Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jie Hu, The Ohio State University, United States

REVIEWED BY Kourosh Zarea, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Iran Lisa Suzanne Robison, Nova Southeastern University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Hyun Chul Jung ⊠ jhc@khu.ac.kr

RECEIVED 23 January 2023 ACCEPTED 11 May 2023 PUBLISHED 25 May 2023

CITATION

Seo M-W, Gann J, Lee J-M, Heffernan KS, Kim JY and Jung HC (2023) Potential impact of metabolic syndrome on cognitive function in US firefighters. *Front. Public Health* 11:1150121. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1150121

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Seo, Gann, Lee, Heffernan, Kim and Jung. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Potential impact of metabolic syndrome on cognitive function in US firefighters

Myong-Won Seo¹, Joshua Gann², Jung-Min Lee^{3,4}, Kevin S. Heffernan¹, Joon Young Kim¹ and Hyun Chul Jung^{3,5*}

¹Department of Exercise Science, David B. Falk College of Sports and Human Dynamics, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, United States, ²Department of Kinesiology, School of Allied Health, University of Louisiana at Monroe, Monroe, LA, United States, ³Sports Science Research Center, Kyung Hee University, Yongin-si, Republic of Korea, ⁴Department of Physical Education, College of Physical Education, Kyung Hee University, Yongin-si, Republic of Korea, ⁵Department of Sports Coaching, College of Physical Education, Kyung Hee University, Yongin-si, Republic of Korea

Objectives: Among US firefighters, sudden cardiac arrest and psychological stress (i.e., PTSD) are the leading cause of on-duty death. Metabolic syndrome (MetSyn) may influence both cardiometabolic and cognitive health. Here, we examined differences in cardiometabolic disease risk factors, cognitive function, and physical fitness in US firefighters with vs. without MetSyn.

Materials and methods: One hundred fourteen male firefighters, aged 20 to 60 years, participated in the study. US firefighters with MetSyn vs. non-MetSyn were divided by AHA/NHLBI criteria. Of them, we performed a paired-match analysis with respect to the age and BMI of firefighters with (n=18) vs. without MetSyn (n=18). The cardiometabolic disease risk factors included blood pressure, fasting glucose, blood lipid profiles [HDL-C, triglyceride (TG)], and surrogate markers of insulin resistance [TG/HDL-C, TG glucose index (TyG)]. The cognitive test included a psychomotor vigilance task as a measure of reaction time and a delayed-match-to-sample task (DMS) as a measure of memory, using the computer-based Psychological Experiment Building Language Version 2.0 program. The differences between MetSyn and non-MetSyn groups in US firefighters were analyzed using an independent *t*-test adjusted for age and BMI. In addition, Spearman correlation and stepwise multiple regression were conducted.

Results: US firefighters with MetSyn exhibited severe insulin resistance estimated by TG/HDL-C and TyG (Cohen's *d*>0.8, all *p*<0.01) compared with their age- and BMI-matched counterparts without MetSyn. In addition, US firefighters with MetSyn exhibited higher DMS total time and reaction time compared with non-MetSyn (Cohen's *d*>0.8, all *p*<0.01). In stepwise linear regression, HDL-C predicted DMS total time (β =- 0.440, R²=0.194, *p*<0.05), and TyG (β =0.432, R²=0.186, *p*<0.05) predicted DMS reaction time.

Conclusion: US firefighters with vs. without MetSyn were predisposed to metabolic risk factors, surrogate markers of insulin resistance, and cognitive function, even when matched for age and BMI, and there was a negative association between metabolic characteristics and cognitive function in US firefighters. The findings of this study suggest that the prevention of MetSyn may be beneficial to supporting firefighters' safety and occupational performance.

KEYWORDS

firefighter health, occupational risk, cardiometabolic disease risk, cognitive health, lineof-duty deaths

1. Introduction

The firefighting occupation exposes firefighters to abnormally high physical demands and dangerous environments, thus increasing the risk of injury and stress-related diseases in firefighters (1). The National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) reported that overexertion/stress/medical problems and sudden cardiac death accounted for 54% and 46% of firefighter fatalities in 2020, respectively (2). Given the graveness of the risk of injury and fatalities in the firefighter profession, comprehensive risk management is important for firefighters' safety and health.

Firefighters endure work-related hazards such as physical exertion, trauma, toxic fumes, and sleep disorder, all of which may lead to cardiometabolic diseases (3). Metabolic syndrome (MetSyn) is a cluster of cardiometabolic disease risk factors, including abdominal obesity, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and impaired fasting glucose, contributing to the heightened morbidity and mortality risk (4). Recently, the meta-analysis reported that the global prevalence of MetSyn ranged from 12.5% (95% CI; 10.2-15.0) to 31.4% (95% CI; 29.8-33.0) (5). Especially, the prevalence of MetSyn among US adults increased from 25.3% in 1988-1994 to 34.2% in 2007-2012 (6) and from 32.5% (95% CI; 29.0-36.2) in 2011-2012 to 36.9% in 2015-2016 (95% CI; 33.9-39.9) (7). A longitudinal study demonstrated that individuals with MetSyn had a higher likelihood of suffering cardiovascular events (8). Several different criteria of MetSyn were previously suggested as risk factors for on-duty sudden cardiac events and/or death in US firefighters (9, 10). Therefore, the identification of appropriate MetSyn prevention and treatment strategies for US firefighters is clearly needed.

