Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Susan Andersen, University of Southern Denmark, Denmark

REVIEWED BY Yi-Man Teng, Yango University, China Jee In Yoon, Kyung Hee University, Republic of Korea Oksana Zayachkivska, Danylo Halytsky Lviv National Medical University, Ukraine ChingTsu Hsueh, National Open University, Taiwan

\*CORRESPONDENCE Seung-Woo Kang ⊠ tourpro@cau.ac.kr

RECEIVED 26 May 2023 ACCEPTED 12 December 2023 PUBLISHED 08 January 2024

#### CITATION

Kim Y-J, Ban Y-M and Kang S-W (2024) Impact of leisure satisfaction on perceived risk of infectious disease during the COVID-19 pandemic: evidence from new worker classes. *Front. Public Health* 11:1229571. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1229571

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Kim, Ban and Kang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Impact of leisure satisfaction on perceived risk of infectious disease during the COVID-19 pandemic: evidence from new worker classes

### Young-Jae Kim, Young-Min Ban and Seung-Woo Kang\*

Department of Physical Education, Chung-Ang University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

**Introduction:** This study examines how job market changes affect individuals' leisure choices and satisfaction, focusing on worker classes that have undergone daily life changes (e.g., economic and social activities) due to the coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic.

**Methods:** A population-based cross-sectional study design was employed. South Korean workers aged 20–59 years answered an online survey administered from September 14 to October 4, 2021. Ultimately, 764 responses were used in the analysis. The measures used in this study consisted of factors affecting infectious disease risk perception and leisure satisfaction among the six new worker classes that emerged during the pandemic, according to socio-demographic status.

**Results:** The results showed that among male participants, social, emotional, physical, environmental, and educational factors of leisure satisfaction were more strongly affected, with higher social, physical, and interpersonal relationships being factors in the perception of infectious disease risk. Among female participants, the interpersonal relationship factor of perceived risk was significantly affected by the social, emotional, and educational factors of leisure satisfaction. Interpersonal relationships, affected leisure satisfaction among members of Classes 1–3, who experienced no change in pay. However, for the members of Class6 who experienced a decrease in pay, the economic factor negatively affected leisure satisfaction and played a pivotal role in our findings.

**Conclusion:** This study verified the risk factors that inhibit leisure satisfaction among new worker classes that emerged during the COVID-19 pandemic. Furthermore, the psychological health of people suffering pandemic-related financial constraints was affected, as they experienced a lower quality of life owing to reduced leisure activities and satisfaction.

#### KEYWORDS

COVID-19, leisure activities, leisure satisfaction, new worker classes, perceived risk of infectious disease

## **1** Introduction

During the coronavirus disease pandemic (COVID-19) in South Korea, work and leisure activities, essential elements of human life, were significantly affected due to public health and safety measures (1). To address the pandemic, strict social distancing measures were implemented, leading to the transformation of numerous leisure activities into home-based endeavors, thereby blurring the boundaries between domestic life and leisure pursuits (2-6).

Satisfaction derived from work, wages, and leisure significantly influences overall life satisfaction (7–12). Higher incomes have been associated with a more diverse range of leisure activities, contributing to increased positive life satisfaction (13). Conversely, financial stress inhibits participation in leisure activities (14). The pandemic worsened employment challenges, resulting in an increase in temporary and low-wage jobs, further widening the wage gap among different worker classes (15). These economic disparities directly impacted leisure opportunities, especially for newly classified worker classes (16).

Additionally, stringent regulations limited gatherings to four or fewer individuals until 6 p.m., exclusively for fully vaccinated individuals. Post 6 p.m., gatherings were further restricted to a maximum of two individuals. Furthermore, restaurants, cafes, and indoor sports facilities were allowed to operate until 10 p.m (17). These measures significantly restricted leisure activities, adversely impacting leisure satisfaction and overall quality of life.

Amid the economic challenges brought on by COVID-19, individuals' perceptions of infectious diseases as a significant threat to their financial stability led to profound psychological distress (18). The emergence of new working classes during the pandemic intensified existing inequalities (19). Professionals and managerial workers with remote work options (Classes 1–3) fared better, while Class 6 workers in sectors like retail and restaurants faced severe economic hardship (16). Economic instability directly limits leisure activities due to financial constraints, underscoring the need to explore shifts in leisure pursuits across all working classes amid pandemic-induced economic fluctuations.

Understanding leisure needs and satisfaction is crucial for comprehending how individuals select and continue to participate in leisure activities (20-22). COVID-19 has drastically altered the landscape of leisure activities (23, 24) and satisfaction, as individuals adjusted their pursuits in response to the perceived physical and functional risks associated with the virus (20, 25-28). This study examines the transformations in leisure activities and satisfaction influenced by changes in working hours and financial conditions caused by the pandemic. Specifically, it investigates the decline in individual leisure engagement throughout the pandemic, attributing it to factors such as altered economic activities, fear of disease transmission, and adherence to social norms that discouraged physical contact. The study specifically focuses on the unique challenges faced by different working classes, aiming to discern how pandemic-induced shifts in the labor market and resulting risk perceptions influenced their leisure choices and satisfaction levels. The study findings serve as a foundation to formulate strategies to address the limitations faced by working classes in leisure activities, introduce innovative leisure pursuits, and enhance overall leisure satisfaction (Figure 1).

## 2 Methods

Workers in their 20s to 50s engaged in leisure activities were recruited through convenience sampling. The survey was administered online from September 14 to October 4, 2021, by the Korean research company Macromill Embrain. Before taking the survey, research participants were educated on the content, purpose, and ethics of the study. A survey was administered to those who agreed to participate. We gave respondents a mobile coupon worth 3,000 won (2–3 dollars) as an incentive for participating in this study. A total of 790 responses were collected, indicating a return rate of 95%. After excluding 26 surveys with multiple or missing responses, as well as those inaccurately written, 764 copies were analyzed.

## 2.1 Research participants

Reich (19) classified new classes of workers that emerged after the outbreak of COVID-19. (1) The Essentials-1: Workers maintaining their regular work hours and wages in spite of the pandemic. (2) The Essentials-2: Workers operating with reduced hours or alternating workdays while maintaining their pre-pandemic wages. (3) The Unpaid-1: Employees continuing to work as usual but receiving reduced wages. (4) The Unpaid-2: Workers operating with reduced hours or alternating workdays and receiving reduced wages. (5) The Forgotten: Employees on unpaid leave, working in temporarily closed workplaces, placed on standby, or not working at all during the pandemic. Based on this, Kim and Kang (16) classified six new classes specific to Korea and developed corresponding scales for these new classes. The demographic characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1.

### 2.2 Measurement instrument

The collected data were analyzed using SPSS 26.0. After completing the first draft of the survey, one professor and two PhD-holders in the field of leisure science verified its content validity to confirm item content appropriateness. A frequency analysis was conducted to investigate the variables representing socio-demographic characteristics, and an exploratory factor analysis was conducted to examine the components of infectious disease risk perception and leisure satisfaction. To verify reliability, internal consistency was assessed using Cronbach's  $\alpha$ . Multiple linear regression analysis was conducted to analyze the relationship between the risk perception of infectious disease and leisure satisfaction according to sociodemographic factors.

### 2.3 Measurement tools

The research measures used in this study captured perceptions of the risk of infectious disease, leisure, and leisure satisfaction among each new class of worker during the pandemic. The sociodemographic variables used by Kim and Kang (16) and Sun (29), were modified and supplemented pursuant to the purposes of the present



### TABLE 1 Participant characteristics.

Variable			n (%)				
	Male						
Sex	Female		362 (47.4)				
	Total						
	20s		230 (30.1)				
	30s		171 (22.4)				
A	40s		161 (21.1)				
Age	50s		170 (22.3)				
	60s						
	Total		764				
	Class 1	Working from home at least 1–2 days a week with no commute or change in pay	101 (13.2)				
	Class 2	Commuting to and from work without change in current pay	484 (43.4)				
	Class 3	Working reduced hours and commuting every other day without change in current pay					
New working class	Class 4	lass 4 Commuting normally with reduced pay					
class	Class 5	Working reduced hours and commuting every other day with reduced pay	31 (4.1)				
	Class 6	Experiencing unpaid leave (furlough), closed business, or being on standby post-COVID-19 outbreak	60 (7.9)				
	Total		764				
	Viewing and attending cultural art events						
	Viewing and attending sporting events						
Leisure activities	Sightseeing activities						
	Enjoying recre	87 (11.4)					
	Relaxing activ	347 (45.4)					
	Engaging in so	ocial and other activities	64 (8.3)				
	Total		764				

study. The survey consisted of four sets of items on sex, age, new classes of workers, and leisure activities.

