



Sexual Minority Orientation Is Associated With Greater Psychological Impact Due to the COVID-19 Crisis—Evidence From a Longitudinal Cohort Study of Young Swiss Men

Simon Marmet^{1*}, Matthias Wicki¹, Gerhard Gmel^{1,2,3,4}, Céline Gachoud¹, Nicolas Bertholet¹ and Joseph Studer¹

¹ Addiction Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland, ² Addiction Switzerland, Lausanne, Switzerland, ³ Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, ON, Canada, ⁴ Faculty of Health and Social Sciences, University of the West of England, Bristol, United Kingdom

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Susan Garthus-Niegel, Medical School Hamburg, Germany

Reviewed by:

Andreas Staudt, Technische Universität Dresden, Germany Maria Manuela Peixoto, Lusíada University of Porto, Portugal

> *Correspondence: Simon Marmet simon.marmet@chuv.ch

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Public Mental Health, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

Received: 09 April 2021 Accepted: 24 September 2021 Published: 22 October 2021

Citation:

Marmet S, Wicki M, Gmel G, Gachoud C, Bertholet N and Studer J (2021) Sexual Minority Orientation Is Associated With Greater Psychological Impact Due to the COVID-19 Crisis – Evidence From a Longitudinal Cohort Study of Young Swiss Men. Front. Public Health 9:692884. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.692884 **Background:** The COVID-19 pandemic and its countermeasures may have had a significant impact on the psychological well-being of specific population subgroups. The present study investigated whether sexual minority men (defined here as attracted partly or exclusively to men) from an ongoing cohort study of young Swiss men experienced different psychological impacts, levels of substance use and addictive behaviors, and to which degree pre-existing vulnerabilities and participants experiences during the crisis might explain these differences.

Methods: An ongoing cohort sample based on the general population of young Swiss men (mean age = 29.07 years; SD = 1.27) was assessed before and during the COVID-19 crisis for depression, stress, sleep quality, substance use and addictive behaviors. Additionally, during the crisis, we assessed its impact in form of fear, isolation and traumatic experiences. Potential associations between these outcomes and sexual orientation (sexual minority vs. heterosexual) were tested using linear regression models. It was additionally estimated to which degree these associations were attenuated if adjusted for differences in mental health, personality and socioeconomic status before the crisis, as well as the experience of the COVID-19 crisis (infection with the virus and changes to work situation).

Results: Compared to heterosexual men, sexual minority men showed higher levels of psychological trauma (b = 0.37 [0.25, 0.49]), fear (b = 0.18 [0.06, 0.30]) and isolation (b = 0.32 [0.20, 0.44]) due to the COVID-19 pandemic as well as higher levels of depression (b = 0.31 [0.20, 0.41]) and lower sleep quality (b = -0.13 [-0.24, -0.02]) during the crisis. These differences were to a large degree explained by higher pre-crisis levels of mental health problems and the personality dimension of neuroticism-anxiety. Sexual minority men showed higher overall levels of substance use and addictive behaviors, but these differences were already present before the crisis.

1

Conclusion: The COVID-19 crisis may have worsened pre-existing vulnerabilities in sexual minority men, leading to its greater psychological impact on them than on heterosexual men. Reducing minority stress due to sexual orientation may help not only to improve mental health among important proportions of the population but also to reduce their vulnerability to crises. Services offering psychological support to sexual minorities may need to be reinforced during crises.

Keywords: COVID-19, Switzerland, mental health, sexual orientation, sexual minorities

INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) affected daily life worldwide, including in Switzerland. Although infection and potential infection with the virus had evident impacts on the population's physical health, other consequences could be observed in the form of the psychological stress due to fears for one's health and that of others, the measures taken against the spread of the coronavirus, and even the financial strains of economic uncertainty. Cases of COVID-19 started to increase in Switzerland at the beginning of March 2020, with 3,747 confirmed cases (43.9 cases per 100,000 inhabitants) reported by 16 March (1). The Swiss government took drastic measures to halt the spread of infection (hereafter: COVID measures), including closing schools, restaurants, non-essential shops, tourist attractions and others sites and introducing social/physical distancing (groups of up to 5 people and at least 2 m apart). There were also strong recommendations to work from home and avoid public transport.

Health crises often highlight and amplify pre-existing vulnerabilities (2-4), potentially also in individuals with a non-heterosexual sexual orientation, henceforth called sexual minorities here. The American Psychological Association defines sexual orientation as "...an enduring pattern of emotional, romantic and/or sexual attractions to men, women or both sexes. Sexual orientation also refers to a person's sense of identity based on those attractions, related behaviors and membership in a community of others who share those attractions." (5) For the purpose of this study, sexual minority is defined as men which are partly or exclusively attracted to men. Being a member of a sexual minority is associated with minority stress (6-10), which Meyer (10) defines as the excess stress social minorities (in this case due to their sexual minority orientation) suffer due to effects such as stigmatization and prejudice. Stress due to sexual minority status can be categorized in four dimensions (10): external/objective stressors (such as stigma, prejudice or violence), expectation of such external stressors, internalization of negative societal attitudes and concealment of sexual orientation. Minority stress has been related to worse mental health (6, 10-15). Studies have also reported associations between personality traits and sexual orientation (16-18), and high scores for some personality traits, notably neuroticism, which have also been correlated with mental health problems (18). Despite the increased prevalence of mental health problems in sexual minorities, compared to heterosexual individuals, it is important to acknowledge that the majority of sexual minority individuals do not suffer from a mental health problem (13).

Consistent with this literature, previous research (14) on our cohort's sample also found that, compared to heterosexual men, sexual minority men reported worse mental health and overall higher levels of substance use disorders and behavioral addictions. Such pre-existing differences in mental health may predispose sexual minorities to worse reactions to a crisis such as COVID-19 (6, 19). Additionally, sexual minority status is often associated with differences in sociodemographic factors that may also contribute to a worse reaction to crises. For example, sexual minorities may have different housing situations, i.e., living less often with children and more often alone. Not having to care for children during such a crisis may be less stressful for some, but may also make them more prone to social isolation. Sexual minorities often have a lower socioeconomic status (20, 21) than heterosexual people, which could also relate to greater psychological impacts in a crisis (22).

Group solidarity and cohesiveness may provide resilience against negative consequences of minority stress (10), however, these resources may be less present due to the breakdown of usual social structures during the COVID-19 crisis. Conversely, the reduced number of social contacts during the COVID-19 crisis may reduce the occurrence of discriminatory experiences due to sexual orientation.

Overall, these factors may predispose sexual minorities to a worse reaction to the crisis (6, 8) and their existing higher addictive behaviors and substance use (14) may also be further exacerbated as a coping mechanism for COVID-19's psychological impact. Recent research has indicated that members of sexual minority groups have suffered a high psychological impact from the crisis (23-31), but these studies were done in samples of sexual minority people only and, therefore, that impact cannot be compared with the psychological impact suffered by the heterosexual people also affected by the crisis (32). Seven studies with online recruited convenience samples from the United States of America (9, 33-38) and one online study conducted in Portugal and Brazil (39) comparing sexual minorities and heterosexual persons found that the psychological impacts among members of sexual minorities were greater. A prospective cohort study in Southern California also found that sexual minorities reported more negative coping strategies with respect to the COVID-19 crisis compared to heterosexual individuals (40). A review of the literature regarding well-being of sexual and gender minorities in the United Kingdoms identified no research published in scientific journals, but several gray literature reports that overall showed poor or worse outcomes in sexual minorities (41).

Earlier publications have reported on the overall psychological impact of the COVID-19 crisis on our sample of young men, including changes in addictive behaviors and substance use (22, 42, 43). Overall, few participants tested positive for COVID-19, but there were considerable changes in work situations (job loss, partial unemployment, and working from home) due to the crisis and substantial psychological impacts (22, 42). Although there was a decrease in alcohol use, there was a marked increase in non-substance related addictive behaviors, such as gaming and watching TV series (43).

