Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Wulf Rössler, Charité University Medicine Berlin, Germany

REVIEWED BY Xinqiao Liu, Tianjin University, China Vince Hooper, Prince Mohammad bin Fahd University, Saudi Arabia

\*CORRESPONDENCE Chang Li 20207809@sdufe.edu.cn

RECEIVED 06 June 2023 ACCEPTED 05 December 2023 PUBLISHED 22 December 2023

#### CITATION

Li C and Sun B (2023) Statistical analysis of mental influencing factors for anxiety and depression of rural and urban freshmen. *Front. Public Health* 11:1235262. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1235262

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Li and Sun. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Statistical analysis of mental influencing factors for anxiety and depression of rural and urban freshmen

#### Chang Li<sup>1\*</sup> and Bingchuan Sun<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Insurance, Shandong University of Finance and Economics, Jinan, China, <sup>2</sup>College of Physical Education, Shandong University of Finance and Economics, Jinan, China

The freshmen stage is a high incidence period for psychological issues. With the increasing gap between urban and rural areas in China, the mental problems of rural freshmen are more prominent in recent years due to the huge contrast of campus life with their growth environment and other reasons. The concern for the mental well-being of both rural and urban freshman students prompted our comprehensive five-year study (2018-2022) on psychological issues in a group of 12,564 first-year students from dozens of public universities in Shandong province. The investigation employed PPS (probability proportional to size) sampling and was conducted near the the end of the first semester. Using the data gathered, we analyzed and compared the indicators of psychological problems in rural and urban freshmen by Duncan's Multiple Range Test. We also conducted a canonical correlation analysis and pathway analysis to examine the psychological factors that contribute to anxiety and depression in both rural and urban freshmen. According to the findings, rural freshmen exhibit significantly higher levels of anxiety and depression than their urban counterparts. Inferiority, obsession, and internet addiction were identified as the primary influencing factors of anxiety and depression in both rural and urban freshmen. Social phobia was found to be a significant influencing factor for anxiety in rural freshmen, while bigotry was identified as a specific influencing factor for urban freshmen. Furthermore, the results of the path analysis suggest that anxiety plays a crucial role as a mediating factor between the main influencing factors and depression. These results substantially extend former research in this area and have important implications for the development of effective intervention strategies to address anxiety and depression. According to these results, policymakers should assess and intervene of anxiety and depression as a whole, and provide mental health education according to main effect factors of freshmen from rural and urban areas. Detailed policy recommendations are in discussion and conclusion.

#### KEYWORDS

PPS investigation, anxiety, depression, canonical correlation analysis, path analysis, education

## **1** Introduction

Previous research has highlighted a high prevalence of mental health problems, specifically depression and anxiety, among undergraduate students (1–5). Adlaf et al. (6) pointed out for college students, there is a prominent inverse relationship between year of study and mental health. Lee et al. (7) studied stress, anxiety, and depression symptoms for students in a public research university in Kentucky during an early phase of COVID-19, and

found rural, low-income, and academically underperforming students were more vulnerable to these mental health issues. Amir Hamzah et al. (8) studied the prevalence and related factors of depression and anxiety of freshmen in a learning institution in Malaysia, and found students lived with non-family members were more likely to have depression and anxiety.

Some recent research about the anxiety and depression include: Liu et al. (9) investigated the longitudinal relationship between anxiety and self-esteem among college students, and confirmed self-esteem as one of the leading contributors to anxiety for college students. Liu et al. (10) reviewed the literature on risk factors and digital interventions for college students' anxiety disorders from the perspectives of different stakeholders, emphasizing the important roles played by different stakeholder groups, and provides valuable references for improving the mental health of college students. Liu et al. (11) reviewed the extant literature by identifying non-pathological factors related to college students' depression, investigating the methods of predicting depression, and exploring non-pharmaceutical interventions for college students' depression.

In China, the urban-rural gap, such as income ratio, is one of the highest in the world, and the urbanization in recent years has widened the gap between urban and rural development (12-14). Thus freshmen from rural area are more likely to have serious mental problems due to the huge contrast of campus life with their growth environment and other reasons (15–17). Some typical research about mental health of rural and urban freshmen in China include: Yulong (18) and Zhixue (19) conducted comparative analysis for the mental health of undergraduate students from rural and urban areas, and found the psychological health level of rural freshmen is much lower than and urban freshmen. Yuemin (15) and Zhang (20) investigated and analyzed the mental health of college freshmen, and found the psychological problems of rural freshmen are more serious than urban freshmen. Other related research include: Wang and Wang (21) and Wenting and Zhiqiang (22), etc.

Previous research has established significant theoretical and practical foundations for studying the mental health issues of freshmen. Nonetheless, previous studies have rarely conducted comprehensive investigations into the mental health problems of freshmen from both rural and urban areas in specific provinces in China, nor have they conducted systematic statistical analyses of the factors influencing anxiety and depression among freshmen.

In this research, we took sampling investigation and statistical analysis for mental problems of totally 12,564 freshmen in dozens of public universities in Shandong province over the past 5 years (2018–2022). Based on these data, we analyzed the mental influencing factors of depression and anxiety for rural and urban freshmen separately by canonical correlation analysis and path analysis. Our findings have significant implications for the development of practical intervention strategies and the promotion of mental health among college students.

### 2 Materials and methods

SAS 9.4, R 4.1.2 and Mplus 7 were used for data analysis. The computer code used are available upon request.

#### 2.1 Design of investigation

In each of the past 5 years, we have taken PPS (probability proportional to the population size sampling) investigations to freshmen in dozens of public universities in Shandong province near the end of their first semester (usually between late November through early December). The main reason that we choose this investigation period is: the freshmen in China usually have military training in the first month of their first semester, and they need a few month to adapt to college life. On the other hand, the final exams in China usually begin at the end of December or early January, thus the mental status of freshmen is relatively stable in our investigation time period.

