Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Francis Thaise A. Cimene, University of Science and Technology of Southern Philippines, Philippines

REVIEWED BY Karim A. Mohamed Al-Jashamy, SEGi University, Malaysia Cihad Dundar, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Turkey

*CORRESPONDENCE Ashenafi Berhanu ashenafiberhanu21@gmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Public Health Education and Promotion, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

RECEIVED 23 June 2022 ACCEPTED 17 October 2022 PUBLISHED 03 November 2022

CITATION

Berhanu A, Mengistu DA, Temesgen LM, Mulat S, Dirirsa G, Alemu FK, Mangasha AE, Gobena T and Geremew A (2022) Hand washing practice among public primary school children and associated factors in Harar town, eastern Ethiopia: An institution-based cross-sectional study. *Front. Public Health* 10:975507. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.975507

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Berhanu, Mengistu, Temesgen, Mulat, Dirirsa, Alemu, Mangasha, Gobena and Geremew. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Hand washing practice among public primary school children and associated factors in Harar town, eastern Ethiopia: An institution-based cross-sectional study

Ashenafi Berhanu ^(b) ^{1*}, Dechasa Adare Mengistu¹, Liku Muche Temesgen¹, Salie Mulat¹, Gebisa Dirirsa¹, Fekade Ketema Alemu¹, Adane Ermias Mangasha², Tesfaye Gobena¹ and Abraham Geremew¹

¹Department Environmental Health Sciences, College of Health and Medical Sciences, Haramaya University, Harar, Ethiopia, ²School of Public and Environmental Health, Hawassa University, Hawassa, Ethiopia

Background: Hand washing with soap and water reduces the risk of diarrheal episode by 28–48% and acute respiratory infection by 20–50%. However, there is limited evidence on hand washing practices among students in Eastern Ethiopia, particularly in Harari town. Therefore, this study aimed to determine hand washing practice among primary school students and associated factors in Harar town, Eastern Ethiopia.

Methods: An institution-based cross-sectional study was applied among 670 students in Harar town from June 1 to 30, 2021. A multi-stage sampling was employed; 6 out of 20 schools were selected through simple random sampling, while eligible children from each school was selected by probability proportional to size sampling method. Data were collected using a pre-tested questionnaire with a face-to-face interview technique and via observation. The data were analyzed using SPSS software version 23. Binary and mult-variable analysis were used to determine the association between factors and outcome variable. Finally, a p-value of < 0.05 was considered to declare a statistically significant association.

Results: A total of 670 participants were included in the study, of which 248 (37.0%) had washed their hands [95% CI: 33.3-40.06]. Being in grade 8 Adjusted Odd Ratio[AOR = 4.9; 95% Confidence Interval (CI): 2.28–10.52], living in an urban area [AOR = 3.49; 95% CI: 1.29–9.40], having role models (parents [AOR = 4.41; 95% CI: 1.79-10.86], teachers [AOR = 3.69; 95% CI: 1.39-8.81], and health professionals [AOR = 3.17, 95% CI: 1.17-8.63]), availability of hand washing facility [AOR = 3.62; 95% CI: 1.57-8.34], access to soap and water [AOR = 2.89; 95% CI: 1.39-5.98] and being membership of water sanitation and hygiene (WASH) club [AOR = 2.39; 95% CI: 1.41-4.03] were found to be significantly associated with hand washing practice.

Conclusions: The current study found that nearly a third of students practiced proper hand washing. Hand washing practice was influenced by students' grade level, residence, referents (role models for hand washing), presence of a hand washing facility, access to water and soap, and membership of WASH club. Therefore, the finding revealed that there is a need to improve hand-washing practices in schools by concerned agencies.

KEYWORDS

infectious disease, hand washing, practice, school-aged children, Ethiopia

Introduction

Handwashing with soap is a specific action of hand hygiene that involves the use of soap and water to physically remove dirt, organic material, and microorganisms from hands (1). It is recognized and accepted as a low-cost and effective technique for preventing communicable diseases (2). Research suggests that handwashing with soap can reduce diarrheal episodes by 28 to 47% and can reduce acute respiratory infections, such as pneumonia, by 20 to 50% (3–8). Handwashing can also limit disease outbreaks, such as cholera and Ebola, and reduce healthcare-associated infections by more than 50% (9). Moreover, Global Handwashing Day was dedicated to increasing awareness and understanding of the importance of hand washing with soap at a critical time as an effective and affordable way to prevent diseases (2).

School-aged children in developing countries do not usually engage in hand washing practice at critical times, such as after using the toilet, before eating, and before cooking the food (10, 11). In addition, due to the close proximity of children in schools and child care settings, there is a high risk of the spread of infectious diseases. However, less than 5% of the population in developing countries still practices hand washing (12). Therefore, to protect the health and well-being of children, it is important to prevent infectious diseases such as diarrhea, pneumonia, and other communicable diseases (13, 14). However, about 400 million children are infected with worms as a result of poor hand washing practices (15).

