Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jasna Auer Antoncic, University of Primorska, Slovenia

REVIEWED BY Alejandro Vega-Muñoz, Universidad Central de Chile, Chile Sheikh Farhan Ashraf, Jiangsu University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE

María Inmaculada López-Núñez mariai04@ucm.es

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Organizational Psychology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

RECEIVED 25 June 2022 ACCEPTED 27 September 2022 PUBLISHED 25 October 2022

CITATION

López-Núñez MI, Rubio-Valdehita S and Díaz-Ramiro EM (2022) The role of individual variables as antecedents of entrepreneurship processes: Emotional intelligence and self-efficacy. *Front. Psychol.* 13:978313. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.978313

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 López-Núñez, Rubio-Valdehita and Díaz-Ramiro. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The role of individual variables as antecedents of entrepreneurship processes: Emotional intelligence and self-efficacy

María Inmaculada López-Núñez*, Susana Rubio-Valdehita and Eva M. Díaz-Ramiro

Faculty of Psychology, Complutense University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Currently, entrepreneurship is a priority for economic, social, and technological growth. Therefore, the interest in understanding entrepreneurship processes has increased significantly. Individual variables play a fundamental role, and academic research has pointed out the influence of emotional intelligence in entrepreneurial processes; however, its relationship with other interpersonal processes and individual variables, such as personality and self-efficacy, has not been extensively studied. The aim of this research was to analyze the relationship among emotional intelligence, self-efficacy, and entrepreneurial intention, controlling for the effects of personality, gender, and age. Multiple hierarchical regression analyses were applied through a questionnaire survey of 1,593 college students to test the relationship between the constructs in the model. The results show that the personality traits are associated with entrepreneurial self-efficacy, emotional intelligence positively influences entrepreneurial intention, and self-efficacy mediates the relationship between emotional intelligence and entrepreneurial intention. Practical implications for training programs are examined, and future lines of research were discussed.

KEYWORDS

entrepreneurial intention, emotional intelligence, self-efficacy, personality, individual differences

Introduction

Entrepreneurship is one of the main goals to support society's progress and to improve citizens' employability, innovation, and economic growth. According to Leutner et al. (2014), entrepreneurship can be described as behaviors that create value by taking advantage of opportunities in an innovative and new way. Not only entrepreneurship is the creation of companies, but entrepreneurial behavior also implies discovering ideas and opportunities and carrying them out (Shane and Venkataraman, 2000).

The literature on entrepreneurship shows that it is a multidimensional behavior, a process rather than an isolated event, which results from planned activities, random events, individual variables, and social norms (Leyden and Link, 2015; Dimov, 2020). It is these

complex interactions that lead more and more academics to talk about entrepreneurship processes (Brixy et al., 2012; McMullen and Dimov, 2013).

The interest in fostering entrepreneurial intention to promote innovation, economic growth and combat unemployment has led to extensive efforts to identify potential entrepreneurs, develop training for entrepreneurship, and identify key aspects in entrepreneurial processes (Sánchez, 2013). University students are particularly important in the research on entrepreneurial intention (Krueger et al., 2000; Barba-Sánchez et al., 2022). Research shows that entrepreneurs and students with high entrepreneurial intention have a similar psychological profile, which is characterized by high scores on extraversion, conscientiousness, openness, emotional intelligence, self-confidence, and ambiguity tolerance and low scores on agreeableness and neuroticism. This profile can predict entrepreneurial intention with a significant level of accuracy (López-Núñez et al., 2020).

Since behavior is based on individual differences, it can be assumed that these differences influence entrepreneurial intentions and behavior, regardless of whether the person is an employee, a self-employed worker, or a student (Ahmetoglu et al., 2011).

Entrepreneurial intention is the strongest predictor of entrepreneurial behavior. So that exploring the mechanisms that underlie the effect of individual variables on entrepreneurial intention will contribute to better understanding of the entrepreneurship process. Several scholars have examined widely the association between personality traits and entrepreneurial intention (Fellnhofer, 2018; Hu et al., 2018). Other studies have focused on the effect of emotional intelligence (EI) on entrepreneurial intention (Ingram et al., 2019) although there are fewer studies that have analyzed the relationship between EI and individual differences in entrepreneurship. While other studies, based on social cognitive theory, have provided empirical evidence that entrepreneurial self-efficacy (ESE) is a key cognitive predictor of entrepreneurial intention (Hu and Ye, 2017). Despite that, few studies have explored the role of entrepreneurial self-efficacy related to EI and entrepreneurial intention (Mortan et al., 2014; Chien-Chi et al., 2020).

Identifying the individual factors that predict entrepreneurial intention has great theoretical and practical significance. On the one hand, it will provide theoretical explanation for the relationship among EI, ESE, and entrepreneurial intention for a better understanding of the individual variables as antecedents of entrepreneurship process (Dimov, 2020; Matricano, 2020). On the other hand, the results may help to identify potential entrepreneurs and may be used to design more effective training strategies to develop the skills and competencies that both novice and emerging entrepreneurs need to face the challenges of their new projects and achieve success. (Cope, 2011) provides suggestions for the long-term development of entrepreneurship education.

This study was designed from the revision of the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB; Ajzen, 2011), the social cognitive theory (SCT; Bandura, 2001), and the basic intention-based progress model (Krueger and Brazeal, 1994; Wu et al., 2018). According to TPB, entrepreneurial intention is influenced by personal attitudes (positive or negative evaluation about the intended behavior), subjective norms (the perceived social support to fulfill the intended behavior), and perceived behavioral control, which refers to an individual's perception of being able to perform the intended behavior. It is important to note that perceived behavioral control refers not only to believing that one has the necessary skills for the desired behavior, but also to the individual's perception of what can be done with those skills. TPB posits that the most important factor influencing behavior is intention.

SCT has revealed that entrepreneurial intention and success are greatly influenced by entrepreneurial self-efficacy (Buttner, 2001). Additionally, the literature shows the importance of selfefficacy and emotional intelligence (EI) on intended behavior (McLaughlin, 2019). However, research on EI and entrepreneurial self-efficacy (ESE) is scarce and does not offer clear conclusions in the context of entrepreneurship (Miao et al., 2017a,b; Ingram et al., 2019).

The basic intention-based progress model proposes that the emergence of the entrepreneurial intention process is highly sensitive to initial conditions. Individuals who adopt certain behavioral goals are influenced by external factors and planned behavioral attitudes. External factors include skills, knowledge, and personality traits, among others.

Based on the three aforementioned models, this study tries to deepen the knowledge about the influence of individual variables on entrepreneurial intention. Specifically, the goal of this study was to analyze the relationship among emotional intelligence (EI), entrepreneurial self-efficacy (ESE), and entrepreneurial intention, controlling the effects of individual variables (personality, gender, and age).

This paper is structured as follows: After this introduction, the section "Literature review and research hypotheses" outlines the hypothesized relationships between entrepreneurial intention, emotional intelligences, personality, and entrepreneurial self-efficacy; the "Material and methods" section describes Participants, Measures, Procedures, and Data analyses. "Results" section presents the results of the analyses carried out to examine the relationship between the variables studied, and finally, in the section "Discussion and implications" the limitations and future research, conclusion, and practical implications are presented.

