

The Effectiveness of Plaza Dancing on Psychological Well-Being and III-Being: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Zhenggang Bai^{1†}, Yixuan Li^{2†}, Yufan Yang¹, Chengdan Xie¹, Zhengyun Zhu¹, Yan Xu¹ and Ruhai Bai^{1*}

¹ Evidence-Based Research Center of Social Science & Health, Nanjing University of Science & Technology School of Public Affairs, Nanjing, China, ² Gansu Province Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Lanzhou, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Zhen Yuan, University of Macau, China

Reviewed by:

Wei Liang, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong SAR, China Rainer Leonhart, University of Freiburg, Germany

*Correspondence:

Ruhai Bai ruhaibai@hotmail.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Educational Psychology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 28 January 2022 Accepted: 11 March 2022 Published: 15 April 2022

Citation:

Bai Z, Li Y, Yang Y, Xie C, Zhu Z, Xu Y and Bai R (2022) The Effectiveness of Plaza Dancing on Psychological Well-Being and Ill-Being: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front. Psychol. 13:864327. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.864327

Evidence suggests that participation in plaza dancing may affect mental health. This study for the first time quantified the relationships between plaza dancing and psychological well-being and ill-being. We systematically searched PubMed, Web of Science, CNKI, Wanfang, and VIP to identify relevant studies published from the databases since their inception to July 25, 2021. The standardized mean differences (SMDs) of pre-to-post intervention data were calculated in the meta-analysis. Subgroup and meta-regression analyses were performed to test the potential moderating effects of age, outcome classification, measurement instruments, district, publication year, total sample size, and the duration, frequency, and length of the square dance intervention. A total of 25 original articles met all the eligibility criteria and were included in the review, and 17 studies were included in the meta-analysis. The meta-analysis revealed that plaza dancing improved psychological well-being (pooled SMD = 0.76; 95% CI: 0.58, 0.95; $l^2 = 86.9\%$) and reduced psychological ill-being (pooled SMD = -0.84; 95% CI: $-1.00, -0.68; l^2 = 64.8\%$). The study participants' age and district did not seem to affect the effectiveness of the plaza dancing intervention. The duration and frequency of plaza dancing affected the association between square dance and psychological wellbeing (duration, $\beta = -0.044$; 95% CI: -0.085, -0.004; frequency, $\beta = 0.122$; 95% CI: 0.024, 0.221) and psychological ill-being (duration, $\beta = -0.029$; 95% CI: -0.040, -0.018; frequency, $\beta = 0.154$; 95% CI: 0.030, 0.278). Plaza dancing has a significant positive effect on psychological well-being and psychological ill-being, and the effects are moderated by intervention modality. Generalizing plaza dancing interventions to promote psychological well-being and prevent or treat psychological ill-being is needed.

Systematic Review Registration: [www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero], identifier [CRD42021272016].

Keywords: square fitness dance, mental health, psychological health, mental hygiene, plaza dancing

INTRODUCTION

Psychological ill-being is a disorder of mental activity that leads to abnormalities in cognition, emotion, and behavior. Thus, the affected individuals cannot maintain a normal mental life and may act in ways that endanger themselves and the community, and psychological ill-being accounts for a substantial proportion of the disease burden worldwide (Prince et al., 2007; Biddle and Asare, 2011; Whiteford et al., 2013). Individuals with psychological ill-being may experience low self-esteem, struggle to maintain interpersonal relationships, and have a higher risk of communicable and non-communicable diseases than those not experiencing psychological ill-being (WHO, 2001; Prince et al., 2007). Psychological well-being, however, is a positive state of being that allows the individuals to realize their potential, experience positive emotions, cope with stress, maintain interpersonal relationships, work productively, and contribute to their community (Herrman et al., 2005). The diagnosis of mental disorders depends not only on the absence of psychological well-being but also on the presence of psychological ill-being (Rodriguez-Ayllon et al., 2019).

For the purpose of this review, the term psychological illbeing will be used to represent unpleasant feelings or emotions that impact the level of functioning as well as preclinical and clinically diagnosed psychological disorders (e.g., negative affect, depression, anxiety, hostility, anger, etc.) (Antaramian et al., 2010). Conversely, psychological well-being is the combination of positive affective states and the ability to function with optimal effectiveness in personal and social life (e.g., life satisfaction, energy, happiness, positive affect, and self-esteem) (Antaramian et al., 2010).

Plaza dancing is an increasingly popular form of exercise in China. It is also known as square fitness dance and refers to a unified dance spontaneously performed by people in squares, parks, streets, lanes, and open spaces in front of buildings, accompanied by music (Gao et al., 2016). The activity is carried out nationwide and is especially favored by middle-aged and older people (Wang, 2014). Compared to traditional exercises, such as running and swimming, plaza dancing is characterized by simple movements that can be easily learned by beginners. Additionally, it is not restricted by time, theme, or rhythm. Compared with indoor or individual exercise (e.g., tennis, yoga, etc.), such kind of outdoor group-based and music-guided exercise may show superior impacts in promoting mental wellbeing (Gao et al., 2016).

Some recent original studies found that plaza dancing could effectively improve the somatization, depression, and anxiety symptoms of middle-aged and older women (Yang et al., 2014). Research by Zhou and Li (2014) found that plaza dancing is more suitable for long-term practice and has a tendency to be better than walking in terms of psychological and emotional aspects. Another study pointed out that plaza dancing can relieve the negative psychological symptoms of team members, such as utilitarian thoughts, nervousness, and anxiety (Bai, 2004). Some original studies focused on the characteristics of dance interventions in terms of duration, frequency, length, or classification, which suggests that dance benefits mental health (Biddle and Asare, 2011; Chekroud et al., 2018). Moreover, previous reviews have reported evidence concerning the effects of dance intervention on fitness and mental health (Predovan et al., 2018; Barnish and Barran, 2020; Wu et al., 2021). However, these reviews focused on the impact of dance intervention on mental health, and no systematic review or meta-analysis paid attention to the relationship between plaza dancing and psychological wellbeing and ill-being.

Therefore, this systematic review and meta-analysis investigated the effects of plaza dancing on psychological well-being and psychological ill-being. This study is timely and critical to designing prevention and treatment strategies for mental health conditions, further helping to improve mental health globally.

METHODS

This study was performed following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) (Moher et al., 2009) and the Cochrane Collaboration Handbook (Higgins and Green, 2011) guidelines. The protocol was registered on the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO, CRD42021272016).

Literature Search and Study Selection

A systematic literature search was performed in five electronic bibliographic databases: PubMed, Web of Science, CNKI, Wanfang, and VIP. The dates of the published articles included in the search were from the earliest records to July 25, 2021. We developed a search strategy for the databases based on keywords of relevant articles that we previously identified. The search strategy included all combinations of terms related to plaza dancing, psychological well-being, and psychological illbeing. A summary of the search strategy is provided in the **Supplementary Text**.

The reference lists of all the eligible articles were reviewed to find other literature that had been missed in the initial searches. Articles identified from the reference lists were further screened and evaluated using the same criteria. Reference searches were repeated on all newly identified articles until no additional relevant articles were found.

Study Selection Criteria

Studies were included if they (a) reported plaza dancing used in interventions and that the plaza dancing took place in any location, including squares, parks, streets, and open spaces in front of buildings (intervention); (b) included a comparison group with no plaza dancing intervention (i.e., education, walking, or no physical activity) (comparison); (c) reported the association between plaza dancing and at least one type of psychological ill-being (i.e., depression, anxiety, nervousness, or negative affect) and/or psychological well-being (i.e., self-esteem, self-image, positive affect, energy, happiness, and life satisfaction) as the outcome (outcome); and (d) were randomized controlled trials (RCTs), cluster-randomized trials, or quasi-RCTs, or had an intervention study design involving a control group (study design).

Studies were excluded if they (a) were only published as abstracts, comments, or reviews; (b) reported that plaza dancing was combined with other types of interventions (e.g., cointerventions, such as a dietary program or physical activity combined with plaza dancing), because they preclude drawing conclusions on the isolated effect of plaza dancing on the psychological well-being and ill-being outcomes; and (c) reported that participants had physical disabilities and/or targeted purely clinical populations (e.g., individuals who took medicine as directed) (population).

Data Extraction and Preparation

A standardized data extraction form was developed to collect the study information, including (a) study-level data (country, author, publication year, description of plaza dancing, and psychological well-being and ill-being outcomes), (b) samplelevel data (participants' age and gender), and (c) effect sizes. The data were extracted independently by two reviewers, and disagreements were reviewed and resolved through discussion.

According to the Cochrane Collaboration Handbook (Higgins and Green, 2011), the mean and standard deviation (SD) values of the pre-to-post intervention differences were first extracted. More specifically, the change values, if not reported, were calculated by "Mean _{change} = Mean_{post} – Mean_{pre}" and "SD_{change} = SQRT[(SD_{pre}² + SD_{post}²) – (2*Corr*SD_{post} *SD _{pre})]", where the correlation coefficient (Corr) was set as 0.5. For those studies that only provided "SE" and "95% CI", the SD was calculated based on the following formula: (1) SD = SE* SQRT (N), where N is the sample size; (2) SD = SQRT (N) * [(UCI – LCI)/3.92], where U = upper CI and L = lower CI.

