#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sanjay Kumar, Armed Forces Medical College, Pune, India

REVIEWED BY Wei Zhao, Southern Medical University, China Rajesh Pandey, CSIR-Institute of Genomics and Integrative Biology (CSIR-IGIB), India

#### \*CORRESPONDENCE

Sivadoss Raju dphpmlab@gmail.com Esaki M. Shankar shankarem@cutn.ac.in

<sup>†</sup>These authors share first authorship

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Infectious Diseases - Surveillance, Prevention and Treatment, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

RECEIVED 13 August 2022 ACCEPTED 25 August 2022 PUBLISHED 20 September 2022

#### CITATION

Selvavinayagam ST, Yong YK, Joseph N, Hemashree K, Tan HY, Zhang Y, Rajeshkumar M, Kumaresan A, Kalpana R, Kalaivani V, Monika AVD, Suvaithenamudhan S, Kannan M, Murugesan A, Narayanasamy K, Palani S, Larsson M, Shankar EM and Raju S (2022) Low SARS-CoV-2 viral load among vaccinated individuals infected with Delta B.1.617.2 and Omicron BA.1.1 and BA.2 variants. *Front. Public Health* 10:1018399. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.1018399

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Selvavinayagam, Yong, Joseph, Hemashree, Tan, Zhang, Rajeshkumar, Kumaresan, Kalpana, Kalaivani, Monika, Suvaithenamudhan, Kannan, Murugesan, Narayanasamy, Palani, Larsson, Shankar and Raju. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright

owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Low SARS-CoV-2 viral load among vaccinated individuals infected with Delta B.1.617.2 and Omicron BA.1.1.529 but not with Omicron BA.1.1 and BA.2 variants

Sivaprakasam T. Selvavinayagam<sup>1†</sup>, Yean Kong Yong<sup>2†</sup>, Narcisse Joseph<sup>3†</sup>, Kannan Hemashree<sup>1</sup>, Hong Yien Tan<sup>2,4</sup>, Ying Zhang<sup>5</sup>, Manivannan Rajeshkumar<sup>1</sup>, Anandhazhvar Kumaresan<sup>1</sup>, Raghu Kalpana<sup>1</sup>, Vasudevan Kalaivani<sup>1</sup>, Ayyagari Venkata Devi Monika<sup>1</sup>, Suvaiyarasan Suvaithenamudhan<sup>6</sup>, Meganathan Kannan<sup>7</sup>, Amudhan Murugesan<sup>8</sup>, Krishnasamy Narayanasamy<sup>9</sup>, Sampath Palani<sup>1</sup>, Marie Larsson<sup>10</sup>, Esaki M. Shankar<sup>6\*</sup> and Sivadoss Raju<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Directorate of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Chennai, India, <sup>2</sup>Laboratory Centre, Xiamen University Malaysia, Sepang, Malaysia, <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia, <sup>4</sup>School of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Xiamen University Malaysia, Sepang, Malaysia, <sup>5</sup>Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University Malaysia, Sepang, Malaysia, <sup>6</sup>Infection Biology, Department of Life Sciences, Central University of Tamil Nadu, Thiruvarur, India, <sup>7</sup>Blood and Vascular Biology, Department of Life Sciences, Central University of Tamil Nadu, Thiruvarur, India, <sup>8</sup>Department of Microbiology, The Government Theni Medical College and Hospital, Theni, India, <sup>9</sup>Government of Biomedicine and Clinical Sciences, Linkoping University, Linköping, Sweden

The rapid spread of SARS-CoV-2 variants in the global population is indicative of the development of selective advantages in emerging virus strains. Here, we performed a case-control investigation of the clinical and demographic characteristics, clinical history, and virological markers to predict disease progression in hospitalized adults for COVID-19 between December 2021 and January 2022 in Chennai, India. COVID-19 diagnosis was made by a commercial TagPath COVID-19 RT-PCR, and WGS was performed with the Ion Torrent Next Generation Sequencing System. High-quality (<5% of N) complete sequences of 73 Omicron B.1.1.529 variants were randomly selected for phylogenetic analysis. SARS-CoV-2 viral load, number of comorbidities, and severe disease presentation were independently associated with a shorter time-to-death. Strikingly, this was observed among individuals infected with Omicron BA.2 but not among those with the BA.1.1.529, BA.1.1, or the Delta B.1.617.2 variants. Phylogenetic analysis revealed severe cases predominantly clustering under the BA.2 lineage. Sequence analyses showed 30 mutation sites in BA.1.1.529 and 33 in BA.1.1. The mutations unique to BA.2 were T19I, L24S, P25del, P26del, A27S, V213G, T376A, D405N and R408S. Low SARS-CoV-2 viral load among vaccinated individuals infected with Delta B.1.617.2 and the Omicron BA.1.1.529 variant but not with Omicron BA.1.1 or BA.2 suggests that the newer strains are largely immune escape variants. The number of vaccine doses received was independently associated with increased odds of developing asymptomatic disease or recovery. We propose that the novel mutations reported herein could likely bear a significant impact on the clinical characteristics, disease progression, and epidemiological aspects of COVID-19. Surging rates of mutations and the emergence of eclectic variants of SARS-CoV-2 appear to impact disease dynamics.

KEYWORDS

AZD1222, BBV152, COVID-19 severity, Omicron BA.2, phylogeny

# Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in an unprecedented global emergency and has claimed more than 6.51 million deaths by September 2022 (https://covid19.who.int/). COVID-19 has had a devastating impact on global health and economy. While antiviral agents against the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the virus causing COVID-19, are yet to become widely available (1), vaccines and public health interventions recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) remain the most promising approach against the global catastrophe (2). Being a new virus strain encountered by the human host, the SARS-CoV-2 virus appears to undergo a series of mutations to adapt itself into the human population that likely could alter the disease spectrum, presentation and dynamics in the coming years (3). Eclectic variants of SARS-CoV-2 are increasingly evolving globally throughout the pandemic.

A SARS-CoV-2 variant is defined as owning one or more genetic mutations that distinguishes it from other virus variants. During its evolution the virus can either become more infectious/transmissible, or more efficient to evade the host's defense (4-6). The wild-type SARS-CoV-2 has evolved into several variants and sub-variants, some of which were identified as variants of concern (VoC) by the WHO such as the B.1.1.7 and Q pango (the Alpha variant), the B.1.351 and descendent pango (the beta variant), the P.1 and descendent pango (the Gamma variant). This was followed by the much alarming Delta variant (B.1.617.2 and AY) identified in Maharashtra, India during a pandemic wave that swept the country in mid-2021 (7). The Omicron B.1.1.529 variant possesses a fitness advantage over the Delta B.1.617.2 variant and continues to evolve actively (4). The mutation-laden lineages of SARS-CoV-2 are routinely monitored via epidemiological, sequence-based surveillance, and laboratory investigations.

