Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jose M. Martin-Moreno, University of Valencia, Spain

REVIEWED BY Christopher Mierow Maylahn, New York State Department of Health, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Jennifer S. Lin ⊠ jennifer.s.lin@kpchr.org

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Public Health Policy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

RECEIVED 14 November 2022 ACCEPTED 02 March 2023 PUBLISHED 29 March 2023

CITATION

Lin JS, Webber EM, Bean SI, Martin AM and Davies MC (2023) Rapid evidence review: Policy actions for the integration of public health and health care in the United States. *Front. Public Health* 11:1098431. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1098431

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Lin, Webber, Bean, Martin and Davies. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Rapid evidence review: Policy actions for the integration of public health and health care in the United States

Jennifer S. Lin*, Elizabeth M. Webber, Sarah I. Bean, Allea M. Martin and Melinda C. Davies

Kaiser Permanente Evidence-based Practice Center, Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research, Portland, OR, United States

Objective: To identify policy actions that may improve the interface of public health and health care in the United States.

Methods: A rapid review of publicly-available documents informing the integration of public health and health care, and case examples reporting objective measures of success, with abstraction of policy actions, related considerations, and outcomes.

Results: Across 109 documents, there were a number of recurrent themes related to policy actions and considerations to facilitate integration during peace time and during public health emergencies. The themes could be grouped into the need for adequate and dedicated funding; mandates and shared governance for integration; joint leadership that has the authority/ability to mobilize shared assets; adequately staffed and skilled workforces in both sectors with mutual awareness of shared functions; shared health information systems with modernized data and IT capabilities for both data collection and dissemination of information; engagement with multiple stakeholders in the community to be maximally inclusive; and robust communication strategies and training across partners and with the public.

Conclusion: While the evidence does not support a hierarchy of policies on strengthening the interface of public health and health care, recurrent policy themes can inform where to focus efforts.

KEYWORDS

public health, health care, integration, policy, review

1. Introduction

The importance of coordination between public health (PH) and health care (HC) entities became highly apparent during the COVID-19 pandemic. For most PH jurisdictions, the lack of an integrated epidemic response model impaired the ability of both PH and HC entities to respond in a timely and effective manner to mitigate the high rates of COVID-19 transmission and its subsequent morbidity and mortality. Due in large part to the historical evolution and financing of the two in the United States, the PH and HC sectors lack a structured partnership with one another, which has greatly hindered coordination and innovation around the delivery of shared core functions. While there is abundant literature

on the need for and barriers to such partnerships, as well as case studies of integrated models of PH and HC, it is less clear what local, state, and federal policy actions are needed to improve the interface between PH and HC. In support of a planned Delphi Process to develop an actionable policy agenda, Kaiser Permanente commissioned this rapid review of publicly available documents on the integration of PH and HC. *Integration* is an imprecise term and meant, in this report, to encompass the full continuum of coordination from cooperation to collaboration, to partnership, to merger (i.e., single entity).

2. Methods

We searched Medline ALL *via* Ovid from 2000 through 18 April 2022 to identify English language published evidence, expert or consensus recommendations, concept papers, and case studies of optimal models on the integration of PH and HC. The search was designed to capture papers on PH integration with HC. The concept of PH was captured with the terms "public health" or "population health" or "community health." The concept of HC included components of a health systems (e.g., primary care, hospitals, health insurance) and health planning (e.g., delivery of care, health policy trends, practice, or local government). We also included terms to capture settings or instances in which integration or coordination would be necessary (e.g., public health emergencies, disaster planning). We supplemented searches with expert input and reference lists of selected documents.

We communicated in real time with our Office of Community Health liaisons at Kaiser Permanente on refining our inclusion criteria as we reviewed titles and abstracts. We included any document that explicitly focused on the integration or interface between PH and HC. HC included health systems (hospital and ambulatory), health plans, long-term care, pharmacies, laboratory services, inclusive of medical, mental/behavioral, and dental services. We included of countries with different PH and HC infrastructures; however we excluded documents focusing only on low- and middle-income countries. We also conducted targeted searches for white papers or policy documents on PH and HC resilience, data sharing and IT interoperability, and international models of the integration of PH and HC. Targeted searches involved searching keywords in Google, government websites, and the websites of other organizations of interest.

We reviewed titles and abstracts for inclusion. If abstracts were not available, or inclusion could not be determined by title and abstract only, we reviewed the full text for inclusion (Figure 1). After inclusion, we categorized sources into three tiers. Tier 1 consisted of exemplar papers meeting inclusion criteria to be used as our primary source of data (e.g., recent guidance/statements, expert panel or large consensus papers, scoping or systematic reviews, collections of case examples informing broader guidance statements, case studies of multinational efforts). Tier 2 consisted of papers that met inclusion criteria but were single expert or opinion pieces, older publications, and/or individual case studies. Tier 3 consisted of papers that only marginally met our inclusion criteria (i.e., may not have specified collaboration between PH and HC, do not inform policy actions or considerations). Within each tier, we identified two major categories of documents: those generally addressing the integration of PH and HC or primary care and those specific to emergency preparedness and response.

Included articles were not critically appraised for risk of bias. We abstracted main findings focusing on policy actions and considerations from all Tier 1 articles. We then identified shared themes (of actions and considerations) and grouped these themes into general domains. For Tier 1 articles that included an intentional effort to capture a wide representation of case examples (e.g., literature or scoping review), we also recorded when case examples were cited or used to support the policy recommendations. When possible, we added more granularity to policy actions and considerations from these case examples. We then abstracted the main findings from Tier 2 articles and cross-walked these findings with findings from Tier 1 papers to make sure we had achieved saturation of themes. We a priori planned only to abstract Tier 3 articles if saturation of content with Tier 2 articles was not achieved. We did not abstract findings from Tier 3 articles. These themes of potential policy actions and considerations are summarized in two main tables (Supplementary Tables 1, 2). We also abstracted general details from Tier 2 case examples of PH and HC integration, noting if objective measures of success were reported. Published studies reporting objective measures of success are summarized in a separate table (Supplementary Table 3).

A draft of this review underwent external peer review by eight experts in public health, population health, community health, emergency preparedness and response, and laboratory services.

3. Results

3.1. Categorization of included studies and their policy themes

For this review we included 109 Tier 1 and Tier 2 documents addressing the integration of PH and HC (including PC) (1–109) and 76 on integration in the context of emergency preparedness and response (Figure 2) (110–185). Many of the articles that reported on the integration of PH and HC were on multisectoral partnerships or collaborations, of which PH and HC were part. Documents focused on bolstering the infrastructure or resilience of PH, without explicit mention of actions or considerations around the integration of PH and HC, were not included (186–190).

Within in each body of literature, we grouped policy actions and considerations for the integration of PH and HC into eight domains: (1) Funding and finance, (2) Governance and legal, (3) Alignment of the core functions that overlap between PH and HC (i.e., surveillance of population health [systematic and opportunistic], health protection and disease control [communicable and non-communicable], health promotion and action on upstream drivers of health, prevention of disease and injuries, and health advocacy), (4) Quality improvement, (5) Physical infrastructure, medical supplies, technologies, and supply chains, (6) Leadership and workforce development, (7) Data and IT capabilities, and (8) Multisectoral partnerships and public engagement. These domains are not mutually exclusive and in some cases the actions and considerations listed apply to multiple categories.

In most instances, policy actions and considerations were stated without specific mention of who should enact or consider these



policies (i.e., which entities, organizations, governing bodies). Likewise, in some instances, the actions and considerations could be enacted or considered at multiple levels (e.g., local, regional, state, or national levels), but this was also, most often, not specified.

Although many documents were supported by explicit efforts to identify case examples, often it was not clear to what extent findings or recommendations were supported by 'successful' case examples. In addition, definitions or objective metrics of success were not commonly detailed in these reports. Many case examples demonstrate proof of concept and/or subjective assessment of partners' satisfaction. When documents were explicit that case examples supported their conclusions or recommendations, statements were general in nature (e.g., most of the successful collaborations included pre-existing partnerships).