### 2.3.1 Perception of the risk of infectious diseases

A scale measuring the perceived risk of fine dust (30) that was modified pursuant to the COVID-19 pandemic and a scale measuring the perception of risks related to COVID-19 (31) were used. The adapted scale comprises 11 items across four (economic, social, physical, and interpersonal) relationship factors, measured on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 ("strongly disagree") to 5 ("strongly agree"). The results of the exploratory factor analysis conducted to determine the validity of the tool are shown in Table 2. These four factors were extracted from the 11 input items. Data were examined using Bartlett's test of sphericity and the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) test to determine whether the population followed a normal distribution and whether the data were appropriate for factor analysis.

Items Factor h2 COVID-19-related issues are the most important issues in society. 0.054 0.181 Risk perception\_social3 0.828 0.000 0.722 Risk perception\_social2 Society has suffered much damage due to COVID-19. 0.792 0.060 0.109 -0.0320.644 Risk perception\_social1 The degree of risk that society feels due to COVID-19 is significant. 0.712 0.121 0.124 0.042 0.538 0.880 Risk perception\_economic2 Enormous financial costs have been incurred due to COVID-19. 0.055 0.160 0.020 0.804 Financial damage has been caused by COVID-19. 0.091 0.855 Risk perception\_economic1 0.124 -0.0420.756 Buying facemasks due to COVID-19 has been burdensome. 0.094 0.689 0.080 0.185 0.524 Risk perception\_economic3 Risk perception\_interpersonal COVID-19 has negatively affected my interpersonal relationships. 0.076 0.845 0.042 0.761 0.200 relationship1 Risk perception\_interpersonal COVID-19 has destroyed my interpersonal relationships. -0.019 0.280 0.800 0.075 0.724 relationship3 Risk perception\_interpersonal 0.633 COVID-19 has limited my social activities. 0.379 0.059 0.695 0.040 relationship2 Risk perception\_physical2 COVID-19 is similar to the common cold. 0.246 0.041 0.045 0.805 0.691 Risk perception\_physical3 COVID-19 is a type of pneumonia. -0.2420.098 0.074 0.786 0.712 Reliability 0.734 0.767 0.749 0.461 0.748 Eigenvalue 2.143 2.100 1.952 1.314 Variate (%) 19.483 19.094 17.745 11.945 Cumulative variance (%) 19.483 38.577 56.322 68.267

TABLE 2 Exploratory factor analysis of the perception of the risk of infectious disease.

KMO = 0.741, χ<sup>2</sup> = 2323.526, df = 55, *p* < 0.001. KMO, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin.

This resulted in a value of 0.741, an overall cumulative variance of 68.267%, and an approximate chi-squared value of 2,323.526, p < 0.001. However, one item (risk perception\_physical1) was removed because its factor loading was lower than the reference value (0.40). The reliability of the tool used in Kim (31) was 0.711; the reliability of the tool used in the present study was 0.748, as represented by Cronbach's alpha.

### 2.3.2 Leisure satisfaction

Leisure satisfaction was measured using the Korean Leisure Satisfaction Scale (KLSS) (21) based on the Leisure Satisfaction Scale (LSS) (32). The original LSS consists of psychological, educational, physical, relaxational, physiological, and environmental factors, whereas the KLSS includes five factors: social, emotional, physical, environmental, and educational. This study used a survey consisting of 24 items, each measured on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 ("strongly disagree") to 5 ("strongly agree"). The results of the exploratory factor analysis conducted to verify the validity of the tool for measuring leisure satisfaction are shown in Table 3.

To determine whether the population followed a normal distribution and whether the data were appropriate for factor analysis, Bartlett's test of sphericity and the KMO test were applied. According to Bartlett's test, the resulting value was 0.888, overall cumulative variance was 75.760%, and approximate chi-squared value was 5,949.643, p < 0.001. The reliability of each factor of the tool used in Lee and Kim (30) was above 0.60, with social satisfaction at 0.908, emotional satisfaction at 0.931, physical satisfaction at 0.916, environmental satisfaction at 0.913, and educational satisfaction at 0.885. The reliability of the tool used in the present study was 0.951, as represented by the Cronbach's alpha.

## **3** Results

This study revealed a gap in leisure activities caused by changes in economic and work conditions that formed the basis of everyday life during the COVID-19 pandemic. It also investigated how differences in infectious disease risk perception affected one's choice of and satisfaction with leisure.

## 3.1 Relationship between leisure satisfaction and perception of infectious disease risk

The following results support the first research question, whether "infectious disease risk perception will affect leisure satisfaction," to identify the effect of the perceived risk of infectious disease on leisure satisfaction. Table 4 shows the results of a multiple regression analysis, where the perception of the risk of infectious disease (economic, social, physical, and interpersonal relationships) was input as the independent variable, and leisure satisfaction (social, emotional, physical, environmental, and educational) was set as the dependent variable.

The following sub-factors of perception of infectious disease risk, predicting the social factor of leisure satisfaction, showed positive effects: perception of risk - interpersonal ( $\beta$ =0.233, *t*=5.868, *p*<0.001), perception of risk - social ( $\beta$ =0.087, *t*=2.285, *p*<0.05), and perception of risk - physical ( $\beta$ =0.072, *t*=2.021, *p*<0.05). With the input of risk perception sub-factors to the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 7.4% ( $R^2$ =0.074), which was statistically significant (*F*=16.115, *p*<0.001).

### TABLE 3 Exploratory factor analysis of leisure satisfaction.

Items			Factor				h2
		1	2	3	4	5	
Leisure satisfaction_social3	I have made many of my current friends through leisure activities.	0.835	0.101	0.146	0.133	0.181	0.77
Leisure satisfaction_social4	During leisure activities, I cultivate friendships with people actively participating.	0.809	0.164	0.190	0.123	0.218	0.78
Leisure satisfaction_social2	I socially interact with others through leisure activities.	0.806	0.231	0.110	0.167	0.086	0.75
Leisure satisfaction_social6	I meet people who share my interests through leisure activities.	0.724	0.206	0.232	0.083	0.204	0.66
Leisure satisfaction_social5	I prefer leisure activities I can enjoy with others.	0.716	0.161	0.260	0.052	0.181	0.64
Leisure satisfaction_social1	Leisure activities help me maintain close relationships with others.	0.653	0.388	0.074	0.178	0.035	0.61
Leisure satisfaction_emotional4	I feel the vitality of life through leisure activities.	0.215	0.799	0.242	0.183	0.212	0.82
Leisure satisfaction_emotional2	Leisure activities make ordinary life less stifling.	0.270	0.799	0.173	0.219	0.151	0.78
Leisure satisfaction_emotional3	I recover psychologically through leisure activities.	0.207	0.798	-0.032	0.094	0.228	0.81
Leisure satisfaction_emotional5	I feel relaxed through leisure activities.	0.174	0.772	0.254	0.214	0.214	0.78
Leisure satisfaction_emotional1	I gain psychological stability through leisure activities.	0.272	0.753	0.175	0.152	0.162	0.72
Leisure satisfaction_physical4	I regulate my body (weight, height) through leisure activities.	0.140	0.040	0.828	0.118	0.151	0.74
Leisure satisfaction_physical3	I can test my physical strength through leisure activities.	0.221	0.156	0.810	0.164	0.196	0.7
Leisure satisfaction_physical2	The leisure activities I engage in help maintain my physical health.	0.232	0.284	0.770	0.223	0.097	0.78
Leisure satisfaction_physical1	My leisure activities can improve my physical strength.	0.203	0.290	0.753	0.241	0.160	0.77
Leisure satisfaction_physical5	My leisure activities restore my physical vitality.	0.202	0.315	0.698	0.334	0.080	0.74
Leisure satisfaction_environmental3	The facilities and places where I engage in leisure activities are fresh and clean.	0.135	0.198	0.200	0.829	0.216	0.83
Leisure satisfaction_environmental2	The facilities where I engage in leisure activities are well-decorated.	0.148	0.232	0.245	0.790	0.228	0.8
Leisure satisfaction_environmental4	The facilities and places where I engage in leisure activities drive interest.	0.195	0.215	0.202	0.759	0.284	0.78
Leisure satisfaction_environmental1	The facilities and places where I engage in leisure activities are visually appealing.	0.156	0.238	0.321	0.713	0.253	0.75
Leisure satisfaction_educational3	My leisure activities allow me to indirectly experience what I cannot experience in real life.	0.164	0.183	0.123	0.153	0.823	0.72
Leisure satisfaction_educational2	My leisure activities allow me to obtain new information.	0.196	0.256	0.145	0.242	0.756	0.75
Leisure satisfaction_educational1	My leisure activities expose me to diverse cultures.	0.184	0.191	0.149	0.348	0.729	0.7
Leisure satisfaction_educational4	My leisure activities help me learn about society in general.	0.257	0.192	0.215	0.217	0.723	0.7
Reliability		0.908	0.931	0.916	0.913	0.885	0.9
Eigenvalue		4.204	4.044	3.739	3.192	3.003	
Variate (%)		17.515	16.850	15.581	13.301	12.513	
Cumulative variance (%)		17.515	34.365	49.946	63.247	75.760	