The Omicron variant appears to harbor several genetic mutations in the spike protein, particularly in the S1 and S2 regions, more specifically involving the receptor-binding domain (RBD), which binds the ACE2 protein expressed on a broad array of host cells. Importantly, the Omicron variant has branched out into the B.1.1.529, BA.1, BA.1.1, BA.2, BA.3, BA.4, BA.5 and BA.7 sub-variants (8). Of note, the BA.1 represents a dominant mutant that reportedly escapes from neutralizing antibodies induced by vaccination (9, 10). The surge in infections by BA.2 suggests that the variant harbors a selective advantage over BA.1. Despite reports that BA.1 and BA.2 share several mutations in common, each of the variants possess unique mutations (8). Having said that virus variants can alter the disease presentation and pathogenesis attributes, additional studies are needed to determine whether the SARS-CoV-2 viral load (VL) within the respiratory tract may predict disease characteristics. Here, we performed a case-control study of the clinico-demographic features, clinical history, and virological markers to predict COVID-19 progression in hospitalized adults. We also performed phylogenetic analyses and conducted a detailed investigation on sequence variations to determine mutations in the spike protein of the virus variants. The primary objective of the current investigation was to underpin the diverse factors (including vaccine doses) associated with COVID-19 progression.

### Materials and methods

### Study population

The case-control study recruited 287 hospitalized adults for COVID-19-related illness at the Government Corona Hospital, Chennai, India from December 2021 until January 2022. The inclusion criteria were that the participants needed to be >18 years of age, and there were no exclusion criteria. Nasopharyngeal swabs were collected from participants for routine COVID-19 diagnosis. The standard demographic details such as age, gender, vaccination status, type of vaccine received, history of SARS-CoV-2 infection, underlying comorbidities, COVID-19 symptoms and signs, and treatment outcomes were obtained from medical records. The study procedures and/or protocols were reviewed and approved by the Human Ethics Committee of the Madras Medical College (MMC) (EC No. 03092021). All patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in the investigation.

# Clinical classification of COVID-19 severity

The clinical classification of the study participants was based on the *Clinical Guidance for Management of Adult COVID-19 Patients* by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India (January 2022). Accordingly, individuals were defined as having mild COVID-19 if they had upper respiratory tract symptoms and/or fever without shortness of breath or hypoxia. Moderate disease cases reported any one of the following manifestations viz., respiratory rate  $\geq$ 24/min, breathlessness or a SPO<sub>2</sub> of 90% to  $\leq$ 93% on room air that warranted hospitalization. Participants were defined as having severe/critical disease if they had one or more of the following manifestations of COVID-19: Respiratory rate >30/min, or breathlessness a SPO<sub>2</sub> of <90% on room air, which required admission in HDU/ICU (for close treatment and monitoring).

# Detection and identification of SARS-CoV-2 variants

Diagnosis of COVID-19 was made based on clinical and laboratory diagnoses; the former based on Universal Clinical Criteria 2021 defined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, USA (https://ndc.services.cdc. gov/case-definitions/coronavirus-disease-2019--2021/), and the later confirmed by a commercial TaqPath<sup>TM</sup> SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Pleasanton, CA) for the qualitative detection of nucleotides/genome sequences of SARS-CoV-2.

### SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA extraction

All samples selected for sequencing had RNA freshly extracted from the primary sample source independent of the material extracted for the initial SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR. RNA extraction was carried out using a commercial MagMAX<sup>TM</sup> Viral/Pathogen II Nucleic Acid isolation kit (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) as per the manufacturer's instructions.

### Whole genome sequencing

Copy DNA was prepared using the SuperScript VILO cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). SARS-CoV-2 library was prepared using 10µl of cDNA by an Ion AmpliSeq kit for Chef DL8 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and was adjusted to 75 pM before loading onto an Ion Chef instrument for emulsion PCR, enrichment, and subsequently onto an Ion 540 chip. WGS was performed using the Ion Torrent NGS System using an Ion GenStudio S5 Plus System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Raw data were analyzed using the Torrent Suite software v5.12.0, and the NGS QC Toolkit v 2.3.3 was employed to ward-off low-quality and short reads. Variant Caller v5.10.1.19 was used to detect variants, compared to the Wuhan-Hu-1 genome (GenBank accession number MN908947.3), and the consensus sequence was developed using IRMAreport v1.3.0.2. The annotation was performed using COVID19AnnotateSnpEff v1.3.0.2, a plugin specifically developed for SARS-CoV-2 to predict the effect of base substitution.

### Phylogenetic analysis

High-quality (<5% of N) and complete sequences of the Omicron sub-lineages (n = 73) were included for the phylogenetic analyses (sequence details available in Supplementary Table 1) (based on the availability of their complete sequences). The FASTA files of the genomes were aligned using a multiple sequence alignment program MAFFT (https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server). The phylogenetic tree was constructed using Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis tool (MEGA 11) (11). Maximum likelihood algorithm with Kimura 2 model were employed and the coronavirus isolate Wuhan-Hu-1 were used to root the tree (12). A circular view of the phylogenetic supertree was designed and constructed using the iTOL server v6.0 (13).

Sequence analyses were performed on the four lineages to determine the spike protein mutations using SARS-CoV-2 (hCoV-19) Lineage Comparison tool (https://outbreak. info/compare-lineages) (14, 15). The sequence analysis was conducted with 170,225 B.1.617.2 sequences, 493,145 BA.1.1.529 sequences, 936,505 BA.1.1 sequences and 1,079,725 BA.2 sequences from GISAID Initiative as of 20 July 2022.

### Statistical analyses

Comparison of categorical variables was tested using the Chi-Square test, whereas continuous variables (e.g., age) were compared using the unpaired *t*-test. Potential risk factors of disease severity such as patient demography, vaccination status, vaccine and number of doses received, comorbidities and viral variants, were evaluated using univariate and multivariate

10.3389/fpubh.2022.1018399

binary logistic regression (16). The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were estimated. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad PRISM, ver5.02 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA). Binary regression was performed using SPSS, ver20 (IBM, Armonk, NY), two-tailed P < 0.05 was considered as statistical significance for all the tests performed, and P values <0.05, <0.01, <0.001, <0.001 were marked as \*, \*\*, \*\*\* and \*\*\*\*, respectively.

# Results

# Clinico-demographic and patient characteristics

A total of 287 SARS-CoV-2-infected patients who were hospitalized for COVID-19 were recruited to the current study. The median age was 73 years (IQR = 64-80 years) and a vast majority were male (68.6%). Among these, only less than half (n = 137; 47.7%) were vaccinated. In India, two vaccines were initially approved for administration to the public, one the replication-deficient chimpanzee adenoviral vector-based AZD1222 (ChAdOx1) (manufactured by the Serum Institute of India, Pune), and the other, a wholevirion inactivated BBV152 vaccine (marketed by the Bharat Biotech International Limited, Hyderabad, in collaboration with the Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi) (17). In this cohort, 62.8% patients received AZD1222 and 37.2% received BBV152. These vaccinated individuals had a wide range of symptoms ranging between asymptomatic, mild to moderate and severe, and their percentages were 6.3, 30.3, 47.4, and 16%, respectively. Variants identification showed that 17.4% were Omicron BA.1, 57.5% were Omicron BA.1.1, 21.9% were Omicron BA.2 and only 3% were Delta B.1.617.2 variants. The median SARS-CoV-2 viral load was 5.8 log10 copies/ml (IQR = 4.5-6.4 log<sub>10</sub> copies/ml). Almost all, i.e., 99.3% patients required hospitalization and among them 42.5% required HDU/ICU and other medical supports, including oxygen support (11.8%) and mechanical ventilation (11.8%). Two hundred and thirty-seven (82.6%) hospitalized patients succumbed to COVID-19 during the course of treatment (Table 1) likely due to various underlying/predisposing factors, including comorbidities (if any).

