Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY David Herrero-Fernández, Universidad Europea del Atlántico, Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE Varun Dutt ☑ varundutt@yahoo.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Cognitive Science, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

RECEIVED 14 February 2023 ACCEPTED 15 February 2023 PUBLISHED 17 May 2023

CITATION

Dutt V and Chandra S (2023) Editorial: Human decision-making in combat situations involving traditional and immersive visual technologies. *Front. Psychol.* 14:1166115. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1166115

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Dutt and Chandra. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Human decision-making in combat situations involving traditional and immersive visual technologies

Varun Dutt^{1*} and Sushil Chandra²

¹Applied Cognitive Science Laboratory (ACS Lab), Indian Institute of Technology Mandi, Mandi, India, ²Department of Biomedical Engineering, Defence Research and Development Organisation (DRDO), New Delhi, India

KEYWORDS

human decision-making, immersive visual technologies, combat situation, decision aids, defense

Editorial on the Research Topic

Human decision-making in combat situations involving traditional and immersive visual technologies

Traditional and immersive visual technologies (such as virtual reality and augmented reality) can potentially be used to enhance decision-making in combat situations by providing a more immersive and interactive training environment for users. These interfaces can assist people to practice and simulate various scenarios, such as combat situations, in a controlled environment, which can assist them in developing and improving their decisionmaking skills and cognitive performance. Besides, immersive visual interfaces can provide a more realistic representation of the situation, which can aid in developing situational awareness and tactical planning abilities. However, the effectiveness of these interfaces in enhancing decision-making among relevant stakeholders will depend on various factors, such as the design of the training program and the quality of the virtual environment. This Research Topic focuses on new insights, novel developments, current challenges, latest discoveries, recent advances, and future perspectives in the applications of traditional and immersive technologies in enhancing human decision-making in complex situations and simulations, with a particular focus on combat situations. Therefore, the main goal of this special Research Topic is to shed light on the progress made in the recent past in the field and on its future challenges to provide a thorough overview.

This Research Topic brings together several contemporary research articles of relevance. For example, moving from individuals to teams, Ouverson et al. evaluate the performance and team skills of teams working with an Intelligent Team Tutoring System on a virtual military surveillance task. Higher levels of role and task experiences show significant and medium-sized effects on communication performance. Similarly, another original research (de Melo et al.) evaluates the efficacy of a self-embodied assistant designed to assist military personnel simultaneously and to minimize the user's cognitive load. Participants are paired with a voice assistant, an embodied assistant, or no assistant. Results indicate that the embodied assistant achieves higher performance with a smaller cognitive burden on the decision-maker than the voice assistant and no assistant. Hebbar et al. derive a non-invasive technique to estimate a pilot's cognitive workload. To estimate cognitive workload, these researchers utilize pilots' physiological indications such as electroencephalographic (EEG) signals, ocular parameters, and pilot performance-based quantitative metrics. Results indicate that the EEG's beta frequency band, nearest neighborhood index specifying the distribution of

gaze fixation, L1 Norm of power spectral density of pupil diameter, and the duty cycle metric indicated variations in cognitive workload during flying. In addition, another original research article (Rao et al.) evaluates the efficacy of different repetitive training conditions in immersive virtual reality on dynamic decisionmaking in a complex search-and-shoot environment. Results reveal that participants in the heterogenous and difficult training conditions performed significantly better after the intervention compared to before the intervention.

Kase et al. provide a perspective on three areas of development for future warfighter-machine interfaces: AIdirected decisional guidance, computationally informed decision-making, and realistic representations of decision spaces. According to these authors, the next-generation wargaming platforms can reduce risk, decrease operating costs, and improve overall outcomes for increasingly complex military operating environments.

Overall, this Research Topic focuses on developing traditional and immersive technologies for strategic decision-making. It is hoped that this Research Topic may help to inform and provide direction to researchers at the forefront of developing traditional and immersive visual technologies for understanding and improving human decisions.

Author contributions

VD supervised and wrote the editorial article. SC reviewed the final version of the editorial article. Both authors approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

Acknowledgments

As editors of this Research Topic, *Human decision-making in combat situations involving traditional and immersive visual technologies*, we would like to thank all the authors for their suitable contributions, all reviewers for the time they devoted to the evaluation of the papers, and to the Frontiers in Psychology: Cognitive Science team, for its contribution to the preparation of this Research Topic. We would also like to thank Daniel N. Cassenti, Research Psychologist, U.S. Army Research Laboratory for reviewing the final version of the editorial article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.