Furthermore, proper hand washing contributes to improve the learning and teaching processes by reducing absenteeism due to illness, for instance, about 45, 40, 35, 27, and 20% of school absenteeism is reported in China, Egypt, Kenya, Philippines, and Colombia, respectively (16). About 443 million school days are lost each year due to water-related illnesses, making it a leading factor in school absenteeism in the developing world (2). Although national initiatives through the school WASH program have been implemented to improve the hygiene status of schools and enhance the handwashing practices of students (17), more robust and better-designed interventions are needed to increase student hand washing practices.

Moreover, adequate water supply and hygiene conditions are low in many schools in Ethiopia. Besides these problems, there is limited evidence regarding handwashing among schoolchildren. Similarly, there is no regular supervision and adequate handwashing facilities in most schools, which can increase the risk of disease transmission. Besides these problems, there is limited evidence regarding handwashing practices in Ethiopia, including Harar town. Therefore, this study aimed to determine hand washing practice among primary school students and associated factors in Harar town, eastern Ethiopia.

Materials and methods

Study setting, design and period

An institution-based quantitative cross-sectional study was conducted in Harari regional state, Harar town, eastern Ethiopia from June 1 to June 30, 2021. The town is located in 526 km away, toward the east of Addis Ababa, the capital city of Ethiopia. Administratively, it is divided into 19 kebeles (the lowest administrative division in the country). The average temperature of the town is 23°C, with an estimated area of 334 square kilometers. According to the 2007 census of Ethiopia, the Harari region had a total estimated population of 183,415 people, of which 92,316 were men and 91,099 were women (18). In this town, there are 20 public primary schools. These public primary schools provide education for about 7,661 students in Harar town and nearby rural areas in the 2020/21 academic year.

Source and study population

All students registered in primary schools in Harar town in the 2021 academic year were a source population. All students

Abbreviations: AOR, adjusted odds ratio; COR, crude odds ratio; CDC, center of disease control; CSA, central statistics agency; MoE, ministry of education; MoH, ministry of health; SPSS, statistical software for social science; UNICEF, united nation children's emergency fund; WASH, water supply sanitation and hygiene; WHO, world health organization.

attending grades 5–8 in selected schools in Harar town during the 2021 academic year were study population.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

All students from grades 5–8 from selected public primary schools who were registered and attending class during data collection were included in the study. Students with speech and hearing impairments, learning disabilities, and those taking night classes were excluded from the study.

Sample size determination

The required sample size was calculated by using a single population proportion formula as follows by considering a previous prevalence of P = 28.1%, the proportion of primary school students who practice proper hand washing practices in Ethiopia (19).

$$n = \frac{(z\alpha/2)^2 * P(1-P)}{d^2}$$

Where *N* is the required minimum sample size, Z is the value at 95% confidence intervals (1.96), and the margin of error is 5%. A design effect of two was considered. By considering the non-response rate (10%), a total sample size of 682 participants were included in this study.

Sampling procedure and technique

A multi-stage sampling procedure was employed to select study participants. In the first stage, 6 out of 20 primary schools were selected through random sampling, while eligible children from each school was selected by probability proportional to size sampling method by using the following formula: $n_{i=} n * N_i/N$; n_i – required sample size from each grade, *n*-total calculated sample size, N_i – total number of students in each grade and *N*-total number of students of in 6 primary schools. Among 682 selected; 173, 192, 142, 60, 38 and 77 students were selected from Aboker, Ras Mekonnen, Model $N^\circ 1$, Shenkor, Kelad amba $N^\circ 1$ and Jegnoch school respectively). A list of students served as a sampling frame, and study participants were selected using a table of random numbers (Figure 1).

Data collection methods

A pre-tested questionnaire, a face-to-face interview technique, and an observational checklist were used to collect data. Prior to data collection, training was given for data collators on the objective, tools, ethics, and techniques of data collection for the study. The questionnaire was adapted from different literature (19–21) and the WHO survey of school WASH programs. The English version of the questionnaire was translated into Amharic and Afan Oromo (the local language of the study participants). The questionnaire consisted of five parts enquiring about students' hand washing practices and associated factors. The first part includes socio-demographic information about the students and parents. This part of the study consists of age, sex, grade, residence, educational level of parents, and occupation of parents. The second part is about knowledge and attitude. The third part is about social factors (such as important referents and sources of information). The fourth part is about personal factors and the fifth part is about facility-level factors (including availability and accessibility of soap, water, and hand-washing facilities).

