#### Check for updates

## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yufeng Chen, Karolinska Institutet (KI), Sweden

#### REVIEWED BY

Zhoupeng Ren, Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research (CAS), China Erica D. Diminich, Stony Brook Medicine, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Peige Song peigesong@zju.edu.cn Jufen Liu liujufen@bjmu.edu.cn

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Aging and Public Health, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

RECEIVED 23 June 2022 ACCEPTED 08 September 2022 PUBLISHED 03 October 2022

#### CITATION

Ren Z, Sun W, Shan S, Hou L, Zhu S, Yi Q, Wu Y, Guo C, Liu J and Song P (2022) Risk of functional disability associated with solid fuel use and population impact of reducing indoor air pollution in China: A national cohort study. *Front. Public Health* 10:976614.

doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.976614

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Ren, Sun, Shan, Hou, Zhu, Yi, Wu, Guo, Liu and Song. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Risk of functional disability associated with solid fuel use and population impact of reducing indoor air pollution in China: A national cohort study

# Ziyang Ren<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Weidi Sun<sup>1,4</sup>, Shiyi Shan<sup>1</sup>, Leying Hou<sup>1</sup>, Siyu Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Qian Yi<sup>1</sup>, You Wu<sup>5,6</sup>, Chao Guo<sup>7,8</sup>, Jufen Liu<sup>2,3\*</sup> and Peige Song<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Public Health and Women's Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Institute of Reproductive and Child Health/Key Laboratory of Reproductive Health, National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China, Peking University, Beijing, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Peking University, Beijing, China, <sup>4</sup>Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>5</sup>School of Medicine, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, <sup>6</sup>Institute for Hospital Management, Tsinghua University, Shenzhen, China, <sup>7</sup>Institute of Population Research, Peking University, Beijing, China, <sup>8</sup>APEC Health Science Academy (HeSAY), Peking University, Beijing, China

**Background:** In China, numerous people still rely on solid fuel for household use. To date, the association between household solid fuel use and functional disability, and what benefit reducing household solid fuel usage could bring at the population level to China remain unclear.

**Method:** Data were from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study. Household fuel was classified as clean or solid for cooking or heating. Functional disability was defined as difficulties in any item of activities of daily living (ADL) or instrumental activities of daily living (IADL). The associations of household fuel use in 2011 and its transitions between 2011 and 2013 with subsequent ADL or IADL disability were assessed with Cox proportional-hazards models. The number of events prevented in a population (NEPP) was generated to estimate how many functionally disabled patients could be prevented by reducing solid fuel usage.

**Results:** A total of 6,216 and 9,716 participants without prior ADL or IADL disability in 2011 were included. Solid (vs. clean) fuel users were more likely to develop ADL and IADL disability, with hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of 1.37 ( $1.28 \sim 1.45$ ) and 1.38 ( $1.31 \sim 1.46$ ) for using both solid cooking and heating fuel. Furthermore, participants that switched heating fuel from solid to clean (vs. keep solid) were about 20% less likely to develop functional disability. Cooking fuel use switching from solid to clean (vs. keep solid) was also negatively associated with IADL disability (HR = 0.84, 95% CI 0.74~0.96). Over the next 7 years, raising clean fuel usage to 80% could prevent about 4.9 million ADL disability and 2.6 million IADL disability among Chinese aged 45 and older.

**Conclusion:** Household solid fuel use was a risk factor for functional disability. Reducing solid fuel usage could help reduce the burden of functional disability in the current aging society of China.

KEYWORDS

household fuel, solid fuel, indoor air pollution, functional disability, activity of daily living, instrumental activity of daily living

# Introduction

Population aging is now a significant global public health concern (1). Functional disability (FD), defined as limitations in activities of daily living (ADL) or instrumental activities of daily living (IADL), is significantly associated with aging and has reached a staggering proportion these years, with around 110 million individuals experiencing significant difficulties in functioning according to the World Health Survey (2–5). In recent years, it has also been discovered that air pollution can speed up aging and lead to FD (6, 7).

Indoor air pollution from the burning of household solid fuel for cooking and heating has received increasing attention recently (8–10). Although the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) seven issued by the United Nations calls for universal access to clean energy (11), there are still around 450 million people heavily relying on solid fuel for household requirements in China, especially the elderly and rural residents (12–14). Given the elderly typically spend the majority of their time indoors, their health is more likely to be impaired by prolonged exposure to indoor air pollution (15, 16).

Previous studies have found significant associations of solid fuel use with cognitive decline, visual impairment, and depression, all of which are risk factors for FD (17–19). Once functionally disabled, the elderly may spend more time indoors and be exposed to air pollution for longer, which may in turn aggravate their FD. Two cross-sectional studies have demonstrated associations of solid cooking fuel use with FD (20, 21). Wang et al. (22) also conducted a longitudinal study and found that using solid heating fuel was a risk factor for FD. However, limited studies have comprehensively investigated the longitudinal associations of household solid fuel use and its transition to cleaner with the occurrence and aggravation of FD.

To address this research gap, we hypothesize that long-term solid household fuel use is a risk factor for new-onset FD and that switching from solid to clean fuel can help prevent FD in middle-aged and elderly Chinese and conducted this study. Furthermore, we estimated how many potential FD cases could be prevented by abating indoor air pollution from household solid fuel.

# Method

## Study population

This study used data from the 2011–2018 China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS), a nationally representative survey of adults aged 45 years and older from 450 villages/urban communities across China using a multistage probability sampling method (23). The national baseline survey of the CHARLS was conducted in 2011, with follow-ups in 2013, 2015, and 2018. Information on sociodemographic characteristics and health status were collected in each wave. Ethical approval was granted by the Institutional Review Board at Peking University. Each respondent has signed the written informed consent.

We enrolled participants with complete information on household cooking and heating fuel use, ADL/IADL at baseline, and at least two observations of ADL/IADL in the 2013, 2015, and 2018 waves for trajectory analyses. To investigate the longterm association between household fuel use in 2011 and newonset ADL/IADL disability between 2011 and 2018, participants aged 45 years or older, with complete data on cooking and heating fuel use and covariates, and free of ADL/IADL disability at baseline were enrolled. To investigate the association of fuel use transition from 2011 to 2013 with subsequent new-onset ADL/IADL disability, we further excluded participants with incomplete information on cooking and heating fuel in 2013 or who had ADL/IADL disability before 2013 (Figure 1).

