#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Enno Nowossadeck, Robert Koch Institute (RKI), Germany

REVIEWED BY Lambert Zixin Li, Stanford University, United States Rebekka Mumm, Robert Koch Institute (RKI), Germany

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jinfeng Li xuebai\_1314@126.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors share first authorship

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Aging and Public Health, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

RECEIVED 23 March 2022 ACCEPTED 20 September 2022 PUBLISHED 17 October 2022

#### CITATION

Xu Q, Ou X and Li J (2022) The risk of falls among the aging population: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Public Health* 10:902599. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.902599

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Xu, Ou and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# The risk of falls among the aging population: A systematic review and meta-analysis

#### Qingmei Xu<sup>†</sup>, Xuemei Ou<sup>†</sup> and Jinfeng Li<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Geriatrics, The Affiliated Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital of Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, China

**Aim:** This study aims to clarify the risk factors for falls to prevent severe consequences in older adults.

**Methods:** We searched the PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, and Google Scholar databases using the terms "risk factors" OR "predicting factors" OR "predictor" AND "fall" OR "drop" to identify all relevant studies and compare their results. The study participants were divided into two groups, the "fall group" and the "control group", and differences in demographic characteristics, lifestyles, and comorbidities were compared.

**Results:** We included 34 articles in the analysis and analyzed 22 factors. Older age, lower education level, polypharmacy, malnutrition, living alone, living in an urban area, smoking, and alcohol consumption increased the risk of falls in the aging population. Additionally, comorbidities such as cardiac disease, hypertension, diabetes, stroke, frailty, previous history of falls, depression, Parkinson's disease, and pain increased the risk of falls.

**Conclusion:** Demographic characteristics, comorbidities, and lifestyle factors can influence the risk of falls and should be taken into consideration.

#### KEYWORDS

age, malnutrition, fall, meta-analysis, rural

# Introduction

By 2050, people older than 65 years are estimated to account for 16% of the population (1). Falls are a major public health problem, as approximately 28–35% of individuals aged  $\geq$  65 years experience falls each year. As the aging population increases, more individuals will be at risk of falling (2).Among older people, physical falls are events that adversely affect health and lead to disability and mortality (3, 4). Moreover, fall-associated economic burdens are substantial and continue to increase worldwide (4, 5). Even non-injury falls are associated with negative impacts, such as anxiety, depression, and decreased mobility, which greatly affect the quality of life (QOL) and aging trajectory. The most harmful consequences of injurious falls are hip fracture and brain damage (4). Research on the risk of falling has become increasingly important to maintain the health of older individuals (2).Early screening for the risk of fall that takes risk factors into account is needed. Many retrospective, cross-sectional, and longitudinal studies have examined fall prevalence, fall-related consequences, and risk factors for falls in older individuals. However, even though some reviews have

addressed these topics (6, 7), a high-quality systematic review has yet to be conducted. Therefore, in this study, we aimed to investigate the association between lifestyle factors and fall risk in aging adults to promote the development of effective fall prevention strategies.

#### **Methods**

#### Guidelines and ethical review

We followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines in this systematic review. As this study was a review, no ethical approval was necessary.

#### Search strategy and data extraction

We hypothesized that demographic characteristics, lifestyle factors, and comorbidities would influence the risk of falls in the aging population. We chose these risk factors on the basis of records in the literature. After searching and carefully reading the literature, we found that the above factors had the most related studies and received the most attention. Therefore, we compared these factors between fall and non-fall groups. We searched for potentially relevant articles published in English before January 2022 during the initial search process. The terms searched in the PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, and Google Scholar databases were as follows: "risk factors" OR "predicting factors" OR "predictor" AND "fall" OR "drop". Since Boolean operators do not work on Google Scholar, we used search terms like "risk factors for fall" and "predicting factors for fall" on Google Scholar. Two authors independently screened all the abstracts and citations of all studies identified with the search strategy to determine eligible studies. Data were independently extracted by two of the authors using a standardized Excel file. Studies were considered eligible if they included two groups and aging individuals  $(\geq 65$  years old) with or without falls, and presented data on the baseline lifestyle characteristics and comorbidities of the participants. The exclusion criteria were as follows: duplicate publications, reviews, studies on unrelated topics, studies with different variables, and studies with different group criteria. The search process consisted of 2 steps, the initial search with short keywords and then detailed search with detailed search strategy (present in Supplementary File 1). The description of the detailed search strategy for each part of the PICO research question is provided in Supplementary File 1, which is amended for other databases using database-specific subject headings, where available, and keywords in both titles and abstracts. The extracted data included baseline characteristics, lifestyle habits, TABLE 1 Details of included papers.