The present study focused on associations between sexual orientation and these outcomes. Specifically, the study's primary aim was to investigate whether sexual orientation could be associated with COVID-19's impacts (on mental well-being, substance use and addictive behaviors). A secondary aim was to better understand why sexual orientation was associated with these outcome variables and which parts of these associations could be accounted for by other covariates measured in our study. Therefore, as a first step, we tested whether sexual orientation was associated with: (a) experiences related to COVID-19; (b) sociodemographic factors; (c) indicators of mental health before the crisis; and (d) personality traits before the crisis. In a second step we also tested the degree to which these covariates accounted for the associations between sexual orientation and psychological impacts, substance use and addictive behaviors.

METHOD

Sample

This study was based on data from the Cohort Study on Substance-Use Risk Factors (C-SURF), collected from waves shortly before and during the COVID crisis. Participants were first contacted when they were about 19 years old, during the mandatory recruitment procedures testing their aptitude for military service. They were recruited at three of the six national military recruitment centers (in Lausanne, Windisch and Mels), which together cover 21 of Switzerland's 26 cantons. Subsequent data collection was independent of the army. The Human Research Ethics Committee of the Canton of Vaud approved the research protocols for the parent C-SURF study and the present COVID study (protocol 15/07 (PB_2018-00296). In total, 13,237 participants were invited to participate in the study, of which 7,556 participants gave their written informed consent and 5,987 replied to the first wave (see https://www.csurf.ch/en/1.html and (44, 45) for more details about the parent study's design). There have been four waves of assessment to date (at roughly the ages of 20, 21, 25, and 28) with excellent follow up participation rates of about 90%. Between April 2019 and 14 February 2020 (1 month before the announcement of the COVID-19 measures, data collection of the 4th wave was still ongoing) 4,407 participants replied to C-SURF's fourthwave, online questionnaire (hereafter: the pre-COVID wave). On 13 May 2020, these 4,407 participants were sent an e-mail and a short text message by telephone, inviting them to answer the COVID wave assessment. Data was collected online using LimeSurvey software (46), and collection ended on 8 June 2020. A total of 2,548 (57.8%) participants provided their informed consent to participate in the COVID assessment, and 2,415 (54.8% of those invited) filled out at least the first section about their experiences of COVID-19 symptoms and their personal situation. Participants with missing values for predictor variables were excluded from further analysis, and the study's final sample size was 2,345 (53.2% of those invited) participants.

MEASUREMENTS

Sexual Orientation

One question on sexual orientation in the pre-COVID wave asked about the extent to which participants were attracted to men and women, with five response options ranging from exclusively attracted to women to exclusively attracted to men (47, 48). This was recoded to heterosexual (exclusively attracted to women) vs. sexual minority (at least somewhat attracted to men to exclusively attracted to men). Subgroup sample sizes were too small to meaningfully investigate differences across the spectrum of sexual minority orientations. Nevertheless, results for the five-option spectrum of sexual orientations are presented in the **Supplementary Materials**.

The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic (Outcome Variables)

Psychological Consequences, With No Mention of COVID-19 as Their Cause (Measured Before and During COVID-19)

These variables were investigated in the same format in both the pre-COVID and COVID-19 assessments, with COVID-19 not explicitly mentioned as a potential cause. Symptoms of major depression in the past 2 weeks were measured using the 12-item Major Depression Inventory (WHO-MDI) (49, 50), which was recoded into 10 criteria, resulting in a sum score ranging from 0 to 50. Cronbach's Alpha for the depression scale was 0.906 at the pre-COVID wave and 0.914 during COVID-19. Perceived stress in the last month was measured using the four-item short version of the Perceived Stress Scale (51), with response options from 0 ("never") to 6 ("very often"), resulting in a sum score ranging from 0 to 24. Cronbach's Alpha for this scale was 0.659 at the pre-COVID and 0.656 during COVID. Sleep quality in the last month was assessed with one question from the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (52), and response options from 0 ("very bad") to 3 ("very good").

Psychological Consequences, Explicitly Mentioning COVID-19 as Their Cause (Only Measured During COVID-19)

These variables explicitly mentioned the COVID-19 pandemic and its countermeasures as their cause, using formulations such as "due to the COVID-19 crisis, I experienced...." Psychological trauma due to the COVID-19 crisis in the last seven days was measured using the 22-item Impact of Event Scale (IES) (53). Response options were from 0 ("not at all") to 4 ("extremely"), resulting in a sum score ranging from 0 to 88. Cronbach's Alpha for this scale was 0.919. Fear due to the COVID-19 crisis, since the beginning of COVID measures, was asked about using seven items on the degree to which participants were afraid of the negative aspects of the COVID-19 crisis. Questions covered fears for oneself, others and finances, and were adapted from de Quervain et al. (54). Response options were from 0 ("not at all") to 4 ("extremely"). Cronbach's Alpha for the fear scale was 0.731.

Feelings of isolation since the beginning of COVID-19 measures were asked about using three questions on how often participants felt isolated, adapted from UCLouvain (55). Response options were from 0 ("never") to 3 ("very often"). Cronbach's Alpha for this scale was 0.773.

Substance Use and Other Addictive Behaviors (Measured Before and During COVID-19)

Weekly drinking volume and number of cigarettes smoked, weekly time spent gaming, watching TV series and watching internet pornography were computed as the product of quantity and frequency of use. One question asked about the frequency of illegal (\geq 1% THC) cannabis use. The same questions measuring addictive behaviors were used in the pre-COVID and COVID-19 assessments, however, the reference periods were different: i.e., "in the previous 12 months" for the pre-COVID assessment, and "since the start of the COVID-19 measures" for the COVID-19 assessment. All measures were recoded to express weekly use.

Covariates Hypothesized to Account for Associations Between Sexual Orientation and Psychological Impacts

Covariates From the COVID Assessment

Personal experiences of COVID-19 symptoms were assessed using one question (with responses "no symptoms," "symptoms without having been tested," "tested negative," and "tested positive"), and participants were also asked whether other members of their household or entourage had experienced COVID-19 symptoms. Being part of the at-risk group for severe COVID-19 symptoms was assessed using one question asking whether participants suffered from any one of the following diseases: cancer, diabetes, immune system weakness, hypertension, cardiovascular disease or chronic respiratory disease. Changes in work situation due to the COVID-19 crisis were asked about using several questions on participants' employment status (with responses "no change," "job loss," "partial unemployment," "losing money as self-employed") and increases or decreases in workload. Hours worked from home and in total during the crisis were also assessed. The proportion of time worked from home ("1-49%," "50-89%," and "90-100%") was calculated by dividing hours worked from home by total hours worked. Participants were asked whether they were called up to their military or civil protection unit to assist with the COVID-19 crisis and whether they regularly worked in contact with people potentially infected with the disease, either in medical settings (e.g., as nurses, doctors) or other settings (e.g., supermarkets). Furthermore, participants were asked about their living situation, i.e. whether they lived alone or together with other adults, as children are also people.

Covariates From the Pre-COVID Assessment

One question asked about the highest level of education attained by the participant, and answers were recoded into International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED) codes (56). Socioeconomic status (SES) was measured using two questions about relative financial status and difficulty paying bills. For relative financial status, participants were asked how well-off they considered themselves (adapted from Hibell et al. (57)) with respect to others, and answers were recoded to "below average," "average," and "above average." Difficulty paying bills was measured using one question asking whether participants had sufficient income to pay their usual outgoings and bills at the end of the month, a question adapted from Swiss Federal Statistical Office (58). Answers were recoded to "easy or very easy," "fairly easy," and "rather difficult or difficult" to pay bills.

