#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Dongming Wang, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China

REVIEWED BY Getu Engida Wake, Debre Berhan University, Ethiopia Dechasa Adare Mengistu, Haramaya University, Ethiopia

\*CORRESPONDENCE Ararso Tafese Olana ararso.tafese@aau.edu.et

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Occupational Health and Safety, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

RECEIVED 16 June 2022 ACCEPTED 03 August 2022 PUBLISHED 05 October 2022

#### CITATION

Olana AT, Kumie A and Abegaz T (2022) "Blood lead level among battery factory workers in low and middle-income countries: Systematic review and meta-analysis". *Front. Public Health* 10:970660. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.970660

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Olana, Kumie and Abegaz. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative

Commons Attribution License (CC BY).

The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# "Blood lead level among battery factory workers in low and middle-income countries: Systematic review and meta-analysis"

#### Ararso Tafese Olana\*, Abera Kumie and Teferi Abegaz

Department of Preventive Medicine, School of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

**Introduction:** Lead is one of the most health-hazardous causes of acute and chronic poisoning at workplaces. A limited study was conducted on the blood lead concentration among battery factory workers in low and middle-income countries. Therefore, this study will improve workplace health and safety conditions of workers in this sector and serve as baseline data for further studies in this segment of the working setup.

**Objective:** This review aims to identify the pooled mean blood lead level among battery factory workers in low and middle-income countries.

**Methods:** The search methods considered the following electronic bibliographic databases: google scholar, PubMed, and other gray literature. A funnel plot and Begg test were used to see the publication bias. The heterogeneity of studies was checked using I-square statistics with a cut of point 75% and the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) quality assessment tool was applied to ensure the quality of the included articles. A random-effect model was applied to pool the blood lead level intoxication. The sub-group analysis and Meta-regression analysis were conducted by country and year of publication to control heterogeneity and to show variation. We included the articles published from 2000 to 2021 year in the English language.

**Results:** Through the search strategies, 135 articles were identified and 43 full-text articles were selected for evaluation, and finally, eighteen (18) articles fit the inclusion criteria. From the 18 studies included in the meta-analysis, the mean pooled blood lead level of workers was 37.996  $\mu$ g/dl (95% CI: 30.680–45.312) which is higher than the threshold limit value set by American conference of governmental industrial hygienists (20  $\mu$ g/dl). In subgroup analysis by year in the random effect model, the pooled mean of blood lead level from 2006 to 2011= 43.20  $\mu$ g/dL (35.91–50.50), 2012–2015 = 37.78  $\mu$ g/dl (25.23–50.29), and 2016–2020 = 36.53  $\mu$ g/dl (19.44–53.62).

**Conclusions:** This review showed that the pooled mean blood lead level of workers exposed to lead battery factories was (37.996  $\mu$ g/dl) which is above the threshold limit value (20  $\mu$ g/dl). Therefore, attention should be given by

employers, government, and researchers to improve the health of working populations exposed to lead exposure in low and middle-income countries through the provision of occupational health and safety services like periodical medical checkups, treatments, and provision of training and adequate and appropriate personal protective equipment.

Systematic review registration: Identifier: CRD42022322827.

KEYWORDS

battery factories, blood lead level, low and middle-income countries, work, lead

## Introduction

Lead is one of the common oldest health hazards known more than 2000 years ago which causes acute and chronic poisoning at workplaces (1, 2). It is considered a health issue for the general population through the pollution of the environment (3). It is heavy poisoning metal that causes harmful effects when it enters the human body by either ingestion, inhalation, or dermal contact (4). Lead poisoning is a serious threat to human health, specifically to employees exposed to lead in their daily activities. It is one of the causes of occupational disease in which workers developed serious complications in some organs such as kidneys, brain, reproductive organs, and liver (5, 6). Common sources of lead poisoning are in the car battery industry, manufacturing of ceramic, plumbing, primary and secondary smelting, and exposure to lead-bearing paint or contaminated food, water, and fuel (6, 7). The battery industry is one of the major sector sources of lead exposure (8). Seventy percent (70%) of the world's lead (Pb) production existed in the battery manufacturing and recycling industries (9). Occupational exposure to lead is common in developing countries where most employers and employees are not aware of the adverse health effects (10). Studies found in Bangladesh showed that workers in lead-acid battery industries had a high level of blood lead and they are suffering from many illnesses attributable to lead toxicity (11). The study conducted in Iran revealed that neuropsychiatric and skeletal cases were common manifestations of chronic occupational lead poisoning (6). Long exposure to a high level of lead may result in memory impairment, increased reaction time, and inability to perceive information (12). According to the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygiene Threshold Limit Value and Biological Exposure Indices standards, the exposure limit value for inhalation of lead for 8 TWA is 0.05 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and

for blood lead the recommended biological exposure index is 200  $\mu$ g/L (13).

A limited study was conducted on the level of blood lead concentration among workers engaged in battery factories in low and middle-income countries. Therefore, this study will improve workplace health and safety conditions of workers in this sector and serve as baseline data for further studies in this segment of the working population. Therefore, this study aimed to identify the pooled mean blood lead exposure level among lead battery manufacturing workers in low and middle-income countries from existing literature.

