Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Liye Zou, Shenzhen University, China

REVIEWED BY Biswajit Banik, Federation University Australia, Australia Sitong Chen, Shenzhen University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Jia-Quan Zhu ⊠ jiaquan5393@hotmail.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 27 July 2023 ACCEPTED 01 December 2023 PUBLISHED 05 January 2024

CITATION

Bin X, Qu K-Y, Wang Y-H, Chen L, Xiong Y-J, Wen JF, Wei H-B, Bing T, Dan C-Y and Zhu J-Q (2024) Prevalence of depression, anxiety in China during the COVID-19 pandemic: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Public Health* 11:1267764. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1267764

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Bin, Qu, Wang, Chen, Xiong, Wen, Wei, Bing, Dan and Zhu. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Prevalence of depression, anxiety in China during the COVID-19 pandemic: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis

Xiang Bin^{1†}, Ke-Yi Qu^{2†}, Yu-Hao Wang³, Li Chen⁴, Yan-Jie Xiong⁴, Jin Fu Wen⁵, Hua-Bo Wei⁴, Tan Bing⁴, Chun-Yan Dan⁶ and Jia-Quan Zhu⁴*

¹Department of Otolaryngology, Fengdu County People's Hospital, Chongqing, China, ²Department of Stomatology, Fengdu County People's Hospital, Chongqing, China, ³Shandong Academy of Medical Sciences, Shandong First Medical University, Shandong, China, ⁴Department of Pharmacy, Fengdu County People's Hospital, Chongqing, China, ⁵Institute of Cardiovascular Endocrinology of Shandong First Medical University, Shandong Academy of Medical Sciences, Shandong, China, ⁶Department of Human Resources, Fengdu County People's Hospital, Chongqing, China

Background: Mental health risks associated with the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic are often overlooked by the public. The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on depression and anxiety disorders in China.

Methods: Studies were analyzed and extracted in accordance with the PRISMA 2020 flowchart. The studies were screened and extracted using electronic databases including PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, Cochrane Library, and ClinicalTrials.gov according to the predefined eligibility criteria. The Cochrane Review Manager software 5.3.1 was used for data analysis and the risk of bias assessment.

Results: As of 2023, a total of 9,212,751 Chinese have been diagnosed with COVID-19 infection. A total of 913,036 participants in 44 studies were selected following the eligibility criteria, the statistical information of which was collected for meta-analysis. The pooled prevalence of depression and anxiety were 0.31 (95% CI: 0.28, 0.35; $I^2 = 100.0\%$, p < 0.001) and 0.29 (95% CI: 0.23, 0.36; $I^2 = 100.0\%$, p < 0.001), respectively. After performing a subgroup analysis, the prevalence of depression among women, healthcare workers, students, and adolescents was 0.31 (95% CI: 0.22, 0.41), 0.33 (95% CI: 0.26, 0.44), 0.32 (95% CI: 0.26, 0.39), and 0.37 (95% CI: 0.31, 0.44), respectively.

Conclusion: The prevalence of depression and anxiety among the Chinese was overall high. Monitoring and surveillance of the mental health status of the population during crises such as sudden global pandemics are imperative.

Systematic review registration: https://clinicaltrials.gov/, identifier [CRD42023402190].

KEYWORDS

COVID-19, depression, anxiety, China, systematic review, meta-analysis

1 Introduction

The novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19), which is believed to have originally erupted in Wuhan, China, in December 2019, was declared an international public health emergency by the World Health Organization (WHO) in January 2020, followed by an emergency declaration of the outbreak as a global pandemic by WHO on 11 March 2020 (1). Although COVID-19 was initially recognized as a respiratory disease, the variant strain of SARS-CoV-2 has the capability to destroy many organ systems (2, 3). Early research revealed an increasing risk of long COVID-19 sequelae after the second or third infections, even in double-vaccinated and triplevaccinated individuals (4, 5). Suicide is the most severe sequelae of untreated COVID-19 psychiatric disorders (6, 7).

To combat the rapid spread of COVID-19 among the general population, the Chinese government initially imposed a complete lockdown in Wuhan and then gradually imposed lockdowns in other cities (8). Initially, the Chinese public was understanding and appreciative of the Chinese government's efforts to prevent the spread of infection, whereas with the increasing isolation time and infection number, emotional symptoms such as panic, depression, and anxiety continued to rapidly breed and spread among ordinary people. These psychological effects are thought to be related to fear of infection, helplessness after being quarantined, unemployment due to the blockade, market supply interruption due to the transportation blockade, negative media coverage, and social discrimination due to COVID-19 infection (9–11). Increasing evidence has suggested that the psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic may have a long-term effect on one's overall mental health (12–14).

Since the outbreak, public health authorities and healthcare researchers have focused heavily on the biological and physiological impacts of COVID-19, with little attention paid to its impact on mental health. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the psychological wellbeing of students, women, and healthcare workers was often seriously threatened due to their occupational characteristics but often overlooked (15–17). Population prevalence meta-analyses in China, the origin of the epidemic, home to approximately one-fifth of the world's population, are an important reference for global evidence-based medicine, yet data on the mental health impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the general population remain inadequate.

We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to synthesize the accumulated research on mental disorders and COVID-19. The objective was to deeply quantify the mental health impact (depression and anxiety) and prevalence of COVID-19 in China during the whole period of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is believed that the pooling of data will bring more attention to the mental health issues resulting from global public health event pandemics such as COVID-19 and provide theoretical support for the introduction of preventive policies for mental health issues that may result from new global public health events in future. There is no doubt that although the WHO no longer classifies COVID-19 as a public health emergency, our study, similar to the global pandemic SARS-CoV-2 at the beginning of this century, may still provide an important complementary theoretical basis for the adoption of preventive measures for future global public health emergencies resulting in affective disorders for quite some time. After all, no one can be certain that the COVID-19 incident is the endpoint of a global public health event, and the SARS coronavirus is an example.

2 Methods

This systematic review was conducted following the PRISMA 2020 guidelines (18). The protocol for the review was registered and published in PROSPERO (ID: CRD 42023402190).