Author	Year	Included number	Research type
Carvalho	2020	131	Retrospect study
Díaz et al. (8) Dixe et al. (9)	2020 2021	2,849 204	Retrospect study Prospective cohort
()			study
Djurovic et al. (10)	2021	561	Retrospect study
Fukui et al. (11)	2021	185	Prospective cohort
Griffin et al. (12)	2020	353	study Observational study of RCT
Lackoff et al. (13)	2020	2,114	Prospective cohort
Illian et al. (14)	2010	1 441	study Detreservet study
Ilhan et al. (14) Naharci et al. (15)	2019 2020	1,441 520	Retrospect study Prospective cohort
Humarer et ul. (10)	2020	520	study
Immonen et al. (16)	2020	872	Retrospect study
Inacio et al. (17)	2021	32,316	Retrospect study
Ishida et al. (18)	2020	6,081	Retrospect study
Kim et al. (19)	2013	294	Retrospect study
Kitayuguchi et al. (20)	2021	965	Prospective cohort
Devision Version (c. 1. (21)	2021	(2)	study
Pradeep Kumar et al. (21)	2021	63	Cross-sectional
Pradeep Kumar et al. (21)	2021	150	study Retrospect study
I e et al. (22)	2021	343	Retrospect study
Lee et al. (23)	2021	232	Prospective cohort
			study
Magnuszewski et al. (24)	2020	358	Cross-sectional
Makino et al. (25)	2021	2,520	study Prospective cohort
			study
Mat et al. (26)	2021	605	Prospective cohort
Nugraha et al. (27)	2021	154	study Prospective cohort
	2021	05	study
Pelicioni et al. (28)	2021	95	Randomized controlled trial
Pereira et al. (29)	2021	508	Cross-sectional
Ravindran et al. (30)	2016	501	study Prospective cohort
			study
Rivan et al. (31)	2021	815	Prospective cohort
Sagawa et al. (32)	2018	1,817	study Prospective cohort
			study
Schultz et al. (33) Severo et al. (34)	2015 2018	278 358	Retrospect study Prospective cohort
Teoh et al. (35)	2020	1,415	study Cross-sectional
Tsai et al. (36)	2021	6,153	study Retrospect study
Wang et al. (37)	2020	2,049	Prospective cohort
Yu et al. (38)	2021	237	study Prospective cohort
			study
Yu et al. (38) Zhang et al. (39)	2021 2021	1,164 7,307	Retrospect study Retrospect study
Zhang et al. (39)	2021	7,307	Retrospect study

RCT, Randomized controlled trial.



comorbidities, and occurrence of falls. All the included data were subsequently entered in RevMan 5.1.4.

#### Comparisons

In our meta-analysis, we compared 22 factors between the two groups (the fall group and the control [no falls] group). The factors included age, body mass index (BMI), education level, polypharmacy, sex, relationship status (living alone), residential location (rural), (mal)nutrition, smoking status, alcohol consumption, and comorbidities including cardiac disease, hypertension, diabetes, stroke, depression, Parkinson's disease, pain, vision impairment, frailty, previous history of falls, and cognitive impairment.

#### Quality assessment

The quality of the included studies was assessed by two authors according to the Cochrane Collaboration Reviewer's Handbook and the Quality of Reporting of Meta-analysis guidelines (40, 41).

#### Data analysis

The data were analyzed using RevMan 5.1.4. Continuous outcomes are presented as weighted mean differences (MDs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Dichotomous data are presented as relative risks (RRs) with 95% CIs. A meta-analysis was performed using fixed-effect or random-effects models as

appropriate. Specifically, the fixed-effects models were used when no significant heterogeneity was present, and the randomeffects models were used when heterogeneity was present. Statistical heterogeneity among the trials was evaluated by the  $I^2$  test, with significance set at P < 0.05.

# Results

#### Description of the included studies

A total of 14,144 reports were initially identified from the databases. After screening for duplicate publications, reviews, and irrelevant records based on the titles and abstracts, 13,139 reports were excluded from the study. After screening the full texts, 422 articles with different baseline data, 432 articles with different results criteria, and 117 articles with different group classifications were excluded. Thus, we eventually included 34 articles in the final analysis (8–32, 34–39, 42–44). The conditions of these studies and the clinical details of the participants are presented in Table 1. A flow chart of the literature search is shown in Figure 1.