### **Methods**

The finding of this systematic and meta-analysis was reported based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis statement guideline (14).

# Searching strategy and information sources

The search methods considered the following electronic bibliographic databases: google scholar, PubMed, and other gray literature. The principal search terms and phrases were Lead, LEAD, Pb, "Blood lead level, Intoxications, poisoning, toxicity, pollution, exposure, contamination, "battery factories," "battery industries," "battery company," and "battery manufacturing".

The search strategies were developed using different Boolean To fit the advanced operators. PubMed database, the following search strategy was applied on February 18, 2022, at 4:45 PM. ((((lead[Title/Abstract]) OR (LEAD[Title/Abstract])) OR (Pb[Title/Abstract])) OR (lead(MeSH[Title/Abstract]))) OR ("blood lead level"[Title/Abstract]) AND (((((Intoxications[Title/Abstract]) (pollution[Title/Abstract])) OR (contamination OR [Title/Abstract])) (exposure[Title/Abstract])) OR OR (toxicity[Title/Abstract])) OR (poisoning[Title/Abstract]) AND (((("battery company"[Title/Abstract])

Abbreviations: ACGIH, American Conference of Governmental Hygiene; BLC, Blood Lead Concertation; BEI, Biological Exposure Index; BLL, Blood Lead Level; JBI, Joanna Briggs Institute; LMIC, Low and Middle-Income Countries; PbB, Lead concentrations in Blood; TLV, Threshold Limit Value; TWA, Time Weighted Average.

OR("battery factories"[Title/Abstract]))OR("battery industries"[Title/Abstract]))OR("battery industry"[Title/Abstract]))OR("battery manufacturing"[Title/Abstract]).

This title was registered on the Prospero database CRD42022322827.

#### **Research question**

What is the level of blood lead concentration among battery factory workers in low and middle-income countries?

#### Study selection

Initially, all articles were exported into Endnote version 9 software and checked for duplication. The duplicated articles were removed. Two independent authors, AT and TA, have reviewed the title and abstract. Three authors; AB, BME, and MB have scanned the abstracts and full documents. The disagreement was handled based on established article selection criteria.

#### Inclusion and exclusion criteria

All studies conducted in lower and middle-income countries since the 2000 year of publication were included. Because many industries in low and middle-income countries have expanded in recent years and this is why we have made this research the starting point. We used the World Bank country classification to categorize the economic level of countries (15). Those studies reported at least the mean and standard deviation of blood lead level published and unpublished gray literature in the English language was considered at the searching stage. Moreover, where the studies include community, children, and non-humans or animals were excluded from the systematic review and meta-analysis.

#### Screening and quality assessment

In the screening process, primary studies were reported without the outcome of the interest, and methodological problems were removed. However, all articles that don't access free without payment at screening time were accepted and assessed for final inclusion. Besides, studies with low quality as the pre-setted parameters were omitted. All included articles were critically appraised using the cross-sectional Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) quality assessment tool (16). The Joanna Briggs Institute's critical appraisal tools for quantitative studies contain appraisal criteria that address both the validity and reliability of a study. Two independent authors AT and TA assessed the quality of the study, methodological fitness, and finding validity. Specifically, in the inclusion criteria, the study subjects and settings, study design, study country, the validity and reliability of the exposure measurement, outcome measurement, and the objective and appropriate statistical analysis were critically appraised. With the team's joint discussions, the uncertainties were fixed. Publication bias was checked by funnel plot (subjectively) and by the construction of Begg tests (objectively). The outcome of Begg tests with a p > 0.05 was reported which means no publication bias.

#### Data extraction

Data were extracted using an excel sheet form prepared and used to extract data from the studies included for assessment of study quality. The outcome of interest (the mean and standard deviation of blood lead level) data extraction format consisted of the first author's name, the study year of publication, study country, study design, and sample size.

#### Statistical analysis

The meta-analysis was done using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis V.3 statistical software. The pooled estimate of the main outcome (mean difference) and a 95% CI, were reported for the main outcome. Each eligible study's characteristics were briefly described using a summary Table. The summary table mainly described the characteristics of the studies included and the main findings. Forest plots were used to present the meta-analysis results graphically. To see publication bias, a funnel plot and Begg tests were used. The presence of statistical heterogeneity was checked using the Chi-square test (Cochran Q test) at a  $p \leq 0.05$ . Heterogeneity between the studies in effect measures level by using  $I^2$  statistics, and we considered an  $I^2$ value >75% to be a significant heterogeneity indicator (17).

#### Results

#### Studies included

An electronic database search: In the PubMed database search we identified 113 articles and in the google scholar search, 4,780 records were identified, of which the first (most relevant) 500 titles and abstracts were assessed, and finally 22 articles were identified and included in the screening criteria. Generally, 135 articles were found from a different electronic database, and all articles were imported into Endnote version 9.0 for duplication screening and full document review. Of 135 articles, 43 full-text articles were selected for a detailed evaluation of full document review. Finally, 18 studies remained after screening



for inclusion-exclusion criteria and quality assessment. Figure 1 indicates the procedure of the article identification, screening, eligibility, and inclusion process.