KMO = 0.888,  $\chi^2$  = 5949.643, df = 91, p < 0.001. KMO, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin.

Next, perception of risk - social ( $\beta = 0.237$ , t = 6.446, p < 0.001), a sub-factor of perception of infectious disease risk that predicts the emotional factor of leisure satisfaction, was found to have a positive effect. With the input of the risk perception sub-factor to the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 12.5% ( $R^2 = 0.125$ ), which was statistically significant (F = 28.274, p < 0.001).

Next, the following sub-factors of perception of infectious disease risk, predicting the physical factor in leisure satisfaction, showed positive effects: perception of risk - interpersonal ( $\beta$ =0.157, *t*=3.941, *p*<0.001), perception of risk - physical ( $\beta$ =0.142, *t*=3.972, *p*<0.001), and perception of risk - social ( $\beta$ =0.096, *t*=2.528, *p*<0.01). With the

input of risk perception sub-factors to the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 3.8% ( $R^2$ =0.038), which was statistically significant (F=8.493, p<0.001).

The following sub-factors of perception of infectious disease risk, predicting the environmental factor in leisure satisfaction, showed positive effects: perception of risk - social ( $\beta$ =0.122, *t*=3.173, *p*<0.001), perception of risk - interpersonal ( $\beta$ =0.101, *t*=2.504, *p*<0.001), and perception of risk - physical ( $\beta$ =0.099, *t*=2.739, *p*<0.01). With the input of risk perception sub-factors to the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 7.4% ( $R^2$ =0.074), which was statistically significant (*F*=16.115, *p*<0.001).

Dependent variable	Independent variable:	Unstandardized coefficient		Standardized coefficient	t	Collinearity statistics		R <sup>2</sup>	F
	Risk perception	В	Std. Error	β		Tolerance	VIF		
	(Constant)	2.103	0.208		10.132***				16.115***
	Economic	-0.052	0.035	-0.056	-1.487	0.137	1.154		
Leisure satisfaction_social	Social	0.103	0.045	0.087	2.285*	0.847	1.181	0.074	
satisfaction_social	Physical	0.077	0.038	0.072	2.021*	0.964	1.037		
	Interpersonal relationship	0.244	0.042	0.233	5.868***	0.773	1.294		
	(Constant)	2.208	0.176		12.553***				28.274***
Leisure	Economic	-0.035	0.030	-0.043	-1.186	0.866	1.154		
satisfaction_ emotional	Social	0.246	0.038	0.237	6.446***	0.847	1.181	0.125	
	Physical	0.058	0.032	0.062	1.803	0.964	1.037		
	Interpersonal relationship	0.179	0.035	0.196	5.093	0.773	1.294	]	
	(Constant)	2.299	0.206		11.133			_	8.493***
Leisure	Economic	-0.049	0.035	-0.053	-1.399	0.866	1.154		
satisfaction_	Social	0.113	0.045	0.096	2.528**	0.847	1.181	0.038	
physical	Physical	0.150	0.038	0.142	3.972***	0.964	1.037		
	Interpersonal relationship	0.163	0.041	0.157	3.941***	0.773	1.294		
	(Constant)	2.625	0.188		13.967***				16.115***
Leisure	Economic	-0.048	0.032	-0.057	-1.496	0.866	1.154	1	
satisfaction_	Social	0.130	0.041	0.122	3.173***	0.847	1.181	0.074	
environmental	Physical	0.094	0.034	0.099	2.739***	0.964	1.037		
	Interpersonal relationship	0.094	0.038	0.101	2.504**	0.773	1.294		
	(Constant)	2.261	0.197		11.494***				13.588***
Leisure	Economic	-0.022	0.033	-0.025	-0.658	0.866	1.154		
satisfaction_	Social	0.089	0.043	0.079	2.082*	0.847	1.181	0.062	
educational	Physical	0.099	0.036	0.098	2.743***	0.964	1.037		
	Interpersonal relationship	0.191	0.039	0.193	4.849***	0.773	1.294		

TABLE 4 Leisure satisfaction according to the perception of the risk of infectious disease.

\*\*\*p<0.001, \*\*p<0.01, \*p<0.05.

The following sub-factors of perception of infectious disease risk, predicting the educational factor of leisure satisfaction, showed positive effects: perception of risk – interpersonal ( $\beta = 0.193$ , t = 4.849, p < 0.001), perception of risk – physical ( $\beta = 0.098$ , t = 2.743, p < 0.001), and perception of risk – social ( $\beta = 0.079$ , t = 2.082, p < 0.05). With the input of risk perception sub-factors to the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 6.2% ( $R^2 = 0.062$ ), which was statistically significant (F = 13.588, p < 0.001).

# 3.2 Effects of the perception of infectious disease risk on leisure satisfaction according to sex

Tables 5, 6 present the results, verifying whether infectious disease perception risk, affects leisure satisfaction based on classification by sex.

According to the research results, the social factor in leisure satisfaction for male participants was positively related to the following sub-factors of perceived infectious disease risk: perception of risk - interpersonal relationship ( $\beta$  = 0.286, t = 5.415, p < 0.001), perception of risk - social ( $\beta$  = 0.167, t = 3.339, p < 0.001), and perception of risk - physical ( $\beta$  = 0.097, t = 2.044, p < 0.05). With the input of risk perception sub-factors to the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 12.5% ( $R^2$  = 0.125), which was statistically significant (F = 15.278, p < 0.001).

The emotional factor in leisure satisfaction was positively affected by perception of risk - social, a sub-factor of perception of infectious disease risk ( $\beta$ =0.264, *t*=5.379, *p*<0.001). With the input of this sub-factor in the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 15.0% ( $R^2$ =0.150), which was statistically significant (*F*=28.274, *p*<0.001).

The physical factor in leisure satisfaction was positively related to the following sub-factors of perception of infectious disease risk: perception of risk – interpersonal ( $\beta$ =0.217, *t*=4.014, *p*<0.001), perception of risk – social ( $\beta$ =0.148, *t*=2.899, *p*<0.01), and perception of risk - physical ( $\beta$ =0.122, *t*=2.506, *p*<0.05). With the input of risk perception sub-factors on the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 8.5% ( $R^2$ =0.085), which was statistically significant (*F*=10.293, *p*<0.001).