## FD assessment

In CHARLS, FD was assessed by ADL and IADL, which was derived from the participants' self-reported difficulty in the six ADL items (dressing, bathing, eating, getting into/out of bed, toileting, and controlling urination and defecation) and the five IADL items (meal preparation, shopping, doing housework, taking medicines and managing money) (2, 3). Each item had four answers, including "have no difficulty," "have difficulty but can still do," "have difficulty and need help," and "cannot do." Participants who reported difficulty in any of the six ADL items (or five IADL items) were defined as ADL/IADL disability.



Furthermore, ADL/IADL disability were scored at each wave corresponding to the number of ADL/IADL items participants reported difficulty in. Accordingly, the sum of scores ranges from 0 to 6 and 0 to 5 for ADL/IADL disability.

## Household fuel usage

The CHARLS asked participants "What is the main source of cooking fuel/ heating energy?" Cooking and heating fuel was classified into clean fuel (i.e., solar, natural gas, marsh gas, liquefied petroleum gas, or electric) and solid fuel (i.e., coal, crop residue, and wood) in 2011 and 2013 (12, 19). The cooking and heating fuel use was denoted as "Both clean," "Cooking clean and heating solid," "Cooking solid and heating clean," and "Both solid." We further named the cooking and heating fuel use as "Both clean," "1 solid," and "Both solid" in analysis on household fuel use transition from 2011 to 2013.

## Covariates

Information on age, sex (male, female), residence (urban, rural), education (less than primary school, primary school, middle school, high school or above), marital status (married or cohabiting, single; "single" included participants who were separated from spouses, divorced, widowed, or unmarried), smoking history (never smoking, ever smoking), drinking history (never drinking, ever drinking), and cognitive-related disorders (no, yes) was collected through face-to-face interviews at baseline. Household economic status was assessed by the natural logarithm of per capita expenditures [ln (PCE)] and was categorized as bottom, middle, and top tertilec (24, 25).

Physical measurements, medical history, and blood biomarkers were recorded at baseline. Body mass index (BMI) status was divided into normal weight (BMI < 24.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight (24 kg/m<sup>2</sup>  $\leq$  BMI < 28.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and obesity  $(BMI \ge 28.0 \text{ kg/m}^2)$ . Waist circumference (WC) was defined as continuous. Hypertension was defined as blood pressure≥ 140/90 mmHg and/or self-reported diagnosis or treatment (26). Diabetes was defined as fasting plasma glucose  $\geq$ 7.0 mmol/L, and/or random plasma glucose  $\geq$  11.1 mmol/L, and/or HbA1c  $\geq$  6.5%, and/or self-reported diagnosis or treatment (27). Dyslipidemia was defined as self-reported diagnosis or treatment, and/or total cholesterol (TC) ≥ 240 mg/dL, and/or low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) ≥ 160 mg/dL, and/or high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C)  $\leq$  40 mg/dL, and/or triglycerides (TG)  $\geq$  200 mg/dL (28). Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) and cognitive-related diseases were defined by self-reported diagnoses.

## Statistical analysis

The developmental trajectories of ADL/IADL disability scores from 2011 to 2018 were conducted by group-based trajectory modeling (GBTM), which can identify distinct groups of individuals with similar trajectories of a specific feature (29–31). Models were estimated with two to five groups and the best fitting model was selected with the lowest values of Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) (Supplementary Table 1). The Chi-square test was further adopted to compare the household fuel among different trajectory groups.

The baseline characteristics of included participants were described as medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs) for continuous variables, and frequency and percent (%) for categorical variables. Wilcoxon rank sum tests for continuous variables and Chi-square tests for categorical variables were utilized to calculate *P*-values.

The Cox proportional-hazards model was used to investigate the association [hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI)] of the cooking and heating fuel use in 2011 with new-onset ADL/IADL disability between 2011 and 2018 after adjusting for age, sex, residence, education, economic status, marital status, smoking history, drinking history, BMI status, WC, diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, CVDs, and cognitiverelated diseases in participants without ADL/IADL disability in 2011. The time to event was calculated as the intervals from the baseline survey dates (2011 to 2012) to the dates of the interview reporting an incident FD, death, loss of follow-up, or the end of follow-up (2013, 2015, or 2018), whichever came first. Mixed cooking and heating fuel use was further estimated using the floating absolute risk, which allows comparisons between any two exposure groups and can decrease undesired correlation between coefficients (32-34). Given the high usage of solid household fuel in rural areas, sex differences in exposure to solid fuel, and the pronounced hazards in the elderly, age-(<65 and  $\geq 65$ ), sex- (male and female), and residence-stratified (urban and rural) Cox proportional-hazards models were also conducted. To ensure the robustness of our results, sensitivity analysis was further conducted in individuals with no main chronic diseases. Considering that the time scales we used were not exact to a specific date, we also conducted a sensitivity analysis using logistic regression to verify the robustness of our conclusion. Furthermore, fully adjusted Cox proportionalhazard models and the floating absolute risk were also used to investigate the association of transition of household fuel use from 2011 to 2013 with subsequent new-onset ADL/IADL disability in participants without ADL/IADL disability in 2013, with time to event from the 2013 survey dates to the dates of the interview reporting an incident FD, death, loss of follow-up, or the end of follow-up (2015 or 2018), whichever came first.

Finally, we used the number of events prevented in a population (NEPP) to estimate the number of ADL/IADL disability cases that could be prevented over the next 7 years among the population aged 45 and above by reducing solid fuel. The NEPP describes the impact of interventions and can be used to estimate the incremental impact of moving from current to best practice (35, 36). The calculation formula is  $NEPP = n \times Id \times Pe \times r_u \times HRR$ , where n = population size of

aged 45 years and above, Id = incidence density of FD from 2011 to 2018, Pe = the proportion eligible for intervention,  $r_u$  = the risk of FD in the group using solid fuel, and HRR = the hazard ratio reduction associated with the intervention, which was calculated as  $(r_u - 1)/r_u$ . In order to reflect the incremental effect of changing from current to 'best' practice and to adjust for levels of compliance in the proportion eligible for intervention, Pe was calculated as  $(Pb - Pt) \times Pc$ , where Pt = the proportion currently intervened, Pb = the proportionthat would be intervened if best practice was adopted, and Pc = the proportion of the population who are adherent to their intervention. The data on population size of those aged 45 years and above (n) in 2020 were obtained from China Statistical Yearbook 2021 (37). Best practice intervention goals (Pb) were taken as 80% for clean fuel usage according to China's clean energy policy (38), and compliance with each intervention of clean fuel usage (Pc) was assumed to be 50%. Other indicators originated from our own study.