Study Quality Assessment

Two authors independently assessed the quality of the articles using the United States National Institutes of Health (NIH)'s Quality Assessment Tool for Controlled Intervention Studies¹. These assessment tools rate each study based on 14 criteria. For each criterion, a score of one was assigned if "yes" was the response, whereas a score of zero was assigned otherwise (i.e., an answer of "no," "not applicable," "not reported," or "cannot determine"). A study-specific global score ranging from 0 to 14 was calculated by summing the scores across all criteria. This assessment measured the strength of scientific evidence but was not used to determine the inclusion of studies. The assessment results are presented in **Supplementary Table 1**. In general, the studies were of reasonably high quality, with a mean quality score of 8.56.

Statistical Analysis

A meta-analysis was performed based on the findings obtained from 17 of the 25 included studies to estimate the pooled effects of plaza dancing on psychological well-being and psychological ill-being. Only those studies that provided the needed information for pre-to-post intervention measurements and had a control group were included in the meta-analysis. The meta-analysis was performed for two specific conditions: (1) psychological well-being and (2) psychological ill-being. If studies reported multiple results in each article, the results of psychological well-being and psychological ill-being were all included.

Considering the different outcomes used in the studies, standardized mean differences (SMDs) of pre-to-post intervention measures were calculated and given weight by their inverse variance. Study heterogeneity was assessed using the I^2 index and tau-squared (T^2) statistics. The level of heterogeneity represented by I^2 was interpreted as modest ($I^2 \leq 25\%$), moderate ($25\% < I^2 \leq 50\%$), substantial ($50\% < I^2 \leq 75\%$), or considerable ($I^2 > 75\%$) (Barberio et al., 2021). In our meta-analysis, a fixed-effects model was used when modest to moderate heterogeneity was present, and a random-effects model was used when substantial to considerable heterogeneity was present (Barberio et al., 2021).

Subgroup and meta-regression analyses were used to test the potential moderating effects of age, outcome classification, measurement instruments, country, publication year, total sample size, and the duration, frequency, and length of the plaza dancing intervention. A prespecified sensitivity analysis was conducted to investigate the influence of a single study on the overall pool estimation by omitting one study at a time. Publication bias was assessed by the visual inspection of the funnel plots and Begg's and Egger's tests.

All the statistical analyses were conducted in STATA with specific commands (e.g., metan and metareg) (version 14.0; Stata Corp., College Station, TX, United States). All the analyses used two-sided tests, and P < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS

Literature Search and Characteristics of the Included Studies

Our search yielded 798 articles (Figure 1). After removing 160 duplicates, 638 titles and abstracts were screened. We excluded 555 records based on the screening of titles and abstracts. Full texts of the remaining 83 articles were reviewed against the selection criteria. In total, 25 articles (Wang, 2014, 2016, 2017; Zhang et al., 2014; Chen and Jia, 2015; Jia, 2015; Lv, 2015; Gao et al., 2016; Han, 2016; Li, 2016, 2017; Shen, 2016; Guan, 2017; Guo, 2017; Guan et al., 2017; Hao, 2017; Pang, 2017; Lu, 2019; Sun, 2019; Wu and Li, 2019; Sun and Wang, 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Zeng et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2021) that reported the associations between plaza dancing and psychological well-being or/and psychological ill-being were included in this review. Of them, 17 were included in the meta-analysis (Zhang et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2016; Han, 2016; Li, 2016, 2017; Shen, 2016; Wang, 2016, 2017; Guo, 2017; Guan et al., 2017; Pang, 2017; Sun, 2019;

¹https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessment-tools



Wu and Li, 2019; Wang et al., 2020; Zeng et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2021).

All these studies were conducted in China and were published between 2014 and 2021. The studies varied in sample size, ranging from 20 to 579. Two studies recruited young subjects aged 18–21 years, 21 studies recruited subjects aged 30–81 years, and two studies did not report the mean age (or age range). Thirteen studies recruited women, 10 studies recruited men and women, and one study did not report the number of women. The duration of plaza dancing ranged broadly from 8 weeks to 18 months, the frequency ranged from 3 to 7 times/week, and the length ranged from 40 to 120 min/time. Ten studies included a control group that performed other types of physical activity (i.e., walking exercise, table tennis, and belly dance), eight studies included a control group that maintained their usual lifestyle, and seven studies had no intervention.

Ten studies provided results on psychological well-being (including life satisfaction, energy, happiness, positive affect, and self-esteem), six studies provided results on psychological illbeing (including negative affect, depression, anxiety, hostility, and anger), and nine studies provided results on both. Psychological well-being and ill-being were measured using the PSPP, GWB, POMS, SCL-90, SF-36, and SAS. All studies reported that plaza dancing had a positive impact on psychological well-being and ill-being an improvement in the quality of life. Eight studies included only in the narrative synthesis also indicated that plaza dancing could improve psychological well-being and ill-being (**Table 1**).

Meta-Analysis of the Association Between Plaza Dancing and Psychological Well-Being and/or III-Being

As shown in **Figures 2**, **3** and **Table 2**, 14 studies on psychological well-being (reporting 56 analyses, including different related outcomes) and 10 studies on psychological ill-being (reporting 39 analyses, including different related outcomes) were included in the meta-analysis. The pooled SMD of psychological well-being was 0.76 (95% CI: 0.58, 0.95), with large heterogeneity ($I^2 = 86.9\%$, P < 0.001; $T^2 = 0.407$). The pooled SMD of psychological ill-being was -0.84 (95% CI: -1.00, -0.68), with substantial heterogeneity ($I^2 = 64.8\%$, P < 0.001; $T^2 = 0.165$). Overall, the effect of plaza dancing on psychological well-being and psychological ill-being was statistically significant.

In the subgroup analyses, the effects of plaza dancing on psychological well-being and ill-being were significantly moderated by outcome classification, measurement instruments, mean age, and district (**Table 2**). Life satisfaction (SMD: 1.09; 95% CI: 0.76, 1.41), energy (SMD: 0.64; 95% CI: 0.34, 0.94), happiness

Bai et al.

First author (publication	Country	•	size (total nale)	Age (mean \pm	SD/range)	Р	laza dancing g	roup	Control group		Outcomes	Effectiveness
year)		Plaza dancing	Control group	Plaza dancing	Control group	Duration	Frequency	Length		Instruments	Classification	-
(1) Wang et al., 2021	Jiaozuo, Henan Province, China	45 (45)	46 (46)	56.92 ± 7.17	58.17 ± 6.30	12 weeks	5 times/week	60 min/time	Walking exercise	LSIA; PEES	 Psychological well-being: life satisfaction; Psychological ill-being: negative affect 	(+)
(2) Yang et al., 2021	Chongqing, China	20 (20)	20 (20)	-	_	12 weeks	3 times/week	87 min/time	No intervention	GWB	Psychological well-being: energy, life satisfaction, happiness, positive affect and relaxation	, (+)
(3) Sun and Wang, 2020	Zhenjiang, Jiangsu Province, China	40 (28)	40 (22)	60.87 ± 5.42	61.03 ± 5.38	3 months	≥3 times/week	≥1 h/time	Walking exercise	BFS	 Psychological well-being: happiness, vitality, and calm; Psychological ill-being: depression, thinking, anger, excitement, and no vitality 	(+)
(4) Zeng et al., 2020	Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China	30 (30)	30 (30)	63.20 ± 4.27	63.20 ± 4.29	3 months	5–7 times/week	60– 90 min/time	Maintained their usual lifestyle	SCL-90; POMS	 Psychological well-being: energy and self-esteem; Psychological ill-being: somatization, compulsive symptoms, interpersonal sensitivity, depression, anxiety, hostility, terror, paranoid, psychosis, nervousness, anger, fatigue, and panic, TMD 	(+)
(5) Lu, 2019	Dalian, Liaoning Province, China	294 (276)	285 (–)	55–75	55–75	5 months	≥3 times/week	≥1 h/time	Participate in other physical exercises	PSPP; GWB	Psychological well-being: energy, life satisfaction, happiness, positive affect relaxation, and self-image	, (+)
(6) Sun, 2019	Hohhot, Inner Mongolia, China	106 (106)	111 (111)	45–55	45–55	8 weeks	≥3 times/week	≥40 min/time	No intervention	GWB; PSPP	Psychological well-being: energy, life satisfaction, happiness, positive affect relaxation, general well-being, and self-image	, (+)
(7) Wang et al., 2014	Changchun, Jilin Province, China	33 (26)	33 (21)	81.06 ± 5.17	81.09 ± 7.44	12 weeks	3 times/week	40 min/time	Maintained their usual lifestyle	GDS-15; SF-36	(1) Psychological well-being: quality of life;(2) Psychological ill-being: depression	(+)
(8) Wu and Li, 2019	Luoyang, Henan Province, China	25 (25)	25 (25)	-	-	2 months	4 times/week	76 min/time	Maintained their usual lifestyle	GWB	Psychological well-being: energy, life satisfaction, happiness, positive affect and relaxation	, (+)
(9) Guo, 2017	Beijing, China	16 (16)	16 (16)	55.10 ± 3.44	55.80 ± 3.92	12 weeks	3 times/week	40 min/time	No intervention	BDI; SES	 Psychological well-being: self-esteem; Psychological ill-being: depression 	(+)
(10) Guan, 2017	Xinxiang, Henan Province, China	16 (–)	16 (–)	60–70	60–70	3 months	3–4 times/week	≥50 min/time	Maintained their usual lifestyle	SCL-90	Psychological ill-being: somatization, compulsive symptoms, interpersonal sensitivity, depression, anxiety, hostility, terror, paranoid, and psychosis	(+)
(11) Guan, 2017	Changsha, Hunan Province, China	50 (47)	50 (43)	64.30 ± 5.60	65.40 ± 6.90	3 months	≥3 times/week	≥1 h/time	Only conduct daily basic activities	SAS; SDS	Psychological ill-being: anxiety and depression	(+)

TABLE 1 | Main characteristics and findings of the association between plaza dancing and psychological well-being or/and ill-being.