Dependent variable	Independent variable:	Unstandardized coefficient		Standardized coefficient			Collinearity statistics		
	Perceived risk	В	Std. Error	β		Tolerance	VIF		
	(Constant)	1.815	0.271		6.710***				15.278***
	Economic	-0.118	0.045	-0.132	-2.604**	0.850	1.176		
Leisure satisfaction_social	Social	0.184	0.055	0.167	3.339***	0.877	1.140	0.125	
satisfaction_social	Physical	0.100	0.049	0.097	2.044*	0.960	1.041		
	Interpersonal relationship	0.286	0.053	0.286	5.415***	0.784	1.276		
	(Constant)	2.222	0.234		9.482***				18.634***
Leisure	Economic	-0.089	0.039	-0.113	-2.268*	0.850	1.176		
satisfaction_	Social	0.257	0.048	0.264	5.379***	0.877	1.140	0.150	
emotional	Physical	0.037	0.043	0.040	0.859	0.960	1.041		
	Interpersonal relationship	0.215	0.046	0.245	4.707***	0.784	1.276		
	(Constant)	2.236	0.263		8.510***				10.293***
Leisure	Economic	-0.081	0.044	-0.095	-1.837	0.850	1.176		
satisfaction_	Social	0.155	0.054	0.148	2.899**	0.877	1.140	0.085	
physical	Physical	0.120	0.048	0.122	2.506*	0.960	1.041		
	Interpersonal relationship	0.206	0.051	0.217	4.014***	0.784	1.276		
	(Constant)	2.317	0.250		9.257***				8.826***
Leisure	Economic	-0.074	0.042	-0.092	-1.755	0.850	1.176		
satisfaction_	Social	0.169	0.051	0.170	3.316***	0.877	1.140	0.072	
environmental	Physical	0.105	0.045	0.113	2.311***	0.960	1.041		
	Interpersonal relationship	0.155	0.049	0.173	3.184***	0.784	1.276		
	(Constant)	1.838	0.267		6.880***				11.892***
Leisure	Economic	-0.003	0.045	-0.003	-0.065	0.850	1.176	1	
satisfaction_	Social	0.153	0.054	0.143	2.816***	0.877	1.140	0.098	
educational	Physical	0.114	0.048	0.114	2.355*	0.960	1.041	1	
	Interpersonal relationship	0.218	0.052	0.225	4.191***	0.784	1.276		

### TABLE 5 Effects of the perception of the risk of infectious disease on leisure satisfaction among male participants (N = 402).

\*\*\*p < 0.001, \*\*p < 0.01, \*p < 0.05.

The environmental factor in leisure satisfaction was positively related to the following sub-factors of the perception of infectious disease risk: perception of risk – interpersonal ( $\beta = 0.173$ , t = 3.184, p < 0.001), perception of risk – social ( $\beta = 0.170$ , t = 3.316, p < 0.001), and perception of risk – physical ( $\beta = 0.113$ , t = 2.311, p < 0.001). With the input of risk perception sub-factors on the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 7.2% ( $R^2 = 0.072$ ), which was statistically significant (F = 8.826, p < 0.001).

Finally, the educational factor in leisure satisfaction was positively related to the following sub-factors of the perception of infectious disease risk: perception of risk – interpersonal ( $\beta$ =0.225, t=4.191, p<0.001), perception of risk – social ( $\beta$ =0.143, t=2.816, p<0.001), and perception of risk – physical ( $\beta$ =0.114, t=2.355, p<0.05). With the input of risk perception sub-factors on the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 9.8% ( $R^2$ =0.098), which was statistically significant (F=11.893, p<0.001).

Among female participants, the social factor in leisure satisfaction was positively affected by the perception of risk - interpersonal, a sub-variable of perception of infectious disease risk ( $\beta$ =0.186, t= 3.116, p < 0.001). With the input of the risk perception sub-factor on the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 4.3% (R<sup>2</sup>=0.043), which was statistically significant (F= 5.023, p < 0.001).

The emotional factor in leisure satisfaction was positively related to the following sub-factors of perception of infectious disease risk: perception of risk – social ( $\beta$ =0.183, *t*=3.273, *p*<0.001) and perception of risk - interpersonal ( $\beta$ =0.162, *t*=2.789, *p*<0.001). With the input of risk perception sub-factors on the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 9.8% ( $R^2$ =0.098), which was statistically significant (F=10.822, *p*<0.001).

Next, the physical factor in leisure satisfaction was positively related to perception of risk - physical, a sub-variable of perception of infectious disease risk ( $\beta = 0.175$ , t = 3.329, p < 0.001). With the input of the risk perception sub-factor on the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 4.9% ( $R^2 = 0.049$ ), which was statistically significant (F = 5.622, p < 0.001).

Dependent variable	Independent variable: Perceived risk		dardized ficient	Standardized coefficient	t	Collinearity statistics		R <sup>2</sup>	F
		В	Std. Error	β		Tolerance	VIF		
	(Constant)	2.266	0.322		7.040***				5.023***
	Economic	0.030	0.054	0.030	0.551	0.880	1.137		
Leisure satisfaction social	Social	0.024	0.075	0.018	0.320	0.795	1.257	0.043	
satisfaction_social	Physical	0.075	0.059	0.068	1.281	0.952	1.050		
	Interpersonal relationship	0.203	0.065	0.186	3.116***	0.744	1.344		
	(Constant)	2.258	0.270		8.366***				10.822***
Leisure	Economic	0.016	0.046	0.019	0.356	0.880	1.137	0.098	
satisfaction_	Social	0.207	0.063	0.183	3.273***	0.795	1.257		
emotional	Physical	0.086	0.049	0.090	1.761	0.952	1.050		
	Interpersonal relationship	0.152	0.055	0.162	2.789***	0.744	1.344		
	(Constant)	2.240	0.327		6.853***			_	5.622***
Leisure	Economic	0.001	0.055	0.001	0.019	0.880	1.137		
satisfaction_	Social	0.086	0.055	0.064	1.117	0.795	1.257	0.049	
physical	Physical	0.198	0.059	0.175	3.329***	0.952	1.050		
	Interpersonal relationship	0.108	0.066	0.097	1.624	0.744	1.344		
	(Constant)	2.882	0.289		9.984***				1.973
Leisure	Economic	-0.019	0.049	-0.022	-0.389	0.880	1.137		
satisfaction_	Social	0.091	0.068	0.079	1.349	0.795	1.257	0.011	
environmental	Physical	0.099	0.053	0.101	1.881	0.952	1.050		
	Interpersonal relationship	0.032	0.058	0.033	0.546	0.744	1.344		
	(Constant)	2.655	0.297		8.942***				11.892***
Leisure	Economic	-0.037	0.050	-0.041	-0.746	0.880	1.137		
satisfaction_	Social	0.023	0.070	0.019	0.333	0.795	1.257	0.098	
educational	Physical	0.097	0.054	0.095	1.796	0.952	1.050		
	Interpersonal relationship	0.163	0.060	0.163	2.716***	0.744	1.344		

TABLE 6 Effects of the perception of the risk of infectious disease on leisure satisfaction among female participants (N = 362).

\*\*\*p<0.001, \*\*p<0.01, \*p<0.05.

Finally, the educational factor in leisure satisfaction was also positively related to perception of risk – interpersonal ( $\beta$ =0.163, t=2.716, p<0.001), a sub-variable of perception of infectious disease risk. With the input of the risk perception sub-factor to the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 9.8% ( $R^2$ =0.098), which was statistically significant (F=11.892, p<0.001).

## 3.3 Effects of the perception of infectious disease risk on leisure satisfaction according to new worker classes

Table 7 presents the results of verifying whether the proposition that "infectious disease risk perception, according to the characteristics of new worker classes, will affect leisure satisfaction" can examine the effects of the perception of infectious disease risk on leisure satisfaction according to socio-demographic characteristics.