Symptoms of social anxiety disorder in recent weeks were measured using 12 five-point Likert scales for questions from the Clinically Useful Social Anxiety Disorder Outcome Scale (CUSADOS) (59). Cronbach's Alpha for this scale was 0.924. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder symptom severity in the last 12 months was measured using five-point Likert scales for six items from the Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS-v1.1; (60)). Cronbach's Alpha for the ADHD scale was 0.720. Symptoms of borderline personality disorder (lifetime) were measured using true or false responses to 10 items from the McLean Screening Instrument for Borderline Personality Disorder (61, 62). Cronbach's Alpha for this scale was 0.783. Sensation-seeking was measured using the eight-item Brief Sensation Seeking Scale (63), with response options ranging from 0 ("strongly agree") to 5 ("strongly disagree"), and the sum score ranging from 0 to 40. Cronbach's Alpha for this scale was 0.769. Aggression-hostility (Cronbach's Alpha = 0.609), neuroticism-anxiety (Cronbach's Alpha = 0.744, and sociability (Cronbach's Alpha = 0.686) were measured using 10 true or false statements from the Zuckermann-Kuhlmann Personality Questionnaire (64), and the response scores were summed.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Descriptive statistics for COVID-related experiences and sociodemographic were calculated for the total sample, and separately for sexual minority and heterosexual men (**Table 1**). Differences in these COVID-related experiences and sociodemographic factors between sexual minority and heterosexual men were tested using multinomial logistic regression models, with heterosexual men as the reference group. Paired *t*-tests were used to test for differences in continuous measures for mental health and personality traits before the crisis according to sexual orientation (**Supplementary Table S1**).

Linear regression models were used to test associations between psychological impacts and sexual orientation [coded as sexual minority (1) vs. heterosexual (0)]. Outcomes were z-standardized (mean = 0, SD = 1) before the analysis to enable better comparability of coefficients across outcomes. The coefficients thus corresponded to the differences in the outcomes, in standard deviations, for a one-unit increase in TABLE 1 | Descriptive statistics and results of multinomial regressions testing for differences between sexual minority men and heterosexual men (reference group).

	n	Hetero-sexualSexual minority $(n = 2,035)$ $(n = 310)$ Mean/%Mean/%	-	Total Mean/%	Sexual minority vs heterosexual OR [95% CI]
			Mean/%		
Age during COVID-19 (mean)	2,345	29.1	29.0	29.1	
Linguistic region					
French-speaking	1,361	58.5	53.9	58.0	Ref.
German-speaking	984	41.5	46.1	42.0	1.20 [0.94, 1.53]
Group 1: COVID-related experiences					
Experience of COVID-19 symptoms					
Personal experience of COVID-19 symp	toms				
No symptoms and not tested	1,921	82.8	76.5	81.9	Ref.
Had symptoms, but tested	60	2.3	4.5	2.6	2.22 [1.20, 4.11]
negative					
Had symptoms, but not tested	345	14.3	17.7	14.7	1.40 [1.02, 1.94]
Tested positive	19	0.7	1.3	0.8	1.95 [0.64, 5.94]
Experience of COVID-19 symptoms in h					
No symptoms and not tested	942	40.5	38.1	40.2	Ref.
Symptoms, but not tested or	666	28.6	27.4	28.4	1.04 [0.77, 1.41]
negative	000	20.0	21.1	20.1	1.01 [0.11, 1.11]
Tested positive, hospitalized or	737	31.0	34.5	31.4	1.25 [0.94, 1.67]
died of COVID	101	01.0	01.0	01.1	1.20 [0.01, 1.01]
Risk group (any condition posing an incr	eased rick such :	e reeniratory or heart disease	20)		
No	2,226	95.0	94.5	94.9	Ref.
Yes	119	5.0	5.5	5.1	1.11 [0.65, 1.88]
Change in work situation during CO			5.5	5.1	1.11 [0.05, 1.00]
• •		5			
Change in employment because of COV		70.1	70.1	70.0	D-f
No change	1,852	79.1	78.1	79.0	Ref.
Lost job	81	3.2	4.8	3.5	1.56 [0.87, 2.77]
Partially unemployed	339	14.5	13.9	14.5	0.97 [0.69, 1.38]
Self-employed and lost money	73	3.1	3.2	3.1	1.09 [0.55, 2.16]
Change in workload	1 000	57.0	54.5	57.0	D (
No change	1,336	57.3	54.5	57.0	Ref.
Decreased	646	27.7	26.5	27.5	1.03 [0.77, 1.37]
Increased	363	14.9	19.0	15.5	1.35 [0.98, 1.86]
Percentage of time spent working from h	-				
Did not work from home	1,003	42.8	42.6	42.8	Ref.
1–49%	341	14.9	11.9	14.5	0.80 [0.54, 1.18]
50% or more	1,001	42.3	45.5	42.7	1.09 [0.84, 1.41]
Called up to military or civil defense unit					
No	2,101	89.8	88.4	89.6	Ref.
Yes	244	10.2	11.6	10.4	1.20 [0.82, 1.75]
Group 2: Sociodemographic factors					
Education and socioeconomic statu	s (pre-COVID wa	ave)			
Highest educational level achieved (Inter	national Standard	Classification of Education; I	SCED)		
ISCED 2 mandatory schooling (9 years)	41	1.7	2.3	1.7	1.73 [0.74, 4.02]
ISCED 34 maturity (12–13 years)	221	9.5	8.7	9.4	1.06 [0.67, 1.66]
ISCED 35 apprenticeship (12–13 years)	944	41.0	35.2	40.3	Ref.
ISCED 6 bachelor (15 years)	612	26.1	26.1	26.1	1.16 [0.85, 1.57]
ISCED 7 master (17 years)	527	21.7	27.7	22.5	1.54 [1.13, 2.09]
Financial situation				-	
	737		39.0	31.4	

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

	п	Hetero-sexual (<i>n</i> = 2,035)	Sexual minority ($n = 310$)	Total	Sexual minority vs. heterosexual
		mean/%	mean/%	mean/%	OR [95% CI]
Average	684	29.5	26.8	29.2	Ref.
Above average	924	40.2	34.2	39.4	0.90 [0.66, 1.22]
Difficulty paying usual bills					
Easy or very easy	971	42.1	36.8	41.4	Ref.
Rather easy	762	32.6	31.6	32.5	1.16 [0.86, 1.55]
Rather difficult or difficult	612	25.3	31.6	26.1	1.50 [1.12, 2.01]
Working in regular contact with po	tentially infected	people (COVID wave)			
Job with contact with people (e.g., res	taurant; % yes)				
No	1,807	76.6	80.0	77.1	Ref.
Yes	538	23.4	20.0	22.9	0.82 [0.61, 1.10]
Job in healthcare sector with contact v	with patients (% yes)			
No	2,238	95.7	93.9	95.4	Ref.
Yes	107	4.3	6.1	4.6	1.46 [0.88, 2.44]
Living situation (COVID wave)					
Alone	513	21.3	25.5	21.9	Ref.
With other persons or family members	580	24.3	27.7	24.7	0.94 [0.67, 1.31]
With children (most often with partner)	273	12.2	7.7	11.6	0.54 [0.33, 0.88]
With partner, no children	979	42.2	39.0	41.7	0.76 [0.56, 1.04]

All ORs are adjusted for age and linguistic region. Bold coefficients are statistically significant at p < 0.05.

the predictor. All regressions were adjusted for participants' age and linguistic region (German-speaking vs. French-speaking). Models for depression, perceived stress and sleep quality, as well as for addictive behaviors and substance use, were also adjusted for their baseline levels in the pre-COVID wave in order to estimate to which degree differences by sexual orientation were already present before the crisis. The results present unadjusted and baseline adjusted coefficients.

For the last part of the analysis, covariates were categorized into four groups: (1) COVID-related experiences, (2) sociodemographic factors, (3) mental health problems, and (4) personality. To test the degree to which sexual orientation related differences in psychological impacts, addictive behaviors and substance use between sexual minority men and heterosexual men were reduced after accounting for these covariates, the coefficients for sexual orientation with respect to an outcome (e.g., fear or depression) were divided by their respective coefficient for sexual orientation, adjusted for the respective covariate. This analysis, and tests for the significance of the attenuation, were done using the KHB plugin (65) in Stata 14 software (66). A percentage of attenuation [1-(coefficient adjusted for covariate/unadjusted coefficient)] was then estimated. The higher this percentage, the greater part of sexual orientation's association with the outcome can be explained by differences in the respective covariate. This procedure is very similar to estimating the proportion of the indirect effect of the total effect in mediation analysis. Attenuation was first estimated for each covariate separately, then for the total of each of the four groups, and finally for all four groups combined. For each group, the remaining coefficients for sexual minority orientation after adjustment for the respective group of covariates were also reported. A non-significant coefficient means that there was no significant effect of sexual minority orientation that cannot be explained by the covariates. A remaining significant effect means that there is an independent effect of sexual minority orientation with respect to an outcome that cannot be explained by the covariates in the model.