Study variables

The dependent variable for this study was hand washing practice, while socio-demographic factors (such as age, gender, grade, residence, parents' educational status, and parents' occupation), knowledge and attitude, social factors (such as important referents (role models) and sources of information), personal factors, facility-level factors (such as availability and accessibility of soap and water), and facility-level factors (such as availability and accessibility of soap and water) were independent variables.

Operational definitions

Hand washing at a critical time: includes washing hands before preparing food, before having a meal, after eating, and after visiting the rest room (22).

To assess the level of hand washing practices, respondents were asked 7 questions, and those who scored mean or above on practice questions were considered to have proper practices, and those who scored less than mean were considered to have improper practices (19, 23, 24).

Hand washing knowledge was assessed based on 8 questions. Those who scored mean or above were classified as having good knowledge, and those who scored less than mean were classified as having poor knowledge (20, 21). To assess attitudes toward hand washing, respondents were asked 4 attitude questions with 5-scale Likert items. Those study participants who scored mean or above on the attitude questions were considered to have a positive attitude toward hand washing practice, and those who scored less than the mean were classified as having a negative attitude (23, 25).

Hygiene facility: School hygiene status was assessed by combining three hygiene facilities in schools (access to water, soap, and hand washing station). Schools that had all three



facilities were categorized as offering basic hygiene services, while those with only two facilities (hand washing station with water but no soap available) were categorized as offering limited services, and schools without any hand washing stations or water at the time of data collection were categorized as offering no hygiene services (26).

Data quality control

Before data collection, the tool was pre-tested on 5% of the sample in Sabian primary school in Dire Dawa

to check its validity. Based on the findings from the pretest, the data collection tool was revised, edited, and the necessary corrections were made before actual data collection. Either the Amharic and Afan Oromo version of the questionnaire was interviewed based on the preference of the respondents. Two-day training was given for data collectors and supervisors on the objectives, confidentiality of Information, and data collection techniques of the study. Follow-up and supervision of data collectors was done during data collection. The filled questionnaire was checked for completeness and consistency of response by two trained supervisors on a daily basis.

Data processing and analysis

The data was coded, edited, cleaned, and entered into Epi-Data 4.6.1, then exported to SPSS 23 for data analysis. A descriptive statistical analysis was used to describe the characteristics of study participants using frequency and tables. Crude and adjusted odds ratios were computed using logistic regression to identify the association between outcome variable and factors. The model's goodness of fit was checked by using the Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic test. Multicollinearity among independent variables was checked using the variance inflation factor and tolerance test. The odds ratio and 95% confidence interval were used to determine the direction and strength of the statistical association. Finally, a *p*-value < 0.05 was considered to declare statistically significant association.

Results

Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents

Out of 682 study participants, 670 participated in this study with a response rate of 98.3%. Of the total participants, 185 (27.6%), 149 (22.2), 192 (28.7%) and 144 (21.5%) were from grade 5, grade 6, grade 7 and grade 8 respectively. Furthermore, more than 381 (56.9%) study participants were females and majority 555 (82.7%) were urban residents. The mean age of the study participants was 14.05 years (SD = 1.70) (Table 1).

Hand washing practice among students

Of total study participants, nearly a third 248 (37.0%, 95% CI (33.3–40.06), had good hand washing practice. The majority (85.1%) of students had washed their hands in the morning of interview day. Among total respondents, 349 (52.1%) and 193 (28.3%) had used only plain water and water with soap to wash their hands, respectively. About 351 (52.4%) and 151 (22.5%) spent less than 20 seconds for washing their hands at a time and didn't know how long to wash their hands at a time, respectively (Table 2).

Students' knowledge and attitude about hand washing practice

The current study revealed that more than half, 413 (61.6%) of students had good knowledge about hand washing practice. Furthermore, about two-thirds 446 (66.6%) of students had a positive attitude (Table 3).

TABLE 1 Socio-demographic characteristics of primary school
children in Harar town, eastern Ethiopia $2021(n = 670)$.

Variables	Categories	Frequency	Percent	
Grade	Grade 5	185	27.6	
	Grade 6	149	22.2	
	Grade 7	192	28.7	
	Grade 8	144	21.5	
Age	<14	264	39.4	
	≥ 14	406	60.6	
Sex	Male	289	43.1	
	Female	381	56.9	
Residence	Rural	117	17.3	
	Urban	555	82.7	
Mothers education	No formal education	119	17.8	
	Read and write	133	19.9	
	Grade 1–8	229	34.2	
	Grade 9–12	85	12.7	
	>Grade 12	104	15.5	
Mothers occupation	House wife	288	43.0	
	Non-house wife*	382	57.0	
Fathers education	No formal education	58	8.7	
	Read and write	123	18.4	
	Grade 1–8	181	27.0	
	Grade 9–12	119	17.8	
	>Grade 12	189	28.2	
Fathers occupation	Farmer	105	15.7	
	Non farmer*	565	84.3	

*Government Employed, Private employed, Merchant, Laborer.