## Study characteristics

The review included original studies with a total sample size of 2,736 lead-exposed works. All reviewed articles (18) were cross-sectional (10, 11, 18–33) study designs. Depending on the country distribution of the studies, nine studies were conducted in Iran (10, 18, 19, 21, 22, 25, 27, 28, 31), and six studies were from India (23, 24, 26, 30, 32, 33), 1 study from Egypt (20), 1 study from Tunisia (29), and 1 study from Bangladesh (11) lead battery manufacturing industries illustrated under Table 1.

#### Methods of exposure assessment

In all studies, exposure measurement methods were considered as primary inclusion criteria. Blood, urine, semen, air, bone mineral density, hair, and noise samples were taken from workers exposed to lead battery-manufacturing industries. All biological exposure index measurements (blood, urine, hair, semen, airborne, and bone) were extracted from the studies. However, except for the blood samples others were not used in all studies, therefore for this review only the blood lead levels exposure measurement were considered in all exposed workers (2,736).

# The pooled mean blood lead level of battery factory workers

The summary of the statistical pooled mean effect size and heterogeneity results is described in Figure 2. The overall pooled estimate of the mean blood lead level among battery factory workers in low and middle-income countries was 37.996  $\mu$ g/dl (95% CI: 30.68–45.30) with a *p*-value of 0.000. The  $I^2$  value is 99.839, which showed that about nearly all of the variance in observed effects reflects differences in true effect sizes. The value of  $I^2$  is 99.839% which is >75% (17), therefore, this study suggests that there is heterogeneity. Therefore, we used the random effect model for this systematic review and metaanalysis study.

## Subgroup analysis

The review found that the  $I^2 = 99.839\%$ . This indicates high heterogeneity, so we performed a subgroup analysis by

References	Country	Study design	Sample Size ( <i>n</i> )	Exposure Measurement method	Exposure level Mean and standardevation $[\mu(SD)]$
Patil et al. (32)	India	Cross-sectional	28	Blood	Pb-B( $\mu$ g/dL) = 53.63 ± 16.98
Patil et al. (33)	India	Cross-sectional	30	Blood	Pb-B( $\mu g/dL$ ) = 53.63 $\pm$ 16.98
Keramati et al. (18)	Iran	Cross-sectional	105	Blood	Pb-B( $\mu g/dL$ ) = 32.20 $\pm$ 13.70
Pourabdian et al. (31)	Iran	Cross-sectional	70	Blood	Pb-B( $\mu$ g/dL) = 36.54 ± 4.34
Taheri et al. (19)	Iran	Cross-sectional	142	Blood	Pb-B( $\mu g/dL$ ) = 7.59 $\pm$ 2.75
Raafat et al. (20)	Egypt	Cross-sectional	42	Blood	Pb-B( $\mu g/dL$ ) = 52.40 $\pm$ 5.78
Aminian et al. (21)	Iran	Cross-sectional	113	Blood	Pb-B(mg/dL) = $41.41 \pm 16.99$ .
Kianoush et al. (6)	Iran	Cross-sectional	112	Blood	Pb-B( $\mu g/dL$ ) = 39.89 $\pm$ 17.74
Ahmad et al. (11)	Bangladesh	Cross-sectional	118	Blood	Pb-B( $\mu g/dL$ ) = 65.25 $\pm$ 26.66
Kalahasthi et al. (23)	India	Cross-sectional	391	Blood	$Pb\text{-}B(\mu g/dL) = 27.60 \pm 11.40$
Chinde et al. (24)	India	Cross-sectional	200	Blood	Pb-B( $\mu g/dL$ ) = 30.10 ± 4.13
Sadeghi et al. (25)	Iran	Cross-sectional	44	Blood	Pb-B( $\mu g/dL$ ) = 26.57 $\pm$ 5.24
Ghanwat et al. (26)	India	Cross-sectional	43	Blood	Pb-B( $\mu g/dL$ ) = 59.93 $\pm$ 9.57
Dadpour et al. (10)	Iran	Cross-sectional	138	Blood	Pb-B( $\mu g/dL$ ) = 39.89 $\pm$ 17.74
Ghiasvand et al. (28)	Iran	Cross-sectional	609	Blood	Pb-B( $\mu g/dL$ ) = 37.85 $\pm$ 17.55
Sadeghniiat-Haghighi et al. (17)	Iran	Cross-sectional	425	Blood	Pb-B( $\mu g/dL$ ) = 34.70 $\pm$ 16.70
Nouioui et al. (29)	Tunisia	Cross-sectional	52	Blood	Pb-B( $\mu g/dL$ ) = 7.53 ± 2.71
Kumar et al. (30)	India	Cross-sectional	100	Blood	Pb-B( $\mu g/dL$ ) = 39.50 ± 31.90

TABLE 1 Description of the study characteristics included in the systematic review and meta-anlysis of blood lead levels among battery factories in LMIC, 2022 (n = 18).

