



D-Dimer Concentrations and COVID-19 Severity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Panagiotis Paliogiannis¹, Arduino Aleksander Mangoni², Paola Dettori³, Gheyath K. Nasrallah^{4,5*}, Gianfranco Pintus^{6,7*} and Angelo Zinellu⁷

¹ Department of Medical, Surgical and Experimental Sciences, University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy, ² Department of Clinical Pharmacology, College of Medicine and Public Health, Flinders University, Adelaide, SA, Australia, ³ Cure and Health Center, Sassari, Italy, ⁴ Department of Biomedical Sciences, College of Health Sciences, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar, ⁵ Biomedical Research Centre, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar, ⁶ Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, College of Health Sciences, and Sharjah Institute for Medical Research, University of Sharjah, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates, ⁷ Biomedical Sciences, University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Zhongheng Zhang, Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, China

Reviewed by:

Masoud Sadeghi, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Iran Loïc Deblais, The Ohio State University, United States Lin Chen, Jinhua Central Hospital, China Takafira Mduluza, University of Zimbabwe, Zimbabwe

*Correspondence:

Gheyath K. Nasrallah gheyath.nasrallah@qu.edu.qa Gianfranco Pintus gpintus@sharjah.ac.ae

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Infectious Diseases - Surveillance, Prevention and Treatment, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

> **Received:** 26 May 2020 **Accepted:** 14 July 2020 **Published:** 04 August 2020

Citation:

Paliogiannis P, Mangoni AA, Dettori P, Nasrallah GK, Pintus G and Zinellu A (2020) D-Dimer Concentrations and COVID-19 Severity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front. Public Health 8:432. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.00432 Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a recently described infectious disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). Since late 2019, COVID-19 has rapidly spread in virtually all countries, imposing the adoption of significant lockdown and social distancing measures. The activation of the coagulation cascade is a common feature of disseminated intravascular coagulation and adverse clinical outcomes in COVID-19 patients. In this study, we conducted a meta-analysis aiming to investigate differences in serum D-dimer concentrations in patients with and without severe COVID-19 disease. An electronic search in Medline (PubMed), Scopus and Web of Science was performed with no language restrictions, and 13 articles were reporting on 1,807 patients (585, 32.4% with severe disease) were finally identified and included in the meta-analysis. The pooled results of all studies revealed that the D-dimer concentrations were significantly higher in patients with more severe COVID-19 (SMD: 0.91 mg/L; 95% Cl, 0.75 to 1.07 mg/L, p < 0.0001). The heterogeneity was moderate ($l^2 = 46.5\%$; p = 0.033). Sensitivity analysis showed that the effect size was not modified when any single study was in turn removed (effect size range, 0.87 mg/L to 0.93 mg/L). The Begg's (p = 0.76) and Egger's tests (p = 0.38) showed no publication bias. In conclusion, our systematic review and meta-analysis showed that serum D-dimer concentrations in patients with severe COVID-19 are significantly higher when compared to those with non-severe forms.

Keywords: D-dimer, coagulation, thrombosis, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2

INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a recently described infectious disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (1). Since late 2019, COVID-19 has rapidly spread in virtually all countries, affecting more than two million people and causing more than 150,000 deaths worldwide (data from 17 Apr 2020, https:// www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/). These figures are continuously growing despite the