Personal level factors about hand washing practice

The majority 516 (77.0%) of the study participants had reported that the benefit of hand washing is for disease prevention, while 17 (2.5%), 54 (8.1%) 83 (12.4%) mentioned that as they wash their hands to remove bad smell, to remove dirties and to clean hands respectively. Moreover, forgetfulness is the main reported reason for not washing their hands (Figure 2).

Availability of hand washing facilities

Based on the observational finding, five out of six schools had hand washing facilities in their compound within walking distance. About four schools had provided water, but none of the schools had soap for hand washing. Regarding school hygiene facilities, only five schools fulfilled criteria for limited hygiene facilities, but none of the schools fulfilled criteria for basic hygiene facilities (Table 4).

Variables	ariables Categories		Percent	
Have you washed your	Yes	570	85.1	
hands in the last 12 hours				
	No	100	14.9	
Usual hand washing time	Before meal	344	51.3	
	After meal	154	23.0	
	After work	52	7.8	
	After play	31	4.6	
	After toilet	89	13.3	
Item used for hand	Water only	349	52.1	
washing ($n = 570$)				
	Water and soap	193	28.8	
	Water with ash	20	2.7	
More practiced to wash	Water only	445	66.4	
hand in the family				
	Soap and water	215	32.1	
	Water and ash	10	1.5	
Duration of hand	<20 S	351	52.4	
washing at a time				
	20 S-1 min	162	25.1	
	Don't know	151	22.5	
How often do wash hand with soap before meal	Always	168	25.1	
with soap before mean	Very often	78	11.6	
	Often	350	52.2	
	Sometimes	69	10.3	
How often do wash hand	Always	84	12.5	
with soap after toilet	Always	04	12.5	
	Very often	66	9.9	
	Often	377	56.3	
	Sometimes	125	18.7	
Proper hand washing practice	Yes	248	37.0	
•	No	422	63.0	

TABLE 2 Hand washing practice of primary school children in Harar town, eastern Ethiopia 2021(n = 670).

TABLE 3 Students' knowledge and attitude about hand washing practice in Harar town, eastern Ethiopia 2021(n = 670).

Variables	Categories	Frequency	Percent
Do human feces contain germs	Yes	590	88.1
5	No	80	11.9
All clean objects are free	Yes	411	61.3
from germ			
	No	259	38.7
Human urine contain	Yes	557	83.1
germ			
	No	113	16.9
Germs can be acquired	Yes	502	74.9
when desks, doors books			
and animals are touched			
	No	168	25.1
Poor hand washing cause	Yes	610	91.0
disease			
	No	60	9.0
Water only enough for	Yes	147	21.9
hand washing			
	No	523	78.1
Hand washing with soap	Yes	537	80.1
needed after sneezing			
	No	133	19.9
Failure to wash hand	Yes	615	91.8
transmit infectious			
disease			
	No	55	8.2
Overall knowledge	Good	413	61.6
TC 1 1 1	Poor	257	38.40
If you wash your hands	Yes	508	75.80
really well with water you don't need to use			
soap	No	162	24.20
You only need to wash	Yes	450	67.20
your hands with soap if	103	450	07.20
they look dirty or smell			
bad			
	No	220	32.80
Young infant's feces do	Yes	500	74.60
not contain germs			
Ū.	No	170	25.40
Washing your hands	Yes	481	71.80
with soap before feeding			
is important only if you			
use your hands to feed			
	No	189	28.20
Overall attitude	Positive	446	66.60
	Negative	224	33.40

Social level factors (information level factors) of hand washing practice

Regarding information about hand washing, nearly threequarter (73.6%) of students reported that they had heard information from television, which is the main source of information mentioned by students. Furthermore, 292 (43.6%) study participants reported that parents were their role models to wash hands soap (Table 5).



Factors associated with hand washing practice

A bivariate logistic regression analysis identified a significant association between the proper hand washing practice and grade of the respondents, sex, residence, educational level of mother, having referents (role model person) as parents, teachers, and health professionals, presence of a hand washing facility in a student's home, availability of water and soap for hand washing at home, and availability of a WASH club in school; being a member of the WASH club, and knowledge of participants.

The multi-variable regression shows that the odds of hand washing practices among students in grade eight were 4.9 times higher compared to grade five (AOR = 4.9, 95% CI: 2.28–10.52). Place of residence is a significant predictor of proper hand washing practice, whereby the odds of hand washing practice among students of urban residents was 3.5 times higher compared to their counterparts [AOR = 3.49, 95% CI: 1.29–9.40]. Respondents whose referents were parents, teachers, and health professionals were more likely to practice proper hand washing compared to those whose referents were friends [AOR = 4.41, 95% CI: 1.79–10.86], [AOR = 3.69, 95% CI: 1.39–8.81] and [AOR = 3.17, 95% CI: 1.17–8.63], respectively.