(Continued)

Square Dance on Mental Health

TABLE 1 | (Continued)

First author (publication year)	Country	Sample siz (fema		Age (mean ± SD/rar	ıge)	F	Plaza dancing gr	oup	Control group		Outcomes	Effectiveness
year		Plaza dancing	Control group	Plaza dancing	Control group	Duration	Frequency	Length		Instruments	Classification	
(12) Hao, 2017	Shanghai, China	25 (25)	25 (25)	59.00	59.00	24 weeks	3 times/week	90 min/time	Maintained their usual lifestyle	PESF; PEDQ	Psychological well-being: self-cognition (self-satisfaction, self-image, physical condition satisfaction), personal emotions (feeling happiness, positive affect, feeling confident, cheerful), and interpersonal relationships (willing to communicate)	(+)
(13) Li, 2017	Pingdingshan, Henan Province, China	30 (15)	30 (15)	61.80	62.60	3 months	3 times/week	≥40 min/time	Participate in running, walking, and cycling	PSPP; GWB	Psychological well-being: energy, life satisfaction, happiness, positive affect, relaxation, and self-image	(+)
(14) Pang, 2017	Jinan, Shandong Province, China	20 (20)	20 (20)	30–50	30–50	2 months	4 times/week	71 min/time	No intervention	PSPP; GWB	Psychological well-being: energy, life satisfaction, happiness, positive affect, relaxation, and self-image	(+)
(15) Wang, 2017	Qufu, Shandong Province, China	30 (30)	30 (30)	45–59	45–59	12 weeks	3 times/week	90 min/time	Walking exercise	GWB	Psychological well-being: energy, life satisfaction, happiness, positive affect, relaxation, and general well-being	(+)
(16) Gao et al., 2016	Harbin, Heilongjiang Province, China	26 (26)	24 (24)	54.50 ± 4.50	53.50 ± 4.70	3 months	≥5 times/week	60– 90 min/time	No intervention	SDS	Psychological ill-being: depression	(+)
(17) Han, 2016	Puyang, Henan Province, China	25 (25)	25 (25)	45–70	45–70	8 weeks	4 times/week	45– 60 min/time	Maintained their usual lifestyle	POMS	 Psychological well-being: energy and self-esteem; Psychological ill-being: nervousness, anger, fatigue, depression, and panic, TMD 	(+)
(18) Li, 2016	Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China	10 (10)	10 (10)	45.12 ± 3.34	44.32 ± 3.21	12 weeks	3 times/week	1 h/time	Belly dance	EIEQ; CSS	 Psychological well-being: energetic stimulation, calm mind and body, active involvement, and self-concept; Psychological ill-being: physical exhaustion 	(+)
(19) Shen, 2016	Zhengzhou, Henan Province, China	10 (9)	10 (9)	61.45 ± 1.36	61.10 ± 0.99	12 weeks	4 times/week	60 min/time	Practice in running, walking, gateball, diabolo, and table tennis	SCL-90; GWB	 Psychological well-being: energy, life satisfaction, happiness, positive affect, relaxation, and general well-being; Psychological ill-being: somatization, compulsive symptoms, interpersonal sensitivity, depression, anxiety, hostility, terror, paranoid, and psychosis 	(+)
(20) Wang, 2016	Bengbu, Anhui Province, China	86 (86)	93 (93)	65.80 ± 7.80	65.80 ± 7.80	6 months	≥4 times/week	90 min/time	Maintained their usual lifestyle	SF-36	Psychological well-being: vitality, social functioning, role-emotional, and mental health	(+)
(21) Chen and Jia, 2015	Dingxi, Gansu Province, China	160 (80)	160 (80)	18.90	18.90	1 month	In-class training	100 min/time	Public physical education classes	SCL-90	Psychological ill-being: somatization, compulsive symptoms, interpersonal sensitivity, depression, anxiety, hostility, terror, paranoid, and psychosis	(+)
(22) Jia, 2015	Dingxi, Gansu Province, China	160 (80)	160 (80)	19–21	19–21	1 month	In-class training	100 min/time	Public physical education classes	SCL-90	Psychological ill-being: somatization, compulsive symptoms, interpersonal sensitivity, depression, anxiety, hostility, terror, paranoid, and psychosis	(+)

(Continued)

Square Dance on Mental Health

year) Plaza Control Plaza dancing dancing group	Age (mean ± <i>SD</i> /range)	Ē	Plaza dancing group	Control group	roup	Outcomes	Effectiveness
	ing Control group	Duration	Frequency	Length	Instruments	Classification	
(23) Xi'an, Shanxi 25 (25) 20 (20) 53.68 ± 6.17 Lv, 2015 Province, China	17 53.40 ± 4.22	6 months	4 times/week 2 h	2 h/time Maintained their usual lifestyle		 SCL-90; SF-36 (1) Psychological well-being: vitality, social functioning, role-emotional, and mental health; (2) Psychological ill-being: somatization, compulsive symptoms, interpersonal sensitivity, depression, anxiety, hostility, terror, paranoid, and psychosis 	(+)
(24) Nanyang, Henan 20 (10) 20 (10) 55–65 Wang, 2014 Province, China	55-65	3 months	7 times/week 40 m	40 min/time No intervention	intion GWB	Psychological well-being: energy, life satisfaction, happiness, positive affect, and relaxation	(+
(25) Shenyang, 30 (16) 30 (14) 65.20 ± 4.53 Zhang et al., Liaoning 2014 Province, China		18 months	64.10 \pm 4.36 18 months \geq 4 days/week 30–60 min/day No intervention) min/day No interv	intion HAMA; HAMD	Psychological III-being: anxiety and depression.	<u>+</u>

(SMD: 0.73; 95% CI: 0.32, 1.14), positive affect (SMD: 0.63; 95% CI: 0.04, 1.23), general well-being (SMD: 2.05; 95% CI: 0.31, 3.79), self-image (SMD: 1.21; 95% CI: 0.58, 1.83), quality of life (SMD: 1.08; 95% CI: 0.57, 1.60), social functioning (SMD: 0.48; 95% CI: 0.18, 0.78), role-emotional functioning (SMD: 0.68; 95% CI: 0.37, 0.98), mental health (SMD: 0.33; 95% CI: 0.03, 0.62), negative affect (SMD: -0.79; 95% CI: -1.22, -0.36), somatization (SMD: -0.74; 95% CI: -1.19, -0.29), depression (SMD: -0.73; 95% CI: -1.07, -0.39), anxiety (SMD: -1.64; 95% CI: -2.81, -0.46), hostility (SMD: -1.04; 95% CI: -1.51, -0.57), terror (SMD: -1.06; 95% CI: -1.53, -0.59), paranoia (SMD: -0.99; 95% CI: -1.51, -0.47), psychosis (SMD: -0.79; 95% CI: -1.30, -0.28), nervousness (SMD: -0.72; 95% CI: -1.10, -0.33), fatigue (SMD: -0.70; 95% CI: -1.08, -0.31), panic (SMD: -0.63; 95% CI: -1.01, -0.24), and TMD (SMD: -0.95; 95% CI: -1.34, -0.55) improved after the plaza dancing interventions.

Studies using the LSIA, GWB, POMS, PSPP, SF-36, PEES, SCL-90, GDS-15, SAS, HAMA, and HAMD measurement instruments showed that plaza dancing significantly improved psychological well-being and ill-being (P < 0.05). Finally, the subgroup analyses found that plaza dancing improved psychological well-being and ill-being regardless of the district and age.

Results of Meta-Regression Analysis and Sensitivity Analysis

The meta-regression analysis showed that the duration and frequency of plaza dancing affected the associations between plaza dancing and psychological well-being (duration, $\beta = -0.044$; 95% CI: -0.085, -0.004; frequency, $\beta = 0.122$; 95% CI: 0.024, 0.221) and between plaza dancing and psychological ill-being (duration, $\beta = -0.029$; 95% CI: -0.040, -0.018; frequency, $\beta = 0.154$; 95% CI: 0.030, 0.278). The total sample size contributed significantly to the heterogeneity of psychological well-being studies (P < 0.001) (**Table 3**). A sensitivity analysis showed that removing individual studies from the meta-analysis did not change the association estimate in psychological wellbeing; however, when one study (Zhang et al., 2014) was removed from psychological ill-being studies, the heterogeneity became moderate ($I^2 = 36.7\%$, P = 0.014) (**Supplementary Table 2**).

Assessment of Publication Bias

There was no indication of publication bias in the psychological well-being and ill-being studies, as indicated by funnel plots (**Supplementary Figure 2**). Egger's and Begg's tests yielded results similar to the funnel plots: psychological well-being (Egger P = 0.075; Begg P = 0.227) and psychological ill-being (Egger P = 0.304; Begg P = 0.056) (**Supplementary Table 3**).