2.1 Search strategy

Articles were retrieved through a systematic search of PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, Cochrane Library, and ClinicalTrials.gov. To broaden the search scope and improve accuracy, a selected search strategy combining keywords and subject terms was used to optimize the results. Briefly, the initial search strategy mainly included keywords such as COVID-19, depression, anxiety, China, or Chinese, and the detailed search strategies are presented in Supplemental File S1. The initial filtering method was as follows: Initially, titles were filtered for potentially relevant articles, followed by an elaborate screening of abstracts to confine the search. Full texts of the article were filtered and shortlisted based on eligibility and relevance to the topic. Two independent reviewers reviewed all the articles to be included, and Cohen's kappa coefficient valuation was used to assess the consistency of the two reviewers at each stage of the process for the inclusion of the articles, and when the reviewers agreed with the inclusion of a particular piece of literature, it was marked accordingly (yes "1" and no "0").

2.2 Eligibility criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (a) studies in which the prevalence of depression, anxiety, or a combination of the two was evaluated as the main outcome; (b) the research field was the completed or ongoing research on the mental health of COVID-19 during the pandemic in China; (c) inclusion was restricted to studies conducted in China, and the entire study population was limited to Chinese only; (d) only cross-sectional surveys were included; and (e) the ultimate result of the data was presented as a percentage or frequency. However, studies that meet any of the following criteria were excluded: (a) non-cross-sectional surveys; (b) data in any language other than English; (c) depression or anxiety was not assessed as a major outcome; (d) data were not quantified by statistical scales; (e) research results expressed in addition to frequency and percentage, such as mean and standard deviation; and (f) unavailable full-text articles.

2.3 Data extraction

Two reviewers independently screened the titles and abstracts according to the same selection criteria and extracted the data of potentially relevant studies based on a predefined qualification criteria form (MS-Excel). Resolution of disagreements between the two review authors was done based on a review of eligibility and discussion with a third independent reviewer until a consensus was reached. After eliminating duplicate articles and data, we formulated a data extraction strategy flow chart following the PRISMA guidelines. Briefly, the following information was extracted from studies included in the systematic review: author, year of publication, research type, number of participants, response rate, gender ratio, age (denoted as "MD \pm SD"), region, scale type, participant type, and outcome indicators (denoted as "%"). "NR" is denoted as the presence of unclear data in the extracted articles.

2.4 Risk of bias assessments

Briefly, two strategies were chosen to assess the risk of bias in the included studies. First, we carefully assessed the risk of bias in each included study through the Cochrane risk assessment tool, which is a mature and widely used tool for article quality assessment in metaanalysis. Specifically, this tool is widely used due to its powerful analysis function, which includes assessing the representativeness, methodology, data reliability, and authenticity of the study in detail. Furthermore, we used the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (a tool exclusively used for risk assessment of cross-sectional studies) to minimize the risk of bias (19). The score for high-quality studies was specified as 3 and above; in other words, studies with a score \geq 3 were considered low-risk studies. The scoring of all risk assessment processes was completed by three independent authors simultaneously, and in case of disagreement, the fourth author was involved in consultations to reach a consensus.

2.5 Statistical analysis

Review Manager 5.3 (RevMan 5.3) was utilized to conduct the meta-analysis. Since the prevalence rate was readily provided in all studies, it was used for data analysis instead of the log odds ratio. Cochran's *Q*-test (chi-square) and *I*² statistics were chosen to determine heterogeneity in prevalence estimates. The heterogeneity was considered low, moderate, and high if the cutoff points for *I*²-values of 25, 50, and 75% or more were found (20). The random effects model was chosen as it accounts for variance in effect sizes between studies. Pooled prevalence with their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) was considered as the measure of effect. The effect size and 95% CI for each study were presented as forest plots. Moreover, Arc Map 10.8 was utilized to model the COVID-19 pandemic in various regions of China.

Subgroup analysis of the primary outcome (prevalence of depression) was performed using RevMan 5.3, which summarizes 34 studies investigating the effect of genders and population types on the prevalence of depression. Sensitivity analysis was used to assess the alteration in pooled effect sizes. Specifically, it was performed by removing individual studies one by one and conducting a meta-analysis after removing each study. This cumulative analysis was widely used to estimate the effect of the largest study on the pooled effect size.

3 Results

3.1 Studies selection

The initial search yielded 385 articles published between 1 December 2019 and 1 March 2023, from which 277 duplicates were

removed, following which 108 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. After screening titles and abstracts, 106 articles were eligible for full-text assessment, of which 52 were included. A total of 44 articles were then finalized and included in the quantitative metaanalysis. Interestingly, during the COVID-19 pandemic in China, no articles were found that included anxiety prevalence as an evaluated outcome alone; all relevant articles jointly assessed the prevalence of depression with anxiety and even stress prevalence among the population. Moreover, two independent reviewers reached a fair degree of consistency (Cohen's kappa coefficient = 0.23) at the initial stage of the inclusion of the literature (N=108), a moderate level of consistency (Cohen's kappa coefficient = 0.66) after carefully reading the abstract (N=52), and a strong consistency (Cohen's kappa coefficient=0.86) after carefully checking the inclusion criteria (N=44). Disagreements that existed at all stages were eventually completely eliminated in consultation with a third senior reviewer, and further selection was only possible once disagreements at each stage had been completely eliminated. The PRISMA flow diagram detailing the study extraction process is presented in Figure 1.

3.2 Population distribution of COVID-19 infection in China

We counted data on the distribution of the infected population since the COVID-19 pandemic in China until 6 December 2022. Data show that COVID-19 caused 9,212,751 confirmed infections in China during the 3 years of the pandemic. Guangdong province won first place with 868,673 infections, 64.5% of the regions had more than 200,000 infections, and more than 71.4% of the infections were concentrated in central and developed coastal cities (Figure 2).

