulation in academic contexts, some cross-cultural studies are also suggested to be conducted on the role of this construct and other variables related to positive psychology. Besides, with the unprecedented outbreak of COVID-19, it stands to reason to delve into how such dynamic negative factors as boredom (Li and Dewaele, 2020; Derakhshan et al., 2021; Kruk, 2021; Wang and Derakhshan, 2021) and burnout (Fathi et al., 2021) can affect students' engagement and learning.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

FW and ZY have approved it for submission to Frontiers in Psychology. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

The review was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Provincial Universities, Zhejiang Institute of Economics and Trade (Grant Number: 21YQ08): The relationship and interaction mechanism between the short video social network and depression for college students.

- Derakhshan, A. (2021). The predictability of Turkman students' academic engagement through Persian language teachers' nonverbal immediacy and credibility. J. Teach. Persian Speak. Other Lang. 10, 3–26. doi: 10.30479/JTPSOL.2021.14654.1506
- Derakhshan, A., Kruk, M., Mehdizadeh, M., and Pawlak, M. (2021). Boredom in online classes in the Iranian EFL context: Sources and solutions. *System* 101, 102–556. doi: 10.1016/j. system.2021.102556
- Dewaele, J. M., and Li, C. (2020). Emotions in second language acquisition: A critical review and research agenda. *Foreign Lang. World* 196, 34–49.
- Dixson, M. D., Greenwell, M. R., Rogers-Stacy, C., Weister, T., and Lauer, S. (2017). Nonverbal immediacy behaviors and online student engagement: Bringing past instructional research into the present virtual classroom. *Commun. Educ.* 66, 37–53. doi: 10.1080/03634523.2016.1209222
- Estepp, C. M., and Roberts, T. G. (2015). Teacher immediacy and professor/student rapport as predictors of motivation and engagement. NACTA J. 59, 155–163.
- Fathi, J., Greenier, V., and Derakhshan, A. (2021). Self-efficacy, reflection, and burnout among Iranian EFL teachers: The mediating role of emotion regulation. *Iran. J. Lang. Teach. Res.* 9, 13–37. doi: 10.30466/IJLTR.2021.121043
- Finn, J. D., and Zimmer, K. S. (2012). "Student engagement: What is it? Why does it matter?" in *Handbook of Research on Student Engagement*, eds S. L. Christenson, A. L. Reschly, and C. Wylie (Boston, MA: Springer). doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-2018-7_5
- Fried, L. (2011). Teaching teachers about emotion regulation in the classroom. Austr. J. Teach. Educ. 36, 117–127. doi: 10.3316/aeipt.187206
- Greenier, V., Derakhshan, A., and Fathi, J. (2021). Emotion regulation and psychological well-being in teacher work engagement: A case of British and Iranian English language teachers. *System* 97:102446. doi: 10.1016/j.system.2020.102446

