Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Veronica Ueckermann, University of Pretoria, South Africa

REVIEWED BY Vicens Diaz-Brito, Parc Sanitari Sant Joan de Déu, Spain Lei Li, Oracle, Australia

ordete, / lastratia

\*CORRESPONDENCE Norma Lucena-Silva Improvense norma.lucena@hotmail.com; Improvense norma.silva@fiocruz.br

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Infectious Diseases: Epidemiology and Prevention, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

RECEIVED 26 September 2022 ACCEPTED 07 December 2022 PUBLISHED 04 January 2023

#### CITATION

Silva MC, Silva NCH, Ferreira ALCG, Ferreira FCG, Melo MIB, Silva LMXd, Barbosa CRM, Magalhães JJF, Diniz GTN, Souza AI and Lucena-Silva N (2023) Neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in Brazilian pregnant women vaccinated with one or two doses of BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine (Pfizer/Wyeth<sup>TM</sup>). *Front. Public Health* 10:1054460. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.1054460

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Silva, Silva, Ferreira, Ferreira, Melo, Silva, Barbosa, Magalhães, Diniz, Souza and Lucena-Silva. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in Brazilian pregnant women vaccinated with one or two doses of BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine (Pfizer/Wyeth<sup>TM</sup>)

Mauro César da Silva<sup>1</sup>, Neila Caroline Henrique da Silva<sup>1</sup>, Ana Laura Carneiro Gomes Ferreira<sup>2</sup>, Fernanda Carneiro Gomes Ferreira<sup>3</sup>, Maria Inês Bezerra de Melo<sup>4</sup>, Letícia Micherlyne Xavier da Silva<sup>4</sup>, Camila Rodrigues de Melo Barbosa<sup>5</sup>, Jurandy Júnior Ferraz de Magalhães<sup>6</sup>, George Tadeu Nunes Diniz<sup>7</sup>, Ariani Impieri Souza<sup>2</sup> and Norma Lucena-Silva<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Immunogenetics, Department of Immunology, Aggeu Magalhães Institute, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, Recife, Brazil, <sup>2</sup>Women Health Research Group of Instituto de Medicina Integral Prof. Fernando Figueira, Recife, Brazil, <sup>3</sup>University of Pernambuco, Recife, Brazil, <sup>4</sup>Pernambuco College of Health (FPS), Instituto de Medicina Integral Prof. Fernando Figueira (IMIP), Recife, Brazil, <sup>5</sup>Clinical Hospital, Federal University of Pernambuco, Recife, Brazil, <sup>6</sup>Central Laboratory of Pernambuco, State Secretary of Health, Recife, Brazil, <sup>7</sup>Laboratory of Computational Methods, Aggeu Magalhães Institute, Recife, Brazil

Pregnant women have an increased risk of developing severe coronavirus disease. In Brazil, the number of hospitalizations and adverse outcomes, including death caused by COVID-19, in women during the pregnancy-puerperal cycle was high in the first pandemic year. Doubts regarding vaccines' efficacy and safety for the mother and fetus delayed vaccination. This study evaluated the generation of IgG titers and neutralizing antibodies to the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine in 209 healthy pregnant women. For this, were used the QuantiVac ELISA (IgG) and SARS-CoV-2 NeutraLISA kits (EUROIMMUN, Lübeck, SH) following the manufacturer's recommendations. One dose vaccine produced anti-SARS-CoV-2 lgG in 85% (81/95), and two produced in 95% (76/80) women. Among unvaccinated women, four of 34 (12%) showed protection. The first dose of the BNT162b2 vaccine protected 69% of the women with neutralizing antibodies (median of %IH = 97). In the second dose, protection occurred in 94% of the pregnant women (median of IH% = 97). This study showed no differences in IgG antibody titers between one- and two-dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine groups, boosting with the second dose increased the number of women who produced specific IgG and neutralizing antibodies, raising by 114-folds the chance of producing the SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies compared to the unvaccinated pregnant woman, which may contribute to reduce the chance of severe COVID-19.