According to the research, the leisure satisfaction of participants in Class 1 among the new classes of workers was positively affected by the following sub-variables of perception of infectious disease risk: perception of risk – interpersonal ( $\beta$ =0.392, *t*=3.968, *p*<0.001) and perception of risk - physical ( $\beta$ =0.195, *t*=2.148, *p*<0.01). With the input of risk perception sub-factors to the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 23.8% ( $R^2$ =0.238), which was statistically significant (*F*=8.820, *p*<0.001).

The leisure satisfaction of participants in Class 2 was positively affected by the following sub-variables of perception of infectious disease risk: perception of risk - interpersonal ( $\beta$ =0.185, *t*=3.840, *p*<0.001) and perception of risk - social ( $\beta$ =0.127, *t*=2.744, *p*<0.001). With the input of risk perception sub-factors on the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 7.2% ( $R^2$ =0.072), which was statistically significant (*F*=10.399, *p*<0.001).

The leisure satisfaction of participants in Class 3 was positively affected by perception of risk - interpersonal ( $\beta$ =0.568, t=3.557,

Selected Dependent variable variable		variable		dardized icient	Standardized coefficient	t	Collinea statistie		R <sup>2</sup>	F
		perceived risk	В	Std. Error	β		Tolerance	VIF		
	Leisure	(Constant)	9.515	1.972		4.824***				8.820***
	satisfaction	Economic	0.074	0.301	0.023	0.245	0.851	1.176	-	
Class 1		Social	0.470	0.454	0.099	1.034	0.828	1.208	0.238	
01035 1		Physical	0.691	0.332	0.195	2.148**	0.928	1.078	0.230	
		Interpersonal relationships	1.338	0.337	0.392	3.968***	0.779	1.284		
	-	(Constant)	11.935	1.050		11.370***				10.399***
		Economic	-0.281	0.176	-0.074	-1.598	0.894	1.118		
Class 2		Social	0.581	0.212	0.127	2.744***	0.897	1.115	0.072	
Class 2		Physical	0.575	0.192	0.133	2.987***	0.972	1.029		
		Interpersonal relationships	0.770	0.201	0.185	3.840***	0.826	1.211		
	-	(Constant)	10.764	2.701		3.985***			- 0.356	6.384***
		Economic	-0.581	0.529	-0.157	-1.098	0.841	1.189		
Class 3		Social	0.951	0.616	0.231	1.544	0.741	1.350		
		Physical	-0.598	0.572	-0.144	-1.046	0.874	1.145		
		Interpersonal relationships	2.195	0.617	0.568	3.557***	0.648	1.544		
	-	(Constant)	10.322	3.121		3.307***				2.168
		Economic	0.753	0.686	0.178	1.098	0.733	1.365	-	
Class 4		Social	0.689	0.764	0.162	0.914	0.613	1.632	0.090	
01035 1		Physical	-0.066	0.598	-0.016	-0.110	0.875	1.143	0.090	
		Interpersonal relationships	0.701	0.734	0.178	0.955	0.560	1.786	-	
		(Constant)	18.570	5.034		3.6898***				0.806
		Economic	-0.653	1.039	-0.149	-0.629	0.612	1.634	-	
Class 5		Social	-0.436	1.058	-0.088	-0.412	0.757	1.321	-0.027	
J1055 J		Physical	0.911	0.627	0.276	1.453	0.951	1.052	0.027	
		Interpersonal relationships	0.587	0.852	0.181	0.689	0.497	2.013		
		(Constant)	13.208	1.866		7.077***				4.611***
		Economic	-0.856	0.333	-0.332	-2.573**	0.816	1.225		
Class 6		Social	1.380	0.481	0.399	2.869***	0.705	1.418	0.197	
(1000 0		Physical	0.071	0.338	0.025	0.210	0.961	1.041	0.17/	
		Interpersonal relationships	0.654	0.439	0.209	1.489	0.693	1.444		

TABLE 7 Effects of the perception of the risk of infectious disease by characteristics of new classes of workers on leisure satisfaction (N = 764).

\*\*\*p < 0.001, \*\*p < 0.01, \*p < 0.05.

p < 0.001). With the input of the risk perception sub-factor on the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 35.6% ( $R^2$ =0.356), which was statistically significant (F=6.384, p < 0.001).

The leisure satisfaction of participants in Class 6-those experiencing unpaid leave (furlough), closed businesses, and on

standby for work—was positively affected by perception of risk – social ( $\beta$ =0.399, *t*=2.869, *p*<0.001) and negatively affected by perception of risk – economic ( $\beta$ =-0.332, *t*=-2.573, *p*<0.01). With the input of risk perception sub-factors to the regression equation, the explanatory power of the final regression model was 19.7% ( $R^2$ =0.197), which was statistically significant (*F*=4.611, *p*<0.001).

## 4 Discussion

The results of this study show that infectious disease risk perception differs between men and women both in general and for each affected factor of leisure satisfaction. Specifically, male participants were greatly affected by social, emotional, physical, environmental, and educational factors of leisure satisfaction, with an increase in the social, physical, and interpersonal relationship aspects of the perception of infectious disease risk. Moreover, the interpersonal factor of risk perception among female participants significantly affected social, emotional, and educational leisure satisfaction factors. The emotional, physical, and social factors of leisure satisfaction were negatively affected by the higher social, physical, and interpersonal relationship factors of risk perception. Therefore, for male participants, the interactive relationship with other leisure participants (i.e., the social factor) plays an important role in leisure satisfaction. Among female participants, interpersonal relationships also play an important role, indicating that a sense of stability obtained through leisure activities is necessary for leisure satisfaction. Therefore, leisure satisfaction has implications for participation in leisure activities and differences in participation according to sex (26, 33, 34).

Gender and age differences in life satisfaction before and after the vaccination era may be influenced by a variety of factors outlined in prior research (35-38). Previous studies suggest that different societal roles, individual health concerns, and varying perceptions of vaccine efficacy and safety across demographic groups can play pivotal roles in these disparities (39-41). Earlier studies have highlighted how societal expectations and responsibilities shaped by gender, can significantly impact one's perception of life satisfaction. Additionally, age-related health concerns and susceptibility to the virus may contribute to differences in life satisfaction post-vaccination.

The impact of demographic, health-related, and psychosocial factors on COVID-19 vaccine intentions has been evident. Factors such as older age, being male, involvement in the care of confirmed COVID-19 patients, chronic health conditions, higher self-confidence, collective responsibility, and acceptance of the influenza vaccination administration in 2019 were associated with a greater intention to receive the COVID-19 vaccine (42–47). According to Mo et al. (48), a possible link exists between COVID-19 vaccine intention and increased life satisfaction among some Healthcare Workers (HCWs) who received the vaccine, irrespective of gender."

According to Min (49), married men may expect less leisure time than married women, as men have longer paid working hours; however, this applies only when the number of paid working hours is considered. Upon examining time constraints in terms of leisure, married women suffer from a serious lack of leisure time, as women's overall work hours (such as those spent doing household labor), are overwhelmingly longer than those of men. Therefore, leisure activities and satisfaction decreased among married women. According to a national survey on leisure activities after the COVID-19 pandemic, the "percentages of continuous leisure activities by gender and age" were 39.5 and 31.4% for men and women, respectively (50). In addition, differences between sexes in external mobility and interpersonal communication have significantly increased since the implementation of social distancing policies, with more evident differences for women than men (51, 52). Thus, the factors of risk perception felt between the sexes vary. Therefore, leisure satisfaction should be addressed by implementing sex-specific measures to reduce risk perception.

Furthermore, the infectious disease risk perception in new worker classes was found to affect leisure. For participants in Classes 1–3, the interpersonal relationship factor in risk perception affected leisure satisfaction. In contrast, the economic factor of risk perception negatively affected leisure satisfaction for those in Class 6. Thus, those who suffered employment instability due to the pandemic did not enjoy leisure activities because of economic and safety concerns, leading to decreased leisure satisfaction.