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review and metaanalysis to summarize the evidence regarding the effect of plaza dancing on psychological well-being and psychological illbeing. Overall, this study shows that plaza dancing is a useful strategy to foster the development of psychological well-being (i.e., life satisfaction, energy, happiness, positive affect, general

(+) indicates that plaza dancing has a positive impact on psychological well-being and ill-being, thus realizing the improvement of the quality of life.

Publication year	SMD (95% CI)	Weight	Instruments	Outcome
Yongsheng Wang (2021)	0.70 (0.27, 1.12)	1.96	LSIA	Life satisfaction
Yi Yu (2021)	0.64 (0.00, 1.28)	1.74	GWB	Energy
Yi Yu (2021)	2.45 (1.62, 3.27)	1.52	GWB	Life satisfaction
Yi Yu (2021)	0.32 (-0.31, 0.94)	1.75	GWB	Happiness
Yi Yu (2021)	1.02 (0.36, 1.68)	1.71	GWB	Positive affect
Yi Yu (2021)	-0.02 (-0.64, 0.60) 0.59 (0.07, 1.11)	1.76 1.87	GWB POMS	Relaxation
Jun Zeng (2020)	0.00 (-0.51, 0.51)	1.88	POMS	Energy Self-esteem
Meize Sun (2019)	1.10 (0.81, 1.38)	2.08	GWB	Energy
Meize Sun (2019)	1.18 (0.89, 1.47)	2.08	GWB	Life satisfaction
Meize Sun (2019)	1.37 (1.07, 1.66)	2.07	GWB	Happiness
Meize Sun (2019) 🚽 🚽	1.69 (1.38, 2.00)	2.06	GWB	Positive affect
Meize Sun (2019)	2.02 (1.70, 2.35)	2.05	GWB	Relaxation
Meize Sun (2019)	 3.18 (2.78, 3.59) 	1.98	GWB	General well-being
Meize Sun (2019)	1.68 (1.37, 1.99)	2.06	PSPP	Self-image
Shou Wang (2019)	1.08 (0.57, 1.60)	1.87	SF-36	Quality of life
Xiaolin Wu (2019)	0.83 (0.25, 1.41)	1.80	GWB	Energy
Xiaolin Wu (2019)	1.10 (0.50, 1.69) 0.91 (0.33, 1.50)	1.78 1.80	GWB GWB	Life satisfaction Happiness
Xiaolin Wu (2019)	0.91 (0.33, 1.50) 0.00 (-0.55, 0.55)	1.80	GWB	Positive affect
Xiaolin Wu (2019)	0.34 (-0.22, 0.90)	1.83	GWB	Relaxation
Qingfang Guo (2017)	0.30 (-0.40, 0.99)	1.67	SES	Self-esteem
Shiqi Li (2017)	0.75 (0.23, 1.28)	1.86	PSPP	Self-image
Shiqi Li (2017)	0.11 (-0.40, 0.62)	1.88	GWB	Energy
Shiqi Li (2017) -+	1.06 (0.52, 1.60)	1.84	GWB	Life satisfaction
Shiqi Li (2017)	0.22 (-0.29, 0.73)	1.88	GWB	Happiness
Shiqi Li (2017)	0.44 (-0.07, 0.96)	1.88	GWB	Positive affect
Shiqi Li (2017)	1.03 (0.49, 1.57)	1.85	GWB	Relaxation
Ying Pang (2017)	1.09 (0.42, 1.75) 0.98 (0.32, 1.64)	1.71	PSPP GWB	Self-image Life satisfaction
Ying Pang (2017)	0.98(0.32, 1.64) 0.40(-0.22, 1.03)	1.72 1.75	GWB	Energy
Ying Pang (2017)	0.35 (-0.27, 0.98)	1.75	GWB	Happiness
Ying Pang (2017)	-0.09 (-0.71, 0.53)	1.76	GWB	Positive affect
Ying Pang (2017)	0.67 (0.03, 1.30)	1.74	GWB	Relaxation
Wei Wang (2017)	0.07 (-0.43, 0.58)	1.88	GWB	Energy
Wei Wang (2017)	0.47 (-0.04, 0.99)	1.87	GWB	Life satisfaction
Wei Wang (2017)	0.69 (0.17, 1.21)	1.87	GWB	Happiness
Wei Wang (2017)	0.41 (-0.10, 0.92)	1.88	GWB	Positive affect
Wei Wang (2017)	0.28 (-0.23, 0.79)	1.88	GWB	Relaxation
Wei Wang (2017) Lili Han (2016)	0.79 (0.26, 1.31)	1.86	GWB POMS	General well-being
Lili Han (2016)	1.16 (0.56, 1.76) 0.67 (0.10, 1.24)	1.78 1.81	POMS	Energy Self-esteem
Jiayan Li (2016)	-0.08 (-0.96, 0.79)	1.47	EIEQ	Energetic stimulation
Jiayan Li (2016)	-0.10 (-0.97, 0.78)	1.47	EIEQ	Calm mind and body
Jiayan Li (2016)	0.09 (-0.79, 0.97)	1.47	EIEQ	Active involvement
Jiayan Li (2016)	0.19 (-0.68, 1.07)	1.47	CSS	Self-concept
Lin Shen (2016)	1.43 (0.44, 2.42)	1.34	GWB	Life satisfaction
Lin Shen (2016)	0.89 (-0.04, 1.81)	1.42	GWB	Energy
Lin Shen (2016)	1.27 (0.30, 2.24)	1.37	GWB	Happiness
Lin Shen (2016)	0.90 (-0.03, 1.82)	1.42	GWB	Positive affect
Lin Shen (2016)	0.12 (-0.76, 1.00)	1.47	GWB	Relaxation
Lin Shen (2016)	2.18 (1.05, 3.30) 0.25 (-0.04, 0.54)	1.21 2.07	GWB SF-36	General Well-being Vitality
Hongyu Wang (2016)	0.25 (-0.04, 0.54)	2.07	SF-36	Social functioning
Hongyu Wang (2016)	0.68 (0.37, 0.98)	2.07	SF-36	Role-emotional
Hongyu Wang (2016)	0.33 (0.03, 0.62)	2.07	SF-36	Mental health
Overall (I-squared = 86.9% , p = 0.000)	0.76 (0.58, 0.95)	100.00		
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis				
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis				
-1 0	4			

FIGURE 2 | A meta-analysis of the effectiveness of plaza dancing on psychological well-being. Seven studies reported results for psychological well-being, and seven studies reported results for both psychological well-being and ill-being. The results from 14 studies on psychological well-being (reporting 56 analyses, including different related outcomes) were included. The random-effects model was used as it assumes that varying effect sizes between the studies are due to the differences in the study content and population. Positive effect size values indicate higher scores for psychological well-being in favor of the intervention. BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; CSS, Core Self-Evaluation Scale; EIEQ, Exercise-Induced Emotion Questionnaire; GDS-15, 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale; GWB, General Well-Being Schedule; HAMA, Hamilton Anxiety Scale; HAMD, Hamilton Depression Scale; LSIA, Life Satisfaction Index A; PEES, Post-Exercise Emotional Experience Scale; POMS, Profile of Mood States; PSPP, Platform Sizing and Performance Program; SAS, Self-Rating Anxiety Scale; SCL-90, Symptom Check List 90; SDS, Self-Rating Depression Scale; SES, Self-Esteem Scale; SF-36, the MOS item short-form health survey; TMD, total of motional disturb.

well-being, self-image, quality of life, social functioning, and roleemotional functioning) and reduce psychological ill-being (i.e., negative affect, somatization, depression, anxiety, hostility, terror, paranoia, psychosis, nervousness, fatigue, panic, and TMD). In addition, the effect of plaza dancing on psychological well-being and ill-being is moderated by intervention modality.

Previous reviews have reported that the effects of dance intervention could improve fitness and mental health (Predovan et al., 2018; Barnish and Barran, 2020; Wu et al., 2021). However, these reviews focused only on dance intervention (e.g., ballet and folk dance) and did not pay attention to plaza dancing. The available evidence has indicated that plaza dancing may have a favorable effect on psychological well-being and ill-being (Gao et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2020). As a kind of aerobic exercise, plaza dancing is regarded not only as a form of physical activity but also as a form of mental activity and social interaction (Shen, 2016). Plaza dancing has a strong sense of musical rhythm and a cheerful melody, and the body produces neurotransmitter chemicals that reduce depression, anxiety, and other negative emotions during exercise, which can further promote the production of positive emotions such as happiness. After plaza dancing, the participants can get together to chat about the experiences and interesting things in daily life and to vent their dissatisfaction and helplessness. This reduces the mental pressure in life, offsets negative emotions, and achieves the effect of improving the state of mind (Shen, 2016). Our findings summarize the evidence regarding the effect of plaza dancing on psychological well-being and psychological ill-being, proving that plaza dancing can fulfill the purpose of regulating the participant's state of mind.