3.2. Public health and health care (including primary care)

Most of the documents addressing the integration of PH and HC focused specifically on primary care (PC) or multisectoral partnerships

of PH, HC, and community. Articles covered a wide range of conditions and topics including maternal and child health, chronic diseases (e.g., diabetes, cardiovascular disease), communicable diseases (e.g., HIV and sexually transmitted infections, tuberculosis), cancer, behavioral risk factors (e.g., tobacco use), oral health, multimorbidity; models of care and care settings (e.g., federally qualified health centers, palliative care, long-term care, pharmacy); populations (e.g., pediatric, Medicaid); and provider type (e.g., nurses). While some of these documents focus narrowly on the overlap or integration of PH and HC sectors to deliver individual health care, many more address greater alignment of essential public health services that go beyond public health clinics or publicly funded HC.

Common policy actions and considerations articulated in the documents primary clustered around the need for (Supplementary Table 1):

 Adequate financial resources to improve population health (e.g., adequate base funding, long-term funding, funding to maintain data systems), dedicated funding for collaboration (personnel/ programs, training);

	Public Health and Healthcare, includ	ing Primary Care and Community Partr	<u>nerships</u>	
Tier 1 Leach/CDC, 2021 AAFP, 2020 Rechel, 2020 Steenkamer, 2020 Swanson, 2020	WHO-UNICEF, 2020 WHO-UNICEF, 2019 McVicor, 2019 Magee, 2017 Michener, 2019 Saunders, 2017 Shazad, 2019 Booth, 2016 Valaitis, 2018 King, 2016	18 • Scutchfield, 2016 • Taylor/RWFJ, 2016 • Willis, 2016 • Malcarney, 2015 • Michelsen/WHO, 2015	Michener, 2015 Prybill, 2014 Levesque, 2013 Millar, 2013 Public Health England, 2013	IOM, 2012 Landon/IOM, 2012 Martin-Misener, 2012 Valaitis, 2012 RWJF, 2008 Nicola, 2005
Tier 2				
 The King's Fund, 2022 Padron-Monedero, 2022 Paldron-Monedero, 2022 Mills, 2021 Adre, 2020 Cramer, 2020 Fukada, 2020 Kendir, 2020 Livingston, 2020 Ross, 2020 Thompson, 2020 Valaitis, 2020 Bhatt, 2019 	 Gyllstrom, 2019 Gupta, 2017 Lee, 2019 Kao, 2017 Kao, 2017 Nicholson, 2019 Pratt, 2017 Zhu, 2019 Gosling, 2017 Guerreor, 20 Carlton, 2018 Ramiah, 201 Main, 2018 Terranova, 2 Nuffer, 2018 Piper, 2018 Storm, 2018 Piper, 2018 Storm, 2015 Valaitis, 2018 Grumbach, 2017 Caron, 2014 	16 Griswold, 2013 5 Hyde, 2013 016 Norton, 2013 5 Perry, 2013 5 Villanueva, 2013 5 Dukers-Muijrers, 2012	 Herwehe, 2012 Klompas, 2012 Leburn, 2012 Parton, 2012 Saeed, 2012 Scutchfield, 2012 Varda, 2012 Fawcett, 2010 Freeman, 2010 Karwalajtys, 2010 Mays, 2010 Woulfe, 2010 Breton, 2009 	 Berwick, 2008 Stevenson, 2007 Bradley, 2005 Ciliska, 2005 Given, 2005 Lyons, 2005 Padgett, 2004 Hiffe, 2003 Sabol, 2002 Hill, 2001 Cohen, 2000 Halverson, 2000
200	Emergency Pre	paredness and Response		
Tier 1 Lee/NAM, 2022 Trust for America's Health, 2022 Balser/NAM, 2021 Bipartisan Policy Center, 2021	Clancy/NAM, 2021 Craven, 2021 Daszak, 2021 Dawson, 2021 DeSalvo/NAM, 2021 DeSalvo/NAM, 2021 DeSalvo/NAM, 2021 DeSalvo/NAM, 2021 Noknoy, 2021	 WHO, 2021 Veenema, 2021 Berwick, 2020 Calonge, 2020 Lal, 2020 NASEM, 2020 	 Ros, 2020 HHS, 2019 Nuzzo, 2019 Khan, 2018 HHS, 2016 Smith, 2016 	Hinton/CDC, 2015 Otomo/Hyogo Framework, 2014 Stalker, 2009 Nelson/RAND, 2007
Tier 2				P()
 Aristei, 2022 ASPR TRACIE 2022 Fleisher/CMS/CDC, 2022 Koch, 2022 Lim, 2022 Mitchell, 2022 Upton, 2022 Winkleman, 2022 	 Beck, 2021 Izagirre-C Czabanowska, 2021 Sales, 202 Duchen, 2021 Woodwo Filippini, 2021 ASPR TRA Fraser, 2021 Gesser-Edelsburg, 2021 Cerise, 22 Ham, 2021 Chen, 2021 	rth, 2021 • Ippolito, 2020 CIE, 2020 • Mawaungulu, 2019 2020 • Baseman, 2018 200 • Jha, 2018	 Meyer, 2018 Swift, 2017 	 Pferrerbaum, 2012 Veltri, 2012 Wynn, 2012 Stroud, 2011 Ginter, 2010 Stroud, 2009 Braun, 2006 Gerberding, 2002

- Landscape of included evidence.
- · Different payment models (i.e., value-based payment and move away from fee-for-service payment) and purchasing and payment systems to foster reorientation in models of care that integrate PH and PC, or offer adequate remuneration of proactive population health activities and services;
- Mandates for PH and HC (including mental health) integration;
- Shared governance structure (or entities) with defined charter and strategic plan to improve population health, developed with all relevant partners (which may go beyond PH and HC);
- Implementation of models of care that promote high-quality, people-centered, PH and PC integrated health services throughout the life course;
- · Application of population health approach and focus on upstream drivers of health (e.g., social determinants of health);
- Robust communication channels between different entities in PH and HC (e.g., timely, multilayered, transparent);
- · Infrastructure with equitable distribution of telehealth and health data systems across care settings, sectors and geographic locations;
- Learning environment that integrates quality improvement for patient care and program planning, and accountability to stakeholders;
- · Shared or aligned multilevel and distributed leadership;

- Skilled workforce with training for a new set of core competencies (e.g., partnering and team-based care, quality improvement, IT skills, and communication skills);
- · Modernized multisectoral data system, with oversight and data linkage by personal or regional identifier, as well as linkage between health and socioeconomic information to address equity; and
- · Community engagement with a broad range of stakeholders from private and public sectors with adequate bidirectional communication channels, leveraging digital technologies.

Case examples illustrate that integration of PH and PC may be at the level of delivery of care (e.g., community health clinics in the United States) or integrating PH functions into PC such that they function as one entity (e.g., geographically based integrated care systems in the United Kingdom). Case examples with objective metrics of success demonstrate that the integration of PH and HC in the form of maternal child programs, communicable disease prevention and control programs (e.g., HIV), health information exchanges, health promotion and health protection programs, chronic disease prevention and management, as well as efforts focusing on youth health, women's health, mental health and working with vulnerable populations, can improve access to care and patient health outcomes (Supplementary Table 3).

3.3. Emergency preparedness and response

Most of the emergency preparedness documents were in response to infectious disease PH emergencies (e.g., COVID-19, H1N1) or intended to be broadly inclusive of any PH emergency. A handful of articles focused on other threats, specific topics, or certain populations (e.g., bioterrorism, IT specific, pediatric populations). Common policy actions and considerations articulated in the documents primary clustered around the need for (Supplementary Table 2):

- An increase in flexible and sustained (and stable) funding (personnel/programs, training, infrastructure, data systems);
- Mandates and incentives for PH and HC integration;
- Formal governance structures (or entities) for integration assigning clear roles and responsibilities for each partner;
- Alignment of delivery of shared core functions of PH and HC (e.g., for emergency and non-emergency health services, health risk assessment and surveillance);
- Physical infrastructure that can support surge capacity and weather interruptions, and that leverages telehealth for delivery of core functions;
- Robust measurement strategy to support emergency response, as well as metrics and accountability for performance (to include health equity);
- Dedicated joint leadership between PH and HC with a clear command structure;
- Skilled workforce with adequate (flexible) capacity, training, and support (for mental health and retention);
- Modernized shared data system across sectors (including social sectors) and routinely disaggregated data by key social risk factors (including race/ethnicity); and
- Investment in community partnerships and public engagement with adequate, bidirectional channels of communication that can be a trusted source of timely information as well as used to obtain feedback from public.

