Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Satja Mulej Bratec, University of Maribor, Slovenia

REVIEWED BY Diana Carolina Oviedo, Catholic University Santa Maria La Antigua, Panama Huangqi Jiang, Case Western Reserve University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Wei He ⊠ afmmuhw@163.com Ye Miao ⊠ 85913036@qq.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 18 March 2024 ACCEPTED 09 August 2024 PUBLISHED 29 August 2024

CITATION

Wang L, Yu J, Diao X, Zhang Y, Miao Y and He W (2024) The chain mediating effects of resilience and perceived social support in the relationship between perceived stress and depression in patients with COVID-19. *Front. Psychol.* 15:1400267. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1400267

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Wang, Yu, Diao, Zhang, Miao and He. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. The chain mediating effects of resilience and perceived social support in the relationship between perceived stress and depression in patients with COVID-19

Lingling Wang^{1†}, Jing Yu^{2†}, Xuqian Diao¹, Yuanbei Zhang¹, Ye Miao³* and Wei He⁴*

¹Department of Military Medical Psychology, Air Force Medical University, Xi'an, China, ²Department of Radiology, 986 Hospital of Air Force Medical University, Xi'an, China, ³Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Tangdu Hospital of Air Force Medical University, Xi'an, China, ⁴Department of Radiation Protection Medicine, Faculty of Preventive Medicine, Ministry of Education Key Lab of Hazard Assessment and Control in Special Operational Environment, Air Force Medical University (Fourth Military Medical University), Xi'an, China

Introduction: Perceived stress and depression were indirect effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, especially in square-cabin hospitals. It was paramount to understand their mediating effects, which might detonate factors that led to mental illness.

Materials and methods: We conducted a cross-sectional study to investigate perceived stress and depressive symptoms among patients with COVID-19 in Shanghai square-cabin hospitals from April 18 to May 19, 2022. The questionnaire included the Perceived Stress Scale 10, Patient Health Questionnaire 9, Perceived Social Support Scale, and the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale 10.

Results: This study investigated the chain-mediating roles of perceived social support and resilience in the relationship between perceived stress and depression. Perceived stress positively predicted depression (r=0.613, p<0.01), negatively correlated with perceived social support (r=-0.318, p<0.01) and resilience (r=-0.398, p<0.01). In the chain mediating model, perceived stress had significant direct predictive effects on depression, and significant indirect predictive effects on depression through perceived social support and/or resilience.

Conclusion: It showed that higher perceived social support and resilience were associated with lower perceived stress among COVID-19 patients, which might lead to symptoms of mild depression, and highlights the importance of resilience and perceived social support in reducing depressive symptoms.

KEYWORDS

resilience, depression, perceived social support, perceived stress, COVID-19 patients, chain mediating effect

1 Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has become a public health threat worldwide. In this situation, a series of objective events triggered by the pandemic have led to different levels of psychological problems in different populations. The COVID-19 patients suffer from

anxiety, stress and restlessness (Duan and Zhu, 2020; Matalon et al., 2021; Robinson et al., 2022). In addition to the direct effects of COVID-19, the pandemic has created an environment in which many determinants of mental health are also affected (Damian et al., 2021). The feeling of experiencing stress may also be aggravated by centralized isolation and treatment, dense population, unfamiliar environment, cohabitation of COVID-19 patients, depressing environment of the ward, family members and loved ones suffering from COVID-19 or worsening of the disease or death due to COVID-19 (Awano et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2021). Therefore, they might be considered as one of the most vulnerable people to experience psychological stress and other mental health symptoms (Du et al., 2024).

The COVID-19 patients were reported high stress levels during the COVID-19 epidemics (Vindegaard and Benros, 2020; Matalon et al., 2021; Fu et al., 2022; Malinauskas and Malinauskiene, 2022). Perceived stress is an individual response or experience to a stimulus, threat or event in different circumstances, which is one of the gateways to several mental health disorders, such as anxiety, depression, phobia, post traumatic disorder and even psychotic disorders (Banjongrewadee et al., 2020). Numerous research has suggested a link between perceived stress and depressive symptoms (Spada et al., 2008; Besharart et al., 2020; Yan et al., 2021; Dymecka et al., 2022; Lathabhavan and Vispute, 2022). The fear of disease and the pathological state of the body could aggravate the perceived stress symptoms of COVID-19 patients, which is one of the important reasons for the depression (Li J. et al., 2020; Li Z. et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2021). However, the internal mechanism of the relationship between perceived stress and depression during the COVID-19 pandemic remain largely unexplored (Duan and Zhu, 2020; Damico et al., 2021). Models of the stress-depression relationship emphasized the importance of perceived stress and coping resources (Hewitt et al., 1992; Dulaney et al., 2008). Previous studies have paid more attention to how perceived stress negatively affect patients, and generally ignored the protective or buffering factors on depression (Banjongrewadee et al., 2020; Dymecka et al., 2022; Hu et al., 2022). Therefore, in order to better respond to mental health problems, we need to identify the protective or buffer factors for the COVID-19 patients, focusing on people's positive psychological strength from a new perspective.

Among several protective factors, social support and psychological resilience have been proven to alleviate the negative effects of stress and depression (Santini et al., 2015; Bloom et al., 2017; Labrague et al., 2018; Chen and Bonanno, 2020). Social support improved mental status possibly in the form of benefits from social relationships and a buffer against stressful situations (Alsubaie et al., 2019). One study has pointed that social support would be a potential determinant for depression during the COVID-19 pandemic (Chan and Lee, 2022). Perceived social support is considered to be one of the important social factors for predicting individual depression (Hyde et al., 2011). There is a direct or indirect relationship between perceived social support and depression (Cohen and Wills, 1985). Previous studies found that higher level of perceived social support is associated with lower level of depression (Grey et al., 2020; Chan and Lee, 2022). Some studies have shown that positive experiences of social support foster resilience to stress and prevent psychopathology (Ozbay et al., 2007). In addition, the predictive effect of social support on mental health can be achieved through resilience (Wilks and Croom, 2008).