The minimum sample size N for each year is determined by Ross (23) and Wackerly et al. (24):

$$N = \frac{Z_{\alpha}^2 p(1-p)}{E^2}$$
(1)

Under 95% confidence level (thus  $Z_{\alpha}^2 = 1.96$ ), we set p = 0.5 (the most conservative method), error rate E = 2%, and get N = 2,401. Thus in each year, around 3,000 students were chosen. The participants were chosen randomly by probability sampling, and investigations were sent to them by Enterprise WeChat. Each university's sample size is proportional to the freshmen enrolled in.

The main scale of the investigation is the mental health screening scale for Chinese college students In addition to the demographic characteristics of the participants, the scale includes 22 indicators related to mental problems: anxiety, depression, bigotry, inferiority, sensitive, social phobia, somatization, dependency, hostile attack, impulsion, obsession, internet addiction, self injurious behavior, eating problems, sleep disturbance, university adaptation difficulties, interpersonal troubles, academic pressure, employment pressure, trouble in courtship, suicidal intent, hallucinations, and delusions. The participants' demographic characteristics and descriptive statistics for mental problems indicators are in Section 3.1. Each indicator's score is represented by the index standard score (Z-score), which correlates with the level of severity of the mental issue. Fang et al. (25) have introduced the development of this scale and confirmed the reliability and the validity of the scale all reached the criterions of psychological assessment.

Before commencing the questionnaire, the participants provided written informed consent online. The research procedures adhered to the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) reporting guidelines and were approved by the Research Ethics Committee at Shandong University of Finance and Economics in China.

# 2.2 Comparison of mental problems of freshmen from urban and rural areas

We use Duncan's multiple range test to conduct comparison of anxiety, depression, and other important indicators of mental problems of freshmen from urban and rural areas. Due to the limited space, we only show the contrast of anxiety and depression in Section 3.2. The comparison of other indicators of mental problems are available upon request.

The contrast of anxiety and depression of for rural and urban freshmen is in Tables 3, 4. The results show the means of anxiety and depression of rural freshmen are significantly higher than that of urban freshmen (detailed analysis is in Section 3.2). Thus we should analyze the mental effect factors for anxiety and depression separately for rural and urban freshmen.

# 2.3 Statistical analysis for mental effect factors of anxiety and depression

Previous research suggests that anxiety and depression often comorbid, and the comorbidity of these two conditions is a relatively frequent syndrome (26–29). Therefore, we considered anxiety and depression as a whole, and processed by canonical correlation analysis.

Canonical correlation analysis is a statistical technique used to explore the relationship between two sets of variables. It helps identify and measure the associations between two multivariate data sets, seeking linear combinations of variables in each set that have the highest correlation with each other.

The statistical analysis involved three steps: firstly, we identified the significant influencing factors of anxiety and depression separately using linear regression for rural and urban freshmen. Then, we performed canonical correlation analysis on the significant influencing factors (independent variables) and anxiety and depression (dependent variables). The core principle of canonical correlation analysis is to transform the correlation between multiple variables into the correlation between two representative variables (30–33). In this study, we used the linear combination of anxiety and depression as one representative variable, and the linear combination of the significant influencing factors as another representative variable. The results of this analysis are presented in Section 3.3.

After that, based on the results of canonical correlation analysis, we conducted path analysis for the mediating effect of anxiety on the relationship between the effect factors and depression.

#### 2.4 Path analysis

Since the effect factors of anxiety and depression are multiple mental index of the same population, we process path analysis with multiple independent variables (path analysis with each single independent variable are available upon request).

Path analysis is a statistical method for examining relationships between variables to understand how they influence one another. It helps researchers understand complex causal relationships by analyzing direct and indirect effects among variables. The principle, models and methods of path analysis with multiple independent variables are introduced in Chapter 10 of (34), Chapter 9 of (35). Based these models and methods, we construct the path analysis on below.

Year	Total	Male	Female	Rural area	Urban area
Year 2018	2,502	1,185	1,317	1,006	1,496
Year 2019	2,455	1,098	1,357	978	1,477
Year 2020	2,484	1,135	1,349	1,022	1,462
Year 2021	2,441	1,204	1,237	967	1,474
Year 2022	2,682	1,286	1,396	1,078	1,604

TABLE 2 Descriptive statistics for main indicators of rural and urban freshmen.

	Rural	Rural	Urban	Urban
Indicator	Mean	Standard deviation	Mean	Standard deviation
Anxiety	1.173	1.075	1.075	1.014
Depression	1.208	1.049	1.046	0.990
Inferiority	1.197	1.040	1.001	1.038
Obsession	0.868	0.984	0.777	0.906
Somatization	1.059	1.297	1.026	1.251
Internet addiction	0.818	0.950	0.712	0.885

By the results in canonical correlation analysis in Section 3.3, for rural freshmen, the top 4 influencing factors for anxiety and depression are inferiority, obsession, somatization, and social phobia. For urban freshmen, the top 4 effect factors are inferiority, obsession, somatization, and bigotry. In path analysis, we take the top 4 effect factors for anxiety and depression in canonical correlation analysis and internet addiction as independent variables. Internet addiction is added in path analysis because the effect of internet addiction on anxiety and depression in recent years have attracted widespread concern in previous research (36-38), etc.

The mediation effect of anxiety on the relationship between the effect factors and depression is studied in several previous works, such as Cummings et al. (29), Moscovitch et al. (39), Nima et al. (40), etc. For example, Moscovitch et al. (39) pointed out that the intervention reduced anxiety was responsible for 91% of the reduction in depression. Nima et al. (40) studied mediation effect of anxiety on the relationship between stress, self-esteem and depression. In the path analysis, for both urban and rural freshmen, we take depression as the dependent variable, and anxiety as the mediating variable. The results are in Section 3.4.

### **3** Results

All original program results are in figures for reference.