RESULTS

Overall, 13.2% (n = 310) of our sample of young Swiss men identified as a member of a sexual minority. Further descriptive statistics of the sample are presented in **Table 1**.

Associations With Psychological Impact and Addictive Behaviors (Aim 1)

Descriptive statistics for psychological impact and addictive behaviors according to sexual orientation are presented in **Table 2**, while associations of between sexual minority with psychological impact are reported in **Table 3**. Sexual minority men reported a greater psychological impact in consequences mentioning COVID-19 as a cause (psychological trauma, fear, and isolation). They also felt a greater psychological impact in consequences not mentioning COVID-19 as a cause, however, after adjustment for baseline levels, this was no longer significant for perceived stress, whereas coefficients for depression and sleep quality were lower but remained significant. For depression, there was actually an absolute decrease in scores in sexual TABLE 2 | Psychological impact, substance use and addictive behaviors according to sexual orientation.

	Before 0	COVID-19	During C	OVID-19	
	Heterosexual ($n = 2,035$)	Sexual minority ($n = 310$)	Heterosexual ($n = 2,035$)	Sexual minority ($n = 310$	
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	
Consequences mentioning	the COVID-19 pandemic as a ca	use (measured during COVID-19	only)		
Psychological impact					
Psychological trauma	n.a.	n.a.	7.48 (9.69)	11.25 (12.72)	
Fear	n.a.	n.a.	1.01 (0.69)	1.11 (0.64)	
Isolation	n.a.	n.a.	0.62 (0.61)	0.84 (0.74)	
Consequences not mention	ning COVID-19 as a cause (meas	ured before and during COVID-1	9)		
Psychological impact					
Depression	8.72 (7.45)	11.58 (8.93)	7.13 (7.44)	10.71 (9.24)	
Perceived stress	4.78 (2.91)	5.6 (2.98)	4.64 (2.96)	5.32 (3.03)	
Sleep quality	3.01 (0.70)	2.93 (0.71)	3.03 (0.68)	2.91 (0.65)	
Substance use					
Alcohol quantity	6.87 (12.90)	7.31 (9.68)	5.69 (9.96)	6.55 (10.89)	
Number of cigarettes	16.01 (37.77)	23.67 (46.02)	15.09 (38.72)	20.68 (43.14)	
Cannabis use frequency	0.44 (1.03)	0.76 (1.33)	0.34 (1.06)	0.62 (1.41)	
Addictive behaviors					
Gaming	1.58 (1.62)	1.62 (1.65)	1.83 (1.94)	1.98 (2.11)	
Watching TV series	1.65 (1.18)	1.78 (1.16)	2.08 (1.51)	2.29 (1.79)	
Internet sex	4.23 (6.63)	7.09 (9.20)	4.47 (7.72)	7.36 (10.94)	

n.a, no baseline measure available.

minority men and heterosexual men (**Table 2**), however, sexual minority men had higher scores before and during the crisis, and they decreased less compared to heterosexual men, resulting in a significant positive coefficient before and after baseline adjustment.

Regarding substance use and addictive behaviors, sexual minority men showed significantly higher levels of cigarette and cannabis use, of watching TV series and of internet sex during the COVID-19 crisis. Alcohol use and gaming were also higher among sexual minority men, but not significantly. After baseline adjustment, only the coefficient for internet sex remained significantly higher (**Table 3**). The analysis for psychological impact and substance use was repeated for the five-level spectrum of sexual orientation, and results are presented in **Supplementary Table S2**.

Attenuation of Coefficients by Covariates (Aim 2)

Table 1 reports differences in covariates according tosexual orientation.

For outcomes with a significant association (as presented in **Table 3**) with sexual orientation, **Table 4** shows the degree to which coefficients for sexual orientation were attenuated after adjusting for these covariates (as presented in **Table 1**) and, thus, the proportion of the total effect of sexual orientation on outcomes explained by the association with the covariate. The covariates from all four groups taken together explained more than half of the association between sexual orientation and sleep quality (66.0%), fear (67.8%) and feelings of isolation

(57.5%), about a third of the association with major depression (37.2%) but only 14.4% of the association with internet sex. The greatest attenuations in psychological impacts were observed by mental health problems (group 3) in the pre-COVID wave and personality traits (group 4) in the pre-COVID wave, although the only dimension of personality with a significant attenuation was neuroticism-anxiety. In comparison, attenuation due to sociodemographic factors (group 2) was lower and mostly related to differences in SES (especially for fear and to a lesser degree for psychological trauma) and living situation (especially for internet sex and isolation). COVID-related experiences (group 1) were the group of variables with the lowest potential for explaining associations between sexual orientation and psychological impacts, and only personal experiences of COVID-19 symptoms were associated with a significant attenuation for fear.

DISCUSSION

The present study's main objective was to investigate whether sexual minority men (partly or exclusively attracted to men) experienced a higher psychological impact and showed disproportional changes in substance use and addictive behaviors during the COVID-19 crisis compared to heterosexual men. As had been hypothesized early on in the crisis (6, 8), our results show that the COVID-19 crisis had a greater psychological impact on sexual minority men compared to heterosexual men, in form of higher levels of psychological trauma, fear and isolation. Regarding depression, stress and sleep quality TABLE 3 | Sexual orientation [sexual minority vs. heterosexual (ref.)] as a predictor of the crisis' psychological impact and its impact on substance use and addictive behaviors.

	Without basel	ine adjustment	With baseline adjustment (i	.e., for pre-COVID-19 levels)
	Heterosexual ($n = 2,035$)	Sexual minority (<i>n</i> = 310) <i>b</i> [95% CI]	Heterosexual ($n = 2,035$)	Sexual minority (<i>n</i> = 310) <i>b</i> [95% Cl]
Consequences mentioning	y the COVID-19 pandemic as a c	ause (measured during COVID-	19 only)	
Psychological impact				
Psychological trauma	Ref.	0.37 [0.25, 0.49]	n	a.
Fear	Ref.	0.18 [0.06, 0.30]	n	a.
Isolation	Ref.	0.32 [0.20, 0.44]	n	a.
Consequences not mentio	ning COVID-19 as a cause (mea	sured before and during COVID	-19)	
Psychological impact				
Depression	Ref.	0.47 [0.35, 0.59]	Ref.	0.31 [0.20, 0.41]
Perceived stress	Ref.	0.21 [0.09, 0.33]	Ref.	0.10 [-0.01, 0.20]
Sleep quality	Ref.	-0.19 [-0.31, -0.07]	Ref.	-0.13 [-0.24, -0.02]
Substance use				
Alcohol quantity	Ref.	0.09 [-0.03, 0.21]	Ref.	0.06 [-0.03, 0.15]
Number of cigarettes	Ref.	0.15 [0.03, 0.27]	Ref.	-0.01 [-0.08, 0.06]
Cannabis use frequency	Ref.	0.26 [0.14, 0.38]	Ref.	0.01 [-0.06, 0.08]
Addictive behaviors				
Gaming	Ref.	0.09 [-0.03, 0.21]	Ref.	0.07 [-0.03, 0.18]
Watching TV series	Ref.	0.15 [0.03, 0.27]	Ref.	0.10 [-0.01, 0.21]
Internet sex	Ref.	0.35 [0.23, 0.47]	Ref.	0.10 [0.00, 0.20]

Outcomes were z-standardized, and coefficients correspond to the difference between sexual minority and heterosexual men in standard deviations of the respective outcome. Bold coefficients are statistically significant at p < 0.05. All models were adjusted for age and linguistic region. n.a., no baseline measure available.

measured before and during the crisis, sexual minority men showed higher levels during the crisis compared to heterosexual men, which remained significant after baseline adjustment for depression and sleep quality, meaning that the gap in these measures between sexual minority men and heterosexual men increased during the crisis, even if there was no absolute increase (and even a decrease for depression) in these measures early in the crisis (42). Earlier research had reported the high impact of the COVID-19 crisis on sexual minority men (23-26, 28), but also on the general population (32), it was therefore important to test whether sexual minority men were indeed more affected than heterosexual men. Only relatively few studies mostly based on online convenience samples from the United States of America (9, 33-39), had reported a higher psychological impact of the COVID-19 crisis among sexual minorities compared to heterosexual people. Thus, the present study is certainly among the first to provide evidence that sexual minority men felt a greater impact from the COVID-19 crisis than did heterosexual men, and it was based on a general population sample rather than a convenience sample, and is one of the first published studies outside the United States of America to the best of our knowledge.