3.3 Characteristics of the included articles

In total, 44 studies (8, 21-63) with 913,036 participants were included in the meta-analysis, and all studies were cross-sectional surveys according to the qualification criteria. The study population was Chinese citizens, based on males (31.4%) and females (68.6%), with explicit reporting of the prevalence of depression or anxiety in various regions of China during the COVID-19 pandemic. The participant's occupation types included medical personnel, college students, adolescents, cancer patients, COVID-19 patients, homosexuals, farmers, teachers, pregnant women, and most of the general population. Subject age characteristics were demonstrated as MD \pm SD in 14 studies, followed by 8 studies that were explicitly subjected to snowball sampling, and 30 studies reported participant response rates (median 95.1%). A total of 29 studies selected the Patient Health Questionnaire-9-item scale (PHQ-9) to measure depression, while anxiety symptoms were mostly quantified using the Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item scale (GAD-7) (in 18 studies). All unclear or unavailable data are indicated as "NR." Detailed information on baseline characteristics of all studies, including author and year of publication, total number of respondents, participation rate, age, gender (expressed as "%"), region, population type, statistical scales, and outcome indicators (expressed as "%"), are shown in Supplementary File S2. Furthermore, the risk of bias assessment showed 5 studies as high risk (NOS score < 3), while 39 were low-risk



studies (NOS score \geq 3). Details of each NOS scoring are presented in Supplementary File S3, followed by the Cochrane risk of bias assessment results in Figure 3.

3.4 Prevalence of depression

Forty-four studies estimated the prevalence of depression. The ultimate pooled prevalence of depression in Chinese people during the COVID-19 pandemic was 31.0% (95% CI: 0.28–0.35; Z=19; p<0.001), as presented in Figure 4. The significance of the chi-square value and high I^2 statistic (Chi²=18,719, df=43, p<0.001; $I^2=100\%$) indicated high heterogeneity between studies, whereas the alterations in sensitivity analysis showed that no study affected the pooled prevalence of depression by over 2% [0.18% (8)–1.8% (40)].

3.5 Prevalence of anxiety

In total, 26 of the 44 studies (93,450 participants) reported the prevalence of depression in Chinese people. The corresponding figure

for overall anxiety was 0.29 (95% CI: 0.23, 0.36); I^2 =100%, Z=8.86; p<0.001 (Figure 5). After sensitivity analysis, no individual study was found to result in a significant change in the combined prevalence of >2% [0.28% (61)-1.5% (43)].

3.6 Subgroup analysis

We only performed subgroup analysis on depression prevalence due to the amount of pooled data for depression that greatly exceeded our expectations and the insufficiency of some vital data for anxiety. In brief, those studies that precisely defined and reported the prevalence of depression in women, students, medical professionals, and adolescents were screened for subgroup analysis.

3.6.1 Women

Given that women tend to be more sensitive to changes in their circumstances due to COVID-19 and are more likely to experience psychological shock, a subgroup analysis of women was conducted first (45, 48). Subgroup analysis of 9 out of 44 studies (52,137 participants) showed that the final pooled prevalence of female





depression was 0.31 (95% CI: 0.22, 0.41); $I^2 = 100\%$, Z = 6.42; p < 0.001 (Figure 6). After performing the sensitivity analysis, we have found that no study affected the pooled prevalence of depression by over 2% [0.24% (57)–1.78% (48)].

3.6.2 Students

In total, 10 of the 44 studies (798,319 participants) were included in subgroup analyses, and these student groups included college and high school students who were accurately defined. The initial student prevalence of comorbid depression was 0.34 (95% CI: 0.25, 0.40); I^2 = 99.80%, Z = 10.60; p < 0.001. However, a sensitivity

analysis of the 10 studies revealed that one study (48) had more than 2% (2.3%) impact on the prevalence of pooled depression. After removing the study, the ultimate prevalence of pooled depression in students was 0.32 (95% CI: 0.26, 0.39); $I^2 = 100\%$, Z = 9.50; p < 0.001 (Figure 7).

3.6.3 Healthcare workers

In total, 12 of the 44 studies (35,855 healthcare workers) were included in subgroup analyses, and all the workers were accurately defined as being in a long-term healthcare profession. The final pooled prevalence of depression among healthcare workers was 0.33 (95% CI:

				Risk Difference	Risk Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Risk Difference	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl		
Cong Zhou et al. 2021	0.344	0.015067	2.3%	0.34 [0.31, 0.37]	-		
Cuiyan Wang et al. 2020	0.118	0.009687	2.3%	0.12 [0.10, 0.14]	-		
angping Chen et al. 2020	0.201	0.004711	2.3%	0.20 [0.19, 0.21]	•		
Guang-Yin Zhang et al. 2020	0.303	0.013211	2.3%	0.30 [0.28, 0.33]	-		
lai-Xin Bo et al. 2020	0.211	0.000472	2.3%	0.21 [0.21, 0.21]	•		
lan Qi et al. 2020	0.437	0.005498	2.3%	0.44 [0.43, 0.45]	· ·		
luajun Wang et al. 2020	0.437	0.014935	2.3%	0.44 [0.41, 0.47]	-		
iaojiao Zhou et al. 2020	0.184	0.011737	2.3%	0.18 [0.16, 0.21]			
i Wang et al. 2020	0.113	0.008297	2.3%	0.11 [0.10, 0.13]	-		
ijun Kang et al. 2020	0.301	0.015401	2.3%	0.30 [0.27, 0.33]			
/indan Wu et al. 2020	0.091	0.00577	2.3%	0.09 [0.08, 0.10]			
Pei Xiao et al. 2022	0.252	0.003566	2.3%	0.25 [0.25, 0.26]			
Peigin Liang et al. 2020	0.37	0.004576	2.3%	0.37 [0.36, 0.38]			
Ping Wang et al. 2022	0.352	0.006175	2.3%	0.35 [0.34, 0.36]	-		
Shuang-Jiang Zhou et al. 2020		0.004928	2.3%	0.37 [0.36, 0.38]	•		
Shuang-Jiang Zhou et al. 2020		0.043186	2.0%	0.40 [0.32, 0.49]			
Su Hong et al. 2020		0.012327	2.3%	0.27 [0.25, 0.30]	-		
ommy Kwan-Hin Fong et al. 2021		0.009775	2.3%	0.14 [0.12, 0.16]	-		
ong Yan et al. 2020		0.009123	2.3%	0.35 [0.33, 0.37]	-		
Vanjie Tang et al. 2020		0.014918	2.3%	0.37 [0.34, 0.40]	-		
Vei Wang et al. 2020	0.647	0.06692	1.7%	0.65 [0.52, 0.78]			
Ven-Ping Guo et al. 2021		0.002917	2.3%	0.26 [0.25, 0.26]	•		
Kianjun Ning et al. 2020		0.045119	2.0%	0.41 [0.32, 0.50]			
(iao Pan et al. 2020		0.004219	2.3%	0.09 [0.08, 0.10]	•		
Kiaobin Zhang et al. 2021		0.007363	2.3%	0.17 [0.16, 0.19]			
(iaobo Zhang et al. 2022		0.003172	2.3%	0.47 [0.47, 0.48]			
Kingyue Song et al. 2020		0.015653	2.3%	0.52 [0.49, 0.55]	-		
Kinli Chi et al. 2020		0.015028	2.3%	0.56 [0.53, 0.59]			
(u Chen et al. 2021		0.007813	2.3%	0.59 [0.58, 0.61]	-		
(u-Yi Wu et al. 2021		0.009364	2.3%	0.23 [0.21, 0.25]	-		
een Huang et al. 2020		0.003004	2.3%	0.40 [0.38, 0.41]	-		
'i Yin et al. 2020		0.007052	2.3%	0.32 [0.28, 0.35]	-		
/ing An et al. 2020		0.013808	2.3%	0.36 [0.32, 0.40]	-		
/iu Tung Suen et al. 2020		0.018403	2.3%	0.30 [0.27, 0.34]			
fu fung Suen et al. 2020 fuan Liu et al. 2020		0.018559	2.3%	0.29 [0.26, 0.32]	-		
fuchen Ying et al. 2020		0.013673	2.3%	0.29 [0.26, 0.32]	-		
-		0.013414					
2. Ma et al. 2020			2.1%	0.52 [0.46, 0.58]	-		
Zeng Zhang et al. 2020		0.012028	2.3%	0.32 [0.30, 0.35]	—		
Zeya Shi et al. 2021		0.018187	2.3%	0.19 [0.16, 0.23]	-		
henghua Hou et al. 2022		0.011098	2.3%	0.14 [0.12, 0.16]			
Chenwei Dai et al. 2022		0.034776	2.1%	0.38 [0.31, 0.44]			
Chimin Xu et al. 2022		0.012242	2.3%	0.36 [0.34, 0.39]			
hiyang Zhang et al. 2020		0.004732	2.3%	0.19 [0.18, 0.20]			
ijun Xu et al. 2020	0.366	0.004928	2.3%	0.37 [0.36, 0.38]			
otal (95% CI)			100.0%	0.31 [0.28, 0.35]	•		
leterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² = 1	8719.14, df = 43 (P	< 0.00001)					
Test for overall effect: Z = 19.00 (P <					-0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5		
				Prevale	ence of depression]		
E 4							
d prevalence of depression in Ch							