Literature review and research hypotheses

Entrepreneurial intention

In order to understand the antecedents of individuals' behaviors, different models of entrepreneurial intention have been proposed and tested with samples of university students in the years previous to come into the labor market. Social-cognitive theory (Bandura, 1986) and the theory of planned behavior (Ajzen, 2011) are the most important in this context. Intention has been defined as the "indications of a person's readiness to perform a behavior." Ajzen (2011, p. 1122). In the entrepreneurial context, Bird describes entrepreneurial intention as "a process, state, or act of conscious willing in the present to make some experience become true, realized, manifested, or created in the future...Thus, intentions can be to do, to be or to have" (Bird, 2015, p.143). For this author, it is the state of mind that directs actions toward entrepreneurial behavior. Bird highlights the importance of psychological variables and the impact of people with higher entrepreneurial intention in the development of organizations. Several authors view entrepreneurial intention as the first step and the necessary precursor to entrepreneurial behavior (Kickul et al., 2009; Liñán and Chen, 2009; McLaughlin, 2019).

In psychology, the study of entrepreneurship has mainly focused on examining which individual variables are able to predict entrepreneurial intentions and determining which traits distinguish entrepreneurs from non-entrepreneurs. These studies explore factors affecting motivation to become an entrepreneur, including personal attributes, gender, age, and education (Baron, 2007) as well as the individual's attitude toward change, competition, monetary rewards, achievement, and autonomy (Delmar and Davidsson, 2000). Relationships between entrepreneurial intention and psychological variables like personality traits (Zhao et al., 2010; Obschonka and Stuetzer, 2017) entrepreneurial self-efficacy (McGee et al., 2009; Murugesan and Jayavelu, 2017), or emotional intelligence (Zampetakis et al., 2009; Ingram et al., 2019) had been also studied.

Personality traits

There is a substantial body of literature exploring what personality traits influence entrepreneurial intention, mostly under the Big Five personality model (Chao-Tung et al., 2015). In a systematic review on entrepreneurial intentions, Liñán and Fayolle (2015) found that nearly a half of the papers about individual variables and entrepreneurial intention focus on personality.

In the review by Omorede et al. (2015), 39% of the research was designed to study personality in this field, focusing on both general (Zhao et al., 2010; Brandstätter, 2011), and specific personality traits (Rauch and Frese, 2007a,b; Muñiz et al., 2014).

Research reveals that higher scores in extroversion, conscientiousness, and openness and lower in agreeableness and neuroticism are positively associated with entrepreneurial intention (Zhao et al., 2010; López-Núñez et al., 2020).

Extraversion describes a person who is active, is energetic, and enjoys participating in groups. Extraversion is a reliable predictor of good interpersonal relationships and constructive social interactions (Rothmann and Coetzer, 2003). The study by Lee and Tsang (2001) with novel entrepreneurs found that extraversion led to set up communication networks that facilitated their business progress. Openness to experience refers to a sense of curiosity, openmindedness, and acceptance of novel experiences (McCrae and Costa, 2003) and is considered an important factor in entrepreneurs, because it is involved in recognizing entrepreneurial opportunities (Zhao and Seibert, 2006; Antoncic et al., 2015). People higher in openness are someone being free to new ideas and ready and receptive to perceive an opportunity, essential to start an entrepreneurial process (Baron, 2007).

Conscientiousness is manifested in goal orientation (the quality of being hardworking and persistent), dependability (the quality of being responsible and careful), and orderliness (being organized and planned; Rothmann and Coetzer, 2003). Conscientious people tend to be efficient, careful, organized, and practical. Studies on entrepreneurship find that conscientiousness is positively related to the long-term survival of a business and to motivation to achieve goals (Singh and deNoble, 2003; Chao-Tung et al., 2015).

Despite the positive aspects of agreeableness, some authors have pointed out its dark side in relation to entrepreneurs (Antoncic et al., 2015). Since in the business environment, relationships can often be adversarial, altruistic behavior may not be a beneficial trait. In this sense, several studies have found that entrepreneurs are lower in agreeableness than non-entrepreneurs (Zhao and Seibert, 2006; Chao-Tung et al., 2015).

Studies suggest that entrepreneurship is positively related to low neuroticism and high emotional stability scores. High levels of anxiety and negative moods, such as anger, are likely to interfere with the ability to make good decisions. People with low emotional stability scores are less likely to deal with problems and stress through positive thinking and direct action. People with high levels of emotional stability carry themselves calmly and confidently and focus on the tasks at hand, even under stress (Zhao et al., 2010).

Although it seems clear that personality is an important antecedent of entrepreneurial intention and entrepreneurship, it is not enough to explain the role of individual variables in the entrepreneurial process. In fact, some authors have indicated that other variables, such as emotional intelligence, can also be significant in predicting entrepreneurial intention and behavior (Andrei et al., 2016; Miao et al., 2018).

The below additional hypotheses are postulated based on these arguments:

H1: Personality traits are associated with entrepreneurial self-efficacy.

H1a: Neuroticism and agreeableness are negatively related to entrepreneurial self-efficacy.

H1b: Extroversion, openness, and conscientiousness show a positive relationship with entrepreneurial self-efficacy.

Emotional intelligence

The literature on entrepreneurship also highlights the role that emotions play in recognizing opportunities (Foo, 2011; Wincent and Örtqvist, 2011). Emotional intelligence (EI) is the ability to recognize, understand, and handle the emotions (Mayer and Salovey, 1997).

Being an entrepreneur involves making decisions in uncertain and high-risk circumstances where emotions surface due to demands, time pressure, and stress. In addition, to achieve their goals, the entrepreneur is required to be able to properly regulate emotions in social interactions. Therefore, entrepreneurship is a highly emotional work context, which requires the regulation of emotions to display them appropriately to a variety of stakeholders. Research on EI is relevant in psychology, both in clinical and applied psychology (Petrides et al., 2016). In general, people with high EI show a higher stress tolerance and better use their emotional regulation skills. In addition, self-perceived emotions tend to have greater creativity and proactivity, which influences entrepreneurial behavior (Ingram et al., 2019). EI is related to successful decision-making and greater satisfaction with life (Bastian et al., 2005). People with higher EI scores are more imaginative, are proactive, and show more entrepreneurial intention than those with lower scores (Cross and Travaglione, 2003). People with high emotional intelligence show a higher stress tolerance and better use their emotional regulation skills.

Zampetakis et al. (2009) argue that EI affects entrepreneurial behavior in two ways: The first is through the self-evaluation of emotional efficacy (workers with high EI may show high tolerance to stress); and the second refers to the fact that individuals with high EI tend to have higher affectivity, related to proactivity and creativity, thus facilitating entrepreneurial behavior. They studied the relationship between entrepreneurial behavior and emotional intelligence and found that there is a direct effect of EI on entrepreneurial behavior.

In the work context, research has focused mainly on the role of EI in performance, engagement, job effectiveness, health, and job satisfaction (Miao et al., 2016, 2017a,b), and less attention has been given to its role as an antecedent of entrepreneurial intentions and its relationship with other individual variables, such as selfefficacy (McLaughlin, 2019). Entrepreneurial activity requires establishing interpersonal relationships, which involves building trust, establishing networks, and managing adversity. All this must be done in an environment of high uncertainty, which strengthens the role of emotion management.

The literature highlights the key role of emotional intelligence in entrepreneurial intention and its relationship with other individual variables in both student and entrepreneur samples (Ahmetoglu et al., 2011; Miao et al., 2018). In a study with college students, Mortan et al. (2014) found that emotional intelligence positively affects self-efficacy and that this mediates the relationship between emotional intelligence and entrepreneurial intention. In another study with a sample of 943 students enrolled in management courses, Ingram et al. (2019) demonstrated that interpersonal skills, which involve recognizing and managing emotions, have a positive effect on entrepreneurship.

Therefore, this study postulates the below hypothesis:

H2: Emotional intelligence dimensions are positively associated with entrepreneurial self-efficacy.