Previous studies related to human labor activities, leisure activities, and life satisfaction have only examined the relationships among these variables because the broadest areas of human life can be largely divided into labor and leisure. Research on the effects of labor or leisure activities on life satisfaction includes studies on employment stability, leisure activities, life satisfaction (8, 9, 53, 54), determinants of life satisfaction or quality of life (55, 56), and labor and life satisfaction (11, 57–60). However, COVID-19 has significantly changed the current labor market. People affected by changes in their job or economic status (e.g., reduced income) due to COVID-19 demonstrate low investment rates, such as low equipment consumption for at-home physical activities (61). Moreover, people with lower incomes have been significantly negatively impacted in terms of physical activity and eating habits (62, 63).

Before the pandemic, leisure satisfaction was associated with positive mental and physical health outcomes. Engaging in leisure activities was linked to reduced stress, improved mood, and overall stronger life satisfaction. The perceived risk of infectious diseases was not a prominent factor in leisure decision-making for most people, except in regions where certain diseases are endemic (64).

During the COVID-19 pandemic, leisure activities have undergone significant global transformations. Due to strict social distancing measures, lockdowns, and fear of infection, the landscape of leisure activities shifted dramatically after the onset of the pandemic. Indoor activities with large crowds were restricted, leading to a surge in interest in outdoor and solitary pursuits. Leisure satisfaction became closely tied to activities that could be conducted safely, often individually, or within small and trusted groups. The perceived risk of infectious diseases became a crucial factor in people's leisure decision-making, with safety taking precedence over personal activity preferences (65, 66).

After the COVID-19 pandemic, with the advent of the postvaccination era in late 2020 and 2021, the situation regarding leisure activities and perceived risk of infectious diseases gradually improved. Vaccination efforts significantly reduced the risk of severe illness and death from COVID-19, leading to the relaxation of restrictions. People began to return to some semblance of pre-pandemic life and leisure activities. However, a certain level of caution persisted. Some individuals continued to prefer outdoor or socially distanced activities and vaccination status played a significant role in people's comfort levels. For instance, fully vaccinated individuals felt more secure about engaging in leisure activities (67). In this context, it is crucial to not only highlight the newfound freedom from COVID-19 due to vaccinations, but also emphasize the impact of government-mandated social distancing measures and the perception that COVID-19 might not be as dangerous as initially feared.

Furthermore, it is essential to recognize the newly classified socioeconomic class, Class 6, which was economically impacted during the pandemic. Exploring the leisure satisfaction of this class during the current phase of infectious disease recovery is essential. Post-2022 research is imperative to comprehensively understand whether the leisure satisfaction of Class 6 has returned to pre-pandemic levels, increased, remained stable, or declined compared with the period during COVID-19. Such an inquiry will highlight the evolving dynamics of leisure satisfaction, especially among economically affected groups, in the face of the ongoing challenges posed by infectious diseases such as COVID-19.

Moreover, given the continued economic challenges not only in Korea but also globally after the COVID-19 pandemic (68, 69), socioeconomic class represented by Class 6 will likely face even greater economic hardship. Considering that other socioeconomic classes may also experience financial difficulties, it is essential to conduct post-COVID-19 leisure satisfaction surveys for all classes. This research provides valuable insights into the evolving landscape of leisure satisfaction across various socioeconomic groups, offering crucial information for the development of targeted policies and support programs. In the workplace, employers can promote employee well-being and satisfaction by offering flexible work arrangements, such as designated leisure time or breaks, to alleviate time constraints and enhance work-life balance. Employers should be encouraged to foster a positive work environment that supports leisure activities and provides resources for employees to engage in meaningful and fulfilling leisure experiences (70-72), and the government should be involved in promoting leisure activities and addressing the identified barriers to leisure satisfaction. The government could collaborate with relevant stakeholders to develop and implement policies that support the provision of diverse and accessible leisure opportunities, and advocate for investment in infrastructure, facilities, and programs that cater to different demographic groups and promote leisure engagement. Additionally, we recommend the allocation of resources to support research, the monitoring of leisure trends, and the development of evidence-based strategies aimed at enhancing leisure satisfaction among different socioeconomic classes (72, 73).

## 5 Limitations of this research

Given the differing socio-demographic characteristics and risk perceptions among various worker groups, the focus on new worker classes potentially limits the study's broader applicability. Moreover, reliance on a specific sample of new working classes raises concerns regarding the generalizability of the study findings. The sample selection process might introduce biases, affecting the study's external validity by over- or underrepresenting certain working classes.

Furthermore, the study's reliance on self-reported measures, including leisure satisfaction and the perceived risk of infectious

diseases, poses a challenge. Self-reporting is susceptible to biases such as social desirability or recall bias, potentially leading to measurement errors and affecting the reliability of findings. Additionally, the cross-sectional nature of the study design raises questions about causality and directionality in the relationship between leisure satisfaction and perceived risk of infectious diseases. The study might not capture all influencing factors, potentially impacting the observed associations.

The absence of control variables, such as age, gender, educational level, or prior health conditions, limits the study's depth. These variables could influence both leisure satisfaction and perceived risk, providing alternative explanations for the observed relationships. Finally, the study's narrow focus, which is solely on the impact of leisure satisfaction during the COVID-19 pandemic, overlooks the potential influence of other factors on risk perceptions. Neglecting these elements may lead to an incomplete understanding of the dynamics involved in public health crises.

To address these limitations and enhance future research, essential steps are needed, such as considering diverse samples, employing longitudinal designs, integrating objective measures alongside selfreports, incorporating relevant control variables, and broadening the scope of the investigation.

## 6 Conclusion

Various studies have been conducted on the relationship between leisure activities and satisfaction according to labor intensity, hours, and wages. It has been found that the higher the job satisfaction, the more diverse the choices of leisure activities, and the higher the leisure satisfaction. However, owing to COVID-19's impact on social and economic conditions, novel classes of workers have emerged, thus widening the disparity among classes. Therefore, this study investigated relationships in leisure satisfaction according to the risk perception experienced by new worker classes.

Our results showed differences between men and women in perceived risk, which resulted in different leisure satisfaction factors. Moreover, we found that physical and interpersonal relationships reduced leisure satisfaction in Classes 1–3. Economic and social risk perception factors were most significantly recognized among Class 6 workers.

This study identified the risk factors that decrease leisure satisfaction for each new class of workers during the COVID-19 pandemic, a time when leisure activities were more important than ever. Furthermore, those who were economically impacted by COVID-19 were also affected in terms of psychological health (e.g., a decreased quality of life due to reduced leisure activities and satisfaction). This study viewed the issue of workers suffering from unstable working conditions and employment problems as a national disaster in South Korea and highlighted the importance of preparing for future incidents. This study provides basic data for the promotion of leisure activities and improvement of satisfaction. Future studies should investigate what can be gained from leisure activities in terms of quality of life and physical and psychological health of the newly formed worker classes. Furthermore, plans must be devised to resolve institutional risks.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

## Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Chung-Ang University Ethics Committee. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

## Author contributions

S-WK and Y-JK performed material preparation, data collection, and analysis. S-WK wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors

## References

1. Heo CU. Impact of work-life balance on job satisfaction and life satisfaction: case of paid workers. *Int J Tour Hospit Res.* (2018) 32:151–64. doi: 10.21298/ JJTHR.2018.12.32.12.151

2. Main A, Zhou Q, Ma Y, Luecken LJ, Liu X. Relations of SARS-related stressors and coping to Chinese college students' psychological adjustment during the 2003 Beijing SARS epidemic. *J Couns Psychol.* (2011) 58:410–23. doi: 10.1037/a0023632

3. Ye ZW, Yuan S, Yuen KS, Fung SY, Chan CP, Jin DY. Zoonotic origins of human coronaviruses. *Int J Biol Sci.* (2020) 16:1686–97. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.45472

4. Ministry of Health and Welfare of South Korea. Step 4 of Social Distancing in the Metropolitan Area Will Be Implemented from Tomorrow [Press release] (2021). Available at: http://www.mohw.go.kr/react/al/sal0301vw.jsp?PAR\_MENU\_ID=04&MENU\_ID=0403&CONT\_SEQ=366394 (Accessed July 12, 2021)