0.26, 0.40); $I^2 = 100\%$, Z = 9.85; p < 0.001 (Figure 8). After performing the sensitivity analysis, we found that no study affected the pooled prevalence of depression by over 2% [0.19% (21)–1.98% (43)].

3.6.4 Adolescents

Although the international definition of adolescents is based on those between the ages of 10 and 25 years, with some overlap with the student population, the consensus definition of adolescents in China tends to be more vulnerable groups aged 10–19 (64). According to Hawes et al., depression and anxiety symptoms in adolescents and young adults have increased significantly during the COVID-19 pandemic (65). In total, 7 of the 44 studies (56,559 adolescents) were included in the subgroup analysis. The eventual pooled prevalence of depression among adolescents was 0.37 (95% CI: 0.31, 0.44); $I^2 = 100\%$, Z = 11.59; p < 0.001 (Figure 9). The changes in the estimated pooled prevalence of depression after performing influence analysis ranged from 0.08% (34) to 1.2% (46).

4 Discussion

The ravages of COVID-19 have had a serious and far-reaching impact on all aspects of the world, and *The Lancet* has more than once called for increased attention to mental health risks resulting from the epidemic (66–68). As of 2023, COVID-19 had repeatedly ravaged China, infecting 9.21 million residents, especially in the rich central and coastal cities, resulting in an unprecedented disaster for ordinary residents' livelihoods (Figure 2). Given the potential harm to mental health arising from COVID-19, the present systematic review and meta-analysis estimated the pooled

	B. I B.W	67		Risk Difference	Risk Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Risk Difference		Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl				
Cong Zhou et al. 2021		0.043725	3.6%	0.44 [0.36, 0.53]					
Guang-Yin Zhang et al. 2020		0.012166	3.9%	0.25 [0.22, 0.27]					
Huajun Wang et al. 2020		0.007994	3.9%	0.23 [0.21, 0.24]					
₋i Wang et al. 2020		0.015315	3.9%	0.43 [0.40, 0.46]					
Mindan Wu et al. 2020		0.002964	3.9%	0.27 [0.26, 0.27]		•			
Pei Xiao et al. 2022		0.045819	3.6%	0.51 [0.42, 0.60]					
Ping Wang et al. 2022		0.003983	3.9%	0.08 [0.07, 0.09]					
Su Hong et al. 2020	0.142	0.006769	3.9%	0.14 [0.13, 0.16]	-				
Tong Yan et al. 2020		0.003174	3.9%	0.52 [0.51, 0.52]					
Wei Wang et al. 2020		0.014546	3.9%	0.31 [0.29, 0.34]		-			
Wen-Ping Guo et al. 2021	0.53	0.01511	3.9%	0.53 [0.50, 0.56]		-			
Xianjun Ning et al. 2020	0.543	0.007925	3.9%	0.54 [0.53, 0.56]					
Xiao Pan et al. 2020	0.155	0.008017	3.9%	0.15 [0.14, 0.17]	-				
Xiaobin Zhang et al. 2021	0.279	0.015321	3.9%	0.28 [0.25, 0.31]		-			
Xiaobo Zhang et al. 2022	0.317	0.017834	3.8%	0.32 [0.28, 0.35]		-			
Xinli Chi et al. 2020	0.203	0.016259	3.9%	0.20 [0.17, 0.23]	-	-			
Xu Chen et al. 2021	0.337	0.016264	3.9%	0.34 [0.31, 0.37]		-			
Xu-Yi Wu et al. 2021	0.213	0.0123	3.9%	0.21 [0.19, 0.24]		-			
Yiu Tung Suen et al. 2020	0.441	0.03232	3.8%	0.44 [0.38, 0.50]		_			
Yuchen Ying et al. 2020	0.223	0.010716	3.9%	0.22 [0.20, 0.24]		-			
Zeng Zhang et al. 2020	0.205	0.018641	3.8%	0.20 [0.17, 0.24]		-			
Zeya Shi et al. 2021	0.086	0.009049	3.9%	0.09 [0.07, 0.10]	-				
Zhenghua Hou et al. 2022	0.325	0.033627	3.7%	0.33 [0.26, 0.39]					
Zhenwei Dai et al. 2022	0.271	0.011323	3.9%	0.27 [0.25, 0.29]		*			
Zhimin Xu et al. 2022	0.097	0.003548	3.9%	0.10 [0.09, 0.10]					
Zhiyang Zhang et al. 2020	0.19	0.004014	3.9%	0.19 [0.18, 0.20]					
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.29 [0.23, 0.36]		◆			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; C	hi² = 13700.43, df =	25 (P < 0.0	0001); l² =	- 100% -	-0.5 -0.25 0 0	.25 0.5			
Test for overall effect: Z = 8.8	6 (P < 0.00001)			Drovala	-0.5 -0.25 0 0	.25 0.5			
	. ,			Prevale	fice of anxiety disorder				
RE 5									

