



# Corrigendum: Early ERP Evidence for Children's and Adult's Sensitivity to Scalar Implicatures Triggered by Existential Quantifiers (Some)

Daniele Panizza<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Edgar Onea<sup>3</sup> and Nivedita Mani<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of English Studies, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, <sup>2</sup> Courant Research Centre "Text Structures", University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, <sup>3</sup> Department of German Studies, University of Graz, Graz, Austria, <sup>4</sup> Psychology of Language Research Group, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, <sup>5</sup> Leibniz ScienceCampus Primate Cognition, Göttingen, Germany

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited and reviewed by:

Stefan Berti,  
Johannes Gutenberg University  
Mainz, Germany

### \*Correspondence:

Daniele Panizza  
daniele.panizza@gmail.com

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to  
Cognitive Science,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Psychology

**Received:** 15 September 2021

**Accepted:** 19 October 2021

**Published:** 10 November 2021

### Citation:

Panizza D, Onea E and Mani N (2021)  
Corrigendum: Early ERP Evidence for  
Children's and Adult's Sensitivity to  
Scalar Implicatures Triggered by  
Existential Quantifiers (Some).  
Front. Psychol. 12:777595.  
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.777595

**Keywords:** pragmatics, implicatures in language acquisition, implicature, developmental pragmatics, pragmatic inferencing, speech processing, N400, scalar implicature

## A Corrigendum on

### Early ERP Evidence for Children's and Adult's Sensitivity to Scalar Implicatures Triggered by Existential Quantifiers (Some)


by Panizza, D., Onea, E., and Mani, N. (2021). *Front. Psychol.* 12:657408. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.657408

In the original article, there were mistakes in **Figures 1–8**, as published. The order of presentation of the figures was incorrect and did not correspond to the legend description. The corrected **Figures 1–8**, and corresponding captions, appear below.


The authors apologize for these errors and state that they do not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.


Copyright © 2021 Panizza, Onea and Mani. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.




**FIGURE 1 |** Grand-averaged ERPs recorded in children, time-locked to the presentation of the word “Alle” (*all*) for the *some*-infelicitous condition (black line) compared to the *some*-felicitous condition (red line).




**FIGURE 2 |** Topographic maps of the ERPs recorded in children, time-locked to the presentation of the word “Alle” (*all*) for the *some*-felicitous condition minus the *some*-infelicitous condition in the three time windows.




**FIGURE 3 |** Grand-averaged ERPs recorded in children, time-locked to the presentation of the word “Ein paar” (*some*) for the *some*-felicitous condition (black line) compared to the *some*-infelicitous condition (red line).




**FIGURE 4 |** Topographic maps of the ERPs recorded in children, time-locked to the presentation of the word “Ein paar” (*some*) for the *some*-infelicitous condition minus the *some*-felicitous condition in the three time windows.




**FIGURE 5 |** Grand-averaged ERPs recorded in adults, time-locked to the presentation of the word “Alle” (*all*) for the *some*-infelicitous condition (black line) compared to the *some*-felicitous condition (red line).



**FIGURE 6 |** Topographic maps of the ERPs recorded in adults, time-locked to the presentation of the word “Alle” (*all*) for the *some*-felicitous condition minus the *some*-infelicitous condition in the three time windows.



**FIGURE 7 |** Grand-averaged ERPs recorded in adults, time-locked to the presentation of the word “Ein paar” (*some*) for the *some*-felicitous condition (black line) compared to the *some*-infelicitous condition (red line).



**FIGURE 8 |** Topographic maps of the ERPs recorded in adults, time-locked to the presentation of the word “Ein paar” (*some*) for the *some*-infelicitous condition minus the *some*-felicitous condition in the three time windows.