	Number	Point	Standard		Lower	Upper							Tau	Standard		
odel	Studies	estimate		Variance	limit	limit	Z-value	P-value	Q-value	df (Q)	P-value	I-squared	Squared		Variance	Tau
xed	18	21.017	0.137	0.019	20.748	21.286	153.390	0.000	10552.494	17	0.000	99.839	247.998	157.732	24879.479	15.748
dom	18	37.996	3.733	13.934	30.680	45.312	10.179	0.000								

considering the study country and year of the study. Based on the subgroup analysis, the highest mean blood lead level was reported from Bangladesh (65.25  $\mu$ g/dl) followed by Egypt (52.40  $\mu$ g/dl). In addition, there was the highest BLL reported in the year 2006–2011 (43.21  $\mu$ g/dl) followed by 2016– 2020 (36.53  $\mu$ g/dl) in the subgroup analysis report (Figures 3, 4 and Table 2) depicts the subgroup analysis by country level and in a year of publication. In addition to subgroup analysis, we performed Meta-regression analysis by including 18 studies to identify factories for heterogeneity. However, there was no statistical significance value from the meta-regression model (Figure 5).

Figure 6 showed the forest plot and relative weight of the random effect model of the mean of blood lead intoxication among workers exposed to lead batteries in LMIC.

#### Publication bias

This systematic review and meta-analysis identified that the studies' effect sizes are normally distributed around above the center of a funnel plot illustrated in Figure 7. Each study's scatter plot was clustered near pooled mean (37.996  $\mu$ g/dl), suggesting no publication bias.

The publication bias was objectively assessed using Begg's and Egger's tests to rule out no small-study effects. The estimated bias coefficient (intercept) using Egger's regression test was 24.60 with a standard error of 7.33 and a *p*-value of 0.0053. Egger's test provided evidence of the presence of publication bias with small study effects reported because the *p*-value is >0.05. However, in Begg and Mazumdar rank correlation test Kendall's tau continuity correction the *p*-value for 2-tailed is 0.47. This implies there is the absence of publication bias with small

Group by	Study name			Statistics	for each	study				Me	an and 95%	b CI	
country			Standard		Lower	Upper							
		Mean	error	Variance			Z-Value	p-Value					
Bangladesh	Ahmad, S., et al, 2014	65.250	2.454	6.023	60.440	70.060	26.587	0.000		1	1	1	
Bangladesh		65.250	2.454	6.023	60.440	70.060	26.587	0.000					
Egypy	Raafat, B. M., et al., 2012	52.400	0.892	0.795	50.652	54.148	58.753	0.000					
Egypy		52.400	0.892	0.795	50.652	54.148	58.753	0.000					
india	Patil, A. J., et al, 2006	53.630	3.209	10,297	47,341	59.919	16.713	0.000					-
ndia	Aran J, et al. 2007	53.630	3.100	9.611	47.554	59.706	17.299	0.000				-	
india	Kalahasthi, R. B., et al, 2014	27.600	0.577	0.332	26.470	28.730	47.873	0.000				1	
india	Chinde, S., et al, 2014	30.100	0.292	0.085	29.528	30.672	103.070	0.000				1	
india	Ghanwat, G. H., et al, 2016	59.930	1.459	2.130	57.070	62.790	41.065	0.000					+
india	Himani, et al. 2020	39.500	3.190	10.176	33.248	45.752	12.382	0.000				++-	
india		43.759	3.980	15.842	35.958	51.560	10.994	0.000					
Iran	Keramati, M.R., et al, 2010	32,200	1.337	1.788	29.580	34.820	24.084	0.000				+ *	
Iran	Pourabdian, S., et al, 2011	36.400	0.519	0.269	35.383	37.417	70.171	0.000			100		
Iran	Taheri, L., et al, 2012	7.590	0.231	0.053	7.138	8.042	32.889	0.000			1	100	
iran	Aminian, O., et al, 2013	41.410	1.598	2.555	38.277	44.543	25.909	0.000				+	
iran	Kianoush, S., et al. 2013	39.850	1.676	2.810	36.565	43.135	23.773	0.000				+	
iran	Sadeghi, H.R., et al, 2015	26.570	0.790	0.624	25.022	28.118	33.635	0.000				+	
iran	Dadpour, B., et al, 2016	39.890	1.676	2.810	36.605	43.175	23.797	0.000				+	
iran	Ghiasvand, M., et al. 2016	37.850	0.711	0.505	36.456	39.244	53.223	0.000				+	
ran	Sadeghniiat-Haghighi, K., et al, 2016	34,700	0.810	0.656	33.112	36.288	42.836	0.000				+	
iran		32,920	5.983	35.798	21.193	44.647	5.502	0.000					
Tunisia	Nouioui, M. A., et al, 2019	7.530	0.376	0.141	6.793	8.267	20.037	0.000			1		
Tunisia		7.530	0.376	0.141	6.793	8.267	20.037	0.000					
									-70.00	-35.00	0.00	35.00	70.00
GURE 3													