Although published case examples of PH and HC integration in the setting of public health emergencies with objective metrics of success are limited, examples demonstrate that coordination of delivery of care using multisectoral coordination or partnership (e.g., PH, hospital, PC, pharmacies, community) can offload hospital demand, improve access to care, and improve vaccination rates during infectious disease outbreaks (Supplementary Table 3).

4. Discussion

This rapid review identified a large volume of published or public documents that can inform policy actions and considerations to support the integration of PH and HC in general or specific to PH emergencies. Although these documents span over 20 years, the general themes are quite consistent over time. Because the path of least resistance will always be to work unilaterally, a deliberate and concerted effort must be made to effectively integrate PH and HC. Dedicated funding, incentives, and governance in a hospitable financial and legal environment, as well as a concerted effort by leadership across the federal, regional/state, and local levels is essential for successful integration. This integration extends to multiple entities within PH and HC that are less often acknowledged (e.g., laboratories, mental health providers, pharmacies, long-term care facilities) but, as demonstrated by the COVID-19 pandemic, are critical elements to PH and HC. Ultimately, integration of PH and HC will allow for a proactive rather than reactive management of individual and population health, both during 'peace time' and during public health emergencies. Addressing population health will necessarily require addressing health equity as well as upstream and social drivers of health.

Integration between PH and HC necessarily evolves over time and represents a continuum from mutual awareness to true partnership (or functioning as a single entity, in some other countries). The eventuality of true partnership in part depends on time, such that the most efficient policies may be those that foster initial phases of integration. Likewise, the most efficient policies may be those that leverage existing collaborations (e.g., healthcare coalitions). Demonstrating return on investment is critical, but it is important to understand that realizing 'success' in this endeavor will happen on a time scale different than most health-related innovations and will require development of adequate metrics of success.

In our review, we found that successful PH initiatives with collaborations at the local and regional level were frequently made possible by commensurate funding and policies at the federal level. External events with funding (e.g., state federal tobacco settlement funds, federal emergency preparedness grants and funding) were necessary catalysts for collaboration. Case examples revealed multiple factors influencing successful integration between PH and HC, including government involvement, geographic proximity of partners, shared goal (of population health) with clear roles/responsibilities and shared protocols, aligned leadership, accountability, workforce education and training, and sharing and collaborative use of data and analysis. Since a community is the immediate and ultimate 'caretaker' of populations served by PH and HC, communities need to be included in these integrative efforts. While PH and HC may be the core partners, engaging a broad range of private and public community partners (e.g., social services, education, business, faith-based organizations, community-based organizations) will be necessary to respond to public health emergencies and achieve population health goals.

4.1. Limitations

This review included only public or published documents since 2000. We acknowledge that the discussion on the integration of PH and HC reaches back further, with much of the seminal work in the 1990s (e.g., Medicine and Public Health, Lasker and Committee on Medicine and Public Health, 1997 191). Although we conducted targeted efforts using experts, selected reference lists, and web searches to supplement database searching, this review is not exhaustive. Additionally, our identification of examples of the integration of PH and HC surfaced successful efforts, rather than analyses of failed PH and HC integration efforts which are also important in developing policy considerations. Nonetheless, we do believe, given the saturation of themes achieved, that this review represents a summary of major policy actions and considerations on the integration of PH and HC.

Due to the rapid nature of this review, there are several important areas related to the integration of PH and HC that are mentioned, but

are not comprehensively covered in this report. These areas include: bolstering PH and PH resilience post-pandemic; bolstering underresourced aspects of HC (i.e., PC, long-term care, safety net care, rural care); bolstering the PH and HC supply chain; implementing population health in HC or PC; hospital or workplace infection prevention and control; addressing social drivers of health and other upstream drivers of health in PH or HC; the current funding and regulatory environment of PH and HC; general data and IT coordination considerations (e.g., technical interoperability); and the role of research and research partnerships (with PH and HC). Additionally, this review includes documents referring to models of care from various countries that integrate or facilitate the integration of PH and PC. While these exemplar models of care are listed, a deep dive on these models of care was not possible. Last, some of the themes for policy actions and considerations relate to health equity (including the equitable distribution of resources), and when explicitly mentioned by source documents this has been documented. However, and especially with current knowledge and context, it can be argued that most if not all the policy actions and considerations should be seen through a health equity lens.

4.2. Conclusion

While the evidence does not support a hierarchy of policy actions and considerations (i.e., it is unclear from case examples of successful integration efforts or models of care what components or external factors contributed to their success), commonalities across examples can help inform where to focus. This review suggests that policy actions and considerations to effectively integrate PH and HC during peace time and during public health emergencies include: the need for adequate and dedicated funding for integration, mandates for integration, shared governance for integration, joint leadership that has the authority/ability to mobilize shared assets, adequately staffed and skilled workforces in both sectors with awareness of shared functions, shared health information systems with modernized data and IT capabilities, engagement with multiple stakeholders in the community to be maximally inclusive, and robust communication strategies and training across partners and with the public.

Author contributions

JL obtained funding, served as the lead on the synthesis of findings, and drafted the manuscript. EW assisted with the synthesis of findings and review of manuscript, as well as conducted the identification of and abstraction from included studies. SB and

References

1. The King's Fund. Integrated care systems (ICSs): Key planning and partnership bodies from July 22. (2022). Available at: https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/audio-video/integrated-care-systems-health-and-care-act#ics-structure (Accessed 27 May 2022).

3. Rae M, Marshall M. General practice and public health: fostering collaboration for better health for populations. *BMJ*. (2021) 375:n2916. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n2916

AM conducted the identification of and abstraction from included studies, as well as created tables and figures. MD conducted all database searches and reviews. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

Funding for this review was provided by Kaiser Permanente's Office of Community Health.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the following individuals for their contributions to this review: David Grossman and Kendall Stagg for their input as our Office of Community Health liaisons at Kaiser Permanente; Onora Lien for her expertise and consultation with regards to healthcare coalitions; Stephen Evans, Paul Wallace, Ned Calonge, Anand Parekh, Elizabeth Frentzel, Jill Taylor, Jennifer Lee, and Michael Fraser for their external peer review of an earlier version of this report; and Jill Pope and Katie Essick for editing this report; and Debra Burch for formatting this report.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1098431/ full#supplementary-material

4. Mills J, Abel J, Kellehear A, Patel M. Access to palliative care: the primacy of public health partnerships and community participation. Lancet. *Public Health.* (2021) 6:e791–2. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(21)00213-9, 34634238

5. Leach B, Parkinson S, d'Angelo C, Gloinson E, Rodriguez-Rincon D, Bertscher A, et al. Assessment of electronic health records for infectious disease surveillance: final mapping exercise report. Stockholm: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) (2021).

6. Cramer GR, Young GJ, Singh S, McGuire J, Kim D. Evidence that collaborative action between local health departments and nonprofit hospitals helps foster healthy behaviors in communities: a multilevel study. *BMC Health Serv Res.* (2021) 21:1. doi: 10.1186/s12913-020-05996-8

^{2.} Padron-Monedero A, Suárez RS, Bogaert P, Abboud L, van Oyen H, Tolonen H, et al. Integrating technical and political views for a sustainable European distributed infrastructure on population health. *Arch Public Health*. (2022) 80:29. doi: 10.1186/s13690-022-00790-w

7. World Health Organization. United Nations Children's fund (UNICEF). Operational framework for primary health care: Transforming vision into action. Geneva: WHO (2020).