KEYWORDS

COVID-19, pregnancy, vaccines, neutralizing antibodies, IgG, SARS-CoV-2

# 1. Introduction

Women have physiological changes in the immune and cardiorespiratory systems during pregnancy, which increases the risk of developing severe coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and eventual death. The unknown teratogenic effect of COVID-19 vaccines did not allow pregnant women to participate in the initial clinical trials. Nevertheless, after reports on COVID-19 deaths in pregnancy, the vaccine began to be administered in this vulnerable population before the scientific confirmation of its efficacy (1, 2).

In Brazil, the number of hospitalizations and adverse outcomes, including death caused by COVID-19, in women during the pregnancy-puerperal cycle were high in the first pandemic year (3, 4). In January 2021, the Brazilian Public Ministry of Labor and the Ministry of Health released a technical note recommending home office during pregnancy. However, despite the Brazilian National Health Surveillance Agency (ANVISA-Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária) approval of the Sinovac/Coronavac<sup>TM</sup> and the Covishield/Oxford<sup>TM</sup> vaccines for emergency use, pregnant women were not a priority group for vaccination due to a scientific lack of vaccine's safety and efficacy in this population (5, 6). In June 2021, the National Immunization Program included pregnant and postpartum women as a priority group for vaccination. The ANVISA restricted the use of adenovirus vector based-vaccines Oxford/Covishield (Fiocruz/AstraZeneca<sup>TM</sup>) and Janssen COVID-19 Vaccine (Janssen-Cilag<sup>TM</sup>) because of the emergence of cases of Thrombosis with Thrombocytopenia Syndrome (TTS) (7). Given this, pregnant women were almost exclusively vaccinated with the Comirnaty vaccine (Pfizer/Wyeth<sup>TM</sup>), the BNT162b2 mRNA-based vaccine, in which first studies were shown the production of neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 and the absence of reproductive toxicity in an animal model (7-10).

Previous studies have shown that pregnant women produced IgG antibodies 5 days after the first dose, and the transplacental passive immunization occurred in 44% of neonates from the 16th day after the first dose of the mother's vaccine and in 99% of neonates of mothers who received two doses (1). Although there are some studies in the literature about this issue, mainly in USA and Israel, the immunogenicity after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in Brazilian pregnant remains unknown. In this study, we evaluated the total IgG and the neutralizing IgG antibody levels in Brazilian pregnant women unvaccinated and vaccinated with one or two doses of the BNT162b2—Comirnaty mRNA vaccine (Pfizer/Wyeth<sup>TM</sup>).

## 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Ethical issue and study population

The Instituto de Medicina Integral Prof. Fernando Figueira (IMIP) Ethics Committee approved this study (CAAE: 32359320.3.3001.5201). Pregnant women were invited to participate in this study during routine prenatal care consultations at IMIP Hospital between August and October 2021. All participants signed the Informed Consent Form after receiving detailed information about the study. The study population comprised 209 asymptomatic pregnant women aged between 18 and 42 years old, with an average age of 28. Previous SARS-CoV-2 infection was investigated following clinical criteria defined by the Brazilian Ministry of Health. The criteria associated with the circulating variant included fever, agnosia, anosmia, asthenia, flu syndrome, and a recent close contact with a laboratory confirmed case (Table 1).

### 2.2. ELISA assays

Serological tests used plasma of patients recovered from the peripheral blood collected in an anticoagulant tube by centrifugation at  $340 \times g$  for 30 min. We used the anti-SARS-CoV-2 QuantiVac ELISA (IgG) kit (EUROIMMUN, Lübeck, SH) to quantify IgG class antibodies that bind the S1 subunit of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, following the manufacturer's instructions. Results were expressed in relative units per

Abbreviations: COVID-19, Coronavirus disease; ANVISA, Brazilian National Health Surveillance Agency/ Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; IgA, Immunoglobulin A; IgG, Immunoglobulin G; IMIP, Instituto de Medicina Integral Prof. Fernando Figueira; RU/ml, relative units per milliliter; %IH, percentage of inhibition; WHO, World Health Organization.