Study name			Statistics	for each	n study			Mean and 95% CI					
	Mean	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit		Z-Value	p-Value						
Taheri, L., et al, 2012	7.590	0.231	0.053	7.138	8.042	32.889	0.000	1		1			
Raafat, B. M., et al., 2012	52.400	0.892	0.795	50.652	54.148	58.753	0.000					•	
Aminian, O., et al, 2013	41.410	1.598	2.555	38.277	44.543	25.909	0.000				+		
Kianoush, S., et al, 2013	39.850	1.676	2.810	36.565	43.135	23.773	0.000				+		
Ahmad, S., et al, 2014	65.250	2.454	6.023	60.440	70.060	26.587	0.000					+	
Kalahasthi, R. B., et al, 2014	27.600	0.577	0.332	26.470	28.730	47.873	0.000				1	100	
Chinde, S., et al, 2014	30.100	0.292	0.085	29.528	30.672	103.070	0.000				1		
Sadeghi, H. R., et al, 2015	26.570	0.790	0.624	25.022	28.118	33.635	0.000				+		
	36.254	5.770	33.298	24.944	47.564	6.283	0.000				-		
								-70.00	-35.00	0.00	35.00	70.00	

study effects. Therefore, for medium study size and continuous outcome variables, Begg's test is recommended. So for estimating the mean blood lead level among lead battery factory workers in Low and Middle-Income countries were [(p = 0.0053) and (p = 0.47) for Egger's test and Begg's test respectively] (Figure 8).

# Discussion

Every person in a productive age group spent one-third of his/her life at the workplace (34). Understanding the level of

workplace exposure is a key issue to solving health problems raised in the workplace and from work activities, and to design appropriate prevention and control strategies, and improving the working conditions and working environments, which affect the health and safety of working populations. Scientific evidence is important to give directions to policymakers in the decisionmaking process. This systematic review and meta-analysis study identified the pooled mean blood lead level (37.996  $\mu$ g/dl) among workers exposed to battery factory workers in low and middle-income countries. This finding is higher than the American conference of governmental industrial hygiene 2022 threshold limit value (TLV) which is 200  $\mu$ g/L or 20  $\mu$ g/dl (13). This shows that those workers engaged in battery factories in

TABLE 2 Pooled mean of blood lead level, 95% CI, and heterogeneity estimate with a p-value and  $l^2$  for the subgroup analysis.

Category	A pooled point estimate of mean BLL(μg/dl) with 95% CI	<i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> ( <i>P</i> )
Bangladesh	65.25 (60.44-70.00)	0.00 (1.00)
Egypt	52.40 (50.65-54.15)	0.00 (1.00)
India	43.76 (35.96-0.51.56)	99.06 (0.00)
Iran	32.92 (21.19-44.64)	99.848 (0.00)
Tunisia	7.53 (6.79-8.27)	0.00 (1.00)
2006-2011	43.21 (35.91-50.51)	95.67 (0.00)
2012-2015	36.25 (24.90-47.56)	99.91 (0.00)
2016-2020	36.53 (19.44–53.62)	99.83 (0.00)
	Bangladesh Egypt India Iran Tunisia 2006–2011 2012–2015	estimate of mean BLL(μg/dl) with 95% CI   Bangladesh 65.25 (60.44–70.00)   Egypt 52.40 (50.65–54.15)   India 43.76 (35.96–0.51.56)   Iran 32.92 (21.19–44.64)   Tunisia 7.53 (6.79–8.27)   2006–2011 43.21 (35.91–50.51)   2012–2015 36.25 (24.90–47.56)

low and middle-income countries were exposed nearly two times above the international standard exposure limit value. Also, it is higher than a systematic review and meta-analysis conducted on the relationship between male reproductive and battery lead exposures[29.66 (95 % CI, 23.90–35.43)] (35) and nearly in line with a systematic review and meta-analysis conducted on the association of blood lead level exposure with markers of calcium homeostasis exposures [36.13 (95 % CI, 25.88–46.38)] (36). However, it is lower than the study conducted in Italy by lead battery storage workers [42.33  $\mu$ g/dl] (37). The reason why this study was higher than this may be that it was done in only one area.

Based on the subgroup analysis, the highest pooled mean blood lead level was reported from Bangladesh (65.25  $\mu$ g/dl) followed by Egypt (52.40  $\mu$ g/dl). It is higher than the study conducted in Italy and South Korea [42.30  $\mu$ g/dl, 32.00  $\mu$ g/dl], respectively (37, 38). This high blood lead level reported might indicate that the occupational health service, the workplace exposure prevention, and control strategy designed by the country, the provision of appropriate personal protective

Set	Covariate	Coefficient	Standard Error	95% Lower	95% Upper	Z-value	2-sided P-value	
	Intercept	1007.2357	2371.9585	-3641.7176	5656.1889	0.42	0.6711	
	country: Egypy	-13.7854	22.7236	-58.3229	30.7520	-0.61	0.5441	
country	country: India	-21.8284	17.3984	-55.9286	12.2719	-1.25	0.2096	0-7 70 df-4 p-0 0005
country	country: Iran	-32.5436	16.9246	-65.7153	0.6280	-1.92	0.0545	Q=7.79, df=4, p=0.0995
	country: Tunisia	-55.3814	23.3417	-101.1303	-9.6325	-2.37	0.0177	
	year	-0.4677	1.1777	-2.7760	1.8405	-0.40	0.6913	

Meta-Regression of the pooled mean BLL among lead battery factory workers in LMIC 2022 (n = 18).