8. Valaitis RK, Wong ST, MacDonald M, Martin-Misener R, O'Mara L, Meagher-Stewart D, et al. Addressing quadruple aims through primary care and public health collaboration: ten Canadian case studies. *BMC Public Health*. (2020) 20:507. doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-08610-y

9. Thompson H, Swander L, Cohen R, Lukazewski A, Bartholow T, Pesik M, et al. Hypertension-focused medication therapy management: a collaborative pilot program uniting pharmacists, public health, and health insurers in Wisconsin. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2020) 17:E105. doi: 10.5888/pcd17.200058

10. Swanson M, Wong ST, Martin-Misener R, Browne AJ. The role of registered nurses in primary care and public health collaboration: a scoping review. *Nurs Open*. (2020) 7:1197–207. doi: 10.1002/nop2.496

11. Steenkamer B, Drewes H, Putters K, van Oers H, Baan C. Reorganizing and integrating public health, health care, social care and wider public services: a theorybased framework for collaborative adaptive health networks to achieve the triple aim. *J Health Serv Res Policy*. (2020) 25:187–201. doi: 10.1177/1355819620907359

12. Ross LA, Bloodworth LS, Brown MA, Malinowski SS, Crane R, Sutton V, et al. The Mississippi Delta health collaborative medication therapy management model: public health and pharmacy working together to improve population health in the Mississippi Delta. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2020) 17:E108. doi: 10.5888/pcd17.200063

13. Rechel B. How to enhance the integration of primary care and public health? Approaches, facilitating factors and policy options [Internet]. *European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies*. Copenhagen (Denmark) (2020). Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK553739/

14. Livingston CJ, Bartelmann SE, Goff NM, Arid KG. Reducing tobacco use in Oregon through multisector collaboration: aligning Medicaid and public health programs. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2020) 17:E155. doi: 10.5888/pcd17.200220

15. Kendir C, Breton E, le Bodo Y, Bourgueil Y. Collaboration of primary care and public health at the local level: observational descriptive study of French local health contracts. *Prim Health Care Res Dev.* (2020) 21:e61. doi: 10.1017/S1463423620000559

16. Fukuda HD, Randall LM, Meehan T, Cranston K. Leveraging health department capacities, partnerships, and health insurance for infectious disease response in Massachusetts, 2014-2018. *Public Health Rep.* (2020) 135:75S–81S. doi: 10.1177/0033354920904084

17. American Academy of Family Physicians. Integration of primary care and public heath (position paper). (2022) Available at: https://www.aafp.org/about/policies/all/integration-primary-care.html (Accessed 14 April 2022).

18. Adre C, Jump RLP, Spires SS. Recommendations for improving antimicrobial stewardship in long-term care settings through collaboration. *Infect Dis Clin N Am.* (2020) 34:129–43. doi: 10.1016/j.idc.2019.10.007

19. The 2017 Geneva-IPC Think TankZingg W, Storr J, Park BJ, Jernigan JA, Harbarth S, et al. Broadening the infection prevention and control network globally; 2017 Geneva IPC-think tank (part 3). *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* (2019) 8:74. doi: 10.1186/s13756-019-0528-0

20. Zhu X, Weigel P, Baloh J, Nataliansyah M, Gunn N, Mueller K. Mobilising crosssector collaborations to improve population health in US rural communities: a qualitative study. *BMJ Open*. (2019) 9:e030983. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-030983

21. Shahzad M, Upshur R, Donnelly P, Bharmal A, Wei X, Feng P, et al. A populationbased approach to integrated healthcare delivery: a scoping review of clinical care and public health collaboration. *BMC Public Health*. (2019) 19:708. doi: 10.1186/ s12889-019-7002-z

22. Nicholson K, Makovski TT, Stranges S. The integration of primary care and public health to improve population health: tackling the complex issue of multimorbidity. *Int J Public Health*. (2019) 64:983–4. doi: 10.1007/s00038-019-01278-1

23. Michener JL, Castrucci B, Bradley DW, Hunter EL, Thomas CW, Patterson C, et al. *The practical playbook II: Building multisector partnerships that work*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press (2019).

24. McVicar KL, Ivanitskaya IV, Bradley DW, Montero JT. Primary care and public health collaboration reports: a qualitative review of integration aims, participants, and success determinants. *Popul Health Manag.* (2019) 22:422–32. doi: 10.1089/pop.2018.0160

25. Matney SA, Heale B, Hasley S, Decker E, Frederiksen B, Davis N, et al. Lessons learned in creating interoperable fast healthcare interoperability resources profiles for large-scale public health programs. *Inform.* (2019) 10:087–95. doi: 10.1055/s-0038-1677527

26. Lee A, Lomazzi M, Lee H, Bedi R. Integrating oral health with public health systems under the framework of the global charter for the Public's health. *Int Dent J.* (2019) 69:167–70. doi: 10.1111/idj.12448

27. Hunter RF, Wickramasinghe K, Ergüder T, Bolat A, Arı HO, Yıldırım HH, et al. National action plans to tackle NCDs: role of stakeholder network analysis. *BMJ*. (2019) 365:11871. doi: 10.1136/bmj.11871

28. Gyllstrom E, Gearin K, Nease D Jr, Bekemeier B, Pratt R. Measuring local public health and primary care collaboration: a practice-based research approach. *J Public Health Manag Pract.* (2019) 25:382–9. doi: 10.1097/PHH.00000000000809

29. Bhatt J, Smith B, Neuhauser MM, Srinivasan A, Moore P, Hyun DY. Collaborative solutions to antibiotic stewardship in small community and critical access hospitals. *Acad Med.* (2019) 94:1419–21. doi: 10.1097/ACM.00000000002859

30. World Health Organization, United Nations Children's fund (UNICEF). A vision for primary health care in the 21st century: Towards universal health coverage and the sustainable Development goals Geneva: World Health Organization, United Nations Children's fund (UNICEF) (2018).

31. Valaitis RK, O'Mara L, Wong ST, MacDonald M, Murray N, Martin-Misener R, et al. Strengthening primary health care through primary care and public health collaboration: the influence of intrapersonal and interpersonal factors. *Prim Health Care Res Dev.* (2018) 19:378–91. doi: 10.1017/S1463423617000895

32. The Strengthening Primary Health Care through Primary Care and Public Health Collaboration TeamValaitis R, Meagher-Stewart D, Martin-Misener R, Wong ST, MacDonald M, et al. Organizational factors influencing successful primary care and public health collaboration. *BMC Health Serv Res.* (2018) 18:420. doi: 10.1186/s12913-018-3194-7

33. Piper CN, Plescia M, Keener SR, DeHaven M. The Mecklenburg County Interlocal agreement: an 18-year collaboration between medicine and public health. *J Public Health Manag Pract.* (2018) 24:e1–7. doi: 10.1097/PHH.00000000000531

34. Oregon Health Authority. CCO 2.0 recommendations of the Oregon health policy board. Portland, OR: Oregon Health Authority (2018).

35. Nuffer W, Trujillo T, Harmon C, Thompson M. Engaging with patients in-need through public health partnerships. *Innov Pharm*. (2018) 9:1-8. doi: 10.24926/iip. v9i2.1055

36. Main EK, Markow C, Gould J. Addressing maternal mortality and morbidity in California through public-private partnerships. *Health Aff.* (2018) 37:1484–93. doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.2018.0463

37. Carlton EL, Singh SR. Joint community health needs assessments as a path for coordinating community-wide health improvement efforts between hospitals and local health departments. *Am J Public Health.* (2018) 108:676–82. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2018.304339

38. Wong ST, MacDonald M, Martin-Misener R, Meagher-Stewart D, O'Mara L, Valaitis RK. What systemic factors contribute to collaboration between primary care and public health sectors? An interpretive descriptive study. *BMC Health Serv Res.* (2017) 17:1–10. doi: 10.1186/s12913-017-2730-1

39. Saunders C, Carter DJ. Is health systems integration being advanced through local Health District planning? *Aust Health Rev.* (2017) 41:154–61. doi: 10.1071/AH15191

40. Pratt R, Gyllstrom B, Gearin K, Hahn D, VanRaemdonck L, Peterson K, et al. Primary care and public health perspectives on integration at the local level: a multi-state study. *J Am Board Fam Med.* (2017) 30:601–7. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2017.05.170034

41. Magee M, Ali M, Prabhakaran D, Ajay VS, Narayan KMV Disease control priorities, third edition (volume 5): Cardiovascular, respiratory, and related disorders. (2017) Washington, DC: World Bank

42. Kao HY, Ko HY, Guo P, Chen CH, Chou SM. Taiwan's experience in hospital preparedness and response for emerging infectious diseases. *Health Security.* (2017) 15:175–84. doi: 10.1089/hs.2016.0105

43. Gupta M, Donovan EF, Henderson Z. State-based perinatal quality collaboratives: pursuing improvements in perinatal health outcomes for all mothers and newborns. *Semin Perinatol.* (2017) 41:195–203. doi: 10.1053/j.semperi.2017.03.009

44. Grumbach K, Vargas RA, Fleisher P, Aragón TJ, Chung L, Chawla C, et al. Achieving health equity through community engagement in translating evidence to policy: the San Francisco health improvement partnership, 2010-2016. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2017) 14:E27. doi: 10.5888/pcd14.160469

45. Willis CD, Greene JK, Abramowicz A, Riley BL. Strengthening the evidence and action on multi-sectoral partnerships in public health: an action research initiative. *Health Promot Chronic Dis Prev Can.* (2016) 36:101–11. doi: 10.24095/hpcdp.36.6.01

46. Terranova E, Tsoi B, Laraque F, Washburn K, Fuld J. Strengthening screening for HIV, hepatitis C, and STIs: an innovative partnership between the health department and community health centers in new York City. *Public Health Rep.* (2016) 131:5–10. doi: 10.1177/00333549161310S102

47. Taylor E, Bailit M, Dyer MBBailit Health Purchasing LLC, Hacker B. *Integrating public health and health care: getting beyond the theory* Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (2016) Princeton, NJ: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation.

