

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Pedro Alexandre Duarte-Mendes, Polytechnic Institute of Castelo Branco, Portugal

REVIEWED BY Nuno Couto, Polytechnic Institute of Santarém, Portugal Miguel Jacinto, Polytechnic Institute of Leiria, Portugal Carlos Farinha, Polytechnic Institute of Castelo Branco, Portugal

*CORRESPONDENCE Xiangqin Song ⊠ songxiangqin@bnu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 05 November 2024 ACCEPTED 08 January 2025 PUBLISHED 27 January 2025

CITATION

Song X, Hou Y, Shi W, Wang Y, Fan F and Hong L (2025) Exploring the impact of different types of exercise on working memory in children with ADHD: a network meta-analysis. *Front. Psychol.* 16:1522944. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1522944

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Song, Hou, Shi, Wang, Fan and Hong. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Exploring the impact of different types of exercise on working memory in children with ADHD: a network meta-analysis

Xiangqin Song*, Yaoqi Hou, Wenying Shi, Yan Wang, Feifan Fan and Liu Hong

College of Physical Education and Sports, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China

Background: Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a common neurodevelopmental disorder in children, often accompanied by working memory deficits. Recently, exercise interventions have gained attention as a potential strategy to improve cognitive function in children with ADHD. However, the effects of different types of exercise on working memory remain unclear. This study aimed to assess the effects of various exercise interventions on working memory in children with ADHD using a network meta-analysis.

Methods: A comprehensive search was conducted in PubMed, Cochrane, Embase, and Web of Science databases for relevant studies. After screening according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 17 eligible studies were identified for analysis. A network meta-analysis was performed to integrate data and evaluate the effects of cognitive-aerobic exercise, ball games, mind-body exercises, interactive games, and general aerobic exercise on working memory in children with ADHD.

Results: The results indicated significant differences in the effectiveness of various types of exercise interventions on working memory in children with ADHD. Cognitive-aerobic exercise showed the most significant effect (SMD = 0.72, 95% CI: 0.44-1.00), followed by ball games (SMD = 0.61, 95% CI: -0.12-1.35). Mind-body exercises and interactive games had moderate effects (SMD = 0.50 and 0.37, respectively), while general aerobic exercise showed relatively small effects (SMD = 0.40, 95% CI: 0.19-0.60). SUCRA analysis further confirmed the highest preference for cognitive-aerobic exercise in improving working memory. Meta-regression analysis showed that intervention frequency and total intervention duration significantly affected the effectiveness of cognitive-aerobic exercise, while other variables did not significantly moderate the effects.

Conclusion: Cognitive-aerobic exercise had the most significant effect on improving working memory in children with ADHD. Higher intervention frequency and longer intervention duration may enhance its effects. Future research should explore the impact of these factors and consider increasing sample sizes to validate the role of these moderators.

Systematic review registration: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/ display_record.php?RecordID=627915.

KEYWORDS

physical activity, working memory, children, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, network meta-analysis

1 Introduction

Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder that has seen a rising prevalence in recent years. The prevalence in children and adolescents is approximately 5%-7%, with the diagnosis rate significantly higher in boys than in girls (Mohammadi et al., 2021). According to data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), by 2020, approximately 9.4% of children aged 3 to 17 years were diagnosed with ADHD, which equates to nearly one in every 10 children being affected (Harris, 2024). Individuals with ADHD commonly exhibit difficulty maintaining attention, are easily distracted by external stimuli, show a lack of attention to detail, and struggle to organize and complete tasks, particularly those requiring sustained focus (Bush, 2010). Additionally, hyperactivity is often observed, such as an inability to sit still, frequent movement, climbing, or running, and engaging in hyperactive behaviors in inappropriate situations (de la Peña et al., 2020). ADHD patients tend to be impulsive, characterized by a lack of self-control, poor planning, frequent interruptions of others, and expressing opinions at inappropriate times (Ayano et al., 2023). In adults, symptoms may manifest as inner restlessness, difficulty remaining calm, and emotional dysregulation (Soler-Gutiérrez et al., 2023).

Working memory refers to the ability to hold and manipulate information over a short period and is a crucial component of executive function, supporting the completion of daily tasks, learning, and social interactions (Baddeley, 2012). In individuals with ADHD, impaired working memory leads to frequent distractions and difficulty maintaining attention during tasks (Kasper et al., 2012). This impairment manifests in several ways: interruptions during multi-step tasks, forgetfulness of important information, and challenges in emotional regulation and impulse control (Kofler et al., 2018; Ramos et al., 2020). Two primary theoretical models explain the relationship between ADHD and working memory. Barkley's response inhibition model suggests that deficient response inhibition is the primary impairment, leading to executive function deficits including working memory problems, which result in hyperactivity, impulsivity, and inattention symptoms (Barkley, 1997). The alternative working memory model, drawing from Baddeley and Hitch's multi-component theory, positions working memory deficits as a core feature of ADHD (Rapport et al., 2001). This model, based on Baddeley and Hitch's multi-component working memory theory, includes four elements: the central executive system, phonological loop, visuospatial sketchpad, and the episodic buffer, which work together to coordinate the storage and processing of information (RepovŠ and Baddeley, 2006; Baddeley, 1996, 1992). Deficits in working memory pose significant challenges for ADHD patients in academic, social, and emotional management. Improving working memory in ADHD patients is considered key to enhancing their overall cognitive function (Rogers et al., 2011). Given these significant impacts of working memory deficits on ADHD symptoms, researchers have investigated various interventions to address these challenges, with physical exercise emerging as a particularly promising approach.

Exercise refers to a subset of physical activity that is planned, structured, repetitive, and purposive, with the objective of improving or maintaining physical fitness and overall health. Unlike general physical activity, such as walking or casual playing, exercise involves deliberate effort to achieve specific fitness goals (Bouchard et al., 2012). This definition encompasses a wide range of activities, including aerobic exercises, strength training, flexibility exercises, and motor skill-based training. In the context of this study, we focus on aerobic exercises, which are defined as sustained physical activities that increase heart rate and improve cardiovascular fitness (Kargarfard and Afrasyabi, 2015; Mehren et al., 2019). These exercises can further be classified into two main categories: traditional aerobic exercise and cognitively enriched aerobic exercise. Traditional aerobic exercise involves repetitive and rhythmic movements, such as running, swimming, or cycling, aimed solely at improving physical endurance and fitness. Cognitively enriched aerobic exercise, on the other hand, combines physical activity with simultaneous cognitive tasks, such as decision-making, problem-solving, or memory challenges (Karssemeijer et al., 2017; Shimada et al., 2018). Examples include dual-task exercises, strategy-based games, and exergaming (Lauenroth et al., 2016). By distinguishing between these two types of aerobic exercise, this study aims to investigate their differential impacts on working memory in children with ADHD, emphasizing the potential added benefits of cognitive engagement during physical activity.