5. Lau VW, Tse DCK, Bligh MC, Hong YY, Kakarika M, Chan HW, et al. Not "my" crisis: social identity and followers' crisis responses to COVID-19. *Anal Soc Issues Public Policy*. (2022) 22:506–35. doi: 10.1111/asap.12316

6. Sivan A. Reflection on leisure during COVID-19. World Leis J. (2020) 62:296–9. doi: 10.1080/16078055.2020.1825260

7. Chen WC. Leisure participation, job stress, and life satisfaction: moderation analysis of two models. *Soc Behav Pers.* (2016) 44:579–88. doi: 10.2224/sbp.2016.44.4.579

 Choi J, Choi JA. Influence of leisure competence and level of leisure activity on life satisfaction in low-income older adults in rural South Korea. *Res Gerontol Nurs*. (2017) 10:67–75. doi: 10.3928/19404921-20170224-01

9. Lapa TY. Life satisfaction, leisure satisfaction and perceived freedom of park recreation participants. *Procedia Soc Behav Sci.* (2013) 93:1985–93. doi: 10.1016/j. sbspro.2013.10.153

10. Nawijn J, Veenhoven R. The effect of leisure activities on life satisfaction: the importance of holiday trips In: I Brdar, editor. *The human pursuit of well-being: a cultural approach*. Dordrecht: Springer (2011). 39–53.

11. Ogueji IA, Agberotimi SF, Adesanya BJ, Gidado TN. Mental health and coping strategies during the COVID-19 pandemic: a qualitative study of unemployed and employed people in Nigeria. *Anal Soc Issues Public Policy*. (2021) 21:941–59. doi: 10.1111/asap.12259

12. Rodríguez A, Látková P, Sun YY. The relationship between leisure and life satisfaction: application of activity and need theory. *Soc Indic Res.* (2007) 86:163–75. doi: 10.1007/s11205-007-9101-y

13. Nam EY, Choi YJ. The effects of social class on the leisure activities in Korea: based on types and satisfaction of leisure activities. *Korea J Popul Stud.* (2008) 31:57–84.

14. Min K. Changes in the work income condition and gap of leisure life in the covid-19 pandemic period: focusing on incheon. *Korean J Leis Recreat Park*. (2021) 45:213–28. doi: 10.26446/kjlrp.2021.9.45.3.213

15. Hwang SJ. Income inequality and minimum wage policy-focused on the necessity of macroeconomic policy response. *Summ Res.* (2021) 4:67–89.

16. Kim YJ, Kang SW. The quality of life, psychological health, and occupational calling of Korean workers: differences by the new classes of occupation emerging amid

commented on subsequent versions of the manuscript, read and approved the final manuscript, and contributed to the study's conception and design.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

the COVID-19 pandemic. Int J Environ Res Public Health. (2020) 17:5689. doi: 10.3390/ ijerph17165689

17. Kwon YT. A study on the actual condition to survey the problems and improvements of indoor sports facilities operation for registration and reporting in the era of COVID-19. *Korean J Sport*. (2021) 19:195–206. doi: 10.46669/kss.2021.19.1.018

18. Keeter S (2020). People financially affected by COVID-19 outbreak are experiencing more psychological distress than others. *Pew Research Center*. Available at: https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2020/03/30/people-financially-affected-by-covid-19-outbreak-are-experiencing-more-psychological-distress-than-others/ (Accessed March 30, 2020).

19. Reich R (2020). Coronavirus outbreak COVID-19 pandemic shines a light on a new kind of class divide and its inequalities. *The Guardian*. Available at: https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2020/apr/25/covid-19-pandemic-shines-a-light-on-a-new-kind-of-class-divide-and-its-inequalities (Accessed April 26, 2020).

20. Iso-Ahola SE, Allen JR. The dynamics of leisure motivation: the effects of outcome on leisure needs. *Res Q Exerc Sport.* (1982) 53:141–9. doi: 10.1080/02701367.1982.10605240

21. Kleiber D, Nimrod G, Hutchinson S. Reconstructing leisure in adapting to chronic illness in later life: emotional and behavioral strategies. *Int J Disabil Hum Dev.* (2011) 10:131–7. doi: 10.1515/ijdhd.2011.021

22. Kim YJ. Development and validation of the Korean version of the leisure satisfaction scale (LSS). *Korean J Phys Educ.* (2004) 43:291–300.

23. Kim YJ, Cho JH. Correlation between preventive health behaviors and psychosocial health based on the leisure activities of south Koreans in the COVID-19 crisis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2020) 17:4066. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17114066

24. Stodolska M, Shinew KJ, Camarillo LN. Constraints on recreation among people of color: toward a new constraints model. *Leis Sci.* (2020) 42:533–51. doi: 10.1080/01490400.2018.1519473

25. Kabanoff B. Occupational and sex differences in leisure needs and leisure satisfaction. J Organiz Behav. (1982) 3:233-45. doi: 10.1002/job.4030030304

26. Shin K, You S. Leisure type, leisure satisfaction and adolescents' psychological wellbeing. *J Pac Rim Psychol.* (2013) 7:53–62. doi: 10.1017/prp.2013.6

27. Silverstein MW, Mekawi Y, Alonzi SP, La Torre A. Psychometric properties of the assessment of COVID-19 attitudes and behaviors. *Anal Soc Iss Public Policy*. (2021) 21:557–78. doi: 10.1111/asap.12233

28. Hong MJ, Cho HJ. A study on the relationship between risk perception and behavioral intention of sports tourism participants: focusing on the indoor screen golf. *J Tour Leis Res.* (2020) 32:425–45. doi: 10.31336/JTLR.2020.2.32.2.425

29. Sun Y. The effects of psychological distance and personal preference on vaccination attitudes and vaccination intentions in corona 19: Focusing spatial distance, information type, and optimism. Master's thesis. Seoul: Dongguk University (2021).

30. Lee HY, Kim NJ. The impact of fine particular matter risk perception on the outdoor behavior of recreationists: an application of the extended theory of planned behavior. *Tour Sci Soc Kor.* (2017) 41:27–44. doi: 10.17086/JTS.2017.41.7.27.44

31. Kim HJ. A study on the influences of corona virus infectious diseases-19 (COVID-19) on sports activities: Focused on golf activities. Master's thesis. Seoul: Hanyang University (2020).

32. Beard JG, Ragheb MG. Measuring leisure satisfaction. J Leis Res. (1980) 12:20–33. doi: 10.1080/00222216.1980.11969416

33. Bourdas DI, Zacharakis ED. Impact of COVID-19 lockdown on physical activity in a sample of Greek adults. *Sports (Basel)*. (2020) 8:139. doi: 10.3390/sports8100139

34. Lee JH, Pack SG, Lee MO. The effect of leisure activity participation level on leisure satisfaction. *J Korean Phys Educ Assoc.* (2000) 39:208–21.

35. Guidry JPD, Laestadius LI, Vraga EK, Miller CA, Perrin PB, Burton CW, et al. Willingness to get the COVID-19 vaccine with and without emergency use authorization. *Am J Infect Control [Internet]*. (2020) 49:137–42. doi: 10.1016/j. ajic.2020.11.018

36. Akarsu B, Canbay Özdemir D, Ayhan Baser D, Aksoy H, Fidancı İ, Cankurtaran M. While studies on COVID-19 vaccine is ongoing, the public's thoughts and attitudes to the future COVID-19 vaccine. *Int J Clin Pract.* (2020):e13891

37. Wang X, Lin L, Xuan Z, Xu J, Wan Y, Zhou X. Risk communication on behavioral responses during COVID-19 among general population in China: a rapid national study. *J Infect*. (2020) 81:911–22. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2020.10.031

38. Li S, Wang Y, Xue J, Zhao N, Zhu T. The impact of COVID-19 epidemic declaration on psychological consequences: a study on active Weibo users. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:e2032. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17062032

39. Bell S, Clarke R, Mounier-Jack S, Walker JL, Paterson P. Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future COVID-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England. *Vaccine*. (2020) 38:7789–98. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.10.027