Reporting of this study was done in accordance with Strengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines. Analyses were performed using R statistical software version 4.1.2 (R Project for Statistical Computing) and STATA statistical software (version 15.0, STATA Corp). All analyses were two-sided, and a *P*-value of <0.05 or a 95% CI that did not cross 1.00 was considered statistically significant.

## Results

Eight thousand five hundred and seventy and 15,590 participants were included to identify the developmental trajectories of ADL/IADL disability scores between 2011 and 2018. The two distinct trajectories that best characterized the developmental courses of FD scores were finally selected and labeled as "Maintained low ADL/IADL score" and "Increasing ADL/IADL score" (Supplementary Figures 1, 2). Supplementary Tables 2, 3 show that solid fuel (vs. Clean) users were more likely to develop FD (all *P* Value < 0.001).

A total of 6,216 and 9,716 participants without prior ADL/IADL disability before 2011 were included, of whom 2,364 (38.0%) and 3,309 (34.1%) developed new-onset ADL/IADL disability during 2011 and 2018. The geographic distributions of the included participants are shown in Supplementary Figures 3, 4. The baseline characteristics of the included participants categorized by new-onset FD status are described in Supplementary Tables 4, 5.

The associations of household fuel used in 2011 with newonset FD between 2011 and 2018 are shown in Tables 1, 2. Solid (vs. clean) cooking fuel users were more likely to develop incident ADL/IADL disability, with fully adjusted HRs (95% CIs) of 1.14 ( $1.04 \sim 1.26$ ) and 1.27 ( $1.17 \sim 1.38$ ). Participants who used solid heating fuel (vs. clean) were also 1.28 and

#### TABLE 1 HR (95% CI) of fuel usage with new-onset ADL disability: Cox proportional-hazards model.

|                                 | Total<br>population<br>(N = 6,216) | Age-stratified                  |                            |                      | Sex-stratified     |                      |                      | Residence-stratified         |                              |                      |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
|                                 |                                    | Age < 65<br>( <i>N</i> = 4,417) | $Age \ge 65$ $(N = 1,799)$ | P for<br>interaction | Male $(N = 2,714)$ | Female $(N = 3,502)$ | P for<br>interaction | Rural<br>( <i>N</i> = 4,316) | Urban<br>( <i>N</i> = 1,900) | P for<br>interaction |
| Cooking fuel                    |                                    |                                 |                            | 0.806                |                    |                      | 0.365                |                              |                              | 0.126                |
| Clean                           | Reference                          | Reference                       | Reference                  |                      | Reference          | Reference            |                      | Reference                    | Reference                    |                      |
| Solid                           | 1.14 (1.04~1.26)                   | 1.12 (1.00~1.25)                | 1.16 (0.98~1.37)           |                      | 1.20 (1.03~1.40)   | 1.10 (0.98~1.25)     |                      | 1.09 (0.97~1.22)             | 1.24 (1.06~1.45)             |                      |
| Heating fuel                    |                                    |                                 |                            | 0.378                |                    |                      | 0.061                |                              |                              | 0.048                |
| Clean                           | Reference                          | Reference                       | Reference                  |                      | Reference          | Reference            |                      | Reference                    | Reference                    |                      |
| Solid                           | 1.28 (1.14~1.45)                   | 1.26 (1.09~1.46)                | 1.26 (1.03~1.56)           |                      | 1.50 (1.23~1.84)   | 1.17 (1.01~1.36)     |                      | 1.16 (0.99~1.37)             | 1.42 (1.19~1.70)             |                      |
| Cooking and heating fuel        |                                    |                                 |                            | 0.749                |                    |                      | 0.055                |                              |                              | 0.158                |
| Both clean                      | 1.00 (0.88~1.14)                   | 1.00 (0.86~1.17)                | 1.00 (0.80~1.25)           |                      | 1.00 (0.81~1.23)   | 1.00 (0.85~1.18)     |                      | 1.00 (0.83~1.21)             | 1.00 (0.85~1.18)             |                      |
| Cooking clean and heating solid | 1.25 (1.14~1.36)                   | 1.27 (1.14~1.41)                | 1.15 (0.98~1.34)           |                      | 1.29 (1.12~1.49)   | 1.22 (1.10~1.37)     |                      | 1.07 (0.95~1.20)             | 1.36 (1.19~1.55)             |                      |
| Cooking solid and heating clean | 1.04 (0.83~1.29)                   | 1.08 (0.82~1.41)                | 0.92 (0.64~1.33)           |                      | 0.68 (0.43~1.07)   | 1.22 (0.95~1.57)     |                      | 0.91 (0.70~1.18)             | 1.01 (0.68~1.51)             |                      |
| Both solid                      | 1.37 (1.28~1.45)                   | 1.33 (1.24~1.44)                | 1.33 (1.20~1.49)           |                      | 1.50 (1.37~1.65)   | 1.29 (1.19~1.39)     |                      | 1.16 (1.10~1.23)             | 1.57 (1.39~1.77)             |                      |

ADL, activities of daily living; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; HR was adjusted for age, sex (male or female), residence (urban or rural), education (less than primary school, primary school, middle school, or high school or above), economic status (poor, middle, or rich), marital status (married or cohabiting, or single), smoking history (never smoking or ever smoking), drinking history (never drinking), body mass index status (normal weight, overweight, or obesity), waist circumference, diabetes (yes or no), hypertension (yes or no), cardiovascular diseases (yes or no), and cognitive-related disorders (yes or no).