1

adoption of significant lockdown and social distancing measures, particularly in Eastern Asia, Europe, and North America (2). The rapid expansion and the relatively high lethality may depend on several biological characteristics, such as the high infectivity of SARS-CoV-2, the high percentage of asymptomatic vectors, and the relatively long incubation period (3). However, significant knowledge gaps remain in the pathophysiology of the disease. In this context, a better knowledge of the factors that are responsible for the development of significant clinical complications in a subgroup of COVID-19 patients, indicating high disease severity, might lead to the identification of better pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapies and care pathways. This would improve patient outcomes, and reduce the current burden on health care systems, pending the development of effective vaccines. There is increasing evidence that SARS-CoV-2 induces, in severe cases, a cytokine storm that triggers the coagulation cascade, causing thrombotic complications (4). This is clinically relevant as the activation of the coagulation cascade is a common feature of disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) and adverse clinical outcomes in COVID-19 patients and appears to be more frequent than what observed in patients suffering from severe forms of SARS-CoV in 2003 (5). The key pathophysiological role of DIC in the clinical progress of COVID-19 is further supported by the presence, in autopsies of patients succumbing to the disease, of fibrinous thrombi, endothelial tumefaction, and megakaryocytes in small pulmonary arteries and pulmonary capillaries (6).

The D-dimer, a fibrin degradation product, is a relatively small protein fragment that is present in the blood following degradation of blood clots by fibrinolysis. The determination of circulating D-dimer concentrations is a sensitive test in clinical practice to diagnose thrombotic states, including pulmonary embolism and DIC (7). Therefore, elevations in D-dimer levels in COVID-19 patients might be helpful to rapidly identify those that have high disease severity, pulmonary complications, and risk of venous thromboembolism in the setting of a pro-thrombotic state. This would assist with risk stratification and the early introduction of therapeutic measures that might reduce COVID-19 related morbidity and mortality.

A recent meta-analysis has shown that patients with severe forms of COVID-19 have higher D-dimer concentrations when compared to those with milder forms (8). However, only a small number of studies in a total of 553 patients were selected. Furthermore, in this meta-analysis the heterogeneity across the studies was extremely high, I^2 94%, P < 0.001). Therefore, we conducted an updated meta-analysis that takes into account additional studies to investigate differences in serum D-dimer concentrations in patients with and without severe COVID-19 disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Search and Selection

An electronic search in Medline (PubMed interface), Scopus, and Web of Science was performed using the keywords "Ddimer" AND "coronavirus" OR "D-dimer" AND "COVID-19." The inclusion criteria were: (a) studies reporting continuous data on serum D-dimer concentrations in COVID-19 patients, (b) articles dividing COVID-19 patients in severity classes, (c) articles including adult patients, (d) studies approved by an ethical committee, and (e) articles published from 1st January 2020 to the date of the electronic search (14th April, 2020). There were no language restrictions. The titles, abstracts and full texts of the publications retrieved were screened by two independent investigators (PP and AZ). The reference list of the studies identified was also checked in order to identify additional studies. The Newcastle—Ottava Scale (NOS) was used for quality assessment. This meta-analysis was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (**Supplementary Materials**).

Statistical Analysis

Standardized mean differences (SMD) were used to build forest plots of continuous data and to evaluate differences in serum D-dimer concentrations between severe and non-severe patients with COVID-19 disease. A *P*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant, and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were reported. When necessary, the mean and standard deviation values were extrapolated from median and IQR values, as previously reported by Wan et al. (9). Heterogeneity of SMD