Exercise interventions have been shown in numerous studies to have a positive impact on working memory (Chaire et al., 2020; Zhidong et al., 2021). Physical exercise, especially aerobic exercise and moderate-intensity physical activity, is believed to promote brain plasticity, enhance neurotransmission related to memory and learning (such as the levels of dopamine and norepinephrine), and improve cerebral blood flow and oxygen supply, thereby facilitating the enhancement of working memory (Pickersgill et al., 2022; Johansson et al., 2022). Studies have found that regular physical activity, particularly exercise forms that involve attentional demands, such as cognitive-motor skill exercises, not only improve working memory capacity but also enhance information processing speed and cognitive flexibility (Hou et al., 2024). Research indicates that aerobic exercises such as running, swimming, and cycling can significantly alleviate symptoms of hyperactivity, impulsivity, and inattention in children with ADHD (Feng et al., 2024; Sun et al., 2022). Exercise not only helps release neurotransmitters like dopamine and norepinephrine in the brain but also regulates mood and improves behavioral control (Bastioli et al., 2022). These effects significantly contribute to enhancing academic performance and social skills in children with ADHD, while the feasibility and enjoyment of physical activities make them more easily integrated into their daily routines.

Although existing studies have confirmed the positive impact of exercise interventions on working memory in children with ADHD, differences in intervention effects may exist across various types of exercise, such as aerobic exercise, cognitive exercise, and skill-based exercises. However, most current studies have focused on the effects of individual exercise forms, and comparative research on multiple types of exercise interventions is relatively scarce. This limitation makes it difficult for researchers to comprehensively understand which exercise modality has the most beneficial effect on improving working memory in children with ADHD. Therefore, it is necessary to adopt a comprehensive approach that integrates the effects of different exercise interventions, providing a more comprehensive reference for intervention strategies.

Therefore, it is necessary to adopt a comprehensive approach that integrates the effects of different exercise interventions, providing a more comprehensive reference for intervention strategies. Based on previous literature and theoretical frameworks, we proposed the following hypotheses: Different types of exercise interventions would show varying degrees of effectiveness in improving working memory, with structured exercise programs showing greater benefits than general physical activity. Exercise interventions that involve higher levels of cognitive engagement and motor skill development would lead to greater improvements in working memory performance compared to simple aerobic exercises, due to their enhanced effects on neural plasticity and neurotransmitter regulation.

2 Method

2.1 Protocol

This study was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Network Meta-Analyses (PRISMA-NMA) guidelines. The PRISMA checklist is provided in Appendix. PROSPERO registration number CRD42024627915.

2.2 Search strategy

The search strategy was developed based on the PICOS framework and conducted through systematic searches in the PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science (WoS) databases to ensure coverage of major biomedical, psychological, and multidisciplinary research sources. The inclusion time frame for the literature will cover from the establishment of each database to November 2024. Key terms included ADHD, exercise intervention, children and adolescents, and working memory, combined using Boolean logic terms (AND, OR) to obtain literature highly relevant to the research topic. Additionally, during the search process, manual screening of individual searches or the extraction of relevant studies from published meta-analyses was performed to supplement and refine the data sources. Detailed search strategies, subject terms, and keywords can be found in the Appendix. See Appendix for further details.

2.3 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

2.3.1 Inclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria for this study were as follows: participants were children and adolescents aged 3 to 18 years diagnosed with ADHD based on internationally recognized diagnostic criteria, such as DSM-5 or ICD-10, ensuring consistency and accuracy in ADHD diagnosis. The interventions included structured exercise programs, such as aerobic exercise (e.g., running, swimming), strength training (e.g., resistance training), cognitive exercise, and balance or coordination exercises, with clearly reported duration, frequency, and intensity to ensure standardization and reproducibility. The studies must include a control group, such as no-intervention groups, routine care, or non-exercise intervention groups (e.g., behavioral therapy), or comparisons between different exercise interventions with sufficient distinction. The primary outcome was required to focus on changes in working memory, measured using standardized and validated tools, with a clear distinction from other cognitive function indicators when multiple outcomes were reported. Eligible study designs included randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Studies were excluded if they involved participants with severe neurological, psychiatric, or physical disorders unrelated to ADHD, focused solely on pharmacological or psychological therapies without an exercise component, lacked adequate control groups, did not report working memory-specific outcomes, or were published in languages other than English or provided insufficient data for analysis.

2.3.2 Exclusion criteria

Research subjects meeting any of the following criteria will be excluded: (1) presence of severe mental or neurological disorders that may affect cognitive function; (2) ADHD diagnosis based solely on teacher or parent reports without clinical evaluation; (3) interventions where the independent effects of exercise cannot be isolated from other treatment components; (4) studies where control groups received mixed interventions for ethical or other considerations; (5) interventions lacking clear documentation of exercise instructor qualifications or professional credentials; (6) studies without reported participant compliance or completion rates; (7) research with significant methodological bias or inappropriate application of measurement instruments; and (8) case studies, retrospective analyses, or review articles. Additionally, studies showing significant baseline differences between experimental and control groups without proper statistical adjustment will be excluded.

2.4 Literature screening and data extraction

During the literature screening and data extraction phase, the retrieved studies will first be imported into the EndNote reference management software for duplicate removal. Subsequently, two rounds of literature screening will be conducted according to the pre-established inclusion and exclusion criteria. In the first round, screening will be based on titles and abstracts, and will be performed by two independent reviewers to exclude studies that clearly do not meet the criteria. The second round will involve a full-text review of the studies that passed the initial screening to ultimately determine which studies meet the inclusion criteria. In cases of disagreement between the two reviewers during the screening process, the issue will be resolved through discussion or arbitration by a third reviewer. For data extraction, a pre-designed data extraction form will be used to collect relevant information, including but not limited to: basic study information (such as authors, publication year, country), study design type, sample characteristics (such as age, gender, and ADHD diagnostic criteria), detailed descriptions of the intervention (such as type of exercise, intensity, frequency, and duration), control group information, outcome measures, and main results (quantitative data on working memory). Data extraction will be conducted independently by two reviewers, with cross-checking to ensure accuracy and consistency. If any data is missing or unclear, attempts will be made to contact the authors of the original studies for clarification.

2.5 Quality assessment of the literature

To systematically assess the methodological quality of the included studies, this research will use the Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro) scale to evaluate the quality of each randomized controlled trial (RCT). The PEDro scale consists of 11 criteria designed to identify potential biases in study design, covering aspects such as random allocation, baseline similarity, blinding, and outcome measurement. Studies with a score below 4 will be considered to have a high risk of methodological bias and will be excluded from the analysis; studies with a score above 4 will be classified according to score levels to ensure that only high-quality studies are included, thereby improving the reliability of the results. For nonrandomized controlled trials (non-RCTs), this research will use the Methodological Index for Non-Randomized Studies (MINORS) scale to evaluate methodological quality. The MINORS scale addresses the specific requirements for non-randomized studies, including reporting baseline characteristics, describing sample selection, and assessing primary outcomes. Similar to the PEDro scale, the MINORS scale ensures a comprehensive evaluation of methodological rigor and potential biases. The use of these two scales ensures that the analysis is based on high-quality evidence, minimizing the impact of methodological biases on the study conclusions.