#### TABLE 2 HR (95% CI) of fuel usage with new-onset IADL disability: Cox proportional-hazards model.

|                                 | Total population $(N = 9716)$ | Age-stratified                 |                                 |                      | Sex-stratified     |                              |                      | <b>Residence-stratified</b> |                     |                      |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                                 |                               | Age < 65<br>( <i>N</i> = 7495) | Age $\geq 65$<br>( $N = 2221$ ) | P for<br>interaction | Male<br>(N = 5043) | Female<br>( <i>N</i> = 4673) | P for<br>interaction | Rural<br>( <i>N</i> = 6441) | Urban<br>(N = 3275) | P for<br>interaction |
| Cooking fuel                    |                               |                                |                                 | 0.021                |                    |                              | 0.338                |                             |                     | 0.079                |
| Clean                           | Reference                     | Reference                      | Reference                       |                      | Reference          | Reference                    |                      | Reference                   | Reference           |                      |
| Solid                           | 1.27 (1.17~1.38)              | 1.32 (1.20~1.45)               | 1.15 (0.99~1.34)                |                      | 1.30 (1.15~1.46)   | 1.25 (1.13~1.39)             |                      | 1.22 (1.10~1.34)            | 1.37 (1.19~1.56)    |                      |
| Heating fuel                    |                               |                                |                                 | 0.394                |                    |                              | 0.005                |                             |                     | 0.827                |
| Clean                           | Reference                     | Reference                      | Reference                       |                      | Reference          | Reference                    |                      | Reference                   | Reference           |                      |
| Solid                           | 1.21 (1.10~1.33)              | 1.23 (1.10~1.39)               | 1.17 (0.98~1.40)                |                      | 1.41 (1.21~1.65)   | 1.08 (0.95~1.23)             |                      | 1.21 (1.06~1.38)            | 1.20 (1.03~1.39)    |                      |
| Cooking and heating fuel        |                               |                                |                                 | 0.154                |                    |                              | 0.005                |                             |                     | 0.337                |
| Both clean                      | 1.00 (0.90~1.11)              | 1.00 (0.88~1.13)               | 1.00 (0.82~1.22)                |                      | 1.00 (0.85~1.18)   | 1.00 (0.87~1.15)             |                      | 1.00 (0.85~1.17)            | 1.00 (0.87~1.15)    |                      |
| Cooking clean and heating solid | 1.08 (1.00~1.16)              | 1.08 (0.99~1.18)               | 1.09 (0.95~1.25)                |                      | 1.17 (1.04~1.31)   | 1.01 (0.92~1.12)             |                      | 1.10 (1.00~1.22)            | 1.03 (0.91~1.16)    |                      |
| Cooking solid and heating clean | 1.15 (0.98~1.35)              | 1.18 (0.97~1.44)               | 1.05 (0.79~1.39)                |                      | 0.89 (0.67~1.18)   | 1.33 (1.09~1.62)             |                      | 1.13 (0.93~1.37)            | 1.16 (0.87~1.55)    |                      |
| Both solid                      | 1.38 (1.31~1.46)              | 1.43 (1.35~1.52)               | 1.26 (1.14~1.39)                |                      | 1.52 (1.40~1.64)   | 1.28 (1.20~1.37)             |                      | 1.34 (1.27~1.41)            | 1.46 (1.31~1.62)    |                      |

ADL, activities of daily living; IADL, instrumental activities of daily living; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval. HR was adjusted for age, sex (male or female), residence (urban or rural), education (less than primary school, primary school, middle school, or high school or above), economic status (poor, middle, or rich), marital status (married or cohabiting, or single), smoking history (never smoking or ever smoking), drinking history (never drinking or ever drinking), body mass index status (normal weight, overweight, or obesity), waist circumference, diabetes (yes or no), hypertension (yes or no), cardiovascular diseases (yes or no), and cognitive-related disorders (yes or no).



1.21 times more likely to develop new-onset ADL and IADL, respectively. For those using clean cooking fuel but solid heating fuel, the risks of ADL/IADL disability were elevated by 25% (HR = 1.25, 95% CI 1.14~1.36) and 8% (HR = 1.08, 95% CI 1.00~1.16). Furthermore, individuals who used both solid fuel (vs. both clean) demonstrated approximate 1.4 times higher risks of incident FD. In the age-, sex-, residence-stratified analyses, we found that the associations of fuel use with ADL were not modified by age and sex, while a stronger association between using solid heating fuel and ADL was observed among those who lived in urban area than that in rural area. We also found the associations of fuel use with IADL were not modified by residence, while stronger associations of using solid cooking fuel with IADL were observed among those who <65 than  $\geq 65$  and of using solid heating fuel with IADL among males than females. In the sensitivity analysis where we restricted to those without previous main chronic diseases (Supplementary Table 6), the associations were generally attenuated and turn to be nonstatistically significant compared to the results from primary analysis. In Supplementary Table 7, the logistic regression of fuel usage in 2011 with new-onset ADL and IADL disability from 2011 to 2018 showed similar results in line with our primary analysis.

Supplementary Figures 5, 6 presented the household fuel use transition from 2011 to 2013 in participants without ADL/IADL disability before 2013 and their follow-up status between 2013 and 2018. Baseline characteristics of these participants were also described in Supplementary Tables 8, 9. We found that heating fuel use switching from clean to solid (vs. keep clean) was significantly associated with incident ADL disability (HR = 1.49, 95% CI 1.14 $\sim$ 1.94). In contrast, individuals with the transition from heating solid to clean (vs. keep solid) were less likely to develop ADL disability (HR = 0.80, 95% CI 0.66~0.98). For cooking fuel usage, significant association was found of transition from solid to clean (vs. keep solid) with a decreased risk of new-onset ADL disability among urban residents (Figure 2; Supplementary Table 10). We also observed a significant association of household fuel usage switching from both clean to 1 solid and to both solid (vs. keep both clean) with new-onset ADL disability among those aged <65 years, with HRs (95%CIs) of 1.63 (1.05~2.53) and 3.53 (1.31~9.53). In terms of IADL, cooking fuel use switching from clean to solid (vs. keep clean), heating fuel usage switching from clean to solid (vs. keep clean), transition from both clean to 1 solid (vs. keep both clean), and from 1 solid to both solid (vs. keep 1 solid) were positively associated with incident IADL disability,



while cooking fuel usage switching from solid to clean (vs. keep solid), heating fuel usage switching from solid to clean (vs. keep solid), transition from both solid to 1 solid (vs. keep both solid), and from both solid to both clean (vs. keep both solid) were negatively associated with incident IADL disability (Figure 3; Supplementary Table 11).