Α

#### Descriptive Statistics

#### The MEANS Procedure

Variable	Ν	Mean	Std Dev	Median	Coeff of Variation	Range	Maximum	Minimun
Anxiety	1325	1.1729358	1.0752212	1.0200000	91.6692262	5.9100000	4.9500000	-0.960000
Depression	1325	1.2085358	1.0495392	0.9500000	86.8438582	6.0400000	4.9800000	-1.060000
Bigotry	1325	1.1349057	1.0444501	1.0500000	92.0296870	6.0800000	5.0900000	-0.990000
Inferiority	1325	1.1974340	1.0403343	0.9500000	86.8803096	5.8700000	4.8500000	-1.020000
Sensitive	1325	0.9704000	0.9771297	0.9500000	100.6935024	5.2000000	3.9800000	-1.220000
Socialphobia	1325	1.1692528	1.1094291	0.9500000	94.8835922	5.6800000	4.7200000	-0.960000
Somatization	1325	1.0592453	1.2971607	1.5000000	122.4608421	6.9200000	6.1800000	-0.740000
Dependency	1325	1.0354113	1.0174363	0.8600000	98.2639747	5.6600000	4.6300000	-1.030000
Hostileattack	1325	1.0662038	1.2034515	1.4400000	112.8725590	7.0600000	6.2100000	-0.850000
Impulsion	1325	1.0449887	0.9758975	0.6800000	93.3883320	5.5700000	4.4000000	-1.170000
Obsession	1325	0.8680679	0.9858049	0.6400000	113.5631104	5.2400000	4.1400000	-1.100000
Internetaddiction	1325	0.8177811	0.9505530	0.4600000	116.2356211	4.7700000	3.6400000	-1.130000
Selfinjuriousbehavior	1325	1.2516604	1.6420347	0.9200000	131.1885168	9.0400000	8.4600000	-0.580000
Eatingproblems	1325	1.1306868	1.3058252	1.0500000	115.4895568	8.0800000	7.1100000	-0.970000
Sleepdisturbance	1325	1.0444679	1.0415365	0.6600000	99.7193389	5.3200000	4.2100000	-1.110000
Universityadaptationdifficulties	1325	0.8196830	1.0062637	0.6700000	122.7625427	5.5900000	4.3300000	-1.260000
Interpersonaltroubles	1325	0.9330792	1.0836264	0.9200000	116.1344485	6.0400000	4.9600000	-1.080000
Academicpressure	1325	0.7593358	0.9104881	0.7900000	119.9058459	4.6200000	3.1000000	-1.520000
Employmentpressure	1325	0.8262415	0.9244957	0.9600000	111.8917074	4.3900000	2.9500000	-1.440000
Troubleincourtship	1325	0.7661660	1.1992626	0.8800000	156.5277668	6.0100000	4.9300000	-1.080000
Hallucinationsanddelusions	1325	1.3296830	1.4637653	1.5100000	110.0837806	8.5100000	7.8900000	-0.62000
Suicidalintent	1325	1.2626717	1.7798358	1.0400000	140.9579239	8.7900000	8.3600000	-0.430000

В

## SAS Explorer Descriptive Statistics

#### The MEANS Procedure

Variable	N	Mean	Std Dev	Median	Coeff of Variation	Range	Maximum	Minimum
Anxiety	1380	1.0755435	1.0140431	0.9200000	94.2819212	5.3900000	4.4800000	-0.9100000
Depression	1380	1.0458841	0.9899051	0.9000000	94.6476908	5.7700000	4.7500000	-1.0200000
Bigotry	1380	1.0651449	0.9924479	0.9500000	93.1749138	5.5500000	4.6200000	-0.9300000
Inferiority	1380	1.0013841	1.0385039	0.8600000	103.7068489	5.3600000	4.4000000	-0.9600000
Sensitive	1380	0.8884710	0.9341394	0.6800000	105.1401112	4.9600000	3.8000000	-1.1600000
Socialphobia	1380	0.9758406	1.0161032	0.8600000	104.1259463	5.1800000	4.2800000	-0.9000000
Somatization	1380	1.0261087	1.2511261	1.4300000	121.9292012	6.5900000	5.9000000	-0.6900000
Dependency	1380	0.8759420	0.9819443	0.7800000	112.1015138	5.4100000	4.4300000	-0.9800000
Hostileattack	1380	1.0504710	1.1277009	1.3700000	107.3519305	6.4300000	5.6300000	-0.8000000
Impulsion	1380	0.9431232	0.9452892	0.6600000	100.2296679	5.1000000	3.9900000	-1.1100000
Obsession	1380	0.7770797	0.9064211	0.6200000	116.6445470	4.8000000	3.7500000	-1.0500000
Internetaddiction	1380	0.7128551	0.8847180	0.4400000	124.1090911	4.3900000	3.3100000	-1.0800000
Selfinjuriousbehavior	1380	1.1292464	1.4992399	0.8900000	132.7646444	8.6300000	8.0800000	-0.5500000
Eatingproblems	1380	1.0243333	1.1730488	0.9600000	114.5182723	7.3500000	6.4400000	-0.9100000
Sleepdisturbance	1380	0.9735725	1.0142043	0.6400000	104.1734783	5.0900000	4.0300000	-1.0600000
Universityadaptationdifficulties	1380	0.8526449	1.0105439	0.6400000	118.5187195	5.5300000	4.3300000	-1.2000000
Interpersonaltroubles	1380	0.8280507	1.0498767	0.8400000	126.7889356	5.7700000	4.7300000	-1.0400000
Academicpressure	1380	0.5868696	0.8970172	0.7100000	152.8477908	4.1800000	2.8000000	-1.3800000
Employmentpressure	1380	0.6042754	0.8834401	0.5500000	146.1982654	4.3900000	2.9500000	-1.4400000
Troubleincourtship	1380	0.6788116	1.1340346	0.8300000	167.0617658	5.7400000	4.7000000	-1.0400000
Hallucinationsanddelusions	1380	1.3123696	1.4181221	1.3700000	108.0581333	8.1100000	7.5300000	-0.5800000
Suicidalintent	1380	1.2297464	1.6522784	0.9900000	134.3592789	8.3900000	7.9800000	-0.4100000

FIGURE 1

The descriptive statistics for psychological problems in freshmen coming from rural and urban area. (A) Descriptive statistics for mental problems of rural freshmen. (B) Descriptive statistics for mental problems of urban freshmen.