2.6 Risk of bias assessment

The risk of bias assessment was conducted using tools recommended by Cochrane. For randomized controlled trials (RCTs), the Risk of Bias 2 (RoB 2) tool was employed to evaluate five domains: randomization process, intervention implementation, missing data, outcome measurement, and outcome reporting. Studies were rated as "low risk" if all domains were assessed as low risk, "some concerns" if at least one domain indicated concerns but none were high risk, and "high risk" if at least one domain was high risk or four or more domains indicated concerns. For non-randomized studies, the Risk Of Bias In Non-randomized Studies – of Interventions, Version 2 (ROBINS-I V2) tool was utilized to assess seven domains: confounding, participant selection, intervention classification, intervention

implementation, missing data, outcome measurement, and outcome reporting. The risk of bias was categorized as "low," "moderate," or "serious." The integration of results from both tools enabled a comprehensive evaluation of the evidence quality in this study.

2.7 Data analysis

In this study, the network meta-analysis will be conducted using R software (version 4.3.2) with the "gemtc" package, combined with the JAGS program, through the Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm under a randomeffects model for data synthesis. To ensure the accuracy of the analysis, four Markov chains will be used for model construction, with 20,000 iterations, the first 5,000 of which will be used for preliminary annealing to promote model convergence. The effectiveness of model convergence will be assessed using the Potential Scale Reduction Factor (PSRF), with a PSRF value close to 1 indicating satisfactory convergence. In the calculation of effect sizes, standardized mean differences (SMDs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) will be used to accommodate variations in measurement tools across the included studies. According to Cohen's classification, an SMD $\geq |0.8|$ is considered a large effect, \geq 0.5 but < 0.8 as a medium effect, \geq 0.2 but < 0.5 as a small effect, and < |0.2| is regarded as a negligible effect (Chen et al., 2010).

The initial steps of the analysis will involve constructing a network evidence plot to visualize the direct and indirect relationships between different exercise interventions. The thickness of the lines in the plot will be proportional to the number of related studies, and interventions with larger sample sizes will be represented by larger nodes. For closed-loop evidence networks, consistency testing will be performed using the nodesplitting method. If the *P*-value exceeds 0.05, it indicates good consistency between the interventions. For interventions without direct comparisons, indirect assessments will be made using the network meta-analysis framework. Additionally, the cumulative ranking (SUCRA) values for each intervention comparison will be calculated to rank their effects. A SUCRA value close to 1 indicates a better effect, while a value closer to 0 indicates a poorer effect.

3 Results

In the literature screening process of this study, a total of 8,916 relevant articles were retrieved from multiple databases (PubMed, WOS, Embase, Cochrane). After removing duplicates, 4,403 articles remained. During the title and abstract screening, 4,513 irrelevant articles were excluded, leaving 98 articles for full-text review. In the full-text screening, 32 articles were excluded due to unclear outcome measures, 11 articles were excluded for being non-exercise interventions, 24 articles were excluded for lacking control groups, 5 articles were excluded due to non-ADHD participants or age outside the inclusion range, and 9 articles were excluded because the data could not be extracted. Ultimately, 17 studies that met the



inclusion criteria were included. The literature search flow is shown in Figure 1.

The 17 included studies involved 419 participants, with intervention periods ranging from 1 to 13 weeks. The frequency of intervention was between 1 and 5 times per week, with each session lasting from 10 to 90 min. Participants' ages ranged from 3 to 18 years, with a relatively low proportion of female participants, and some studies only included male participants. The included studies were conducted across several countries, including China (5 studies), the United States (3 studies), Switzerland (2 studies), Iran (2 studies), the Netherlands (3 studies), Germany (1 study), and Canada (1 study). Various exercise interventions were used in the experimental groups, such as cycling, judo training, motionsensing games, yoga, and aerobic exercise combined with cognitive tasks. The control groups were set as no treatment, regular education, watching videos, sedentary activities, etc. Multiple outcome measurement tools were used to assess work memory and other cognitive metrics. Table 1 provides a detailed summary of the basic characteristics of each study, including the first author, publication year, country, age range, mean age, proportion of females, experimental design, intervention duration, and outcome measurement tools.

3.1 Quality assessment of the included studies

The quality of the included studies was assessed using the PEDro scale for randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and the MINORS scale for non-randomized controlled trials (non-RCTs). A total of 13 RCTs were evaluated with PEDro scores ranging from 4 to 7, indicating moderate methodological quality. Most studies scored well on criteria such as eligibility criteria, random allocation, concealed allocation, and statistical comparisons of primary outcomes. However, lower scores were observed for items related to baseline similarity, blinding of participants, and blinding of therapists, indicating potential risks of bias in these areas. For the non-RCTs, four studies were assessed using the MINORS scale, with total scores ranging from 14 to 16, suggesting relatively high methodological quality. These studies performed well in areas such as clearly stated aims, appropriate endpoints, and baseline equivalence, while lower scores were noted for the lack of blinded assessments and prospective calculation of sample size. Overall, the quality assessment ensured that only studies with sufficient methodological rigor were included in the analysis, though some

TABLE 1 Basic characteristics of the included studies.