References	NOS stars	Total cases (severe)	Age	Males, <i>n</i> (%)	D-dimer total (mg/L)	D-dimer severe (mg/L)	D-dimer non-severe (mg/L)
Zhou et al. (16)	6	17 (5)	NA	6 (35)	NA	0.28 ± 0.11	0.29 ± 0.11
Tang et al. (17)	7	449 (134)	65 (mean)	268	1.94 (0.90–9.44)	4.70 (1.42–21.00)	1.47 (0.78-4.16)
Chen et al. (18)	6	21 (11)	56 (median)	17 (81)	0.5 (0.4–1.8)	2.6 (0.6–18.7)	0.3 (0.3–0.4)
Chen et al. (19)	6	274 (113)	62 (median)	171 (62)	1.1 (0.5–3.2)	4.6 (1.3-21.0)	0.6 (0.3–1.3)
Wan et al. (20)	6	135 (40)	47 (median)	72 (53)	0.4 (0.2–0.6)	0.6 (0.4-1.1)	0.3 (0.2–0.5)
Gao et al. (21)	6	43 (15)	45 (mean)	26 (58)	NA	0.49 (0.29-0.91)	0.21 (0.19–0.27)
Han et al. (22)	6	84 (35)	NA	NA	NA	19.11 ± 35.48	2.14 ± 2.88
Zhou et al. (23)	7	191 (54)	56 (median)	119 (62)	0.8 (0.4-3.2)	5.2 (1.5–21.1)	0.6 (0.3-1.0)
Wu et al. (24)	6	201 (84)	51 (median)	128 (64)	0.61 (0.35–1.28)	1.16 (0.46–5.37)	0.52 (0.33-0.93)
Liu et al. (25)	6	30 (4)	35 (mean)	10 (33)	NA	1.54 ± 1.22	0.26 ± 0.08
Zhang et al. (26)	7	138 (56)	57 (median)	71 (51)	0.2 (0.1–0.5)	0.4 (0.2-2.4)	0.2 (0.1-0.3)
Tang et al. (27)	7	183 (21)	54 (mean)	98 (53)	0.66 (0.38-1.50)	2.12 (0.77–5.27)	0.61 (0.35-1.29)
Huang et al. (28)	7	41 (13)	49 (median)	30 (73)	0.5 (0.3–1.3)	2.4 (0.6–14.4)	0.5 (0.3–0.8)
Total		1,807 (585)					

NOS, Newcastle - Ottawa Scale; NA, not available.

across studies was tested using the Q statistic (significance level at p < 0.10). The I^2 statistic, a quantitative measure of inconsistency across studies, was also calculated ($I^2 < 25\%$, no heterogeneity; I^2 between 25 and 50%, moderate heterogeneity; I^2 between 50 and 75%, large heterogeneity; and $I^2 > 75\%$, extreme heterogeneity) (10, 11). In analyses in which heterogeneity was high, a randomeffects model was applied. To investigate the influence of an individual study on the overall risk estimate, a sensitivity analysis was conducted by sequentially excluding one study at a time (12). Begg's adjusted rank correlation test and Egger's regression asymmetry test, for the analysis of associations between study size and magnitude of effect were used to evaluate the presence of potential publication bias (13, 14). The Duval and Tweedie "trim and fill" procedure to identify and correct for funnel plot asymmetry arising from publication bias was also used (15). Statistical analyses were performed using Stata 14 (STATA Corp., College Station, TX, USA).

RESULTS

Study Selection Results and Characteristics

The flow diagram of the literature search performed is presented in **Figure 1**. From an initial total of 69 studies, 13 were finally identified and included in the meta-analysis (16–28); the total number of COVID-19 patients in these studies was 1,807. Among them, 585 (32.4%) were affected by a severe form of COVID-19 (**Table 1**). The NOS quality assessment is described in **Table 1**.

All selected studies were conducted in China. Six articles defined severe cases based on current clinical guidelines (20–22, 24–26), four defined severe cases as those who died in comparison to survivors (17, 19, 23, 27), and three had alternative definitions (disease progression vs. no progression or admission vs. no admission to intensive care units) (16, 18, 28).