First Author, Publication year	SMD (95% CI)	% Weight	Instruments	Outcome
Yongsheng Wang (2021)	-0.79 (-1.22, -0.36)	3.23	PEES	Negative affect
Jun Zeng (2020)	-0.74 (-1.27, -0.22)	2.90	SCL-90	Somatization
Jun Zeng (2020)	-0.45 (-0.96, 0.07)	2.94	SCL-90	Compulsive symptoms
Jun Zeng (2020)	-0.97 (-1.51, -0.44)	2.86	SCL-90	Interpersonal sensitivity
Jun Zeng (2020)	-0.67 (-1.19, -0.15)	2.92	SCL-90	Depression
Jun Zeng (2020)	-0.70 (-1.22, -0.18)	2.91	SCL-90	Anxiety
Jun Zeng (2020)	-1.12 (-1.67, -0.58)	2.83	SCL-90	Hostility
Jun Zeng (2020)	-1.16 (-1.71, -0.61)	2.82	SCL-90	Terror
Jun Zeng (2020)	-1.16 (-1.71, -0.61)	2.82	SCL-90	Paranoid
Jun Zeng (2020)	-0.64 (-1.16, -0.12)	2.92	SCL-90	Psychosis
Jun Zeng (2020)	-0.74 (-1.26, -0.21)	2.91	POMS	Nervousness
Jun Zeng (2020)	-0.52 (-1.04, -0.01)	2.93	POMS	Anger
	-0.74 (-1.26, -0.21)	2.91	POMS	Fatigue
Jun Zeng (2020)	-0.55 (-1.07, -0.04)	2.93	POMS	Panic
Jun Zeng (2020)	-0.41 (-0.92, 0.10)	2.95	POMS	Depression
Jun Zeng (2020)	-0.82 (-1.34, -0.29)	2.89	POMS	TMD
Shou Wang (2019)	-0.95 (-1.46, -0.44)	2.95	GDS-15	Depression
Qingfang Guo (2017)	-0.12 (-0.82, 0.57)	2.37	BDI	Depression
Ziyao Guan (2017)	-1.18 (-1.61, -0.75)	3.24	SAS	Anxiety
Ziyao Guan (2017)	-0.22 (-0.62, 0.17)	3.35	SDS	Depression
Lei Gao (2016)	-1.30 (-1.91, -0.68)	2.61	SDS	Depression
Lili Han (2016)	-0.70 (-1.27, -0.13)	2.75	POMS	Nervousness
Lili Han (2016)	-1.82 (-2.48, -1.16)	2.46	POMS	Anger
Lili Han (2016)	-0.65 (-1.22, -0.08)	2.75	POMS	Fatigue
Lili Han (2016)	-0.60 (-1.16, -0.03)	2.76	POMS	Depression
Lili Han (2016)	-0.71 (-1.29, -0.14)	2.74	POMS	Panic
Lili Han (2016)	-1.12 (-1.71, -0.52)	2.66	POMS	TMD
Jiayan Li (2016)	-0.21 (-1.09, 0.67)	1.87	EIEQ	Physical exhaustion
Lin Shen (2016)	-0.73 (-1.63, 0.18)	1.81	SCL-90	Somatization
Lin Shen (2016)	-0.33 (-1.22, 0.55)	1.87	SCL-90	Compulsive symptoms
Lin Shen (2016)	0.03 (-0.85, 0.90)	1.88	SCL-90	Interpersonal sensitivity
Lin Shen (2016)	-0.55 (-1.45, 0.34)	1.84	SCL-90	Depression
Lin Shen (2016)	-0.84 (-1.76, 0.07)	1.78	SCL-90	Anxiety
Lin Shen (2016)	-0.82 (-1.73, 0.10)	1.79	SCL-90	Hostility
Lin Shen (2016)	-0.78 (-1.69, 0.13)	1.80	SCL-90	Terror
Lin Shen (2016)	-0.58 (-1.48, 0.31)	1.83	SCL-90	Paranoid
Lin Shen (2016)	-1.23 (-2.19, -0.27)	1.69	SCL-90	Psychosis
Xinan Zhang (2014)	-3.96 (-4.84, -3.08)	1.87	HAMA	Anxiety
Xinan Zhang (2014)	-1.78 (-2.38, -1.18)	2.65	HAMD	Depression
Overall (I-squared = 64.8%, p = 0.000)	-0.84 (-1.00, -0.68)	100.00		
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis				

FIGURE 3 | A meta-analysis of the effectiveness of plaza dancing on psychological ill-being. Three studies reported results for psychological ill-being, and seven studies reported results for both psychological well-being and ill-being. The results from 10 studies on psychological ill-being (reporting 39 analyses, including different related outcomes) were included. The random-effects model was used, as it assumes that varying effect sizes between the studies are due to the differences in the study content and population. Positive effect size values indicate lower scores for psychological ill-being in favor of the intervention. BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; CSS, Core Self-Evaluation Scale; EIEQ, Exercise-Induced Emotion Questionnaire; GDS-15, 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale; GWB, General Well-Being Schedule; HAMA, Hamilton Anxiety Scale; HAMD, Hamilton Depression Scale; LSIA, Life Satisfaction Index A; PEES, Post-Exercise Emotional Experience Scale; POMS, Profile of Mood States; PSPP, Platform Sizing and Performance Program; SAS, Self-Rating Anxiety Scale; SCL-90, Symptom Check List 90; SDS, Self-Rating Depression Scale; SES, Self-Esteem Scale; SF-36, the MOS item short-form health survey; TMD, total of motional disturb.

Our study confirmed that plaza dancing has a more positive effect on psychological well-being and ill-being than walking exercise, table tennis, running, and maintaining one's usual lifestyle. Solitary exercises, such as walking and running, with the exercise time continuing due to a lack of necessary interpersonal communication, will lead to a sense of loneliness and reduce interest in the practice. However, plaza dancing is performed collectively with the accompaniment of upbeat background music. It can effectively eliminate the loneliness of the participant and has the effect of fully mobilizing enthusiasm for the practice (Sun et al., 2018). With advancing age, due to physiological or environmental factors, people tend to have psychological disorders such as self-abasement, depression, or anxiety. Relevant studies have reported that more attention should be given to such psychological changes in people (Qiao, 2017; Xu et al., 2020). Plaza dancing can provide people with opportunities that

enable them to return to society, integrate into communities, and embody themselves as quickly as possible. Furthermore, communication or skill exchanges between the plaza dancers can help reduce loneliness and increase the sense of belonging, which will improve mood and encourage optimistic and positive mental health. Moreover, the physical process of plaza dancing is complemented by rapid changes in movement and coordination between the limbs. It not only can fully mobilize the body to involve in the activities of each joint but also contributes to core muscle stimulation. These exercise effects are crucial to maintaining a stable state of mental health (Jia, 2017; Xu et al., 2020).

In our study, most of the articles originated in China, which indicates that plaza dancing has not been given attention abroad. The evidence supports several psychosocial mechanisms that explain the effect of plaza dancing on psychological well-being

TABLE 2 | Overall and subgroup meta-analyses of the effectiveness of plaza dancing on psychological well-being and ill-being.