#### 3.1 Descriptive statistics for the investigation

In this investigation, only questionnaires with all questions related to demographic characteristics and mental problems answered are considered as valid questionnaires. The basic sociodemographic characteristics of the participants, such as year of investigation, gender and region are in Table 1. In this table, total is the total number of valid questionnaires collected in each year.

Totally 1,325 rural freshmen and 1,380 urban freshmen are detected with mental problems. By Table 1, the total valid questionnaires from rural and urban freshmen are 5,051 and 7,513 respectively, thus the proportion of mental problems of rural freshmen is much higher than that of urban freshmen. The descriptive statistics of main indicators is in Table 2. The descriptive statistics for all indicators is in Figure 1 in program results.

#### TABLE 3 Comparison of anxiety for urban and rural freshmen.

Duncan grouping	Mean	Ν	Hometown
А	1.17294	1,325	Rural area
В	1.07554	1,380	Urban area

TABLE 4 Comparison of depression for urban and rural freshmen.

Duncan grouping	Mean	Ν	Hometown
А	1.20854	1,325	Rural area
В	1.04588	1,380	Urban area

#### 3.2 Contrast of anxiety and depression for rural and urban freshmen

The contrast of anxiety of for rural and urban freshmen is in Tables 3, 4, and the original program results are in Figure 2. In Duncan's multiple range test, means with different letters are significantly different.

From the results in Figures 2A, B, the *P* value for comparison of anxiety of rural and urban freshmen is 0.0154. From Table 3, the mean of anxiety for rural freshmen is 1.17294, and marked as A. The mean of anxiety for urban freshmen is 1.07554, and marked as B. From the results in Figure 2C, the P value for comparison of depression of rural and urban freshmen <0.0001. From Table 3, the mean of depression for rural freshmen is 1.20854, and marked as A. The mean of depression for urban freshmen is 1.04588, and marked as B. These results shows the means of anxiety and depression of rural freshmen are significantly higher than that of urban freshmen.

#### 3.3 The results for linear regression and canonical correlation analysis

To obtain the significant influencing factors for anxiety and depression of rural and urban freshmen, we take linear regression with stepwise selection separately for them. The results are in Figures 3, 4. Based on the significant effect factors (effect factors with P value < 0.05) in the results, the canonical correlation analysis is processed, and the results for rural and urban freshmen are in Figures 5, 6.

									Means with signif	the same icantly d		
			The GLM F	Procedure					Duncan Grouping	Mear	1 I	N Hometown
		Dep	pendent Va	iable: Anxi	ety				A	1.17294	1 132	5 rural area
Sou	urce	DF S	Sum of Squa	res Mean	Square	F Value	Pr > F					
Mod	del	1	6.411	765 6	411765	5.88	0.0154					
Erro	or	2703	2948.679	972 1	090892				В	1.07554	138	0 urban area
Con		1000000								1.0133-	+ 150	
	rrected Total	2704	2955.091	737				D				
	rrected lotal	2704	2955.091	737				D	Means with th	ne same l cantly diff		
	rrected lotal		2955.091					D	Means with th			
		The (		ure				D	Means with the significent	cantly diff	erent.	ire not
	De	The C	GLM Proced	ure	F Value	Pr > F		D	Means with the significed Duncan Grouping	cantly diff Mean	erent. N	re not Hometown
;	De	The C pendent Sum of	GLM Proced	ure		<ul> <li>Pr &gt; F</li> <li>&lt;.0001</li> </ul>		D	Means with the significed Duncan Grouping	cantly diff Mean	erent. N	re not Hometown
Source	De DF	The C pendent Sum of	GLM Procedu Variable: Do Squares Mi	ure epression ean Square				D	Means with the significed Duncan Grouping	cantly diff Mean	erent. N	re not Hometown

Comparison of anxiety and depression for urban and rural freshmen. (A) P value of anxiety comparison. (B) Means of anxiety. (C) P value of depression comparison. (D) Means of depression

		Summ	ary of Ste	pwise Sele	ction												
Step	Variable Entered	Variable Removed	Number Vars In	Partial R-Square	Model R-Square	C(p)	F Value	Pr > F			Summ	nary of St	epwise Sel	ection			
1	Inferiority		1	0.4623	0.4623	517.598	852.82	<.0001	Ston	Variable Entered	Variable Removed	Number Vars In	Partial R-Square	Model R. Square	C(p)	F Value	Pre
2	Obsession		2	0.0977	0.5600	245.718	220.00	<.0001	4	Inferiority		1	0.4995		364.692		
3	Hostileattack		3	0.0503	0.6103	106.687	127.78	<.0001	-			-					-
4	Somatization		4	0.0134	0.6237	71.0496	35.28	<.0001		Anxiety		2	0.0569		212.779	127.02	
5	Dependency		5	0.0108	0.6345	42.8335	29.13	<.0001	3	Bigotry		3	0.0284	0.5847	138.020	67.61	<.00
6	Depression		6	0.0061	0.6406	27.7621	16.72	<.0001	4	Internetaddiction		4	0.0154	0.6001	98.3324	38.09	<.00
7	Sensitive		7	0.0040	0.6445	18.5956	11.05	0.0009	5	Somatization		5	0.0140	0.6141	62.4217	35.86	<.00
8	Socialphobia		8	0.0027		13.0658		0.0063	6	Socialphobia		6	0.0122	0.6263	31.4967	32.13	<.00
9	Impulsion		9	0.0020	0.6492		5.52	0.0190	7	Impulsion		7	0.0043	0.6306	21.7831	11.55	0.00
10	Internetaddiction		10	0.0008	0.6500	9.3210	2.23	0.1357	8	Selfinjuriousbehavior		8	0.0033	0.6339	14.8132	8.92	0.00
11		Internetaddiction	9	0.0008	0.6492	9.5465	2.23	0.1357	9	Obsession		9	0.0031	0.6370	8.4064	8.42	0.00

The effect factors for anxiety and depression for rural freshmen. (A) Anxiety. (B) Depression.