The findings of our study revealed that the odds of hand washing practice were 3.62 times higher among students who had a hand washing facility in their home compared to their counterparts [AOR = 3.62, 95% CI: 1.57-8.34].Regular access to soap and water at a student's home is also significantly associated with proper hand washing practice, whereby students who had access to soap and water at home were about 2.9 times more likely to practice proper hand washing [AOR 2.89, 95% CI: 1.39-5.98]. Students who were members of the WASH club had 2.4 times higher chances of proper hand washing practice compared to their counterparts [AOR = 2.39, 95% CI: 1.41-4.03] (Table 6).

Discussion

Hand washing is remarkably essential for controlling infections, and schools are considered the right place to initiate this practice, starting from in childhood. The result of this study revealed that nearly thirds (37.0% [95% CI: 33.3–40.06]) of students practiced proper hand washing in primary schools in Harar Town, eastern Ethiopia.

The finding of our study was a bit higher than other studies conducted in Wolaita zone, Ethiopia, which was (28.1%) (19), Arbaminch town, Ethiopia, which was (22.3%) (20) and Sebata town, Ethiopia, which was (32.8%) (21). The finding from our study was in line with a study conducted in Colombia, which found that (33.6%) (27). However, it was a slightly lower proportion compared to studies conducted in India, which was (44.19%) (28) and in Wuhan, China, which was 42.05% (29). The possible reasons for this variation in proportion might be the results of socio-demographic, economic, and behavioral differences; increased access to hand washing facilities both in schools and homes; and many promotion activities and facility provision regarding the effectiveness of hand washing in COVID-19 prevention in the study area.

Findings from our study indicated that students in grade eight were 4.9 times more likely to practice proper hand washing practices compared to students in grade five. This finding was agreed with other studies conducted in Ethiopia (19, 21).The possible reason for this may be the fact that students could learn as their age is increasing and are more likely to practice what they learned. Higher grades have a positive impact on students' hand washing practice. The result from our study found that urban students were 3.5 times more likely to practice hand washing compared to students from rural residences. This was consistent with studies conducted in Ethiopia and Bangladesh (19, 20, 30) indicated that students

Variable	Categories	Frequency	Percent	
s there hand washing Yes		5	83.30	
facility in school?				
2	No	1	16.70	
Location of hand	Next to latrines	2	33.33	
washing facilities?				
C C	Within walking	4	66.66	
	distance			
What kind of facility?	Reservoir and faucet	5	100.00	
	(piped)			
	Bucket (hand poured)	0		
Is water available for	Yes	4	66.6	
hand washing?				
~	No	2	33.33	
Does hand washing	Yes	0		
facility have soap near				
to it?				
	No	6	100.00	
Does the school have	Yes	349	52.10	
Hygiene and sanitation				
club?				
	No	321	47.90	
Are you member of	Yes	172	25.70	
wash club?				
	No	177	26.40	
Does the school	Yes	303	45.20	
celebrated hand				
washing day?				
	No	367	54.80	
Does school have any	Yes	4	66.66	
reminder for students				
to wash their hands?				
	No	2	33.33	
Is there any hand	Yes	3	50.00	
promotion activities?				
	No	3	50.00	
School hygiene facility	Basic	0		
	Limited	5	83.30	
	No Facility	1		

TABLE 4 Availability of hand washing facilities in primary schools in Harar town, eastern Ethiopia 2021.

hand washing. This may be due to behavioral differences among rural and urban residents and also to inadequate provision of facilities like water and soap. The presence of hand washing facilities and household level status issues is also agreed with studies conducted in other countries (19, 20). However, WHO recommends that both rural and urban settings should be targeted for hand washing practice intervention with similar emphasis.

from urban residences were more likely to practice proper

TABLE 5	Social level factors (information level factors) of children in
primary	school in Harar town, eastern Ethiopia $2021(n = 670)$.

Variable	ariable Response		Percent	
Sources of	Television	493	73.6	
information				
	Radio	86	12.8	
	Leaflets	17	2.5	
	News papers	24	3.6	
	Mini-media	25	3.7	
	Others	25	3.7	
Referents for hand	Parents	292	43.6	
washing				
	Teachers	138	20.6	
	Health	128	19.1	
	professionals			
	Friends	112	16.7	

In our study, students' having a referent (role model) as parents, teachers, or health professionals for hand washing was significantly associated with hand washing practice, whereby students whose referents were parents were 4.41 times, teachers were 3.69 times and health professionals were 3.17 more likely to practice proper hand washing compared to friends. This finding is similar to the findings of other studies conducted in Ethiopia and Indonesia (19, 20, 31). This could be due to the close monitoring of students by health professionals, parents, and teachers as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic.