Firefighters need to make fast and accurate decisions in emergencies, including extinguishing fires and searching for and rescuing victims while wearing heavy and uncomfortable personal protective equipment (11). Cognitive function is the ability to have a variety of mental capacities, such as decision-making and problemsolving. Moreover, cognitive function is an important factor that could play a role in choosing coping behaviors in a hazardous fire situation. Therefore, firefighters need a higher cognitive function in order to perform their tasks safely and successfully. However, while firefighters are on-duty, firefighting job-related tasks can negatively affect cognitive function (12).

Previous studies suggest that firefighting tasks require a higher level of health-related physical fitness, such as healthy body composition (i.e., low body fat), muscle strength, muscle endurance, flexibility, and cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) (13, 14). Furthermore, inadequate levels of physical fitness may lead to a sudden cardiac event through intense energy demand during firefighter's job-related tasks (15). Nevertheless, Storer et al. reported that Southern California firefighters did not reach the NFPA minimum recommendations for physical fitness standards (16). Given that two-thirds of US firefighters are overweight/obese (17), above the average for the US general population (18), it can be postulated that there should be an important relationship between physical fitness, obesity-related comorbidities, and cognitive function.

The prevalence of MetSyn may be particularly high in US firefighters. This is important to underscore as MetSyn has been shown to have a negative effect on cognitive function, including

processing speed, visuo-spatial abilities, and executive functioning, in the general population (19). Optimal cognitive function is necessary for occupational success and safety in fire services. Therefore, the study aimed to compare differences in cardiometabolic disease risk factors, cognitive function, and physical fitness among US firefighters with and without MetSyn. It was hypothesized that US firefighters with MetSyn would have a worse cognitive function and physical fitness compared with those without MetSyn.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experimental approach to the problem

A cross-sectional design was used to compare the cardiometabolic disease risk factors, cognitive function, and a battery of physical fitness in US firefighters with vs. without MetSyn. In addition, from total cohort data, two equivalent groups of firefighters with MetSyn vs. without MetSyn were selected using a rigorous paired-match with respect to age and BMI. MetSyn was defined using the American Heart Association and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (AHA/NHLBI) (20) and as having three of the following five criteria: (1) waist circumference ≥ 102 cm, (2) HDL-C < 40 mg/dL, (3) triglyceride (TG) ≥ 150 mg/dL, (4) fasting glucose ≥ 100 mg/dL, (5) systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 130 mmHg, or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥ 85 mmHg.

2.2. Participants

A convenience sampling method was performed in the study. Initially, 133 male firefighters have recruited from a mid-sized, West South-Central city, in the United States. Participants were included in the study if they were able to participate in physical activity such as physical fitness tests. If any participants did not want to participate in the study or were not able to participate in the physical fitness tests due to medical concerns, then they were excluded from the study. Prior to the experiment, all participants received an oral explanation of the study procedure, along with an explanation of the risks and benefits of study participation. Participants then completed the written consent form. Nineteen firefighters dropped out during measurement sessions due to personal reasons and scheduling conflicts. Thus, 114 participants completed this study. The study was approved by the University's Institutional Review Board (#958-2019).

2.3. Procedures

Participants were instructed not to participate in vigorous physical activity at least 48 h before the study and were asked to fast 1 day before the test after 9:00 p.m. Participants visited the human performance lab at 8 a.m. and completed the following: (1) Written consent form and PAR-Q (Physical activity readiness questionnaire), (2) resting blood pressure, (3) fasting glucose and blood lipid profiles, (4) computer-based cognitive function tests, and (5) physical fitness tests.

2.4. Anthropometric characteristics and body composition

Participants' body height and weight were measured using a stadiometer and a standard weight beam to the nearest 0.1 cm and 0.1 kg, respectively. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing body weight (kg) by the square of body height (m²). Waist and hip circumferences were measured with a measuring tape, and the waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) was calculated. The skinfold thickness method was applied to examine the body composition. Three body sites, including the upper chest, abdominal, and mid-thigh, were measured with a Lange skinfold caliper (Cambridge Scientific, Cambridge, Maryland, United States). Each site was measured two times by a trained technician, and the average score was reported in the study. The result was then used to estimate body fat percentage (21).

2.5. Cardiometabolic disease risk factors

Cardiometabolic disease risks factors, such as resting blood pressure, fasting glucose, and blood lipid profiles, were measured in the morning from 8 a.m. to 9 a.m. Participants were asked to perform overnight fasting. Resting blood pressure was measured with an automatic blood pressure monitor (Advantage 6021 N, American Diagnostic Corporation, New York, United States). Participants were seated in the back support chair for at least 10 min with both feet flat on the floor. The blood pressure cuff was placed on the upper arm about 2.5 cm above the antecubital space to ensure the cuff covered the brachial artery. The blood pressure was measured two times, and the average scores of SBP, DBP, and mean blood pressure (MBP) were recorded in the study. Fasting glucose and lipid profiles were measured with a portable blood analyzer (CaridoCheck Plus, PTS Diagnostics, IN, United States). First, a technician wiped the participant's finger with an alcohol pad and dried it out. A disposable Lancet BD puncture was used to prick the small fingertip. The first drop of blood was wiped with a gauze pad, then the following drops of blood were collected with PTS Collect capillary tube and were used to analyze fasting glucose and blood lipid profiles. The level of fasting glucose, HDL-C, and TG was reported in the study. In addition, the surrogate estimates of insulin resistance, including TG/HDL-C and TyG, were used in the present study.