Self-efficacy

Self-efficacy is defined as "...belief in one's capabilities to mobilize the motivations, cognitive resources, and courses of action needed to meet given situational demands..." (Wood and Bandura, 1989, p. 364). This motivational construct has been applied to the field of entrepreneurship, giving rise to the concept of entrepreneurial self-efficacy (ESE).

In this context, ESE refers to the confidence that an individual has of his or her capacity to accomplish the entrepreneurial process (Chen et al., 1998). People with high ESE show confidence in their own abilities to achieve their goals in entrepreneurial areas, set challenging goals, show perseverance, and recover quickly from failure. ESE is a relevant antecedent of venture performance (Miao et al., 2017a,b).

Self-efficacy beliefs affect a person's expectations, goals, and decisions. It can be improved through experience, so learning plays an important role in its development (Bandura et al., 2001). People with high levels of self-efficacy make more effort to comply with their commitments and associate failure with internal factors, rather than external factors (Hechavarria et al., 2012).

Research focused on the development of ESE considers variables, such as experience, vicarious learning, and social persuasion using social cognitive theory (Bandura, 1977) as a model. Research shows a relationship between ESE and entrepreneurial intention (Barbosa et al., 2007; Kickul et al., 2009). With a sample of college students, Hu and Ye (2017) provided empirical evidence that ESE is a key cognitive predictor of entrepreneurial intention.

The knowledge base and capabilities that can be developed through experience or higher education programs are considered to have a positive effect on an individual's motivation and selfefficacy for entrepreneurship. Newman et al. (2019) showed that entrepreneurial intention is the most widely studied outcome of ESE. In the field of higher education, the positive relationship between ESE and entrepreneurial intention has also been demonstrated (Piperopoulos and Dimov, 2015; Hu and Ye, 2017). These results can be used to help ensure that entrepreneurial education is more effective in educational programs, professional training, and vocational guidance.

The results on the relationship of the ESE with entrepreneurship have increased interest in knowing its mediating influence on entrepreneurial intention. Kumar and Shukla (2019) explored the role of ESE as mediating the effect of proactivity and creativity on entrepreneurial intention in a sample of 484 management students. They found that ESE was the strongest predictor of entrepreneurial intention. In another study, Prabhu et al. (2012) analyzed the role of ESE in mediating the influence of personality on entrepreneurship and found that ESE had a robust effect on the correlation between personality and entrepreneurship.

ESE has been emphasized as a key antecedent of entrepreneurial intentions. Individuals are more commonly inclined to choose situations in which they anticipate more personal control and to avoid situations in which they anticipate less personal control. Entrepreneurial self-efficacy progresses over time and is influenced by internal and external factors, such as education, economic context, and psychological variables (Miao et al., 2017a,b).

Despite the research that demonstrates the important role played by EI and ESS as antecedents of entrepreneurial intention (McLaughlin, 2019), few studies have addressed the relationship between both variables in the entrepreneurial process, and these have focused on vocational college students (Newman et al., 2019; Wen et al., 2020).

The following hypotheses are proposed to examine the possible effect of ESS:

H3: Entrepreneurial self-efficacy is positively associated with entrepreneurial intention.

H4: Entrepreneurial self-efficacy mediates the relationship between emotional intelligence and entrepreneurial intention.

Materials and methods

Participants

A non-experimental, cross-sectional design was used in this research. Non-probabilistic sampling was used. The participants were 1,593 college students, aged between 17 and 69 (M=21.0, SD=3.80). Data were provided from several disciplines, such as humanities (4.7%), social sciences (40.1%), experimental sciences (6.0%), and health sciences (49.2%). Women made up a majority (68.2%) of the sample. Most participants (78.0%) were studying, and 22.0% were both studying and working.

Measures

Entrepreneurial intention

It was evaluated through a Likert-type scale with six items (Liñán and Chen, 2009) that assess behavioral intention in one factor. The items ask about the degree of agreement in a range of seven points. The items on this scale are like "My professional goal is to become an entrepreneur" or "I am determined to create a

firm in the future." The higher the score on the scale, the higher the level of entrepreneurial intention. The reliability (internal consistency) of this scale with our sample was high (Cronbach's alpha = 0.93).

Entrepreneurial self-efficacy

The Perceived Behavioral Control Scale by Liñán and Chen (2009) was used to assess ESE. It includes six items that ask for the degree of agreement in a seven-point Likert scale. Items are like "To start a firm and keep it working would be easy for me" or "If I tried to start a firm, I would have a high probability of succeeding." In this study, Cronbach's alpha was 0.90.

Emotional intelligence

It was evaluated with the Spanish Modified Version of the Trait Meta-Mood (TMMS-24; Fernández-Berrocal et al., 2004). This instrument has 24 items which assess three emotional intelligence dimensions: emotional attention, emotional clarity, and emotional repair. Cronbach's alpha was 0.89 for emotional attention, 0.87 for emotional clarity, and 0.85 for emotional repair.

Control variables

According to revised research, factors such as gender and age have an impact on entrepreneurial intention (Zisser et al., 2019; Pandang et al., 2022). The gender was assessed as male and female. The first options were coded as "0," and the second options were coded as "1."

Personality

The Spanish version (Cordero et al., 1999) of the NEO-Five Factor Inventory (NEO-FFI; Cordero et al., 1999) was used. This instrument consists of 60 items that evaluate five factors: neuroticism (N), extraversion (E), openness to experience (O), agreeableness (A), and conscientiousness(C). Adequate reliability was obtained with our participants: Cronbach's alpha (N) = 0.83; Cronbach's alpha (E) = 0.85; Cronbach's alpha (O) = 0.82; Cronbach's alpha (A) = 0.71; Cronbach's alpha (C) = 0.80.

Procedure

The participants answered the questionnaires in the paperand-pencil format in a single session of about 45 min. At the beginning of the session, the researchers explained the instructions and the guarantees regarding anonymity and confidentiality of the data. All participants signed a "consent to participate." The research was approved by the Ethics Commission of the Faculty of Psychology of the Complutense University of Madrid.

10.3389/fpsyg.2022.978313

Data analyses

We use SPSS 25.0 for all statistical analyses. First, the mean, standard deviation, and correlations for all the variables included in the study were calculated.

To examine whether EI dimensions would explain the incremental variation in ESE that mediates the intention to become an entrepreneur, beyond the level attributable to personality traits and demographic variables, we performed two multiple hierarchical regression analyses. The indirect mediation role of ESE was analyzed using the procedure for testing multiple mediations described by Mac Kinnon (2008), which consists of estimating two separate regression equations. The basic strategy consists of a three-step hierarchical regression: Demographic variables are entered as covariates in the first step, the Big Five personality factors are added in the second step to control for any possible influence of this measure on ESE, and the three dimensions of the EI are entered in the last step. A similar procedure is also repeated for the second four-step multiple regression analysis, adding ESE as a mediator in the final step. Hierarchical regression is a subset of regression methods that attempt to generate theory-driven evidence for a given effect. In hierarchical regression, predictor variables are entered into the model in pre-determined iterations to see how the change in R² is affected. The hierarchical regression analysis occurs in iterations. The first iteration will be with the most highly correlated variable to the outcome, and then subsequently add in other variables that have some association on the outcome. If the entry of a variable leads to a significant increase in R² as per the F-statistic, then evidence of its predictive ability can be noted, as R² shows what proportion of the variation in the dependent variable is accounted for by the model. This same analysis procedure has been applied in many studies within the field of psychology, and specifically around entrepreneurial intention, an example is found in Mortan et al. (2014).