# Characteristics and lifestyles of people with/without falls

First, we compared aging adults in terms of age, BMI, education level, polypharmacy, malnutrition, sex (female), living alone, living in a rural area, smoking status, and alcohol consumption (Figures 2A–L). Older age (MD 1.87; 95% CI 1.14–2.6; p < 0.00001, Figure 2A), number of drugs used (MD.36; 95% CI.19–0.52; p < 0.0001, Figure 2E), and polypharmacy (RR



1.06; 95% CI 1.03–1.09; p = 0.0002, Figure 2F) were associated with increased incidence of falls. Malnutrition (RR 1.4; 95% CI 1.19–1.64; p < 0.0001, Figure 2G), living alone (RR 1.39; 95% CI 1.29–1.5; p < 0.00001, Figure 2I), living in a rural area (RR 1.09; 95% CI 1.02–1.16; p = 0.006, Figure 2J), smoking (RR 1.17; 95% CI 1.05–1.3; p = 0.004, Figure 2K), and alcohol consumption (RR 1.18; 95% CI 1.09–1.28; p < 0.001, Figure 2L) were risk factors for falls. Education level (MD -0.29; 95% CI -0.73-0.16; p = 0.21, Figure 2C) had no impact on risk of falls, but completion of the mandatory level of education (RR 0.93; 95% CI 0.89–0.97; p = 0.006, Figure 2D) decreased the risk of falls. BMI (MD -0.22; 95% CI -0.48-0.05; p = 0.11, Figure 2B) and

sex (RR 1.02; 95% CI 1–1.04; p = 0.13, Figure 2H) did not affect risk of falls.

# Comorbidities in people with or without falls

Eleven comorbidities were compared between people with and without falls: cardiac disease, hypertension, diabetes, stroke, vision dysfunction, frailty, fall history, cognitive impairment, depression, Parkinson's disease, and pain (Figures 3A–L). Even