(A) Psychological well-bei Total Outcomes classification Energy Happiness Positive affect Relaxation Self-esteem General well-being Self-image Quality of life Energetic stimulation Calm mind and body Active involvement Self-concept Vitality Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	ng 4254 578 597 487 487 487 142 297 317 66 20 20 20 20 20 20 179 179	95.0 94.5 94.6 93.4 93.4 93.4 93.4 100 99.3 90.5 71.2 100 100	56 8 9 7 7 7 3 3 3 3 1 1	0.76 (0.58, 0.95) 1.09 (0.76, 1.41) 0.64 (0.34, 0.94) 0.73 (0.32, 1.14) 0.63 (0.04, 1.23) 0.66 (-0.02, 1.34) 0.31 (-0.10, 0.71) 2.05 (0.31, 3.79) 1.21 (0.58, 1.83)	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 0.038 0.143 0.021	f² (%) 86.9 64.8 63.9 75.0 88.4 90.9 31.7	χ ² 419.63 19.87 22.14 23.97 51.56 66.02	<i>P-value</i> <0.001 0.006 0.005 0.001 <0.001	Tau-squared 0.407 0.131 0.125 0.216
Total Outcomes classification Life satisfaction Energy Happiness Positive affect Relaxation Self-esteem General well-being Self-image Quality of life Energetic stimulation Calm mind and body Active involvement Self-concept Vitality Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	4254 578 597 487 487 487 142 297 317 66 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	94.5 94.6 93.4 93.4 100 99.3 90.5 71.2 100 100	8 9 7 7 3 3 3 3 1	1.09 (0.76, 1.41) 0.64 (0.34, 0.94) 0.73 (0.32, 1.14) 0.63 (0.04, 1.23) 0.66 (-0.02, 1.34) 0.31 (-0.10, 0.71) 2.05 (0.31, 3.79)	<0.001 <0.001 <0.038 0.058 0.143	64.8 63.9 75.0 88.4 90.9	19.87 22.14 23.97 51.56	0.006 0.005 0.001	0.131 0.125
Outcomes classification Life satisfaction Energy Happiness Positive affect Relaxation Self-esteem General well-being Self-image Quality of life Energetic stimulation Calm mind and body Active involvement Self-concept Vitality Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	578 597 487 487 142 297 317 66 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 179	94.5 94.6 93.4 93.4 100 99.3 90.5 71.2 100 100	8 9 7 7 3 3 3 3 1	1.09 (0.76, 1.41) 0.64 (0.34, 0.94) 0.73 (0.32, 1.14) 0.63 (0.04, 1.23) 0.66 (-0.02, 1.34) 0.31 (-0.10, 0.71) 2.05 (0.31, 3.79)	<0.001 <0.001 <0.038 0.058 0.143	64.8 63.9 75.0 88.4 90.9	19.87 22.14 23.97 51.56	0.006 0.005 0.001	0.131 0.125
Life satisfaction Energy Happiness Positive affect Relaxation Self-esteem General well-being Self-image Quality of life Energetic stimulation Calm mind and body Active involvement Self-concept Vitality Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	597 487 487 142 297 317 66 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	94.6 93.4 93.4 100 99.3 90.5 71.2 100 100	9 7 7 3 3 3 3 1	0.64 (0.34, 0.94) 0.73 (0.32, 1.14) 0.63 (0.04, 1.23) 0.66 (-0.02, 1.34) 0.31 (-0.10, 0.71) 2.05 (0.31, 3.79)	<0.001 <0.001 0.038 0.058 0.143	63.9 75.0 88.4 90.9	22.14 23.97 51.56	0.005 0.001	0.125
Energy Happiness Positive affect Relaxation Self-esteem General well-being Self-image Quality of life Energetic stimulation Calm mind and body Active involvement Self-concept Vitality Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	597 487 487 142 297 317 66 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	94.6 93.4 93.4 100 99.3 90.5 71.2 100 100	9 7 7 3 3 3 3 1	0.64 (0.34, 0.94) 0.73 (0.32, 1.14) 0.63 (0.04, 1.23) 0.66 (-0.02, 1.34) 0.31 (-0.10, 0.71) 2.05 (0.31, 3.79)	<0.001 <0.001 0.038 0.058 0.143	63.9 75.0 88.4 90.9	22.14 23.97 51.56	0.005 0.001	0.125
Happiness Positive affect Relaxation Self-esteem General well-being Self-image Quality of life Energetic stimulation Calm mind and body Active involvement Self-concept Vitality Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	487 487 142 297 317 66 20 20 20 20 20 20 179	93.4 93.4 93.4 100 99.3 90.5 71.2 100 100	7 7 3 3 3 1	0.73 (0.32, 1.14) 0.63 (0.04, 1.23) 0.66 (-0.02, 1.34) 0.31 (-0.10, 0.71) 2.05 (0.31, 3.79)	<0.001 0.038 0.058 0.143	75.0 88.4 90.9	23.97 51.56	0.001	
Positive affect Relaxation Self-esteem General well-being Self-image Quality of life Energetic stimulation Calm mind and body Active involvement Self-concept Vitality Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	487 487 142 297 317 66 20 20 20 20 20 20 179	93.4 93.4 100 99.3 90.5 71.2 100 100	7 7 3 3 3 1	0.63 (0.04, 1.23) 0.66 (-0.02, 1.34) 0.31 (-0.10, 0.71) 2.05 (0.31, 3.79)	0.038 0.058 0.143	88.4 90.9	51.56		0.216
Relaxation Self-esteem General well-being Self-image Quality of life Energetic stimulation Calm mind and body Active involvement Self-concept Vitality Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	487 142 297 317 66 20 20 20 20 20 20	93.4 100 99.3 90.5 71.2 100 100	7 3 3 3 1	0.66 (-0.02, 1.34) 0.31 (-0.10, 0.71) 2.05 (0.31, 3.79)	0.058 0.143	90.9		<0.001	0.553
Self-esteem General well-being Self-image Quality of life Energetic stimulation Calm mind and body Active involvement Self-concept Vitality Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	142 297 317 66 20 20 20 20 20 179	100 99.3 90.5 71.2 100 100	3 3 3 1	0.31 (-0.10, 0.71) 2.05 (0.31, 3.79)	0.143		00.02	< 0.001	0.333
General well-being Self-image Quality of life Energetic stimulation Calm mind and body Active involvement Self-concept Vitality Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	297 317 66 20 20 20 20 20 179	99.3 90.5 71.2 100 100	3 3 1	2.05 (0.31, 3.79)			2.93	0.231	0.041
Self-image Quality of life Energetic stimulation Calm mind and body Active involvement Self-concept Vitality Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	317 66 20 20 20 20 20 179	90.5 71.2 100 100	3 1		0.021	96.0	50.49	<0.001	2.221
Quality of life Energetic stimulation Calm mind and body Active involvement Self-concept Vitality Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	66 20 20 20 20 179	71.2 100 100	1	(,	< 0.001	79.8	9.88	0.007	0.240
Energetic stimulation Calm mind and body Active involvement Self-concept Vitality Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	20 20 20 20 179	100 100	4	1.08 (0.57, 1.60)	< 0.001	_	_	_	_
Calm mind and body Active involvement Self-concept Vitality Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	20 20 20 179	100		-0.08 (-0.96, 0.79)	0.853	_	_	_	_
Self-concept Vitality Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	20 179	100	1	-0.10 (-0.97, 0.78)	0.832	-	-	-	-
Vitality Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	179	100	1	0.09 (-0.79, 0.97)	0.840	-	-	-	-
Social functioning Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China		100	1	0.19 (-0.69, 1.07)	0.665	-	-	-	-
Role-emotional Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	179	100	1	0.25 (-0.04, 0.54)	0.096	-	-	-	-
Mental health Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China		100	1	0.48 (0.18, 0.78)	0.002	-	-	-	-
Measurement instruments LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	179	100	1	0.68 (0.37, 0.98)	< 0.001	-	-	-	-
LSIA GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	179	100	1	0.33 (0.03, 0.62)	0.031	-	-	-	-
GWB POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	5								
POMS PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	91	100	1	0.70 (0.27, 1.12)	0.001	-	-	-	-
PSPP SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	2732	94.1	38	0.86 (0.61, 1.10)	< 0.001	88.1	311.37	< 0.001	0.503
SF-36 SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	220	100	4	0.59 (0.12, 1.05)	0.014	65.5	8.71	0.033	0.148
SES EIEQ CSS District Central China	317	90.5	3	1.21 (0.58, 1.83)	< 0.001	79.8	9.88	0.007	0.240
EIEQ CSS District Central China	782	97.6	5	0.52 (0.28, 0.75)	< 0.001	60.9	10.24	0.037	0.042
CSS District Central China	32	100	1	0.30 (-0.40, 1.00)	0.403	-	-	-	-
District Central China	60	100	3	-0.03 (-0.54, 0.48)	0.910	0.0	0.11	0.948	0.000
Central China	20	100	1	0.19 (-0.69, 1.07)	0.665	-	-	-	-
	921	79.2	20	0.73 (0.53, 0.92)	< 0.001	48.8	37.13	0.008	0.091
Southwest China	320	100	7	0.67 (0.15, 1.19)	0.012	80.4	30.55	< 0.001	0.398
North China	1551	100	8	1.59 (1.13, 2.04)	< 0.001	93.2	102.52	<0.001	0.391
Northeast China East China	66	71.2 100	1	1.08 (0.57, 1.60)	< 0.001	16.7		-	-
	1396	100	20	0.43 (0.31, 0.55)	<0.001	16.7	22.80	0.246	0.012
Age (mean years) ≤60	1680	100	27	0.83 (0.54, 1.12)	<0.001	90.3	267.04	<0.001	0.520
>60	1382	84.7	19	0.61 (0.43, 0.79)	< 0.001	57.5	42.33	0.001	0.082
(B) Psychological ill-being		04.7	10	0.01 (0.40, 0.10)	<0.001	01.0	42.00	0.001	0.002
Total	1959	_	39	-0.84 (-1.00, -0.68)	<0.001	64.8	107.97	< 0.001	0.165
Outcomes classification	1000		00	0.04 (1.00, 0.00)	<0.001	04.0	101.01	<0.001	0.100
Negative affect	91	100	1	-0.79 (-1.22, -0.36)	< 0.001	_	_	_	_
Somatization	80	_	2	-0.74 (-1.19, -0.29)	0.001	0.0	0.00	0.972	0.000
Compulsive symptoms	80	_	2	-0.42 (-0.86, 0.03)	0.065	0.0	0.05	0.827	0.000
Interpersonal sensitivity	80	-	2	-0.54 (-1.51, 0.43)	0.278	72.5	3.63	0.057	0.362
Depression	498	-	9	-0.73 (-1.07, -0.39)	< 0.001	70.0	26.64	0.001	0.188
Anxiety	240	-	4	-1.64 (-2.81, -0.46)	0.006	92.7	41.38	< 0.001	1.307
Hostility	80	-	2	-1.04 (-1.51, -0.57)	< 0.001	0.0	0.31	0.577	0.000
Terror	80	-	2	-1.06 (-1.53, -0.59)	< 0.001	0.0	0.49	0.485	0.000
Paranoid	80	-	2	-0.99 (-1.51, -0.47)	< 0.001	13.4	1.16	0.282	0.022
Psychosis	80	-	2	-0.79 (-1.30, -0.28)	0.002	11.3	1.13	0.288	0.020
Nervousness	110	100	2	-0.72 (-1.10, -0.33)	< 0.001	0.0	0.01	0.922	0.000
Anger	110	100	2	-1.15 (-2.43, 0.12)	0.076	89.1	9.19	0.002	0.752
Fatigue	110	100	2	-0.70 (-1.08, -0.31)	< 0.001	0.0	0.05	0.831	0.000
Panic	110	100	2	-0.63 (-1.01, -0.24)	0.001	0.0	0.17	0.684	0.000
TMD	110	100	2	-0.95 (-1.34, -0.55)	< 0.001	0.0	0.54	0.463	0.000
Physical exhaustion	20	100	1	-0.21 (-1.09, 0.67)	0.639	-	-	-	-
Measurement instruments									
PEES	91	100	1	-0.79 (-1.22, -0.36)	< 0.001	-	-	-	-
SCL-90		-	18	-0.78 (-0.94, -0.63)	< 0.001	0.0	10 47	-	0.000
POMS	720 660	100	12	-0.75 (-0.94, -0.57)	< 0.001	26.2	13.47 14.91	0.704 0.187	0.000 0.028

