



Corrigendum: Increasing Number of Individuals Receiving Hepatitis B nucleos(t)ide Analogs Therapy in Germany, 2008–2019

Anna Maisa¹, Christian Kollan¹, Matthias an der Heiden¹, Florian van Bömmel², Markus Cornberg³, Stefan Mauss⁴, Heiner Wedemeyer³, Daniel Schmidt^{1,5} and Sandra Dudareva^{1*}

¹ Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Robert Koch Institute, Berlin, Germany, ² Division of Hepatology, Department of Medicine II, Leipzig University Medical Center, Leipzig, Germany, ³ Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Endocrinology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany, ⁴ Center for HIV and Hepatogastroenterology, Düsseldorf, Germany, ⁵ Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Zhiliang Hu, Nanjing Second Hospital, China

*Correspondence:

Sandra Dudareva dudarevas@rki.de

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Infectious Diseases - Surveillance, Prevention and Treatment, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

> Received: 23 January 2022 Accepted: 11 February 2022 Published: 11 March 2022

Citation:

Maisa A, Kollan C, an der Heiden M, van Bömmel F, Comberg M, Mauss S, Wedemeyer H, Schmidt D and Dudareva S (2022) Corrigendum: Increasing Number of Individuals Receiving Hepatitis B nucleos(t)ide Analogs Therapy in Germany, 2008–2019.

> Front. Public Health 10:860836. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.860836

A Corrigendum on

elimination

Increasing Number of Individuals Receiving Hepatitis B nucleos(t)ide Analogs Therapy in Germany, 2008–2019

Keywords: hepatitis B, nucleos(t)ide therapy, therapy costs, treatment guidelines, treatment gap, hepatitis

by Maisa, A., Kollan, C., an der Heiden, M., van Bömmel, F., Cornberg, M., Mauss, S., Wedemeyer, H., Schmidt, D., and Dudareva, S. (2021). Front. Public Health. 9:667253. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.667253


In the original article, there was a mistake in the order of figures for Figures 1–3 as published. Figure 1 should be Figure 3, Figure 2 should be Figure 1, and Figure 3 should be Figure 2. The legends and references in the manuscript for all three figures were correct. The corrected Figures 1–3 appear below.


The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Maisa, Kollan, an der Heiden, van Bömmel, Cornberg, Mauss, Wedemeyer, Schmidt and Dudareva. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

1





prescription.

FIGURE 2 | Proportion of prescriptions issued per year by prescription size, 2008–2019. P30–30 pills per prescription; P60–60 pills per prescription; P90–90 pills per

