



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
David Winter,
University of Hertfordshire,
United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE
Kurt Braddock
braddock@american.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION
This article was submitted to
Personality and Social Psychology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychology

RECEIVED 07 August 2022
ACCEPTED 12 August 2022
PUBLISHED 02 November 2022

CITATION
Braddock K, Schumann S, Corner E
and Gill P (2022) Corrigendum: The
moderating effects of “dark”
personality traits and message
vividness on the persuasiveness of
terrorist narrative propaganda.
Front. Psychol. 13:1013827.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1013827

COPYRIGHT
© 2022 Braddock, Schumann, Corner
and Gill. This is an open-access article
distributed under the terms of the
[Creative Commons Attribution License
\(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is
permitted, provided the original
author(s) and the copyright owner(s)
are credited and that the original
publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic
practice. No use, distribution or
reproduction is permitted which does
not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: The moderating effects of “dark” personality traits and message vividness on the persuasiveness of terrorist narrative propaganda

Kurt Braddock^{1*}, Sandy Schumann², Emily Corner³ and Paul Gill²

¹School of Communication, American University, Washington, DC, United States, ²Department of Security and Crime Science, University College London, London, United Kingdom, ³Centre for Social Research and Methods, College of Arts and Social Sciences, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia

KEYWORDS

terrorism, radicalization, narratives, narcissism, Machiavellianism, psychopathy, sadism, vividness

A corrigendum on

The moderating effects of “dark” personality traits and message vividness on the persuasiveness of terrorist narrative propaganda

by Braddock, K., Schumann, S., Corner, E., and Gill, P. (2022). *Front. Psychol.* 13:779836.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.779836

In the published article, there was an error. In the section *Materials and Methods, Measures, Beliefs, Attitudes, and Intentions, Behavioral Intentions*. It was reported that participants were presented with 10 items of [Moskalenko and McCauley's \(2009\)](#) Activism and Radicalism scale. This was not the case. Although the items used in the 10-item intention index included behaviors that overlap with behaviors mentioned in the ARIS scale, the ARIS scale itself was not used as a measurement tool for intentions in this article.

A correction has therefore been made to *Materials and Methods, Measures, Beliefs, Attitudes, and Intentions, Behavioral Intentions, Paragraph 1*. It was previously stated, “we presented them with 10 items of the Activism and Radicalism scale (ARIS; e.g., *If I lived in the HLA's territory, I would consider using deadly weapons against the HLA's enemies*; [Moskalenko and McCauley, 2009](#)).” This should be “we presented them with a 10-item index (e.g., *If I lived in the HLA's territory, I would consider using deadly weapons against the HLA's enemies*).” The corrected paragraph appears below:

Intentions represent perceived motivations to engage in specific behaviors. To gauge participants' intentions to act in support of the HLA, we presented them

with a 10-item index (e.g., *If I lived in the HLA's territory, I would consider using deadly weapons against the HLA's enemies*). All items in the scale loaded on a single factor, the reliability estimate of which was good ($\alpha = 0.93$).

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

References

Moskalenko, S., and McCauley, C. (2009). Measuring political mobilization: the distinction between activism and radicalism.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Terror. Polit. Violenc. 21, 239–260. doi: 10.1080/09546550902765508