History of COVID-19	N = 209	100%
No	143	68.4
Yes	66	31.6
	N = 66	100%
Before pregnancy	54	81.8
During pregnancy	12	18.2
	N = 66	100%
Laboratory confirmed	31	47.0
Clinically suspected	35	53.0
	N = 209	100%
Pfizer 1 dose	95	45.4
Pfizer 2 doses	80	38.3
No vaccines	34	16.3

TABLE 1 History of active and passive immunity against SARS-CoV-2 in pregnant women.

milliliter (RU/ml). The test was negative for values <8 RU/ml and positive for values  $\geq$ 11 RU/ml. Tests with intermediate values were considered borderline and inconclusive.

For the semi-quantitative assay of the IgG-neutralizing antibodies, we used the SARS-CoV-2 NeutraLISA kits (EUROIMMUN, Lübeck, SH). This kit detects antibodies capable of neutralizing the S1 subunit where the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein is located. Due to the neutralization kit's limited supply for evaluating all patients, we analyzed all 34 unvaccinated women and randomly selected 74 (77.9%) patients vaccinated with one and 72 (90%) with two doses. The results were in percent inhibition (%IH), and following the manufacturer's instructions, samples with %IH < 20 were negative, with %IH  $\geq$  35 were positive, and values in the middle range were borderline and inconclusive. The Multiskan FC Microplate Photometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) was used to take the absorbance readings.

#### 2.3. Statistical analysis

Descriptive data used absolute values and percentages; these data were previously evaluated for parametric or nonparametric approaches. In the analysis of quantitative variables, the Bartlett test was used to test the assumption of homogeneity of the variables involved in the study.

As the population proved to be heterogeneous, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used, followed by the Fisher's *post-hoc* test, which uses Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) criterion. In this way, the existence of differences in the medians for the IgG and %IH values was evaluated in relation to those without



the vaccine, one dose, and two doses. Simple linear regression models were performed to assess IgG and %IH in relation to time with one and two doses of vaccine.

In the comparative analysis between the qualitative variables, the chi-square test was used and, Fisher Exact test when necessary. All conclusions were taken at the 5% significance level.

The statistical analyses were performed using the software of the R Core Team Version 4.2.1. (2022). A language and environment for statistical computing provided by the R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

## 3. Results

## 3.1. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG titers in pregnant women after vaccination

Differences between the anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels generated by the natural infection and one or two vaccine doses were investigated (Figure 1A). Pregnant women without vaccines (with a history of virus infection) had lower production of specific IgG compared to pregnant women vaccinated with one dose (P = 0.0042) and two doses (P = 0.0139). However, the IgG levels generated by pregnant women who received one or two doses of the vaccine did not show a significant difference (P = 0.3722). The production of specific antibodies was observed in 85% (81/95) of pregnant women vaccinated with one dose and 95% (76/80) with two doses. As this is a cross-sectional study, a linear regression test was performed to evaluate IgG titers over time, and it was found that over a period of 180 days, there was no difference between the IgG titers generated by one or two doses (P = 0.5158), however, in the population with two doses, there is a greater tendency to decrease these titers over time (P = 0.0009) (Figure 1B).

When evaluating the impact of previous SARS-CoV-2 infections on the generation of IgG antibodies in vaccinated and unvaccinated people, we observed that some women who referred no previous infection and no vaccination presented low titer of IgG, suggesting previous mild or asymptomatic COVID-19 or cross-reaction due to infection by another circulating coronavirus; who were primed by infection and busted by vaccination presented the highest and homogeneous IgG levels; and natural infection induced more variable IgG levels (Figure 1C).