Finally, the NEPP for incident FD are shown in Table 3. By increasing clean cooking fuel usage up to 80%, about 0.9 million aged <65, 0.9 million aged  $\geq$ 65, 1.3 million males, 0.6 million females, 0.4 million urban residents, 1.4 million rural residents, and a total of 1.8 million people aged 45 and older could be prevented from ADL disability over the next 7 years. In terms of heating fuel usage, up to 4.9 million cases of ADL disability would be prevented. Moreover, 2.2 million and 2.6 million patients with IADL disability could be prevented under the same goal for cooking and heating fuel usage. Even if the compliance with the intervention was reduced to 50%, the number of individuals able to be prevented could reach half of the ideal goal.

# Discussion

In this longitudinal population-based study, we found that exposure to solid cooking fuel, solid heating fuel, and both of them were positively associated with incident FD. We also found that cooking and heating fuel use switching from clean to solid was a risk factor for incident FD while switching from solid to clean was associated with decreased risks of FD. Additionally, our results showed that reducing solid cooking fuel usage could prevent about 1.8 million and 2.2 million patients aged 45 and older with ADL/IADL disability in the 7-year follow-up. As for reducing solid heating fuel usage, 4.9 million ADL disability and 2.6 million IADL disability could be prevented.

Our findings are in accordance with and extend results from previous studies of household solid fuel use in association with FD. Though several studies have investigated the association between solid fuel use and FD (20-22), their findings were limited by the cross-sectional study design or the incomplete definition of household fuel.

In households with little access to clean fuels, solid fuels are usually burned in inefficient combustion devices like traditional stoves, in which solid fuels are hard to fully burn (39). The incomplete combustion emits kinds of hazardous pollutants such as nitrogen dioxide, carbon monoxide, and volatile organic compounds (40, 41). Long-term exposure to these toxic pollutants, however, may increase inflammatory cytokines in systemic circulation and affect the central nervous system, leading to cognitive decline and depression (42, 43).

| Outcome | Stratified population | N /10 <sup>5</sup>    | Id /10 <sup>5</sup>   | Pb           | Pt             | Pc           | Ре             | ru    | HRR            | NEPP                      |  |  |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-------|----------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| ADL     | Cooking fuel          |                       |                       |              |                |              |                |       |                |                           |  |  |
|         | Age-stratified        |                       |                       |              |                |              |                |       |                |                           |  |  |
|         | <65                   | 4101.72907            | 8473.824              | 0.80         | 0.361          | 0.50         | 0.219          | 1.12  | 0.107          | 914765.837                |  |  |
|         | ≥65                   | 1906.3528             | 11634.349             | 0.80         | 0.313          | 0.50         | 0.243          | 1.16  | 0.138          | 863817.863                |  |  |
|         | ALL                   | 6008.082              | 9377.475              | 0.80         | 0.347          | 0.50         | 0.226          | 1.14  | 0.123          | 1784928.598               |  |  |
|         | Sex-stratified        |                       |                       |              |                |              |                |       |                |                           |  |  |
|         | Male                  | 2974.94668            | 9000.188              | 0.80         | 0.328          | 0.50         | 0.236          | 1.20  | 0.167          | 1264942.695               |  |  |
|         | Female                | 3033.13519            | 9651.401              | 0.80         | 0.363          | 0.50         | 0.219          | 1.10  | 0.091          | 639956.940                |  |  |
|         | All                   | 6008.082              | 9377.475              | 0.80         | 0.347          | 0.50         | 0.226          | 1.14  | 0.123          | 1784928.598               |  |  |
|         | Residence-stratifie   |                       |                       |              |                |              |                |       |                |                           |  |  |
|         | Rural                 | 2517.21544            | 22013.898             | 0.80         | 0.242          | 0.50         | 0.279          | 1.09  | 0.083          | 1390380.709               |  |  |
|         | Urban                 | 3490.8664             | 3909.220              | 0.80         | 0.586          | 0.50         | 0.107          | 1.24  | 0.194          | 350579.734                |  |  |
|         | All                   | 6008.082              | 9377.475              | 0.80         | 0.347          | 0.50         | 0.226          | 1.14  | 0.123          | 1784928.589               |  |  |
|         | Heating fuel          |                       |                       |              |                |              |                |       |                |                           |  |  |
|         | Age-stratified        |                       |                       |              |                |              |                |       |                |                           |  |  |
|         | <65                   | 4101.72907            | 8473.824              | 0.80         | 0.177          | 0.50         | 0.311          | 1.26  | 0.206          | 2813183.793               |  |  |
|         | ≥65                   | 1906.3528             | 11634.349             | 0.80         | 0.170          | 0.50         | 0.315          | 1.26  | 0.206          | 1816746.498               |  |  |
|         | All                   | 6008.082              | 9377.475              | 0.80         | 0.175          | 0.50         | 0.312          | 1.28  | 0.219          | 4927968.813               |  |  |
|         | Sex-stratified        |                       |                       |              |                |              |                |       |                |                           |  |  |
|         | Male                  | 2974.94668            | 9000.188              | 0.80         | 0.164          | 0.50         | 0.318          | 1.50  | 0.333          | 4258686.396               |  |  |
|         | Female                | 3033.13519            | 9651.401              | 0.80         | 0.184          | 0.50         | 0.308          | 1.17  | 0.145          | 1532542.950               |  |  |
|         | All                   | 6008.082              | 9377.475              | 0.80         | 0.175          | 0.50         | 0.312          | 1.28  | 0.219          | 4927968.813               |  |  |
|         | Residence-stratifie   |                       | 22012.000             | 0.00         | 0.114          | 0.50         | 0.040          | 1.1.6 | 0.120          | 2020005100                |  |  |
|         | Rural                 | 2517.21544            | 22013.898             | 0.80         | 0.114          | 0.50         | 0.343          | 1.16  | 0.138          | 3039897.168               |  |  |
|         | Urban                 | 3490.8664             | 3909.220              | 0.80         | 0.314          | 0.50         | 0.243          | 1.42  | 0.296          | 1393584.560               |  |  |
|         | All                   | 6008.082              | 9377.475              | 0.80         | 0.175          | 0.50         | 0.312          | 1.28  | 0.219          | 4927968.789               |  |  |
| IADL    | Cooking fuel          |                       |                       |              |                |              |                |       |                |                           |  |  |
|         | Age-stratified        | 4101 72007            | (015.000              | 0.00         | 0.421          | 0.50         | 0.100          | 1.22  | 0.242          | 1405017.000               |  |  |
|         | <65                   | 4101.72907            | 6015.909              | 0.80         | 0.421          | 0.50         | 0.189          | 1.32  | 0.242          | 1495817.808               |  |  |
|         | ≥65<br>All            | 1906.3528<br>6008.082 | 10035.143<br>6869.944 | 0.80<br>0.80 | 0.330<br>0.400 | 0.50<br>0.50 | 0.235<br>0.200 | 1.15  | 0.130<br>0.213 | 674944.430<br>2227714.687 |  |  |
|         | Sex-stratified        | 0008.082              | 0809.944              | 0.80         | 0.400          | 0.50         | 0.200          | 1.27  | 0.215          | 222//14.08/               |  |  |
|         | Male                  | 2974.94668            | 5687.722              | 0.80         | 0.398          | 0.50         | 0.201          | 1.30  | 0.231          | 1021574.153               |  |  |
|         | Female                | 3033.13519            | 8208.394              | 0.80         | 0.403          | 0.50         | 0.198          | 1.25  | 0.200          | 1235151.699               |  |  |
|         | All                   | 6008.082              | 6869.944              | 0.80         | 0.400          | 0.50         | 0.200          | 1.23  | 0.200          | 2227714.687               |  |  |
|         | Residence-stratifie   |                       | 0007.744              | 0.00         | 0.400          | 0.50         | 0.200          | 1.27  | 0.215          | 2227714.007               |  |  |
|         | Rural                 | 2517.21544            | 7481.164              | 0.80         | 0.286          | 0.50         | 0.257          | 1.22  | 0.180          | 1065519.839               |  |  |
|         | Urban                 | 3490.8664             | 5664.797              | 0.80         | 0.625          | 0.50         | 0.087          | 1.37  | 0.270          | 638684.062                |  |  |
|         | All                   | 6008.082              | 6869.944              | 0.80         | 0.400          | 0.50         | 0.200          | 1.27  | 0.213          | 2227714.676               |  |  |
|         | Heating fuel          | 00001002              | 00071711              | 0.00         | 01100          | 0100         | 01200          | 1127  | 01210          | 222,71110,0               |  |  |
|         | Age-stratified        |                       |                       |              |                |              |                |       |                |                           |  |  |
|         | <65                   | 4101.72907            | 6015.909              | 0.80         | 0.214          | 0.50         | 0.293          | 1.23  | 0.187          | 1662241.873               |  |  |
|         | ≥65                   | 1906.3528             | 10035.143             | 0.80         | 0.184          | 0.50         | 0.308          | 1.17  | 0.145          | 1001832.064               |  |  |
|         | All                   | 6008.082              | 6869.944              | 0.80         | 0.207          | 0.50         | 0.296          | 1.21  | 0.174          | 2568712.203               |  |  |