2.6. Cognitive function test

Participants underwent cognitive function tests in a quiet room, free of distractions, using the computer-based Psychological Experiment Building Language (PEBL) Version 2.0 program (22). Participants completed two different tests, including a psychomotor vigilance task (PVT) and a delayed-match-to-sample task (DMS). PVT is a simple reaction time test where the participant clicks the spacebar as quickly as possible when the red circle appears on the screen. The red circle appeared unexpectedly and ranged from 2 to 12 s between trials. DMS is a short-term memory test. First, a 4×4 matrix patterns filled with yellow and red squares is shown on the monitor. After a certain amount of time, the matrix pattern disappears, and then two matrix patterns appear on the screen. The participant selects the matrix pattern that was shown previously as accurately and quickly as possible. Following a few familiarization trials, participants performed 40 trials of the PVT test for 5 min to evaluate the average reaction time and performed 20 trials of the DMS test for 20 min to evaluate memory function (23). PVT correctness and reaction time, DMS correctness, total time, and reaction time were recorded in the study.

2.7. A battery of physical fitness tests

A battery of physical fitness tests included sit and reach, hand grip strength, vertical jump, chair sit-stand, and YMCA submaximal cycling tests. Participants performed warm-up exercises such as dynamic stretching and cycling for 5 min prior to the tests. A sit-andreach test was used to examine flexibility. Participants were seated with legs extended, feet flat against a measurement box and placed their hands on the box, and pushed the measurement bar forward as far as possible. Participants performed three trials, and the best score was recorded in the study. Hand-grip strength test was assessed with a dynamometer (Jamar, Bolingbrook, IL, United States) and used to examine muscular strength. The dominant hand was measured with the arm extended. Participants maximally squeezed the dynamometer for approximately 5s. Participants performed three trials, and the highest score was recorded. A vertical jump test was performed to examine the muscular power of a Just Jump System (Fitness Technology, Adelaide, Australia). Participants stood on the jump mat $(27 \text{ inches} \times 27 \text{ inches})$ that is connected to a portable computer unit. The jump height is calculated based on the flying time taken as the time from the participants' feet leaving the mat to once again contacting the mat. Participants performed three trials, and the best score was reported in the study. A chair sit-stand for 30 s was applied to examine muscular endurance. Participants performed as many full stands as possible after the "go" signal, and the number of sit and stands was recorded in the study. A submaximal YMCA cycling test was applied to estimate CRF. Participants first performed 3 min of warm-up cycling exercise with no resistance and 50 RPM, then pedaled for 3 min at 0.5 kg and recorded heart rate at 2 and 3 min in the first stage to find a steady state heart rate (<5 beats/min). Based on the heart rate response, different resistance was applied in the next 2nd and 3rd stages. Once the two consecutive 3-min cycling exercises were completed where the heart rate (HR) response was between 110 bpm and 85% age-predicted HR_{max}, the test was terminated. The VO_{2max} value was calculated with the formula $(VO_{2max} [mL/kg/min] = (work)$ rate [kgm/min]×1.8 mL O₂/kgm) ÷ body weight (kg)+7 mL/kg/ min) (24).

2.8. Statistical analyses

The data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows, version 26 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States). All data were expressed as mean (M) and strand error of the mean (SEM). The differences between MetSyn and non-MetSyn groups were analyzed using an independent *t*-test adjusted for age and BMI. Of them, 18 firefighters were selected from each group to perform a paired-match analysis with respect to age $(\pm 2 \text{ years})$ and BMI $(\pm 2 \text{ kg/m}^2)$. Correlation for Spearman's correlation and regression for stepwise multiple linear regression was used to determine the association between cognitive function and

cardiometabolic disease risk factors in US firefighters. Effect sizes were calculated using independent and dependent Cohen's d. The statistical significance level was set at 0.05.

3. Results

The anthropometric characteristics, cardiometabolic disease risk factors, cognitive function, and physical fitness of the US firefighters are summarized in Table 1. Of the US firefighter at baseline, 66 participants (57.9%) had MetSyn. MetSyn group had significantly higher age, BMI, height, weight, percent body fat, waist and hip circumference, and WHR compared with the non-MetSyn group. In addition, the MetSyn group had significantly worse all cardiometabolic disease risk factors compared with non-MetSyn groups. Although no

significant differences between groups were noted in cognitive function, the CRF was lower in the MetSyn group compared with the non-MetSyn group.

For subgroup analyses (Table 2), although anthropometric characteristics and blood pressure variables were not significantly different between groups, fasting glucose, blood lipid profiles, and surrogate estimates of insulin resistance were similar to that of the total population analyses. In addition, DMS total time and DMS reaction time were higher in the MetSyn group compared with the non-MetSyn group (Figure 1), and CRF was significantly lower in the MetSyn group than in the non-MetSyn group.

Tables 3, 4 show the results of Spearman's correlation and the stepwise linear regression analysis between cognitive function and fasting glucose, blood lipid profiles, and surrogate estimates of insulin resistance in US firefighters. HDL-C was significantly correlated with

TABLE 1 Anthropometric, cardiometabolic, cognitive function, and physical fitness of the metabolic syndrome status in US firefighters.