Study name			Statistics	for eacl	study			Weight (	Random)		Mean and 95% CI			
	Mean	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit		Z-Value	p-Value	Relative weight	Relative weight					
atil, A. J., et al, 2006	53.630	3.209	10.297	47.341	59.919	16.713	0.000	5.41		1	T I	T .	1	+ 1
Aran J, et al, 2007	53.630	3.100	9.611	47.554	59.706	17.299	0.000	5.43					-	+
Ceramati, M. R., et al, 2010	32.200	1.337	1.788	29.580	34.820	24.084	0.000	5.58					+	
ourabdian, S., et al, 2011	36.400	0.519	0.269	35.383	37.417	70.171	0.000	5.61					+	
aheri, L., et al, 2012	7.590	0.231	0.053	7.138	8.042	32.889	0.000	5.61				- E		
taafat, B. M., et al., 2012	64.500	0.844	0.712	62.846	66.154	76.418	0.000	5.60				75.2		+
minian, O., et al, 2013	41.410	1.598	2.555	38.277	44.543	25.909	0.000	5.56					+	1
Cianoush, S., et al, 2013	39.850	1.676	2.810	36.565	43.135	23.773	0.000	5.56					+	
Ahmad, S., et al, 2014	65.250	2.454	6.023	60.440	70.060	26.587	0.000	5.49						-
Calahasthi, R. B., et al, 2014	27.600	0.577	0.332	26.470	28.730	47.873	0.000	5.60					- <b>+</b> -	
Chinde, S., et al, 2014	30.100	0.292	0.085	29.528	30.672	103.070	0.000	5.61					1	
adeghi, H. R., et al, 2015	26.570	0.790	0.624	25.022	28.118	33.635	0.000	5.60					+	122
Shanwat, G. H., et al, 2016	59.930	1.459	2.130	57.070	62.790	41.065	0.000	5.57						+
Dadpour, B., et al, 2016	39.890	1.676	2.810	36.605	43.175	23.797	0.000	5.56					+	
Shiasvand, M, et al, 2016	37.850	0.711	0.506	36.456	39.244	53.223	0.000	5.60					+	
adeghniiat-Haghighi, K., et al, 2016	34.700	0.810	0.656	33.112	36.288	42.836	0.000	5.60					+	
Nouioui, M. A., et al, 2019	7.530	0.376	0.141	6.793	8.267	20.037	0.000	5.61				- E C		
Iimani, et al, 2020	39.500	3.190	10.176	33.248	45.752	12.382	0.000	5.41					++-	
	38.687	3.964	15.713	30.918	46.456	9.760	0.000							
										-70.00	-35.00	0.00	35.00	70.00



Kendall's S statistic (P-Q)	-20.00000					
Kendall's tau without continuity correction						
Tau	-0.13072					
z-value for tau	0.75755					
P-value (1-tailed)	0.22436					
P-value (2-tailed)	0.44872					
Kendall's tau with continuity correction						
Tau	-0.12418					
z-value for tau	0.71968					
P-value (1-tailed)	0.23586					
P-value (2-tailed)	0.47172					

equipment, and its utilization by workers may be low than in developed countries.

In the year subgroup analysis there is a high BLL reported in the year 2006–2011 (43.21  $\mu$ g/dl) which is higher than the study conducted in among workers engaged in Turkeye lead battery factory workers (36.83  $\mu$ g/dl) (39) and the result between the

year 2016–2020 is 36.53  $\mu$ g/dl, it is lower than study conducted in Pakistan 60.45  $\mu$ g/dl (40). This indicates that the awareness of workers and employers on the prevention and control of workplace exposure somewhat improved compared to the current year with previous ones. However, still, the blood lead exposure level is above the international standard limit value. This showed that the provision of occupational health and safety services, labor inspection services, and the implementation of national and international legislation and standards is very low. Therefore, the government, international labor organizations, employers, workers' trade unions or representatives, and other stakeholders should be given attention to workplace health and safety to improve the health of the working population in low and middle-income countries.

# Strengths and limitations

The strength of the review was:

• The outcome of interest (the mean blood lead level) was measured by using biological exposure indexes (BEI) other than self-reported data.

The limitation of this review was:

- It is only focused on articles published in the English language
- Only assessed limited electronic databases
- Only focuses on one study arm (not a comparative study).