Meta-Analysis

The mean differences in serum D-dimer concentrations between COVID-19 patients with or without severe disease in the 13 studies are shown in Figure 2. In 12 studies, patients with severe COVID-19 displayed higher D-dimer serum concentrations when compared to those with milder forms (mean difference range, 0.62-3.15 mg/L) (17-28). By contrast, in the remaining study, the D-dimer concentration was found to be mildly higher in patients with non-severe forms of COVID-19 (mean difference 0.09 mg/L) (16). The pooled results of all studies revealed that the D-dimer concentrations were significantly higher in patients with more severe COVID-19 (SMD: 0.91 mg/L; 95% CI, 0.75 to 1.07 mg/L, p < 0.0001). The heterogeneity was moderate ($I^2 =$ 46.5%; p = 0.033). Sensitivity analysis showed that the effect size was not modified when any single study was in turn removed (effect size range, 0.87 mg/L-0.93 mg/L, Figure 3). The Begg's (p = 0.76) and Egger's tests (p = 0.38) showed no publication bias. Accordingly, the trim-and-fill analysis found that no study was missing or should be added (Figure 4). In meta-regression analysis, no correlation was found either between SMD and age (p = 0.37) or between SMD and gender (p = 0.41). Notably, the age ratio between patients with more severe COVID-19 and those with milder forms was relatively small, between 1.0 and 1.3, in all studies. In addition, as reported in Figure 5, there were no significant differences in SMD values between the subgroup of patients classified according to guidelines (SMD: 0.94 mg/L; 95% CI 0.78 to 1.10 mg/L, p < 0.0001) and the subgroup classified as dead or survivors (SMD: 0.97 mg/L; 95% CI 0.65 to 1.29 mg/L, p < 0.0001), although in the first group a significantly lower heterogeneity was observed ($I^2 = 21.4\%$, p < 0.28 vs. $I^2 = 65.5\%$, p < 0.013).

DISCUSSION

Our updated meta-analysis of 13 studies in 1,807 COVID-19 patients showed that the serum D-dimer concentrations in

Study Name	Year		SMD (95% CI)	Severe N, mean (SD)	Non-severe N, mean (SD)	% Weight
Zhou et al.	2020		-0.09 (-1.13, 0.95)	5, .28 (.11)	12, .29 (.11)	2.13
Tang et al.	2020	±	0.83 (0.62, 1.04)	134, 9.04 (14.7)	315, 2.14 (2.5)	14.67
Chen et al.	2020 -		0.62 (-0.26, 1.50)	11, 7.3 (15.5)	10, .33 (.09)	2.88
Chen et al.	2020	÷	0.87 (0.62, 1.12)	113, 8.97 (14.8)	161, .73 (.75)	13.23
Wan et al.	2019	-	1.07 (0.68, 1.46)	40, .7 (.54)	95, .33 (.22)	9.09
Gao et al.	2020		1.13 (0.45, 1.80)	15, .56 (.51)	28, .22 (.06)	4.45
Han et al.	2020		0.74 (0.29, 1.19)	35, 19.1 (35.5)	49, 2.14 (2.88)	7.79
Zhou et al.	2020	<u> </u>	1.09 (0.76, 1.42)	54, 9.27 (14.9)	137, .63 (.52)	10.64
Wu et al.	2020	- 	0.72 (0.43, 1.01)	84, 2.33 (3.7)	117, .59 (.45)	11.99
Liu et al.	2020		3.15 (1.81, 4.49)	4, 1.54 (1.22)	26, .26 (.08)	1.36
Zhang et al.	2020	-	0.75 (0.40, 1.10)	56, 1 (1.67)	82, .2 (.15)	10.14
Tang et al.	2020		1.26 (0.79, 1.73)	21, 1.72 (1.19)	162, .75 (.7)	7.30
Huang et al.	2020	<u> </u>	0.83 (0.15, 1.51)	13, 5.8 (11.4)	28, .53 (.39)	4.34
Overall (I-squ	uared = 46.5%, p = 0.033)	\diamond	0.91 (0.75, 1.07)	585	1222	100.00
NOTE: Weig	hts are from random effects analysis	0 1				