		Non-MetSyn (<i>N</i> = 48)	MetSyn (<i>N</i> = 66)	Adjusted p-value	Cohen's d
Physica	l characteristics				
	Age (year)	34.2±1.3	43.1±3.2	0.001*	0.40
	BMI (kg/m ²)	28.3±0.5	32.8 ± 0.7	0.001*	0.20
	Height (cm)	175.4 ± 1.0	178.2 ± 0.9	0.018*	0.22
	Weight (kg)	87.3±1.6	104.5 ± 2.7	0.001*	0.31
	Percent body fat (%)	17.7±0.6	23.3 ± 0.9	0.001	0.29
	Waist circumference (cm)	89.6±1.0	106.2 ± 2.6	0.001	0.51
	Hip circumference (cm)	103.6±0.8	108.7 ± 2.1	0.001	0.22
	WHR (ratio)	0.9±0.0	1.0 ± 0.5	0.030	0.45
Cardior	netabolic risk factors				
	SBP (mmHg)	129.9 ± 1.4	138.7 ± 1.9	0.001	0.30
	DBP (mmHg)	79.1±1.1	88.4 ± 1.4	0.001	0.30
	MBP (mmHg)	99.4±1.1	108.5 ± 1.5	0.001	0.34
	HDL-C (mg/dL)	59.8±2.2	46.5 ± 1.7	0.001	0.39
	TG (mg/dL)	93.8±5.9	117.5±12.5	0.001	0.93
	Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	100.6 ± 1.2	109.5 ± 2.1	0.001	0.71
	TG/HDL-C	1.7 ± 0.1	4.1 ± 0.3	0.001	0.76
	TyG	8.4 ± 0.1	9.0 ± 0.1	0.001	1.18
Cogniti	ve function				
	PVT correctness (score)	37.8±0.7	38.2±0.3	0.649	0.04
	PVT reaction time (msec)	321.8±3.1	321.9 ± 4.0	0.980	0.15
	DMS correct (score)	26.8 ± 0.4	27.2 ± 0.4	0.538	0.30
	DMS total time (msec)	5900.8±298.3	6879.5±412.1	0.052	0.96
	DMS reaction time (msec)	2756.7±134.7	3072.3 ± 191.8	0.168	0.91
Physica	l fitness				
	Sit and reach (cm)	24.5 ± 1.1	21.5 ± 1.2	0.065	0.71
	Hand grip strength (kg)	49.8±1.1	50.7 ± 1.4	0.625	0.19
	Vertical Jump (cm)	19.3 ± 1.4	18.4 ± 1.0	0.521	0.16
	Chair sit-stand (n)	46.3 ± 1.4	42.3 ± 1.9	0.113	0.35
	VO _{2max} (mL/kg/min)	36.0±1.6	31.1±1.5	0.031	0.94

*Unadjusted P-value; Adjusted for age and BMI; MetSyn, metabolic syndrome; WHR, waist-to-hip ratio; BP, blood pressure; SBP, systolic BP; DBP, diastolic BP; MBP, mean BP; TG, Triglyceride; TyG, TG/glucose; PVT, psychomotor vigilance task; DMS, delayed-match-to-sample task.

	Non-MetSyn (<i>N</i> = 18)	MetSyn (<i>N</i> = 18)	P-value	Cohen's d				
Physical characteristics								
Age (year)	40.3 ± 2.3	40.3 ± 2.6	1.000	0.00				
BMI (kg/m ²)	29.5±0.9	30.3±0.6	0.433	0.20				
Height (cm)	175.3 ± 1.4	176.9 ± 1.3	0.426	0.19				
Weight (kg)	90.8±3.0	94.9±2.6	0.207	0.31				
Percent body fat (%)	19.5±1.1	21.2±1.0	0.302	0.25				
Waist circumference (cm)	93.8±2.1	104.8 ± 5.8	0.083	0.43				
Hip circumference (cm)	105.4 ± 1.5	107.0 ± 1.4	0.427	0.19				
WHR	0.89 ± 0.01	0.98 ± 0.05	0.120	0.39				
Cardiometabolic risk factors								
SBP (mmHg)	132.2±2.8	133.3±1.8	0.774	0.07				
DBP (mmHg)	81.0 ± 2.4	83.4±1.8	0.399	0.20				
MBP (mmHg)	101.5±2.3	103.4±1.6	0.499	0.16				
HDL-C (mg/dL)	65.0 ± 4.6	50.9 ± 2.9	0.014	0.64				
TG (mg/dL)	89.9±7.7	159.1 ± 16.2	0.000	1.07				
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	97.7 ± 1.8	107.1 ± 2.3	0.010	0.74				
TG/HDL	1.5 ± 0.2	3.4 ± 0.5	0.001	0.97				
TyG	8.3 ± 0.1	9.0 ± 0.1	0.000	1.17				
Cognitive function								
PVT correctness (score)	38.9±0.3	38.2±0.7	0.470	0.20				
PVT reaction time (msec)	318.8±4.4	325.8±7.7	0.399	0.17				
DMS correct (score)	26.5 ± 0.6	27.3±0.6	0.257	0.28				
Physical fitness								
Sit and reach (cm)	25.9±1.9	22.5±2.1	0.214	0.31				
Hand grip strength (kg)	48.1 ± 1.9	48.8 ± 2.0	0.840	0.04				
Vertical Jump (cm)	19.3 ± 1.4	18.4 ± 1.0	0.521	0.16				
Chair sit-stand (n)	48.0±2.8	42.8 ± 2.7	0.225	0.32				
VO _{2max} (mL/kg/min)	39.5±3.4	29.3±2.9	0.008	0.80				

TABLE 2 Anthropometric, cardiometabolic, cognitive function, and physical fitness of the paired-matched metabolic syndrome status in US firefighters.

MetSyn, metabolic syndrome; WHR, waist-to-hip ratio; BP, blood pressure; SBP, systolic BP; DBP, diastolic BP; MBP, mean BP; TG, Triglyceride; TyG, TG/glucose; PVT, psychomotor vigilance task; DMS, delayed-match-to-sample task.