48. Scutchfield FD, Prybil L, Kelly AV, Mays GP. Public health and hospitals: lessons learned from partnerships in a changing health care environment. *Am J Public Health*. (2016) 106:45–8. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2015.302938

49. Ramiah K, Roberson B, Schrag J, Susman K, Siegel B. *Population health at essential hospitals: findings from moving to action for hospitals and population health.* WA, DC: Essential Hospitals Institute (2016).

50. King RJ, Garrett N, Kriseman J, Crum M, Rafalski EM, Sweat D, et al. A community health record: improving health through multisector collaboration, information sharing, and technology. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2016) 13:E122. doi: 10.5888/pcd13.160101

51. Guerrero EG, Andrews C, Harris L, Padwa H, Kong Y. Improving coordination of addiction health services organizations with mental health and public health services. *J Subst Abus Treat.* (2016) 60:45–53. doi: 10.1016/j.jsat.2015.08.002

52. Gosling R, Davies SM, Hussey JA. How integrating primary care and public health could improve population health outcomes: a view from Liverpool, UK. *Public Health Res.* (2016) 26:e2611602. doi: 10.17061/phrp2611602

53. Booth M, Hill G, Moore MJ, Dalla D, Moore M, Messenger A. The new Australian primary health networks: how will they integrate public health and primary care? *Public Health Res Pract.* (2016) 26:e2611603. doi: 10.17061/phrp2611603

54. Storm I, van Gestel A, van de Goor I, van Oers H. How can collaboration be strengthened between public health and primary care? A Dutch multiple case study in seven neighbourhoods. *BMC Public Health*. (2015) 15:974. doi: 10.1186/s12889-015-2307-z

55. Michener JL, Koo D, Castrucci B, Sprague JB. *The practical playbook: public health and primary care together*. 1st ed (2015).

56. Michelsen K, Brand H, Achterberg P, Wilkinson J. Health evidence network Synthesid report: Promoting better integration of health information systems: Best practices and challenges. Copenhagen: World Health Organization (2015).

57. Malcarney MB, Seiler N, Horton K. Public health laboratories and the affordable care act: what the new health-care system means for public health preparedness. *Public Health Rep.* (2015) 130:543–6. doi: 10.1177/003335491513000517

58. Lipman M, White J. Collaborative tuberculosis strategy for England. *BMJ*. (2015) 350:h810. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h810

59. Bowers K, Carradus B, Hinkeldey C, Macek P, Rettinger S, Tanner M, et al. Developing a statewide electronic public health care coordination tracking system. *J Pediatr Nurs*. (2015) 30:416–20. doi: 10.1016/j.pedn.2014.12.005

60. Zusman EE, Carr SJ, Robinson J, Kasirye O, Zell B, Miller WJ, et al. Moving toward implementation: the potential for accountable care organizations and private-public partnerships to advance active neighborhood design. *Prev Med.* (2014) 69:S98–S101. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.08.009

61. Prybill L, Scutchfield FD, Killian R, Kelly A, Mays GP, Carman A, et al. *Improving community health through hospital–public health collaboration: insights and lessons learned from successful partnerships.* Lexington, KY: Commonwealth Center for Governance Studies (2014).

62. Price D, Chan D, Greaves N. Physician surveillance of influenza: collaboration between primary care and public health. *Can Fam Physician*. (2014) 60:e7–e15.

63. Kempe A, Albright K, O'Leary S, Kolasa M, Barnard J, Kile D, et al. Effectiveness of primary care-public health collaborations in the delivery of influenza vaccine: a cluster-randomized pragmatic trial. *Prev Med.* (2014) 69:110–6. doi: 10.1016/j. ypmed.2014.08.019

64. Elliott L, McBride TD, Allen P, Jacob RR, Jones E, Kerner J, et al. Health care system collaboration to address chronic diseases: a nationwide snapshot from state public health practitioners. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2014) 11:E152. doi: 10.5888/pcd11.140075

65. Caron RM. Population health management: an approach to improve the integration of the health care and public health systems. *Acad Med.* (2014) 89:698. doi: 10.1097/ACM.0000000000227

66. Bruckner J, Barr B. Data-driven population health: collaboration between Macon county public health and community hospitals. *N C Med J*. (2014) 75:200–1.

67. Villanueva R, Gugel D, Dwyer DM. Collaborating across multiple health care institutions in an urban colorectal cancer screening program. *Cancer.* (2013) 119:2905–13. doi: 10.1002/cncr.28153

68. Public Health England. *Health and care integration making the case from a public health perspective.* London, England: Public Health England (2013).

69. Perry RE, Stephenson C. Improving population health through collaboration and innovation. *Popul Health Manag.* (2013) 16:S-34–7. doi: 10.1089/pop.2013.0046

70. Norton WE, Larson RS, Dearing JW. Primary care and public health partnerships for implementing pre-exposure prophylaxis. *Am J Prev Med.* (2013) 44:S77–9. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2012.09.037

71. Millar J, Bruce T, Cheng SM, Masse R, McKeown D. Is public health ready to participate in the transformation of the healthcare system? *Healthc Pap.* (2013) 13:10–20. doi: 10.12927/hcpap.2014.23689

72. Levesque JF, Breton M, Senn N, Levesque P, Bergeron P, Roy DA, et al. The interaction of public health and primary care: functional roles and organizational models that bridge individual and population perspectives. *Public Health Rev.* (2013) 35:1–27. doi: 10.1007/BF03391699

73. Hyde TB, Andrus JK, Dietz VJ, Andrus JK, Hyde TB, Lee CE, et al. Critical issues in implementing a national integrated all-vaccine preventable disease surveillance system. *Vaccine*. (2013) 31:C94–8. doi: 10.1016/j. vaccine.2013.05.034

74. Griswold KS, Lesko SE, Westfall JMfor the Folsom Group. Communities of solution: partnerships for population health. *J Am Board Fam Med*. (2013) 26:232–8. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2013.03.130102

75. Green ME, Weir E, Hogg W, Etches V, Moore K, Hunter D, et al. Improving collaboration between public health and family health teams in Ontario. *Healthc Policy*. (2013) 8:e93–e104.

76. Varda DM, Retrum JH. An exploratory analysis of network characteristics and quality of interactions among public health Collaboratives. *J Public Health Policy*. (2012) 1:170–6. doi: 10.4081/jphr.2012.e27

77. Valaitis R. Strengthening primary health care through primary care and public health collaboration: Final report for the Canadian Health Services Research Foundation (CHSRF). (2012) Washington, DC: Hamilton, ON, Canada: McMaster University.

78. Scutchfield FD, Michener JL, Thacker SB. Are we there yet? Seizing the moment to integrate medicine and public health. *Am J Prev Med.* (2012) 42:S97–S102. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2012.04.001

79. Saeed M. Improving the public health/primary care partnership: a perspective from NHS Hounslow. *London J Prim Care.* (2012) 4:100–3. doi: 10.1080/17571472.2012.11493343

80. Parton HB, Perlman SE, Koppaka R, Greene CM. Putting public health into practice: a model for assessing the relationship between local health departments and practicing physicians. *Am J Public Health*. (2012) 102:S333–5. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2011.300645

81. Martin-Misener R, Valaitis R, Wong ST, MacDonald M, Meagher-Stewart D, Kaczorowski J, et al. A scoping literature review of collaboration between primary care and public health. *Prim Health Care Res Dev.* (2012) 13:327–46. doi: 10.1017/S1463423611000491

82. Lebrun LA, Shi L, Chowdhury J, Sripipatana A, Zhu J, Sharma R, et al. Primary care and public health activities in select U.S. health centers. *Am J Prev Med.* (2012) 42:S191–202. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2012.03.011

83. Landon BE, Grumbach K, Wallace PJ. Integrating public health and primary care systems: potential strategies from an IOM report. *JAMA*. (2012) 308:461–2. doi: 10.1001/jama.2012.8227

84. Klompas M, McVetta J, Lazarus R, Eggleston E, Haney G, Kruskal BA, et al. Integrating clinical practice and public health surveillance using electronic medical record systems. *Am J Prev Med.* (2012) 42:S154–62. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2012.04.005

85. Institute of Medicine. Primary care and public health: Exploring integration to improve population health. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press (2012).