# 3.2. SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies after one or two vaccine doses

The anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies assay investigated the possible protection against COVID-19 from pregnant women's vaccination. The first dose of the BNT162b2 vaccine protected 69% of the women (n = 51/74, median of %IH = 97), while after the second dose, protection occurred

in 94% of the pregnant women (n = 68/72, median of %IH = 97). Among unvaccinated women, sole 12% showed protection (n = 4/34, median of %IH = 95), suggesting immunologicalmemory of the previous contact with the virus (Figures 2A, B). It was observed that there is no difference in the %IH of neutralizing antibodies between the groups of unvaccinated and vaccinated with one and two doses (P = 0.2034) (Figure 2B). It was also verified that there is no difference between the %IH between the groups with one and two doses over 180 days (P = 0.7100), however, when linear regression was performed, it was seen that there is a maintenance in the %IH generated by neutralizing antibodies over time in the groups with one (P = 0.742) and two doses (P = 0.291) (Figure 2C). When the impact of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection on the generation of neutralizing antibodies in the groups of unvaccinated and vaccinated women was evaluated, it was seen that who referred no previous infection and no vaccination produced very low neutralizing antibody titers, with only one sample being positive according to the test cut-off, and who had SARS-CoV-2 natural infection or received COVID-19 vaccines, associated or not, presented significant higher levels (Figure 2D).

Women who received one and two doses of BNT162b2 mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccine had, respectively, a 16-fold and 114-fold chance to produce neutralizing antibodies above the method cut-off compared to unvaccinated pregnant women, while pregnant women vaccinated with two doses had a 7-fold chance to produce neutralizing antibodies above the method cut-off when compared with the group with one dose (Figure 3).

## 4. Discussion

This study showed no differences in IgG antibody titers between one- and two-dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine groups; boosting with the second dose increased the number of women who produced specific IgG and neutralizing antibodies, contributing to reducing the chance of severe COVID-19 by 114fold compared to unvaccinated pregnant women. According to epidemiological bulletins published by the Pernambuco State Health Department during this study, from August to October of 2021, the SARS-CoV-2 variant Gamma P.1 corresponded to 74% of cases in August, while between September and October, 87% of the population was infected by the Delta variant (11). The Strategic Advisory Group of Experts in Immunization (SAGE) of the WHO reported that the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine is efficacy against all SARS-CoV-2 circulating variants (12). These results corroborate the findings of Pratama et al. (2) and Andreano and Rappuoli (13) who defend the complete vaccination schedule for protection.

Beharier et al. (14) demonstrated in a group of 86 Israeli pregnant women with no history of SARS-CoV-2 infection that the BNT162b2 mRNA-based vaccine [Comirnaty vaccine (Pfizer/Wyeth<sup>TM</sup>)] increased IgG



titers and adequately transferred them across the placenta, inducing an anti-SARS-CoV-2 protective effect in the neonate's bloodstream as early as two weeks after the first dose. In addition, the protective immune response induced by mRNA vaccines and transferred as IgG and IgA antibodies by breastfeeding were more efficient than the response to natural infection in pregnant women (15). We also showed that although 26.5% (9 of 34) unvaccinated pregnant women had IgG against COVID-19, suggesting previous exposure, less than half had neutralizing antibodies (4 of 9). For unknown reasons, not all those infected with SARS-CoV-2 develop neutralizing antibodies, and when they do, they are not always sufficient to protect the patient against the virus (16, 17). A limitation of our study was the impossibility of longitudinally monitoring the levels of neutralizing antibody. Although we do not know for how long the neutralizing antibodies levels remain elevated in pregnant women, our data suggested that the BNT162b2 mRNA-based vaccine induced high levels of neutralizing antibodies that remains stable longer than IgG titers. A cohort study of 4,868 healthcare workers in Israel reported a significant reduction in the IgG and neutralizing antibodies titers after six months of the boosting with the BNT162b2 vaccine, especially in the male and elderly population (18). Another study involving 6,056,673 English people also reported a decline in the effectiveness of the BNT162b2 (Comirnaty vaccine, Pfizer/Wyeth<sup>TM</sup>) and ChAdOx1-S



(Oxford/Covishield, Fiocruz/AstraZeneca<sup>TM</sup>) vaccines in hospitalization and death after about 20 weeks, mainly in the elderly (>65 years) and some clinical risk groups (19).