patients with severe forms of the disease were significantly higher than those in patients with milder forms. When compared to a recent meta-analysis of four studies in a total of 553 COVID-19 patients, the observed SMD values were relatively small, 0.91 mg/L (3, 17, 27, 28). Furthermore, in our metaanalysis the heterogeneity was substantially lower, I^2 46.5 vs. 94% (8). These results further support the presence of a prothrombotic state, and possibly DIC, in COVID-19 patients with severe disease, potentially accounting for the structural and functional lung abnormalities commonly reported in this subgroup. In support of this hypothesis, recent autoptic reports have shown alterations compatible with DIC in the lungs of COVID-19 patients (6). Interestingly, we observed no significant associations between increasing SMD values and the age ratio between patients with more severe COVID-19 and those with milder forms, despite the established agerelated increase in serum D-dimer concentrations (7). As patients with severe COVID-19 disease are also significantly older than subgroups with milder forms (29), our findings suggest that the reported differences in serum D-dimer concentrations are independent of age differences in patients with different disease severity. Although this further supports the presence of DIC as the primary marker of D-dimer elevations and COVID-19 severity, additional studies in cohorts with higher age ratios between patients with more severe COVID-19 and those with milder forms are required to confirm this proposition.

Pending further research to investigate the cause-effect relationship between serum D-dimer concentrations, COVID-19 disease severity, the onset of pulmonary complications and clinical outcomes, the identification of D-dimer as a biomarker of COVID-19 severity is potentially clinically relevant. Its relatively simple and inexpensive determination might assist, particularly with serial assessments, with the rapid identification of those patients developing DIC, pulmonary compromise, or at risk of venous thromboembolism that requires aggressive care and intensive monitoring (30). While the development of COVID-19 vaccines is eagerly awaited, a better understanding of the pathophysiological mechanisms responsible for the clinical deterioration and increased risk of death in affected patients is likely to be beneficial. For example, the rapid initiation of DIC therapies, instigated by high D-dimer concentrations and the presence of other diagnostic criteria, might provide additional therapeutic advantages in severe COVID-19 patients already receiving ventilatory and circulatory support (30). This proposition is supported by the findings of a recent study in 449 severe COVID-19 patients with significant elevations of serum D-dimer concentrations and/or criteria for DIC. The administration of low molecular weight heparin in these patients was associated with a significant improvement in 28-day survival when compared to non-users (27).

The moderate heterogeneity in the studies enrolled might depend on the different definitions of disease severity; in six studies, available clinical guidelines were followed, mainly





the "new coronavirus pneumonia diagnosis and treatment plan" (versions 4 and 5) developed by the National Health Committee of the People's Republic of China (31). In four studies, the severity of the disease was based on survivorship or death, and finally, in the remaining three studies, further classifications were used, such as disease progression vs. no

Study				%
	Veer			
Name	Year		SMD (95% CI)	Weight
Classified as	dead and survivors			
Tang et al.	2020	-	0.83 (0.62, 1.04)	16.24
Chen et al.	2020		0.87 (0.62, 1.12)	14.63
Zhou et al.	2020	-	1.09 (0.76, 1.42)	11.73
Tang et al.	2020		1.26 (0.79, 1.73)	8.03
Subtotal (I-so	quared = 21.4%, p = 0.282)		0.94 (0.78, 1.10)	50.63
Definition of s	severe cases according to guidelin			
Wan et al.	2019	-	1.07 (0.68, 1.46)	10.02
Gao et al.	2020		1.13 (0.45, 1.80)	4.88
Han et al.	2020		0.74 (0.29, 1.19)	8.57
Wu et al.	2020	-	0.72 (0.43, 1.01)	13.24
Liu et al.	2020		• 3.15 (1.81, 4.49)	1.49
Zhang et al.	2020		0.75 (0.40, 1.10)	11.18
Subtotal (I-so	quared = 65.5%, p = 0.013)	\diamond	0.97 (0.65, 1.29)	49.37
Overall (I-squ	ared = 51.7%, p = 0.028)	Ŷ	0.94 (0.77, 1.11)	100.00
NOTE: Weig	nts are from random effects analysi	s		
		0 1		

progression, and admission or no admission in intensive care units. For this reason, we performed subgroup analyses, which showed no significant differences in SMD values between the subgroup of patients classified according to clinical guidelines and the subgroup classified as dead or survivors. Further potential issues are that all the included studies were carried out in China, no strict diagnostic performance was investigated, and no specific guidelines for reporting (such as the Standards for Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy Studies, STARD recommendations) were followed in each individual study. Other potential sources of heterogeneity, not described in the identified studies, include differences in the timing of blood sample collection and analytical protocols for Ddimer measurement.