Besides the high fatality (i.e., 82.6%), the cohort also identified multiple comorbidities in a vast majority of the deceased cases. The notable comorbid conditions in the current cohort included diabetes mellitus (DM), hypertension (HTN), cardiovascular disease (CVD), rheumatic disease (RD), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, CKD, and CLD. Among all comorbidities, DM (53%), HTN (49%) and CVD (21.6%) were the most common (Figure 1A). As DM, HTN and CVD were often clustered together in different combinations, and because these conditions are considered as ingredients of metabolic syndrome (18), the cohort identified a large proportion of patients (63.2%) having at least one of these conditions. The cohort also reported inflammatory disease (5.9%), CKD (8.6%) and CLD (1.1%) (Figure 1B).

# Sequence analyses revealed 30 mutation sites in BA.1.1.529 and 33 in BA.1.1

Sequence analysis was performed to identify the mutations in the spike regions of the BA.1, BA.1.1, BA.2, and B.1.617.2 variants. Our results revealed the presence of 30 mutation sites in BA.1 and 33 in BA.1.1. Further, BA.2 reported 29, and B.1.617.2 revealed 8 mutation sites (Figure 2A). The common mutations present across all the four variants were G142D, T478K, and D614G, whereas mutations G339D, S373P, S375F, K417N, N440K, S477N, E484A, Q493R, Q498R, N501Y, Y505H, H655Y, N679K, P681H, N764K, D796Y, Q954H, and N969K were commonly found in all the three BA.1, BA.1.1, BA.2 Omicron variants. The major mutation del69/70 commonly reported in BA.1.1.529 and BA.1.1 (19) was not present in the BA.2 as well as the Delta variant B.1.617.2. The mutations unique to BA.2 were T19I, L24S, P25del, P26del, A27S, V213G, T376A, D405N and R408S. Figure 2B illustrates the SARS-CoV-2 spike monomer genome arrangement. The sequence information of all the 73 omicron variants is provided in Supplementary Table 1.

# SARS-CoV-2 viral load was associated with increased risk for development of severe COVID-19 pneumonia and death

Because a substantial proportion of individuals developed moderate and severe COVID-19 symptoms, many of them succumbed to the disease despite the extension of extensive medical supports such as HDU/ICU, ventilator and oxygen support. Hence, we sought to investigate the factors associated with development of critical/severe COVID-19 and death. The association between disease severity, medical support required, and treatment outcomes with demographic parameters such as age, gender, SARS-CoV-2 viral load, vaccination status, type and number of vaccine doses received, comorbidities and SARS-CoV-2 variants were first assessed univariately using a binary regression model.

Our analysis showed that the SARS-CoV-2 viral load was significantly associated with increased risk for the onset of severe disease, HDU/ICU admission, use of mechanical ventilation and death. Every increase of viral load by 1 log was associated with increased risk for severe disease, HDU/ICU, use of ventilator and death by 0.79, 1.27, 1.22, and 0.56, respectively (Table 2). Vaccination was significantly associated with increased

Characteristic	Total (N = 287)	SARS-CoV-2 variants				
		Delta B.1.617.2	Omicron BA.1	Omicron BA.1.1	Omicron BA.2	P-value <sup>†</sup>
Number, <i>n</i> (%)	287	9 (3.1)	50 (17.4)	165 (57.5)	63 (21.9)	_
Age; years, median (IQR)	73 (64–80)	75 (63-80.5)	71 (59.5–76)	73 (65–81)	72 (65–80)	0.353
Gender; male, n (%)	179 (68.6)	4 (44.4)	36 (72)	110 (66.7)	47 (74.6)	0.256
Viral load, Log <sub>10</sub> copies ml <sup>-1</sup>	5.8 (4.5-6.4)	4.5 (4.2–5.5)	4.7 (3.6-5.8)	6.1 (4.8-6.7)	5.8 (4.8-6.7)	<0.0001 <sup>a</sup>
Comorbidities, n (%)	225 (78.4)	8 (88.9)	35 (70)	132 (80)	50 (79.4)	0.399
Vaccination; Yes, n (%)	137 (47.7)	3 (33.3)	29 (58)	71 (43)	34 (54)	0.151
Type of vaccine; <i>n</i> (%)						
AZD1222	86 (30)	2 (22.2)	16 (32)	49 (29.7)	19 (30.2)	0.348
BBV152	51 (17.1)	1 (11.1)	12 (24)	24 (14.5)	14 (22.2)	0.255
No. of vaccine doses; n (%)						
1 dose	24 (8.4)	1 (11.1)	5 (10)	13 (7.9)	5 (7.9)	0.524
2 doses	113 (39.4)	2 (22.2)	23 (46)	58 (35.2)	30 (47.6)	0.543
Severity; n (%)						
Asymptomatic	18 (6.3)	1 (11.1)	4 (8)	9 (5.5)	4 (6.3)	0.849
Mild	87 (30.3)	2 (22.2)	19 (38)	51 (30.9)	15 (23.8)	0.397
Moderate	136 (47.4)	5 (55.6)	22 (4.4)	83 (50.3)	26 (41.3)	0.577
Severe	46 (16)	1 (11.1)	5 (10)	22 (13.3)	18 (28.6)	0.021*
Medical support; n (%)						
Hospitalization	285 (99.3)	9 (100)	50 (100)	164 (99.4)	62 (98.4)	0.764
HDU/ICU	122 (42.5)	4 (44.4)	25 (50)	76 (46.1)	15 (23.8)	0.021*
O2 support	120 (41.8)	4 (44.4)	25 (50)	77 (46.7)	16 (25.4)	0.021*
Ventilator	34 (11.8)	2 (22.2)	9 (18)	20 (12.1)	3 (4.8)	0.123
Death; <i>n</i> (%)	237 (82.6)	8 (88.9)	31 (62)	140 (84.8)	58 (92.1)	< 0.0001****
Day to death; day, median (IQR)	3 (1-6)	5.5 (2.5-15.3)	3 (2-6)	3 (1-6)	4 (2-6.3)	0.163

TABLE 1 Patient clinico-demographic characteristics to study the effect of COVID-19 vaccination on Delta and Omicron nasopharyngeal viral loads.