The findings of our study showed that having a hand washing facility was found to be statistically significant with hand washing practice, whereby respondents who had a hand washing facility in their home were 3.62 times more likely to practice hand washing compared to their counterparts. This finding is consistent with the findings of studies conducted in Ethiopia, Vietnam and Peru (19, 32, 33), which mentioned that having a hand washing facility triggers students to wash their hands. Similarly, the results of our study found that the availability of water and soap showed a statistically significant association, with those students who had access to water and soap at home being 2.9 times more likely to practice hand washing compared with those who had no access to water and soap for hand washing. This is consistent with studies conducted in Ethiopia, Bangladesh and Columbia (20, 27, 34), which reported that the presence of water and soap showed a strong statistical association with a higher prevalence of hand washing practice. This may be due to the fact that the availability of hand-washing facilities motivates the students to wash their hands at critical moments. However, hand washing with soap was listed as one of three key behaviors in the global water supply and sanitation assessment report of 2000 by WHO that are of greatest likely benefit to health (35). The results from

Variables	Response	Hand washing Practice		COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
		Proper	Improper			
Grade	Grade 5	34	151	1	1	
	Grade 6	48	101	2.11 (1.27-3.50)	1.16 (0.53–1.79)	0.707
	Grade 7	78	114	3.03 (1.89-4.86)	1.32 (0.67–2.60)	0.413
	Grade 8	88	56	6.97(4.23-11.51)	4.90 (2.28-10.52)	0.001
Sex	Male	94	195	1	1	
	Female	154	227	1.41 (1.02–1.93)	1.06 (0.63–1.79)	0.816
Residence	Rural	14	103	1	1	
	Urban	234	319	5.39 (3.01-9.67)	3.49 (1.29-9.40)	0.013
Mothers education	No formal education	32	87	1	1	
	Read and write	40	93	1.16 (0.68-2.02)	1.07 (0.69-4.00)	0.878
	Grade 1-8	91	93	1.79 (1.10-2.90)	1.66 (0.42-2.71)	0.257
	Grade 9-12	35	50	1.90 (1.05-3.44)	2.15 (0.98-4.67)	0.062
	> Grade 12	50	54	2.51 (1.44-1.40)	2.28 (0.960-5.45)	0.057
Referents	Parents	127	165	3.35 (1.96-5.65)	4.41 (1.79–10.86)	0.001
	Teachers	54	84	2.78 (1.55-4.99)	3.69 (1.39-8.81)	0.009
	Health Professionals	46	82	2.43 (1.33-4.41)	3.17 (1.17-8.63)	0.023
	Friends	21	91	1	1	
Presence of Hand washing station	Yes	223	247	6.32 (4.00-9.97)	3.62 (1.57-8.34)	0.002
	No	25	175	1	1.00	
Availability of soap and water	Yes	192	277	1.79 (1.25-2.57)	2.89 (1.39-5.98)	0.004
	No	56	145	1	1	
Availability of WASH Club	Yes	147	202	1.58 (1.15-2.17)	1.52 (0.12-1.87)	0.743
	No	101	220	1	1	
Membership of WASH club	Yes	92	80	2.84 (1.82-4.42)	2.39 (1.41-4.03)	0.001
	No	51	126	1	1	
Knowledge	Good	168	245	1.51 (1.09-2.10)	1.73 (0.98-3.05)	0.057
	Poor	80	177	1	1	

TABLE 6 Factors associated with hand washing practice among primary school students in Harar town, eastern Ethiopia 2021(n = 670).

COR: Crude Odds Ratio; AOR: Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval.

this study showed a low proportion of proper hand washing practice among primary students; this will increase the risk of the COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, adequate hygiene facility provision for school children should be given emphasis to limit the COVID-19 outbreak and communicable diseases.

Findings from our study showed that being a member of a water, sanitation, and hygiene club (WASH Club) was found to be a statistically significant association with hand washing with soap. Students who were members of the school WASH club were more likely to practice proper hand washing compared to their counterparts. This is similar to a study conducted in Zimbabwe (36). This may be due to students in the WASH club receiving some training pertaining to hygiene practices in general and hand washing practices in particular as a member of the club.

Limitation of the study

The limitation of this study was it might not indicate cause and effect relationship, due to the nature of cross-sectional study design. Moreover, there might be social desirability bias (over reporting of proper hand washing practice), since the finding was based on self-reported responses of study participants. Consistently, the results from this study may not be generalized to students in other districts or other cultural contexts.