40. Murphy J, Vallières F, Bentall RP, Shevlin M, McBride O, Hartman TK, et al. Psychological characteristics associated with COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and resistance in Ireland and the United Kingdom. *Nat Commun.* (2021) 12:29. doi: 10.1038/ s41467-020-20226-9

41. Kilic M, Ustundag Ocal N, Uslukilic G. The relationship of Covid-19 vaccine attitude with life satisfaction, religious attitude and Covid-19 avoidance in Turkey. *Hum Vaccin Immunother.* (2021) 17:3384–93. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2021.1938493

42. Detoc M, Bruel S, Frappe P, Tardy B, Botelho-Nevers E, Gagneux-Brunon A. Intention to participate in a COVID-19 vaccine clinical trial and to get vaccinated against COVID-19 in France during the pandemic. *Vaccine*. (2020) 38:7002–6. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.09.041

43. Dror AA, Eisenbach N, Taiber S, Morozov NG, Mizrachi M, Zigron A, et al. Vaccine hesitancy: the next challenge in the fight against COVID-19. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2020) 35:775–9. doi: 10.1007/s10654-020-00671-y

44. Fu C, Wei Z, Pei S, Li S, Sun X, Liu P. Acceptance and preference for COVID-19 vaccination in health-care workers (HCWs). *MedRxiv*. (2020). doi: 10.1101/2020.04.09.20060103

45. Grech V, Bonnici J, Zammit D. Vaccine hesitancy in Maltese family physicians and their trainees Vis-à-Vis influenza and novel COVID-19 vaccination. *Early Hum Dev.* (2020):105259. doi: 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2020.10

46. Kabamba Nzaji M, Kabamba Ngombe L, Ngoie Mwamba G, Banza Ndala DB, Mbidi Miema J, Luhata Lungoyo C, et al. Acceptability of vaccination against COVID-19 among healthcare workers in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Pragmat Obs Res.* (2020) 11:103–9. doi: 10.2147/por.s271096

47. Wang K, Wong ELY, Ho KF, Cheung AWL, Chan EYY, Yeoh EK, et al. Intention of nurses to accept coronavirus disease 2019 vaccination and change of intention to accept seasonal influenza vaccination during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: a cross-sectional survey. *Vaccine*. (2020) 38:7049–56. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.09.021

48. Mo PK, She R, Yu Y, Li L, Yang Q, Lin J, et al. Resilience and intention of healthcare workers in China to receive a COVID-19 vaccination: the mediating role of life satisfaction and stigma. *J Adv Nurs*. (2022) 78:2327–38. doi: 10.1111/jan.15143

49. Min KS. A study on relationships between types of leisure activities and characteristics of participants: focusing on leisure and life satisfaction (2015) 39:36–55.

50. Ministry of Culture, Sports and Tourism of South Korea (2022). 2021 national leisure activity survey. Ministry of Culture, Sports and Tourism. Available at: http://www.mcst.go.kr/kor/s\_policy/dept/deptView.jsp?pSeq=1854&pDataCD=0406000000 (Accessed March 28, 2022).

51. Lee SS, Chao MM, He H. When family interrupted work: the implications of gendered role perception in the face of COVID-19. *J Soc Issues*. (2022). doi: 10.1111/josi.12504

52. Heo CU. The effects of employment security and leisure satisfaction on life satisfaction. *IJTHR*. (2017) 31:47–57. doi: 10.21298/IJTHR.2017.09.31.9.47

53. Reisch T, Heiler G, Hurt J, Klimek P, Hanbury A, Thurner S. Behavioral gender differences are reinforced during the COVID-19 crisis. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:19241. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-97394-1

54. Cho TH, Bae MK. Study of the factors determining life satisfaction of local residents in Chungbuk Province. *J Korea Contents Assoc.* (2017) 17:591–601.

55. You JW, Song IH. The effects of quality of employment on the depressive mood among paid workers - an analysis of the mediating effect of perceived economic status. *Ment Health Soc Work*. (2016) 44:106–33.

56. Cho HJ, Pack JK, Pack LE. An exploratory study on the factors influencing on life satisfaction of workers with physical disabilities. *Korean J Phys Mult Health Disabil.* (2015) 58:203–27. doi: 10.20971/kcpmd.2015.58.2.203

57. Demirel H. An investigation of the relationship between job and life satisfaction among teachers. *Procedia Soc Behav Sci.* (2014) 116:4925–31. doi: 10.1016/j. sbspro.2014.01.1051

58. Iverson RD, Maguire C. The relationship between job and life satisfaction: evidence from a remote mining community. *Hum Relat.* (2000) 53:807–39. doi: 10.1177/0018726700536003

59. Lee MK, Choi YS, Nam NS. Determining factors of subjective life satisfaction of elderly residents in rural and fishing villages. *Korea Book Stud.* (2017) 29:73–90.

60. Fearnbach SN, Flanagan EW, Höchsmann C, Beyl RA, Altazan AD, Martin CK, et al. Factors protecting against a decline in physical activity during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* (2021) 53:1391–9. doi: 10.1249/MSS.000000000002602

61. Cancello R, Soranna D, Zambra G, Zambon A, Invitti C. Determinants of the lifestyle changes during COVID-19 pandemic in the residents of northern Italy. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:6287. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17176287

62. Martinez-Ferran M, de la Guía-Galipienso F, Sanchis-Gomar F, Pareja-Galeano H. Metabolic impacts of confinement during the COVID-19 pandemic due to modified diet and physical activity habits. *Nutrients*. (2020) 12:12. doi: 10.3390/nu12061549

63. Kim E-J, Kang HW. COVID-19 and leisure life change: focusing on the results of the national leisure activity survey in 2020. *Korean J Leisure Recreat Park*. (2021) 45:1–10. doi: 10.26446/kjlrp.2021.12.45.4.1

64. Kim YJ, Cho JH, Kang SW. Study on the relationship between leisure activity participation and wearing a mask among Koreans during COVID-19 crisis: using TPB model. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:7674. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17207674

65. Kyum HS. A study on satisfaction with leisure activities in the era of COVID-19. J Tourism Enhancement Book Company. (2021) 9:117–34. doi: 10.35159/kjss.2021.10.30.5.97

66. Song W-I, Ahn B-W. The structural relationship of sports activities participants on leisure flow, leisure satisfaction and re-participate intention after COVID-19 pandemic. *J Coaching Dev.* (2023) 25:43–51. doi: 10.47684/jcd.2023.09.25.5.43

67. Jeong SY, Jang DS (2023). *Changes in productivity after COVID-19 key features and implications*. Bank of Korea. Available at: https://www.bok.or.kr/portal/bbs/P0002353/ view.do?nttId=10075270&menuNo=200433 (Accessed January 30, 2023).

68. Moore K. A., Lipsitch M., Barry J. M., Osterholm M. T. The future of the COVID-19 pandemic: lessons learned from pandemic influenza (2020). Available at: https://www.cidrap.umn.edu/sites/default/files/public/downloads/cidrap-covid19-viewpoint-part1\_0.pdf (Accessed April 30, 2020)

69. Pirzadeh P, Lingard H. Working from home during the COVID-19 pandemic: health and well-being of project-based construction workers. *J Constr Eng M.* (2021) 147:04021048. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0002102

70. Beckel JL, Fisher GG. Telework and worker health and well-being: a review and recommendations for research and practice. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2022) 19:3879. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19073879

71. Metselaar SA, den Dulk L, Vermeeren B. Teleworking at different locations outside the office: consequences for perceived performance and the mediating role of autonomy and work-life balance satisfaction. *Rev Public Pers Adm.* (2023) 43:456–78. doi: 10.1177/0734371X221087421

72. Alegría M, Zhen-Duan J, O'Malley IS, Dimarzio K. A new agenda for optimizing investments in community mental health and reducing disparities. *Am J Psychiatry.* (2022) 179:402–16. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.21100970

73. Volf K, Kelly L, García Bengoechea E, Casey B, Gelius P, Messing S, et al. Evidence of the impact of sport policies on physical activity and sport participation: a systematic mixed studies review. *Int J Sport Policy Pol.* (2022) 14:697–712. doi: 10.1080/19406940.2022.2127835