## Conclusion

This systematic review and meta-analysis showed that the pooled mean blood lead level of workers exposed to lead battery factories in low and middle-income countries was nearly two times above the international threshold limit value. Therefore, attention should be given by policymakers to improving the provision of occupational health and safety services at the workplace. Employers also should be working to apply appropriate control strategies to improve the health and safety of their workers. Researchers should work on workplace exposurerelated problems to provide further findings for prevention and control mechanisms. Generally, workplace health and safety improvement is needed among workers exposed to lead battery factories in low and middle-income countries by

## References

1. Staudinger KC, Roth VS. Occupational lead poisoning. Am Fam Physician. (1998) 57:719-26, 731-2.

2. Landrigan PJ, Silbergeld E, Froines JR, Pfeffer RM. Lead in the modern workplace. (1990) 80:907–8. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.80. 8.907

3. Tchounwou PB, Yedjou CG, Patlolla AK, Sutton DJ. Heavy metal toxicity and the environment. In: Luch A, editor. *Molecular, Clinical and Environmental Toxicology: Volume 3: Environmental Toxicology.* Basel: Springer Basel (2012). p. 133–64. doi: 10.1007/978-3-7643-8340-4\_6 providing appropriate occupational health and safety services in this sector.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

#### Author contributions

AT, TA, and AK: participated in a developing the study design and protocol, literature review, selection of studies, quality assessment, data extraction, statistical analysis, interpretation of the data, and developing the initial drafts of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

#### Acknowledgments

We are thankful to the primary authors for their unreserved contributions to their study.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

4. Mohammad I, Mahdi A, Raviraja A, Najmul I, Iqbal A, Thuppil V, et al. Oxidative stress in painters exposed to low lead levels. *Arh Hig Rada Toksikol.* (2008) 59:161–9. doi: 10.2478/10004-1254-59-2008-1883

5. Bhagwat VR, Patil AJ, Patil JA, Sontakke AV. Occupational Lead Exposure and Liver Functions in Battery Manufacture Workers Around Kolhapur (Maharashtra). (2008) 1:2–9.

6. Kianoush S, Balali-Mood M, Mousavi SR, Shakeri MT, Dadpour B, Moradi V, et al. Clinical, toxicological, biochemical, and hematologic parameters in lead exposed workers of a car battery industry. *Iran J Med Sci.* (2013) 38:30–7.

7. Hernberg S. Lead poisoning in a historical perspective. *Am J Ind Med.* (2000) 38:244–54. doi: 10.1002/1097-0274(200009)38:3<244::aid-ajim3>3.0.co;2-f

8. Mañay N, Cousillas AZ, Alvarez C, Heller T. Lead contamination in Uruguay: the "La Teja" neighborhood case. *Rev Environ Contam Toxicol.* (2008) 195:93–115. doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-77030-7\_4

9. Testud F. Pathologie Toxique Professionnelle & Environnementale: Éditions Eska (2005).

10. Dadpour B, Afshari R, Mousavi SR, Kianoush S, Keramati MR, Moradi V, et al. Clinical and laboratory findings of lead hepatotoxicity in the workers of a car battery manufacturing factory. *Iran J Toxicol.* (2016) 10:1–6. doi: 10.32598/IJT.10.2.327.1

11. Ahmad S, Khan MH, Khandker S, Sarwar A, Yasmin N, Faruquee M, et al. Blood lead levels and health problems of lead acid battery workers in Bangladesh. *Sci World J.* (2014) 2014:974104. doi: 10.1155/2014/974104

12. Järup L. Hazards of heavy metal contamination. Br Med Bull. (2003) 68:167–82. doi: 10.1093/bmb/ldg032

13. Acgih. Threshold Limit Values (TLVs) and Biological Exposure Index (BEIs) for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents (2004).

14. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: the PRISMA statement. *Ann Intern Med.* (2009) 151:264– 9. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-151-4-200908180-00135

15. Hamadeh N, Rompaey CV, Metreau E. New World Bank Country Classifications by Income Level: 2021-2022 (2021).

16. Aromataris E, Munn ZJ. Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewer's Manual. The Joanna Briggs Institute (2017).

17. Higgins JP, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons (2019).

18. Keramati MR, Sadeghian MH, Mahdi M. Correlation between iron deficiency and lead intoxication in the workers of a car battery plant. *Internat J Hematolo Oncol.* (2010) 30:169–74.

19. Taheri L, Sadeghi M, Sanei H, Rabiei K, Arabzadeh S, Sarrafzadegan N. Effects of occupational exposure to lead on left ventricular echocardio graphic variables. *ARYA Atheroscler*. (2012) 8:130–5.

20. Raafat BM, Hassan NS, Aziz SW. Bone mineral density (BMD) and osteoporosis risk factor in Egyptian male and female battery manufacturing workers. *Toxicol Ind Health.* (2012) 28:245–52. doi: 10.1177/0748233711410912

21. Aminian O, Chavoshi F, Bahaedini LS, Soltani S, Najarkolaei FR. Relationship between blood lead level and male reproductive hormones in male lead exposed workers of a battery factory: a cross-sectional study. *Iran J Reprod Med.* (2013) 11:673–6.

22. Kianoush S, Balali-Mood M, Mousavi SR, Shakeri MT, Dadpour B, Moradi V, et al. Clinical, toxicological, biochemical, and hematologic parameters in lead exposed workers of a car battery industry. *Iran J Med Sci.* (2013) 38:30–7.