		Sur	nmary of	Stepwise S	election						Summ	nary of St	epwise Sel	ection			
Step	Variable Entered	Variable Removed	Number Vars In	Partial R-Square	Model R-Square	C(p)	F Value	Pr > F	Step	Variable Entered	Variable Removed	Number Vars In	Partial R-Square	Model R-Square	C(p)	F Value	Pr > F
1	Inferiority		1	0.5002	0.5002	550.758	950.75	<.0001	1	Inferiority		1	0.6103	0.6103	202.856	1487.64	<.0001
2	Obsession		2	0.1159	0.6161	205.261	286.45	<.0001	1	Obsession		2	0.0301	0.6403	116.097	79.31	<.0001
3	Somatization		3	0.0401	0.6561	87.1554	110.42	<.0001	:	Bigotry		3	0.0160	0.6564	70.7695	44.21	<.0001
4	Sensitive		4	0.0128	0.6689	50.7287	36.66	<.0001	4	Somatization		4	0.0107	0.6671	41.0362	30.57	<.0001
5	Impulsion		5	0.0084	0.6774	27.4966	24.67	<.0001		Socialphobia		5	0.0071	0.6742	22.0623	20.62	<.0001
6	Dependency		6	0.0045	0.6819	15.9308	13.44	0.0003	(	Internetaddiction		6	0.0045	0.6788	10.6564	13.35	0.0003
7	Depression		7	0.0018	0.6837	12.4893	5.42	0.0202	7	Selfinjuriousbehavior		7	0.0017	0.6804	7.7080	4.95	0.0263
8	Socialphobia		8	0.0011	0.6848	11.3209	3.16	0.0758	8	Anxiety		8	0.0008	0.6812	7.2827	2.43	0.1194
9		Socialphobia	7	0.0011	0.6837	12.4893	3.16	0.0758	9		Anxiety	7	0.0008	0.6804	7.7080	2.43	0.1194

Figure 5 is the results of canonical correlation analysis for rural freshmen. The correlation coefficient for the first pair of variables is 0.8705504 (in Figure 5B), indicating a strong correlation, whereas the correlation coefficient for the second pair is 0.2305268, suggesting a relatively weak correlation. Additionally, based on the significance test for the canonical correlation coefficient in Figure 5A, it is sufficient to analyze only the first pair of canonical variables. The coefficients of variables are summarized in Table 5.

Denote the effect factors in Table 5 in sequence as  $x_1$  through  $x_{10}$ , and anxiety and depression as  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . The first set of canonical correlation variables is expressed by:

$$U = -0.002621993x_1 - 0.008766923x_2 - 0.003791107x_3$$
  
-0.005338204x\_4 - 0.001490435x\_5  
-0.002740454x\_6 - 0.002899323x\_7 - 0.005517603x\_8  
-0.002927963x\_9 - 0.002272589x\_{10}  
$$V = -0.01556757y_1 - 0.01469614y_2$$
  
(2)

Here U is the linear combination of the significant influencing factors, and V is the linear combination of anxiety and depression.

By Bland (31) and Fei (30), the coefficient, which is also referred to as loading, of each component denotes the importance of this component.

The factors that have the greatest impact on anxiety and depression for rural freshmen, listed in order of their relatively larger loadings, are  $x_2$ ,  $x_8$ ,  $x_4$ , and  $x_3$ , indicating that inferiority, obsession, somatization, and social phobia are the primary factors. The moderate loadings of  $x_9$  and  $x_7$  suggest that internet addiction and impulsion also play a significant role. All of these factors have a positive effect on anxiety and depression.

Based on the isogram of the score plane depicted in Figure 5C, the data points are approximately aligned along a straight line. This indicates that the correlation between the first pair of canonical correlation variables can be effectively explained through the analysis, and this correlation is consistent and reliable.

Figure 6 is the results of canonical correlation analysis for urban freshmen. The correlation coefficient for the first pair of variables is 0.8718014, indicating a strong correlation, whereas the correlation coefficient for the second pair is 0.2933360, suggesting a relatively weak correlation. Additionally, based on the significance test for the canonical correlation coefficient in Figure 6A, it is sufficient to analyze only the first pair of canonical variables. The coefficients of variables are summarized in Table 6.

Denote the effect factors in Table 6 in sequence as  $x_1$  through  $x_9$ , and anxiety and depression as  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . The expression of the



#### first pair of canonical correlation variables is:

```
U = -0.0038658903x_1 - 0.0108064212x_2 - 0.0020312700x_3
-0.0058192635x_4 - 0.0009807333x_5
-0.0025560252x_6 - 0.0065417723x_7 - 0.0018239820x_8
-0.0015560315x_9
V = -0.01499166y_1 - 0.01467019y_2(3)
```

According to the formula, the primary impact variables for anxiety and depression for urban freshmen in sequence are inferiority  $(x_2)$ , obsession  $(x_7)$ , somatization  $(x_4)$ , and bigotry  $(x_1)$ . Impulsion  $(x_6)$  and social phobia  $(x_3)$  are moderate influencing factors.

Based on the isogram of the score plane depicted in Figure 6C, the data points are approximately aligned along a straight line. This indicates that the correlation between the first pair of canonical correlation variables can be effectively explained through the analysis, and this correlation is consistent and reliable.