We did not evaluate the safety of the BNT162b2 vaccine for the mother and neonate, but given the results reported in this study and the recognized risks for the woman and the fetus of COVID-19 during pregnancy, pregnant women must continue to be encouraged to receive the COVID-19 vaccine as it is a way to protect their fetuses during pregnancy and probably also during the breastfeeding period (20– 22).

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Instituto de Medicina Integral Prof. Fernando Figueira (IMIP) Ethics Committee approved this study (CAAE: 32359320.3.3001.5201). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# Author contributions

MS and NL-S conceived, designed the study, did the formal analysis, and wrote the paper. MS, NS, JM, and GD conducted the experimental work. MS, MM, FF, CB, and LS performed the clinical interview and patients followed up. AF, AS, and NL-S applied for financial support and managed the project. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This work was supported by grants from: (i) Foundation for Science and Technology of the State of Pernambuco (FACEPE) (Grant # PPSUS APQ-0271-4.01/20 and #IBPG-1401-2.00/21 to MS, #IBPG-0410fellowships 2.11/21 to NS, and #BIC-0539-4.01/21 to FF) and (ii) Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) (Grants #310364/2015-9 and #310892/2019-8 to NL-S). The funders had no role in study design, data collection, analysis, publication decision, or manuscript preparation.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# References

1. Prabhu M, Murphy EA, Sukhu AC, Yee J, Singh S, Eng D, et al. Antibody response to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) messenger RNA vaccination in pregnant women and transplacental passage into cord blood. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2021) 138:278–80. doi: 10.1097/AOG.000000000004438

2. Pratama NR, Wafa IA, Budi DS, Putra M, Wardhana MP, Wungu CDK. mRNA Covid-19 vaccines in pregnancy: a systematic review. *PLoS ONE.* (2022) 17:e0261350. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0261350

3. Takemoto MLS, Menezes Mde O, Andreucci CB, Nakamura-Pereira M, Amorim MMR, Katz L, et al. The tragedy of COVID-19 in Brazil: 124 maternal deaths and counting. *Int J Gynecol Obstetrics*. (2020) 151:154–6. doi: 10.1002/ijgo.13300

4. Francisco RPV, Lacerda L, Rodrigues AS. Obstetric observatory brazil-covid-19: 1031 maternal deaths because of covid-19 and the unequal access to health care services. *Clinics.* (2021) 76. doi: 10.6061/clinics/2021/e3120

5. Quintana SM. We have vaccine for COVID-19! what to recommend for pregnant women? *Revista Brasileira de Ginecologia e Obstetricia*. (2021) 43:81–3. doi: 10.1055/s-0041-1726090

6. Ministério Público do Trabalho. (2021). Procuradoria Geral do Trabalho Nota Técnica 01/2021 do GT Nacional COVID-19: Nota Técnica sobre a proteção à saúde e igualdade de oportunidades no trabalho para trabalhadoras gestantes em face da segunda onda da pandemia do COVID-19. Available online at: https://www.conjur. com.br/dl/nota-tecnica-gestante1.pdf (accessed August 10, 2022).

7. ANVISA. (2021). COMUNICADO GGMON 006/2021. Available online at: https://www.gov.br/anvisa/pt-br/assuntos/noticias-anvisa/2021/anvisa-propoerestricao-de-uso-de-vacinas-que-utilizam-vetor-adenoviral-em-gestantes/ comunicado\_ggmon\_006\_2021\_atualizada-1.pdf (accessed August 10, 2022).