TABLE 3 Number of cases of ADL or IADL that could be prevented when prevalence levels of "clean fuel usage for up to 80%" in a Chinese population.

(Continued)

| Outcome | Stratified population | N /10 <sup>5</sup> | Id /10 <sup>5</sup> | Pb   | Pt    | Рс   | Ре    | ru   | HRR   | NEPP        |
|---------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|-------------|
|         | Sex-stratified        |                    |                     |      |       |      |       |      |       |             |
|         | Male                  | 2974.94668         | 5687.722            | 0.80 | 0.202 | 0.50 | 0.299 | 1.43 | 0.301 | 2173976.019 |
|         | Female                | 3033.13519         | 8208.394            | 0.80 | 0.213 | 0.50 | 0.294 | 1.12 | 0.107 | 877533.463  |
|         | All                   | 6008.082           | 6869.944            | 0.80 | 0.207 | 0.50 | 0.296 | 1.21 | 0.174 | 2568712.203 |
|         | Residence-stratified  |                    |                     |      |       |      |       |      |       |             |
|         | Rural                 | 2517.21544         | 7481.164            | 0.80 | 0.136 | 0.50 | 0.332 | 1.20 | 0.167 | 1251319.736 |
|         | Urban                 | 3490.8664          | 5664.797            | 0.80 | 0.348 | 0.50 | 0.226 | 1.21 | 0.174 | 937756.818  |
|         | All                   | 6008.082           | 6869.944            | 0.80 | 0.207 | 0.50 | 0.296 | 1.21 | 0.174 | 2568712.190 |
|         |                       |                    |                     |      |       |      |       |      |       |             |

#### TABLE 3 (Continued)

N, population size of Chinese aged 45 years and above in 2020; Id, incidence density of functional disability in the population from 2011 to 2018; Pb, proportion be intervened when best practice adopted; Pt, proportion of those with the disability currently receiving intervention; Pc, compliance with intervention; Pe, proportion eligible for intervention; ru, risk of functional disability in the solid fuel usage group; HRR, hazard ratio reduction; NEPP, number of events prevented in the population.

These nervous impairments will subsequently cause a loss of hand-grip strength (44) and accelerate frailty (45). All of these disorders, if not treated well, can finally lead to FD, especially in older adults (46–48).

Noteworthy, switching from solid to clean household fuel was negatively associated with new-onset FD, implying that reducing solid household fuel use may effectively prevent FD. In March 2022, the Chinese government introduced a 5-year plan on elderly care, emphasizing the importance of preventing FD in older adults (49). This study provides population-based evidence for policymakers and highlights the benefits of reducing household solid fuel for FD prevention. In other words, we urge the government to elevate the usage of clean fuel to 80% in houses to prevent potential FD.

To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to investigate the longitudinal associations of household fuel use and its transition with new-onset FD in China. We employed GBTM, Cox proportional-hazards models, floating absolute risk, and sensitivity analysis to conclude that solid cooking and heating fuel use was positively associated with the onset and exacerbation of FD. These statistical methods ensure the accuracy and comprehensiveness of our conclusions. Furthermore, we found that reducing solid household fuel can effectively prevent FD, which may provide valuable evidence for the reduction of the FD burden and the implementation of relevant policies.