Regarding substance use and addictive behaviors, it would appear that the higher levels of substance use and addictive behaviors present among sexual minority men before the crisis compared to heterosexual men (14) remained present during it, and there was no overall indication of any disproportionate change in their substance use and addictive behaviors during the early crisis. Nevertheless, the higher levels of addictive behaviors in sexual minority men remain a reason for concern, before, during and probably after the pandemic. Further research will be needed to investigate whether this situation holds true for the duration of the crisis or whether there will be a disproportional change in addictive behaviors among sexual minorities at some point during it.

Besides the psychological impact they felt, sexual minority men differed from heterosexual men on several factors before and during the crisis. They were significantly more likely to have had symptoms of COVID-19 than heterosexual men, which was consistent with earlier findings from the United States of America (9). They were also slightly more likely to have lost their jobs during the crisis (also consistent with (9)). However, differences in variables measured before the crisis were considerable and consistent with the literature (18, 21, 67): sexual minority men had a lower SES, poorer mental health and a personality profile high in neuroticism-anxiety. These differences before and during the crisis may have contributed to the greater psychological impact of the COVID-19 crisis among sexual minority men, and we tested the degree to which this was the case in our sample. Results showed that when all the covariates were taken together, they explained more than half of the association between sexual orientation and sleep quality (66.0%), fear (67.8%) and feelings of isolation (57.5%), about a third of its association with major depression (37.2%), but only 14.4% of its association with internet sex use during COVID-19. Looking at which variables had the TABLE 4 | Percentage of attenuation/reduction in the coefficients of outcomes with significant associations with sexual minority orientation, after adjustment for COVID-related experiences, sociodemographic factors, mental health and personality.

	Measured during COVID-19 only			Measured during COVID-19 and adjusted for pre-COVID-19 levels		
	Psychological trauma	Fear	Isolation	Depression	Sleep quality	Internet sex
Coefficient for sexual minority (b [95% CI]) to be explained	0.37 [0.25, 0.49]	0.18 [0.06, 0.30]	0.32 [0.20, 0.44]	0.31 [0.20, 0.41]	-0.13 [-0.24, -0.02]	0.10 [0.00, 0.20]
	% Attenuation	% Attenuation	% Attenuation	% Attenuation	% Attenuation	% Attenuation
Group 1: COVID-related experiences						
Personal experience of COVID-19 symptoms	3.3%	10.3%	5.0%	0.4%	2.5%	1.3%
Experience of COVID-19 symptoms in entourage	0.6%	6.7%	1.3%	1.1%	2.5%	1.2%
Risk group	0.0%	-0.3%	0.0%	-0.3%	-0.1%	0.3%
Change in employment because of COVID-19	1.7%	5.7%	1.5%	0.8%	0.7%	0.1%
Change in workload	0.6%	1.5%	1.1%	0.9%	5.8%	1.2%
Percentage working from home during COVID-19	0.0%	0.9%	1.1%	1.8%	-0.2%	-0.1%
Having been called to civil or army service	-0.1%	1.2%	0.1%	-0.1%	0.1%	0.4%
Total COVID-related experiences variables (group 1)	5.7%	23.3%	9.6%	5.1%	11.8%	3.2%
Coefficient for sexual minority after adjustment for group 1	0.35 [0.23, 0.47]	0.14 [0.02, 0.25]	0.29 [0.17, 0.41]	0.29 [0.18, 0.40]	-0.11 [-0.22, 0.00]	0.10 [0.00, 0.20]
Group 2: Sociodemographic factors						
Highest achieved education	-1.4%	-1.0%	3.1%	5.3%	2.0%	-0.5%
Financial situation	4.6%	15.2%	3.8%	0.8%	2.3%	2.3%
Difficulty to pay usual bills	6.8%	14.7%	4.7%	0.1%	4.4%	0.7%
Job with contact with people	-0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	1.5%	1.0%	-1.0%
Job in healthcare sector with contact with patients	-0.5%	-1.9%	-0.1%	-0.3%	0.1%	-0.9%
Living situation	4.5%	0.7%	10.6%	4.2%	5.9%	10.4%
Total Sociodemographic factors (group 2)	10.2%	18.4%	19.9%	10.7%	13.1%	8.8%
Coefficient for sexual minority after adjustment for group 2	0.34 [0.22, 0.46]	0.15 [0.03, 0.26]	0.26 [0.14, 0.37]	0.27 [0.17, 0.38]	-0.11 [-0.22, 0.00]	0.09 [-0.01, 0.19
Coefficient for sexual minority to be explained	0.37 [0.25, 0.49]	0.18 [0.06, 0.30]	0.32 [0.20, 0.44]	0.31 [0.20, 0.41]	-0.13 [-0.24, -0.02]	0.10 [0.00, 0.20]
	% Attenuation	% Attenuation	% Attenuation	% Attenuation	% Attenuation	% Attenuation
Group 3: Severity of mental health problems	(pre-COVID wave	e)				
Major depression	25.7%	37.6%	23.8%	na	29.4%	13.0%
Perceived stress	15.2%	23.5%	14.3%	1.2%	8.2%	8.2%
Social anxiety disorder	17.5%	27.7%	14.9%	4.8%	15.2%	7.6%
Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity disorder	12.3%	20.4%	10.9%	3.9%	12.6%	3.2%
Borderline personality disorder	27.6%	30.2%	25.2%	12.5%	32.0%	12.3%
Total Mental health variables (group 3)	37.2%	49.2%	33.7%	15.8%	44.1%	15.6%
Coefficient for sexual minority after adjustment for group 3	0.23 [0.12, 0.35]	0.09 [-0.03, 0.21]	0.21 [0.09, 0.33]	0.26 [0.15, 0.36]	-0.07 [-0.18, 0.04]	0.09 [-0.01, 0.19
Group 4: Personality (pre-COVID wave)						
Sensation seeking	1.8%	-1.5%	4.5%	0.6%	0.5%	-2.2%
Neuroticism-anxiety	32.0%	48.4%	34.2%	19.6%	36.2%	10.8%
Aggression-hostility	1.6%	2.1%	0.6%	0.0%	-0.1%	0.3%
Sociability	1.9%	2.1%	1.1%	2.0%	4.7%	2.8%
Total personality variables (group 4)	33.4%	45.4%	39.0%	21.9%	38.6%	8.2%
Coefficient for sexual minority after adjustment for group 4	0.25 [0.13, 0.37]	0.10 [-0.02, 0.22]	0.19 [0.08, 0.31]	0.24 [0.13, 0.35]	-0.08 [-0.19, 0.03]	0.09 [-0.01, 0.19
Total all 4 groups together	43.2%	67.8%	57.5%	37.2%	66.0%	14.4%
Coefficient for sexual minority after adjustment for all 4 groups	0.21 [0.09, 0.33]	0.06 [-0.06, 0.17]	0.14 [0.02, 0.25]	0.19 [0.09, 0.30]	-0.04 [-0.15, 0.07]	0.09 [-0.01, 0.19

All analyses are adjusted for age and language. Bold coefficients are significant at p < 0.05. Bold percentages indicate that the attenuation caused by the covariate(s) was significant. Reading example: Unadjusted for covariates (except age and language), sexual minority men showed higher levels of depression than heterosexual men (b = 0.31), a coefficient that is reduced by 37.2% (to b = 0.19) after adjustment for all the covariates. Thus, 37.2% of the association between sexual minority orientation and depression can be explained by the covariates.