Comorbidity	G Frailty fall non-fall Risk Ratio Risk Ratio Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% CI M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	Capalla 2020 24 40 25 82 5.7% 1.15 (0.78.1.68)
Magnuszewski 2020 353 401 1343 1648 85.2% 1.08 [1.03, 1.13] Wang 2020 96 157 104 201 14.8% 1.18 [0.98, 1.42]	Kim 2013 21 147 14 147 3.0% 1.50 [0.79, 2.83]
Total (95% Cl) 558 1849 100.0% 1.10 [1.05, 1.15]	Teoh 2020 83 322 160 1093 15.8% 1.76 [1.39, 2.23]
Total events 449 1447 Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.07, df = 1 (P = 0.30); l <sup>2</sup> = 6%	Total (95% Cl)     1067     2108     100.0%     1.35     [1.25, 1.45]     #       Total events     538     597
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.89$ (P < 0.0001)	Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 68.73$ , $df = 4$ (P < 0.00001); $l^2 = 94\%$
Heart disease	Test for overall effect: Z = 7.83 (P < 0.00001)
fall non-fall Risk Ratio	Fall history fall non-fall Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup     Events     Total     Weight     M-H, Fixed, 95% CI       Ilkin 2020     41     134     92     386     2.2%     1.28 [0.94, 1.75]	Study or Subgroup     Events     Total     Events     Total     Weight     M-H, Fixed, 95% CI       Inacio 2021     360     1209     6578     31107     40.4%     1.41 [1.29, 1.54]     Image: Comparison of the second secon
Magnuszewski 2020 87 157 108 201 5.8% 1.03 [0.85, 1.25]	Kumar 2021 16 34 9 29 0.8% 1.52 [0.79, 2.90]
Sagawa 2018 96 570 208 1247 4.3% 1.01 [0.81, 1.26]	Magnuszewski 2020 32 157 37 201 2.7% 1.11 [0.72, 1.69] Makino 2021 111 415 218 2105 5.9% 2.58 [2.11, 3.16]
Tsai 2021 483 652 3534 5501 85.7% 1.15 [1.10, 1.21]	Ravindran 2016 25 251 7 250 0.6% 3.56 [1.57, 8.07]
Total (95% Cl)     2250     8828     100.0%     1.14 [1.09, 1.19]       Total events     765     4056	Severo 2018 80 179 54 179 4.4% 1.48 1.12, 1.95
	Y. Yu 2021 52 194 322 970 8.8% 0.81 [0.63, 1.04] Yu 2021 48 107 52 130 3.9% 1.12 [0.83, 1.51]
Heterogeneity: Tau' = 0.00; Ch' = 4.42, df = 5 (P = 0.49); l' = 0% Test for overall effect: Z = 5.54 (P < 0.00001)	Zhang 2021 215 1053 750 6254 17.7% 1.70 [1.48, 1.95]
Hypertension fall non-fall Risk Ratio	Total (95% CI)     4233     42640     100.0%     1.53 [1.44, 1.62]       Total events     1168     8318
Study or Subgroup     Events     Total     Events     Total     Weight     M-H, Fixed, 95% CI       Carvalho 2020     33     49     65     82     3.2%     0.85 [0.68, 1.06]	Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 104.83, df = 10 (P < 0.00001); $l^2$ = 90% Test for overall effect: Z = 14.40 (P < 0.00001)
Ilkin 2020 108 134 286 386 9.7% 1.09 [0.98, 1.20] Lee 2021 32 64 69 168 2.5% 1.22 [0.90, 1.65]	
Magnuszewski 2020 25 157 26 201 1.5% 1.23 [0.74, 2.05] Rivan 2021 216 415 193 400 12.9% 1.08 [0.94, 1.24]	Cognitive impairment
Teoh 2020     210     322     643     1093     19.2%     1.11     [1.01, 1.22]       Tsai 2021     464     652     3684     5501     51.1%     1.06     [1.01, 1.12]	fall non-fall Risk Ratio Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Total (95% Cl) 1793 7831 100.0% 1.08 [1.03, 1.12]	Dixe 2021 40 86 62 117 27.1% 0.88 [0.66, 1.17] Kim 2013 14 147 7 147 5.9% 2.00 [0.83, 4.81]
Total events 1088 4966	Kitayuguchi 2021 53 162 195 803 29.7% 1.35 [1.05, 1.73] Rivan 2021 167 415 157 400 37.2% 1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>s</sup> = 5.81, dt = 6 (P = 0.44); l <sup>s</sup> = 0% Test for overall effect: Z = 3.54 (P = 0.0004)	Total (95% CI) 810 1467 100.0% 1.11 [0.88, 1.39]
Diabetes fall non-fall Risk Ratio	Total events 274 421
Study or Subgroup     Events     Total     Events     Total     Weight     M-H, Fixed, 95% CI       Carvalho 2020     26     49     24     82     11.0%     1.81 [1.18, 2.78]	Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.03; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 7.32, df = 3 (P = 0.06); l <sup>2</sup> = 59% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.89 (P = 0.37)
Ilkin 2020 42 134 123 386 14.4% 0.98 [0.74, 1.31] Lee 2021 14 64 34 168 8.5% 1.08 [0.62, 1.88]	J
Rivan 2021 129 415 99 400 16.2% 1.26 [1.00, 1.57]	Depression fall non-fall Risk Ratio
Sagawa 2018 117 570 273 1247 16.9% 0.94 [0.77, 1.14]	Study or Subgroup     Events     Total     Events     Total     Weight     M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl       Ilkin 2020     65     134     47     386     7.7%     3.98 [2.89, 5.48]
	Kim 2013 13 147 3 147 1.0% 4.33 (1.26, 14.89) Kitayuguchi 2021 72 162 271 803 28.9% 1.32 (1.08, 1.60)
Total events 817 5230	Schultz 2015 37 86 21 192 4.1% 3.93 [2.46, 6.30] Zhang 2021 634 1053 637 6254 58.3% 5.91 [5.41, 6.46]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.06; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 38.04, df = 6 (P < 0.00001); l <sup>2</sup> = 84% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.69 (P = 0.49)	Total (95% CI) 1582 7782 100.0% 4.34 [4.02, 4.68]
Stroke fall non-fall Risk Ratio	Total events 821 979 Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 187.29, df = 4 (P < 0.00001); l <sup>2</sup> = 98%
Stroke     fall     non-fall     Risk Ratio       Study or Subgroup     Events     Total     Weight     M-H, Fixed, 95% CL	Test for overall effect: $Z = 38.25$ (P < 0.00001)
Lee 2021 5 64 17 168 21.0% 0.77 [0.30, 2.01]	ĸ
Magnuszewski 2020 23 157 18 201 26.4% 1.64 [0.92, 2.92] Teoh 2020 13 322 7 1093 21.6% 6.30 [2.54, 15.67]	Parkinson's disease
Total (95% Cl) 1195 6963 100.0% 1.55 [0.72, 3.35]	fall non-fall Risk Ratio Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Total events $564$ $4965$ Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.50; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 23.03, df = 3 (P < 0.0001); l <sup>2</sup> = 87%	Ilkin 2020 5 134 5 386 16.3% 2.88 [0.85, 9.80] Magnuszzewski 2020 28 157 13 201 72.2% 2.76 [1.48, 5.15]
Heterogeneity: $ au^{\circ} = 0.50$ ; $Ch^{\circ} = 25.05$ , $dt = 3$ ( $t < 0.0001$ ); $t^{\circ} = 87\%$ Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.12$ ( $P = 0.26$ )	Teoh 2020 6 322 4 1093 11.5% 5.09 [1.45, 17.93]
	Total (95% Cl)     613     1680     100.0%     3.05 [1.84, 5.05]       Total events     39     22
Vision Dysfunction	Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.75$ , $df = 2$ (P = 0.69); $I^2 = 0\%$
fall non-fall Risk Ratio	Test for overall effect: Z = 4.31 (P < 0.0001)
Kim 2013 41 147 57 147 21.9% 0.72 [0.52, 1.00]	Pain fall non-fall Risk Ratio Study or Subgroup Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Ravindran 2016     107     251     29     250     20.6%     3.67     [2.54, 5.33]       Sagawa 2018     323     570     630     1247     28.5%     1.12     [1.02, 1.23]     •	Illian 2019 191 326 590 1115 72.9% 1.11 [1.00, 1.23] Kim 2013 58 147 41 147 11.2% 1.41 [1.02, 1.96]
Wang 2020 334 401 1440 1648 29.0% 0.95 [0.91, 1.00]	Mat 2020 62 146 121 459 15.9% 1.61 [1.26, 2.05]
Total (95% CI) 1369 3292 100.0% 1.24 [0.91, 1.69]	Total (95% CI) 619 1721 100.0% 1.22 [1.11, 1.34] ♦
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.09; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 74.81, df = 3 (P < 0.00001); I <sup>2</sup> = 96%	$\frac{1}{5}$ 10 Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 9.01, df = 2 (P = 0.01); l <sup>2</sup> = 78%
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.36 (P = 0.17) Favours [non-fall] Favours [	[fall] Test for overall effect: Z = 4.14 (P < 0.0001)
GURE 3	if falls.