# Limitations

Our study has several limitations. Firstly, we excluded a considerable proportion of participants at baseline due to missing data, which might have caused selection bias and affected the representativeness of our findings. Furthermore, indoor air pollution in this study was only assessed by the use of solid cooking and heating fuel, there might be other indoor pollutants that possess adverse health effects. Given that the ascertainments of fuel usage and FD were selfreported, potential misclassification might result in either an overestimation or an underestimation of the association between the two. For instance, the HR (95% CI) would be underestimated if participants with new-onset FD incorrectly reported being healthy. Some potential risk factors that may contribute to FD, such as cognitive function and trauma, were not included in this study owing to limited sample size or data constraints. Finally, due to data limitations, we only considered the fuel transition from 2011 to 2013, the subsequent transition between 2013 and 2018 was not assessed.

# Conclusion

In this cohort study, we found that solid cooking and heating fuel use was positively associated with the occurrence and exacerbation of FD. Switching from solid to clean household fuel, on the other hand, could significantly prevent FD, which emphasized the importance of universal access to clean energy advocated by the SDGs 7 and provided a viable direction for the development of healthy aging.

## Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This can be found here: http://charls.pku.edu.cn.

# Ethics statement

Ethical review was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Peking University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# Author contributions

PS and JL designed the study. ZR, LH, and SZ managed and analyzed the data. ZR and SS prepared the first draft. WS and ZR reviewed and edited the manuscript, with comments from PS, JL, CG, YW, and QY. PS had full access to the data and gave final approval of the submitted versions. All authors were involved in revising the paper, contributed to the article, and approved the submitted version.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# References

1. Li Y, Guo C, Cao Y. Secular incidence trends and effect of population aging on mortality due to type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus in China from 1990 to 2019: findings from the global burden of disease study 2019. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care.* (2021) 9:e002529. doi: 10.1136/bmjdrc-2021-002529

2. Katz S, Ford AB, Moskowitz RW, Jackson BA, Jaffe MW. Studies of illness in the aged. the index of ADL: a standardized measure of biological and psychosocial function. *JAMA*. (1963) 185:914–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.1963.03060120024016

3. Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. *Gerontologist.* (1969) 9:179-86. doi: 10.1093/geront/9.3\_Part\_1.179

4. Guo L, An L, Luo F, Yu B. Social isolation, loneliness and functional disability in Chinese older women and men: a longitudinal study. *Age Ageing.* (2021) 50:1222-8. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afaa271

5. World Health Organization. *Disability and Health*. World Health Organization. Available online at: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/ detail/disability-and-health (accessed November 24, 2021).

6. Cohen AJ, Brauer M, Burnett R, Anderson HR, Frostad J, Estep K, et al. Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the global burden of diseases study 2015. *Lancet.* (2017) 389:1907–18. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30505-6

7. Costa LG, Cole TB, Dao K, Chang YC, Coburn J, Garrick JM. Effects of air pollution on the nervous system and its possible role in neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders. *Pharmacol Ther.* (2020) 210:107523. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2020.107523

8. The Lancet. Regulators must work on indoor air pollution. Lancet. (2019) 394:94. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31574-0

9. Gall ET, Carter EM, Earnest CM, Stephens B. Indoor air pollution in developing countries: research and implementation needs for improvements in global public health. *Am J Public Health.* (2013) 103:e67-72. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2012.300955

10. Ali MU Yu Y, Yousaf B, Munir MAM, Ullah S, Zheng C, et al. Health impacts of indoor air pollution from household solid fuel on children and women. *J Hazard Mater.* (2021) 416:126127. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.126127

11. Amegah AK, Jaakkola JJ. Household air pollution and the sustainable development goals. *Bull World Health Organ.* (2016) 94:215–21. doi: 10.2471/BLT.15.155812

12. Cao L, Zhao Z, Ji C, Xia Y. Association between solid fuel use and cognitive impairment: a cross-sectional and follow-up study in a middle-aged and older Chinese population. *Environ Int.* (2021) 146:106251. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2020.106251

13. Yu K, Qiu G, Chan KH, Lam KH, Kurmi OP, Bennett DA, et al. Association of solid fuel use with risk of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in rural China. *Jama*. (2018) 319:1351–61. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.2151

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh. 2022.976614/full#supplementary-material

14. Qiu S, Chen X, Chen X, Luo G, Guo Y, Bian Z, et al.. Solid fuel use, socioeconomic indicators and risk of cardiovascular diseases and all-cause mortality: a prospective cohort study in a rural area of Sichuan, China. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2021) 51:501–13. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyab191

15. Spalt EW, Curl CL, Allen RW, Cohen M, Adar SD, Stukovsky KH, et al. Timelocation patterns of a diverse population of older adults: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis and air pollution (MESA Air). *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol*. (2016) 26:349–55. doi: 10.1038/jes.2015.29

16. Reddy M, Heidarinejad M, Stephens B, Rubinstein I. Adequate indoor air quality in nursing homes: an unmet medical need. *Sci Total Environ.* (2021) 765:144273. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144273

17. Luo Y, Zhong Y, Pang L, Zhao Y, Liang R, Zheng X. The effects of indoor air pollution from solid fuel use on cognitive function among middle-aged and older population in China. *Sci Total Environ.* (2021) 754:142460. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142460

18. Chan KH, Yan M, Bennett DA, Guo Y, Chen Y, Yang L, et al. Long-term solid fuel use and risks of major eye diseases in China: a population-based cohort study of 486,532 adults. *PLoS Med.* (2021) 18:e1003716. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003716

19. Shao J, Ge T, Liu Y, Zhao Z, Xia Y. Longitudinal associations between household solid fuel use and depression in middle-aged and older Chinese population: a cohort study. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* (2021) 209:111833. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.111833

20. Liu Z, Li J, Rommel J, Feng S. Health impacts of cooking fuel choice in rural China. *Energy Econ.* (2020) 89:104811. doi: 10.1016/j.eneco.2020.1 04811

21. Cao I, Gao J, Xia Y. The effects of household solid fuel use on selfreported and performance-based physical functioning in middle-aged and older Chinese populations: a cross-sectional study. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* (2021) 213:112053. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.112053