				Risk Difference	Risk Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Risk Difference	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl				
Fangping Chen et al. 2020	0.147	0.010633	11.1%	0.15 [0.13, 0.17]		-			
Mindan Wu et al. 2020	0.389	0.004621	11.2%	0.39 [0.38, 0.40]		1	•		
Pei Xiao et al. 2022	0.197	0.012837	11.1%	0.20 [0.17, 0.22]		-			
Shuang-Jiang Zhou et al. 2020	0.478	0.003173	11.2%	0.48 [0.47, 0.48]					
Xianjun Ning et al. 2020	0.228	0.00502	11.2%	0.23 [0.22, 0.24]					
Xiaobo Zhang et al. 2022	0.267	0.017883	11.0%	0.27 [0.23, 0.30]		-			
Xu-Yi Wu et al. 2021	0.599	0.007797	11.1%	0.60 [0.58, 0.61]			•		
Yuan Liu et al. 2020	0.19	0.011882	11.1%	0.19 [0.17, 0.21]		-			
Zhenghua Hou et al. 2022	0.3	0.011797	11.1%	0.30 [0.28, 0.32]		· ·			
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.31 [0.22, 0.41]		•	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ²	= 3666.28, df = 8 (P < 0.00001	1): $l^2 = 100$			 	— 		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 6.42$ (P < 0.00001)				-0.5 -0.25 of female depression	0 0.25	0.5			
RE 6									

prevalence of depression and anxiety in the Chinese population during the COVID-19 pandemic.

In this meta-analysis of 44 cross-sectional studies, the prevalence of depression and anxiety in the Chinese population is 31 and 29%, respectively, which is generally high. According to Damian Santomauro (69), in the survey of the prevalence of depression and anxiety during the worldwide COVID-19 pandemic in 204 countries and regions in 2020, the prevalence of depression and anxiety in Chinese people was only less than 10.1 and 14.0%, respectively, far lower than that in other developed countries in the world. Surprisingly, this estimate is almost triple of what was previously reported in Bareeqa et al.'s meta-analysis (70) of Chinese people's mental health at the beginning of 2020, where the prevalence of depression and anxiety disorder was 26.9 and 21.8%, respectively. Similarly, our combined results likewise differ significantly from estimates from Bareeqa et al.'s research in the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic, whereas they are broadly in line with the findings that the prevalence of depression and anxiety disorders were 31.4 and 31.9%, respectively, during the middle of the COVID-19 pandemic in China (71). As advocated by Shou et al. (72), mental health risks may gradually converge to a stable range with fluctuations

				Risk Difference	Risk Dif	ference		
Study or Subgroup	Risk Difference	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI			
Cong Zhou et al. 2021	0.211	0.000472	11.2%	0.21 [0.21, 0.21]				
Pei Xiao et al. 2022	0.091	0.00577	11.2%	0.09 [0.08, 0.10]		•		
Shuang-Jiang Zhou et al. 2020	0.372	0.004576	11.2%	0.37 [0.36, 0.38]				
Vanjie Tang et al. 2020	0.352	0.006175	11.2%	0.35 [0.34, 0.36]		•		
Kiaobin Zhang et al. 2021	0.256	0.002917	11.2%	0.26 [0.25, 0.26]		•		
Kinli Chi et al. 2020	0.524	0.015653	10.9%	0.52 [0.49, 0.55]		-		
∕i Yin et al. 2020	0.594	0.007813	11.1%	0.59 [0.58, 0.61]		-		
Z. Ma et al. 2020	0.233	0.009364	11.1%	0.23 [0.21, 0.25]				
Zeng Zhang et al. 2020	0.275	0.013414	11.0%	0.28 [0.25, 0.30]		-		
Fotal (95% CI)			100.0%	0.32 [0.26, 0.39]		•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ²	= 5166.04, df = 8 (l	P < 0.00001); l ² = 100	%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 9.50 (P < 0.00001)			Prevalence	-1 -0.5 (of depression in students	0 0.5	1		

Pooled prevalence of students in China during the COVID-19 pandemic.



in epidemic infectivity and change variously in the general public's tolerance to the pandemic. Our study is therefore considered to be a comprehensive renovation or complement to previous investigations.

The subgroup analysis of this systematic review and meta-analysis revealed that the prevalence of depression was higher among healthcare workers (0.33), students (0.32), and adolescents (0.37) during the COVID-19 pandemic, which was significantly different from the results of previous reviews (73-75). Given that the public tends to emphasize mental health concerns for minors at the expense of college students as a specific group of youth, as well as to make this study more consistent with the need to screen the scope of a particular study, separate subgroup analyses were performed for adolescents as well as for students. Furthermore, compared to men $(0.27 \pm 0.03,$ MD \pm SD), the higher prevalence of depression in women (0.31 \pm 0.02) during a pandemic may be attributed to the increased domestic violence, fertility burden, and sensitivity to changes in the family economy that may result in more emotional support (76-78). Sensitivity analyses suggested that only a few studies had a tangible impact on the combined prevalence of depression and anxiety, indicating that the results of our meta-analysis are overall reliable. Given the high prevalence of depression among females, adolescents, and healthcare workers, it is essential to take preventive and intervention measures in advance for the appropriate risk groups (79). If timely measures to intervene in mental health are not taken, suicidality is one of the most horrific sequelae of untreated mental illness, and even early in the pandemic, it started to show itself (80).

