



# Commentary: The Incidence of Road Traffic Crashes Among Young People Aged 15–20 Years: Differences in Behavior, Lifestyle and Sociodemographic Indices in the Galilee and the Golan

## Gerry Leisman<sup>1,2\*</sup> and Igor Waksman<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty Social Welfare and Health Sciences, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel, <sup>2</sup> Neurofisiología Cliníca, Instituto de Neurología y Neurocirugía, Universidad de Ciencias Médicas, Havana, Cuba, <sup>3</sup> Laboratory for Global Health, Department of Surgery B, Galilee Medical Center, Nahariya, Israel

Keywords: frontal lobes, adolescence, driving, accident, traumatic brain injury

### A Commentary on

## **OPEN ACCESS**

# Edited by:

Hatim A. Omar, University of Kentucky, United States

## Reviewed by:

Leonard Goldstein, A. T. Still University, United States

\*Correspondence:

Gerry Leisman g.leisman@alumni.manchester.ac.uk

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Children and Health, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

Received: 13 January 2021 Accepted: 10 February 2021 Published: 04 March 2021

#### Citation:

Leisman G and Waksman I (2021) Commentary: The Incidence of Road Traffic Crashes Among Young People Aged 15–20 Years: Differences in Behavior, Lifestyle and Sociodemographic Indices in the Galilee and the Golan. Front. Public Health 9:651376. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.651376

# The Incidence of Road Traffic Crashes Among Young People Aged 15–20 Years: Differences in Behavior, Lifestyle and Sociodemographic Indices in the Galilee and the Golan

by Klaitman, S. S., Solomonov, E., Yaloz, A., and Biswas, S. (2018). Front. Public Health 6:202. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2018.00202

# **INTRODUCTION**

Actuaries evaluate risk and opportunity by the application of statistical and financial analysis. They know that males under 26 and females under 23 are at significantly higher risk for traffic accidents (1) and yet they have no knowledge of frontal lobe development. Longitudinal neuroimaging studies demonstrate that the adolescent brain continues to mature well into the 20s (2). This has prompted intense interest in linking neuromaturation to maturity of judgment. Public policy is struggling to keep up with burgeoning interest in cognitive neuroscience and neuroimaging. According to the US Centers for Disease Control 27,000 individuals aged between 1 and 24 years die from poor decision-making (3–5). Besides murder and suicide, the majority of deaths are attributable to accidents and trauma (5). We know that the teenage years through the age of neurological maturity ~25 for males and 22 for females (6, 7), are an era in life highly associated with reckless behavior and decision making independently of the fact that teenagers are cognitively more mature than younger children (8). Surgical emergencies due to major and minor trauma testify to this – many of these injuries are preventable.

Actuaries have indicated, by offering higher insurance rates to this population, that reckless driving, including handling a vehicle under the influence of drugs or alcohol, is significantly more likely during this period of life relative to any other. The basis for most of these rash behaviors, while taken into account by insurance companies, does not seem to feature in public health policy for adolescents and young adults, mitigating risk behaviors or shaping sound decision making. These individuals are disproportionately prone to making bad and, oftentimes, fatal decisions, these being the greatest contributing factors to mortality in adolescents (5). The neurological contribution



to this issue was not referred to in the paper to which this commentary is addressed, but this is worth studying. The determinants of health that the authors refer to: poverty; poor educational attainment; unemployment; and, low income have important correlations with brain development and executive brain function (7, 9). It is time that these social determinants are fully researched among the adolescents admitted with emergency surgery and trauma diagnoses.

Many have theorized why it is that teenagers might participate in unsafe behaviors. Perhaps, according to one view, maturational differences exist between cognitive and limbic control regions of the brain (10-12). While most adolescents somehow pass through the teenage years with few lifelong consequences, some do not (13, 14). We do not know whether we are observing forms of self-stimulatory behavior or immaturity of the connections subserving the control of impulsivity. What we do know, however, is that the literature supports the notion that sensation seeking is curvilinear in its development climaxing in the midto late teenage years (15). Impulse control, on the other hand, develops into adulthood in a linear fashion (15). The problem with the state of the current literature on the topic is that risk-taking is not simply a function of chronological age. We know from longitudinal fMRI studies (16) that gray matter volume tends to peak during early adolescence when gray matter decreases in volume which is related to synaptic pruning where we have learned that weaker connections are pruned so other circuits can increase and the brain's function can become more optimized for decision-making and executive function (17). We know that adolescent medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) brain regions are more active during emotional response and that these areas employ different tactics in adolescence than in individuals at other stages of life. We know that the mPFC is one of the last areas of brain development to demonstrate indications of anatomical development. This development is not completed on average (i.e., maturity) until individuals reach their mid 20's (18-22). Figure 1 demonstrates the dynamic sequence of gray matter maturation over the cortical surface.