PVT correctness score (R_s =0.37) and DMS reaction time (R_s =-0.39). In addition, TG (DMS total time, R_s =0.42; DMS reaction time, R_s =0.46), TG/HDL-C (DMS total time, R_s =0.49; DMS reaction time, R_s =0.42), and TyG (DMS total time, R_s =0.44; DMS reaction time, R_s =0.47) were positively correlated with DMS total time and DMS reaction time. In stepwise linear regression, HDL-C predicted DMS total time (β =-0.44, R^2 =0.19) and TyG (β =0.43, R^2 =0.19) predicted DMS reaction time.

4. Discussion

The study aimed to compare differences in cardiometabolic disease risk factors, cognitive function, and physical fitness tests among US firefighters with and without MetSyn. The main finding from the present study shows that US firefighters with MetSyn, compared with non-MetSyn, manifest worse physical and cognitive function, distinguished by (i) higher fasting glucose and TG concentrations, (ii) lower HDL-C concentrations, (iii) higher surrogate estimates of insulin resistance (TG/HDL-C and TyG), (v) slower response in working memory, (iv) and poor CRF. Further, among the firefighters, HDL-C and TyG were associated with DMS total time and DMS reaction time, respectively.

It is widely recognized that MetSyn is positively associated with an increased risk of sudden cardiac death (25). Our study showed that approximately 58% of US firefighters had MetSyn, exceeding more than 1.5 times the US MetSyn prevalence (7). Bour et al. reported that the prevalence of MetSyn was 28.3% for firefighters using the modified Joint Scientific Statement (26), and Carey et al. demonstrated that the prevalence of MetSyn was 46.7% in US firefighters using the National Institute of Health (27). A wide range of MetSyn prevalence trends among US firefighters may be stemmed from various diagnostic criteria and definitions. Furthermore, given that 90% of our study participants are overweight and obese and considering the strong relationship between the degree of adiposity and higher risk for



TABLE 3 Spearman's correlations between cognitive function and blood lipid profiles, and surrogate estimates of insulin resistance in US firefighters.

	HDL-C (md/dL)	TG (md/dL)	Glucose (md/dL)	TG/HDL-C	TyG
PVT correctness (score)	0.369*	0.033	-0.131	-0.146	0.035
PVT reaction time (msec)	0.096	-0.154	-0.069	-0.143	-0.114
DMS correct (score)	0.009	-0.072	0.090	-0.010	-0.111
DMS total time (msec)	-0.394*	0.421*	0.209	0.494**	0.437**
DMS reaction time (msec)	-0.207	0.457**	0.271	0.419*	0.474**

TG, Triglyceride; TyG, TG/glucose; PVT, psychomotor vigilance task; DMS, delayed-match-to-sample task. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01. * p < 0.

MetSyn, it is expected that we observe a higher prevalence of MetSyn in the present study when compared to others.

The present study demonstrated differences in anthropometric characteristics between US firefighters with and without MetSyn; the US firefighters with MetSyn had significantly higher in all anthropometric characteristics (age, BMI, height, weight, percent body fat, waist, and hip circumference, WHR) compared with the non-MetSyn group. Although the pathophysiology of MetSyn is not clearly understood, it is known that aging and BMI play an important role in the deterioration of metabolic health, i.e., at high risk for MetSyn (28, 29). To exclude the potential confounding effects of different ages and BMI on cardiometabolic disease risk factors, cognitive function, and physical fitness between US firefighters with and without MetSyn, we further performed a rigorous paired-match analysis with respect to age and BMI, confirming that all anthropometric characteristics were not different between the two groups.

Our total cohort and paired-match analysis consistently demonstrated that fasting glucose, blood lipid profiles, and surrogate estimates of insulin resistance were worse in US firefighters with MetSyn vs. without MetSyn. However, the observation that the difference in blood pressure variables among the total cohort disappeared in pair-matched analysis suggests that blood pressure variables are impacted by age and BMI compared with fasting glucose, blood lipid profiles, and surrogate estimates of insulin resistance among US firefighters. Thus, it may be important to match age and BMI while researching firefighters with MetSyn. For the surrogate estimates of insulin resistance, there were large effect sizes determined for MetSyn vs. non-MetSyn among firefighters (Cohen's *d*; TG/HDL-C=0.97, TyG=1.17). This is not surprising since MetSyn is associated with the blood glucose-insulin system. Given that insulin resistance increases cardiovascular event risk in US firefighters (30), firefighters should be given an early clinical diagnosis and regular medical examinations for MetSyn.

Our study revealed that CRF measured by submaximal YMCA cycling test is significantly lower, approximately 35%, in firefighters with MetSyn compared with non-MetSyn, from both cohort and paired-match analyses. Previous studies suggested that higher CRF has been associated with decreased number of metabolic abnormalities among firefighters (31, 32). Especially, McAllister et al. reported that firefighter's cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) was negatively associated with surrogate estimated of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (33) and lowest CRF levels (Metabolic equivalents; METs \leq 10) was a higher prevalence of MetSyn compared to highest CRF level (METs > 14) in US firefighter (26). Collectively, increases in CRF might be needed to prevent and treat hypertension, dyslipidemia, dysglycemia, and abdominal obesity among US firefighters.