Results

Preliminary analyses

Table 1 shows the correlation coefficient matrix and descriptive statistics. The internal reliabilities of each measure (Cronbach's alphas) are in brackets.

Hierarchical regression analysis

Table 2 shows the results of the hierarchical regression analysis to predict ESE. The results show that the variables explain 15.3% of the total variance in the model ($R^2 = 0.15$, p < 0.01). Age and gender explain 3.9%, personality traits

explain 8.7%, and emotional intelligence dimensions account for 2.7%. The three types of variables studied in the hierarchical model, demographic (gender and age), personality traits, and emotional intelligence, are related to ESE. Results indicate that gender shows a negative relation with ESE ($\beta = -0.149$, p < 0.001) suggesting that women have a lower ESE than men. Age shows a positive relation with ESE ($\beta = 0.114$, p < 0.001).

The hypotheses 1, 1a, and 1b have been partially confirmed, since all personality traits, except openness, show a relationship with entrepreneurial self-efficacy. In spite of this, the relationships between the dimensions of personality and ESE fulfill the hypotheses proposed. While extraversion (β =0.211, p<0.001) and conscientiousness (β =0.101, p<0.001) show a positive relation, neuroticism (β =-0.112, p<0.001) and agreeableness (β =-0.094, p<0.001) show a negative relation with entrepreneurial self-efficacy.

Hypothesis 2 was also confirmed by obtaining a significant positive relationship between EI and ESE: emotional attention (β =0.076, p<0.01), emotional clarity (β =0.056, p<0.05), and emotional repair (β =0.139, p<0.001).

Finally, a second multiple regression analysis was performed with four steps to which ESE was added (Table 3). Age and gender account for 1.5% of the variance. Personality traits explain 5.5%, and emotional intelligence dimensions explain 1.6%. ESE shows a positive relationship with entrepreneurial intention (β =0.585, p<0.001). Overall results shown that this model accounts for 37.4% of the total variance, so the hypotheses three and four were confirmed.

In summary, the results revealed a positive and significant relationship between ESE and the intention to become an entrepreneur impacted by the role of EI. Figure 1 represents the relations between ESE, EI and entrepreneurial intention, in the final model obtained controlling the effects of gender, age, and personality.



Discussion and implications

Discussion

The main objective of this paper was to analyze the relationship among EI, ESE, and entrepreneurial intention, controlling for the

	Μ	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1. Emotional	28.22	6.42	(0.89)									
attention												
2. Emotional clarity	27.36	5.84	0.23**	(0.87)								
3. Emotional repair	27.71	6.13	0.11**	0.39**	(0.85)							
4. Entrepreneurial	3.72	1.48	0.03	0.13**	0.20**	(0.93)						
intention												
5. Entrepreneurial	3.16	1.30	0.04	0.21**	0.27**	0.60**	(0.90)					
self-efficacy												
6. Neuroticism	22.77	8.09	0.30**	-0.31**	-0.43**	-0.14^{**}	-0.23**	(0.83)				
7. Extraversion	30.87	7.79	0.11**	0.24**	0.42**	0.19**	0.23**	-0.35**	(0.85)			
8. Openness	27.97	7.02	0.31**	0.12**	0.19**	-0.05	0.01	0.09**	0.16**	(0.82)		
9. Agreeableness	28.87	6.40	0.18**	0.12**	0.30**	0.02	-0.02	-0.17^{**}	0.30**	0.19**	(0.71)	
10.	30.57	7.22	0.06*	0.20**	0.22**	0.11**	0.14**	-0.24^{**}	0.16**	0.05	0.13**	(0.80)
Conscientiousness												

TABLE 1 Means, standard deviations (SD), correlations, and reliabilities (on the diagonal in brackets).

p* < 0.05 and *p* < 0.01.

TABLE 2 Results of hierarchical regression analysis to predict entrepreneurial self-efficacy based on age, gender, personality, and emotional intelligence.

Variables	β	R^2	ΔR^2	ΔF	Sig.
Step 1		0.039	0.039	32.14	0.000
Age	0.114***				
Gender (0 = men;	-0.149***				
1 = women)					
Step 2		0.126	0.087	31.66	0.000
Neuroticism	-0.112***				
Extraversion	0.211***				
Openness	0.011				
Agreeableness	-0.094***				
Conscientiousness	0.101***				
Step 3		0.153	0.027	16.92	0.000
Emotional attention	0.076**				
Emotional clarity	0.056*				
Emotional repair	0.139***				

β are the standardized regression coefficients.

p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

effects of the individual variables: personality and demographic variables (age and gender). The results confirmed all the proposed hypotheses: The personality traits are associated with entrepreneurial self-efficacy, emotional intelligence (EI) has a positive influence on entrepreneurial intention, and ESE mediates this relationship. This is inconsistent with some previous studies (Ferreira et al., 2022; Fu et al., 2022). All the variables studied—control variables (gender, age and personality traits) and emotional intelligence—are related to entrepreneurial self-efficacy.

Demographic variables

About gender, some theories suggest that men are expected to undertake more ventures than women (Bar Nir

07

TABLE 3 Results of hierarchical regression analysis for age, gender, personality, and emotional intelligence predicting entrepreneurial intention mediated by ESE.

Variables	β	\mathbb{R}^2	ΔR^2	ΔF	Sig.
Step 1		0.015	0.015	12.08	0.000
Age	0.100***				
Gender (0 = men;	-0.060*				
1 = women)					
Step 2		0.070	0.055	18.65	0.000
Neuroticism	-0.027				
Extraversion	0.202***				
Openness	-0.067**				
Agreeableness	-0.035				
Conscientiousness	0.078**				
Step 3		0.086	0.016	9.37	0.000
Emotional attention	0.052				
Emotional clarity	0.019				
Emotional repair	0.128***				
Step 4		0.376	0.290	734.47	0.000
Entrepreneurial	0.585***				
self-efficacy					

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ are the standardized regression coefficients.

p < 0.05; p < 0.01; p < 0.001; p < 0.001.

et al., 2011). In this sense, studies explored the impact of gender on motivations to become entrepreneurs from the point of view of self-efficacy. Our results agree with those found by other authors (Díaz-García and Jiménez-Moreno, 2010; Dempsey and Jennings, 2014). In general, women have a lower level of ESE than men. Zampetakis et al. (2017) found that women tend to show a lack of confidence in their ability to engage in entrepreneurial behaviors. Although many studies find these same results, including studies by the Global Entrepreneurship Monitor (GEM; Wennberg et al., 2013), others suggest that the difference between men and women is not significant (Coleman and Kariv, 2014). Zhao et al. (2005) analyzed graduate students and found no significant role of gender in entrepreneurial selfefficacy.

In general, research shows that women showed less entrepreneurial intention than men (Strawser et al., 2021; Serrano-Pascual and Carretero-García, 2022). Some authors postulate that the differences in the results on the effect of gender on entrepreneurial self-efficacy may be influenced by personal attitudes toward entrepreneurship (Leroy et al., 2009; Baluku et al., 2020) or by gender role stereotypes (Sweida and Woods, 2015). Zisser et al. (2019), found that women and men varied in personality dimensions related to self-esteem, energy, risk attraction, and ambition; however, when women and men with high levels of EI are compared, they showed similar personality dispositions. Other research indicates that differences in ESE are associated with areas of specialization traditionally considered as consistent with gender stereotypes (Pandang et al., 2022). Regarding age, the older the participant, the greater their perception of entrepreneurial self-efficacy.