though these comorbidities may alter the rate of frailty among elderly individuals (RR 1.1; 95% CI 1.05–1.15; p < 0.0001, Figure 3A), not all of the comorbidities mentioned above necessarily influence falls. For instance, diabetes (RR 1.08; 95% CI 0.87–1.34; p = 0.49, Figure 3D), stroke (RR 1.55; 95% CI 0.72–3.35; p = 0.26, Figure 3E), vision dysfunction (RR 1.24; 95% CI 0.91–1.69; p = 0.17, Figure 3F), and cognitive impairment (RR 1.11; 95% CI 0.88–1.39; p = 0.37, Figure 3I) did not significantly differ between the two groups. In contrast, heart disease (RR 1.14; 95% CI 1.09–1.19; p < 0.00001, Figure 3B), hypertension (RR 1.35; 95% CI 1.03–1.12; p = 0.0004, Figure 3C, frailty (RR 1.35; 95% CI 1.25–1.45; p < 0.00001, Figure 3G), fall history (RR 1.53; 95% CI 1.44–1.62; p < 0.00001, Figure 3H), depression (RR 4.34; 95% CI 4.02–4.68; p < 0.00001, Figure 3K), Parkinson's disease

(RR 3.05; 95% CI 1.84–5.05; p < 0.0001, Figure 3K), and pain (RR 1.22; 95% CI 1.11–1.34; p < 0.0001, Figure 3L) were associated with increased risk of falls among the aging population.

## Discussion

In older adults, falls impose major health, economic, and societal burdens (16). Falls are the leading cause of injury in the elderly population (36). A serious fall could result in decreased independence and reduced QOL (36). Hip fracture, in particular, is a serious and devastating consequence of falling in older individuals (36). Moreover, Makino et al. reported that fall history is the most influential predictor of future falls (25).

According to recent research, fall history increases the current risk of falls. Some research has also proposed that fear of falling is significantly associated with falls. Usually, fear of falling arises from a fall history (45). Patil R et al. suggested that fear of falling may increase even after a non-injurious fall. Subsequently, older adults may enter into a negative cycle in which they reduce their activity, leading to reduction in functionality (45). To avoid this negative cycle, we recommend early prevention of falls in elderly adults. Fear of falling was also independently associated with presence of knee pain, with a significant relationship observed between fear of falling and moderate to severe knee pain but not mild knee pain (14). Pain is a frequently mentioned factor, but only a few studies have prospectively collected data on fall occurrence in relation to knee pain or the lack of association between knee pain and fall occurrence during longterm follow-up. Furthermore, fear of falling may exacerbate depression. Our present results demonstrated that depression can also impact the risk of falls. As most falls result from loss of balance while walking and poor balance is the leading risk factor for falls, people tend to focus on the importance of mobility in the risk of falls (46). This explains the lack of sufficient predictive factors in older adults at risk of one or more falls. Additionally, social factors can increase the psychological burden on elderly individuals and reduce self-care capability, a factor with strong influences (47) on the risk of falls as well as the incidence rates of many diseases. Thus, the identification of risk factors for falls will provide important guidance for the care of elderly individuals.