biggest influence on these attenuations, the first key finding was that a substantial part of the association between sexual orientation and the psychological impact of the COVID-19 crisis could be explained by higher levels of mental health problems among sexual minority men before the crisis, which predisposed them to a worse reaction during the crisis (6-8). Second, higher levels of the neuroticism-anxiety personality trait among sexual minority men explained a significant proportion of the association between sexual orientation and the psychological impacts of the crisis, especially for fear. Persons with high levels of neuroticism-anxiety may worry more in general, be more anxious and show a worse reaction to stressors (68), especially during crises like COVID-19 (69). Third, consistent with earlier findings that lower SES was associated with a greater psychological impact from the COVID-19 crisis (22), sexual minority men's lower perceived SES partially explained why they experienced more fear (possible financial worries) and psychological trauma due to COVID-19, but explained relatively little of the differences in the psychological impact for the other outcomes. Fourth, differences in COVID-19-related changes explained relatively little of the association between sexual orientation and the psychological impact of the crisis. Thus, the greater psychological impact of the COVID-19 crisis among sexual minority men was not mainly because they experienced more COVID-19 symptoms or changes in their work situation due to the crisis, but was because of pre-existing differences, primarily in form of lower mental health and higher levels of neuroticism-anxiety, which predisposed them to a worse reaction to the crisis.

Consequently, a large part of the crisis' greater psychological impact on sexual minority men may be explained by the greater vulnerabilities due to minority stress (10, 11), in the form of worse mental health (6, 12, 13) and higher levels of the neuroticism-anxiety personality trait before the COVID-19 crisis (18). Differences in socioeconomic factors (lower SES) (21) and living situation (less often living with children, tendency to live more often alone) also played a role in our sample, but with a comparatively small effect, possibly because not living with children may not only be associated with loneliness, but also be less stressful due to fewer social role obligations. Taken together, our broad range of covariates was able to explain a considerable part (but not all) of why sexual minority men felt a greater psychological impact, and it would appear that these factors surpass any possible beneficial effects of the crisis due to being exposed less to discriminatory experiences as a result of fewer social contacts. Further explanations for the remaining association could be more frequent interfamilial conflicts experienced by sexual minority men and thus less supportive social networks during a crisis (6, 9, 70). They may suffer more from social isolation as a larger part of their social peer network is no longer accessible (8, 21), which may also be an important resource for copying with minority stress (10). However, further research, perhaps using a qualitative approach, would be needed to test such hypotheses. Overall, a combination of factors seems to have created an overall worse reaction to the COVID-19 crisis among the sexual minority men who have less resources for coping with it (15).

LIMITATIONS

Our sample consisted exclusively of young Swiss men, but they may very well generalize (although with the required caution) to sexual minorities of other age groups and women, who are similarly affected by minority stress. All the measurement data were self-reported, and measures of mental health cannot reach the accuracy of a clinical assessment by this means. The pre-COVID-19 assessment was spread across 9 months, whereas the COVID-19 assessment was spread across 4 weeks, so there were significant differences in the periods covered by the assessments. In addition, the crisis situation was constantly and rapidly evolving: participants who completed the questionnaire at the end of the evaluation period may have experienced the pandemic very differently to those who completed the questionnaire early on. However, this should not have systematically affected our results. Only one aspect of sexual orientation (sexual attraction) was measured which yields rather higher estimates for nonheterosexual orientation compared to measures of sexual identity (identifying as homosexual or bisexual) (48, 71). Nevertheless, the subgroup sample sizes for non-heterosexual orientations (homosexual and bisexual) were too small to test for differences between them, we were unable to consider the entire spectrum of sexual orientations. However, supplementary analyses showed that there were some differences across the spectrum of sexual minority orientations (e.g., between "mostly heterosexual," and "mostly homosexual" men), albeit not systematically in one direction. This may deserve further attention in future studies with larger sample sizes. Finally, our COVID study was conducted relatively early on in the pandemic; thus, its long-term psychological consequences could not be assessed.

CONCLUSION

Sexual minority men reported experiencing a greater psychological impact due to the COVID-19 crisis than did heterosexual men. The crisis revealed or amplified pre-existing vulnerabilities in sexual minority men. A substantial proportion of these greater psychological impacts was due to sexual minority men's lower overall mental health status before the crisis, thus, preventing and treating mental health problems, especially in sexual minority men, may improve resilience to the psychological impacts of the current COVID-19 crisis and future crises. Psychological interventions may need to be adapted to personality profiles high in neuroticism-anxiety (72). Services offering psychological support or counseling to sexual minorities may need to be reinforced during crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Mitigating minority stress by reducing social or internalized stressors, for example improved sex education in school, abolishing discriminating laws (e.g., by recognizing same-sex unions), and by bolstering sexual minorities' stress coping resources (23, 73-78) may help to improve mental health among important proportions of the population and also to reduce their vulnerability to crises. The present study analyzed differences in the short-term consequences of the COVID-19 crisis. The significant unanswered question remains whether the greater psychological impact of the crisis among sexual minority men will persist for a longer time, or even whether it will be further amplified as the COVID-19 crisis runs its yet unknown course.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Human Research Ethics Committee of the Canton of Vaud. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SM contributed to the questionnaire design, conducted the data analysis and wrote the initial draft of the

REFERENCES

- 1. Federal Office of Public Health. *COVID-19 in der Schweiz [COVID-19 in Switzerland]*. (2020). Available online at: https://covid-19-schweiz.bagapps. ch/de-2.html
- Kantamneni N. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on marginalized populations in the United States: a research agenda. J Vocat Behav. (2020) 119:103439. doi: 10.1016/j.jvb.2020.103439
- Bambra C, Riordan R, Ford J, Matthews F. The COVID-19 pandemic and health inequalities. J Epidemiol Community Health. (2020) 74:964– 8. doi: 10.1136/jech-2020-214401
- Anderson G, Frank JW, Naylor CD, Wodchis W, Feng P. Using socioeconomics to counter health disparities arising from the covid-19 pandemic. *BMJ*. (2020) 369:m2149. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m2149
- 5. American Psychological Association. *Sexual Orientation & Homosexuality*. (2008). Available online at: https://www.apa.org/topics/lgbtq/orientation
- Salerno JP, Williams ND, Gattamorta KA. LGBTQ populations: psychologically vulnerable communities in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychol Trauma: Theor Res Pract Policy*. (2020) 12:S239. doi: 10.1037/tra0000837
- Banerjee D, Nair VS. "The Untold Side of COVID-19": struggle and perspectives of the sexual minorities. J Psychosexual Health. (2020) 2:113– 20. doi: 10.1177/2631831820939017
- Brennan DJ, Card KG, Collict D, Jollimore J, Lachowsky NJ. How might social distancing impact gay, bisexual, queer, trans and two-spirit men in Canada? *AIDS Behav.* (2020) 24:2480–2. doi: 10.1007/s10461-020-02891-5
- Moore SE, Wierenga KL, Prince DM, Gillani B, Mintz LJ. Disproportionate impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on perceived social support, mental health and somatic symptoms in sexual and gender minority populations. *J Homosex*. (2021) 86:1–15. doi: 10.1080/00918369.2020.1868184
- Meyer IH. Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychol Bull.* (2003) 129:674. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.129.5.674
- Ochs R. Why we need to "get bi". J Bisex. (2011) 11:171– 5. doi: 10.1080/15299716.2011.571983
- Bromberg DJ, Paltiel AD, Busch SH, Pachankis JE. Has depression surpassed HIV as a burden to gay and bisexual men's health in the United States? A comparative modeling study. *Social Psychiatry Psychiatric Epidemiol*. (2020) 56:1–10. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3439575
- Plöderl M, Tremblay P. Mental health of sexual minorities. Syst Rev Int Rev Psychiatry. (2015) 27:367–85. doi: 10.3109/09540261.2015.1083949
- 14. Wicki M, Marmet S, Studer J, Epaulard O, Gmel G. Curvilinear associations between sexual orientation and problematic substance use, behavioural

manuscript. MW, GG, CG, JS, and NB contributed to the questionnaire design, interpretation of the results and the writing of the manuscript. JS and GG were responsible for the development of the questionnaire and the data collection. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