Personality

The first conclusion is that openness turned out to be unrelated to ESE. Extroversion and conscientiousness had a positive association with ESE, while the relation between ESE and neuroticism and agreeableness was negative (the higher the score in these latter traits, the lesser the entrepreneurial self-efficacy). These results are partially maintained in the second analysis, which evaluated predictors of entrepreneurial intention mediated by self-efficacy. In this case, extroversion and conscientiousness continued to positively predict entrepreneurial intention. Openness was negatively correlated with entrepreneurial intention, which indicated that the greater one's openness, the lower their entrepreneurial intention; finally, agreeableness and neuroticism were not significant predictors of entrepreneurial intention.

In general, these results agree with those found in the literature, in which extroversion and conscientiousness were associated with entrepreneurial intention, while agreeableness and neuroticism were negatively associated (Zhao et al., 2010; Brandstätter, 2011; Antoncic et al., 2015). The negative relationship between openness and entrepreneurship is striking, given that prior studies found high correlations between the two (Zhao et al., 2010; Antoncic et al., 2015). However, our results agree with those found by Mei et al. (2017). Future research should consider that there are multiple configurations of Big Five personality traits that vary by business form, environment, and type of entrepreneur (Şahin et al., 2019; Salmony and Kanbach, 2021).

Emotional intelligence

All dimensions of EI had a significant, positive correlation with ESE and entrepreneurial intention, especially emotional repair. These results match with those found by other authors (McLaughlin, 2019; Wen et al., 2020). As indicated above, there are few previous studies that have examined the influence of EI on entrepreneurial intention mediated by ESE. Our work expands knowledge of this relationship and found similar results to the obtained by other researchers regarding the moderating role of ESE in entrepreneurial intention (Newman et al., 2019; Huezo-Ponce et al., 2021; Wu and Tian, 2022).

The finding of our study suggests that people with higher scores in EI also have greater ESE. Managing and regulating one's own emotions and the emotions of others is an essential skill for the entrepreneurial process (Sadri et al., 2011; Ramoglou and Tsang, 2016). In a context where decision-making is recurrent, characterized by ambiguity and uncertainty, to have the ability to control and manage one's emotions, together with a high perception of self-confidence and self-efficacy, it will allow people to recognize opportunities, manage interpersonal relationships more efficiently, and have a higher tolerance for risk and uncertainty (Hirsh et al., 2012; Davidsson, 2015).

Theoretical implications

First, this study investigated the effects of individual variables on entrepreneurial self-efficacy related to EI and entrepreneurial intention. The results confirm previous studies (Mortan et al., 2014; Chien-Chi et al., 2020) and extend other findings on the role of entrepreneurial self-efficacy in the relationship between emotional intelligence and entrepreneurial intention using sociodemographic variables and personality traits as control variables which have not been sufficiently studied so far.

Second, the results on the relationship between personality traits and entrepreneurial intention stand out. In general, the results confirm previous studies but there are some contradictory findings. We found that openness was not directly related to entrepreneurial intention (Mei et al., 2017) contradicting the findings of others research (Kerr et al., 2018). The reason could be that the participants with high openness scores were university students who have a wide range of interests during this period, which limits their entrepreneurial possibilities.

These findings contribute to research on the influence of personality traits on entrepreneurial intention and broaden the discussion on the role of openness in entrepreneurial intention in university students.

Practical implications

Our results may have practical implications for the design of training strategies aimed at fostering entrepreneurial initiative.

Most entrepreneurship education, both to encourage entrepreneurial initiative as well as training designed for young entrepreneurs, is focused on technical planning and management knowledge, overlooking individual skills such as those highlighted in this study. The main conclusion that we obtain from this study is that the intention to start a business depends to a great extent on ESE; therefore, every entrepreneurship training and promotion program must include activities aimed at increasing ESE. The results also show that ESE is associated with EI, and especially with the Emotional Repair dimension. In stressful situations, very frequent during the entrepreneurial process, we can think of emotional attention, clarity, and repair as steps that we have to follow. First, we need to pay attention to what we are feeling, second, we need clarity about the emotion, and third, we need a strategy to repair the emotion, but entrepreneurial training should focus on the last: finding an effective strategy for repair and to better control and manage their own emotions. In addition, training programs need to implement a gender-sensitive approach, since women seem to have less ESE and therefore less entrepreneurial intention than men. One way could be including activities that facilitate the exploration of women's motivations and aspirations, identification and understanding of emotions, as well as self-regulation of emotions, since women tend to suffer more stress, often due to difficulties in reconciling work and personal life, which makes it difficult for them to succeed in their business actions.

We believe that our results can be especially valuable for educational institutions that wish to provide education for entrepreneurship, as well as organizations that want to develop internal talent through intrapreneurship actions.

Limitations and future research

The present work shows evidence for the relationship between EI and entrepreneurial intention and the mediating role of ESE. However, it has some limitations which should be considered in future research. First, a longitudinal study would be appropriate to investigate whether the intention translates into action. Second, although the sample is made up of students from all fields of knowledge, it would be ideal to expand the sample from the humanities and experimental sciences fields, and to analyze differences between the groups. Traditionally, research on entrepreneurial intention has been performed in the academic fields of business and enterprise, but it would be good to broaden the scope to all other academic areas. Third, this study was limited to a specific geographical area, and it would be interesting to carry out similar studies in other countries and different cultures. Few previous studies were found which address the relationship among EI, ESE, and entrepreneurial intention, so new studies should be conducted to provide more evidence about the relationship between these variables.

Finally, we must point out that, except for the association between ESE and entrepreneurial intention, some of the

relationships found cannot be considered high (Tables 2, 3), although they are statistically significant. In this sense, it is evident that factors other than those considered here also influence the entrepreneurial intention and the ESE (socioeconomic level, social context, market, etc.).

Entrepreneurship is closely related to social and economic factors, and family is one of the main factors influencing university students to start their own entrepreneurship project (Antoncic et al., 2021). Therefore, it would be very interesting to include these additional variables in future research to examine their effect on entrepreneurial behavior as marketing self-efficacy (Antoncic et al., 2016).

Conclusion

This research focused on the study of one part of the entrepreneurial process, specifically, on analyzing individual variables that are antecedents of entrepreneurial intention and their relationships.

The results reveal that the classic profile of the Big Five associated with entrepreneurial behavior, characterized by high scores in extroversion and conscientiousness and low scores in neuroticism and agreeableness, shows high self-efficacy and entrepreneurial intention. Given the level of complexity and uncertainty that the entrepreneurial process implies, there is increasing evidence about the importance of emotions in understanding entrepreneurial behavior. People with high emotional intelligence show more capacity to identify and handle emotions and they show higher levels of self-efficacy and confidence to take on these challenges.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Deontology Commission of the Faculty of Psychology of the Complutense University of Madrid, obtaining a favorable report on October 2020 (Ref. 2020/21–005). The patients/ participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

MIL-N, SR-V, and ED-R contributed to the conception and design of the study and revised the final version of the manuscript. MIL-N organized the database and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. SR-V performed the statistical analysis. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

We want to thank all the students who participated in the study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

Ahmetoglu, G., Leutner, F., and Chamorro-Premuzic, T. (2011). EQ-nomics: understanding the relationship between individual differences in trait emotional intelligence and entrepreneurship. *Personal. Individ. Differ.* 51, 1028–1033. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2011.08.016

Ajzen, I. (2011). The theory of planned behaviour: reactions and reflections. *Psychol. Health* 26, 1113–1127. doi: 10.1080/08870446.2011.613995

Andrei, F., Siegling, A. B., Aloe, A. M., Baldaro, B., and Petrides, K. V. (2016). The incremental validity of the trait emotional intelligence questionnaire (TEIQue): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Pers. Assess.* 98, 261–276. doi: 10.1080/00223891.2015.1084630