As a key psychosocial factor, resilience can help individuals cope with stress more effectively when they experience psychological pain in stressful situations (Richardson, 2002). Studies indicated that resilience was a protective factor for COVID-19 patients and was negatively correlated with depression (Awano et al., 2020), people with high resilience were less likely to suffer from depression (Benedek et al., 2007). Some studies pointed out that resilience worked through interaction with the environment (Luthar et al., 2000). As an external environmental factor, social support enhanced individuals' adaptability to adverse conditions by endowing and mobilizing their psychological resources, thus improving their mental health status (Chen, 2019).

This study aimed to elucidate the mechanisms underlying the associations between stress, perceived social support, resilience, and depression in patients with COVID-19. Patients with COVID-19 isolated from Shanghai Makeshift Hospitals were enrolled in this study. We not only analyzed the independent mediating effects of perceived social support and mental resilience, but also analyzed the chain mediating effects of perceived social support and mental resilience. This may provide a better understanding of the stressful events experienced by patients and their contribution to the risk of depression. We speculated that the association between stress and depressive symptoms is mediated by perceived social support and mental resilience. Specifically, we hypothesized that individuals in stressful situations have lower levels of perceived social support and mental resilience, which may further lead to more severe depressive symptoms. Furthermore, we predicted that the effect of psychological resilience on depression in stressful situations is influenced by perceived social support, that is, high levels of perceived social support would strengthen the association between stress, resilience, and depressive symptoms.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Participants

The participants were COVID-19 patients at Shanghai squarecabin hospitals from April 18 to May 19, 2022. Considering data collection and patient isolation, an online method was used. They completed the questionnaires on their mobile phones by scanning a QR code which were provided by an online platform.¹ All participants gave their electronic informed consent after understanding the research content and the rights and obligations of the researcher and participants. A total of 1,014 questionnaires were collected, of which 126 invalid questionnaires were excluded. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) the time took less than 100 s to complete the survey; (2) selection of the same option for all items; (3) the total score exceeded three standard deviations. The remaining 888 valid questionnaires constituted the data for this study. The effective utilization rate was 87.57%.

¹ www.wenjuan.com

2.2 Measures

2.2.1 Perceived stress scale questionnaire (PSS-10)

Perceived stress symptoms were assessed using the Simplified Chinese Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10) questionnaire (Lee and Crockett, 1994), based on the PSS-10 (Cohen et al., 1983). The PSS-10 includes 10 items, each rated on a 5-point scale from 0 (never) to 4 (very common). Higher scores indicate higher levels of perceived stress. The scale has been demonstrated with strong reliability and validity (Sun et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2023). In the current study, the Cronbach's α coefficient for the entire scale was 0.861.

2.2.2 Patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9)

Depression was measured using the validated Chinese version of the 9-item self-report Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) (Yu et al., 2012), based on the nine Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV (DSM-IV) criteria which was employed to assess the frequency of depressive symptoms over the past 2 weeks (Spitzer, 1999). The Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) is a widely used self-rated version to ascertain the mental state of COVID-19 patients (Liu C. H. et al., 2020; Liu J. et al., 2020; Cha et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2022). The score for each item varies from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). The sum of the scores ranges from 0 to 27, and a higher total PHQ-9 score indicates more severe depressive symptoms. In this study, the Cronbach's α coefficient for the entire scale was 0.911.

2.2.3 Perceived social support scale (PSSS)

The Perceived Social Support Scale (PSSS) is a 12-item self-report measure in which participants indicate their perception of support from friends, family, and a significant other on a seven-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (very strongly disagree) to 7 (very strongly agree) (Zimet et al., 1988). The modified Chinese PSSS validated by Wang was used in this study (Wang et al., 1999). The scale has been used with excellent psychometric properties (Wen et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2023). In this study, the Cronbach's α coefficient for the entire scale was 0.961.

2.2.4 Connor-Davidson resilience scale (CD-RISC 10)

The 10-item Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC 10) is a common scale used to assess resilience (Campbell-Sills and Stein, 2007). The translated Chinese version was used in this study (Lyu et al., 2017). The CD-RISC 10 includes 10 items, each rated on a 5-point scale from 0 (never) to 4 (very common). Previous studies have shown that this scale was in excellent reliability and validity (Fu and Wang, 2022; Lu et al., 2024). Higher scores on this scale are interpreted as higher resilience. In this study, the Cronbach's α coefficient for the entire scale was 0.956.

2.3 Statistical analyses

IBM's SPSS software (version26.0) was used to analyze the data. The results of common method bias were examined using the Harman one-way test (Podsakoff et al., 2003), which indicates a serious common bias if one factor explains more than 50% of the common variance (the result is 40.98%) (Podsakoff and Management, 1986).

Measurement data conforming to a normal distribution were expressed as mean ± SD, and count data were described using frequency and composition ratios. Bivariate relationships between the variables were determined using Pearson's correlation analysis. The bias-corrected percentile bootstrap method was employed to test the hypothesized chain mediation model. Chain-mediated effect implementation (Model 6, Process Macro) (Hayes, 2015) was performed by repeated sampling 5,000 times. The 95% corrected confidence interval (CI) method-mediated effects were considered statistically significant if the 95% bootstrap CI for the indirect effect did not contain zero (Preacher and Hayes, 2008). The direct effect was considered when the independent variable directly affected the dependent variable, and when the 95% CI of the bootstrap test did not include zero, the effect was valid. An indirect effect was considered when the independent variable had an effect on the dependent variable through the intermediary variable, and when the 95% CI did not include zero via the bootstrap method, the indirect effect was valid. Figure 1 shows the conceptual chained mediation model of the current study.

3 Results

3.1 Descriptive analysis

A total of 888 patients with valid questionnaires in square-cabin hospitals in Shanghai were analyzed in this study, of whom there were 517 males (58.22%) and 371 were females (41.78%). All of them had tested positive for COVID-19 and received the corresponding treatment for COVID-19. Means, frequencies and standard deviations (SDs) were used to quantify the data. Patient variables were analyzed using the independent sample t-test for gender characteristic and using analysis of variance for age, education and job characteristics. There were differences in the questionnaire scores of the Perceived Stress Scale 10 (PSS-10), Patient Health Questionnaire 9 (PHQ-9), Perceived Social Support Scale (PSSS), and Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale10 (CD-RISC10) between the sexes. Women demonstrated higher scores in Perceived Stress and Patient Health Questionnaire 9 (Depression) compared to men, yet exhibited lower scores in Perceived Social Support and Resilience questionnaires. The age item showed differences in the Perceived Social Support Scale (PSSS) and the Resilience Scale. Participants aged 50-60 years reported higher scores on Perceived Social Support and Resilience Scales than other age groups. They also exhibited lower scores on Perceived Stress and Depression scales. The different levels of education exhibited differences in their scores on the Perceived Social Support Scale. Participants possessing higher educational attainments showed higher scores on the Perceived Stress Scale. However, the different kinds of job showed no significant differences on the four scales. The descriptive statistics for each variable are presented in Table 1.