All data reported as numbers (n) and percentages (%) unless specified. HDU, High dependency unit; IQR, interquartile range; ICU, intensive care unit; O<sub>2</sub>, Oxygen. \*, \*\*, \*\*\*\* represent P < 0.05, <0.01, <0.001 and <0.0001, respectively. *†* represent comparisons was made between different variants of SARS-CoV-2.

chances of asymptomatic/mild/moderate symptoms as well as recovery. Number of vaccine doses administered were also significantly associated with increased odds for development of asymptomatic/mild/moderate disease as well as recovery; where every single dose of vaccine received was associated with increase chances of developing asymptomatic, mild, moderate COVID-19 and recovery by 0.6, 07, 1.3, and 1.43, respectively (Figure 3A). There was no significant difference between use of the two different types of vaccines (i.e., AZD1222 and BBV15) vis-à-vis their association with disease severity and survival rate. Metabolic syndrome (DM, hypertension, CVD and other comorbidities mentioned herein) and CKD/CLD were the two main categories of comorbid conditions associated with COVID-19 sequelae/complications.

Every addition of one comorbid condition was associated with increased risk of hospitalization, HDU/ICU admission, requirement of oxygen support, ventilation and death by an odds of 0.62, 0.61, 0.7, 0.6, and 1.45, respectively (Figure 3A). For SARS-CoV-2 variants, Omicron BA.1 appeared to cause less severe manifestations, whereas infection by BA.1 was associated with four-fold increased odds for recovery as compared to others. Omicron BA.2 was more pathogenic and was significantly associated with increased risk for development of severe disease, hospitalization, HDU/ICU admission, oxygen support, ventilation. and death by 2.8, 2.8, 2.6, 2.8, and 2.9 odds, respectively (Figure 3A).

# Severe COVID-19 cases were predominantly clustered under Omicron BA.2 variant

Our phylogenetic analysis identified that the severe COVID-19 cases were predominantly clustered under the Omicron BA.2 variant (Figure 3B). The phylogenetic tree was grouped and separated into one major and three subclades. Overall, 73 Omicron variants against reference strain *Wuhan-Hu-1* were selected for the analysis (Figure 3B). Of



these, 48 viral isolates belonged to BA.1.1, four to BA.1, and 21 to BA.2 variants. The reference isolates *Wuhan-Hu-1* were considered as out-groups. Our analysis indicated that 13 strains were responsible for severe COVID-19, and 29 attributed to asymptomatic manifestations. Moreover, six moderate COVID-19-causing isolates were found among the BA.1.1 and BA.2 Omicron variants. Our findings also reveal that BA.1.1 and BA.2 were relatively more virulent than the others. Interestingly, we also found that BA.1.1.529 strain did not have any severe COVID-19 causing trait. Together, our phylogenetic analysis suggests that Omicron BA.1.1 and BA.2 variants caused more severe disease.

# The number of vaccine doses received was independently associated with only asymptomatic or mild COVID-19

In order to assess the independent influence of these factors to disease severity, we performed a multivariate analysis using linear regression controlling for clinico-demographic parameters that were previously shown to be associated with disease severity in the univariate analysis. Our multivariate model showed that the virology factors i.e., SARS-CoV-2 viral load and being infected by Omicron BA.2 were independently associated with severe disease. The model showed that every increase of SARS-CoV-2 viral load by 1 log was associated with increased risk of developing severe disease by 1.28 odds, (95% CI = 1.08–1.63; P = 0.043). While being infected by Omicron BA.2 variant was independently associated with increased risk of developing severe disease (0.34; 95% CI = 0.17–0.68; P = 0.002), the same factors were also independently associated with the requirement of oxygen support [SARS-CoV-2 viral load (1.25: 95% CI = 1.04–1.49; P = 0.015) Omicron BA.2 (3.05; 95% CI = 1.57–5.93; P = 0.001)] and admission in HDU/ICU. [SARS-CoV-2 viral load (1.25: 95% CI = 1.05–1.49; P = 0.014), Omicron BA.2 (2.87: 95% CI = 1.49–5.52; P = 0.002)]. Furthermore, factors such as comorbidities can influence medical support required for COVID-19 patients.

Our multivariate model showed that the number of underlying comorbid conditions was independently associated with increased odds for requirement of oxygen support and admission in HDU/ICU. The multivariate model showed that with every increase of one comorbid condition was significantly associated with increased odds for requirement of oxygen support (0.61: 95% CI = 0.48–0.77; P < 0.0001) and admission in HDU/ICU (0.6: 95% CI = 0.47–0.76; P < 0.0001), respectively. The number of comorbidities [1.47 (95% CI =



1.09–1.98; P = 0.012) and an infection with the Omicron BA.2 variant (3.6: 95% CI = 1.14–8.18; P = 0.026)] were the only two factors that were independently associated with death. Nonetheless, the model also showed that the number of vaccine doses received was independently associated with increased chances for developing asymptomatic and mild disease by an odds of (0.69: 95% CI = 0.53–0.89; P < 0.004) as well as having a trend toward recovery (Table 2).

# Omicron BA.2 and disease severity were associated with shorter time-to-death

Of the 237 patients (82.6%) who succumbed to COVID-19 pneumonia, there was no significant difference in time-to-death when comparing between the four SARS-CoV-2 variants (Table 1; Figure 4B). Nonetheless, by performing survival analysis, we found that those individuals who had been infected with Omicron BA.2 and those presenting with a severe disease were significantly associated with shorter time-to-death (P = 0.035) (Figure 4B). By using a multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis controlling for covariate that previously showed to be significantly associated with death, we found that the SARS-CoV-2 viral load (0.78: 95% CI = 0.04–1.52; P = 0.041), number of comorbidities (0.831: 95% CI = 0.02–1.65; P = 0.046), and development of severe COVID-19 (3.37: 95% CI = 1.37–5.36) were independently

associated with shorter time-to-death. Such association was only seen among patients infected with Omicron BA.2 but not among those infected with other variants (Table 3).

# Individuals infected with Omicron BA.1.1 and BA.2 had a higher nasopharyngeal viral load

Given that SARS-CoV-2 viral load was consistently associated with development of more severe COVID-19, higher risk to death and shorter time to death, we investigated the levels of SARS-CoV-2 viral load in relation to the Omicron variants. We found that the viral load in the nasopharyngeal cavity was higher in patients infected with Omicron BA.1.1 and BA.2 variants as compared to those infected with the Delta B.1.617.2 and Omicron BA.1 variants by ~1.3 fold (Figure 5A). The viral load was generally low among vaccinated individuals as compared to the non-vaccinated infected with the Delta B.1.617.2 (P = 0.0152) and Omicron BA.1 (P =0.0222) variants. However, such difference was not observed among individuals infected with the BA.1.1 and BA.2 variants (Figure 5B). When comparing the viral load between those who received the ADZ1222 and BBV152, we found that the viral load was generally lower in those who had received the BBV152 compared to those who had received the AZD1222 infected with the Delta B.1.617.2 (P = 0.2) and the BA.1 (P =