Antoncic, B., Antoncic, J. A., and Aaltonen, H. M. (2016). Marketing self-efficacy and firm creation. J. Small Bus. Enterp. Dev. 23, 90–104. doi: 10.1108/JSBED-07-2015-0093

Antoncic, B., Kregar, T., Singh, G., and DeNoble, A. (2015). The big five personality-entrepreneurship relationship: evidence from Slovenia. *J. Small Bus. Manag.* 53, 819–841. doi: 10.1111/jsbm.12089

Antoncic, J. A., Veselinovic, D., Antoncic, B., Grbec, D. L., and Li, Z. (2021). Financial self-efficacy in family business environments. *J. Enterprising Cult.* 29, 207–219. doi: 10.1142/S021849582150014X

Baluku, M. M., Matagi, L., and Otto, K. (2020). Exploring the link between mentoring and intangible outcomes of entrepreneurship: the mediating role of self-efficacy and moderating effects of gender. *Front. Psychol.* 11:1556. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01556

Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychol. Rev.* 84, 191–215. doi: 10.1037/0033-295X.84.2.191

Bandura, A. (1986). The explanatory and predictive scope of self-efficacy theory. J. Soc. Clin. Psychol. 4, 359–373. doi: 10.1521/jscp.1986.4.3.359

Bandura, A. (2001). Social cognitive theory: an agentic perspective. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* 52, 1–26. doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.1

Bandura, A., Barbaranelli, C., Caprara, G., and Pastorelli, C. (2001). Self-efficacy beliefs as shapers of Children's aspirations and career trajectories. *Child Dev.* 72, 187–206. doi: 10.1111/1467-8624.00273

Bar Nir, A., Watson, W. E., and Hutchins, H. M. (2011). Mediation and moderated mediation in the relationship among role models, self-efficacy, entrepreneurial career intention, and gender. *J. Appl. Soc. Psychol.* 41, 270–297. doi: 10.1111/j.1559-1816.2010.00713.x

Barba-Sánchez, V., Mitre-Aranda, M., and Brío-González, J. (2022). The entrepreneurial intention of university students: an environmental perspective. *Eur. Res. Manag. Bus. Econ.* 28:100184. doi: 10.1016/j.iedeen.2021.100184

Barbosa, S. D., Gerhardt, M. W., and Kickul, J. R. (2007). The role of cognitive style and risk preference on entrepreneurial self-efficacy and entrepreneurial intentions. *J. Leadersh. Organ. Stud.* 13, 86–104. doi: 10.1177/10717919070130041001

Baron, R. A. (2007). Behavioral and cognitive factors in entrepreneurship: entrepreneurs as the active element in new venture creation. *Strateg. Entrep. J.* 1, 167–182. doi: 10.1002/sej.12

Bastian, V. A., Burns, N. R., and Nettelbeck, T. (2005). Emotional intelligence predicts life skills, but not as well as personality and cognitive abilities. *Personal. Individ. Differ* 39, 1135–1145. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2005.04.006

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2022. 978313/full#supplementary-material

Bird, B. (2015). Entrepreneurial intentions research: a review and outlook. Int. Rev. Entrepreneursh. 13, 143–168.

Brandstätter, H. (2011). Personality aspects of entrepreneurship: a look at five meta-analyses. *Personal. Individ. Differ.* 51, 222–230. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2010.07.007

Brixy, U., Sternberg, R., and Stüber, H. (2012). The selectiveness of the entrepreneurial process. *J. Small Bus. Manag.* 50, 105–131. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-627X.2011.00346.x

Buttner, E. H. (2001). Examining female entrepreneurs' management style: an application of a relational frame. J. Bus. Ethics 29, 253–269. doi: 10.1023/A:1026460615436

Chao-Tung, L., Tsorng-Lin, C., and Chaoyun, L. (2015). Effect of personality differences in shaping entrepreneurial intention. *Int. J. Bus. Soc. Sci.* 4, 166–176.

Chen, C. C., Greene, P. G., and Crick, A. (1998). Does entrepreneurial self-efficacy distinguish entrepreneurs from managers? J. Bus. Ventur. 13, 295–316. doi: 10.1016/S0883-9026(97)00029-3

Chien-Chi, C., Sun, B., Yang, H., Zheng, M., and Li, B. (2020). Emotional competence, entrepreneurial self-efficacy, and entrepreneurial intention: a study based on China college students' social entrepreneurship project. *Front. Psychol.* 11:547627. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.547627

Coleman, S., and Kariv, D. (2014). 'Deconstructing' entrepreneurial self-efficacy: a gendered perspective on the impact of ESE and community entrepreneurial culture on the financial strategies and performance of new firms. *Vent. Capital Int. J. Entrepreneur. Fin.* 16, 157–181. doi: 10.1080/13691066.2013.863063

Cope, J. (2011). Entrepreneurial learning from failure: an interpretative phenomenological analysis. *J. Bus. Ventur.* 26, 604–623. doi: 10.1016/j. jbusvent.2010.06.002

Cordero, A., Pamos, A., and Seisdedos, N. (1999). Inventario de Personalidad NEO Revisado (NEO PI-R). Inventario NEO Reducido de Cinco Factores (NEO-FFI). Madrid: TEA.

Cross, B., and Travaglione, A. (2003). The untold story: is the entrepreneur of the 21st century defined by emotional intelligence? *Int. J. Organ. Analy.* 11, 221–228. doi: 10.1108/eb028973

Davidsson, P. (2015). Entrepreneurial opportunities and the entrepreneurship nexus: a re-conceptualization. *J. Bus. Ventur.* 30, 674–695. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusvent.2015.01.002

Delmar, F., and Davidsson, P. (2000). Where do they come from? Prevalence and characteristics of nascent entrepreneurs. *Entrep. Reg. Dev.* 12, 1–23. doi: 10.1080/089856200283063

Dempsey, D., and Jennings, J. E. (2014). Gender and entrepreneurial self-efficacy: a learning perspective. *Int. J. Gend. Entrep.* 6, 28–49. doi: 10.1108/jige-02-2013-0013

Díaz-García, M. C., and Jiménez-Moreno, J. (2010). Entrepreneurial intention: the role of gender. Int. Entrep. Manag. J. 6, 261–283. doi: 10.1007/s11365-008-0103-2

Dimov, D. (2020). The entrepreneurial scholar. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing.

Fellnhofer, K. (2018). Game-based entrepreneurship education: impact on attitudes, behaviours and intentions. *World Rev. Entrep. Manage. Sustainable Dev.* 14, 205–228. doi: 10.1504/WREMSD.2018.089066

Fernández-Berrocal, P., Extremera, N., and Ramos, N. (2004). Validity and reliability of the Spanish modified version of the trait meta-mood scale. *Psychol. Rep.* 94, 751–755. doi: 10.2466/pr0.94.3.751-755

Ferreira, B., Morais, D. B., Jakes, S., Brothers, G., and Brookins, C. (2022). Selfefficacy mechanism in farm tourism microentrepreneurship. *Front. Psychol.* 13:875096. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.875096

Foo, M. D. (2011). Emotions and entrepreneurial opportunity evaluation. *Enterp. Theory Pract.* 35, 375–393. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6520.2009.00357.x

Fu, X., Yan, T., Tian, Y., Niu, X., Xu, X., Wei, Y., et al. (2022). Exploring factors influencing students' entrepreneurial intention in vocational colleges based on structural equation modeling: evidence from China. *Front. Psychol.* 13:898319. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.898319

