



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Yibo Wu,
Peking University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE
Miho Nagasawa
✉ nagasawa@carazabu.com

RECEIVED 23 May 2025
ACCEPTED 28 May 2025
PUBLISHED 09 June 2025

CITATION

Koyasu H, Ogasawara S, Kikusui T, Murai T,
Nishida A and Nagasawa M (2025)
Corrigendum: Study on adolescents' attitudes
and attachment toward companion animals:
mitigating the negative effects of cultural
estrangement on wellbeing.
Front. Psychol. 16:1629552.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1629552

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Koyasu, Ogasawara, Kikusui, Murai,
Nishida and Nagasawa. This is an open-access
article distributed under the terms of the
[Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC
BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in
other forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)
are credited and that the original publication
in this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted
which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Study on adolescents' attitudes and attachment toward companion animals: mitigating the negative effects of cultural estrangement on wellbeing

Hikari Koyasu¹, Sakura Ogasawara¹, Takefumi Kikusui¹,
Toshiya Murai², Atsushi Nishida³ and Miho Nagasawa^{1*}

¹Laboratory of Human-Animal Interaction and Reciprocity, Department of Animal Science and Biotechnology, Azabu University, Sagami-hara, Kanagawa, Japan, ²Department of Psychiatry, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, ³The Unit for Mental Health Promotion, Research Center for Social Science & Medicine, Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Medical Science, Tokyo, Japan

KEYWORDS

mental health, cultural estrangement, adolescent, companion animals, attachment

A Corrigendum on

Study on adolescents' attitudes and attachment toward companion animals: mitigating the negative effects of cultural estrangement on wellbeing

by Koyasu, H., Ogasawara, S., Kikusui, T., Murai, T., Nishida, A., and Nagasawa, M. (2025). *Front. Psychol.* 16:1552127. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1552127

In the published article, there was an error in [Table 4](#) as published. Several statistically significant differences were missing, and one inequality sign was incorrect. The corrected [Table 4](#) based on the original main text and statistical results, and its caption appears below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

TABLE 4 Comparison among the four groups of CEI and wellbeing for each attachment item.

Attachment items	ANOVA		Multiple comparisons					
	F value	p	1 vs. 3	2 vs. 4	1 vs. 2	1 vs. 4	2 vs. 3	3 vs. 4
I feel relaxed when I'm with my pet	7.68	0.053						
My pet makes me feel happy	13.38	0.004		2 > 4*			2 > 3*	
I don't want to take care of my pet as much as possible	23.69	<0.001			1 < 2*		2 > 3**	
Having a pet is a waste of money	21.57	<0.001			1 < 2**		2 > 3**	
I often talk to my pet	31.13	<0.001		2 < 4**	1 > 2**		2 < 3**	
I sleep with my pet	29.88	<0.001		2 < 4**	1 > 2**		2 < 3**	
I always talk about important things or open my heart to my pet	10.03	0.018	1 > 3**					
I feel closer to my pet than to any of my family members	16.77	<0.001		2 > 4**		1 > 4*	2 > 3*	
Even when I'm out, I always worry about my pet and hurry home	19.07	<0.001		2 > 4**			2 > 3**	
I like to dress up my pet	8.38	0.039				1 > 4*		
I always have a picture of my pet with me	13.86	0.003		2 > 4*			2 > 3**	
Sometimes I feel like my pet is my best friend	3.33	0.344						

Multiple comparison results show comparisons between (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.001$). Bold text indicates comparisons between Groups 1 and 3, which were the focus of this study in particular.