Conclusions and recommendations

The current study found that nearly a third of students practiced proper hand washing. The study found a statistical association between hand washing practices and students' grade level, residence, referents (role models) for hand washing, the presence of a hand washing facility, access to water and soap, and membership of the school WASH club. Furthermore, the study found that access to soap and water is needed and was seen as a constraint to proper hand washing practice. Therefore, the current study revealed that there is a need to take appropriate actions, such as intervention to increase hand-washing practice and to protect students from communicable diseases. Hand washing practices in schools should be improved by the regional government, such as the education and health bureaus, through providing hand washing facilities in schools.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary files, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Haramaya University College of Health and Medical Sciences, Institutional Health Research Ethics Review Committee (Ref No. IHRERC/084/2021). Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

Author contributions

AB conceived the idea, collected the data, and played a major role. DM, LT, and SM contributed to data analysis, writing, and editing the document. AB, DM, LT, SM, GD, FA, AM, TG, and AG gave valuable ideas for the manuscript and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version to be published and agreed on all aspects of this work.

Funding

This work received fund for data collection from Haramaya University College of Health and Medical Science.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Haramaya University College of health and medical sciences school of graduate studies for giving us this opportunities and support to conduct this research. We would also like to extend our sincere thanks to Harari regional state education bureau for their great cooperation. We would like to extend my deepest appreciation to school directors for their cooperation during data collection. We are also grateful to data collectors, students and supervisors for their time.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. GHP. Global Handwashing Partinership. Handwashing-Handbook_FINAL.pdf. Available online at: https://globalhandwashing.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/ GHP_Handwashing-Handbook_FINAL.pdf

2. UNICEF. United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF). Geneva: Child Friendly Schools Manual: Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) in Schools (2012).

3. Curtis V, Cairncross S. Effect of washing hands with soap on diarrhoea risk in the community: a systematic review. *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2003) 3:275-81. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(03)00606-6

4. Ejemot RI, Ehiri JE. Meremikwu MM, Critchley JA. Hand washing for preventing diarrhoea. *Cochrane Datab Syst Rev.* (2008) 1:Cd004265. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004265.pub2

5. Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Ehiri JE, Arikpo D, Meremikwu MM, Critchley JA. Hand-washing promotion for preventing diarrhoea. *Cochrane Datab Syst Rev.* (2015) 1. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004265.pub3

6. Luby SP, Agboatwalla M, Feikin DR, Painter J, Billhimer W, Altaf A, et al. Effect of handwashing on child health: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* (2005) 366:225–33. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66912-7

7. Rabie T, Curtis V. Handwashing and risk of respiratory infections: a quantitative systematic review. *Trop Med Int Health.* (2006) 11:258-67. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3156.2006.01568.x

8. Townsend JL, Greenland K, Curtis V. Costs of diarrhoea and acute respiratory infection attributable to not handwashing: the cases of India and China. *Trop Med Int Health.* (2017) 22. doi: 10.1111/tmi. 12808

 WHO. World Health Organization & UNICEF. (2018a). Drinking water, sanitation, andhygiene in schools: Global baseline report 2018. Geneva: World Health Organization and UNICEF. Available online at: https://www.washdata.org/ sites/default/files/documents/reports/2018-11/JMP%20WASH%20in%20Schools %20WEB%20final.pdf. 2018. 10. Dhiraj B, Sanjaykr S, Aparajita D, Preeti PS, Amitavakumar DS. Quantification of perception status of hand washing practice among school children in a rural area of west bengal. *Appl Med Sci.* (2015) 3:1683–7.

11. Eshuchi R. Promoting handwashing with soap behaviour in Kenyan schools: learning from puppetry trials among primary school children in Kenya: Queensland University of Technology (2013).

12. Yallew WW, Terefe MW, Herchline TE, Sharma HR, Bitew BD, Kifle MW, et al. Assessment of water, sanitation, and hygiene practice and associated factors among people living with HIV/AIDS home based care services in Gondar city, Ethiopia. *BMC Public Health.* (2012) 12:1–10. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-1 2-1057

13. Sekhon H, Minhas SA. School based survey on hygiene in a rural area of Northern India. Int J Pharma Res Health Sci. (2014) 2:179-84.

14. WHO. Preventing diarrhoea through better water, sanitation and hygiene: exposures and impacts in low- and middle-income countries. (2014) 1–32. Available online at: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/150112/1/9789241564823_eng. pdf (accessed on 15 July 2016).