Outcome	Variable	Coeff. (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value
Asymptomatic and mild disease	SARS-CoV-2 VL	1.05 (0.89, 1.25)	0.563
	No. of vaccine doses	0.69 (0.53, 0.89)	0.004**
	No. of comorbidities	1.06 (0.85, 1.32)	0.594
	Omicron BA.2	0.64 (0.35, 1.19)	0.163
Severe disease	SARS-CoV-2 VL	1.28 (1.08, 1.63)	0.043*
	No. of vaccine doses	0.82 (0.58, 1.16)	0.259
	No. of comorbidities	0.97 (0.73, 1.29)	0.827
	Omicron BA.2	0.34 (0.17, 0.68)	0.002**
Oxygen support	SARS-CoV-2 VL	1.25 (1.04, 1.49)	0.015*
	No. of vaccine doses	1.03 (0.79, 1.34)	0.856
	No. of comorbidities	0.61 (0.48, 0.77)	< 0.0001****
	Omicron BA.2	3.05 (1.57, 5.93)	0.001***
HDU/ICU	SARS-CoV-2 VL	1.25 (1.05, 1.49)	0.014*
	No. of vaccine doses	1.07 (0.82, 1.39)	0.639
	No. of comorbidities	0.6 (0.47, 0.76)	< 0.0001****
	Omicron BA.2	2.87 (1.49, 5.52)	0.002**
Ventilator	SARS-CoV-2 VL	1.26 (0.89, 1.50)	0.255
	No. of vaccine doses	1.17 (0.80, 1.72)	0.410
	No. of comorbidities	0.71 (0.52, 1.01)	$0.054^{\dagger}$
	Omicron BA.2	3.35 (0.98, 11.5)	$0.054^{\dagger}$
Recovery	SARS-CoV-2 VL	0.93 (0.75, 1.16)	0.534
	No. of vaccine doses	1.35 (0.97, 1.88)	$0.052^{\dagger}$
	1 dose	1.00 (ref)	-
	2 doses	1.83 (0.94, 3.56)	$0.054^{\dagger}$
Death	SARS-CoV-2 VL	1.07 (0.86, 1.33)	0.534
	No. of vaccine doses	0.74 (0.53, 1.03)	$0.052^{\dagger}$
	No. of comorbidities	1.47 (1.09, 1.98)	0.012*
	Omicron BA.2	3.6 (1.14, 8.18)	0.026*

TABLE 2 Multi-variate analysis of factors associated with disease severity, medical support required and treatment outcomes.

All data reported as median of coefficients, 95% confident intervals; p-values. \*\*, \*\*\*\* represent P < 0.05, <0.01, <0.001 and <0.0001, respectively.  $^{\dagger}$  Having a trend of association, but not statistically significant. VL, viral load (nasopharyngeal).

0.038) variants. Notwithstanding the median viral load in those who had received the BBV152 was seemingly low compared to those who had received the AZD1222 vaccine; due to the small sample size for Delta B.1.617.2 such association was not statistically significant. Similarly, significant difference was also not observed among patients infected with the newer Omicron variants (Figure 5C).

# Discussion

Emergence of a new virus strain is complemented with challenges such as viral immune evasion, increased transmissibility and pathogenicity/virulence, the often unsurmountable concerns associated with disease prevention and control (20). Ever since its first global report from Botswana on November 2, 2021 (21), and since December 2021, the Omicron variant has emerged as the predominant circulating strain in India causing increased rates of hospitalization and mortality. It is believed that the Omicron variant evolved in countries with poor vaccination roll-outs and among the global immunocompromised population (22). Sequence alterations in Omicron appears to increase transmissibility, drug resistance, and render escape from infection- or vaccineinduced immune responses (23). It is also evident that the Omicron transmissibility is relatively robust as compared to outbreaks caused by older SARS-CoV-2 variants (24). The current study explored whether the nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 viral load could predict disease outcome. We found that the Omicron variant was significantly associated with increased risk for development of severe disease and mortality. Besides, the SARS-CoV-2 viral load as well as underlying comorbid conditions were independently associated with development of severe COVID-19, increased risk of admission in the HDU/ICU,

Variants	Variable	Coeff. (95% CI)	P-value
Delta B.1.617.2	SARS-CoV-2 VL	3.52 (-10.37, 17.42)	0.520
	No. of comorbidities	-1.11 (-6.78, 4.56)	0.616
	Severe disease	-1.44 (-52.51, 49.63)	0.941
Omicron BA.1.1.529	SARS-CoV-2 VL	3.52 (-0.59, 0.96)	0.627
	No. of comorbidities	0.03 (-1.04, 1.10)	0.950
	Severe disease	-1.44 (-52.51, 49.63)	0.941
Omicron BA.1.1	SARS-CoV-2 VL	0.26 (-0.38, 0.91)	0.424
	No. of comorbidities	0.24 (-0.47, 0.95)	0.499
	Severe disease	0.66 (-1.73, 3.04)	0.587
Omicron BA.2	SARS-CoV-2 VL	0.78 (0.04, 1.52)	0.041*
	No. of comorbidities	0.831 (0.02, 1.65)	0.046*
	Severe disease	3.37 (1.37, 5.36)	0.001**

TABLE 3 Multi-variate analysis of factors associated with time-to-death.

\*, \*\*, represent P < 0.05,  $<\!0.01$  respectively.



requirement of oxygen support and death. The same risk factors also independently predicted the time-to-death when infected with the Omicron BA.2 variant. Our study also found that the viral loads were generally high among patients infected with newer variants of SARS-CoV-2, i.e. Omicron BA.1.1 and

BA.2 as compared to the older circulating strains, viz., the Delta B.1.617.2 and Omicron BA.1. The viral loads were also generally lower among vaccinated individuals as well as those who had received the BBV152 but infected with the relatively older Delta B.1.617.2 and Omicron BA.1 variants. Further, our





administered with ADZ1222 or BBV152 vaccines, and infected with different SARS-CoV-2 variants.

study also showed that COVID-19 vaccination was associated development of asymptomatic or mild disease. Our study supports a recent finding that described that the risk of severe outcomes following SARS-CoV-2 infection was substantially lower for the Omicron than the Delta variant largely due to the global roll-out of vaccination (25).

Ever since the emergence of the Omicron variant was reported by the WHO in November 2021 (GISAID sequence

accession ID: EPI\_ISL\_8182767) (26), the variant has been rapidly spreading across continents leading to high morbidity rates. After the report of the first Omicron case in December 2021, the sequences were made public and thenceforth the variant has become the predominant strain in India accounting for considerable rates of morbidity. Furthermore, the identification of Omicron complements the recent surge in the number of cases in India albeit reports of relatively lesser rates of hospitalizations (27). In view of the prevailing situation, we studied the clinico-demographic characteristics, clinical history, and virological markers to predict the likelihood of an individual to develop a more severe form of COVID-19. This is seemingly critical and will a permit patient triage to render improved supportive care for the community.