86. Herwehe J, Wilbright W, Abrams A, Bergson S, Foxhood J, Kaiser M, et al. Implementation of an innovative, integrated electronic medical record (EMR) and public health information exchange for HIV/AIDS. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* (2012) 19:448–52. doi: 10.1136/amiajnl-2011-000412

87. Harris MF, Harris E. Partnerships between primary healthcare and population health: preventing chronic disease in Australia. *London J Prim Care*. (2012) 4:133–7. doi: 10.1080/17571472.2012.11493351

88. Green LW, Brancati FL, Albright AThe Primary Prevention of Diabetes Working Group. Primary prevention of type 2 diabetes: integrative public health and primary care opportunities, challenges and strategies. *Fam Pract.* (2012) 29:i13–23. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmr126

89. Dukers-Muijrers NH, Somers C, Hoebe CJ, Lowe SH, Niekamp AMEJWM, Lashof AO, et al. Improving sexual health for HIV patients by providing a combination of integrated public health and hospital care services; a one-group pre- and post test intervention comparison. *BMC Public Health*. (2012) 12:1118. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-1118

90. Woulfe J, Oliver TR, Zahner SJ, Siemering KQ. Multisector partnerships in population health improvement. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2010) 7:A119.

91. Mays GP, Scutchfield FD. Improving public health system performance through multiorganizational partnerships. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2010) 7:A116.

92. Karwalajtys T, Kaczorowski J. An integrated approach to preventing cardiovascular disease: community-based approaches, health system initiatives, and public health policy. *Risk Manage*. (2010) 3:39–48. doi: 10.2147/RMHP.S7528

93. Freeman E, Presley-Cantrell L, Edwards VJ, White-Cooper S, Thompson KS, Sturgis S, et al. Garnering partnerships to bridge gaps among mental health, health care, and public health. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2010) 7:A21.

94. Fawcett S, Schultz J, Watson-Thompson J, Fox M, Bremby R. Building multisectoral partnerships for population health and health equity. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2010) 7:A118.

95. Breton M, Levesque JF, Pineault R, Lamothe L, Denis J-L. Integrating public health into local healthcare governance in Quebec: challenges in combining population and organization perspectives. *Healthc Policy*. (2009) 4:e159–78.

96. Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. *Turning point: Collaborating for a new century in public health: An RWJF national program.* Princeton, NJ: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (2008).

97. Berwick DM, Nolan TW, Whittington J. The triple aim: care, health, and cost. *Health Aff.* (2008) 27:759–69. doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.27.3.759

98. Stevenson Rowan M, Hogg W, Huston P. Integrating public health and primary care. *Healthc Policy.* (2007) 3:e160-81.

99. Nicola RM. Turning Point's National Excellence Collaboratives: assessing a new model for policy and system capacity development. *J Public Health Manag Pract.* (2005) 11:101–8. doi: 10.1097/00124784-200503000-00002

100. Lyons MS, Lindsell CJ, Ledyard HK, Frame PT, Trott AT. Health department collaboration with emergency departments as a model for public health programs among at-risk populations. *Public Health Rep.* (2005) 120:259–65. doi: 10.1177/003335490512000307

101. Given LS, Black B, Lowry G, Huang P, Kerner JF. Collaborating to conquer cancer: a comprehensive approach to cancer control. *Cancer Causes Control.* (2005) 16:3–14. doi: 10.1007/s10552-005-0499-8

102. Ciliska D, Ehrlich A, DeGuzman A. Public health and primary care: challenges and strategies for collaboration. Ontario: McMaster University (2005).

103. Bradley S, McKelvey SD. General practitioners with a special interest in public health; at last a way to deliver public health in primary care. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. (2005) 59:920–3. doi: 10.1136/jech.2005.034405

104. Padgett SM, Bekemeier B, Berkowitz B. Collaborative partnerships at the state level: promoting systems changes in public health infrastructure. *J Public Health Manag Pract.* (2004) 10:251–7. doi: 10.1097/00124784-200405000-00009

105. Iliffe S, Lenihan P. Integrating primary care and public health: learning from the community-oriented primary care model. *Int J Health Serv*. (2003) 33:85–98. doi: 10.2190/40HL-U1B9-F7K7-KK64

106. Sabol B. Innovations in collaboration for the public's health through the turning point initiative: the W.K. Kellogg Foundation perspective. *J Public Health Manag Pract.* (2002) 8:6–12. doi: 10.1097/00124784-200201000-00003

107. Hill A, Levitt C, Chambers LW, Cohen M, Underwood J. Primary care and population health promotion: collaboration between family physicians and public health units in Ontario. *Can Fam Physician*. (2001) 47:22–5.

108. Halverson PK, Mays GP, Kaluzny AD. Working together? Organizational and market determinants of collaboration between public health and medical care providers. *Am J Public Health*. (2000) 90:1913–6. doi: 10.2105/ajph.90.12.1913

109. Cohen NL, Perl S. A managed care/public health partnership: opportunities in new York City's Medicaid program. *J Urban Health*. (2000) 77:663–6. doi: 10.1007/BF02344029

110. Winkelman TNA, Margolis KL, Waring S, Bodurtha PJ, Khazanchi R, Gildemeister S, et al. Minnesota electronic health record consortium COVID-19 project: informing pandemic response through statewide collaboration using observational data. *Public Health Rep.* (2022) 137:263–71. doi: 10.1177/00333549211061317

111. Trust for America's Health. *Ready or not: protecting the Public's health from diseases, Disasters, and Bioterrorism*. Washington, DC: Trust for America's Health (2022).

112. Mitchell AM, Rigler J, Baum K. Regional transfer coordination and hospital load balancing during COVID-19 surges. *JAMA Health Forum*. (2022) 4:e215048. doi: 10.1001/jamahealthforum.2021.5048

113. Lim SM, Allard NL, Devereux J, Cowie BC, Tydeman M, Miller A, et al. The COVID positive pathway: a collaboration between public health agencies, primary care, and metropolitan hospitals in Melbourne. *Med J Aust.* (2022) 216:413–9. doi: 10.5694/ mja2.51449

114. Lee P, Abernethy A, Shaywitz D, Gundlapalli AV, Weinstein J, Doraiswamy PM, et al. Digital health COVID-19 impact assessment: lessons learned and compelling needs. *NAM Perspect*. (2022) 2022:10.31478/202201c. doi: 10.31478/202201c

115. Upton AL, Denham MA. Building resilient coalitions: health and medical response through COVID-19 concurrent events. *WIT Trans Built Environ.* (2022) 207:27–45. doi: 10.2495/dman210031

116. Koch A, Bohn J, Corvin J, Seaberg J. Maturing into high-functioning healthcare coalitions: a qualitative Nationwide study of emergency preparedness and response leadership. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* (2023) 17:1–9. doi: 10.1017/ dmp.2022.13

117. Fleisher LA, Schreiber M, Cardo D, Srinivasan A. Health care safety during the pandemic and beyond—building a system that ensures resilience. *N Engl J Med.* (2022) 386:609–11. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp2118285

118. Aristei L, D'Ambrosio F, Villani L, Rossi MF, Daniele A, Amantea C, et al. Public health regulations and policies dealing with preparedness and emergency management: the experience of the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2022) 19:1091. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19031091

119. World Health Organization. *Building health systems resilience for universal health coverage and health security during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond*. Geneva: World Health Organization (2021).

120. Woodworth KR, Reynolds MR, Burkel V, Gates C, Eckert V, McDermott C, et al. A preparedness model for mother-baby linked longitudinal surveillance for emerging threats. *Matern Child Health J.* (2021) 25:198–206. doi: 10.1007/s10995-020-03106-y

121. Veenema TG, Waldhorn R, Toner E, Adalja AA, Kobokovich A, Martin E, et al. Integrating primary care and public health to save lives and improve practice during public health crises: lessons from COVID-19. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security (2021).

122. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Office of the Assistant Secretary for preparedness and response (ASPR) technical resources AC, and information exchange (TRACIE). *Healthcare Coalition Engagement in COVID-19 Assessment* (2021) Washington, DC: United States Department of Health & Human Services.

123. Sales C, Kim Y, Kim G, Lin B, Palaniappan L. Precision public health matters: an international assessment of communication, preparedness, and coordination for successful COVID-19 responses. *Am J Public Health.* (2021) 111:392–4. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2020.306129

124. Noknoy S, Kassai R, Sharma N, Nicodemus L, Canhota C, Goodyear-Smith F. Integrating public health and primary care: the response of six Asia-Pacific countries to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Br J Gen Pract.* (2021) 71:326–9. doi: 10.3399/bjgp21X716417

125. McClellan M, Rajkumar R, Couch M, Holder D, Pham M, Long P, et al. Health care payers COVID-19 impact assessment: lessons learned and compelling needs. *NAM Perspect.* (2021) 2021:10.31478/202105a. doi: 10.31478/202105a

126. Lenert LA, Ding W, Jacobs J. Informatics for public health and health system collaboration: applications for the control of the current COVID-19 pandemic and the next one. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* (2021) 28:1807–11. doi: 10.1093/jamia/ocab066

127. Kinder K, Bazemore A, Taylor M, Mannie C, Strydom S, George J, et al. Integrating primary care and public health to enhance response to a pandemic. *Prim Health Care Res Dev.* (2021) 22:e27. doi: 10.1017/S1463423621000311

128. Izagirre-Olaizola J, Hernando-Saratxaga G, Aguirre-Garcia MS. Integration of health care in the Basque Country during COVID-19: the importance of an integrated care management approach in times of emergency. *Prim Health Care Res Dev.* (2021) 22:e39. doi: 10.1017/S146342362100044X

129. Ham C. A national infrastructure plan for the NHS, social care, and public health is needed to build resilience for the future. *BMJ*. (2021) 375:n3029. doi: 10.1136/bmj. n3029

130. Haldane V, de Foo C, Abdalla SM, Jung AS, Tan M, Wu S, et al. Health systems resilience in managing the COVID-19 pandemic: lessons from 28 countries. *Nat Med.* (2021) 27:964–80. doi: 10.1038/s41591-021-01381-y

131. Gesser-Edelsburg A, Cohen R, Diamant A. Experts' views on the gaps in public health emergency preparedness in Israel: a qualitative case study. *Disaster Med.* (2021) 15:34–41. doi: 10.1017/dmp.2019.115

132. Fraser MR, Barishansky RM, Blumenstock JS. Twenty years after 9/11: the public health preparedness we need now. *Am J Public Health*. (2021) 111:1562–4. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2021.306459

133. Filippini T, Vinceti SR. Italian National Recovery and resilience plan: a healthcare renaissance after the COVID-19 crisis? *Acta Biomed Ateneo Parmense*. (2021) 92:e2021463. doi: 10.23750/abm.v92iS6.12339

134. Duchen R, Iskander C, Chung H, Paterson J, Kwong J, Bronskill S, et al. The role of a resilient information infrastructure in COVID-19 vaccine uptake in Ontario. *Healthc Q.* (2021) 24:7–11. doi: 10.12927/hcq.2021.26553

135. Ding A. Full commitment by our AMA to the betterment and strengthening of public health systems (resolution 401-JUN-21) American Medical Association; (2021) Available from https://www.ama-assn.org/system/files/n21-csaph02.pdf

136. DeSalvo K, Hughes B, Bassett M, Benjamin G, Fraser M, Galea S, et al. Public health COVID-19 impact assessment: lessons learned and compelling needs. *NAM Perspect.* (2021) 2021. doi: 10.31478/202104c

137. Dawson WD, Boucher NA, Stone R, van Houtven CH. COVID-19: the time for collaboration between long-term services and supports, health care systems, and public health is now. *Milbank Q*. (2021) 99:565–94. doi: 10.1111/1468-0009.12500

138. Daszak P, Keusch GT, Phelan AL, Johnson CK, Osterholm MT. Infectious disease threats: a rebound to resilience. *Health Aff.* (2021) 40:204–11. doi: 10.1377/ hlthaff.2020.01544

139. Czabanowska K, Kuhlmann E. Public health competences through the lens of the COVID-19 pandemic: what matters for health workforce preparedness for global health emergencies. *Int J Health Plann Manag.* (2021) 36:14–9. doi: 10.1002/hpm.3131

140. Craven M, Sabow A, Van der Veken L, et al. Not the last pandemic: investing now to reimagine public-health systems. New York, NY: McKinsey & Company (2021).

141. Clancy C, Goodrich K, Moody-Williams J, Sheares KD, O'Kane ME, Cha S, et al. Quality, safety, and standards organizations COVID-19 impact assessment: lessons learned and compelling needs. *NAM Perspect*. Washington, DC: National Academy of Medicine (2021) doi: 10.31478/202107d

142. Bipartisan Policy Center. Positioning America's public health system for the next pandemic. (2021) Washington, DC: Bipartisan Policy Center.

143. Beck AF, Hartley DM, Kahn RS, Taylor SC, Bishop E, Rich K, et al. Rapid, bottom-up Design of a Regional Learning Health System in response to COVID-19. *Mayo Clin Proc.* (2021) 96:849–55. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2021.02.006

144. Balser J, Ryu J, Hood M, Kaplan G, Perlin J, Siegel B. Care systems COVID-19 impact assessment: lessons learned and compelling needs. *NAM Perspect*. (2021) 2021. doi: 10.31478/202104d

145. Tracie A. Washington medical coordination center collaborates with key health care response partners to mitigate hospital surge and ensure continuity of care during the COVID-19 pandemic. (2022). Available at: https://aspt.hhs.gov/HealthCareReadiness/ StoriesfromtheField/Pages/Stories/WA-HospitalSurge-March2020.aspx (Accessed May, 2022).

146. Ros F, Kush R, Friedman C, Gil Zorzo E, Rivero Corte P, Rubin JC, et al. Addressing the Covid-19 pandemic and future public health challenges through global collaboration and a data-driven systems approach. *Learn Health Sys.* (2021) 5:e10253. doi: 10.1002/lrh2.10253

147. National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine. *Evidence-based practice for public health emergency preparedness and response*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press (2020).

148. Lal A, Ashworth HC, Dada S, Hoemeke L, Tambo E. Optimizing pandemic preparedness and response through health information systems: lessons learned from Ebola to COVID-19. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* (2022) 16:333–40. doi: 10.1017/ dmp.2020.361

149. Ippolito G, Lauria FN, Locatelli F, Magrini N, Montaldo C, Sadun R, et al. Lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic-unique opportunities for unifying, revamping and reshaping epidemic preparedness of Europe's public health systems. *Int J Infect Dis.* (2020) 101:361–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2020.10.094

150. He R, Zhang J, Mao Y, Degomme O, Zhang WH. Preparedness and responses faced during the COVID-19 pandemic in Belgium: an observational study and using the National Open Data. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:7985. doi: 10.3390/ ijerph17217985

151. Clay-Williams R, Rapport F, Braithwaite J. The Australian health system response to COVID-19 from a resilient health care perspective: what have we learned? *Public Health Res.* (2020) 30:09. doi: 10.17061/phrp3042025

152. Chen Z, Cao C, Yang G. Coordinated multi-sectoral efforts needed to address the COVID-19 pandemic: lessons from China and the United States. Glob health. *Res Policy*. (2020) 5:22. doi: 10.1186/s41256-020-00150-7

153. Cerise FP, Moran B, Huang PP, Bhavan KP. The imperative for integrating public health and health care delivery systems. *NEJM Catalyst.* (2021) 2:580. doi: 10.1056/CAT.20.0580

154. Calonge N, Brown L, Downey A. Evidence-based practice for public health emergency preparedness and response: recommendations from a National Academies of sciences, engineering, and medicine report. *JAMA*. (2020) 324:629–30. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.12901

155. Berwick DM, Shine K. Enhancing private sector health system preparedness for 21st-century health threats: foundational principles from a National Academies Initiative. *JAMA*. (2020) 323:1133–4. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.1310

156. Barnett DJ, Knieser L, Errett NA, Rosenblum AJ, Seshamani M, Kirsch TD. Reexamining health-care coalitions in light of COVID-19. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* (2020) 16:859–63. doi: 10.1017/dmp.2020.431

157. ASPR TRACIE. Medical operations coordination cells toolkit. Washington, DC: Hospital Team (2020).

158. Office of the Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response, US Department of Health and Human Services. *National Health Security Strategy:* 2019–2022. (2019) Washington, DC: United States Department of Health & Human Services.