3.2 Pearson correlation analysis

To investigate the relationship between variables, we employed the Pearson correlation analysis. It showed a positive correlation between perceived stress and depression (r=0.613, p<0.01),

_
6
¥
7
<u>cp</u>
ഗ്
τ
.0
2
6
<u></u>
ನ
<u> </u>
Ö
õ
\leq

04

			Perceived stress			Perceived social support			Resilience				COVID-19 depression									
Characteristics	Categories	Fre	Mean	SD	Test		Effect size	Mean	SD	Test		Effect size	Mean	SD	Test		Effect size	Mean	SD	Test		Effect size
Gender ^a	Male	517	14.25	7.999	-3.775	0.000***	0.257°	57.57	17.201	2.131	0.033*	0.145 ^c	24.56	10.492	2.86	0.004**	0.195°	6.3	5.606	-3.1	0.002**	0.211°
Gender	Female	371	16.28	7.835				55.04	17.716				22.54	10.271				7.5	5.769			
	18-30	302	15.18	7.252	0.503	0.734	0.002 ^d	54.66	16.934	3.363	0.010**	0.015 ^d	22.63	9.933	3.184	0.013*	0.014 ^d	6.87	5.596	0.485	0.747	0.002 ^d
	31-40	238	15.56	7.934				56.42	17.21				23.44	10.423				6.95	5.392			
Age ^b	40-50	163	14.80	8.475				57.79	18.407				23.79	10.704				6.5	5.778			
	50-60	130	14.42	8.610				60.67	16.658				26.48	10.260				6.47	6.041			
	>60	55	15.15	9.178				53.49	18.724				24.15	11.988				7.51	6.588			
	Junior high school and below	350	13.46	8.727	18.316	0.000***	0.040 ^d	56.03	18.511	0.664	0.515	0.001 ^d	23.6	10.814	0.29	0.749	0.001 ^d	6.66	5.961	0.356	0.701	0.001 ^d
Education ^b	High school, technical school	364	15.38	7.701				56.34	16.981				24.02	10.388				6.8	5.702			
	Bachelor degree or above	174	17.81	6.02				57.85	16.222				23.33	9.819				7.1	5.164			
	Freelance work	239	14.72	8.452	1.676	0.138	0.009 ^d	56.30	17.131	0.34	0.889	0.002 ^d	24.32	10.46	0.89	0.487	0.005 ^d	6.86	6.047	0.192	0.966	0.001 ^d
	farmer	4	15	6.218				53.75	10.308				24	6.164				7.5	1.732			
Iob ^b	staff	428	14.78	7.816				56.13	17.748				23.59	9.936				6.67	5.388			
JOD	manager	86	17.2	7.877				58.55	18.538				21.74	11.558				7.29	6.056			
	student	51	16.29	6.392				57.59	15.954				24.67	10.539				6.86	6.177			
	retire	80	14.91	8.399				56.48	17.987				24.08	11.814				6.75	5.819			

TABLE 1 The descriptive statistics of perceived stress, perceived social support, resilience, and depression among COVID-19 patients*.

*Fre, Frequency; Test, Test statistic; *t test for independent group; ^bAnalysis of variance; ^cCohen's d; ^deta-squared; p, p value; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.



TABLE 2	Bivariate	correlations	among	main	study	variables.

	Scores	Perceived stress	Perceived social	Resilience	Depression
	(Mean <u>+</u> SD)		support		
Perceived stress	15.10 ± 7.99	-			
Perceived social support	56.51 ± 17.453	-0.318**	_		
Resilience	23.72 ± 10.443	-0.398**	0.460**	_	
Depression	6.80 ± 5.702	0.613**	-0.521**	-0.527**	-

**p<0.01.

indicating that higher perceived stress is associated with higher levels of depression. In contrast, the data showed that perceived social support and resilience scores were negatively correlated with depression (r = -0.521, p < 0.01; r = -0.527, p < 0.01). It showed that higher levels of perceived social support and resilience are associated with lower levels of depression. Furthermore, there was a negative correlation between perceived stress and perceived social support (r = -0.318, p < 0.01), resilience (r = -0.398, p < 0.01) which suggested that higher perceived stress is associated with lower levels of social support and resilience. Specific data are presented in Table 2.

3.3 Mediation effects analysis

Model 6 of the SPSS plug-in process provided by Hayes was used (Hayes and Preacher, 2014). Perceived stress symptoms were taken as the independent variable, depression as the dependent variable, resilience and perceived social support as chained mediating variables. The path coefficient results are shown in Figure 2.

Table 3 lists the results of the multiple linear regression analysis of the major research variables. It also shows that perceived stress can significantly positively predict depression ($\beta = 0.310$, p < 0.001) and can significantly negatively predict resilience ($\beta = -0.520$, p < 0.001) and perceived social support ($\beta = -0.352$, p < 0.001). Resilience was thought to be a significant positive predictor of perceived social support ($\beta = -0.661$, p < 0.001) and a significant negative predictor of depression ($\beta = -0.123$, p < 0.001), while perceived social support was a significant negative predictor of depression ($\beta = -0.091$, p < 0.001).

The bootstrap test (Table 4) further showed that the 95% CI of the three paths did not include zero, indicating that the three indirect effects reached significance. Table 4 shows that the total effect of the relationship between perceived stress and depression was significant (effect = 0.4493, 95% CI: 0.0000, 0.4114). Meanwhile, the total indirect effect was significant (effect = 0.1328, 95% CI: 0.1013, 0.1703). The indirect effect was significant (effect = 0.0666, 95% CI: 0.0432, 0.0952) with resilience as the mediating variable. The indirect effect of the pathway with perceived social support as the mediating variable was significant (effect = 0.0354, 95% CI: 0.0163, 0.0603). The indirect effect of the pathway with resilience and perceived social support as mediating variables was also significant (effect = 0.0308, 95% CI: 0.0201, 0.0440).