23. Kalahasthi RB, Barman T, Rajmohan H. The relationship between blood lead levels and morbidities among workers employed in a factory manufacturing lead-acid storage battery. *Int J Environ Health Res.* (2014) 24:246–55. doi: 10.1080/09603123.2013.809702

24. Chinde S, Kumari M, Devi KR, Murty US, Rahman MF, Kumari SI, et al. Assessment of genotoxic effects of lead in occupationally exposed workers. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* (2014) 21:11469–80. doi: 10.1007/s11356-014-3128-9

25. Sadeghi HR, Ghomashlooyan M, Azami M, Jamshidian Ghalesefidi MJ. The relationship between blood lead level with iron status and hemopoietic parameters

in smoker and non-smoker workers at lead battery factory. Comp Clin Pathol. (2015) 24:1111-5. doi: 10.1007/s00580-014-2041-4

26. Ghanwat GH, Patil AJ, Patil JA, Kshirsagar MS, Sontakke A, Ayachit RK. Biochemical effects of lead exposure on oxidative stress and antioxidant status of battery manufacturing workers of Western Maharashtra, India. *J Basic Clin Physiol Pharmacol.* (2016) 27:141–6. doi: 10.1515/jbcpp-2015-0030

27. Sadeghniiat-Haghighi K, Yousefian M, Aminian O, Najafi AJ. Association between blood lead level and sleep quality in lead-zinc factories in Zanjan: a cross-sectional study. *J Sleep Sci.* (2016) 1:18–22.

28. Ghiasvand M, Mohammadi S, Roth B, Ranjbar M. The Relationship between occupational exposure to lead and hearing loss in a cross-sectional survey of Iranian Workers. *Front Public Health.* (2016) 4:19. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2016.00019

29. Nouioui MA, Araoud M, Milliand ML, Bessueille-Barbier F, Amira D, Ayouni-Derouiche L, et al. Biomonitoring chronic lead exposure among battery manufacturing workers in Tunisia. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* (2019) 26:7980–93. doi: 10.1007/s11356-019-04209-y

30. Kumar R, Ansari JA, Mahdi AA, Sharma D, Karunanand B, Datta SK. Blood lead levels in occupationally exposed workers involved in battery factories of Delhi-NCR region: effect on vitamin D and calcium metabolism. *Indian J Clin Biochem*. (2020) 35:80–7. doi: 10.1007/s12291-018-0797-z

31. Pourabdian S, EIZADI MN, Golshiri P, Amini F. The Relationship Between Blood Lead Level and Neuro-Psychological and Hematological Findings in Lead-Exposed Workers of Battery Industry. *Iranian J Toxicology*. (2011) 5:521–6.

32. Patil AJ, Bhagwat VR, Patil JA, Dongre NN, Ambekar JG, Jailkhani R, et al. Effect of lead (Pb) exposure on the activity of superoxide dismutase and catalase in battery manufacturing workers (BMW) of Western Maharashtra (India) with reference to heme biosynthesis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2006) 3:329–37. doi: 10.3390/ijerph2006030041

33. Patil AJ, Bhagwat VR, Patil JA, Dongre NN, Ambekar JG, Das KK. Occupational lead exposure in battery manufacturing workers, silver jewelry workers, and spray painters in western Maharashtra (India): effect on liver and kidney function. J Basic Clin Physiol Pharmacol. (2007) 18:87–100. doi: 10.1515/JBCPP.2007.18.2.87

34. Organization IL. Hours of work (industry) convention, 1919 (NO. 1). ILO International 357 Labour Office. Geneva (1919).

35. Balachandar R, Bagepally BS, Kalahasthi R, Haridoss M. Blood lead levels and male reproductive hormones: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Toxicology.* (2020) 443:152574. doi: 10.1016/j.tox.2020.152574

36. Upadhyay K, Viramgami A, Bagepally BS, Balachandar R. Association between blood lead levels and markers of calcium homeostasis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:1850. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-05976-4

37. Fenga C, Cacciola A, Martino LB, Calderaro SR, Di Nola C, Verzera A, et al. Relationship of blood lead levels to blood pressure in exhaust battery storage workers. *Ind Health.* (2006) 44:304–9. doi: 10.2486/indhealth.

38. Schwartz BS, Lee B-K, Lee G-S, Stewart WF, Lee S-S, Hwang K-Y, et al. Associations of blood lead, dimercaptosuccinic acid-chelatable lead, and tibia lead with neurobehavioral test scores in South Korean lead workers. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2001) 153:453–64. doi: 10.1093/aje/153.5.453

39. Bagci C, Bozkurt A, Cakmak E, Can S, Cengiz B. Blood lead levels of the battery and exhaust workers and their pulmonary function tests. *Int J Clin Pract.* (2004) 58:568–72. doi: 10.1111/j.1368-5031.2004.00002.x

40. Basit S, Karim N, Munshi AB. Occupational lead toxicity in battery workers. Pak J Med Sci. (2015) 31:775–80. doi: 10.12669/pjms.314.7066