15. Nandrup-Bus I. Mandatory handwashing in elementary schools reduces absenteeism due to infectious illness among pupils: a pilot intervention study. *Am J Infect Control.* (2009) 37:820–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2009.06.012

16. Monse B, Benzian H, Naliponguit E, Belizario V, Schratz A, van Palenstein Helderman W. The fit for school health outcome study-a longitudinal survey to assess health impacts of an integrated school health programme in the Philippines. *BMC Public Health.* (2013) 13:1–10. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-13-256

17. MoE. National School Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (SWASH) Strategy and Implementation Action Plan. (2017).

18. CSA. Central Statistical Agency. Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey 2016. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, and Rockville, MA: CSA and ICF: 2016. Addis Ababa: CSA and ICF (2016).

19. Admasie A, Guluma A, Debebe A. Hand Washing Practice and Associated Factors among Primary School Children in Damot Woide Woreda of Wolaita Zone, South Ethiopia: A Cross-sectional study. (2020). doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-110596/v1

20. Besha B, Guche H. Assessment of hand washing practice and it's associated factors among first cycle primary school children in Arba Minch Town, Ethiopia, 2015. *Epidemiol Open Access*. (2016) 6. doi: 10.4172/2161-1165.1000247

21. Mekonnen M, Aga F, Kinati T, Shifera D. Assessment of hand washing practice and associated factors among primary school children in Sebeta town Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia. *Health Sci J.* (2018) 12:1–6. doi: 10.21767/1791-809X.1000605

22. CDC. Handwashing: Clean Hands save lives. Available online at: https://www.cdc.gov. 2016 (Retrieved 6th August, 2019).

23. Asekun-Olarinmoye EO, Olubukola O, Adebimpe Wasiu O, Asekun-Olarinmoye IO. Hand washing: knowledge, attitude and practice amongst mothers of under-five children in Osogbo, Osun State, Nigeria. *Hand.* (2014) 4:16.

24. Cheraghi Z, Okhovat B, Doosti Irani A, Talaei M, Ahmadnezhad E, Gooya MM, et al. Knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding food, and waterborne outbreak after massive diarrhea outbreak in Yazd Province, Iran, summer 2013. *Int Schol Res Notices*. (2014). doi: 10.1155/2014/403058

25. Dagne H, Bogale L, Borcha M, Tesfaye A, Dagnew B. Hand washing practice at critical times and its associated factors among mothers of under five children in Debark town, northwest Ethiopia, 2018. *Ital J Pediatr.* (2019) 45:1–7. doi: 10.1186/s13052-019-0713-z

26. UNICEF, WHO. Drinking water, sanitation and hygiene in schools:Global Baseline report (2018).

27. Lopez-Quintero C, Freeman P, Neumark Y. Hand washing among school children in Bogota, Colombia. *Am J Public Health.* (2009) 99:94–101. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2007.129759

28. Shrestha A, Angolkar M. Impact of health education on the knowledge and practice regarding personal hygiene among primary school children in urban area of Karnataka, India. *IOSR J Dental Med Sci.* (2014) 13:86–9. doi: 10.9790/0853-13478689

29. Chen X, Ran L, Liu Q, Hu Q, Du X, Tan X. Hand hygiene, mask-wearing behaviors and its associated factors during the COVID-19 epidemic: a cross-sectional study among primary school students in Wuhan, China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:2893. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17082893

30. RABBI S, Dey NC. Exploring the gap between hand washing knowledge and praactices in Bangladesh: across sectional comparative study. (2013). doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-13-89

31. Setyautami T, Sermsri S, J. C. Proper hand washing practices among elementary school students in Selat sub-district, Indonesia. *J Public Health Develop*. (2012) 10:2. Available online at: https://he01.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/AIHD-MU/article/view/2642

32. Oswald WE, Hunter GC, Lescano AG, Cabrera L, Leontsini E, Pan WK, et al. Direct observation of hygiene in a Peruvian shantytown: not enough handwashing and too little water. *Trop Med Int Health.* (2008) 13:1421-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3156.2008.02177.x

33. To KG, Lee JK, Nam YS, Trinh OT, Van Do D. Hand washing behavior and associated factors in Vietnam based on the multiple indicator cluster survey, 2010–2011. *Glob Health Action*. (2016) 9:29207. doi: 10.3402/gha.v9.29207

34. Alam SS, Haque A, Shipu TA, Ghosh S, Kabir RK, Rahman MN. Assessment of hand washing knowledge and practice among primary school children in Noakhali District, Bangladesh. *Am J Public Health.* (2020) 8:197–201. doi: 10.12691/ajphr-8-6-3

35. Aiello AE, Larson EL. What is the evidence for a causal link between hygiene and infections? *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2002) 2:103–10. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(02)00184-6

36. France N, Artwell K, Maude C, Macherera M, Ngwenya B. Predictors of hand hygiene behaviours among primary and secondary school children in a rural district setting in Zimbabwe: a cross-sectional epidemiologic study. (2020). doi: 10.2166/washdev.2020.126