#### 3.4 The results for path analysis

## 3.4.1 Path analysis for effect factors of depression (mediated by anxiety) for rural freshmen

Denote anxiety and depression as  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ . For rural freshmen, denote inferiority, social phobia, obsession, somatization and internet addiction as  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ ,  $X_5$ , respectively. The strength of the relationship between each effect factor and depression is measured by effect size (also known as effect value).

The Mplus result for path analysis for influencing factors of depression (mediated by anxiety) for rural freshmen is in Figure 7. For this model, CFI = 0.982, TLI = 0.971, Chi-Square Test = 2,506.108, and degrees of Freedom = 11, which means the model fits well. From *P* values in Figure 7, all of the direct and indirect pathes are significant on 0.01 level, and all estimates of standardized effect sizes are positive. This means anxiety has significant mediating effect on the relationship between the effect factors and depression, and all effect factors in the model have significant positive predictive effect for depression.



TABLE 5	Coefficients of variables for rural freshmen.

Variable	Coefficient
Bigotry	-0.002621993
Inferiority	-0.008766923
Social phobia	-0.003791107
Somatization	-0.005338204
Dependency	-0.001490435
Hostile attack	-0.002740454
Impulsion	-0.002899323
Obsession	-0.005517603
Internet addiction	-0.002927963
Sensitive	-0.002272589
Anxiety	-0.01556757
Depression	-0.01469614

#### TABLE 6 Coefficients of variables for urban freshmen.

Variable	Coefficient
Bigotry	-0.0038658903
Inferiority	-0.0108064212
Social phobia	-0.0020312700
Somatization	-0.0058192635
Dependency	-0.0009807333
Impulsion	-0.0025560252
Obsession	-0.0065417723
Internet addiction	-0.0018239820
Sensitive	-0.0015560315
Anxiety	-0.01499166
Depression	-0.01467019



Based on the results, we we drew the path diagram on below, and conducted the effect decomposition of the effect factors of depression (mediated by anxiety) in Table 7.

Path diagram for effect factors of depression (mediated by anxiety) for rural freshmen:



By Xiaoqun (34) and Hongyun (35), the indirect effect size of  $X_i$  to  $Y_2$  (i = 1...5) is computed by the effect size of  $Y_1$  on  $X_i$  times the effect size of  $Y_2$  on  $Y_1$ . For example, the indirect effect size of  $X_1$  to  $Y_2$  is 0.285 × 0.147 = 0.042.

From Table 7, for rural freshmen, the effect size of inferiority (0.422) is much higher than other effect factors. The effect sizes of other effect factors in sequence are somatization (0.192), internet addiction (0.150), social phobia (0.141), and obsession (0.123).

## 3.4.2 Path analysis for effect factors of depression (mediated by anxiety) for urban freshmen

For urban freshmen, denote inferiority, bigotry, obsession, somatization, and internet addiction as  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ , and  $X_5$ , respectively.

The Mplus result for path analysis of urban freshmen is in Figure 8. For this model, CFI = 0.991, TLI = 0.986, Chi-Square value = 2,751.772, and degrees of freedom = 11, which means the model fits well. From P values in Figure 8, all direct and indirect pathes are significant on 0.01 level, and all estimates of standardized effect sizes are positive.

Based on the results, we drew the path diagram on below, and conducted the effect decomposition of the effect factors of depression (mediated by anxiety) in Table 8.

Path diagram for effect factors of depression (mediated by anxiety) for urban freshmen:



From Table 8, for urban freshmen, the effect size of inferiority (0.485) is much higher than other effect factors. the effect sizes of other effect factors in sequence are bigotry (0.162), somatization (0.137), obsession (0.128), and internet addiction (0.101).

### 4 Discussion and conclusion

From the investigation and analysis, levels of anxiety and depression of rural freshmen are significantly higher than that of urban freshmen. Inferiority, obsession, somatization, and internet addiction are the main effect factor for anxiety and depression of both rural and urban freshmen. For rural freshmen, social phobia is a noteworthy main effect factor for anxiety and depression, and for urban freshmen, bigotry is a specific main effect factor for anxiety and depression. Path analysis shows anxiety has significant mediating effect on the relationship between the main effect factors and depression. These results substantially extend former research in this area.

The manifestations of these factors includes many aspects. For rural freshmen, the inferiority and social phobia mainly manifest as a fear of being looked down upon, and a tendency to be less proactive in interpersonal interactions compared to urban freshmen. Jinling (17) and Yulong (18). For urban freshmen, the bigotry usually manifest as excessive sensitivity to setbacks and rejection, and overreactions in interpersonal relationships. For both rural and urban freshmen, the internet addiction mainly manifest as spending extensive hours online to escape the pressures of life (38).

These findings have important implications for designing practical intervention strategies. First, universities and policymakers should consider the establishment and enhancement of mental health support services on campuses. This includes increasing the availability of counseling centers, mental health professionals, and resources for students. Since anxiety and depression commonly occur together, mental health workers should assess and intervene of anxiety and depression as a whole. Considering the mediating effect of anxiety in the relationship between the effect factors and depression, interventions targeting anxiety management and coping skills can be beneficial. These strategies involve cognitive-behavioral therapy, mindfulness practices, and stress reduction techniques.

Second, for students with anxiety and depression, mental health institutions could assess markers of their inferiority, obsession, somatization and internet addiction, and then take certain measures to reduce extent of these factors. For example, for freshmen with internet addiction, interventions may include educational campaigns, counseling services, promoting alternative activities (such as sports activities), and providing family and social support.

Moreover, the policymakers and mental health workers should tailor intervention and education to the specific needs of rural and urban freshmen. For rural freshmen, addressing social phobia should be a main point, with interventions aimed at reducing social anxiety and enhancing social support networks. For urban freshmen, tackling bigotry should be a priority. Promoting inclusively and diversity within the university environment can be effective in reducing the impact of these factors on anxiety and depression.