4 Discussion

4.1 The chain mediating effects of resilience and perceived social support

The present study used a chained mediation model to investigate the relationship between perceived stress, resilience, perceived social support, and depressive symptoms among patients with COVID-19. This study found that perceived stress had a significant positive predictive effect on depression. Furthermore, the resilience and perceived social support had a chain mediating effect on the relationship between perceived stress and depression. The higher the level of perceived stress in COVID-19 patients, the lower their resilience level, which in turn led to lower perceived social support,

ltems	Regression equation	Integ	gral fitting	index		coefficient	Significance of regression coefficient		
	Predictive variables	R	R ²	F	β	standardization $\boldsymbol{\beta}$	t	Р	
Resilience	Perceived Stress	0.398	0.158	166.554	-0.520***	-0.398	-12.906	0.000	
Perceived Social	Perceived Stress	0.483	0.233	134.475	-0.352***	-0.161	-5.02	0.000	
Support	Resilience				0.661***	0.054	12.327	0.000	
	Perceived Stress	0.729	0.531	333.525	0.310***	0.435	17.078	0.000	
Depression	Resilience				-0.123***	-0.226	-8.304	0.000	
Depression	Perceived Social Support				-0.091***	-0.279	-10.602	0.000	

TABLE 3 The relationship between perceived stress and depression: the chain mediating effect of perceived social support and resilience.

***p<0.001.



thus led to higher depressive status. In the study, we found that perceived stress was a significant positive predictor of depression in COVID-19 patients, indicating that the higher the degree of perceived stress, the higher the level of depression, which is consistent with previous studies (Duan and Zhu, 2020; Damico et al., 2021). The relocation of COVID-19 patients to an isolated environment was a significant stressful event (Rauch et al., 2021), which can easily induce individual depression (Hammen, 2005). A stressor typically triggers a stress reaction if the stressor was perceived as a threat or demand, or the coping resources were perceived as insufficient for handling the given situation (Cohen et al., 1983). For COVID-19 patients, centralized isolation and treatment are required. Thus, they cannot go to work, seek support from loved ones and engage in their communities. Loneliness, fear of infection, suffering and death for oneself and for loved ones, grief after bereavement and financial worries have also all been cited as stressors leading to depression (Cottin et al., 2021). Hewitt and colleagues had highlighted the importance of perceived stress and coping resources in the prediction of stress-related symptoms (Hewitt et al., 1992). Therefore, it is necessary to recognize the negative influence of perceived stress on depression, and clarify the relationship between perceived stress and depression, which facilitates us to identify effective coping strategies to avoid mental health problems.

The results showed that perceived stress negatively predicted resilience, and resilience negatively predicted depression, which is consistent with other research results (Awano et al., 2020; Luceno-Moreno et al., 2020). Resilience had a mediating effect between perceived stress and depression, which verified the mediating path of resilience between perceived stress and depression. Resilience has been considered a personal characteristic that can help individuals preserve mental health in situations of severe stress or trauma (Connor, 2006; Poudel-Tandukar et al., 2019). It indicated better coping results in stressful situations (Burns et al., 2011). According to the stress buffer model of resilience (Richardson, 2002), the researchers found that resilience gradually varied with the way individuals cope with stress under stressful circumstances, and played an important role in the mitigation mechanism. Resilience can bring a positive transformation for individuals in adversity, greater threat,

Item	Effect size	Boot SE	Во	Proportion of	
			Lower	Upper	indirect effect
Total effects	0.4493	0.0193	0.0000	0.4114	
Direct effect	0.3165	0.0187	0.0000	0.2798	
Total indirect effects	0.1328	0.0176	0.1013	0.1703	
Indp1: $PS \rightarrow RE \rightarrow DE$	0.0666	0.0132	0.0432	0.0952	14.82%
Indp2: $PS \rightarrow PSS \rightarrow DE$	0.0354	0.0111	0.0163	0.0603	7.87%
Indp3: $PS \rightarrow RE \rightarrow PSS \rightarrow DE$	0.0308	0.061	0.0201	0.0440	6.86%

TABLE 4 The mediating effect test of perceived social support and resilience*.

*Indp, indirect path; PS, perceived stress; RE, Resilience; PSS, perceived social support; DE, depression; CI, confidence interval.

or trauma (Southwick and Charney, 2012). Some researchers have found that resilience minimized and buffered the negative influence of stress on mental health (Arrogante and Aparicio-Zaldivar, 2017). Furthermore, resilience was also considered as an index of mental health (Davydov et al., 2010). As a protective factor, resilience prompted individuals to change their original cognitive mode, mobilized personal resources, and coped with the stress flexibly, thus improving the mental health and reducing their depression level (Kalisch et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2020; Kinser et al., 2021).

In addition, the results of the mediation analysis showed that perceived stress level significantly negatively predicted perceived social support, and perceived social support significantly negatively predicted depression level, indicating the mediating effect of perceived social support between perceived stress and depression. Perceived social support referred to an individual's expectations, feelings and evaluation of social support situations (Wang et al., 1999). Social support referred to the support provided by family, friends and others as available to provide overall support (Xu et al., 2024). Research on relationships and health has pointed exclusively on the importance of supportive relationships in the context of stress or adversity (Cohen and Wills, 1985). Close relationships promoted well-being in many ways, not just as a resource in times of adversity. This can be explained by the following aspects. Firstly, when individuals faced stressful events, the perception of social support can produce positive mental states, including a sense of self-worth and a sense of security, which was beneficial to mental health and reduces the level of depression of individuals (Cohen, 2004). Secondly, perceived social support can also affect the regulation of the neuroendocrine response to stress and reduce the level of depression in individuals (Peng et al., 2022). Finally, the perception of social support can increase an individual's sense of self-worth and self-control, generate positive evaluations of stressful events, and reduce their level of depression (Ozbay et al., 2007).