Therefore, we attempted to comprehensively quantify the prevalence and extent of mental health concerns (depression and anxiety) among residents from the beginning of the COVID-19 outbreak in China to the pandemic. It is worth mentioning that we have included a large number of recent low-risk studies with an unprecedented expansion in the population types and regions included, which effectively supports the reliability of the final results of this meta-analysis. Furthermore, according to the WHO, the overall prevalence of depression and anxiety disorders among Chinese people in 2018 was only 3.87 and 7.62%, respectively, which is significantly different from all the findings we derived, suggesting that COVID-19 poses a significant risk of mental disorders for Chinese people. In addition, we observed some undeniable differences in the numerical impact on mental health between the different stages of COVID-19, which is reflected in the significantly higher prevalence of COVID-19 in the early population than in the late stage, and therefore we labeled the different stages accordingly. Of the 44 articles included, the number of articles in the early, middle, and late stages of the COVID-19 pandemic was 25, 11, and 8, respectively. Our definition of the pandemic stage was defined based on the policy urgency and

				Risk Difference			oifference		
Study or Subgroup	Risk Difference	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Rano	<u>lom, 95% C</u>	:	
Cong Zhou et al. 2021	0.437	0.005498	14.4%	0.44 [0.43, 0.45]					
Han Qi et al. 2020	0.366	0.004928	14.4%	0.37 [0.36, 0.38]					
Jiaojiao Zhou et al. 2020	0.256	0.002917	14.4%	0.26 [0.25, 0.26]					
Shuang-Jiang Zhou et al. 2020	0.524	0.015653	14.0%	0.52 [0.49, 0.55]					-
Xiaobin Zhang et al. 2021	0.395	0.007052	14.3%	0.40 [0.38, 0.41]					
Xu Chen et al. 2021	0.275	0.013414	14.1%	0.28 [0.25, 0.30]				-	
Zeng Zhang et al. 2020	0.366	0.004928	14.4%	0.37 [0.36, 0.38]					
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.37 [0.31, 0.44]				-	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ²	= 1383.82, df = 6 (P < 0.00001	l); l ² = 100	%	-0.5	-0.25	-	0.05	0.5
Test for overall effect: Z = 11.59 (P < 0.00001)				Prevalence of		in adolescents	U	0.25	0.5
RE 9									
led prevalence of adolescents i	n China during th	ne COVID-	19 pande	mic.					

the scale of prevalence in China. We also recognize several limitations in our meta-analysis. First, studies included in this meta-analysis are all cross-sectional surveys with no randomization, which leads to unavoidably highly heterogeneous results when conducting pooled analysis. Second, due to the limited number of studies containing demographic-type information, no subgroup analysis of anxiety was conducted. Third, due to the insufficient number of current studies, the overall sample size of medical staff is small, which may reduce representativeness. Fourth, although intermittent closure policies may cause or exacerbate a variety of social uncertainties that may also be closely associated with the COVID-19 pandemic, there are still other potential uncertainties that may interfere with mental health, which may reduce representation. Fifth, this study did not analyze prevalence in the middle-aged and older adult population, whereas the COVID-19 pandemic had a more pronounced impact on middleaged and older adult, which may have resulted in an overestimation of the prevalence values. Furthermore, our study only recorded the psychological health risk data of China as the origin of the epidemic under the COVID-19 pandemic, which may not be universal.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, the prevalence of depression and anxiety among the Chinese was overall high. Monitoring and surveillance of the population's mental health status during crises such as sudden pandemics are imperative. Although the WHO declared the end of the COVID-19 pandemic, the theoretical results generated during this sudden public crisis could still provide a basis for resolving differences related to the need for population mental health interventions for quite some time and eventually reaching a consensus. Such consensus will also help to address the adverse effects of possible new global public events in future, as we cannot be certain that the emergence of COVID-19 is the end; after all, the SARS coronavirus pandemic is an example.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary material.

Author contributions

XB: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. K-YQ: Data curation, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. Y-HW: Formal analysis, Validation, Writing – review & editing. LC: Investigation, Validation, Writing – review & editing. Y-JX: Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. JW: Software, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. H-BW: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. TB: Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. C-YD: Writing – review & editing. J-QZ: Conceptualization, Visualization, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1267764/ full#supplementary-material

10.3389/fpubh.2023.1267764

References

1. Jawad MJ, Abbas MM, Jawad MJ, Hassan SM, Hadi NR. Mental health and psychosocial considerations post Covid-19 outbreak. *Wiad Lek*. (2021) 74:3156–9. doi: 10.36740/WLek202112106

2. Davis HE, McCorkell L, Vogel JM, Topol EJ. Long COVID: major findings, mechanisms and recommendations. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* (2023) 21:133–46. doi: 10.1038/ s41579-022-00846-2

3. Samudrala PK, Kumar P, Choudhary K, Thakur N, Wadekar GS, Dayaramani R, et al. Virology, pathogenesis, diagnosis and in-line treatment of COVID-19. *Eur J Pharmacol.* (2020) 883:173375. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2020.173375

4. Bowe B, Xie Y, Al-Aly Z. Acute and postacute sequelae associated with SARS-CoV-2 reinfection. *Nat Med.* (2022) 28:2398–405. doi: 10.1038/s41591-022-02051-3

5. Bellan M, Baricich A, Patrucco F, Zeppegno P, Gramaglia C, Balbo PE, et al. Longterm sequelae are highly prevalent one year after hospitalization for severe COVID-19. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:22666. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-01215-4

6. Min J, Oh J, Kim SI, Kang C, Ha E, Kim H, et al. Excess suicide attributable to the COVID-19 pandemic and social disparities in South Korea. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:18390. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-22751-7

7. The LP. COVID-19 and suicide. Lancet Psychiatry. (2021) 8:451. doi: 10.1016/ S2215-0366(21)00164-4

8. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho CS, et al. Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:1729. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17051729

9. Devakumar D, Shannon G, Bhopal SS, Abubakar I. Racism and discrimination in COVID-19 responses. *Lancet*. (2020) 395:1194. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30792-3