While our research has revealed significant differences between urban and rural freshmen, we should recognize the inherent diversity and individual variations within each group. Each student's experience is shaped by a unique combination of personal, socioeconomic, and environmental factors. Thus it is imperative to acknowledge the nuanced nature of these disparities and take tailored interventions and support systems that consider the individuality of each student.

TABLE 7	' Effect decomposition	n for the effect factors of depression for rural fresh	imen.
---------	------------------------	--	-------

Effect	Direct path	Direct effect size	Indirect path	Indirect effect size	Total effect size
$X_1$ to $Y_2$	$X_1 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.380	$X_1 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.042	0.422
$X_2$ to $Y_2$	$X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.122	$X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.019	0.141
$X_3$ to $Y_2$	$X_3 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.077	$X_3 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.046	0.123
$X_4$ to $Y_2$	$X_4 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.157	$X_4 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.035	0.192
$X_5$ to $Y_2$	$X_5 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.136	$X_5 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.014	0.150

STDYX St	andardizat	ion			
		Estimate	S. E.	Est./S.E.	Two-Tailed P-Value
Y2 X1 X2 X3 X4 X5 Y1	ON	0. 454 0. 151 0. 092 0. 115 0. 094 0. 102	0. 030 0. 028 0. 029 0. 023 0. 024 0. 033	15. 312 5. 408 3. 165 5. 118 3. 850 3. 087	0.000 0.000 0.002 0.000 0.000 0.000
Y1 X2 X3 X5 X1 X4	ON	0. 109 0. 349 0. 064 0. 300 0. 211	0.026 0.027 0.022 0.031 0.022	4. 195 13. 101 2. 847 9. 656 9. 746	0.000 0.000 0.004 0.000 0.000
Interce Y1 Y2	pts	0.130 0.098	0. 028 0. 028	4. 681 3. 544	0.000 0.000
Residua Y1 Y2	l Variance	o. 376 0. 363	0. 020 0. 019	18. 490 18. 678	0.000 0.000

TABLE 8 Effect decomposition for the effect factors of depression for urban freshmen.

Effect	Direct path	Direct effect size	Indirect path	Indirect effect size	Total
$X_1$ to $Y_2$	$X_1 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.454	$X_1 \to Y_1 \to Y_2$	0.031	0.485
$X_2$ to $Y_2$	$X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.151	$X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.011	0.162
$X_3$ to $Y_2$	$X_3 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.092	$X_3 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.036	0.128
$X_4$ to $Y_2$	$X_4 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.115	$X_4 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.022	0.137
$X_5$ to $Y_2$	$X_5 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.094	$X_5 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$	0.007	0.101

### 4.1 Strengths and limitations

Our sampling investigation collected the data in recent 5 years, and employed PPS sampling, the investigation period and sample size is also scientifically determined. These measures ensured the strong timeliness of the investigation and the representative of the sample to the statistical population. We have also conducted comprehensive statistical analysis for mental influencing factors of anxiety and depression, as well as the mediating effect of anxiety on the relationship between the main influencing factors and depression.

This study also has certain limitations. First, the data was based on self-reported questionnaires, thus the information obtained may has a certain degree of subjectivity. Second, this survey mainly utilized the Mental Health Screening Scale for college students in China, which primarily targets the mental health issues of first-year college students. Some other factors contributing to anxiety and depression may not be included in this scale.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## **Ethics statement**

Before commencing the questionnaire, the participants provided written informed consent online. The research procedures adhered to the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) reporting guidelines and were approved by the Research Ethics Committee at Shandong University of Finance and Economics in China.

#### Author contributions

CL wrote the manuscript. CL and BS collected the data. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study has received support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NNSFC) under grant number 72274107 and major project on undergraduate teaching reform in Shandong province (grant number Z2022096).

#### References

1. Berney T, Black S, Checinski K, Crome I, Feinmann C, Gowers S, et al. The mental health of students in higher education. *R College Psychiatr.* (2003). Available online at: https://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/docs/default-source/improving-care/better-mh-policy/college-reports/college-report-cr166.pdf? sfvrsn=d5fa2c24\_2

2. Stewart-Brown S, Evans J, Patterson J, Petersen S, Doll H, Balding J, et al. The health of students in institutes of higher education: an important and neglected public health problem? *J Public Health Med.* (2000) 22:492–9. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/22.4.492

3. Tomoda A, Mori K, Kimura M, Takahashi T, Kitamura T. One-year prevalence and incidence of depression among first-year university students in Japan: a preliminary study. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* (2010) 54:583–8. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1819.2000.00757.x

4. Cheung K, Tam KY, Tsang MH, Zhang LW, Lit SW. Depression, anxiety and stress in different subgroups of first-year university students from 4-year cohort data. *J Affect Disor*. (2020) 274:41. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.05.041

5. Wei L. Prevalence and related risk factors of anxiety and depression among chinese college freshmen. J Huazhong Univ Sci Technol. (2015) 35:822. doi: 10.1007/s11596-015-1512-4

6. Adlaf EM, Gliksman L, Demers A, Newton-Taylor B. The prevalence of elevated psychological distress among Canadian undergraduates: findings from the 1998 Canadian Campus Survey. J American College Health. (2001) 50:67–72. doi: 10.1080/07448480109596009

7. Lee J, Jeong HJ, Kim S. Stress, anxiety, and depression among undergraduate students during the COVID-19 pandemic and their use of mental health services. *Innov High Educ.* (2021) 46:519–538. doi: 10.1007/s10755-021-0 9552-y

8. Amir Hamzah NS, Nik Farid ND, Yahya A, Chin C, Su TT, Rampal SRL, et al. The prevalence and associated factors of depression, anxiety and stress of first year undergraduate students in a public higher learning institution in Malaysia. *J Child Fam Stud.* (2019) 28:3545–57. doi: 10.1007/s10826-019-01537-y

9. Liu X, Cao X, Gao W. Does low self-esteem predict anxiety among Chinese college students? *Psychol Res Behav Manag.* (2022) 15:1481–1487. doi: 10.2147/PRBM.S361807

10. Liu XQ, Guo YX, Xu Y. Risk factors and digital interventions for anxiety disorders in college students: stakeholder perspectives. *World J Clinical Cases*. (2023) 11:1442–57. doi: 10.12998/wjcc.v11.i7.1442

11. Liu XQ, Guo YX, Zhang WJ, Gao WJ. Influencing factors, prediction and prevention of depression in college students: a literature review. *World J Psychiatry*. (2022) 12:860–73. doi: 10.5498/wjp.v12.i7.860

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to all the participants who contributed to this research.

#### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

12. Chen Y, Luo P, Chang T. Urbanization and the urban-rural income gap in China: a continuous wavelet coherency analysis. *Sustainability*. (2020) 12:8261. doi: 10.3390/su12198261

13. Zhong S, Wang M, Zhu Y, Chen Z, Huang X. Urban expansion and the urban-rural income gap: empirical evidence from China. *Cities*. (2022) 129:103831. doi: 10.1016/j.cities.2022.103831

14. Wu JX, He LY. Urban-rural gap and poverty traps in China: a prefecture level analysis. *Appl Econ.* (2018) 50:3300–14. doi: 10.1080/00036846.2017.1420890

15. Yuemin Z. Investigation and research on mental health of freshmen. *Contempor Educ Sci.* (2012) 11:3.

16. Xiurong T, Qi Y, Jin G. Analysis and countermeasures of psychological status of college students from urban and rural areas. *High Med Educ China*. (2008) 6:3–4. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1002-1701.2008.06.002

17. Jinling G. Psychological problems and psychological health counseling education of rural freshmen. *Jilin Educ.* (2010) 8:1.

18. Yulong Q. Comparative analysis of the mental health of college students from urban and rural areas. *China's Public Health Manag.* (2005) 21:2. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1001-9561.2005.04.038

19. Zhixue W. Comparison of mental health status of rural and urban students. *Hunan Middle School Phys Educ Front.* (2009) 7:67–77.

20. Zhang D. Changes in urban and rural college freshmen's mental health. *Psychol Explor*. (2015) 35:561–566.

21. Wang BJ, Wang ZF. Investigation of the mental health of urban and rural freshmen. *Chinese J Health Educ.* (2007) 12:924–925.

22. Wenting G, Zhiqiang Z. Research on the adaptation and psychological intervention of rural university freshmen. *View Horizon*. (2021) 2:1–2.

23. Ross SM. Introductory Statistics. London: Academic Press. (2017). doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-804317-2.00031-X

24. Wackerly D, Mendenhall W, Scheaffer RL. Mathematical Statistics with Applications. Boston, MA: Cengage Learning. (2014).

25. Fang X, Yuan X, Wei HU, Deng L, Lin X. The development of college students mental health screening scale. *Stud Psychol Behav.* (2018) 16:111. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-0628.2018.01.015

26. Pollack MH. Comorbid anxiety and depression. J Clin Psychiat. (2005) 66:22. doi: 10.1038/432456a

27. Belzer K, Schneier FR. Comorbidity of anxiety and depressive disorders: issues in conceptualization, assessment, and treatment. *J Psychiatr Pract.* (2004) 10:296–306. doi: 10.1097/00131746-200409000-00003

28. Khansa W, Haddad C, Hallit R, Akel M, Obeid S, Haddad G, et al. Interaction between anxiety and depression on suicidal ideation, quality of life, and work productivity impairment: results from a representative sample of the Lebanese population. *Perspect Psychiatr Care.* (2020) 56:270–279. doi: 10.1111/ppc. 12423

29. Cummings CM, Caporino NE, Kendall PC. Comorbidity of anxiety and depression in children and adolescents: 20 years after. *Psychol Bull.* (2014) 140:816. doi: 10.1037/a0034733

30. Fei Y. Multivariate Statistical Analysis with R. Beijing: Renmin University of China Press. (2014).

31. Bland M. An Introduction to Medical Statistics. Oxford: Oxford University Press. (2015).

32. Manly BF, Alberto JAN. *Multivariate Statistical Methods: A Primer*. New York, NY: Chapman and Hall CRC. (2016). doi: 10.1201/978131538 2135

33. Mukhopadhyay P. *Multivariate Statistical Analysis*. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Company. (2008). doi: 10.1142/6744

34. Xiaoqun H. Multivariate Statistical Analysis, Chapter 10. Beijing: Renmin University of China Press. (2015).

35. Hongyun L. Advanced Statistics for Psychology, Chapter 9. Beijing: Renmin University of China Press. (2019).

36. Li G, Hou G, Yang D, Jian H, Wang W. Relationship between anxiety, depression, sex, obesity, and internet addiction in Chinese adolescents: a short-term longitudinal study. *Addict Behav.* (2019) 90:421–7. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2018.12.009

37. Servidio R, Bartolo MG, Palermiti AL, Costabile A. Fear of COVID-19, depression, anxiety, and their association with Internet addiction disorder in a sample of Italian students. *J Affect Disor Rep.* (2021) 4:100097. doi: 10.1016/j.jadr.2021.100097

38. Priego-Parra BA, Triana-Romero A, Pinto-Gálvez SM, Ramos CD, Salas-Nolasco O, Reyes MM, et al. Anxiety, depression, attitudes, and internet addiction during the initial phase of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic: a cross-sectional study in Mexico. *MedRxiv*. 2020-05. (2020) doi: 10.1101/2020.05.10.20095844.

39. Moscovitch DA, Hofmann SG, Suvak MK, In-Albon T. Mediation of changes in anxiety and depression during treatment of social phobia. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* (2005) 73:945–52. doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.73.5.945

40. Nima AA, Rosenberg P, Archer T, Garcia D. Anxiety, affect, self-esteem, and stress: mediation and moderation effects on depression. *PLoS ONE.* (2013) 8:e73265. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073265