Moreover, this study found that perceived stress could have a significant influence on depression through the chain mediating effect of resilience and perceived social support, which verified the chain mediating path of resilience and perceived social support. This suggested that resilience not only acted as a single mediator between perceived stress and depression, but also further influenced depression by affecting perceived social support levels. The data showed that perceived social support was positively correlated with resilience, which is basically consistent with existing conclusions (Labrague and Santos, 2020). Feeney and Collins proposed an integrative model of thriving, in which perceived social support and resilience were

thriving components (Feeney and Collins, 2015). This model pointed that perceived social support can provide emotional comfort and reassurance, convey understanding and acceptance, shield or defend the close other from negative forces related to the stressor, and benefit an individual's ability to cope with stress, which is a catalyst for resilience.

Researchers have mostly regarded mental toughness as a process, that is, an individual's ability to deal with adversity challenges, which has been proven to reduce the impact of traumatic events and the possibility of developing post-traumatic stress disorder (Lee et al., 2014). According to Kumpfer's (1999) Resilience Framework, the selective perception was an internal mechanism that affected resilience. Some studies have pointed out that perceived social support (as a positive selective perception) was an important factor affecting resilience (Kalisch et al., 2015; Veer et al., 2021), which was based on the assumption that the belief that one can rely on others will make the potential stressors perceived as less threatening. Perceived social support can act as a protective factor against psychological resilience (Masten and Garmezy, 1985; Werner, 2004). Studies have suggested that perceived social support mediated the relationship between mental resilience and burden (Ong et al., 2018). Previous studies showed high levels of social support and resilience were protective factors against alcohol and drug abuse amongst the general public (Studer et al., 2017; Caravaca-Sánchez and García-Jarillo, 2020). According to the study, it is necessary to pay attention to the physical and mental conditions of COVD19 patients during clinical work. At the same time, the perceived social support of patients needs to be evaluated to improve resilience levels, thus reducing depression in COVID-19 patients with stress.

4.2 Limitations and future research

While this study has provided valuable insights, there are some limitations to this study as well. Firstly, the study was confined to a single hospital with a centralized COVID-19 patient management system. This may introduce bias as management systems and work schedules at other hospitals could potentially influence the variables. To validate the findings, future studies should extend their scope to multiple regions and hospitals. Secondly, the research was limited to perceived stress and depression among COVID-19 patients, ignoring other mental health outcomes such as anxiety. Nevertheless, we postulate that the relationships uncovered in this study likely extend to other conditions.

5 Conclusion

Based on the above, the present model shows that perceived stress influences depression through the independent and combined effects of two mediating variables, namely perceived social support and resilience, which further explains the mechanism of the influence of perceived stress on depression, as well as provides new perspectives for the relationship between the perceived stress and depression for COVID-19 patients. In the future, when suffering public health crises such as pandemics, we could attempt to mobilize individuals' positive psychological traits to improve their mental health in clinical practice. This finding confirms and extends the protective effect of perceived social support and resilience that are being assessed in populations of adults confronted with the epidemic crisis situations.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of the Air Force Medical University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

LW: Writing – original draft, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology. JY: Writing – original draft, Formal

References

Alsubaie, M., Stain, H. J., Webster, L. A. D., and Wadman, R. (2019). The role of sources of social support on depression and quality of life for university students. *Int. J. Adolesc. Youth* 24, 484–496. doi: 10.1080/02673843.2019.1568887

Arrogante, O., and Aparicio-Zaldivar, E. (2017). Burnout and health among critical care professionals: the mediational role of resilience. *Intensive Crit. Care Nurs.* 42, 110–115. doi: 10.1016/j.iccn.2017.04.010

Awano, N., Oyama, N., Akiyama, K., Inomata, M., Kuse, N., Tone, M., et al. (2020). Anxiety, depression, and resilience of healthcare Workers in Japan during the coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak. *Intern. Med.* 59, 2693–2699. doi: 10.2169/internalmedicine.5694-20

Banjongrewadee, M., Wongpakaran, N., Wongpakaran, T., Pipanmekaporn, T., Punjasawadwong, Y., and Mueankwan, S. (2020). The role of perceived stress and cognitive function on the relationship between neuroticism and depression among the elderly: a structural equation model approach. *BMC Psychiatry* 20:25. doi: 10.1186/s12888-020-2440-9

Benedek, D. M., Fullerton, C., and Ursano, R. J. (2007). First responders: mental health consequences of natural and human-made disasters for public health and public safety workers. *Annu. Rev. Public Health* 28, 55–68. doi: 10.1146/annurev. publhealth.28.021406.144037

Besharart, M. A., Khadem, H., Zarei, V., and Momtaz, A. (2020). Mediating role of perceived stress in the relationship between facing existential issues and symptoms of depression and anxiety. *Iran. J. Psychiatry* 15, 80–87.

analysis, Software, Validation. XD: Investigation, Software, Writing – review & editing. YZ: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. YM: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Validation, Writing – review & editing. WH: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was funded by the Aviation Medicine Project of Air Force Military Medical University (No.2020ZTE03) and Natural Science Foundation Youth Project of Shaanxi Province (No.2023-JC-QN-0816).

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all the COVID-19 patients who bravely confronted the virus and participated in the study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Bloom, D. E., Black, S., and Rappuoli, R. (2017). Emerging infectious diseases: a proactive approach. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 114, 4055–4059. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1701410114

Burns, R. A., Anstey, K. J., and Windsor, T. D. (2011). Subjective well-being mediates the effects of resilience and mastery on depression and anxiety in a large community sample of young and middle-aged adults. *Austr. New Zealand J. Psychiatry* 45, 240–248. doi: 10.3109/00048674.2010.529604

Campbell-Sills, L., and Stein, M. B. (2007). Psychometric analysis and refinement of the Connor-davidson resilience scale (CD-RISC): validation of a 10-item measure of resilience. *J. Trauma. Stress.* 20, 1019–1028. doi: 10.1002/jts.20271

Caravaca-Sánchez, F., and García-Jarillo, M. (2020). Perceived social support, resilience and consumption of psychoactive substances amongst inmates in prisons. *Rev. Española Sanidad Penitenciaria* 22, 75–79. doi: 10.18176/resp.00013

Cha, E. J., Jeon, H. J., and Chung, S. (2022). Central symptoms of insomnia in relation to depression and COVID-19 anxiety in general population: a network analysis. *J. Clin. Med.* 11:3416. doi: 10.3390/jcm11123416

Chan, M. H., and Lee, A. T. C. (2022). Perceived social support and depression among occupational therapists in Hong Kong during COVID-19 pandemic. *East Asian Arch. Psychiatr.* 32, 17–21. doi: 10.12809/eaap2205

Chen, M. (2019). A study on the relationship between resilience and social support and disease perception among young and middle-aged patients with type2 diabetes. Nanchang: Nanchang University.