A previous study suggested that working in an environment of excessive heat in a variety of jobs was associated with an increased risk of cognitive impairments (34). The MetSyn group in the present study showed impaired working memory compared with the non-MetSyn group. In the course of their work, firefighters are exposed to several

	Model	В	SEM	β	Т	Sig.	95% CI	
							Lower	Upper
	(Constant)	9578.494	1445.375		6.627	0.000	6617.777	12539.210
DMS total time (msec)	HDL-C	-61.297	23.640	-0.440	-2.593	0.015	-109.722	-12.873
(insee)	$R^2 = 0.194 \ (F = 6.723, p < 0.05)$							
	(Constant)	-7312.768	3993.969		-1.831	0.077	-15481.351	855.815
DMS reaction time (msec)	TyG	1188.643	461.064	0.432	2.578	0.015	245.662	2131.624
time (msee)	$R^2 = 0.186 \ (F = 6.646, p < 0.05)$							

TABLE 4 Stepwise linear regression analysis on cognitive function with blood lipid profiles and surrogate estimates of insulin resistance in US firefighters.

TyG, TG/glucose; DMS, delayed-match-to-sample.

negative environmental factors, including heat exhaustion and toxic hazards, which can result in negative effects on neuro-cognitive function (35). Canetti et al. investigated the effect of a simulated 15-min firefighting task on psychological and heat stress indicators in firefighters (36). The author confirmed that cognitive function was impaired due to exposure to elevated heat stress and core temperature during simulated firefighter duties. In addition, Hemmatjo et al. examined the effect of simulated firefighting tasks on visual and auditory cognitive function in healthy professional firefighters (37). The author found that auditory cognitive function, working memory, and information processing declined more than visual function following the live-fire task. Firefighting may lead to more central nervous system dysfunction as heat stress induces a negative thermoregulatory response (38). Moreover, thermoregulatory dysfunction deteriorates blood glucose levels, blood vessels, and nerves through sweat glands and dehydration from heat stress. Thus, firefighters with MetSyn are at a higher risk of experiencing impaired cognitive function than those without MetSyn because they have higher resting metabolic rates, heart rate, and body temperatures, making them more susceptible to heat exhaustion (39, 40).

Interestingly, the present study yields valuable information regarding the association between fasting glucose, blood lipid profiles, and surrogate estimates of insulin resistance and cognitive function. Notably, HDL-C was positively associated with sustained attention and negatively associated with working memory response, and TG, TG/HDL, and TyG were significantly correlated with working memory speed and response time. The questions under investigation are whether a firefighter's fasting glucose, blood lipid profiles, and surrogate estimates of insulin resistance status are marker-specific for cognitive function and whether the risk of decreasing cognitive function is the result of obesity-related cardiometabolic disease risk factors or the result of the firefighter's hazardous working conditions. In addition, the results of the study suggested that HDL-C and TyG were potential markers of working memory function in US firefighters. He et al. suggested that HDL-C and TG levels were associated with events of mild cognitive impairment (41), and Crichton et al. reported that individuals in the higher HDL-C group ($\geq 60 \text{ mg/dL}$) had a better working memory and mental state than the lower HDL-C group (<40 mg/ dL) (42). Although the neuro-physiological mechanism is still unclear, HDL-C eliminates excessively impaired cholesterol and transports it to the liver. This transport pathway could be used to maintain and preserve the specific regions of the frontal and temporal lobes connected with cognitive functions such as

attention, problem-solving, inhibition of behavior and memory, etc. (43, 44). Given that cerebral blood flow is associated with impaired memory, attention, and behavior, this could potentially elucidate the relationship between blood lipid profiles and surrogate estimates of insulin resistance and cognitive function. Furthermore, Willmann et al. found that insulin resistance plays an independent role in cognitive function among people with type 2 diabetes (45), and Neergaard et al. reported that long-term follow-up (15 years) of their study revealed that individuals with impaired fasting glucose and insulin resistance had an increased risk of cognitive dysfunction (46). Insulin resistance shares pathophysiological mechanisms with type 2 diabetes and dementia and can be used to estimate memory function through activation in brain glucose metabolism. Therefore, firefighters with MetSyn might lead impaired situational judgments under live-fire conditions.

The strengths of the present study are as follows: (a) first-time simultaneous comparison of cardiometabolic disease risk factors, cognitive function, and physical fitness in US firefighters with MetSyn vs. without MetSyn, (b) examination of the association between MetSyn and cognitive function among US firefighters, (c) a rigorous pair-matching between US firefighters with MetSyn group and non-MetSyn group with respect to age and BMI, (e) estimation of insulin resistance (TG/HDL-C, TyG) to identify risk factor of dysglycemia. Nevertheless, this study has several limitations. One of the limitations of our cross-sectional study was that it examined a small sample size for the total cohort and paired-match analysis, with only one city's fire department and including male participants only. Future studies should examine larger samples of US firefighters from various geographic locations and include longitudinal follow-up studies. In addition, physical fitness is not a firefighting-specific task test. Therefore, future study is needed to determine whether there is a relationship between firefighter functional fitness test and cognitive function among US firefighters.

5. Conclusion

Our study findings demonstrated that US firefighters with MetSyn are predisposed to surrogate markers of insulin resistance and cognitive function, even when matched for age and BMI, and there was a negative association between metabolic disease risk factors and cognitive function in US firefighters. Therefore, the prevention/ treatment of MetSyn may be beneficial to supporting firefighters' safety and occupational performance.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by #958-2019. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

M-WS, JK, and HJ conceived and designed the experiments. JG and HJ performed the experiments. M-WS, J-ML, KH, JK, and HJ analyzed and interpreted the data. M-WS, JG, J-ML, KH, JK, and HJ contributed reagents, materials, analysis tools or data and wrote the paper. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

References

1. Maloney SR, Udasin IG, Black TM, Shah NN, Steinberg MB, Pratt ME, et al. Perceived health risks among firefighters; the New Jersey firefighter health survey. J Occup Environ Med. (2021) 63:317–21. doi: 10.1097/jom.000000000002125

2. Campbell R, Evarts B. United States firefighter injuries in 2020 Massachusetts, USA: National Fire Protection Association (NFPA). (2021).