A slew of studies suggests that the Omicron variant harboring a broad array of mutations resulting from 37 amino acid substitutions in the spike protein, including 15 in the RBD, displays substantial degree of escape from neutralizing antibodies induced by vaccination (9, 10, 28, 29). One study showed that over 85% of the neutralizing antibodies were successfully evaded by the Omicron variant, especially those targeting the epitopes overlapping the ACE2-binding motif, due to presence of mutations such as K417N, G446S, E484A, and Q493R (30). This is consistent with our observation where the SARS-CoV-2 viral load was lower among vaccinated individuals infected with the Delta B.1.617.2 and the Omicron BA.1 variant but not with the Omicron BA.1.1 and A.2 variants indicating that the newer variants appear to evade the immune responses induced originally by the administration of a vaccine that was developed based on the ancestral wild-type virus.

Several unique mutations have been reported among the subtypes of Omicron variants, such as BA.2 (10 mutations) and BA.1 (18 mutations) (31, 32). These Omicron subtypes have recently emerged as variants of concern (VoC) accumulating high numbers of mutations and immune evasion potential, primarily from vaccination. Variants also enforce changes in amino acid sequences, which would render them resistant to antiviral drugs as well as vaccine failure (33-37). There is compelling evidence that the emergence of variants with increased rates of mutations enhance virulence and transmissibility (38, 39). Our sequence analysis suggests the presence of 30 mutation sites in BA.1.1.529 and 33 in BA.1.1 spike regions. G142D, T478K, D614G were the common mutations present in all the four lineages, while the G339D, S373P, S375F, K417N, N440K, S477N, E484A, Q493R, Q498R, N501Y, Y505H, H655Y, N679K, P681H, N764K, D796Y, Q954H, and N969K were observed among all the three viz., the BA.1.1.529, BA.1.1, and BA.2 lineages. The major mutation del69/70 commonly found in BA.1.1.529 and BA.1.1 was not present in BA.2 as well as not reported in B.1.617.2. The mutations unique to BA.2 were T19I, L24S, P25del, P26del, A27S, V213G, T376A, D405N and R408S. Of these, three specific mutations (T376A, D405N and R408S) are confined to the RBD, which reportedly attributes to ACE2 binding and membrane fusion (40) and transmissibility, which appears to have contributed to the drastic increase in BA.2 cases globally. Owing to these selective mutations, BA.2 is currently suggested to evade neutralizing antibodies induced by vaccination or natural infection (41). Infection with BA.1.1 (R346K) variant appears to result in moderate to severe lung disease like that of the Delta B.1.617.2 variant, and the neutralizing antibodies produced in response to Omicron (R346K) variant infection shows poor neutralizing ability against other co-circulating SARS-CoV-2 variants like Delta B.1.617.2, which necessitates caution as it may lead to increased cases of reinfection. BA.2 mutations T376A, D405N, and R408S may reduce the efficacy of many antibodies. Therefore, it can be speculated that these novel mutations could also increase the disease attributes of BA.2 compared to the earlier lineages.

Concerning the viral transmissibility and pathogenicity; it has been reported that the Omicron variant and its sub-lineage variants harbor an unique D614G mutation in the S protein that also confers enhanced replicative potential and cellular tropism toward the airway epithelial cells as compared to lung cells in experimentally-infected hamsters, likely attributing to its greater degree of transmissibility among humans (42). Another study compared the replication competence and cellular tropism of the wild-type virus and the D614G, Alpha (B.1.1.7), Beta (B.1.351), Delta B.1.617.2 and Omicron (B.1.1.529) variants in ex vivo explant cultures of human bronchi and lungs, and showed that the Omicron variant replicates faster than any other variants studied in the bronchi, but less efficiently in the lung parenchyma (43). The lower replication competence of Omicron in the human lungs likely explains its reduced severity that is now being reported in epidemiological studies. This is consistent with our observation where Omicron BA.1.1 and BA.2 had a higher viral load in nasopharyngeal cavity that enhances transmissibility. We also believe that the immune perturbations brought about by the older Delta B.1.617.2 variant in mid-2021 appears to have lowered the ability to induce hypercytokinemia in immune cells involved in the disease, which therefore might have been the rationale behind the less severe COVID-19 brought about by the subsequent Omicron variants. It has been reported that Omicron largely downplays cytokine storm and viral replication (44).

Researchers in England, Scotland, and South Africa have found the risk of admission to hospital to be between 15% and 80% lower with Omicron than the Delta B.1.617.2 variant (45, 46). Though the Omicron variant may cause mild clinical manifestations, the immune escape potential and high transmissibility could likely offset the reduced pathogenesis and disease severity. Furthermore, the determinants of disease severity are multifaceted, and host factors such as comorbidity could likely influence the disease course. We recently reported that age and certain underlying conditions (viz., hypertension, diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease) were independently associated with the development of a breakthrough infection (16). As the virus spreads rapidly, the Omicron variant could still progressively overwhelm the healthcare system, and morbidity and mortality rates could surge. Our findings of higher nasopharyngeal viral load among individuals with Omicron variants BA.1.1 and BA.2 infection as compared to those infected with the Delta B.1.617.2 and Omicron BA.1.1.529 variants by ~1.3 fold provide clues to

ongoing ebb in vaccine efficacy advocating the need for a vaccine that can confer a broad neutralization potential against all emerging variants rather than merely neutralizing the ancestral wild-type or closely related virus strains. Interestingly, we observed that the BA.2 variant was associated with shorter time-to-death. Many factors are known to impact the prognosis of COVID-19 viz., the age of the individual, the dynamics of antibody responses (47), type/nature of vaccine(s) used (48), interval between the vaccine doses (49, 50), underlying comorbidities and other health issues (51). Given that the neutralizing antibodies induced following vaccination or natural infection decay with time (16) and that the Omicron represents a major variant that dodges the immune system (29), the neutralizing potential of antibodies is almost always weak against BA.2 as compared to its eclectic predecessor variants. Furthermore, the median age of the current cohort is quite high (73 years old) and many of the participants had multiple comorbidities, it is not surprising that BA.2 will have a shorter time-to-death. Given the broader immune escape strategies displayed by Omicron variants (52), improved vaccine preparations based on newer circulating strains should be developed to cater to the needs of the global public.

# Conclusion

Our observation indicates that the low SARS-CoV-2 viral load observed among vaccinated individuals infected with the Delta B.1.617.2 and the Omicron BA.1 variant but not with the BA.1.1 and A.2 variants, hinting that the newer variants likely escape the host's immune responses induced originally by a vaccine that was developed based on an ancestral wildtype virus. Abundant mutations and ongoing emergence of newer variants appear to render viral evasion from neutralizing antibodies in vaccinated individuals. Therefore, the current findings help in understanding the evolutionary imprints of Omicron variants to be able to develop improved antiviral strategies based on the ongoing evolution of SARS-CoV-2.

### Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary material.