In conclusion, our systematic review and meta-analysis showed that the serum concentrations of D-dimer, a fibrin degradation product that is used to diagnose the presence of a pro-thrombotic state, are significantly higher in patients with severe COVID-19 when compared to those with non-severe forms. This suggests that D-dimer concentrations might be helpful to rapidly identify COVID-19 patients with high risk of pulmonary complications and venous thromboembolism, facilitating the early initiation of effective therapies. However, further studies are required to confirm such findings in different geographical areas, using robust assessment methods, and to investigate the associations between D-dimer concentrations, COVID-19 disease progress, response to treatment, and overall clinical prognosis.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets generated for this study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: AZ. Methodology: PP and AM. Software and writing—original draft preparation: PP, AM, and PD. Validation: PP, AM, and AZ. Formal analysis: PP, PD, GN, and GP. Investigation and resources: AZ, PP, AM, GN, and GP. Data curation and project administration: GN and GP. Writing—review and editing and funding acquisition: GN, GP, and AZ. Visualization: PP and AM. Supervision: AZ. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

FUNDING

This research was funded by Qatar University [IRCC-2019-007] to GN and GP, Regione Autonoma della Sardegna [RASSR82005] to GP and AZ, and fondo UNISS di Ateneo per la ricerca 2019 to GP and AZ.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Qatar National Library for sponsoring the publication fees of this paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. Du Toit A. Outbreak of a novel coronavirus. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* (2020) 18:123. doi: 10.1038/s41579-020-0332-0
- Rothan HA, Byrareddy SN. The epidemiology and pathogenesis of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak. J Autoimmun. (2020) 109:102433. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2020.102433
- Wang W, Tang J, Wei F. Updated understanding of the outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in Wuhan, China. J Med Virol. (2020) 92:441– 7. doi: 10.1002/jmv.25689
- Liu B, Li M, Zhou Z, Guan X, Xiang YJ. Can we use interleukin-6 (IL-6) blockade for coronavirus disease (2019). (COVID-19)induced cytokine release syndrome (CRS)? *Autoimmun.* (2020) 111:102452. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2020.102452
- Wong RS, Wu A, To KF, Lee N, Lam CW, Wong CK, et al. Haematological manifestations in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome: retrospective analysis. *BMJ.* (2003) 326:1358–62. doi: 10.1136/bmj.326.7403.1358
- Dolhnikoff M, Duarte-Neto AN, de Almeida Monteiro RA, Ferraz da Silva LF, Pierre de Oliveira E, Nascimento Saldiva PH, et al. Pathological evidence of pulmonary thrombotic phenomena in severe COVID-19. *J Thromb Haemost*. (2020) 18:1517–9. doi: 10.1111/jth.14844
- Olson JD. D-dimer: an overview of hemostasis and fibrinolysis, assays, clinical applications. *Adv Clin Chem.* (2015) 69:1–46. doi: 10.1016/bs.acc.2014.12.001
- Lippi G, Favaloro EJ. D-dimer is associated with severity of coronavirus disease 2019: A pooled analysis. *Thromb Haemost.* (2020) 120:876– 8. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1709650
- Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, Tong T. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* (2014) 14:135. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-14-135
- Bowden J, Tierney JF, Copas AJ, Burdett S. Quantifying, displaying and accounting for heterogeneity in the meta-analysis of RCTs using standard and generalised Q statistics. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* (2011) 11:41. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-11-41
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med. (2002) 21:1539–58. doi: 10.1002/sim.1186
- 12. Tobias A. Assessing the influence of a single study in the meta-analysis estimate. *Stat Tech Bull.* (1999) 47:15–7.
- Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics*. (1994) 50:1088–101. doi: 10.2307/2533446
- Sterne JA, Egger M. Funnel plots for detecting bias in metaanalysis: guidelines on choice of axis. J Clin Epidemiol. (2001) 54:1046–55. doi: 10.1016/S0895-4356(01)00377-8
- Duval S, Tweedie R, Trim and Fill: A simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics*. (2000) 56:455–63. doi: 10.1111/j.0006-341X.2000.00455.x
- Zhou Y, Zhang Z, Tian J, Xiong S. Risk factors associated with disease progression in a cohort of patients infected with the 2019 novel coronavirus. *Ann Palliat Med.* (2020) 9:428–36. doi: 10.21037/apm.2020.03.26
- Tang N, Bai H, Chen X, Gong J, Li D, Sun Z. Anticoagulant treatment is associated with decreased mortality in severe coronavirus disease 2019 patients with coagulopathy. *J Thromb Haemost.* (2020) 18:1094– 9. doi: 10.1111/jth.14817
- Chen G, Wu D, Guo W, Cao Y, Huang D, Wang H, et al. Clinical and immunological features of severe and moderate coronavirus disease 2019. J Clin Invest. (2020) 130:2620–9. doi: 10.1172/JCI137244