Chen, S., and Bonanno, G. A. (2020). Psychological adjustment during the global outbreak of COVID-19: a resilience perspective. *Psychol. Trauma* 12, S51–s54. doi: 10.1037/tra0000685

Cohen, S. (2004). Social relationships and health. Am. Psychol. 59, 676-684. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.59.8.676

Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., and Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. J. Health Soc. Behav. 24, 385–396. doi: 10.2307/2136404

Cohen, S., and Wills, T. A. (1985). Stress, social support, and the buffering hypothesis. *Psychol. Bull.* 98, 310–357. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.98.2.310

Connor, K. M. (2006). Assessment of resilience in the aftermath of trauma. J. Clin. Psychiatry 67 Suppl 2, 46–49

Cottin, M., Hernández, C., Núñez, C., Labbé, N., Quevedo, Y., Davanzo, A., et al. (2021). "What if we get sick?": Spanish adaptation and validation of the fear of illness and virus evaluation scale in a non-clinical sample exposed to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Front. Psychol.* 12:590283. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.590283

Damian, F. S., Ana, M. M. H., Jamileh, S., Peng, Z., Charlie, A., David, M. P., et al. (2021). Global prevalence and burden of depressive and anxiety disorders in 204 countries and territories in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet* 398, 1700–1712. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02143-7

Damico, V., Murano, L., Teli, M., Margosio, V., Ripamonti, C., and Nattino, G. (2021). Prevalence of post traumatic stress disorder, anxiety and depression among COVID-19 survivors 1 year after intensive care discharge. *Prof. Inferm.* 74:264. doi: 10.7429/ pi.2021.744264a

Davydov, D. M., Stewart, R., Ritchie, K., and Chaudieu, I. (2010). Resilience and mental health. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* 30, 479–495. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2010.03.003

Du, J., Wu, C., Zheng, W. K., Cui, S. N., Li, L., Liu, Z., et al. (2024). Insomnia and anxiety among COVID-19 patients in China: the chain mediating effect of psychological capital and self-esteem. *BMC Nurs.* 23:221. doi: 10.1186/s12912-023-01563-8

Duan, L., and Zhu, G. (2020). Psychological interventions for people affected by the COVID-19 epidemic. *Lancet Psychiatry* 7, 300–302. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30073-0

Dulaney, E. S., Graupmann, V., Grant, K. E., Adam, E. K., and Chen, E. (2008). Taking on the stress-depression link: meaning as a resource in adolescence. *J. Adolesc.* 65, 39–49. doi: 10.1016/j.adolescence.2018.02.011

Dymecka, J., Gerymski, R., and Machnik-Czerwik, A. (2022). How does stress affect life satisfaction during the COVID-19 pandemic? Moderated mediation analysis of sense of coherence and fear of coronavirus. *Psychol. Health Med.* 27, 280–288. doi: 10.1080/13548506.2021.1906436

Feeney, B. C., and Collins, N. L. (2015). A new look at social support: a theoretical perspective on thriving through relationships. *Personal. Soc. Psychol. Rev.* 19, 113–147. doi: 10.1177/1088868314544222

Fu, Y., Sun, Y., Zhang, C., and Li, Q. (2022). A survey of mental health and influencing factors of COVID-19 patients in fangcang shelter hospitals in Shanghai. *J. Tongji Univ.* 43, 303–309. doi: 10.12289/j.issn.1008-0392.22173

Fu, H., and Wang, B. (2022). The effect of risk perception on anxiety in emerging adulthood under the local outbreak of COVID-19: a conditional process analysis. *Front. Psychol.* 13:759510. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.759510

Grey, I., Arora, T., Thomas, J., Saneh, A., Tohme, P., and Abi-Habib, R. (2020). The role of perceived social support on depression and sleep during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychiatry Res.* 293:113452. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113452

Hammen, C. (2005). Stress and depression. Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol. 1, 293–319. doi: 10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.1.102803.143938

Hayes, A. F. (2015). An index and test of linear moderated mediation. *Multivariate Behav. Res.* 50, 1–22. doi: 10.1080/00273171.2014.962683

Hayes, A. F., and Preacher, K. J. (2014). Statistical mediation analysis with a multicategorical independent variable. *Br J Math Stat Psychol.* 67, 451–470. doi: 10.1111/ bmsp.12028

Hewitt, P. L., Flett, G. L., and Mosher, S. W. (1992). The perceived stress scale: factor structure and relation to depression symptoms in a psychiatric sample. *J. Psychopathol. Behav. Assess.* 14, 247–257. doi: 10.1007/BF00962631

Hu, J., Huang, Y., Liu, J., Zheng, Z., Xu, X., Zhou, Y., et al. (2022). COVID-19 related stress and mental health outcomes 1 year after the peak of the pandemic outbreak in China: the mediating effect of resilience and social support. *Front. Psych.* 13:828379. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.828379

Hu, J., Ye, B., Yildirim, M., and Yang, Q. (2023). Perceived stress and life satisfaction during COVID-19 pandemic: the mediating role of social adaptation and the moderating role of emotional resilience. *Psychol. Health Med.* 28, 124–130. doi: 10.1080/13548506.2022.2038385

Hyde, L. W., Gorka, A., Manuck, S. B., and Hariri, A. R. (2011). Perceived social support moderates the link between threat-related amygdala reactivity and trait anxiety. *Neuropsychologia* 49, 651–656. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2010.08.025