Older age, polypharmacy, malnutrition, frailty, smoking, and alcohol consumption significantly increased the risk of falls; these factors also reflect decline in physical condition. Moreover, chronic illnesses are very common in older adults, and cardiac disease, hypertension, diabetes, stroke, and Parkinson's disease are associated with falls. Older adults residing in urban areas had a higher risk of falling than those residing in rural areas (27). This difference may be explained by traffic, which can impede medical treatment. Residency in suburban areas has certain advantages; for instance, it is easier to engage in physical exercises, such as walking, in suburban and rural areas than in urban areas. Physical exercise helps to reduce the risk of falls in adults and improves lower limb strength in older people (27, 47). Moreover, living in a rural area is associated with less pollution exposure; this factor is particularly important in developing countries because pollution may cause comorbidities. However, only a few articles have focused on this topic. We plan to explore this topic further in the future once a larger number of relevant reports have been published. Sex has been identified as a risk factor for falls among older adults (37), but in our study, women did not have a higher risk of falling than men. While women experience a higher rate of frailty than men (37), men are more likely to exhibit harmful lifestyle habits, such as smoking and consuming alcohol; therefore, sex differences in the risk of falling merit further study. Another risk factor in our study is living alone, which increases the risk of depressive symptoms and the impacts of falls.

A major strength of this study is that we analyzed data from several large-scale, well-characterized cohorts and systematically summarized the risk factors for falls in the elderly population. These findings can inform healthcare in the elderly population. Biswas et al. explored the risk factors for falls among older adults in India (6); however, their study focused on only the Indian population and thus exhibited geographic and ethnic limitations. Xie et al. examined risk factors for the development of fear of falling, but fear of falling was only one of the risk factors for falls; we suggest that it is more meaningful to identify the risk factors for falls. Our meta-analysis also has some limitations. For example, we did not categorize the participants according to whether they lived in the community or in nursing homes, which is a major factor associated with the risk of falls.

#### Conclusion

We demonstrated that (1) older age, polypharmacy, malnutrition, single status, living in a rural area, smoking, and alcohol consumption significantly increased the risk of falls in elderly adults. In contrast, higher education level was protective against falls. Additionally, we found that (2) individuals with cardiac disease, hypertension, frailty, previous history of falls, depression, Parkinson's disease, and pain had a higher risk of falls than individuals without such comorbidities.

#### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

## Author contributions

Data acquisition and drafting of the manuscript: QX, XO, and JL. Conception and design of the study: JL. Analysis and/or interpretation of data: QX and XO. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those

of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

#### References

1. United Nations, Desa, Population Division, World Population Prospects 2019: Highlights. Available online at: http://www.population.un.org/wpp/Publications/ Files/wpp2019\_highlights.pdf (accessed April 7, 2020).

2. Organization WH. WHO Global Report on Falls Prevention in Older Age. Available online at: https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/ 9789241563536 (accessed September 26, 2021).

3. Blain H, Dargent-Molina P, Martin FC, Rosendahl E, van der Velde N, Bousquet J, et al. European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis (ESCEO), Osteoporosis Research and Information Group (GRIO), and International osteoporosis Foundation (IOF), A comprehensive fracture prevention strategy in older adults: The European Union Geriatric Medicine Society (EUGMS) Statement. J Nutr Health Aging. (2016) (6):647–52. doi: 10.1007/s12603-016-0741-y

4. Gill TM, Murphy TE, Gahbauer EA, Allore HG. Association of injurious falls with disability outcomes and nursing home admissions in community-living older persons. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2013) 178:418–25. doi: 10.1093/aje/kws554

5. Spaniolas K, Cheng JD, Gestring ML, Sangosanya A, Stassen NA, Bankey PE. Ground level falls are associated with significant mortality in elderly patients. *J Trauma.* (2010) 69:821–5. doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e3181efc6c6

6. Biswas I, Adebusoye B, Chattopadhyay K. Risk factors for falls among older adults in India: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Health Sci Rep.* (2022) 5:e637. doi: 10.1002/hsr2.637

7. Xie Q, Pei J, Gou L, Zhang Y, Zhong J, Su Y, et al. Risk factors for fear of falling in stroke patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open.* (2022) 12:e056340. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-056340

 Díaz LB, Casuso-Holgado MJ, Labajos-Manzanares MT, Barón-López FJ, Pinero-Pinto E, Romero-Galisteo RP, et al. Analysis of fall risk factors in an aging population living in long-term care institutions in SPAIN: A retrospective cohort study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:7234. doi: 10.3390/ijerpli17197234

9. Dixe MDA, Madeira C, Alves S, Henriques MA, Baixinho CL. Gait Ability and Muscle Strength in Institutionalized Older Persons with and without Cognitive Decline and Association with Falls. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2021) 18:11543. doi: 10.3390/ijerph182111543

10. Djurovic O, Mihaljevic O, Radovanovic S, Kostic S, Vukicevic M, Brkic BG, et al. Risk factors related to falling in patients after stroke. *Iran J Public Health.* (2021) 50:1832–41. doi: 10.18502/ijph.v50i9.7056

11. Fukui K, Maeda N, Komiya M, Sasadai J, Tashiro T, Yoshimi M, et al. The relationship between modified short physical performance battery and falls: A cross-sectional study of older outpatients. *Geriatrics (Basel).* (2021) 6:106. doi: 10.3390/geriatrics6040106