 Mehrdad R, Haghighi KS, Esfahani AH. Sleep quality of professional firefighters. Int. J Prev Med. (2013) 4:1095–100.

4. Demerath EW, Reed D, Rogers N, Sun SS, Lee M, Choh AC, et al. Visceral adiposity and its anatomical distribution as predictors of the metabolic syndrome and cardiometabolic risk factor levels. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2008) 88:1263–71. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2008.26546

5. Noubiap JJ, Nansseu JR, Lontchi-Yimagou E, Nkeck JR, Nyaga UF, Ngouo AT, et al. Geographic distribution of metabolic syndrome and its components in the general adult population: a meta-analysis of global data from 28 million individuals. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2022) 188:109924. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2022.109924

6. Moore JX, Chaudhary N, Akinyemiju T. Metabolic syndrome prevalence by race/ ethnicity and sex in the United States, National Health and nutrition examination survey, 1988-2012. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2017) 14:E24. doi: 10.5888/pcd14.160287

7. Hirode G, Wong RJ. Trends in the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in the United States, 2011-2016. JAMA. (2020) 323:2526-8. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.4501

8. Lind L, Sundström J, Ärnlöv J, Risérus U, Lampa E. A longitudinal study over 40 years to study the metabolic syndrome as a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:2978. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-82398-8

9. Geibe JR, Holder J, Peeples L, Kinney AM, Burress JW, Kales SN. Predictors of onduty coronary events in male firefighters in the United States. *Am J Cardiol.* (2008) 101:585–9. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2007.10.017

10. Yang J, Teehan D, Farioli A, Baur DM, Smith D, Kales SN. Sudden cardiac death among firefighters \leq 45 years of age in the United States. *Am J Cardiol.* (2013) 112:1962–7. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2013.08.029

11. Zare S, Hemmatjo R, Allahyari T, Hajaghazadeh M, Hajivandi A, Aghabeigi M, et al. Comparison of the effect of typical firefighting activities, live fire drills and rescue operations at height on firefighters' physiological responses and cognitive function. *Ergonomics.* (2018) 61:1334–44. doi: 10.1080/00140139.2018.1484524

12. Aisbett B, Wolkow A, Sprajcer M, Ferguson SA. "awake, smoky, and hot": providing an evidence-base for managing the risks associated with occupational stressors encountered by wildland firefighters. *Appl Ergon.* (2012) 43:916–25. doi: 10.1016/j. apergo.2011.12.013

13. Xu D, Song Y, Meng Y, István B, Gu Y. Relationship between firefighter physical fitness and special ability performance: predictive research based on machine learning algorithms. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:1–10. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17207689.

14. Michaelides MA, Parpa KM, Henry LJ, Thompson GB, Brown BS. Assessment of physical fitness aspects and their relationship to firefighters' job abilities. *J Strength Cond Res.* (2011) 25:956–65. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181cc23ea

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the US firefighters who participated and joined the present study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

15. Michaelides MA, Parpa KM, Thompson J, Brown B. Predicting performance on a firefighter's ability test from fitness parameters. *Res Q Exerc Sport.* (2008) 79:468–75. doi: 10.1080/02701367.2008.10599513

16. Storer TW, Dolezal BA, Abrazado ML, Smith DL, Batalin MA, Tseng CH, et al. Firefighter health and fitness assessment: a call to action. *J Strength Cond Res.* (2014) 28:661–71. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e31829b54da

17. Poston WS, Haddock CK, Jahnke SA, Jitnarin N, Tuley BC, Kales SN. The prevalence of overweight, obesity, and substandard fitness in a population-based firefighter cohort. *J Occup Environ Med.* (2011) 53:266–73. doi: 10.1097/JOM.0b013e31820af362

18. Hales CM, Carroll MD, Fryar CD, Ogden CL. Prevalence of obesity and severe obesity among adults: United States, 2017–2018. NCHS data brief, no 360 Maryland, USA: National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). (2020).

19. Guicciardi M, Crisafulli A, Doneddu A, Fadda D, Lecis R. Effects of metabolic syndrome on cognitive performance of adults during exercise. *Front Psychol.* (2019) 10:1845. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01845

20. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, et al. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/ National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute scientific statement. *Circulation*. (2005) 112:2735–52. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.105.169404

21. Siri WE. Body composition from fluid spaces and density: analysis of methods. 1961. Nutrition. (1993) 9:480-91.

22. Mueller ST, Piper BJ. The psychology experiment building language (PEBL) and PEBL test battery. *J Neurosci Methods*. (2014) 222:250–9. doi: 10.1016/j. jneumeth.2013.10.024

23. Hwang J, Castelli DM, Gonzalez-Lima F. The positive cognitive impact of aerobic fitness is associated with peripheral inflammatory and brain-derived neurotrophic biomarkers in young adults. *Physiol Behav.* (2017) 179:75–89. doi: 10.1016/j. physbeh.2017.05.011

24. Beekley MD, Brechue WF, deHoyos DV, Garzarella L, Werber-Zion G, Pollock ML. Cross-validation of the YMCA submaximal cycle ergometer test to predict VO2max. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. (2004) 75:337–42. doi: 10.1080/02701367.2004.10609165

25. Hess PL, Al-Khalidi HR, Friedman DJ, Mulder H, Kucharska-Newton A, Rosamond WR, et al. The metabolic syndrome and risk of sudden cardiac death: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2017) 6:1–8. doi: 10.1161/jaha.117.006103.