| References | Country | Sample age (years) | Male percentage (%) | Sample size (E/C) | Experimental group | Control group | Intervention dose | Outcome indicator | Diagnostic |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Van Riper et al. (2023) | USA | Mean: 10–18 Range: 13.6 ± 1.9 | 73.30% | 28 (15/23) | Cycling | No treatment | 25 min * 1 times * 1 weeks | GNG N-Back | Hospital certificate |
| Ludyga et al. (2022) | China | Mean: 8–12 Range: 10.8 ± 1.2 | NA | 57 (29/28) | Judo Training | Regular education | 60 min * 2 times * 12 weeks | E-Prime | DSM-V |
| Liang et al. (2022) | China | Mean: 6–12 Range: 8.4 ± 1.4 | 77.50% | 80 (40/40) | Cognitive Aerobic | No treatment | 60 min * 3 times * 12 weeks | ToL Flanker TMT | DSM-V |
| Nejati and Derakhshan (2021) | Iran | Mean: NA Range: 9.43 ± 1.43 | 100.00% | 30 (15/15) | Cognitive Aerobic | Running | 45 min * 3 times * 5 weeks | GNG WCST N-Back | DSM-V |
| Bigelow et al. (2021) | Canada | Mean: 10–14 Range: 11.38 ± 1.5 | 80.00% | 32 (16/16) | Cycling | No treatment | 10 min * 1 times * 1 weeks | Stroop Leiter-3 TMT | DSM-V |
| Smith et al. (2020) | USA | Mean: 5–9 Range: 7.4 ± 1.1 | 70.00% | 80 (53/27) | Cognitive Aerobic | Regular treatment | 45 min * 3 times * 15 weeks | CVLT Flanker | DSM-IV |
| Geladé et al. (2018) | Netherlands | Mean: 7–13 Range: 9.6 ± 1.67 | 78.00% | 92 (33/28/31) | Simple Aerobic | Neurotherapy/ medication | 45 min * 3 times * 12 weeks | VSWM | DSM-V |
| Pan et al. (2019) | China | Mean: 7–12 Range: 9.08 ± 1.43 | 100.00% | 30 (15/15) | Bad minton | No treatment | 70 min * 2 times * 12 weeks | Stroop WCST | DSM-IV |
| Benzing and Schmidt (2019) | Switzerland | Mean: 8–12 Range: 10.46 ± 1.30 | 82.40% | 51 (28/23) | Exerga ming | No treatment | 30 min * 3 times * 8 weeks | Simon Flanker | ICD-10 |
| Rezaei et al. (2018) | Iran | Mean: 7–11 Range: NA | NA | 14 (7/7) | Yoga | No treatment | 45 min * 3 times * 8 weeks | CPT WISC-R | DSM-V |
| Benzing et al. (2018) | Switzerland | Mean: 8–12 Range: 10.46 ± 1.35 | 82.60% | 46 (24/22) | Exerga ming | Watching videos | 15 min * 1 times * 1 weeks | Stroop FT CSBT | ICD-10 |
| Geladé et al. (2017) | Netherlands | Mean: 7–13 Range: 9.8 ± 2.0 | 75.90% | 112 (37/39/36) | Simple Aerobic | Neurotherapy/ medication | 45 min * 3 times * 12 weeks | SST VSWM | DSM-IV |
| Bustamante et al. (2016) | USA | Mean: 6–12 Range: 9.4 ± 2.2 | 69.00% | 35 (19/16) | Simple Aerobic | Sedentary | 90 min * 5 times * 10 weeks | STOPIT AWMA | DSM-IV |
| Ruiter et al. (2022) | Netherlands | Mean: NA Range: 15.62 ± 2.20 | 94.40% | 18 (18/18) | Cycling | Sedentary | 30 min * 1 times * 1 weeks | Phonological WM Task | DSM-V |
| Hung et al. (2016) | China | Mean: 8–12 Range: 10.24 ± 1.78 | 97.00% | 40 (20/20) | Running | Watching videos | 30 min * 1 times * 1 weeks | TSP | Hospital Certificate |
| Chang et al. (2012) | China | Mean: 8–13 Range: 10.43 | 93.00% | 40 (20/20) | Running | Watching videos | 30 min * 1 times * 1 weeks | Stroop WCST | DSM-IV |
| Ziereis and Jansen (2015) | Germany | Mean: 7–12 Range: 9.2 ± 1.3 | 74.00% | 43 (13/14/16) | Cognitive Aerobic | No treatment | 60 min * 1 times * 12 weeks | DSFBT LNST | ICD-10 |

Song et al.

For convenience, only the first author is given. E/C means experimental group/control group; NA, no report; GNG, Go/No-Go Task; N-Back, N-Back Task; E-Prime, E-Prime Software for Psychological Experimentation; ToL, Tower of London; TMT, Trail Making Test; WCST, Wisconsin Card Sorting Test; Stroop, Stroop Task; Leiter-3, Leiter International Performance Scale-Third Edition; CVLT, California Verbal Learning Test; Flanker, Flanker, Task; NeuroTrax, NeuroTrax Cognitive Test; CPT, Continuous Performance Scale-Third Edition; CVLT, California Verbal Learning Test; Flanker, Flanker, Task; NeuroTrax, NeuroTrax Cognitive Test; CPT, Continuous Performance Scale-Third Edition; CVLT, California Verbal Learning Test; Stroop, Stroop Task; Stop, Stop Task; CBT, Color Span Backward Task; Auditory Oddball, Auditory Oddball, Task; SST, Stop-Signal Task; VSWM, Visual-Spatial Working Memory Task; STOPIT, Stop-Signal Task; AWMA, Automated Working Memory Assessment; Phonological WM, Phonological Working Memory Task; TSP, Task Switching Paradigm; DSFBT, Digit Span Forward and Backward Task; LNST, Letter-Number Sequencing Task.



risk of bias remains and should be considered in the interpretation of results.

3.2 Risk assessment results of literature bias

In this study, we used the RoB 2 and ROBINS-I tools to assess the risk of bias in randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and nonrandomized studies, respectively. Among the 13 RCTs, only two studies had a low risk of bias in all evaluation areas. Approximately 60% of the RCTs had some concerns or a high risk of bias. For the four non-randomized studies included, controlling for confounding factors was the main limitation. Two of these studies were rated as high risk. However, the selection of participants and classification of interventions were handled well, both showing a low risk of bias. It is important to note that non-randomized studies also had some limitations in outcome measurement and reporting, with about 50% of these studies showing some issues. Due to the nature of the intervention, it was difficult to implement double-blinding for both participants and researchers. Additionally, monitoring and quantifying exercise adherence was challenging, which may have affected the standardization of the intervention (see Appendix Tables 1, 2).

3.3 Results of data analysis

The network meta-analysis assessed the impact of various exercise interventions on working memory in children with ADHD. The results indicated a moderate effect of exercise interventions on working memory. To validate the robustness of the analysis, an inconsistency network model was employed to examine the overall consistency between both pairwise and multiarm direct and indirect effects simultaneously. Furthermore, model convergence was evaluated using the potential scale reduction factor (PSRF), with results approaching 1, indicating robust convergence (see Appendix Figures 1, 2).

The findings showed minimal differences in the deviance information criterion (DIC) between the consistency model and the inconsistency model, with a difference of <5, suggesting no significant disparity between the two models, and no evident inconsistency (see Appendix Figure 3). The loop inconsistency model was used to assess the A-B-D loop, revealing an inconsistency factor (IF) of 0.036, standard error (seIF) of 0.517, a z-value of 0.070, and a p-value of 0.944, with a 95% confidence interval of (0.00, 1.05). Since the p-value was > 0.05 and the confidence interval included 0, it suggests no significant inconsistency between direct and indirect effects within the loop. Moreover, the heterogeneity within the loop was 0.064, indicating low heterogeneity, further supporting the consistency of direct and indirect evidence within the loop (see Appendix Figure 4). The adjusted funnel plot showed no significant publication bias (see Appendix Figure 5).

3.3.1 The impact of sports activities on working memory in children with ADHD

The network plot displays the comparative relationships between different exercise interventions (such as ball sports, cognitive-aerobic exercise, simple aerobic exercise, mind-body exercise, and interactive games) and the control group. The size of the nodes reflects the sample size, with the control group, cognitive-aerobic exercise, and simple aerobic exercise having the largest sample sizes. The thickness of the connecting lines represents the abundance of comparison data, with thicker lines between the control group and cognitive-aerobic exercise, and simple aerobic exercise, indicating more extensive data for these interventions. Comparatively, the data for ball sports, interactive games, and mind-body exercise are less abundant. The plot shows fewer closed loops, suggesting that direct comparisons among interventions are limited, and the majority of the studies focus on comparisons between the interventions and the control group (see Figure 2).