12. Griffin A, O'Neill A, O'Connor M, Ryan D, Tierney A, Galvin R. The prevalence of malnutrition and impact on patient outcomes among older adults presenting at an Irish emergency department: a secondary analysis of the OPTI-MEND trial. *BMC Geriatr.* (2020) 20:455. doi: 10.1186/s12877-020-01852-w

13. Lackoff AS, Hickling D, Collins PF, Stevenson KJ, Nowicki TA, Bell JJ. The association of malnutrition with falls and harm from falls in hospital inpatients: Findings from a 5-year observational study. *J Clin Nurs*. (2020) 29:429–36. doi: 10.1111/jocn.15098

14. Ilhan B, Bahat G, Erdogan T, Kiliç C, Karan MA. Chronic pain: prevalent and independently associated with frailty and female gender in geriatric outpatients. *Eur Geriatr Med.* (2019) 10:931–7. doi: 10.1007/s41999-019-00235-8

15. Naharci MI, Tasci I. Frailty status and increased risk for falls: The role of anticholinergic burden. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* (2020) 90:104136. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2020.104136

16. Immonen M, Haapea M, Similä H, Enwald H, Keränen N, Kangas M, et al. Association between chronic diseases and falls among a sample of older people in Finland. *BMC Geriatr.* (2020) 20:225. doi: 10.1186/s12877-020-01621-9

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh. 2022.902599/full#supplementary-material

17. Inacio MC, Moldovan M, Whitehead C, Sluggett JK, Crotty M, Corlis M, et al. The risk of fall-related hospitalisations at entry into permanent residential aged care. *BMC Geriatr.* (2021) 21:686. doi: 10.1186/s12877-021-02640-w

18. Ishida Y, Maeda K, Nonogaki T, Shimizu A, Yamanaka Y, Matsuyama R, et al. Malnutrition at admission predicts in-hospital falls in hospitalized older adults. *Nutrients.* (2020) 12:541. doi: 10.3390/nu12020541

19. Kim YS, Smi CK. Fall risk factors and fall risk assessment of inpatients. *Korean J Adult Nurs.* (2013) 25:74–82. doi: 10.7475/kjan.2013.25.1.74

20. Kitayuguchi J, Abe T, Okuyama K, Gomi T, Okada S, Shiwaku K, et al. Association between a hilly neighborhood environment and falls among rural older adults: a crosssectional study. *J Rural Med.* (2021) 16:214–21. doi: 10.2185/jrm.2021-028

21. Pradeep Kumar D, Wendel C, Mohler J, Laksari K, Toosizadeh N. Between-day repeatability of sensor-based in-home gait assessment among older adults: assessing the effect of frailty. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* (2021) 33:1529–37. doi: 10.1007/s40520-020-01686-x

22. Ie K, Chou E, Boyce RD, Albert SM. Fall risk-increasing drugs, polypharmacy, and falls among low-income community-dwelling older adults. *Innov Aging*. (2021) 5:igab001. doi: 10.1093/geroni/igab001

23. Lee YY, Chen CL, Lee IC, Lee IC, Chen NC. History of falls, dementia, lower education levels, mobility limitations, and aging are risk factors for falls among the community-dwelling elderly: a cohort study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2021) 18:9356. doi: 10.3390/jierph18179356

24. Magnuszewski L, Swietek M, Kasiukiewicz A, Kuprjanowicz B, Baczek J, Beata Wojszel Z. Health, functional and nutritional determinants of falls experienced in the previous year-a cross-sectional study in a geriatric ward. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:4768. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17134768

25. Makino K, Lee S, Bae S, Chiba I, Harada K, Katayama O, et al. Simplified decision-tree algorithm to predict falls for community-dwelling older adults. *J Clin Med.* (2021) 10:5184. doi: 10.3390/jcm10215184

26. Mat S, Kamaruzzaman SB, Chin AV, Tan MP. Impact of knee pain on fear of falling, changes in instrumental activities of daily living, and falls among malaysians age 55 years and above. *Front Public Health.* (2020) 8:571196. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.571196

27. Nugraha S, Prasetyo S, Susilowati IH, Rahardjo TBW. Urban-rural dimension of falls and associated risk factors among community-dwelling older adults in west java, Indonesia. *J Aging Res.* (2021) 2021:8638170. doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-542482/v1

28. Pelicioni PHS, Lord SR, Sturnieks DL, Halmy B, Menant JC. Cognitive and motor cortical activity during cognitively demanding stepping tasks in older people at low and high risk of falling. *Front Med.* (2021) 8:554231. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.554231

29. Pereira C, Bravo J, Reis G, Mendes F. Aging safely in Alentejo - understanding for action - preventing falls and violence against older people: study rationale, aims, design, and preliminary results. *BMC Public Health.* (2021) 21:861. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-10807-8