FUNDING

The C-SURF study was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (FN 33CSC0-122679, FN 33CS30_139467, FN 33CS30_148493, and FN 33CS30_177519).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh. 2021.692884/full#supplementary-material

addictions and mental health among young Swiss men. *Addictive Behav.* (2020) 112:106609. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2020.106609

- Slimowicz J, Siev J, Brochu PM. Impact of status-based rejection sensitivity on depression and anxiety symptoms in gay men. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:1546. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17051546
- Wang J, Dey M, Soldati L, Weiss M, Gmel G, Mohler-Kuo M. Psychiatric disorders, suicidality, and personality among young men by sexual orientation. *European Psychiatry.* (2014) 29:514–22. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2014.05.001
- 17. Allen MS, Robson DA. Personality and sexual orientation: new data and meta-analysis. J Sex Res. (2020) 57:953–65.
- Zietsch BP, Verweij KJ, Bailey JM, Wright MJ, Martin NG. Sexual orientation and psychiatric vulnerability: A twin study of neuroticism and psychoticism. *Arch Sex Behav.* (2011) 40:133–42. doi: 10.1007/s10508-009-9508-4
- Asmundson GJ, Paluszek MM, Landry CA, Rachor GS, McKay D, Taylor S. Do pre-existing anxiety-related and mood disorders differentially impact COVID-19 stress responses and coping? J Anxiety Disord. (2020) 74:102271. doi: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2020.102271
- Konnoth C. Supporting LGBT communities in the COVID-19 Pandemic. In: Assessing Legal Responses to COVID-19. (2020). Boston: Public Health Law Watch. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3675915
- OutRight Action International. Vulnerability Amplified: The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on LGBTIQ People. New York: OutRight Action International (2020).
- Marmet S, Wicki M, Gmel G, Gachoud C, Daeppen J-B, Bertholet N, et al. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 crisis is higher among young Swiss men with a lower socioeconomic status: evidence from a cohort study. *Plos* one. (2021) 16:e0255050. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0255050
- Millar BM, Adebayo T, Dellucci TV, Behar E, Starks TJ. Keeps me awake at night: the potential of the COVID-19 pandemic to affect sleep quality among sexual minority men in the USA. *Psychol Sex Orientation Gender Diversity*. (2021) 8:213–219. doi: 10.1037/sgd0000441
- 24. Gonzales G, de Mola EL, Gavulic KA, McKay T, Purcell C. Mental health needs among lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender college students during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Adolescent Health. (2020) 67:645– 8. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2020.08.006
- 25. Kneale D, Becares L. Discrimination as a predictor of poor mental health among LGBTQ+ people during the COVID-19 pandemic: crosssectional analysis of the online Queerantine study. *BMJ Open.* (2021) 11:e049405. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-049405
- 26. Santos G-M, Ackerman B, Rao A, Wallach S, Ayala G, Lamontage E, et al. Economic, mental health, HIV prevention and HIV treatment impacts of

COVID-19 and the COVID-19 response on a global sample of cisgender gay men and other men who have sex with men. *AIDS Behav.* (2021) 25:311–21. doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-33958/v1

- Gato J, Barrientos J, Tasker F, Miscioscia M, Cerqueira-Santos E, Malmquist A, et al. Psychosocial effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and mental health among LGBTQ+ young adults: a cross-cultural comparison across six nations. *J Homosex*. (2021) 68:612–30. doi: 10.1080/00918369.2020.1868186
- Suen YT, Chan RC. Wong EMYJPr. Effects of general and sexual minority-specific COVID-19-related stressors on the mental health of lesbian, gay, and bisexual people in Hong Kong. *Psychiatry Res* .(2020) 292:113365. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113365
- Chang CJ, Feinstein BA, Chu BC. Selby EAJPoSO, Diversity G. The negative impact of COVID-19 on sexual minority young adults: demographic differences and prospective associations with depression. *Psychol Sex Orientation Gender Divers*. (2021) 8:220. doi: 10.1037/sgd0000494
- Nelson KM, Gordon AR, John SA, Stout CD. Macapagal KJJoAH. "Physical Sex Is Over for Now": impact of COVID-19 on the well-being and sexual health of adolescent sexual minority males in the US. J Adolescent Health. (2020) 67:756–62. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2020.08.027
- MacCarthy S, Izenberg M, Barreras JL, Brooks RA, Gonzalez A. Linnemayr SJPO. Rapid mixed-methods assessment of COVID-19 impact on Latinx sexual minority men and Latinx transgender women. *PLoS ONE.* (2020) 15:e0244421. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0244421
- 32. Salari N, Hosseinian-Far A, Jalali R, Vaisi-Raygani A, Rasoulpoor S, Mohammadi M, et al. Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Global Health.* (2020) 16:1–11. doi: 10.1186/s12992-020-00589-w
- Peterson ZD, Vaughan EL, Carver DN. Sexual identity and psychological reactions to COVID-19. *Traumatology*. (2021) 27:6–13. doi: 10.1037/trm0000283
- 34. Hoyt LT, Cohen AK, Dull B, Castro EM, Yazdani N. "Constant stress has become the new normal": stress and anxiety inequalities among US college students in the time of COVID-19. J Adolesc Health. (2021) 68:270– 276. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2020.10.030
- Kamal K, Li JJ, Hahm HC. Liu CH. Psychiatric impacts of the COVID-19 global pandemic on US sexual and gender minority young adults. *Psychiatry Res.* (2021) 299:113855. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2021.113855
- Fish JN, Salerno J, Williams ND, Rinderknecht RG, Drotning KJ, Sayer L, et al. Sexual minority disparities in health and well-being as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic differ by sexual identity. *LGBT Health.* (2021) 8. doi: 10.1089/lgbt.2020.0489
- Rodriguez-Seijas C, Fields EC, Bottary R, Kark SM, Goldstein MR, Kensinger EA. et al. Comparing the impact of CoViD-19-related social distancing on mood and psychiatric indicators in sexual and gender minority (SGM) and non-SGM individuals. *Front Psychiatry.* (2020) 11:1448. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.590318
- Solomon DT, Morey KE, Williams CJ, Grist CL, Malesky LA. COVID-19 health behaviors in a sexual minority sample: the impact of internalized stigma. *Psychol Sexual Orientation Gender Div.* (2021) 8:159–171. doi: 10.1037/sgd0000466
- Duarte M. Pereira HJBS. The impact of COVID-19 on depressive symptoms through the lens of sexual orientation. *Brain Sci.* (2021) 11:523. doi: 10.3390/brainsci11040523
- Krueger EA, Barrington-Trimis JL, Unger JB, Leventhal AM. Sexual and gender minority young adult coping disparities during the COVID-19 pandemic. (2021). J Adolescent Health. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2021.07.021
- McGowan VJ, Lowther HJ. Meads C. Life under COVID-19 for LGBT+ people in the UK: systematic review of UK research on the impact of COVID-19 on sexual and gender minority populations. *BMJ Open.* (2021) 11:e050092. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-050092
- 42. Marmet S, Wicki M, Gmel G, Gachoud C, Daeppen J-B, Bertholet N, et al. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 crisis on young Swiss men participating in a cohort study. *Swiss Med Wkly.* (2021) 151:w30028. doi: 10.31234/osf.io/kwxhd
- 43. Studer J, Marmet S, Gmel G, Wicki M, Labhart F, Gachoud C, et al. Changes in substance use and other reinforcing behaviours during the COVID-19 crisis

in a general population cohort study of young Swiss men. J. Behav. Addict. (accepted). doi: 10.1556/2006.2021.00073