Based on the forest plot and SUCRA ranking analysis, significant differences were observed in the effectiveness of various exercise interventions in improving working memory in children with ADHD. The network meta-analysis revealed a moderate overall effect size (SMD = 0.50, 95% CI: 0.35-0.64), with cognitiveaerobic exercise demonstrating the most significant effect (SMD = 0.72, 95% CI: 0.44-1.00) and the highest SUCRA value (75.21%). Ball sports ranked second with a moderate to high improvement effect (SMD = 0.61, 95% CI: -0.12-1.35) and a SUCRA value of 61.28%. Mind-body exercises (SMD = 0.50, 95% CI: -0.04-1.04, SUCRA = 55.46%) and interactive games (SMD = 0.37, 95% CI: -0.03-0.78, SUCRA = 44.91%) showed moderate effects. Simple aerobic exercise exhibited a smaller improvement effect (SMD = 0.40, 95% CI: 0.19-0.60) with a SUCRA value of 44.28%, while the control group showed minimal improvement (SUCRA = 10.53%). These results highlight the superior effectiveness of cognitiveaerobic exercise in enhancing working memory in children with ADHD, followed by ball sports and mind-body exercises (see Figures 3, 4).

To explore potential moderators of the intervention effects, a meta-regression analysis was conducted, incorporating variables such as the mean age of participants, gender ratio, weekly intervention frequency, total intervention duration, session duration, and overall intervention period. The results indicated that none of these factors significantly moderated the effect of exercise interventions, further confirming the robustness of the findings (see Appendix Figure 6).

In this study, the meta-regression analysis aimed to examine potential moderators influencing the exercise intervention effects by including the following variables in the model: year of publication (the time the study was conducted), participant age (age distribution of children with ADHD), gender ratio (gender composition), weekly intervention frequency (the number of interventions per week), total intervention time (overall duration of the intervention), session duration (duration of each intervention), and total intervention period (the overall duration of the intervention program). The purpose of incorporating these variables was to assess how different experimental designs and population characteristics might moderate the effects of exercise interventions, thereby confirming the robustness of the study's results.

The analysis revealed that, with the exception of weekly intervention frequency and total intervention time, which significantly influenced the effects of cognitive-aerobic exercise, the other variables (including year of publication, participant age, gender ratio, session duration, and total intervention period) did not significantly moderate the effect of exercise interventions. Specifically, the results showed that increased weekly intervention frequency and total intervention time significantly enhanced the effect of cognitive-aerobic exercise in improving working memory in children with ADHD. This suggests that the effectiveness of cognitive-aerobic exercise may depend on higher intervention frequency and longer cumulative intervention duration.

In summary, cognitive-aerobic exercise and ball sports were found to be the most effective in improving working memory in children with ADHD, while the effect of simple aerobic exercise was more limited. The network meta-analysis integrated both direct and indirect evidence, ensuring the robustness of the results. By combining effect sizes with cumulative ranking values (SUCRA), researchers can gain a clearer understanding of the strength and relative priority of different interventions. However, some interventions exhibited wider confidence intervals for effect sizes, suggesting that the results may be influenced by sample size and heterogeneity of the interventions. Future studies could consider increasing sample sizes and improving intervention designs to enhance the precision and consistency of the findings. Additionally, sensitivity analyses and publication bias tests indicated that the results of this study were relatively robust, providing support for the reliability of the intervention effects.

4 Discussion

Based on the cumulative probability ranking and coverage area shown in Figure 5, significant differences exist in the effects of various types of exercise interventions on improving working memory in children with ADHD. In the SUCRA cumulative probability analysis, a larger coverage area indicates a higher rank in terms of intervention effectiveness, meaning the intervention has a stronger effect on enhancing working memory. From the figure, it can be observed that cognitive-aerobic exercise has the largest coverage area at 75.21%, indicating that this intervention is the most effective among all types. This larger coverage area suggests that cognitive-aerobic exercise has a clear advantage in improving working memory in children with ADHD. Following closely is ball sports, with a coverage area of 61.28%, also showing a good improvement effect. Mind-body exercises have a coverage area of 55.46%, ranking third, indicating a moderate improvement effect. Interactive games and simple aerobic exercises have relatively smaller coverage areas, at 44.91% and 44.28%, respectively, suggesting that their effects on improving working memory are more moderate. Finally, the control group has the smallest coverage area, at only 10.53%, showing almost no improvement.

These differences in coverage areas reflect the varying cognitive load, executive demands, and complexity involved in each type of exercise intervention. The disparity in results may stem from the distinct cognitive demands of different exercise types on children with ADHD and the degree to which they activate executive functions. Cognitive-aerobic exercise shows the most significant effect (SMD = 0.72), which may be because this type of exercise combines the dual demands of aerobic activity and cognitive tasks, requiring children to continuously process information, maintain focus, and perform complex cognitive operations during the exercise (Yu et al., 2018; McDaniel et al., 2014). Cognitiveaerobic exercise may involve tasks with rules and objectives, which increase the load on the prefrontal cortex, making it highly active



| 0.10 (0.68, 0.80) | D-UCt- | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 0.10 (-0.68, 0.89) | Ball Sports | | | |
| 0.21 (-0.40, 0.82) | 0.11 (-0.80, 1.02) | Mind Body Exercise | | |
| 0.33 (-0.16, 0.82) | 0.23 (-0.61, 1.07) | 0.12 (-0.56, 0.80) | Interactive Games | |
| 0.33 (0.00, 0.66) | 0.22 (-0.54, 0.99) | 0.12 (-0.46, 0.69) | 0.00 (-0.46, 0.45) | Simple Aerobic |
| 0.70 (0.43, 0.97) | 0.60 (-0.14, 1.33) | 0.49 (-0.05, 1.03) | 0.37 (-0.04, 0.77) | 0.37 (0.17, 0.57) |

in multitasking situations (Koch et al., 2020). The prefrontal cortex is closely associated with working memory, and this sustained cognitive stimulation helps improve working memory function (Curtis and D'Esposito, 2003). Furthermore, cognitive-aerobic exercise may involve repeated cognitive switching, decisionmaking, and memory retrieval, which effectively trains children's ability to retain and update information, thus showing significant effects in enhancing working memory (Soltani Kouhbanani and Rothenberger, 2021).

Ball sports rank second in effectiveness (SMD = 0.61), and their positive impact on working memory may stem from

the strategic and team-oriented demands of these sports. For example, sports such as soccer or basketball typically require children to remain highly focused in dynamic and competitive situations, while also remembering and analyzing the actions of teammates and opponents, which places a high demand on task memory. In ball sports, children not only need to plan and execute movements but also continuously adjust strategies and predict the opponent's actions. These multitasking and realtime adjustment characteristics directly exercise their working memory load, information storage, and response speed (Ishihara and Mizuno, 2018; Ishihara et al., 2017).



In contrast, mind-body exercises (SMD = 0.50) and interactive games (SMD = 0.37) show moderate effects. This is likely because these activities may be more significant in improving attention and emotional regulation but present relatively lower direct challenges to executive functions and cognitive load (Wang et al., 2023). Mind-body exercises such as yoga and Tai Chi typically emphasize concentration, breath control, and relaxation. Studies have shown that such exercises help reduce anxiety levels in children with ADHD and enhance the stability of their attention (Wu et al., 2013; Rao et al., 2019). While these effects indirectly improve working memory, they may lack the high cognitive load stimulation required for direct improvements. Virtual sports-based interactive games primarily focus on enhancing children's social interaction and cooperation experiences, such as motivating participants through collaborative tasks or fun competitions in virtual environments. Although these games have a positive impact on the social behavior and emotional regulation of children with ADHD (Ochi et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2022), their lower task complexity and memory load requirements mean they are less effective than cognitiveaerobic exercise and ball sports in directly improving working memory. The cognitive challenges in these games are limited and generally do not involve high-intensity memory tasks or complex decision-making, making their direct impact on working memory relatively modest.