30. Ravindran RM, Kutty VR. Risk factors for fall-related injuries leading to hospitalization among community-dwelling older persons: a hospital-based casecontrol study in Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India. *Asia Pac J Public Health.* (2016) 28:70S-6S. doi: 10.1177/1010539515611229

31. Rivan NFM, Singh DKA, Shahar S, Wen GJ, Rajab NF, Din NC, et al. Cognitive frailty is a robust predictor of falls, injuries, and disability among community-dwelling older adults. *BMC Geriatr.* (2021) 21:593. doi: 10.1186/s12877-021-02525-y

32. Sagawa N, Marcum ZA, Boudreau RM, Hanlon JT, Albert SM, O'Hare C, et al. Low blood pressure levels for fall injuries in older adults: the health, aging and body composition study. *Eur J Ageing.* (2018) 15:321–30. doi: 10.1007/s10433-017-0449-9

33. Schultz M, Rosted E, Sanders S. Frailty is associated with a history with more falls in elderly hospitalised patients. *Dan Med J.* (2015) 62:A5058.

34. Severo IM, Kuchenbecker RS, Vieira DFVB, Lucena AF, Almeida MA. Risk factors for fall occurrence in hospitalized adult patients: a case-control study. *Rev Lat Am Enfermagem*. (2018) 26:e3016. doi: 10.1590/1518-8345. 2460.3016

35. Teoh RJJ, Mat S, Khor HM, Kamaruzzaman SB, Tan MP. Falls, frailty, and metabolic syndrome in urban dwellers aged 55 years and over in the Malaysian elders longitudinal research (MELoR) study - a cross-sectional Study. *Postgrad Med.* (2021) 133:351–6. doi: 10.1080/00325481.2020.184 2026

36. Tsai CY, Lin ES Li YT, Tung TH, Chen WC. The relationship between storey of buildings and fall risk. *Front Public Health*. (2021) 9:665985. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.66 5985

37. Wang X, Chen Z, Li Z, Chen B, Qi Y, Li G, et al. Association between frailty and risk of fall among diabetic patients. *Endocr Connect.* (2020) 9:1057–64. doi: 10.1530/EC-20-0405

38. Yu WY, Hwang HF, Chen CY, Lin MR. Situational risk factors for fall-related vertebral fractures in older men and women. *Osteoporos Int.* (2021) 32:1061–70. doi: 10.1007/s00198-020-05799-x

39. Zhang XM, Yuan L, Guo N, Bo HX, Jiao J, Wu XJ, et al. Cognitive frailty and falls in a national cohort of older chinese inpatients. *J Nutr Health Aging*. (2021) 25:993–8. doi: 10.1007/s12603-021-1670-y

40. Julian PT. Higgins and Sally Green, Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.4 The Cochrane Collaboration. Available online at: https://www.cochranehandbook.org/ (2011).

41. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG Prisma Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Int J Surg.* (2010) 8:658. doi: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2010.02.007

42. Carvalho TC, Dini AP. Risk of falls in people with chronic kidney disease and related factors. *Rev Lat Am Enfermagem*. (2020) 28:e3289. doi: 10.1590/1518-8345.3911.3289

43. Friedman DS, West SK, Gitlin LN, Ramulu PY. Longitudinal changes in daily patterns of objectively measured physical activity after falls in older adults with varying degrees of glaucoma. *EClinicalMedicine*. (2021) 40:101097. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.101097

44. García-Hedrera FJ, Noguera-Quijada C, Sanz-Márquez S, Pérez-Fernández E, Acevedo-García M, Domínguez-Rincón R, et al. Incidence and characteristics of falls in hospitalized patients: A cohort study. *Enferm Clin (Engl Ed).* (2021) 31:381–9. doi: 10.1016/j.enfcle.2021.04.003

45. Patil R, Uusi-Rasi K, Kannus P, Karinkanta S, Sievänen H. Concern about falling in older women with a history of falls: associations with health, functional ability, physical activity and quality of life. *Gerontology.* (2014) 60:22–30. doi: 10.1159/000354335

46. Avin KG, Hanke TA, Kirk-Sanchez N, McDonough CM, Shubert TE, Hardage J, et al. Academy of geriatric physical therapy of the American physical therapy association. Management of falls in community-dwelling older adults: clinical guidance statement from the academy of geriatric physical therapy of the American physical therapy association. *Phys Ther.* (2015) 95:815–34. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20140415

47. Caristia S, Campani D, Cannici C, Frontera E, Giarda G, Pisterzi S, et al. Physical exercise and fall prevention: A systematic review and meta-analysis of experimental studies included in Cochrane reviews. *Geriatr Nurs.* (2021) 42:1275–86. doi: 10.1016/j.gerinurse.2021.06.001