8. ANVISA. (2021). Anvisa propõe restrição de uso de vacinas que utilizam vetor adenoviral em gestantes. Available online at: https://www.gov.br/anvisa/pt-br/assuntos/noticias-anvisa/2021/anvisa-propoe-restricao-de-uso-de-vacinas-que-utilizam-vetor-adenoviral-em-gestantes (accessed August 10, 2022).

9. ANVISA. (2022). Detalhe da Bula do Produto—Comirnaty. Available online at: https://consultas.anvisa.gov.br/#/bulario/detalhe/1924271?nomeProduto= COMIRNATY (accessed August 10, 2022).

10. Pischel L, Patel KM, Goshua G, Omer SB. Adenovirus-based vaccines and thrombosis in pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* (2022) 1179–86. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciac080

11. Secretaria Estadual de Saúde. (2021). *Boletim Epidemiológico COVID-19.* (Agosto/2021—Outubro/2021). Available online at: http://portal.saude.pe.gov.br/boletim-epidemiologico-covid-19 (accessed November 01, 2022).

12. World Health Organization. (2022). The Pfizer BioNTech (BNT162b2) COVID-19 Vaccine: What You Need to Know. Available online at: https://www.who.int/news-room/feature-stories/detail/who-can-take-the-pfizer-biontech-covid-19--vaccine-what-you-need-to-know (accessed November 10, 2022).

13. Andreano E, Rappuoli R. SARS-CoV-2 escaped natural immunity, raising questions about vaccines and therapies. *Nat Med.* (2021) 27:759–61. doi: 10.1038/s41591-021-01347-0

14. Beharier O, Mayo RP, Raz T, Sacks KN, Schreiber L, Suissa-Cohen Y, et al. Efficient maternal to neonatal transfer of antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 and BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine. *J Clin Invest.* (2021) 131. doi: 10.1172/JCI154834

15. Gray KJ, Bordt EA, Atyeo C, Deriso E, Akinwunmi B, Young N, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 vaccine response in pregnant and lactating women: a cohort study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* (2021) 225:303.e1-303.e17. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2021.03.023

16. Good MF, Hawkes MT. The interaction of natural and vaccine-induced immunity with social distancing predicts the evolution of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Am Soc Microbiol.* (2020) 1:e02617-20. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02617-20

17. Sciscent BY, Eisele CD, Ho L, King SD, Jain R, Golamari RR. COVID-19 reinfection: the role of natural immunity, vaccines, and variants. *J Commun Hosp Intern Med Perspect.* (2021) 11:733–9. doi: 10.1080/20009666.2021.1974665

18. Levin EG, Lustig Y, Cohen C, Fluss R, Indenbaum V, Amit S, et al. Waning Immune Humoral Response to BNT162b2 Covid-19 Vaccine over 6 Months. *New England Journal of Medicine*. (2021) 385:e84. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2114583

19. Andrews N, Tessier E, Stowe J, Gower C, Kirsebom F, Simmons R, et al. Duration of protection against mild and severe disease by Covid-19 vaccines. *New England J Med.* (2022) 386:340–50. doi: 10.1056/NEJM0a2115481

20. Collier ARY, McMahan K, Yu J, Tostanoski LH, Aguayo R, Ansel J, et al. Immunogenicity of COVID-19 mRNA vaccines in pregnant and lactating women. *JAMA J Am Med Assoc.* (2021) 325:2370–80. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.7563

21. Perl SH, Uzan-Yulzari A, Klainer H, Asiskovich L, Youngster M, Rinott E, et al. SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies in breast milk after COVID-19 vaccination of breastfeeding women. *JAMA J Am Med Assoc.* (2021) 325:2013–4. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.5782

22. Narayanaswamy V, Pentecost BT, Schoen CN, Alfandari D, Schneider SS, Baker R, et al. Neutralizing antibodies and cytokines in breast milk after coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) mRNA vaccination. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2022) 139:181–91. doi: 10.1097/AOG.00000000000 04661