(Continued)

TABLE 2 | (Continued)

	Sample size	% Female	Number of studies	Effect size (95% CI)	P-value	Heterogeneity			
						l ² (%)	χ²	P-value	Tau-squared
GDS-15	66	71.2	1	-0.95 (-1.46, -0.44)	< 0.001	-	-	-	_
BDI	32	100	1	-0.13 (-0.82, 0.57)	0.725	-	-	-	-
SAS	100	90.0	1	-1.18 (-1.61, -0.76)	< 0.001	-	-	-	-
SDS	150	93.3	2	-0.73 (-1.78, 0.32)	0.170	88.0	8.33	0.004	0.505
EIEQ	20	100	1	-0.21 (-1.09, 0.67)	0.639	-	-	-	-
HAMA	60	50.0	1	-3.96 (-4.84, -3.08)	< 0.001	-	-	-	-
HAMD	60	50.0	1	-1.78 (-2.38, -1.18)	< 0.001	-	-	-	-
District									
Central China	771	-	18	-0.77 (-0.97, -0.57)	< 0.001	39.0	27.88	0.046	0.067
Southwest China	900	100	15	-0.75 (-0.88, -0.61)	< 0.001	0.0	11.50	0.647	0.000
Northeast China	236	66.5	4	-1.95 (-3.03, -0.87)	< 0.001	91.4	34.97	< 0.001	1.092
North China	32	100	1	-0.13 (-0.82, 0.57)	0.725	-	-	-	-
East China	20	100	1	-0.21 (-1.09, 0.67)	0.639	-	-	-	-
Age (mean years)									
≤60	493	100	10	-0.82 (-1.09, -0.54)	< 0.001	52.5	18.94	0.026	0.101
>60	1466	-	29	-0.85 (-1.05, -0.65)	< 0.001	68.5	89.02	< 0.001	0.195

BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; CSS, Core Self-Evaluation Scale; EIEQ, Exercise-Induced Emotion Questionnaire; GDS-15, 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale; GWB, General Well-Being Schedule; HAMA, Hamilton Anxiety Scale; HAMD, Hamilton Depression Scale; LSIA, Life Satisfaction Index A; PEES, Post-Exercise Emotional Experience Scale; POMS, Profile of Mood States; PSPP, Platform Sizing and Performance Program; SAS, Self-Rating Anxiety Scale; SCL-90, Symptom Check List 90; SDS, Self-Rating Depression Scale; SES, Self-Esteem Scale; SF-36, the MOS item short-from health survey; TMD, total of motional disturb.

Seven studies reported the results of psychological well-being, three studies reported the results of psychological ill-being, and seven studies reported the results of both psychological well-being and ill-being. Results from 14 studies (reported 56 analyses, including different related outcomes) in psychological well-being and 10 studies (reported 39 analyses, including different related outcomes) in psychological ill-being were included, respectively.

The random-effects model was used, as it assumes that varying effect sizes between the studies are due to differences in the study content and population.

and ill-being. However, there is little understanding as to whether these mechanisms play the same role in populations outside of China. Therefore, future plaza dancing studies could be extended to foreign countries. Li (2017)'s study found that the average

TABLE 3 | Results of meta-regression analyses on the association between plaza dancing and psychological well-being and ill-being.

	β	95% CI	Р
(A) Psychological well-being ($n = 56$ and	alyses)		
Publication year	0.103	-0.003, 0.210	0.058
Total sample size	0.004	0.002, 0.007	<0.001
Mean age	-0.030	-0.070, 0.011	0.150
Duration of plaza dancing (weeks)	-0.044	-0.085, -0.004	0.033
Frequency of plaza dancing (times/week)	0.122	0.024, 0.221	0.016
Length of plaza dancing (mins/time)	0.046	-0.021, 0.113	0.175
(B) Psychological ill-being (n = 39 ana	lyses)		
Publication year	0.078	-0.009, 0.166	0.079
Total sample size	-0.004	-0.013, 0.005	0.393
Mean age	-0.032	-0.109, 0.044	0.396
Duration of plaza dancing (weeks)	-0.029	-0.040, -0.018	<0.001
Frequency of plaza dancing (times/week)	0.154	0.030, 0.278	0.016
Length of plaza dancing (mins/time)	0.098	-0.022, 0.217	0.106

Seven studies reported the results of psychological well-being, three studies reported the results of psychological ill-being, and seven studies reported the results of both psychological well-being and ill-being. Results from 14 studies (reported 56 analyses, including different related outcomes) in psychological well-being and 10 studies (reported 39 analyses, including different related outcomes) in psychological ill-being were included, respectively.

Meta-regression analysis was used to evaluate the heterogeneity of different studies, adjusting for publication year, total sample size, mean age, duration, frequency, and length of plaza dancing method. Numbers in bold indicate significance.

scores of GWB and PSPP in the intervention group were much higher than those obtained in the control group, indicating that plaza dancing had a certain effect on the improvement of psychological well-being, and Zeng et al. (2020)'s study found that the average scores of SCL-90 in the intervention group were much lower than those obtained in the control group, indicating that plaza dancing had a certain effect on the treatment of depression and anxiety, which were similar to the results of our study. It seems that the study participants' age and district may not affect the effectiveness of the plaza dancing intervention, which may be related to the fact that plaza dancing is a form of entertainment and is not restricted to age and district. These results were confirmed by previous original studies (Zhang et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2016).

Most existing studies indicated that moderate-intensity exercise can promote the mental health of participants and that the duration of the exercise should be between 20 and 30 min, which is the exercise time recommended to obtain greater psychological benefits (Yang et al., 2014). Our study found that plaza dancing duration and frequency were moderators for psychological well-being and ill-being. In our review, the duration of plaza dancing was negatively correlated with the effect, which was similar to Yang et al. (2014)'s study results and may be related to longer durations contributing to more fatigue in the body. An increase in the frequency of plaza dancing can promote a positive emotional state, reduce psychological annoyance and fatigue, and reduce negative mood (Chekroud et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019).

Our study has several strengths. First, this is the first study to provide quantitative evidence of the relationship between plaza dancing and psychological well-being and psychological ill-being. Consequently, it provides the most comprehensive

evidence that experiencing plaza dancing leads to improved psychological well-being and reduced psychological ill-being. Second, this review includes RCTs, cluster-randomized trials, and quasi-RCTs or intervention studies designed to include a control group. Third, this study takes into consideration the role of various key moderators, such as measurement instruments, outcome classification, mean age, district, and plaza dancing length, duration, and frequency. Nevertheless, some limitations should also be noted. First, 90% of the included study participants were women, and only a few were men. Clinical and public health practitioners, researchers, and policymakers need to pay attention to the psychological changes of men and encourage them to perform plaza dancing exercises. Second, the included studies were from China, and the effects of plaza dancing have not been studied abroad. Thus, our findings can only be generalized to China, and they are temporarily not applicable to foreign countries with different economic statuses and cultural backgrounds. Third, publication bias is possible, as we used P < 0.05 and not P < 0.10, and significant heterogeneity would require cautious interpretations of the results. Fourth, the mediation mechanisms for successful interventions have not been identified.

CONCLUSION

Evidence from the results of the meta-analysis and the original studies demonstrates that plaza dancing has a significant positive effect on psychological well-being and psychological ill-being. Plaza dancing is a promising way to promote the development of psychological well-being and treat psychological ill-being, which can improve mood, maintain happiness and positive wellbeing, and reduce negative affect, depression, and anxiety. Public health efforts should focus on psychological well-being and psychological ill-being and promote plaza dancing, regardless of

REFERENCES

- Antaramian, S. P., Scott Huebner, E., Hills, K. J., and Valois, R. F. (2010). A dualfactor model of mental health: toward a more comprehensive understanding of youth functioning. *Am. J. Orthopsychiatry* 80, 462–472. doi: 10.1111/j.1939-0025.2010.01049.x
- Bai, H. (2004). Research on negative psychology and behavior in sports. J. Wuhan Inst. Phys. Educ. 38, 173–176.
- Barberio, B., Zamani, M., Black, C. J., Savarino, E. V., and Ford, A. C. (2021). Prevalence of symptoms of anxiety and depression in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 6, 359–370. doi: 10.1016/S2468-1253(21)00 014-5
- Barnish, M. S., and Barran, S. M. (2020). A systematic review of active groupbased dance, singing, music therapy and theatrical interventions for quality of life, functional communication, speech, motor function and cognitive status in people with Parkinson's disease. *BMC Neurol.* 20:371. doi: 10.1186/s12883-020-01938-3
- Biddle, S. J., and Asare, M. (2011). Physical activity and mental health in children and adolescents: a review of reviews. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 45, 886–895. doi: 10. 1136/bjsports-2011-090185
- Chekroud, S. R., Gueorguieva, R., Zheutlin, A. B., Paulus, M., Krumholz, H. M., Krystal, J. H., et al. (2018). Association between physical exercise and mental

age and region. Future research studies should aim to conduct plaza dancing interventions in different countries. Future studies should target clinical patients as participants.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ZB, RB, and YL designed the research. YY, CX, and ZZ conducted the literature search, data screening, and extraction. YL performed the meta-analysis and drafted the original manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript, critically helped in the interpretation of the results, and provided relevant intellectual inputs. RB and YL provided administrative support for the project and hold primary responsibility for the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the MOE (Ministry of Education in China) Project of Humanities and Social Sciences (grant number: 19YJCZH001).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg. 2022.864327/full#supplementary-material

health in 12 million individuals in the USA between 2011 and 2015: a crosssectional study. *Lancet Psychiatry* 5, 739–746. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(18) 30227-X

