

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Shaun Ruggunan, University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa

*CORRESPONDENCE
Naval Garg

☑ naval.garg@dtu.ac.in

RECEIVED 20 March 2023 ACCEPTED 16 May 2023 PUBLISHED 31 May 2023

CITATION

Garg N, Van der Walt F and Burgess J (2023) Editorial: The psychological challenges of remote working. *Front. Psychol.* 14:1190064. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1190064

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Garg, Van der Walt and Burgess. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these

Editorial: The psychological challenges of remote working

Naval Garg^{1*}, Freda Van der Walt² and John Burgess³

¹School of Management and Entrepreneurship, Delhi Technological University, Rohini, India, ²Department of Business Management, Central University of Technology, Bloemfontein, Free State, South Africa, ³Centre for Organisational Change and Agility, Torrens University Australia, Adelaide, SA, Australia

KEYWORDS

remote work, COVID, psychology, challenges, mental health

Editorial on the Research Topic

The psychological challenges of remote working

Prior to the emergence of the gig economy there were industries that involved remote working and extended commuting such as mining and construction; and mobile work driven by telephony, long distance commuting and internet access. Such arrangements contributed to exhaustion, loneliness and family breakdown (Berg et al., 2018). In the gig economy, platform mediated work, whether in its physical form such as food delivery services or through online data analysis has transformed work and industries globally (Ayentimi et al., 2023). Conditions supporting remote and online working include the increasing access to the internet, smart phones and their related technologies, software and cloud computing developments, the rise of global online service providers and the ease of conducting business and shopping online (Wheatley et al., 2021). The significant technological transformation associated with the fourth industrial revolution technologies (Schwab, 2015) has supported working from home, remote working, and mobile work from locations that have internet access.

Interest in examining the psychological effects of remote working was driven by the COVID-19 pandemic that forced millions into work-from-home work arrangements (Kniffin et al., 2021). It represented a significant change in lifestyle, working and living habits. This monumental shift was unplanned and implemented without knowledge of the potential challenges associated with prolonged periods of homeworking (Kowal et al., 2020). This represented one of the most significant changes in working arrangements globally and provided the opportunities for researchers, organization, and governments to assess the consequences of extended working from home arrangements. Researchers have examined the crucial issues that include the impact on employee stress and wellbeing (Saladino et al., 2020; Spagnoli et al., 2020); the management of the workforce and the impact on managers (Carnevale and Hatak, 2020; Ipsen et al., 2022); family and household challenges including work-family conflict (Prikhidko et al., 2020); and employee motivation and engagement (Galanti et al., 2021).

Following COVID, remote and homeworking arrangements will remain embedded in organizational employment practice and it is important to assess he psychological effects of extended remote work for workers, managers, organizations, and households/families. Potential personal challenges include isolation, the blurring of work-life boundaries, surveillance, and being on call (Wheatley et al., 2021). In turn, programs and policies that reduce the psychological risks are essential for organizations and policymakers as remote and home working is extended across all industries. This Research Topic provides a timely, diverse, and detailed examination of the psychological impacts of remote work. Within this Research Topic, nine articles examine the above issues and experiences across countries

Garg et al. 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1190064

through surveys of workers, households, and managers. Each article provides thoughtful suggestions for policies to support remote working and reduce its psychological risks.

Bodini et al. reported positive work-from home benefits across life and work domains among Italian knowledge workers following 18 months of working from home. Explanatory factors that contributed to these positive impacts included home to work commute times, changes in lifestyle arrangements and work-room sharing. The results indicate that inclusion and a sense of community are in improving workers' health and in offsetting the impact of isolation from working at home.

Carvalho et al. assess the impact of boundary controls on the relationship between family-supportive supervision behaviors (FSSB) and life satisfaction for teleworking. They also examine the moderating effect of the country on the relationship (Pakistan vs. Portugal). FSSB was e important to control teleworker boundaries and was linked to life satisfaction FFSB was found to contribute to higher levels of life satisfaction.

He et al. examined the impact of home working on families in China. They indicate that proactive/passive work connectivity behaviors support family harmony through self-efficacy and ego depletion. They r explore the moderating role of family support on this relationship.

Lescarret et al. investigated the determinants of employees' intention to telework in a coworking space, From an online survey of French teleworkers, they found that the perceived lack of working comfort while teleworking impacted the perceived usefulness of teleworking in a coworking space and also affected their future intentions to telework in a coworking space.