On the other hand, the effect of regular aerobic exercise is the weakest (SMD = 0.40), which may be attributed to its simpler exercise format and lower cognitive load. Activities like running and skipping, while improving overall physical fitness and stimulating dopamine secretion, can help children with ADHD maintain attention in the short term (Kuo et al., 2024; Ren et al., 2024). However, since they lack demands for memory and multitasking, they are often insufficient to activate the prefrontal cortex's executive function areas. As a result, their direct impact on working memory is relatively small.

Compared to existing studies, this network meta-analysis is the first to systematically evaluate the effects of different exercise interventions on working memory in children with ADHD. Our findings revealed that cognitive-aerobic exercise showed the most significant improvement (SMD = 0.72, 95% CI: 0.44-1.00). This effect size is notably larger than that reported in a recent metaanalysis of pure aerobic exercise (SMD = 0.48, 95% CI: 0.02-0.95). The difference suggests a potential synergistic effect when cognitive tasks are integrated into aerobic exercise. Our study identified intervention frequency and total duration as key factors affecting intervention outcomes. This aligns with Yang et al. (2024)'s findings, which emphasized the effectiveness of exercise programs lasting 6-12 weeks with 60-90 min per session. Previous research has primarily focused on single types of exercise. For instance, Sun et al. (2024) only examined structured physical exercise. In contrast, our systematic comparison of various exercise types provides more comprehensive guidance for clinical practice. Notably, our results indicate that ball games may have considerable beneficial effects (SMD = 0.61). This finding has received limited attention in previous research. It opens new directions for future studies in this field.

In summary, these differences may reflect variations in cognitive load, executive demands, and the degree of prefrontal cortex activation across different types of exercise interventions, leading to significantly different effects on the improvement of working memory in children with ADHD. Cognitive-aerobic exercise and ball sports, due to their higher cognitive demands and dynamic complexity, have been more effective in promoting improvements in working memory (Jacobson and Matthaeus, 2014). In contrast, mind-body exercises and interactive games, which emphasize emotional regulation and simple social tasks, show moderate effects. Regular aerobic exercise, due to its more straightforward physical activity format, has the smallest impact on working memory improvement. These findings suggest that when designing exercise interventions for children with ADHD, priority should be given to exercise types with higher cognitive load in order to more effectively enhance working memory.

Although this study reveals the differences in the effectiveness of various exercise interventions in improving working memory in children with ADHD, several limitations remain. For instance, the underlying mechanisms of these interventions have not been thoroughly explored, and there is a lack of long-term follow-up data. Additionally, there is considerable heterogeneity among the samples, and children of different ages or with varying levels of symptom severity may respond differently to the interventions. These findings have important theoretical and practical implications. Future research should further explore the specific mechanisms by which different types of exercise affect working memory, such as through neuroimaging techniques to understand the advantages of cognitive-aerobic exercise and ball sports. For practical implementation, we recommend integrating structured physical activities into daily classroom routines, such as incorporating cognitive-motor exercises between lessons or using active learning strategies that combine physical movement with cognitive tasks. School administrators should consider providing professional development opportunities for teachers to effectively implement these interventions, while clinicians could incorporate combined cognitive-physical exercises into treatment protocols with individualized intensity based on symptom severity. Future studies should conduct individualized analyses to explore how different subgroups respond to various exercise interventions, assess the long-term sustainability of intervention effects, and test their feasibility in real classroom settings. Additionally, optimizing the frequency, intensity, and duration of interventions through interdisciplinary collaboration would help maximize improvements in working memory. These efforts would collectively advance both the theoretical understanding and practical application of exercise interventions for children with ADHD.

5 Conclusion

Concluding, this study used network meta-analysis to investigate the effects of different types of exercise interventions on working memory in children with ADHD. The results indicate that cognitive-aerobic exercise and ball sports are significantly more effective than other types of exercise interventions in improving working memory. This difference may be attributed to the varying cognitive load, task complexity, and the degree of activation of executive functions across different exercise types. The findings suggest that when designing exercise interventions for children with ADHD, priority should be given to exercise types with higher cognitive load in order to more effectively enhance working memory.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

XS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. WS: Conceptualization, Investigation, Software, Writing – original draft. YW: Formal analysis, Project administration, Validation, Writing – review & editing. FF: Supervision, Writing – original draft. LH: Funding acquisition, Resources, Visualization, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript. Generative AI was used solely for assisting with initial drafts, refining language, and checking grammar. All content and data analysis were independently conducted by the author(s). The AI-generated suggestions were reviewed and revised to ensure accuracy, relevance, and alignment with the study's aims. The author(s) take full responsibility for the manuscript's final content, ensuring the integrity of the research and its findings.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of

their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2025. 1522944/full#supplementary-material

References

Ayano, G., Demelash, S., Gizachew, Y., Tsegay, L., and Alati, R. (2023). The global prevalence of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: an umbrella review of meta-analyses. *J. Affect. Disord.* 339, 860–866. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2023.07.071

Baddeley, A. (1992). Working memory. Science 255, 556–559. doi: 10.1126/science.1736359

Baddeley, A. (1996). Exploring the central executive. Quart. J. Exper. Psychol. 49, 5–28. doi: 10.1080/713755608

Baddeley, A. (2012). Working memory: theories, models, and controversies. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* 63, 1–29. doi: 10.1146/annurev-psych-120710-100422

Barkley, R. A. (1997). Behavioral inhibition, sustained attention, and executive functions: constructing a unifying theory of ADHD. *Psychol. Bull.* 121, 65–94. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.121.1.65

Bastioli, G., Arnold, J. C., Mancini, M., Mar, A. C., Gamallo-Lana, B., Saadipour, K., et al. (2022). Voluntary exercise boosts striatal dopamine release: evidence for the necessary and sufficient role of BDNF. *J. Neurosci.* 42, 4725–4736. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2273-21.2022

Benzing, V., Chang, Y. K., and Schmidt, M. (2018). Acute physical activity enhances executive functions in children with ADHD. *Sci. Rep.* 8:12382. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-30067-8

Benzing, V., and Schmidt, M. (2019). The effect of exergaming on executive functions in children with ADHD: a randomized clinical trial. *Scandinavian J. Med. Sci. Sports* 29, 1243–1253. doi: 10.1111/sms.13446

Bigelow, H., Gottlieb, M. D., Ogrodnik, M., Graham, J. D., and Fenesi, B. (2021). The differential impact of acute exercise and mindfulness meditation on executive functioning and psycho-emotional well-being in children and youth with ADHD. *Front. Psychol.* 12:660845. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.660845