- Guz, E., and Tetiurka, M. (2016). "Positive emotions and learner engagement: Insights from an early FL classroom," in *Positive Psychology Perspectives on Foreign Language Learning and Teaching*, eds D. Gabryś-Barker and D. Gałajda (Cham: Springer). doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-32954-3_8
- Hiver, P., Al-Hoorie, A. H., Vitta, J. P., and Wu, J. (2021). Engagement in language learning: A systematic review of 20 years of research methods and definitions. *Lang. Teach. Res.* doi: 10.1177/13621688211001289. [Epub ahead of print].
- Jiang, A. L., and Zhang, L. J. (2021). University teachers' teaching style and their students' agentic engagement in EFL learning in China: A self-determination theory and achievement goal theory integrated perspective. *Front. Psychol.* 12:e704269. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.704269
- Khajavy, G. H. (2021). "Modeling the relations between foreign language engagement, emotions, grit and reading achievement," in *Student Engagement in the Language Classroom*, eds P. Hiver, A. H. Al-Hoorie, and S. Mercer (Bristol: Multilingual Matters).
- Kruk, M. (2021). Investigating Dynamic Relationships Among Individual Difference Variables in Learning English as a Foreign Language in a Virtual World. Switzerland: Springer.
- Kwon, K., Hanrahan, A. R., and Kupzyk, K. A. (2017). Emotional expressivity and emotion regulation: Relation to academic functioning among elementary school children. *School Psychol. Q.* 32, 75–88. doi: 10.1037/spq0000166
- Li, C., and Dewaele, J. M. (2020). The predictive effects of trait emotional intelligence and online learning achievement perceptions on foreign language class boredom among Chinese university students. *Foreign Lang. Foreign Lang. Teach.* 5, 33–44. doi: 10.13458/j.cnki.flatt.004711
- Li, M., Yu, L., Qin, Y., Lu, P., and Zhang, X. (2016). College student academic motivation and engagement in the college English course. *Theory Pract. Lang. Stud.* 6:1767. doi: 10.17507/tpls.0609.07
- Martin, A. J., and Collie, R. J. (2019). Teacher-student relationships and students' engagement in high school: Does the number of negative and positive relationships with teachers matter?. J. Educ. Psychol. 111, 861–876. doi: 10.1037/edu0000317
- Quin, D. (2017). Longitudinal and contextual associations between teacherstudent relationships and student engagement: A systematic review. *Rev. Educ. Res.* 87, 345–387. doi: 10.3102/0034654316669434
- Qureshi, A., Wall, H., Humphries, J., and Balani, A. B. (2016). Can personality traits modulate student engagement with learning and their attitude to employability?. *Learn. Individ. Differ.* 51, 349–358. doi: 10.1016/j.lindif.2016.08.026
- Reeve, J., and Tseng, C. M. (2011). Agency as a fourth aspect of students' engagement during learning activities. *Contemp. Educ. Psychol.* 36, 257–267. doi: 10.1016/j.cedpsych.2011. 05.002
- Renninger, K. A., and Hidi, S. E. (Eds.). (2015). *The Power of Interest for Motivation and Engagement*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Reyes, M. R., Brackett, M. A., Rivers, S. E., White, M., and Salovey, P. (2012). Classroom emotional climate, student engagement, and academic achievement. J. Educ. Psychol. 104, 700–712. doi: 10.1037/a00 27268

- Shao, K., Nicholson, L. J., Kutuk, G., and Lei, F. (2020). Emotions and instructed language learning: Proposing a second language emotions and positive psychology model. *Front. Psychol.* 11:2142. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.02142
- Skinner, E. A., Kindermann, T. A., and Furrer, C. J. (2009). A motivational perspective on engagement and disaffection: Conceptualization and assessment of children's behavioral and emotional participation in academic activities in the classroom. *Educ. Psychol. Meas.* 69, 493–525. doi: 10.1177/0013164408323233
- Thompson, R. A., Lewis, M. D., and Calkins, S. D. (2008). Reassessing emotion regulation. *Child Dev. Perspect.* 2, 124–131. doi:10.1111/j.1750-8606.2008.00054.x
- Troy, A. S., Shallcross, A. J., Brunner, A., Friedman, R., and Jones, M. C. (2018). Cognitive reappraisal and acceptance: Effects on emotion, physiology, and perceived cognitive costs. *Emotion* 18, 58–74. doi: 10.1037/emo0000371
- Wang, Y., L., Derakhshan, A., and Zhang, L. J. (2021). Researching and practicing positive psychology in second/foreign language learning and teaching: The past, current status and future directions. *Front. Psychol.* 12:731721. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.731721
- Wang, Y. L., and Derakhshan, A. (2021). [Review of the book Investigating dynamic relationships among individual difference variables in learning English as a foreign language in a virtual world, by M. Kruk]. System 53:102531. doi: 10.1016/j.system.2021.10 2531
- Xie, F., and Derakhshan, A. (2021). A conceptual review of positive teacher interpersonal communication behaviors in the instructional context. *Front. Psychol.* 12:e708460. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.70 8490
- Zheng, J. (2021). A functional review of research on clarity, immediacy, and credibility of teachers and their impacts on motivation and engagement of students. *Front. Psychol.* 12:2461. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.71 2419

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Wang and Ye. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.