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh. 2020.00432/full#supplementary-material

- Chen T, Wu D, Chen H, Yan W, Yang D, Chen G, et al. Clinical characteristics of 113 deceased patients with coronavirus disease 2019: retrospective study. *BMJ*. (2020) 368:m1091. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m1091
- Wan S, Xiang Y, Fang W, Zheng Y, Li B, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features and treatment of COVID-19 patients in northeast Chongqing. *J Med Virol.* (2020) 92:797–806. doi: 10.1002/jmv.25783
- Gao Y, Li T, Han M, Li X, Wu D, Xu Y, et al. Diagnostic utility of clinical laboratory data determinations for patients with the severe COVID-19. *J Med Virol.* (2020) 92:791–6. doi: 10.1002/jmv.25770
- Han H, Yang L, Liu R, Liu F, Wu KL, Li J, et al. Prominent changes in blood coagulation of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* (2020) 58:1116–20. doi: 10.1515/cclm-2020-0188
- 23. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet.* (2020) 395:1054– 62. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3
- 24. Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, Xia J, Zhou X, Xu S, et al. Risk factors associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome and death in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA Intern Med. (2020) 180:1– 11. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.0994
- 25. Liu M, He P, Liu HG, Wang XJ, Li FJ, Chen S, et al. Clinical characteristics of 30 medical workers infected with new coronavirus pneumonia. *Zhonghua Jie He He Hu Xi Za Zhi.* (2020) 43:209– 14. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.1001-0939.2020.03.014
- Zhang JJ, Dong X, Cao YY, Yuan YD, Yang YB, Yan YQ, et al. Clinical characteristics of 140 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 in Wuhan, China. *Allergy*. (2020) 75:14238. doi: 10.1111/all.14238
- Tang N, Li D, Wang X, Sun Z. Abnormal coagulation parameters are associated with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. J Thromb Haemost. (2020) 18:844–7. doi: 10.1111/jth.1 4768
- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet.* (2020) 395:497–506. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5
- 29. Chen T, Dai Z, Mo P, Li X, Ma Z, Song S, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of older patients with coronavirus disease 2019. (COVID-19) in Wuhan, China (2019): a single-centered, retrospective study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. (in press) glaa089. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glaa089
- Terpos E, Ntanasis-Stathopoulos I, Elalamy I, Kastritis E, Sergentanis TN, Politou M, et al. Hematological findings and complications of COVID-19. *Am J Hematol.* (2020) 95:834–47. doi: 10.1002/ajh.2 5829
- National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. Available online: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/ (accessed April 18, 2020).

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Paliogiannis, Mangoni, Dettori, Nasrallah, Pintus and Zinellu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.