Maden-Eyiusta and Alparslan demonstrated a mediating role for psychological empowerment in the relationship between self-leadership and work role performance in remote work settings in Turkey. They also demonstrated partial support for the moderating role of supervisor close monitoring of employees. The study outlines the motivational process through which self-leadership results in improve work role performance.

Potgieter and Ferreira, through a survey of African and European participants, reported a close association between career adaptability and career wellbeing and the perceived valueorientated psychological contract.

Redaelli et al. investigated to what extent perceived COVID-19-crisis intensity (PCCI) results in parental burnout as manifested through exhaustion, emotional detachment from one's children and sense of parental inefficacy. The mediating role of work-family

conflict (WFC) and the buffering effects of family-supportive organizational perceptions (FSOP) during the pandemic were also explored.

Tautz et al. investigated transformational leadership and health-oriented leadership among two cohorts (leaders and employees) in remote work settings in Germany. Both groups were asked to report their experiences of working from home as compared to working in traditional office settings. Participants reported that lack of social presence, limited informal chats, communication difficulties and a lack of mutual trust that inhibits transformational and health-oriented leadership.

van Gelder et al., reported that workplace innovation in the context of the Netherlands is positively associated with engagement via its effect on meaningful work but that it is not associated with exhaustion. Work-life segmentation preference amplifies the relationship between meaningful work and engagement and exhaustion. Line managers with strong work-life segmentation preference and a low score on meaningful work experience have less engagement and more exhaustion than line managers with a high score on meaningful work when working from home.

Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Ayentimi, D. T., Abadi, H. A., and Burgess, J. (2023). Decent gig work in Sub Sahara Africa? J. Indust. Relat. 65, 112–125. doi: 10.1177/00221856221111693

Berg, J., Furrer, M., Harmon, E., et al. (2018). Digital Labour Platforms and the Future of Work Towards Decent Work in the Online World. Geneva: ILO.

Carnevale, J. B., and Hatak, I. (2020). Employee adjustment and well-being in the era of COVID-19: implications for human resource management. *J. Bus. Res.* 116, 183–187. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.05.037

Galanti, T., Guidetti, G., Mazzei, E., Zappalà, S., and Toscano, F. (2021). Work from home during the COVID-19 outbreak: the impact on employees' remote

work productivity, engagement, and stress. J. Occup. Environ. Med. 63, e426-e432. doi: 10.1097/IOM.000000000002236

Ipsen, C., Kirchner, K., Andersone, N., and Karanika-Murray, M. (2022). Becoming a distance manager: managerial experiences, perceived organisational support, and job satisfaction during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Front. Psychol.* 13, 916234. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.91623

Kniffin, K. M., Narayanan, J., Anseel, F., Antonakis, J., Ashford, S. P., Bakker, A. B., et al. (2021). COVID-19 and the workplace: implications, issues, and insights for future research and action. *Am. Psychol.* 76, 63. doi: 10.1037/amp0000716

Garg et al. 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1190064

Kowal, M., Coll-Martín, T., Ikize, G., Rasmussen, J., Eichel, K., Studzińska, A., et al. (2020). Who is the most stressed during the COVID-19 pandemic? Data from 26 countries and areas. *Appl. Psychol. Health WellBeing* 12, 946–966. doi:10.1111/aphw.12234

Prikhidko, A., Long, H., and Wheaton M. G. (2020) The effect of concerns about COVID-19 on anxiety, stress, parental burnout, and emotion regulation: the role of susceptibility to digital emotion contagion. *Front. Public Health* 8, 567250. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.567250

Saladino, V., Algeri, D., and Auriemma, V. (2020). The psychological and social impact of Covid-19: new perspectives of wellbeing. *Front. Psychol.* 11, 577684. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.577684

Spagnoli, P., Molino, M., Molinaro, D., Giancaspro, M. L., Manuti, A., Ghislieri, C., et al. (2020). Workaholism and technostress during the COVID-19 emergency: the crucial role of the leaders on remote working. Front. Psychol. 11, 620310. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.

Wheatley, D., Hardill, I., and Buglass, S. (2021) Preface: The rapid expansion of remote working in Wheatley, D., Hardill, I. and Buglass, S. *Handbook of Research on Remote Work and Worker Well-Being in the Post-COVID-19 Era*. IGI Global, xix-xxxiii