Pleasure and reward system function is less well-described as a consequence of development, but we have learned that activity in brain regions such as the nucleus acumbens (a brain region that is part of the mesolimbic pathway whose core function concerns the cognitive processing of motor function related to reinforcement as well as in the regulation of slow-wave sleep) in teenagers tends to be greater in comparison to all other stages of life (24, 25).

An additional theory postulates that adolescents are hormonally pushed into biological maturity more quickly that they should be by societal standards, thereby creating dissonance between the teenager and society and societal rules (26). Accordingly, the teenager is autonomy-seeking to address this disparity (27).

In actuality, today we now know that the brain's gray and white matter obey different developmental expectancies (28). There are now those who postulate that risk-taking adolescents have structurally distinctive brains than those teenagers who are less prone to risk taking.

# DISCUSSION

The prefrontal cortex serves as a brake on the limbic system but has a different developmental trajectory than that of the risk/reward brain region during the teenage years (29). The ability to relate functional as well as anatomical connectivities then becomes profoundly important in working with the police and departments of public health in reducing the increased risk of death and injury during the teenage years. The growth, development, and physiological as well as the social context must be taken into account when governments plan public health programming for adolescents. We have not done an adequate job in effecting the integration of such knowledge into youth programming and the referenced paper (30) is a welcome contribution to the investigation of factors that determine road traffic trauma among adolescents. We need to research other causes of trauma, falls at home, falls in public places and at work, the use of safety equipment at work and adherence to safe working protocols if we are to make progress in trauma prevention. Teenagers are risk prone and that affects mortality.

## REFERENCES

- Guo F, Klauer SG, Fang Y, Hankey JM, Antin JF, Perez MA, et al. The effects of age on crash risk associated with driver distraction. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2017) 46:258–65. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyw234
- Foulkes L, Blakemore SJ. Studying individual differences in human adolescent brain development. *Nat Neurosci.* (2018) 21:315–23. doi: 10.1038/s41593-018-0078-4
- Quick H. Peer reviewed: estimating county-level mortality rates using highly censored data from CDC WONDER. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2019) 16:180441. doi: 10.5888/pcd16.180441
- Center for Disease Control. Available online at: https://webappa.cdc.gov/cgibin/broker.exe (downloaded January 7, 2021).
- Eaton DK, Kann L, Kinchen S, Ross J, Hawkins J, Harris WA, et al. Youth risk behavior surveillance — United States, 2005. J School Health. (2006) 76:353–72. doi: 10.1111/j.1746-1561.2006.00127.x
- 6. Thatcher RW. Maturation of the human frontal lobes: physiological evidence for staging. *Dev Neuropsychol.* (1991) 7:397–419. doi: 10.1080/87565649109540500
- Rojkova K, Volle E, Urbanski M, Humbert F, Dell'Acqua F, De Schotten MT. Atlasing the frontal lobe connections and their variability due to age and education: a spherical deconvolution tractography study. *Brain Struct Funct.* (2016) 221:1751–66. doi: 10.1007/s00429-015-1001-3
- Steinberg L. Risk-taking in adolescence: what changes, and why? Ann N Y Acad Sci. (2004) 1021:51–8. doi: 10.1196/annals.1308.005
- Hair NL, Hanson JL, Wolfe BL, Pollak SD. Association of child poverty, brain development, and academic achievement. *JAMA Pediatr.* (2015) 169:822– 9. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2015.1475
- Wiener R, Miller, M. Determining the death penalty for juveniles. *APA Monit.* (2004) 35:68. Available online at: https://www.apa.org/monitor/jan04/jn
- 11. Paus T. Mapping brain maturation and cognitive development during adolescence. *Trends Cogn Sci.* (2005) 9:60–8. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2004.12.008
- Giedd JN, Blumenthal J, Jeffries NO, Castellanos FX, Liu H, Zijdenbos A, et al. Brain development during childhood and adolescence: a longitudinal MRI study. *Nat Neurosci*. (1999) 2:861–3. doi: 10.1038/13158
- Dahl RE. Affect regulation, brain development, and behavioral/emotional health in adolescence. CNS Spectr. (2001) 6:1– 12. doi: 10.1017/s1092852900022884
- Keating D.P. Cognitive and brain development. In: Lerner RJ, Steinberg LD, editors. *Handbook of Adolescent Psychology*. 2nd ed. New York, NY: Wiley (2004). p. 45–84. doi: 10.1002/9780470479193.adlpsy001007
- Carskadon MA, editors. Adolescent Sleep Patterns: Biological, Social, and Psycho- logical Influences. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2002). doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511499999.012
- Lee TM, Hummer DL, Jechura TJ. Pubertal development of sex differences in circadian function: an animal model. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* (2004) 1021:262– 75. doi: 10.1196/annals.1308.031
- Shulman EP, Harden KP, Chein JM, Steinberg L. Sex differences in the developmental trajectories of impulse control and sensation-seeking from early adolescence to early adulthood. J Youth Adolesc. (2015) 44:1– 7. doi: 10.1007/s10964-014-0116-9