- Chen, J., and Jia, W. (2015). The effects of aerobics and square dance in the public pe on the college freshmen's mental health. *Phys. Educ. Rev.* 35, 93–95.
- Gao, L., Zhang, L., Qi, H., and Petridis, L. (2016). Middle-aged female depression in perimenopausal period and square dance intervention. *Psychiatr. Danub.* 28, 372–378.
- Guan, T. (2017). The impact of exercise intervention elderly people's mental health and subjective well-being. *Bull. Sport Sci. Technol.* 25, 67–69.
- Guan, Z., Tan, X., Zhou, J., Wang, T., and Zeng, H. (2017). Study on the influence of square dance on the physical and mental state of the elderly. *Today Nurse* 11, 87–90.
- Guo, Q. (2017). The Research That The Influence Of Square Dancing For Middle-Aged Women's Static Balance Ability, Cognitive Function, Emotional Function. Beijing: Capital University of Physical Education And Sports.
- Han, L. (2016). The Influence of Square Dance on the Sleep Quality and Mood State of Rural Middle-aged and Old Women. Zhengzhou: Zhengzhou University.
- Hao, S. (2017). Influences of Square Fitness Exercise Dance to Middle-aged and Old Women's Physical Fitness and Mentality. Shanghai: Shanghai University of Sport.
- Herrman, H., Saxena, S., and Moodie, R. (2005). Promoting Mental Health: Concepts, Emerging Evidence, Practice: A Report Of The World Health

Organization, Department Of Mental Health And Substance Abuse In Collaboration With The Victorian Health Promotion Foundation And The University Of Melbourne. Geneva: WHO.

- Higgins, J. P., and Green, S. (2011). "Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 5.1.0," in *Naunyn-Schmiedebergs Arch. f.ür. experimentelle Pathologieund Pharmakologie*, eds J. P. T. Higgins and S. Green (Berlin: The Cochrane Collaboration), S38.
- Jia, W. (2015). Experimental research on the effects of square dance in public pe on the freshmen's mental health. *Phys. Educ. Rev.* 34, 117–120.
- Jia, X. (2017). The effect of square dance on improving the drug treatment of elderly women with cognitive dysfunction. *Chinese J. Gerontol.* 37, 4071–4072.
- Li, J. (2016). A Comparative Study of the Influence of Belly Dance and Square Dance on the Body Shape and Physical and Mental Quality of Middle-aged Women. Nanjing: Nanjing Sport Institute.
- Li, S. (2017). The Influence of Square Dance Exercise on Elderly People's Physical Self-esteem and Sense of Happiness. Zhengzhou: Zhengzhou University.
- Lu, H. (2019). Study on the Effect of Square Dance on the Improvement of Middleaged and Old People's Self-esteem, Well-being and Body Shape. Dalian: Liaoning Normal University.
- Lv, Y. (2015). Researching The Effects Of Square Dancing Exercise On Middle-Aged And Old Women's Constitution. Xi'An: Xi'an Physical Education University.
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., and Prisma Group (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.* 6:e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097
- Pang, Y. (2017). Square Dancing Exercisein Fluence On Rural Women's Physical Self-Esteem And Subjective Well-Being. Chengdu: Sichuan Normal University.
- Predovan, D., Julien, A., Esmail, A., and Bherer, L. (2018). Effects of dancing on cognition in healthy older adults: a systematic review. J. Cogn. Enhancement 3, 161–167. doi: 10.1007/s41465-018-0103-2
- Prince, M., Patel, V., Saxena, S., Maj, M., Maselko, J., Phillips, M. R., et al. (2007). No health without mental health. *Lancet* 370, 859–877. doi: 10.1016/j.cct.2019. 105873
- Qiao, Y. (2017). Effect of team play training on mood and daily activity ability of elderly patients with mild cognitive impairment. *Chinese J. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 39, 631–632.
- Rodriguez-Ayllon, M., Cadenas-Sánchez, C., Estévez-López, F., Muñoz, N. E., Mora-Gonzalez, J., Migueles, J. H., et al. (2019). Role of physical activity and sedentary behavior in the mental health of preschoolers, children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med.* 49, 1383–1410. doi: 10.1007/s40279-019-01099-5
- Shen, L. (2016). The Influence of Square Dance on the Mental Health and Subjective Well-being of Urban Elderly. Zhengzhou: Zhengzhou University.
- Sun, J., and Wang, K. (2020). The influence of square dance on the physical health and mental emotion of the elderly. *Chinese J. Gerontol.* 6, 2583–2586. doi: 10.1177/0300060520902610
- Sun, Y., Zeng, Q., Zhao, D., and Li, X. (2018). The influence of square dance exercise on the physical and mental health of middle-aged and old women. *Chinese J. Appl. Physiol.* 34, 246–248.
- Sun, M. (2019). Study on the Influence of Square Dance on the Happiness and Body Self-esteem of Menopausal Women. Hohhot: Inner Mongolia Normal University.
- Wang, H. (2016). The influence of square dance on the quality of life of old women. *Chinese J. Gerontol.* 10, 5157–5159.
- Wang, J. (2014). An Experimental Research on the Influence of Square Dance Exercise on the Subjective Well-being of Middle-aged and Old People. Chengdu: Chengdu Sport University.
- Wang, R., Bishwajit, G., Zhou, Y., Wu, X., Feng, D., Tang, S., et al. (2019). Intensity, frequency, duration, and volume of physical activity and its association with risk of depression in middle- and older-aged chinese: evidence from the china health and retirement longitudinal study, 2015. *PLoS One* 14:e0221430. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0221430
- Wang, S., Yin, H., Meng, X., Shang, B., Meng, Q., Zheng, L., et al. (2020). Effects of Chinese square dancing on older adults with mild cognitive impairment. *Geriatr. Nurs.* 41, 290–296. doi: 10.1016/j.gerinurse.2019.10.009

- Wang, W. (2017). A Study On The Influence Of Square Dance On Middle-Aged Women's Subjective Well Being-A Case Study Of Middle Aged Women In Qufu. Kunming: Yunnan Normal University.
- Wang, X., Luo, W., and Chen, X. (2014). Influence of square dance on physical and psychological health of the elderly. *Chinese J. Gerontol.* 34, 477–478.
- Wang, Y., Wang, N., and Song, Q. (2021). The impact of wide field dance and walking on the physical and mental health of middle-aged women. *Chinese J. Appl. Physiol.* 37, 36–38.
- Whiteford, H. A., Degenhardt, L., Rehm, J., Baxter, A. J., Ferrari, A. J., Erskine, H. E., et al. (2013). Global burden of disease attributable to mental and substance use disorders: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 382, 1575–1586. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(13)61611-6
- WHO (2001). The World Health Report 2001-Mental Health: New Understanding, New Hope. Geneva: WHO.
- Wu, V. X., Chi, Y., Lee, J. K., Goh, H. S., Chen, D. Y. M., Haugan, G., et al. (2021). The effect of dance interventions on cognition, neuroplasticity, physical function, depression, and quality of life for older adults with mild cognitive impairment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Nurs. Stud.* 122:104025. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2021.104025
- Wu, X., and Li, S. (2019). Study on the influence of square dance exercise on the subjective well-being of rural women. Sports World 4, 93–95.
- Xu, R., Wang, Y., Song, D., and Song, Q. (2020). The influence of fitness atmosphere on the emotion of elderly people's fitness experience after exercise. *Sport Sci. Technol.* 41, 44–46.
- Xu, Z., Song, D., and Zhang, Y. (2020). The effect of long-term square dance exercise on elderly women's cardiorespiratory endurance, body stability and eye-hand coordination. *Chinese J. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 42, 82–83.
- Yang, J., Feng, S., and Lu, H. (2021). The Influence of Square Dance on the Subjective Well-being of Rural Women in Hechuan District. Chongqing: Chengdu Sport University.
- Yang, J. Q., Feng, S., and Lu, H. Y. (2014). Curative effect of popular square dance on improving depression of middle-aged and old women. *Chinese J. Gerontol.* 20, 5824–5826.
- Yang, Y., Shu, J., Ye, Y., Zhou, S., Li, T., Gong, Y., et al. (2014). Influence of different sports time and intensity on university students' psychological health. *J. Sports Adult Educ.* 30, 37–39.
- Zeng, J., Yang, X., He, X., and Jiang, L. (2020). The influence of square dance on the mental health of middle-aged and elderly women. *J. Chengdu Med. Coll.* 15, 517–521. doi: 10.1186/1471-2318-12-23
- Zhang, X., Ni, X., and Chen, P. (2014). Study about the effects of different fitness sports on cognitive function and emotion of the aged. *Cell Biochem. Biophys.* 70, 1591–1596. doi: 10.1007/s12013-014-0100-8
- Zhou, B., and Li, J. (2014). Comparison of the influence of square dance and fitness walking on the mental state of middle-aged and elderly women. J. Nanjing Inst. Phys. 13, 145–146.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Bai, Li, Yang, Xie, Zhu, Xu and Bai. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.