Kalisch, R., Baker, D. G., Basten, U., Boks, M. P., Bonanno, G. A., Brummelman, E., et al. (2017). The resilience framework as a strategy to combat stress-related disorders. *Nat Hum Behav.* 1, 784–790. doi: 10.1038/s41562-017-0200-8

Kalisch, R., Müller, M. B., and Tüscher, O. (2015). A conceptual framework for the neurobiological study of resilience. *Behav. Brain Sci.* 38:e92. doi: 10.1017/s0140525x1400082x

Kinser, P. A., Jallo, N., Amstadter, A. B., Thacker, L. R., Jones, E., Moyer, S., et al. (2021). Depression, anxiety, resilience, and coping: the experience of pregnant and new mothers during the first few months of the covid-19 pandemic. *J Womens Health (Larchmt).* 30, 654–664. doi: 10.1089/jwh.2020.8866

Kumpfer, K. L. (1999). Factors and processes contributing to resilience: The resilience framework. New York: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Labrague, L. J., Hammad, K., Gloe, D. S., McEnroe-Petitte, D. M., Fronda, D. C., Obeidat, A. A., et al. (2018). Disaster preparedness among nurses: a systematic review of literature. *Int. Nurs. Rev.* 65, 41–53. doi: 10.1111/inr.12369

Labrague, L. J., and Santos, J. A. A. (2020). COVID-19 anxiety among front-line nurses: predictive role of organisational support, personal resilience and social support. *J. Nurs. Manag.* 28, 1653–1661. doi: 10.1111/jonm.13121

Lathabhavan, R., and Vispute, S. (2022). Examining the mediating effects of stress on fear of COVID-19 and well-being using structural equation modeling. *Int. J. Ment. Heal. Addict.* 20, 2681–2689. doi: 10.1007/s11469-021-00541-y

Lee, J. S., Ahn, Y. S., Jeong, K. S., Chae, J. H., and Choi, K. S. (2014). Resilience buffers the impact of traumatic events on the development of PTSD symptoms in firefighters. *J. Affect. Disord.* 162, 128–133. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2014.02.031

Lee, S., and Crockett, M. S. (1994). Effect of assertiveness training on levels of stress and assertiveness experienced by nurses in Taiwan, republic of China. *Issues Ment. Health Nurs.* 15, 419–432. doi: 10.3109/01612849409006918

Li, Z., Ge, J., Yang, M., Feng, J., Qiao, M., Jiang, R., et al. (2020). Vicarious traumatization in the general public, members, and non-members of medical teams aiding in COVID-19 control. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 88, 916–919. doi: 10.1016/j. bbi.2020.03.007

Li, J., Liu, X., Xu, L., and Jin, Z. (2020). Current status of demoralization and its relationship with medical coping style, self-efficacy and perceived social support in Chinese breast cancer patients. *Eur. J. Psychiatry* 34, 211–218. doi: 10.1016/j. ejpsy.2020.06.007

Liu, C. H., Zhang, E., Wong, G. T. F., Hyun, S., and Hahm, H. C. (2020). Factors associated with depression, anxiety, and PTSD symptomatology during the COVID-19 pandemic: clinical implications for U.S. young adult mental health. *Psychiatry Res.* 290:113172. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113172

Liu, J., Zhu, Q., Fan, W., Makamure, J., Zheng, C., and Wang, J. (2020). Online mental health survey in a medical College in China during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Front. Psych.* 11:459. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00459

Lu, X., Xie, Y., Feng, H., Liu, Z., Ouyang, K., Hou, B., et al. (2021). Psychological impact on COVID-19 patients during the outbreak in China: a case-control study. *Psychiatry Res.* 305:114180. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2021.114180

Lu, H., Yang, J., Zhao, K., Jin, Z., Wen, X., Hu, N., et al. (2024). Perceived risk of COVID-19 hurts mental health: The mediating role of fear of COVID-19 and the moderating role of resilience. *BMC Psychiatry*. 24:58. doi: 10.1186/s12888-024-05511-x

Luceno-Moreno, L., Talavera-Velasco, B., Garcia-Albuerne, Y., and Martin-Garcia, J. (2020). Symptoms of posttraumatic stress, anxiety, depression, levels of resilience and burnout in Spanish health personnel during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 17:5514. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17155514

Luthar, S. S., Cicchetti, D., and Becker, B. (2000). The construct of resilience: a critical evaluation and guidelines for future work. *Child Dev.* 71, 543–562. doi: 10.1111/1467-8624.00164

Lyu, M., Xi, J., and Luo, Y. (2017). Daily emotional characteristics in individuals with different resilience levels: supplementary evidence from experience-sampling method (ESM). *Acta Psychol. Sin.* 49:928. doi: 10.3724/SPJ.1041.2017.00928

Malinauskas, R., and Malinauskiene, V. (2022). Meta-analysis of psychological interventions for reducing stress, anxiety, and depression among university students during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 19:9199. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19159199

Masten, A. S., and Garmezy, N. J. S. U. (1985). Risk, vulnerability, and protective factors in developmental psychopathology. Berlin: Springer Group.

Matalon, N., Dorman-Ilan, S., Hasson-Ohayon, I., Hertz-Palmor, N., Shani, S., Basel, D., et al. (2021). Trajectories of post-traumatic stress symptoms, anxiety, and depression in hospitalized COVID-19 patients: a one-month follow-up. *J. Psychosom. Res.* 143:110399. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2021.110399

Ong, H. L., Vaingankar, J. A., Abdin, E., Sambasivam, R., Fauziana, R., Tan, M. E., et al. (2018). Resilience and burden in caregivers of older adults: moderating and mediating effects of perceived social support. *BMC Psychiatry* 18:27. doi: 10.1186/s12888-018-1616-z

Ozbay, F., Johnson, D. C., Dimoulas, E., Morgan, C. A., Charney, D., and Southwick, S. (2007). Social support and resilience to stress: from neurobiology to clinical practice. *Psychiatry* 4, 35–40

Peng, L., Ye, Y., Wang, L., Qiu, W., Huang, S., Wang, L., et al. (2022). Chain mediation model of perceived stress, resilience, and social support on coping styles of Chinese patients on hemodialysis during COVID-19 pandemic lockdown. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 28:e935300. doi: 10.12659/MSM.935300

Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., and Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *J. Appl. Psychol.* 88, 879–903. doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879

Podsakoff, P. M., and Management, D. W. (1986). Self-reports in organizational research: problems and prospects. *J. Manag.* 12, 531–544. doi: 10.1177/01492063 8601200408

Poudel-Tandukar, K., Chandler, G. E., Jacelon, C. S., Gautam, B., Bertone-Johnson, E. R., and Hollon, S. D. (2019). Resilience and anxiety or depression among resettled Bhutanese adults in the United States. *Int. J. Soc. Psychiatry* 65, 496–506. doi: 10.1177/0020764019862312

Preacher, K. J., and Hayes, A. F. (2008). Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behav. Res. Methods* 40, 879–891. doi: 10.3758/brm.40.3.879

Rauch, S. A. M., Simon, N. M., and Rothbaum, B. O. (2021). Rising tide: responding to the mental health impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Focus* 19, 243–246. doi: 10.1176/appi.focus.19204

Richardson, G. E. (2002). The metatheory of resilience and resiliency. J. Clin. Psychol. 58, 307–321. doi: 10.1002/jclp.10020

Robinson, E., Sutin, A. R., Daly, M., and Jones, A. (2022). A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal cohort studies comparing mental health before versus during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020. *J. Affect. Disord.* 296, 567–576. doi: 10.1016/j. jad.2021.09.098

Santini, Z. I., Koyanagi, A., Tyrovolas, S., Mason, C., and Haro, J. M. (2015). The association between social relationships and depression: a systematic review. J. Affect. Disord. 175, 53–65. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2014.12.049

Southwick, S. M., and Charney, D. S. (2012). The science of resilience: implications for the prevention and treatment of depression. *Science* 338, 79–82. doi: 10.1126/ science.1222942

Spada, M. M., Nikcevic, A. V., Moneta, G. B., and Wells, A. (2008). Metacognition, perceived stress, and negative emotion. *Personal. Individ. Differ.* 44, 1172–1181. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2007.11.010

Spitzer, R. L. (1999). Validation and utility of a self-report version of PRIME-MDThe PHQ primary care study. *JAMA* 282, 1737–1744. doi: 10.1001/jama.282.18.1737

Studer, J., Baggio, S., Dupuis, M., Mohler-Kuo, M., Daeppen, J.-B., and Gmel, G. (2017). Substance use in young Swiss men: the interplay of perceived social support and dispositional characteristics. *Subst. Use Misuse* 52, 798–810. doi: 10.1080/10826084. 2016.1264966

Sun, Y., Gao, L., Kan, Y., and Shi, B-X. (2019). The perceived stress scale-10 (pss-10) is reliable and has construct validity in chinese patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus*. 28, 149–155. doi: 10.1177/0961203318815595

Veer, I. M., Riepenhausen, A., Zerban, M., Wackerhagen, C., Puhlmann, L. M. C., Engen, H., et al. (2021). Psycho-social factors associated with mental resilience in the Corona lockdown. *Transl. Psychiatry* 11:67. doi: 10.1038/s41398-020-01150-4

Vindegaard, N., and Benros, M. E. (2020). COVID-19 pandemic and mental health consequences: systematic review of the current evidence. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 89, 531–542. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.048

Wang, X. D., Wang, X. L., and Ma, H. (1999). Rating scales for mental health. Beijing: Chinese Mental Health Journal Press.

Wen, X., Zhao, C. Y., Kishimoto, T., and Qian, M. Y. (2020). Effect of perceived social support on the efficacy of online cognitive behavioral therapy for social anxiety disorder. *Acta Sci. Nat. Univ. Pekin.* 56, 571–578. doi: 10.13209/j.0479-8023.2020.025

Werner, E. E. (2004). Journeys from childhood to midlife: risk, resilience, and recovery. *Pediatrics* 114:492. doi: 10.1542/peds.114.2.492a

Wilks, S. E., and Croom, B. (2008). Perceived stress and resilience in Alzheimer's disease caregivers: testing moderation and mediation models of social support. *Aging Ment. Health* 12, 357–365. doi: 10.1080/13607860801933323

Xu, L., Gao, Y., Shi, D., Wang, Y., Li, R., and Cai, Y. (2024). Social support moderates the relationship between sleep quality and mental health: a Chinese Fangcang shelter hospital-based study in asymptomatic COVID-19 carriers. *Heliyon* 10:e31782. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e31782

Yan, L., Gan, Y., Ding, X., Wu, J., and Duan, H. (2021). The relationship between perceived stress and emotional distress during the COVID-19 outbreak: Effects of boredom proneness and coping style. *J. Anxiety Disorders*. 77:102328. doi: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2020.102328

Yu, X., Tam, W. W. S., Wong, P. T. K., Lam, T. H., and Stewart, S. M. (2012). The patient health Questionnaire-9 for measuring depressive symptoms among the general population in Hong Kong. *Compr. Psychiatry* 53, 95–102. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2010.11.002

Zhang, J., Yang, Z., Wang, X., Li, J., Dong, L., Wang, F., et al. (2020). The relationship between resilience, anxiety and depression among patients with mild symptoms of COVID-19 in China: a cross-sectional study. *J. Clin. Nurs.* 29, 4020–4029. doi: 10.1111/jocn.15425

Zhao, Z., Guo, J., Zhou, J., Qiao, J., Yue, S., Ouyang, Y. Q., et al. (2023). Perceived social support and professional identity in nursing students during the COVID-19 pandemic era: the mediating effects of self-efficacy and the moderating role of anxiety. *BMC Med. Educ.* 23:117. doi: 10.1186/s12909-022-03968-6

Zhao, Y. J., Xing, X., Tian, T., Wang, Q., Liang, S., Wang, Z., et al. (2022). Post COVID-19 mental health symptoms and quality of life among COVID-19 frontline clinicians: a comparative study using propensity score matching approach. *Transl. Psychiatry* 12:376. doi: 10.1038/s41398-022-02089-4

Zimet, G. D., Dahlem, N. W., Zimet, S. G., and Farley, G. K. (1988). The multidimensional scale of perceived social support. J. Pers. Assess. 52, 30–41. doi: 10.1207/s15327752jpa5201_2