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

GL conceived and wrote the commentary. IW discussed and cowrote the commentary. Both authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

- Peters S, Van Duijvenvoorde AC, Koolschijn PC, Crone EA. Longitudinal development of frontoparietal activity during feedback learning: contributions of age, performance, working memory and cortical thickness. *Dev Cogn Neurosci.* (2016) 19:211–22. doi: 10.1016/j.dcn.2016.0 4.004
- Kharitonova M, Martin RE, Gabrieli JD, Sheridan MA. Cortical graymatter thinning is associated with age-related improvements on executive function tasks. *Dev Cogn Neurosci.* (2013) 6:61–71. doi: 10.1016/j.dcn.2013.0 7.002
- Donald M. A Mind So Rare: The Evolution of Human Consciousness. New York, NY: Norton (2001).
- 21. Dennett DC. Kinds of Minds: Toward an Understanding of Consciousness. Armonk, NY: Basic Books (1996).
- Casey BJ, Giedd JN, Thomas KM. Structural and functional brain development and its relation to cognitive development. *Biol Psychol.* (2000) 54:241–57. doi: 10.1016/s0301-0511(00)00058-2
- Gogtay N, Giedd JN, Lusk L, Hayashi KM, Greenstein D, Vaituzis AC, et al. Dynamic mapping of human cortical development during childhood through early adulthood. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2004) 101:8174– 9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0402680101
- Johnson MH. Functional brain development in humans. Nat Rev Neurosci. (2001) 2:475–83. doi: 10.1038/35081509
- Luna B, Thulborn KR, Munoz DP, Merriam EP, Garver KE, Minshew NJ, et al. Maturation of widely distributed brain function subserves cognitive development. *Neuroimage*. (2001) 13:786–93. doi: 10.1006/nimg.2000.0743
- Peper JS, Dahl RE. The teenage brain: surging hormones—Brainbehavior interactions during puberty. *Curr Dir Psychol Sci.* (2013) 22:134–9. doi: 10.1177/0963721412473755
- Shifflet-Chila ED, Harold RD, Fitton VA, Ahmedani BK. Adolescent and family development: autonomy and identity in the digital age. *Child Youth Serv Rev.* (2016) 70:364–8. doi: 10.1016/j.childyouth.2016.10.005
- Lebel C, Deoni S. The development of brain white matter microstructure. Neuroimage. (2018) 182:207–18. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017. 12.097
- Blakemore SJ, Robbins TW. Decision-making in the adolescent brain. Nat Neurosci. (2012) 15:1184. doi: 10.1038/nn.3177
- Klaitman SS, Solomonov E, Yaloz A, Biswas S. The incidence of road traffic crashes among young people aged 15-20 years: differences in behavior, lifestyle and sociodemographic indices in the Galilee and the Golan. *Front Public Health.* (2018) 6:202. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2018.00202

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Leisman and Waksman. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.