10. Benke C, Autenrieth LK, Asselmann E, Pane-Farre CA. Lockdown, quarantine measures, and social distancing: associations with depression, anxiety and distress at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic among adults from Germany. *Psychiatry Res.* (2020) 293:113462. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113462

11. Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. *Lancet.* (2020) 395:912–20. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8

12. Bourmistrova NW, Solomon T, Braude P, Strawbridge R, Carter B. Long-term effects of COVID-19 on mental health: a systematic review. *J Affect Disord.* (2022) 299:118–25. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.11.031

13. Ahmed GK, Khedr EM, Hamad DA, Meshref TS, Hashem MM, Aly MM. Long term impact of Covid-19 infection on sleep and mental health: a cross-sectional study. *Psychiatry Res.* (2021) 305:114243. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2021.114243

14. Singh S, Roy D, Sinha K, Parveen S, Sharma G, Joshi G. Impact of COVID-19 and lockdown on mental health of children and adolescents: a narrative review with recommendations. *Psychiatry Res.* (2020) 293:113429. doi: 10.1016/j. psychres.2020.113429

15. Sly PD, Trottier BA, Bulka CM, Cormier SA, Fobil J, Fry RC, et al. The interplay between environmental exposures and COVID-19 risks in the health of children. *Environ Health*. (2021) 20:34. doi: 10.1186/s12940-021-00716-z

16. Hoang NA, Van Hoang N, Quach HL, Nguyen KC, Duong LH, Pham TQ, et al. Assessing the mental effects of COVID-19-related work on depression among community health workers in Vietnam. *Hum Resour Health*. (2022) 20:64. doi: 10.1186/s12960-022-00760-x

17. Zhan J, Chen C, Yan X, Wei X, Zhan L, Chen H, et al. Relationship between social support, anxiety, and depression among frontline healthcare workers in China during COVID-19 pandemic. *Front Psych.* (2022) 13:947945. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.947945

18. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. (2021) 372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71

19. Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2010) 25:603–5. doi: 10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z

20. Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat Med.* (2002) 21:1539–58. doi: 10.1002/sim.1186

21. Kang L, Ma S, Chen M, Yang J, Wang Y, Li R, et al. Impact on mental health and perceptions of psychological care among medical and nursing staff in Wuhan during the 2019 novel coronavirus disease outbreak: a cross-sectional study. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2020) 87:11–7. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.03.028

22. Chen F, Zheng D, Liu J, Gong Y, Guan Z, Lou D. Depression and anxiety among adolescents during COVID-19: a cross-sectional study. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2020) 88:36–8. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.061

23. Huang Y, Zhao N. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during COVID-19 outbreak in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey. *Psychiatry Res.* (2020) 288:112954. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112954

24. Ma Z, Zhao J, Li Y, Chen D, Wang T, Zhang Z, et al. Mental health problems and correlates among 746 217 college students during the coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak in China. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci.* (2020) 29:e181. doi: 10.1017/S2045796020000931

25. Zhou SJ, Zhang LG, Wang LL, Guo ZC, Wang JQ, Chen JC, et al. Prevalence and socio-demographic correlates of psychological health problems in Chinese adolescents during the outbreak of COVID-19. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. (2020) 29:749–58. doi: 10.1007/s00787-020-01541-4

26. An Y, Yang Y, Wang A, Li Y, Zhang Q, Cheung T, et al. Prevalence of depression and its impact on quality of life among frontline nurses in emergency departments during the COVID-19 outbreak. *J Affect Disord.* (2020) 276:312–5. doi: 10.1016/j. jad.2020.06.047

27. Liu Y, Chen H, Zhang N, Wang X, Fan Q, Zhang Y, et al. Anxiety and depression symptoms of medical staff under COVID-19 epidemic in China. J Affect Disord. (2021) 278:144–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.09.004

28. Xu Z, Zhang D, Xu D, Li X, Xie YJ, Sun W, et al. Loneliness, depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder among Chinese adults during COVID-19: a cross-sectional online survey. *PLoS One.* (2021) 16:e0259012. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0259012

29. Liang P, Wang Y, Shi S, Liu Y, Xiong R. Prevalence and factors associated with postpartum depression during the COVID-19 pandemic among women in Guangzhou, China: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Psychiatry*. (2020) 20:557. doi: 10.1186/s12888-020-02969-3

30. Tang W, Hu T, Hu B, Jin C, Wang G, Xie C, et al. Prevalence and correlates of PTSD and depressive symptoms one month after the outbreak of the COVID-19 epidemic in a sample of home-quarantined Chinese university students. *J Affect Disord*. (2020) 274:1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.05.009

31. Song X, Fu W, Liu X, Luo Z, Wang R, Zhou N, et al. Mental health status of medical staff in emergency departments during the coronavirus disease 2019 epidemic in China. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2020) 88:60–5. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.06.002

32. Zhou S-J, Wang L-L, Qi M, Yang X-J, Gao L, Zhang S-Y, et al. Depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation in Chinese university students during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Front Psychol.* (2021) 12:12. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.669833

33. Yin Y, Yang X, Gao L, Zhang S, Qi M, Zhang L, et al. The association between social support, COVID-19 exposure, and medical students' mental health. *Front Psych.* (2021) 12:555893. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.555893

34. Qi H, Liu R, Feng Y, Luo J, Lei SM, Cheung T, et al. Prevalence of depression and its associated factors among adolescents in China during the early stage of the COVID-19 outbreak. *PeerJ.* (2021) 9:e12223. doi: 10.7717/peerj.12223

35. Zhang GY, Liu Q, Lin JY, Yan L, Shen L, Si TM. Mental health outcomes among patients from Fangcang shelter hospitals exposed to coronavirus disease 2019: An observational cross-sectional study. *Chronic Dis Transl Med.* (2021) 7:57–64. doi: 10.1016/j.cdtm.2020.12.001

36. Bo HX, Yang Y, Chen J, Zhang M, Li Y, Zhang DY, et al. Prevalence of depressive symptoms among pregnant and postpartum women in China during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychosom Med.* (2021) 83:345–50. doi: 10.1097/PSY.00000000000904