Bouchard, C., Blair, S. N., and Haskell, W. L. (2012). *Physical Activity and Health*. London: Human Kinetics. doi: 10.5040/9781492595717

Bush, G. (2010). Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and attention networks. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 35, 278–300. doi: 10.1038/npp.2009.120

Bustamante, E. E., Davis, C. L., Frazier, S. L., Rusch, D., Fogg, L. F., Atkins, M. S., et al. (2016). Randomized controlled trial of exercise for ADHD and disruptive behavior disorders. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 48, 1397–1407. doi: 10.1249/MSS.00000000000891

Chaire, A., Becke, A., and Düzel, E. (2020). Effects of physical exercise on working memory and attention-related neural oscillations. *Front. Neurosci.* 14:239. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2020.00239

Chang, Y. K., Liu, S., Yu, H. H., and Lee, Y. H. (2012). Effect of acute exercise on executive function in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Arch. Clin. Neuropsychol.* 27, 225–237. doi: 10.1093/arclin/acr094

Chen, H., Cohen, P., and Chen, S. (2010). How big is a big odds ratio? Interpreting the magnitudes of odds ratios in epidemiological studies. *Commun. Stat. Simul. Comput.* 39, 860–864. doi: 10.1080/03610911003650383

Curtis, C. E., and D'Esposito, M. (2003). Persistent activity in the prefrontal cortex during working memory. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 7, 415-423. doi: 10.1016/S1364-6613(03)00197-9

de la Peña, I. C., Pan, M. C., Thai, C. G., and Alisso, T. (2020). Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder predominantly inattentive subtype/presentation: research progress and translational studies. *Brain Sci.* 10:292. doi: 10.3390/brainsci10050292

Feng, L., Li, B., Yong, S. S., and Tian, Z. (2024). Effects of exercise intervention on physical and mental health of children and adolescents with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis based on ICF-CY. J. Sci. Sport Exerc. 2024:1–12. doi: 10.1007/s42978-024-00295-8

Geladé, K., Bink, M., Janssen, T. W. P., van Mourik, R., Maras, A., Oosterlaan, J., et al. (2017). An RCT into the effects of neurofeedback on neurocognitive functioning compared to stimulant medication and physical activity in children with ADHD. *Eur. Child Adol. Psychiat.* 26, 457–468. doi: 10.1007/s00787-016-0902-x

Geladé, K., Janssen, T. W. P., Bink, M., Twisk, J. W. R., van Mourik, R., Maras, A., et al. (2018). A 6-month follow-up of an RCT on behavioral and neurocognitive effects of neurofeedback in children with ADHD. *Eur. Child Adol. Psychiat.* 27, 581–593. doi: 10.1007/s00787-017-1072-1

Harris, E. (2024). More than 1 in 10 US children diagnosed with ADHD. JAMA 331:1440. doi: 10.1001/jama.2024.5159

Hou, Y., Wang, Y., Deng, J., and Song, X. (2024). Effects of different exercise interventions on executive function in children with autism spectrum disorder: a network meta-analysis. *Front. Psychiatry* 15:1440123. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2024.1440123

Hung, C. L., Huang, C. J., Tsai, Y. J., Chang, Y. K., and Hung, T. M. (2016). Neuroelectric and behavioral effects of acute exercise on task switching in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Front. Psychol.* 7:1589. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01589

Ishihara, T., and Mizuno, M. (2018). Effects of tennis play on executive function in 6–11-year-old children: a 12-month longitudinal study. *Eur. J. Sport Sci.* 18, 741–752. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2018.1444792

Ishihara, T., Sugasawa, S., Matsuda, Y., and Mizuno, M. (2017). Relationship of tennis play to executive function in children and adolescents. *Eur. J. Sport Sci.* 17, 1074–1083. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2017.1334831

Jacobson, J., and Matthaeus, L. (2014). Athletics and executive functioning: How athletic participation and sport type correlate with cognitive performance. *Psychol. Sport Exerc.* 15, 521–527. doi: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2014.05.005

Johansson, M. E., Cameron, I. G. M., Van der Kolk, N. M., de Vries, N. M., Klimars, E., Toni, I., et al. (2022). Aerobic exercise alters brain function and structure in Parkinson's disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann. Neurol.* 91, 203–216. doi: 10.1002/ana.26291

Kargarfard, M., and Afrasyabi, S. (2015). The effects of 12-weeks aerobic exercise on catecholamine's levels, and cardiorespiratory fitness in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Sport Physiol.* 7, 113–126. Available at: https://spi.ssrc.ac.ir/article_480.html

Karssemeijer, E. G. A., Aaronson, J. A., Bossers, W. J., Smits, T., Olde Rikkert, M. G. M., Kessels, R. P. C., et al. (2017). Positive effects of combined cognitive and physical exercise training on cognitive function in older adults with mild cognitive impairment or dementia: a meta-analysis. *Ageing Res. Rev.* 40, 75–83. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2017. 09.003

Kasper, L. J., Alderson, R. M., and Hudec, K. L. (2012). Moderators of working memory deficits in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a meta-analytic review. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* 32, 605–617. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2012. 07.001

Koch, S., Tiozzo, E., Simonetto, M., Loewenstein, D., Wright, C. B., Dong, C., et al. (2020). Randomized trial of combined aerobic, resistance, and cognitive training to improve recovery from stroke: feasibility and safety. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 9:e015377. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.119.015377

Kofler, M. J., Sarver, D. E., Harmon, S. L., Moltisanti, A., Aduen, P. A., and Soto, E. F., et al. (2018). Working memory and organizational skills problems in ADHD. J. Child Psychol. Psychiat. 59, 57–67. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12773

Kuo, H. I., Nitsche, M. A., Wu, Y. T., Chang, J. C., and Yang, L. K. (2024). Acute aerobic exercise modulates cognition and cortical excitability in adults with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and healthy controls. *Psychiatry Res.* 340:116108. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2024.116108

Lauenroth, A., Ioannidis, A. E., and Teichmann, B. (2016). Influence of combined physical and cognitive training on cognition: a systematic review. *BMC Geriatr.* 16:141. doi: 10.1186/s12877-016-0315-1

Liang, X., Qiu, H., Wang, P., and Sit, C. H. P. (2022). The impacts of a combined exercise on executive function in children with ADHD: a randomized controlled trial. *Scandin. J. Med. Sci. Sports* 32, 1297–1312. doi: 10.1111/sms.14192

Ludyga, S., Mücke, M., Leuenberger, R., Bruggisser, F., Pühse, U., Gerber, M., et al. (2022). Behavioral and neurocognitive effects of judo training on working memory capacity in children with ADHD: a randomized controlled trial. *NeuroImage* 36:103156. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2022.103156

McDaniel, M. A., Binder, E. F., Bugg, J. M., Waldum, E. R., Dufault, C., Meyer, A., et al. (2014). Effects of cognitive training with and without aerobic exercise on cognitively demanding everyday activities. *Psychol. Aging* 29, 717–730. doi: 10.1037/a0037363

Mehren, A., Özyurt, J., Lam, A. P., Brandes, M., Müller, H. H. O., Thiel, C. M., et al. (2019). Acute effects of aerobic exercise on executive function and attention in adult patients with ADHD. *Front. Psychiatry* 10:132. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00132