- 44. Studer J, Baggio S, Mohler-Kuo M, Dermota P, Gaume J, Bertholet N, et al. Examining non-response bias in substance use research—are late respondents proxies for non-respondents? *Drug Alcohol Depend.* (2013) 132:316–23. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.02.029
- Gmel G, Akre C, Astudillo M, Bähler C, Baggio S, Bertholet N, et al. The swiss cohort study on substance use risk factors—findings of two waves. *SUCHT*. (2015) 61:251–62. doi: 10.1024/0939-5911.a000380
- Limesurvey GmbH. LimeSurvey: An Open Source Survey Tool. (2020). Available online at: http://www.limesurvey.org. (retrieved March 18, 2020).
- Bailey JM, Vasey PL, Diamond LM, Breedlove SM, Vilain E, Epprecht M. Sexual orientation, controversy, and science. *Psychol Sci Publ Interest.* (2016) 17:45–101. doi: 10.1177/1529100616637616
- Patterson JG, Jabson JM, Bowen DJ. Measuring sexual and gender minority populations in health surveillance. *LGBT health*. (2017) 4:82– 105. doi: 10.1089/lgbt.2016.0026
- Bech P, Rasmussen NA, Olsen LR, Noerholm V, Abildgaard W. The sensitivity and specificity of the major depression inventory, using the present state examination as the index of diagnostic validity. J Affect Disord. (2001) 66:159– 64. doi: 10.1016/S0165-0327(00)00309-8
- Bech P, Timmerby N, Martiny K, Lunde M, Soendergaard S. Psychometric evaluation of the Major Depression Inventory (MDI) as depression severity scale using the LEAD (Longitudinal Expert Assessment of All Data) as index of validity. *BMC Psychiatry*. (2015) 15:190. doi: 10.1186/s12888-015-0529-3
- Cohen S, Williamson GM. Perceived stress in a probability sample of the United States. In: Spacapan S, Oskamp S, editors. *The Social Psychology of Health Newbury Park.* CA: Sage. (1988) pp. 31–67.
- Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res.* (1989) 28:193–213. doi: 10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4
- Weiss DS. The impact of event scale: revised. In: Cross-Cultural Assessment of Psychological Trauma and PTSD. (2007). New York: Springer. p. 219– 38. doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-70990-1_10
- de Quervain D, Aerni A, Amini E, Bentz D, Coynel D, Gerhards C, et al. The Swiss corona stress study. (2020). doi: 10.31219/osf.io/jqw6a. [Epub ahead of print].
- 55. UCLouvain. *COVID-19 Research in IPSY*. (2020). Available online at: https://uclouvain.be/fr/instituts-recherche/ipsy/recherches-covid-19-en-ipsy.html
- 56. Swiss Federal Statistical Office. *Bildungsstatistik 2019.* (2020). Neuchatel, Switzerland: Bundesamt für Statistik.
- Hibell B, Guttormsson U, Ahlström S, Balakireva O, Bjarnason T, Kokkevi A, et al. *The 2011 ESPAD Report. Substance Use Among Students in 36 European Countries.* Tukholma: The Swedish Council for Information on Alcohol and other Drugs (2012).
- Federal Statistical Office. Statistics on Income and Living Conditions: household questionnaire 2019. Available online at: https://www.bfs.admin.ch/bfs/en/ home/statistics/economic-social-situation-population/surveys/silc.html.
- Dalrymple K, Martinez J, Tepe E, Young D, Chelminski I, Morgan T, et al. A clinically useful social anxiety disorder outcome scale. *Compr Psychiatry*. (2013) 54:758–65. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2013.02.006
- Kessler RC, Adler L, Ames M, Demler O, Faraone S, Hiripi E, et al. The World Health Organization adult ADHD self-report scale (ASRS): a short screening scale for use in the general population. *Psychol Med.* (2005) 35:245– 56. doi: 10.1017/S0033291704002892
- Melartin T, Häkkinen M, Koivisto M, Suominen K, Isometsä E. Screening of psychiatric outpatients for borderline personality disorder with the McLean Screening Instrument for Borderline Personality Disorder (MSI-BPD). Nord J Psychiatry. (2009) 63:475–9. doi: 10.3109/080394809030 62968
- Zanarini MC, Vujanovic AA, Parachini EA, Boulanger JL, Frankenburg FR, Hennen J, et al. Screening measure for BPD: the mclean screening instrument for borderline personality disorder (MSI-BPD). *J Pers Disord*. (2003) 17:568– 73. doi: 10.1521/pedi.17.6.568.25355
- Hoyle RH, Stephenson MT, Palmgreen P, Lorch EP, Donohew RL. Reliability and validity of a brief measure of sensation seeking. *Pers Individ Dif.* (2002) 32:401–14. doi: 10.1016/S0191-8869(01)00032-0

- Aluja A, Garcia Ó, Garcia LF. A comparative study of Zuckerman's three structural models for personality through the NEO-PI-R, ZKPQ-III-R, EPQ-RS and Goldberg's 50-bipolar adjectives. *Pers Individ Dif.* (2002) 33:713– 25. doi: 10.1016/S0191-8869(01)00186-6
- Karlson KB, Holm A. Decomposing primary and secondary effects: a new decomposition method. *Res Soc Stratif Mobil.* (2011) 29:221– 37. doi: 10.1016/j.rssm.2010.12.005
- 66. Corporation S. Stata Statistical Software: Release 14. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP.
- Herek GM, Garnets LD. Sexual orientation and mental health. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. (2007) 3:353–75. doi: 10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.3.022806.091510
- Schneider TR, Rench TA, Lyons JB, Riffle RR. The influence of neuroticism, extraversion and openness on stress responses. *Stress Health.* (2012) 28:102– 10. doi: 10.1002/smi.1409
- Modersitzki N, Phan LV, Kuper N, Rauthmann JF. Who is impacted? Personality predicts individual differences in psychological consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany. *Social Psychol Pers Sci.* (2021) 12:1110– 1130. doi: 10.1177/1948550620952576
- Rosa WE, Shook A, Acquaviva KD. LGBTQ+ inclusive palliative care in the context of COVID-19: pragmatic recommendations for clinicians. J Pain Symptom Manage. (2020) 60:e44–47. doi: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2020.04.155
- Copen CE, Chandra A, Febo-Vazquez I. Sexual Behavior, Sexual Attraction, and Sexual Orientation Among Adults Aged 18-44 in the United States: Data from the 2011-2003 National Survey of Family Growth. *Natl Health Stat Report.* (2016) 7:1–14.
- Miller TR. The psychotherapeutic utility of the five-factor model of personality: a clinician's experience. J Pers Assess. (1991) 57:415–33. doi: 10.1207/s15327752jpa5703_3
- Chaudoir SR, Wang K, Pachankis JE. What reduces sexual minority stress? A review of the intervention "toolkit". J Social Issues. (2017) 73:586– 617. doi: 10.1111/josi.12233
- Gesundheitsförderung Schweiz. Geschlechtliche und sexuelle Minderheiten in Gesundheitsförderung und Prävention. Faktenblatt. Gesundheitsförderung Schweiz. (2017). 19.

- Williams SL, Mann AK. Sexual and gender minority health disparities as a social issue: how stigma and intergroup relations can explain and reduce health disparities. J Social Issues. (2017) 73:450–61. doi: 10.1111/josi.12225
- Coulter RW, Egan JE, Kinsky S, Friedman MR, Eckstrand KL, Frankeberger J, et al. Mental health, drug, and violence interventions for sexual/gender minorities: a systematic review. *Pediatrics*. (2019) 144:e20183367. doi: 10.1542/peds.2018-3367
- Drabble LA, Wootton AR, Veldhuis CB, Riggle ED, Rostosky SS, Lannutti PJ, et al. Perceived psychosocial impacts of legalized same-sex marriage: a scoping review of sexual minority adults' experiences. *PLoS ONE.* (2021) 16:e0249125. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0249125
- Raifman J, Moscoe E, Austin SB, McConnell M. Difference-indifferences analysis of the association between state same-sex marriage policies and adolescent suicide attempts. *JAMA Pediatr.* (2017) 171:350–6. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2016.4529

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Marmet, Wicki, Gmel, Gachoud, Bertholet and Studer. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.