22. Wang Q, Tapia Granados JA. Household heating associated with disability in activities of daily living among Chinese middle-aged and elderly: a longitudinal study. *Environ Health Prev Med.* (2020) 25:49. doi: 10.1186/s12199-020-00 882-5

23. Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang G. Cohort profile: the China health and retirement longitudinal study (CHARLS). *Int J Epidemiol.* (2014) 43:61–8. doi: 10.1093/ije/dys203

24. Biggeri M, Nannini M, Putoto G. Assessing the feasibility of community health insurance in Uganda: a mixed-methods exploratory analysis. *Soc Sci Med.* (1982) (2018) 200:145–55. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.01.027

25. Song P, Wang H, Xia W, Chang X, Wang M, An L. Prevalence and correlates of hyperuricemia in the middle-aged and older adults in China. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:4314. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-22570-9

26. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL Jr, et al.. Seventh report of the joint national committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure. *Hypertension*. (2003) 42:1206–52. doi: 10.1161/01.HYP.0000107251.49515.c2

27. American Diabetes Association. 2 classification and diagnosis of diabetes: standards of medical care in diabetes-2021. *Diabetes Care.* (2021) 44:S15-33. doi: 10.2337/dc21-S002

28. Joint Committee Issued Chinese Guideline for the Management of Dyslipidemia in Adults. 2016 Chineseguideline for the management of dyslipidemia in adults [in Chinese]. *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi.* (2016) 44:833–53. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0253-3758.2016.10.005

29. Yang J, Lafage R, Gum JL, Shaffrey CI, Burton D, Kim HJ, et al. Group-based trajectory modeling: a novel approach to classifying discriminative functional status following adult spinal deformity surgery: study of a 3-year follow-up group. *Spine.* (2020) 45:903–10. doi: 10.1097/BRS.00000000003419

30. Nagin DS, Odgers CL. Group-based trajectory modeling in clinical research. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol.* (2010) 6:109– 38. doi: 10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.121208.131413

31. Ziyab AH, Karmaus W, Kurukulaaratchy RJ, Zhang H, Arshad SH. Developmental trajectories of body mass index from infancy to 18 years of age: prenatal determinants and health consequences. *J Epidemiol Commun Health.* (2014) 68:934–41. doi: 10.1136/jech-2014-20 3808

32. Easton DF, Peto J, Babiker AG. Floating absolute risk: an alternative to relative risk in survival and case-control analysis avoiding an arbitrary reference group. *Stat Med.* (1991) 10:1025–35. doi: 10.1002/sim.478010 0703

33. Plummer M. Improved estimates of floating absolute risk. *Stat Med.* (2004) 23:93–104. doi: 10.1002/sim.1485

34. Arbogast PG. Performance of floating absolute risks. Am J Epidemiol. (2005) 162:487–90. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwi221

35. Heller RF, Edwards R, McElduff P. Implementing guidelines in primary care: can population impact measures help? *BMC Public Health.* (2003) 3:7. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-3-7

36. Heller RF, Patterson L. Innovations: evidence-based practices: establishing the evidence base for psychiatric services: estimating the impact on the population. *Psychiatr Serv.* (2006) 57:1558–60. doi: 10.1176/ps.2006.57.11. 1558

37. National Bureau of Statistics of China. *China Statistical Yearbook 2021*. Available online at: http://www.stats.gov.cn/english/Statisticaldata/AnnualData/

38. The State Council of The People's Republic of China. Interpretation of the winter Clean Heating Plan in the Northern Region (2017-2021). Available online at:

http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/2018-01/24/content\_5260167.htm (accessed January 24, 2021).

39. Clark ML, Peel JL, Balakrishnan K, Breysse PN, Chillrud SN, Naeher LP, et al. Health and household air pollution from solid fuel use: the need for improved exposure assessment. *Environ Health Perspect.* (2013) 121:1120-8. doi: 10.1289/chp.1206429

40. Chafe ZA, Brauer M, Klimont Z, Van Dingenen R, Mehta S, Rao S, et al. Household cooking with solid fuels contributes to ambient PM2.5 air pollution and the burden of disease. *Environ Health Perspect.* (2014) 122:1314–20. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1206340

41. Thompson LM. Household air pollution from cooking fires is a global problem. Am J Nurs. (2019) 119:61-4. doi: 10.1097/01.NAJ.0000605388.37442.ec

42. Liu Y, Chen X, Yan Z. Depression in the house: the effects of household air pollution from solid fuel use among the middle-aged and older population in China. *Sci Total Environ.* (2020) 703:134706. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134706

43. Tzivian L, Winkler A, Dlugaj M, Schikowski T, Vossoughi M, Fuks K, et al. Effect of long-term outdoor air pollution and noise on cognitive and psychological functions in adults. *Int J Hyg Environ Health.* (2015) 218:1–11. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2014.08.002

44. Lin H, Guo Y, Ruan Z, Kowal P, Di Q, Zheng Y, et al. Association of indoor and outdoor air pollution with hand-grip strength among adults in six low- and middle-income countries. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* (2020) 75:340–7. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glz038

45. Cao L, Zhai D, Kuang M, Xia Y. Indoor air pollution and frailty: a crosssectional and follow-up study among older Chinese adults. *Environ Res.* (2022) 204:112006. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2021.112006

46. Trends and risk factors of mortality and disability adjusted life years for chronic respiratory diseases from 1990 to 2017: systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *BMJ.* (2020) 370:m3150. doi: 10.1136/bmj. m3150

47. Spiers NA, Matthews RJ, Jagger C, Matthews FE, Boult C, Robinson TG, et al. Diseases and impairments as risk factors for onset of disability in the older population in England and wales: findings from the medical research council cognitive function and ageing study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* (2005) 60:248–54. doi: 10.1093/gerona/60.2.248

48. Damian J, de Pedro-Cuesta J, Almazán J, Comín-Comín M, Quintanilla MA, Lobo A. Depressive symptoms and associated factors in an older Spanish population positively screened for disability. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* (2013) 28:745–55. doi: 10.1002/gps.3886

49. The State Council of The People's Republic of China. *China Releases 5-Year Plan on Elderly Care*. Available online at: http://english.www.gov.cn/news/topnews/202203/02/content\_WS621ea784c6d09c94e48a5b80.html.