# **Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Human Ethics Committee of the Madras Medical College (MMC) (EC No. 03092021). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# Author contributions

STS, YY, NJ, VK, AM, KN, ML, ES, and SS were responsible for conceptualization and data curation. STS, NJ, KH, HT, YZ, GS, MR, AK, RK, VK, AVDM, MK, AM, KN, SP, SS, ML, ES, and SR were responsible for methodology, formal analysis, validation, and visualization. STS, YY, NJ, ML, ES, and SR were responsible for original draft, while all authors have reviewed, edited, and approved the final manuscript.

# Funding

This work was supported by Xiamen University Malaysia Research Funding (XMUMRF), XMUMRF/2018-C2/ILAB/0001 to YY, XMUMRF/2020-C5/ITCM/0003 to HT and XMUMRF/2018-C1/IENG/0005 to YZ, The Swedish Research Council, The Swedish, Physicians against AIDS Research Foundation, The Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency; SIDA SARC, VINNMER for Vinnova, Linköping University Hospital Research Fund, CALF, and The Swedish Society of Medicine (AI52731) to ML, Funding support provided by the Department of Science and Technology-Science and Engineering Research Board, Government of India (CRG/2019/006096) (to ES). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the views of the official affiliations of the authors.

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh. 2022.1018399/full#supplementary-material

# References

1. Burki TK. The Role of Antiviral Treatment in the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Lancet Respir Med.* (2022) 10:e18. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(22)00011-X

2. Tsang HF, Chan LWC, Cho WCS Yu ACS, Yim AKY, Chan AKC, et al. An update on Covid-19 pandemic: the epidemiology, pathogenesis, prevention and treatment strategies. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther.* (2021) 19:877–88. doi: 10.1080/14787210.2021.1863146

3. Van Cleemput J, van Snippenberg W, Lambrechts L, Dendooven A, D'Onofrio V, Couck L, et al. Organ-specific genome diversity of replication-competent Sars-Cov-2. *Nat Commun.* (2021) 12:6612. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-26884-7

4. Hirabara SM, Serdan TDA, Gorjao R, Masi LN, Pithon-Curi TC, Covas DT, et al. Sars-Cov-2 variants: differences and potential of immune evasion. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2021) 11:781429. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.781429

5. Hu B, Guo H, Zhou P, Shi ZL. Characteristics of Sars-Cov-2 and Covid-19. Nat Rev Microbiol. (2021) 19:141–54. doi: 10.1038/s41579-020-00459-7

6. Shahrajabian MH, Sun W, Cheng Q. Product of natural evolution (Sars, Mers, and Sars-Cov-2); deadly diseases, from Sars to Sars-Cov-2. *Hum Vaccin Immunother.* (2021) 17:62–83. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2020.17 97369

7. WHO. *Tracking Sars-Cov-2 Variants*. (2022). Available online at: https://www.who.int/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants (accessed June 10, 2022).

8. Viana R, Moyo S, Amoako DG, Tegally H, Scheepers C, Althaus CL, et al. Rapid epidemic expansion of the Sars-Cov-2 Omicron variant in Southern Africa. *Nature*. (2022) 603:679–86. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04411-y

9. Cele S, Jackson L, Khoury DS, Khan K, Moyo-Gwete T, Tegally H, et al. Omicron extensively but incompletely escapes Pfizer Bnt162b2 neutralization. *Nature*. (2022) 602:654–6. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-04387-1

10. Schmidt F, Muecksch F, Weisblum Y, Da Silva J, Bednarski E, Cho A, et al. Plasma neutralization of the Sars-Cov-2 Omicron variant. *N Engl J Med.* (2022) 386:599–601. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2119641

11. Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S. Mega11: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. Mol Biol Evol. (2021) 38:3022-7. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msab120

12. Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD. Mafft online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Brief Bioinform*. (2019) 20:1160–6. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbx108

13. Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive tree of life (Itol) V5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2021) 49:W293-W6. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkab301

14. Ginger Tsueng JM, Alkuzweny M, Cano MA, Rush B, Haag E, Curators O, et al. Outbreak.Info: a standardized, searchable platform to discover and explore Covid-19 resources and data. *Preprint.* (2022). doi: 10.1101/2022.01.20. 477133

15. Karthik Gangavarapu AAL, Mullen J, Alkuzweny M, Hufbauer E, Tsueng G, Haag E, et al. Outbreak.Info genomic reports: scalable and dynamic surveillance of Sars-Cov-2 variants and mutations. *Preprint.* (2022). doi: 10.1101/2022.01.27.22269965

16. Selvavinayagam ST, Yong YK, Tan HY, Zhang Y, Subramanian G, Rajeshkumar M, et al. Factors Associated with the Decay of Anti-Sars-Cov-2 S1 Igg Antibodies among Recipients of an Adenoviral Vector-Based Azd1222 and a Whole-Virion Inactivated Bbv152 Vaccine. *Front Med.* (2022) 9:887974. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.887974

17. Press Information Bureau GoI, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Press Statement by the Drugs Controller General of India (Dcgi) on Restricted Emergency Approval of Covid-19 Virus Vaccine. (2021). Available online at: https://pib.gov.in/ Pressreleaseshare.aspx?PRID=1685761 (accessed January 20, 2022).

18. Kahn R, Buse J, Ferrannini E, Stern M. The Metabolic Syndrome: Time for a Critical Appraisal. Joint statement from the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes. *Diabetologia*. (2005) 48:1684–99. doi: 10.1007/s00125-005-1876-2

19. Ou J, Lan W, Wu X, Zhao T, Duan B, Yang P, et al. Tracking Sars-Cov-2 Omicron diverse spike gene mutations identifies multiple inter-variant recombination events. *Signal Transduct Targeted Ther.* (2022) 7:138. doi: 10.1038/s41392-022-00992-2

20. He X, Hong W, Pan X, Lu G, Wei X. Sars-Cov-2 Omicron Variant: characteristics and prevention. *MedComm.* (2021) 2:838–45. doi: 10.1002/mco2.110

21. WHO. Enhancing Readiness for Omicron (B.1.1.529): Technical Brief and Priority Actions for Member States. Available online at: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/technical-brief-and-priority-action-on-omicron.pdf?sfvrsn=50732953\_3 (accessed June 28, 2022).