26. Baur DM, Christophi CA, Kales SN. Metabolic syndrome is inversely related to cardiorespiratory fitness in male career firefighters. J Strength Cond Res. (2012) 26:2331–7. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e31823e9b19

27. Carey MG, Al-Zaiti SS, Liao LM, Martin HN, Butler RA. A low-glycemic nutritional fitness program to reverse metabolic syndrome in professional firefighters: results of a pilot study. *J Cardiovasc Nurs.* (2011) 26:298–304. doi: 10.1097/JCN.0b013e31820344d7

28. Kuk JL, Ardern CI. Age and sex differences in the clustering of metabolic syndrome factors: association with mortality risk. *Diabetes Care*. (2010) 33:2457–61. doi: 10.2337/dc10-0942

29. Ebron K, Andersen CJ, Aguilar D, Blesso CN, Barona J, Dugan CE, et al. A larger body mass index is associated with increased Atherogenic dyslipidemia, insulin resistance, and low-grade inflammation in individuals with metabolic syndrome. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord*. (2015) 13:458–64. doi: 10.1089/met.2015.0053

30. Superko HR, Momary KM, Pendyala LK, Williams PT, Frohwein S, Garrett BC, et al. Firefighters, heart disease, and aspects of insulin resistance: the FEMA firefighter heart disease prevention study. *J Occup Environ Med.* (2011) 53:758–64. doi: 10.1097/JOM.0b013e31821f64c3

31. Donovan R, Nelson T, Peel J, Lipsey T, Voyles W, Israel RG. Cardiorespiratory fitness and the metabolic syndrome in firefighters. *Occup Med.* (2009) 59:487–92. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kqp095

32. Li K, Lipsey T, Leach HJ, Nelson TL. Cardiac health and fitness of Colorado male/ female firefighters. *Occup Med.* (2017) 67:268–73. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kqx033

33. McAllister MJ, Gonzalez DE, Leonard M, Martaindale MH, Bloomer RJ, Pence J, et al. Risk factors for cardiometabolic disease in professional firefighters. *J Occup Environ Med.* (2022) 65:119–24. doi: 10.1097/jom.00000000002743

34. Rastegar Z, Ghotbi Ravandi MR, Zare S, Khanjani N, Esmaeili R. Evaluating the effect of heat stress on cognitive performance of petrochemical workers: a field study. *Heliyon*. (2022) 8:e08698. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e08698

35. Kwak K, Kim BK, Jang TW, Sim CS, Ahn YS, Choi KS, et al. Association between shift work and neurocognitive function among firefighters in South Korea: a prospective beforeafter study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:1–15. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17134647.

36. Canetti EFD, Gayton S, Schram B, Pope R, Orr RM. Psychological, physical, and heat stress indicators prior to and after a 15-minute structural firefighting task. *Biology*. (2022) 11:1–16. doi: 10.3390/biology11010104.

37. Hemmatjo R, Hajaghazadeh M, Allahyari T, Zare S, Kazemi R. The effects of livefire drills on visual and auditory cognitive performance among firefighters. *Ann Glob Health*. (2020) 86:144. doi: 10.5334/aogh.2626 38. Giersch GEW, Garcia CK, Stachenfeld NS, Charkoudian N. Are there sex differences in risk for exertional heat stroke? A translational approach. *Exp Physiol.* (2022) 107:1136–43. doi: 10.1113/ep090402

39. Speakman JR. Obesity and thermoregulation. *Handb Clin Neurol.* (2018) 156:431-43. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-444-63912-7.00026-6

40. Bastardot F, Marques-Vidal P, Vollenweider P. Association of body temperature with obesity. The CoLaus study. *Int J Obes.* (2019) 43:1026–33. doi: 10.1038/ s41366-018-0218-7

41. He Q, Li Q, Zhao J, Wu T, Ji L, Huang G, et al. Relationship between plasma lipids and mild cognitive impairment in the elderly Chinese: a case-control study. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2016) 15:146. doi: 10.1186/s12944-016-0320-6

42. Crichton GE, Elias MF, Davey A, Sullivan KJ, Robbins MA. Higher HDL cholesterol is associated with better cognitive function: the Maine-Syracuse study. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* (2014) 20:961–70. doi: 10.1017/s1355617714000885

43. Bates KA, Sohrabi HR, Rainey-Smith SR, Weinborn M, Bucks RS, Rodrigues M, et al. Serum high-density lipoprotein is associated with better cognitive function in a cross-sectional study of aging women. *Int J Neurosci.* (2017) 127:243–52. doi: 10.1080/00207454.2016.1182527

44. Kinno R, Mori Y, Kubota S, Nomoto S, Futamura A, Shiromaru A, et al. High serum high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol is associated with memory function and gyrification of insular and frontal opercular cortex in an elderly memory-clinic population. *Neuroimage Clin.* (2019) 22:101746. doi: 10.1016/j. nicl.2019.101746

45. Willette AA, Bendlin BB, Starks EJ, Birdsill AC, Johnson SC, Christian BT, et al. Association of Insulin Resistance with Cerebral Glucose Uptake in late middle-aged adults at risk for Alzheimer disease. *JAMA Neurol.* (2015) 72:1013–20. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.0613

46. Neergaard JS, Dragsbæk K, Christiansen C, Nielsen HB, Brix S, Karsdal MA, et al. Metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, and cognitive dysfunction: does your metabolic profile affect your brain? *Diabetes*. (2017) 66:1957–63. doi: 10.2337/db16-1444