Mohammadi, M. R., Zarafshan, H., Khaleghi, A., Ahmadi, N., Hooshyari, Z., Mostafavi, S. A., et al. (2021). Prevalence of ADHD and its comorbidities in a population-based sample. *J. Atten. Disord.* 25, 1058–1067. doi: 10.1177/1087054719886372

Nejati, V., and Derakhshan, Z. (2021). The effect of physical activity with and without cognitive demand on the improvement of executive functions and behavioral symptoms in children with ADHD. *Expert Rev. Neurother.* 21, 607–614. doi: 10.1080/14737175.2021.1912600

Ochi, G., Ohno, K., Kuwamizu, R., Yamashiro, K., Fujimoto, T., Ikarashi, K., et al. (2024). Exercising with virtual reality is potentially better for the working memory and positive mood than cycling alone. *Ment. Health Phys. Act.* 27:100641. doi: 10.1016/j.mhpa.2024.100641

Pan, C. Y., Tsai, C. L., Chu, C. H., Sung, M. C., Huang, C. Y., Ma, W. Y., et al. (2019). Effects of physical exercise intervention on motor skills and executive functions in children with ADHD: a pilot study. *J. Atten. Disord.* 23, 384–397. doi: 10.1177/1087054715569282

Pickersgill, J. W., Turco, C. V., Ramdeo, K., Rehsi, R. S., Foglia, S. D., Nelson, A. J., et al. (2022). The combined influences of exercise, diet and sleep on neuroplasticity. *Front. Psychol.* 13:831819. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.831819

Ramos, A. A., Hamdan, A. C., and Machado, L. (2020). A meta-analysis on verbal working memory in children and adolescents with ADHD. *Clin. Neuropsychol.* 34, 873–898. doi: 10.1080/13854046.2019.1604998

Rao, R., Vhavle, S., and Manjunath, N. (2019). Comparison of yoga versus physical exercise on executive function, attention, and working memory in adolescent schoolchildren: a randomized controlled trial. *Int. J. Yoga* 12:172. doi: 10.4103/ijoy.JJOY_61_18

Rapport, M. D., Chung, K. M., Shore, G., and Isaacs, P. (2001). A conceptual model of child psychopathology: implications for understanding attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and treatment efficacy. *J. Clin. Child Adol. Psychol.* 30, 48–58. doi: 10.1207/S15374424JCCP3001_6

Ren, F. F., Hillman, C. H., Wang, W. G., Li, R. H., Zhou, W. S., Liang, W. M., et al. (2024). Effects of aerobic exercise on cognitive function in adults with major depressive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Clin. Health Psychol.* 24:100447. doi: 10.1016/j.ijchp.2024.100447

RepovŠ, G., and Baddeley, A. (2006). The multi-component model of working memory: Explorations in experimental cognitive psychology. *Neuroscience* 139, 5–21. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2005.12.061

Rezaei, M., Salarpor Kamarzard, T., and Najafian Razavi, M. (2018). The effects of neurofeedback, yoga interventions on memory and cognitive activity in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann. Appl. Sport Sci.* 6, 17–27. doi: 10.29252/aassjournal.6.4.17

Rogers, M., Hwang, H., Toplak, M., Weiss, M., and Tannock, R. (2011). Inattention, working memory, and academic achievement in adolescents referred for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *Child Neuropsychol.* 17, 444–458. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2010.544648 Ruiter, M., Görlich, E., Loyens, S., Wong, J., and Paas, F. (2022). Effects of deskbike cycling on phonological working memory performance in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Front. Educ.* 7:841576. doi: 10.3389/feduc.2022. 841576

Shimada, H., Makizako, H., Doi, T., Park, H., Tsutsumimoto, K., Verghese, J., et al. (2018). Effects of combined physical and cognitive exercises on cognition and mobility in patients with mild cognitive impairment: a randomized clinical trial. *J. Am. Med. Dir. Assoc.* 19, 584–591. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2017.09.019

Smith, S. D., Vitulano, L. A., Katsovich, L., Li, S., Moore, C., Li, F., et al. (2020). A randomized controlled trial of an integrated brain, body, and social intervention for children with ADHD. J. Atten. Disord. 24, 780–794. doi: 10.1177/1087054716647490

Soler-Gutiérrez, A. M., Pérez-González, J. C., and Mayas, J. (2023). Evidence of emotion dysregulation as a core symptom of adult ADHD: a systematic review. *PLoS ONE* 18:e0280131. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0280131

Soltani Kouhbanani, S., and Rothenberger, A. (2021). Perceptual-motor skills reconstruction program improves executive functions in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Sustainability* 13:6210. doi: 10.3390/su13116210

Sun, F., Fang, Y., Chan, C. K. M., Poon, E. T. C., Chung, L. M. Y., Or, P. P. L., et al. (2024). Structured physical exercise interventions and children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Child Care Health Dev.* 50:e13150, doi: 10.1111/cch.13150

Sun, W., Yu, M., and Zhou, X. (2022). Effects of physical exercise on attention deficit and other major symptoms in children with ADHD: a meta-analysis. *Psychiat. Res.* 311:114509. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2022.114509

Van Riper, S. M., Tempest, G. D., Piccirilli, A., Ma, Q., and Reiss, A. L. (2023). Aerobic exercise, cognitive performance, and brain activity in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 55, 1445–1455. doi: 10.1249/MSS.00000000003159

Wang, Y., Tian, J., and Yang, Q. (2023). Tai Chi exercise improves working memory capacity and emotion regulation ability. *Front. Psychol.* 14:1047544. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1047544

Wu, Y., Wang, Y., Burgess, E. O., and 等 (2013). The effects of tai chi exercise on cognitive function in older adults: a meta-analysis. *J. Sport Health Sci.* 2, 193–203. doi: 10.1016/j.jshs.2013.09.001

Yang, G., Liu, Q., Wang, W., and Liu, W. (2024). Li J. Effect of aerobic exercise on the improvement of executive function in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Psychol.* 15:1376354. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1376354

Yu, F., Lin, F. V., Salisbury, D. L., Shah, K. N., Chow, L., Vock, D., et al. (2018). Efficacy and mechanisms of combined aerobic exercise and cognitive training in mild cognitive impairment: study protocol of the ACT trial. *Trials* 19:700. doi:10.1186/s13063-018-3054-0

Zhao, C., Zhao, C., Zhao, M., Wang, L., Guo, J., Zhang, L., et al. (2022). Effect of exergame training on working memory and executive function in older adults. *Sustainability* 14:10631. doi: 10.3390/su141710631

Zhidong, C., Wang, X., Yin, J., Song, D., and Chen, Z. (2021). Effects of physical exercise on working memory in older adults: a systematic and meta-analytic review. *Eur. Rev. Aging Phys. Activ.* 18:18. doi: 10.1186/s11556-021-00272-y

Ziereis, S., and Jansen, P. (2015). Effects of physical activity on executive function and motor performance in children with ADHD. *Res. Dev. Disabil.* 38, 181–191. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2014.12.005