22. Gao SJ, Guo H, Luo G. Omicron variant (B11529) of Sars-Cov-2, a global urgent public health alert! J Med Virol. (2022) 94:1255-6. doi: 10.1002/jmv.27491

23. Team CC-R. Sars-Cov-2 B.1.1.529 (Omicron) Variant - United States, December 1-8, 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* (2021) 70:1731– 4. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7050e1

24. Elliott P, Eales O, Steyn N, Tang D, Bodinier B, Wang H, et al. Twin peaks: the Omicron Sars-Cov-2 Ba.1 and Ba.2 Epidemics in England. *Science*. (2022) 376:eabq4411. doi: 10.1126/science.abq4411

25. Saleh MAA, Bloemberg JS, Elassaiss-Schaap J, de Lange ECM. Drug distribution in brain and cerebrospinal fluids in relation to Ic50 values in aging and Alzheimer's disease, using the physiologically based Leicns-Pk30 model. *Pharm Res.* (2022) 39:1303–19. doi: 10.1007/s11095-022-03281-3

26. Rahimi F, Talebi Bezmin Abadi A. Omicron: a highly transmissible Sars-Cov-2 variant. *Gene reports.* (2022) 27:101549. doi: 10.1016/j.genrep.2022.101549

27. Singhal T. The emergence of Omicron: challenging times are here again! Indian J Pediatr. (2022) 89:490-6. doi: 10.1007/s12098-022-04077-4

28. Liu L, Iketani S, Guo Y, Chan JF, Wang M, Liu L, et al. Striking antibody evasion manifested by the Omicron variant of Sars-Cov-2. *Nature*. (2022) 602:676–81. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-04388-0

29. Cameroni E, Bowen JE, Rosen LE, Saliba C, Zepeda SK, Culap K, et al. Broadly neutralizing antibodies overcome Sars-Cov-2 Omicron antigenic shift. *Nature*. (2022) 602:664–70. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-04386-2

30. Cao Y, Wang J, Jian F, Xiao T, Song W, Yisimayi A, et al. Omicron escapes the majority of existing Sars-Cov-2 neutralizing antibodies. *Nature.* (2022) 602:657–63. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-04385-3

31. Kumar S, Karuppanan K, Subramaniam G. Omicron (Ba.1) and Sub-Variants (Ba.1.1, Ba.2, and Ba.3) of Sars-Cov-2 spike infectivity and pathogenicity: a comparative sequence and structural-based computational assessment. *J Med Virol.* (2022) 94:4780–91. doi: 10.1101/2022.02.11.480029

32. Shah M, Woo HG. Omicron: a heavily mutated Sars-Cov-2 variant exhibits stronger binding to Ace2 and potently escapes approved Covid-19 therapeutic antibodies. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:830527. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.830527

33. Huchting J. Targeting viral genome synthesis as broad-spectrum approach against rna virus infections. *Antivir Chem Chemother*. (2020) 28:2040206620976786. doi: 10.1177/2040206620976786

34. Prathiviraj R, Prisilla A, Chellapandi P. Structure-function discrepancy in Clostridium Botulinum C3 toxin for its rational prioritization as a subunit vaccine. *J Biomol Struct Dyn.* (2016) 34:1317–29. doi: 10.1080/07391102.2015.1078745

35. Prisilla A, Prathiviraj R, Sasikala R, Chellapandi P. Structural constraintsbased evaluation of immunogenic avirulent toxins from Clostridium Botulinum C2 and C3 toxins as subunit vaccines. *Infect Genet Evol.* (2016) 44:17– 27. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2016.06.029

36. Prathiviraj R, Saranya S, Bharathi M, Chellapandi P. A hijack mechanism of Indian Sars-Cov-2 isolates for relapsing contemporary antiviral therapeutics. *Comput Biol Med.* (2021) 132:104315. doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2021.104315

37. Prathiviraj R, Chellapandi P, Begum A, Kiran GS, Selvin J. Identification of genotypic variants and its proteomic mutations of Brazilian Sars-Cov-2 isolates. *Virus Res.* (2022) 307:198618. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2021.198618

38. Prathiviraj R, Kiran GS, Selvin J. Phylogenomic proximity and comparative proteomic analysis of Sars-Cov-2. *Gene reports.* (2020) 20:100777. doi: 10.1016/j.genrep.2020.100777

39. Rajeev R, Prathiviraj R, Kiran GS, Selvin J. Zoonotic evolution and implications of microbiome in viral transmission and infection. *Virus Res.* (2020) 290:198175. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2020.198175

40. Nagesha SN, Ramesh BN, Pradeep C, Shashidhara KS, Ramakrishnappa T, Krishnaprasad BT, et al. Sars-Cov 2 Spike Protein S1 subunit as an ideal target for stable vaccines: a bioinformatic study. *Mater Today Proc.* (2022) 49:904–12. doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2021.07.163

41. Chen J. Wei GW. Omicron Ba2 (B115292): high potential for becoming the next dominant variant. J Phy Chem Let. (2022) 13:3840–9. doi: 10.1021/acs.jpclett.2c00469

42. Baric RS. Emergence of a highly fit Sars-Cov-2 variant. N Engl J Med. (2020) 383:2684–6. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcibr2032888

43. Hui KPY, Ho JCW, Cheung MC, Ng KC, Ching RHH, Lai KL, et al. Sars-Cov-2 Omicron variant replication in human bronchus and lung Ex Vivo. *Nature*. (2022) 603:715–20. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04479-6

44. Suryawanshi RK, Chen IP, Ma T, Syed AM, Brazer N, Saldhi P, et al. Limited cross-variant immunity from Sars-Cov-2 Omicron

without vaccination. *Nature.* (2022) 607:351–5. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04865-0

45. Christie B. Covid-19: early studies give hope Omicron is milder than other variants. *Bmj.* (2021) 375:n3144. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n3144

46. Nyberg T, Ferguson NM, Nash SG, Webster HH, Flaxman S, Andrews N, et al. Comparative analysis of the risks of hospitalisation and death associated with Sars-Cov-2 Omicron (B11529) and Delta (B16172) variants in England: a cohort study. *Lancet.* (2022) 399:1303–12. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(22) 00462-7

47. Pang NY, Pang AS, Chow VT, Wang DY. Understanding neutralising antibodies against Sars-Cov-2 and their implications in clinical practice. *Mil Med Res.* (2021) 8:47. doi: 10.1186/s40779-021-00342-3

48. Khoury DS, Cromer D, Reynaldi A, Schlub TE, Wheatley AK, Juno JA, et al. Neutralizing antibody levels are highly predictive of immune protection from symptomatic Sars-Cov-2 infection. *Nat Med.* (2021) 27:1205–11. doi: 10.1038/s41591-021-01377-8

49. Tauzin A, Gong SY, Beaudoin-Bussieres G, Vezina D, Gasser R, Nault L, et al. Strong Humoral Immune Responses against Sars-Cov-2 Spike after Bnt162b2 Mrna Vaccination with a 16-Week Interval between Doses. *Cell Host Microbe.* (2022) 30:97–109 e5. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2021. 12.004

50. Hall VG, Ferreira VH, Wood H, Ierullo M, Majchrzak-Kita B, Manguiat K, et al. Delayed-interval Bnt162b2 Mrna Covid-19 vaccination enhances humoral immunity and induces Robust T cell responses. *Nat Immunol.* (2022). doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-793234/v1

51. Negahdaripour M, Shafiekhani M, Moezzi SMI, Amiri S, Rasekh S, Bagheri A, et al. Administration of Covid-19 vaccines in immunocompromised patients. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2021) 99:108021. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2021. 108021

52. Maamoun Basheer ESaNA. The cytokine storm in Covid-19: the strongest link to morbidity and mortality in the current epidemic. *COVID.* (2022) 2:540–52. doi: 10.3390/covid2050040