Hechavarria, D. M., Renko, M., and Matthews, C. H. (2012). The nascent entrepreneurship hub: goals, entrepreneurial self-efficacy and start-up outcomes. *Small Bus. Econ.* 39, 685–701. doi: 10.1007/s11187-011-9355-2

Hirsh, J. B., Mar, R. A., and Peterson, J. B. (2012). Psychological entropy: a framework for understanding uncertainty-related anxiety. *Psychol. Rev.* 119, 304–320. doi: 10.1037/a0026767

Hu, R., Wang, L., Zhang, W., and Bin, P. (2018). Creativity, proactive personality, and entrepreneurial intention: the role of entrepreneurial alertness. *Front. Psychol.* 9:951. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00951

Hu, R., and Ye, Y. (2017). Do entrepreneurial alertness and self-efficacy predict Chinese sports major students' entrepreneurial intention? *Soc. Behav. Personal. Int. J.* 45, 1187–1196. doi: 10.2224/sbp.6356

Huezo-Ponce, L., Fernández-Pérez, V., and Rodríguez-Ariza, L. (2021). Emotional competencies and entrepreneurship: modeling universities. *Int. Entrep. Manag. J.* 17, 1497–1519. doi: 10.1007/s11365-020-00683-w

Ingram, A., Peake, W. O., Stewart, W., and Watson, W. (2019). Emotional intelligence and venture performance. *J. Small Bus. Manag.* 57, 780–800. doi: 10.1111/jsbm.12333

Kerr, S. P., Kerr, W. R., and Xu, T. (2018). Personality traits of entrepreneurs: a review of recent literature. *Found. Trends Entrep.* 14, 279–356. doi: 10.1561/0300000080

Kickul, J., Gundry, L. K., Barbosa, S. D., and Whitcanack, L. (2009). Intuition versus analysis? Testing differential models of cognitive style on entrepreneurial self-efficacy and the new venture creation process. *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 33, 439–453. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6520. 2009.00298.x

Krueger, N. F. Jr., and Brazeal, D. V. (1994). Entrepreneurial potential and potential entrepreneurs. *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 18, 91–104. doi: 10.1177/104225879401800307

Krueger, N. F. Jr., Reilly, M. D., and Carsrud, A. L. (2000). Competing models of entrepreneurial intentions. *J. Bus. Ventur.* 15, 411–432. doi: 10.1016/S0883-9026(98)00033-0

Kumar, R., and Shukla, S. (2019). Creativity, proactive personality and entrepreneurial intentions: examining the mediating role of entrepreneurial self-efficacy. *Glob. Bus. Rev.* 23:0972150919844395, 101–118. doi: 10.1177/0972150919844395

Lee, D., and Tsang, E. (2001). The effects of entrepreneurial personality, background and network activities on venture growth. *J. Manag. Stud.* 38, 583–602. doi: 10.1111/1467-6486.00250

Leroy, H., Maes, J., Sels, L., Debrulle, J., and Meuleman, M. (2009). *Gender effects on entrepreneurial intentions: A TPB multi-group analysis at factor and indicator level*: Paper presented at the Academy of Management Annual Meeting, Chicago (USA).

Leutner, F., Ahmetoglu, G., Akhtar, R., and Chamorro-Premuzic, T. (2014). The relationship between the entrepreneurial personality and the big five personality traits. *Personal. Individ. Differ.* 63, 58–63. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2014.01.042

Leyden, D. P., and Link, A. N. (2015). Public sector entrepreneurship: US technology and innovation policy. Oxford University Press: USA.

Liñán, F., and Chen, Y. W. (2009). Development and Cross-cultural application of a specific instrument to measure entrepreneurial intentions. *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 33, 593–617. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6520.2009.00318.x

Liñán, F., and Fayolle, A. (2015). A systematic literature review on entrepreneurial intentions: citation, thematic analyses, and research agenda. *Int. Entrep. Manag. J.* 11, 907–933. doi: 10.1007/s11365-015-0356-5

López-Núñez, M. I., Rubio-Valdehita, S., Aparicio-García, M. E., and Díaz-Ramiro, E. M. (2020). Are entrepreneurs born or made? The influence of personality. *Personal. Individ. Differ.* 154:109699. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2019.109699

Mac Kinnon, D. P. (2008). Introduction to statistical mediation analysis. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Matricano, D. (2020). Entrepreneurship trajectories: entrepreneurial opportunities, business models, and firm performance. *Acad. Press.* 11, 907–933. doi: 10.1007/s11365-015-0356-5

Mayer, J. D., and Salovey, P. (1997). What is emotional intelligence. *Emot. Dev. Emot. Intelligence.* 3:31

McCrae, R. R., and Costa, P. T. (2003). Personality in adulthood: A five-factor theory perspective. New York: Guilford Press.

McGee, J. E., Peterson, M., Mueller, S. L., and Sequeira, J. M. (2009). Entrepreneurial self-efficacy: refining the measure. *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 33, 965–988. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6520.2009.00304.x

McLaughlin, E. (2019). The role of emotional intelligence and self-efficacy in developing entrepreneurial career intentions. J. High. Educ. Theory Pract. 19, 71–88. doi: 10.33423/jhetp.v19i7.2533

McMullen, J. S., and Dimov, D. (2013). Time and the entrepreneurial journey: the problems and promise of studying entrepreneurship as a process. *J. Manag. Stud.* 50, 1481–1512. doi: 10.1111/joms.12049

Mei, H., Ma, Z., Jiao, S., Chen, X., Lv, X., and Zhan, Z. (2017). The sustainable personality in entrepreneurship: the relationship between big six personality, entrepreneurial self-efficacy, and entrepreneurial intention in the Chinese context. *Sustainability* 9:1649. doi: 10.3390/su9091649

Miao, C., Humphrey, R. H., and Qian, S. (2016). A meta-analysis of emotional intelligence and work attitudes. *J. Occup. Organ. Psychol.* 90, 177–202. doi: 10.1111/joop.12167

Miao, C., Humphrey, R. H., and Qian, S. (2017b). Are the emotionally intelligent good citizens or counterproductive? A meta-analysis of emotional intelligence and its relationships with organizational citizenship behavior and counterproductive work behavior. *Personal. Individ. Differ.* 116, 144–156. doi: 10.1016/j.paid. 2017.04.015

Miao, C., Humphrey, R. H., Qian, S., and Pollack, J. M. (2018). Emotional intelligence and entrepreneurial intentions: an exploratory meta-analysis. *Career Dev. Int.* 23, 497–512. doi: 10.1108/CDI-01-2018-0019

Miao, C., Qian, S., and Ma, D. (2017a). The relationship between entrepreneurial self-efficacy and firm performance: a meta-analysis of main and moderator effects. *J. Small Bus. Manag.* 55, 87–107. doi: 10.1111/jsbm.12240

Mortan, R. A., Ripoll, P., Carvalho, C., and Bernal, M. C. (2014). Effects of emotional intelligence on entrepreneurial intention and self-efficacy. J. Work Organ. Psychol. 30, 97–104. doi: 10.1016/j.rpto.2014.11.004

Muñiz, J., Suárez-Álvarez, J., Pedrosa, I., Fonseca-Pedrero, E., and García-Cueto, E. (2014). Enterprising personality profile in youth: components and assessment. *Psicothema* 26, 545–553. doi: 10.7334/psicothema2014.182

Murugesan, R., and Jayavelu, R. (2017). The influence of big five personality traits and self-efficacy on entrepreneurial intention: the role of gender. J. Entrep. Innov. Emerg, Econ. 3, 41–61. doi: 10.1177/2393957516684569