159. Nuzzo JB, Meyer D, Snyder M, Ravi SJ, Lapascu A, Souleles J, et al. What makes health systems resilient against infectious disease outbreaks and natural hazards? Results from a scoping review. *BMC Public Health*. (2019) 19:1310. doi: 10.1186/s12889-019-7707-z

160. Mwaungulu GS Jr, Dwyer KS. Responding to public health emergencies at the local level: administrative preparedness challenges, strategies, and resources. *J Law Med Ethics*. (2019) 47:72–5. doi: 10.1177/1073110519857322

161. Meyer D, Kirk Sell T, Schoch-Spana M, Shearer MP, Chandler H, Thomas E, et al. Lessons from the domestic Ebola response: improving health care system resilience to high consequence infectious diseases. *Am J Infect Control.* (2018) 46:533–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2017.11.001

162. Madad S, Tate A, Rand M, Quinn C, Vora NM, Allen M, et al. Zika virus preparedness and response efforts through the collaboration between a health care delivery system and a local public health department. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* (2018) 12:689–91. doi: 10.1017/dmp.2018.5

163. Kinsman J, Angrén J, Elgh F, Furberg M, Mosquera PA, Otero-García L, et al. Preparedness and response against diseases with epidemic potential in the European Union: a qualitative case study of Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) and poliomyelitis in five member states. *BMC Health Serv Res.* (2018) 18:528. doi: 10.1186/ s12913-018-3326-0

164. Khan Y, O'Sullivan T, Brown A, Tracey S, Gibson J, Généreux M, et al. Public health emergency preparedness: a framework to promote resilience. *BMC Public Health.* (2018) 18:1344. doi: 10.1186/s12889-018-6250-7

165. Jha A, Lin L, Short SM, Argentini G, Gamhewage G, Savoia E. Integrating emergency risk communication (ERC) into the public health system response: systematic review of literature to aid formulation of the 2017 WHO guideline for ERC policy and practice. *PLoS One.* (2018) 13:e0205555. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0205555

166. Baseman J, Revere D, Karasz H, Allan S. Implementing innovations in Public Health Agency preparedness and response programs. *Am J Public Health.* (2018) 108:S369–71. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2018.304795

167. Swift MD, Aliyu MH, Byrne DW, Qian K, McGown P, Kinman PO, et al. Emergency preparedness in the workplace: the Flulapalooza model for mass vaccination. *Am J Public Health*. (2017) 107:S168–76. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2017.303953

168. Smith S, Sibal B, Linnane J, Mittal A. NHS and public health reorganization in England: health protection and emergency planning, preparedness and response perspective. *J Public Health.* (2016) 39:fdw042–6. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdw042

169. Fitzgerald TJ, Kang Y, Bridges CB, Talbert T, Vagi SJ, Lamont B, et al. Integrating pharmacies into public health program planning for pandemic influenza vaccine response. *Vaccine*. (2016) 34:5643–8. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.09.020

170. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Assistant Secretary for preparedness and response. 2017–2022 health care preparedness and response capabilities. (2016) Washington, DC: United States Department of Health & Human Services.

171. Agency FEM. Emergency support function #8 – Public health and medical services annex. (2016) Washington, DC: United States Department of Homeland Security. Available at https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/2020-04/NRF_FINALApproved_2011028.pdf

172. Hinton CF, Griese SE, Anderson MR, Chernak E, Peacock G, Thorpe PG, et al. CDC grand rounds: addressing preparedness challenges for children in public health emergencies. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* (2015) 64:972–4. doi: 10.15585/mmwr. mm6435a3

173. Rubin SE, Schulman RM, Roszak AR, Herrmann J, Patel A, Koonin LM. Leveraging partnerships among community pharmacists, pharmacies, and health departments to improve pandemic influenza response. *Biosecur Bioterror*. (2014) 12:76–84. doi: 10.1089/bsp.2013.0082

174. Otomo Y, Burkle FM. Breakout session 1 summary: frameworks and policies relating to medical preparedness and health management in disasters. *Disaster Med.* (2014) 8:359–60. doi: 10.1017/dmp.2014.72

175. Wynn A, Moore KM. Integration of primary health care and public health during a public health emergency. *Am J Public Health*. (2012) 102:e9–e12. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2012.300957

176. Veltri K, Yaghdjian V, Morgan-Joseph T, Prlesi L, Rudnick E. Hospital emergency preparedness: push-POD operation and pharmacists as immunizers. *J Am Pharm Assoc.* (2012) 52:81–5. doi: 10.1331/JAPhA.2012.11191

177. Pfefferbaum B, Schonfeld D, Flynn BW, Norwood AE, Dodgen D, Kaul RE, et al. The H1N1 crisis: a case study of the integration of mental and behavioral health in public health crises. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* (2012) 6:67–71. doi: 10.1001/dmp.2012.2

178. Markiewicz M, Bevc CA, Hegle J, Horney JA, Davies M, MacDonald PDM. Linking public health agencies and hospitals for improved emergency preparedness: North Carolina's public health epidemiologist program. *BMC Public Health*. (2012) 12:141. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-141

179. Stroud C, Altevogt BM, Butler JC, Duchin JS. The Institute of Medicine's forum on medical and public health preparedness for catastrophic events: regional workshop series on the 2009 H1N1 influenza vaccination campaign. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* (2011) 5:81–6. doi: 10.1001/dmp.2011.3

180. Ginter PM, Rucks AC, Duncan WJ, Wingate MS, Beeman SK, Reeves J, et al. Southeastern regional pediatric disaster surge network: a public health partnership. *Public Health Rep.* (2010) 125:117–26. doi: 10.1177/00333549101250S516

181. Stroud C, Altevogt BM, Goldfrank LR. Institute of Medicine's forum on medical and public health preparedness for catastrophic events: activities and goals. *Disaster Med.* (2009) 3:183–5. doi: 10.1097/DMP.0b013e3181be99fc

182. Stalker SA, Weir E, Vessel SL, Mikail J. Planning a coordinated local health care system response to a pandemic using an accelerated Delphi technique: phase 1. *Can J Public Health.* (2009) 100:65–9. doi: 10.1007/BF03405496

183. Nelson C, Lurie N, Wasserman J, Zakowski S. Conceptualizing and defining public health emergency preparedness. *Am J Public Health*. (2007) 97:S9–S11. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2007.114496

184. Braun BI, Wineman NV, Finn NL, Barbera JA, Schmaltz SP, Loeb JM. Integrating hospitals into community emergency preparedness planning. *Ann Intern Med.* (2006) 144:799–811. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-144-11-200606060-00006

185. Gerberding JL, Hughes JM, Koplan JP. Bioterrorism preparedness and response: clinicians and public health agencies as essential partners. *JAMA*. (2002) 287:898–900. doi: 10.1001/jama.287.7.898

186. Harish V. The Syndemics of emergency: how COVID-19 demands a holistic view of public health promotion and preparedness. *Am J Public Health*. (2021) 111:353–4. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2020.306116

187. Bipartisan Policy Center. Public health forward: Modernizing the U.S. public health system. Washington, D.C.: Bipartisan Policy Center (2021).

188. Brownson RC, Burke TA, Colditz GA, Samet JM. Reimagining public health in the aftermath of a pandemic. *Am J Public Health.* (2020) 110:1605–10. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2020.305861

189. Fraser M, Castrucci B. Beyond the status quo: 5 strategic moves to position state and territorial public health agencies for an uncertain future. *J Public Health Manag Pract.* (2017) 23:543–51. doi: 10.1097/PHH.00000000000634

190. DeSalvo K, Wang YC, Harris A, Auerbach J, Koo D, O'Carroll P. Public health 3.0: a call to action for public health to meet the challenges of the 21st century. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2017) 14:E78. doi: 10.5888/pcd14.170017

191. Lasker RD. "The Committee on Medicine and Public Health. Medicine and public health: the power of collaboration. (1997):11–22.