Newman, A., Obschonka, M., Schwarz, S., Cohen, M., and Nielsen, I. (2019). Entrepreneurial self-efficacy: a systematic review of the literature on its theoretical foundations, measurement, antecedents, and outcomes, and an agenda for future research. J. Vocat. Behav. 110, 403–419. doi: 10.1016/j.jvb.2018.05.012

Obschonka, M., and Stuetzer, M. (2017). Integrating psychological approaches to entrepreneurship: the entrepreneurial personality system (EPS). *Small Bus. Econ.* 49, 203–231. doi: 10.1007/s11187-016-9821-y

Omorede, A., Thorgren, S., and Wincent, J. (2015). Entrepreneurship psychology: a review. *Int. Entrep. Manag. J.* 11, 743–768. doi: 10.1007/s11365-014-0307-6

Pandang, A., Umar, N. F., Hajati, K., and Hamidi, B. (2022). Gender disparities in students' entrepreneurial self-efficacy (ESE) with various areas. *Educ. Res. Int.* 2022, 1–9. doi: 10.1155/2022/9479758

Petrides, K. V., Mikolajczak, M., Mavrovelli, S., Sánches-Ruiz, M.-J., Furnham, A., and Pérez-González, J.-C. (2016). Developments in trait emotional intelligence research. *Emot. Rev.* 8, 335–341. doi: 10.1177/1754073916650493

Piperopoulos, P., and Dimov, D. (2015). Burst bubbles or build steam? Entrepreneurship education, entrepreneurial self-efficacy, and entrepreneurial intentions. *J. Small Bus. Manag.* 53, 970–985. doi: 10.1111/jsbm.12116

Prabhu, V. P., McGuire, S. J., Drost, E. A., and Kwong, K. K. (2012). Proactive personality and entrepreneurial intent: is entrepreneurial self-efficacy a mediator or moderator? *Int. J. Entrep. Behav. Res.* 18, 559–586. doi: 10.1108/13552551211253937

Ramoglou, S., and Tsang, E. W. (2016). A realist perspective of entrepreneurship: opportunities as propensities. *Acad. Manage. Rev.* 41, 410–434. doi: 10.5465/amr.2014.0281

Rauch, A., and Frese, M. (2007a). "Born to be an entrepreneur? Revisiting the personality approach to entrepreneurship" in *The psychology of entrepreneurship*. eds. J. R. Baum, M. Frese and R. A. Baron (Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum), 41–65.

Rauch, A., and Frese, M. (2007b). Let's put the person back into entrepreneurship research: a meta-analysis on the relationship between business owners' personality traits, business creation, and success. *Eur. J. Work Organ. Psychol.* 16, 353–385. doi: 10.1080/13594320701595438

Rothmann, S., and Coetzer, E. (2003). The big five personality dimensions and job performance. South African journal of industrial. *Psychology* 29, 68–74. doi: 10.4102/sajip.v29i1.88

Sadri, G., Weber, T. J., and Gentry, W. A. (2011). Empathic emotion and leadership performance: an empirical analysis across 38 countries. *Leadersh. Q.* 22, 818–830. doi: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2011.07.005

Sahin, F., Karadağ, H., and Tuncer, B. (2019). Big five personality traits, entrepreneurial self-efficacy and entrepreneurial intention: a configurational approach. *Int. J. Entrep. Behav. Res.* 25, 1188–1211. doi: 10.1108/IJEBR-07-2018-0466

Salmony, F. U., and Kanbach, D. K. (2021). Personality trait differences across types of entrepreneurs: a systematic literature review. *Rev. Manag. Sci.* 16, 713–749. doi: 10.1007/s11846-021-00466-9

Sánchez, J. C. (2013). The impact of an entrepreneurship education program on entrepreneurial competencies and intention. *J. Small Bus. Manag.* 51, 447–465. doi: 10.1111/jsbm.12025

Serrano-Pascual, A., and Carretero-García, C. (2022). Women's entrepreneurial subjectivity under scrutiny: expert knowledge on gender and entrepreneurship. *Gend. Work Organ.* 29, 666–686. doi: 10.1111/gwao.12806

Shane, S., and Venkataraman, S. (2000). The promise of entrepreneurship as a field of research. Acad. Manage. J. 25, 217-226. doi: 10.5465/amr.2000.2791611

Singh, G., and DeNoble, A. (2003). Views on self-employment and personality: an exploratory study. J. Dev. Entrep. 8, 265–281.

Strawser, J. A., Hechavarría, D. M., and Passerini, K. (2021). Gender and entrepreneurship: research frameworks, barriers and opportunities for women entrepreneurship worldwide. *J. Small Bus. Manag.* 59, S1–S15. doi: 10.1080/ 00472778.2021.1965615

Sweida, G., and Woods, J. (2015). Comparing the development of entrepreneurial self-efficacy of female entrepreneurs in male-and female-dominated industries. *J. Dev. Entrep.* 20:1550018. doi: 10.1142/S1084946715500181

Wen, Y., Chen, H., Pang, L., and Gu, X. (2020). The relationship between emotional intelligence and entrepreneurial self-efficacy of Chinese vocational college students. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 17:4511. doi: 10.3390/ijerph 17124511

Wennberg, K., Pathak, S., and Autio, E. (2013). How culture moulds the effects of self-efficacy and fear of failure on entrepreneurship. *Entrep. Reg. Dev.* 25, 756–780. doi: 10.1080/08985626.2013.862975

Wincent, J., and Örtqvist, D. (2011). Examining positive performance implications of role stressors by the indirect influence of positive affect: a study of new business managers. J. Appl. Soc. Psychol. 41, 699–727. doi: 10.1111/j.1559-1816.2011.00733.x

Wood, R., and Bandura, A. (1989). Social cognitive theory of organizational management. Acad. Manage. Rev. 14, 361-384. doi: 10.2307/258173

Wu, X., and Tian, Y. (2022). Predictors of entrepreneurship intention among students in vocational colleges: a structural equation modeling approach. *Front. Psychol.* 12:797790. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.797790

Wu, Y. J., Yuan, C. H., and Pan, C. I. (2018). Entrepreneurship education: an experimental study with information and communication technology. *Sustainability*. 10:691. doi: 10.3390/su10030691

Zampetakis, L. A., Bakatsaki, M., Litos, C., Kafetsios, K. G., and Moustakis, V. (2017). Gender-based differential item functioning in the application of the theory of planned behavior for the study of entrepreneurial intentions. *Front. Psychol.* 8:451. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.0045

Zampetakis, L. A., Kafetsios, K., Bouranta, N., Dewett, T., and Moustakis, V. S. (2009). On the relationship between emotional intelligence and entrepreneurial attitudes and intentions. *Int. J. Entrep. Behav. Res.* 15, 595–618. doi: 10.1108/13552550910995452

Zhao, H., and Seibert, S. E. (2006). The big five personality dimensions and entrepreneurial status: a meta-analytical review. J. Appl. Psychol. 91, 259–271. doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.91.2.259

Zhao, H., Seibert, S. E., and Hills, G. E. (2005). The mediating role of self-efficacy in the development of entrepreneurial intentions. *J. Appl. Psychol.* 90, 1265–1272. doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.90.6.1265

Zhao, H., Seibert, S. E., and Lumpkin, G. T. (2010). The relationship of personality to entrepreneurial intentions and performance: a meta-analytic review. *J. Manag.* 36, 381–404. doi: 10.1177/0149206309335187

Zisser, M. R., Johnson, S. L., Freeman, M. A., and Staudenmaier, P. J. (2019). The relationship between entrepreneurial intent, gender and personality. *Gender Manage*. 34, 665–684. doi: 10.1108/GM-08-2018-0105