37. Yan T, Zhizhong W, Jianzhong Z, Yubo Y, Jie L, Junjun Z, et al. Depressive and anxiety symptoms among people under quarantine during the COVID-19 epidemic in China: a cross-sectional study. *Front Psych.* (2021) 12:566241. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.566241

38. Wang W, Song W, Xia Z, He Y, Tang L, Hou J, et al. Sleep disturbance and psychological profiles of medical staff and non-medical staff during the early outbreak of COVID-19 in Hubei Province, China. *Front Psych.* (2020) 11:733. doi: 10.3389/fspst.2020.00733

39. Wang H, Huang D, Huang H, Zhang J, Guo L, Liu Y, et al. The psychological impact of COVID-19 pandemic on medical staff in Guangdong, China: a cross-sectional study. *Psychol Med.* (2022) 52:884–92. doi: 10.1017/S0033291720002561

40. Fong TK, Cheung T, Chan WC, Cheng CP. Depression, anxiety and stress on caregivers of persons with dementia (CGPWD) in Hong Kong amid COVID-19 pandemic. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2021) 19:184. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19010184

41. Zhang X, Yang H, Zhang J, Yang M, Yuan N, Liu J. Prevalence of and risk factors for depressive and anxiety symptoms in a large sample of Chinese adolescents in the post-COVID-19 era. *Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health*. (2021) 15:80. doi: 10.1186/s13034-021-00429-8

42. Zhang Z, Feng Y, Song R, Yang D, Duan X. Prevalence of psychiatric diagnosis and related psychopathological symptoms among patients with COVID-19 during the second wave of the pandemic. *Glob Health.* (2021) 17:44. doi: 10.1186/s12992-021-00694-4

43. Hong S, Ai M, Xu X, Wang W, Chen J, Zhang Q, et al. Immediate psychological impact on nurses working at 42 government-designated hospitals during COVID-19 outbreak in China: a cross-sectional study. *Nurs Outlook*. (2021) 69:6–12. doi: 10.1016/j. outlook.2020.07.007

44. Shi Z, Qin Y, Chair SY, Liu Y, Tian Y, Li X, et al. Anxiety and depression levels of the general population during the rapid progressing stage in the coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak: a cross-sectional online investigation in China. *BMJ Open.* (2021) 11:e050084. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-050084

45. Wu M, Han H, Lin T, Chen M, Wu J, Du X, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of mental distress in China during the outbreak of COVID-19: a national cross-sectional survey. *Brain Behav.* (2020) 10:e01818. doi: 10.1002/brb3.1818

46. Zhang Z, Zhai A, Yang M, Zhang J, Zhou H, Yang C, et al. Prevalence of depression and anxiety symptoms of high school students in Shandong Province during the COVID-19 epidemic. *Front Psych.* (2020) 11:570096. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.570096

47. Guo WP, Min Q, Gu WW, Yu L, Xiao X, Yi WB, et al. Prevalence of mental health problems in frontline healthcare workers after the first outbreak of COVID-19 in China: a cross-sectional study. *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* (2021) 19:103. doi: 10.1186/s12955-021-01743-7

48. Xiao P, Chen L, Dong X, Zhao Z, Yu J, Wang D, et al. Anxiety, depression, and satisfaction with life among college students in China: nine months after initiation of the outbreak of COVID-19. *Front Psych.* (2021) 12:777190. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.777190

49. Chi X, Becker B, Yu Q, Willeit P, Jiao C, Huang L, et al. Prevalence and psychosocial correlates of mental health outcomes among Chinese college students during the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic. *Front Psych.* (2020) 11:803. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00803

50. Zhou J, Yuan X, Qi H, Liu R, Li Y, Huang H, et al. Prevalence of depression and its correlative factors among female adolescents in China during the coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak. *Glob Health.* (2020) 16:69. doi: 10.1186/s12992-020-00601-3

51. Suen YT, Chan RCH, Wong EMY. Effects of general and sexual minority-specific COVID-19-related stressors on the mental health of lesbian, gay, and bisexual people in Hong Kong. *Psychiatry Res.* (2020) 292:113365. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113365

52. Wang L, Yang N, Zhou H, Mao X, Zhou Y. Pregnant Women's anxiety and depression symptoms and influence factors in the COVID-19 pandemic in Changzhou, China. *Front Psychol.* (2022) 13:855545. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.855545

53. Ning X, Yu F, Huang Q, Li X, Luo Y, Huang Q, et al. The mental health of neurological doctors and nurses in Hunan Province, China during the initial stages of the COVID-19 outbreak. *BMC Psychiatry.* (2020) 20:436. doi: 10.1186/s12888-020-02838-z

54. Ying Y, Ruan L, Kong F, Zhu B, Ji Y, Lou Z. Mental health status among family members of health care workers in Ningbo, China, during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Psychiatry*. (2020) 20:379. doi: 10.1186/s12888-020-02784-w

55. Zhou C, Li R, Yang M, Duan S, Yang C. Psychological status of high school students 1 year after the COVID-19 emergency. *Front Psych.* (2021) 12:729930. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.729930

56. Wang P, Shen X, Jiang Y, Wu L, Shen J, Nie X, et al. Psychological and sleep disturbances among first-line, second-line, and at home medical staff during the COVID-19 pandemic in Shanghai, China. *Front Public Health*. (2022) 10:1006610. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.1006610

57. Wu XY, You JH, Li AJ, He Z, Huang C. Prevalence and risk factors of anxiety, depression and sleeping disturbances in China during the COVID-19 outbreak: a webbased cross-sectional study. *Psychol Health Med.* (2022) 27:698–706. doi: 10.1080/13548506.2021.2003829

58. Xu Z, Ghisi GLM, Cui L, Zeng F, Zhou X, Yue Z, et al. The effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health among older adults from different communities in Chengmai County, China: cross-sectional study. *JMIR Form Res.* (2022) 6:e37046. doi: 10.2196/37046

59. Hou Z, Huang Y, Ma S, Feng H, Fu C, Li H, et al. Mental health symptoms and sleep quality of asymptomatic/mild SARS-CoV-2 infected individuals during the omicron wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in Shanghai China. *Brain Behav.* (2022) 12:e2803. doi: 10.1002/brb3.2803

60. Pan X, Xiao Y, Ren D, Xu ZM, Zhang Q, Yang LY, et al. Prevalence of mental health problems and associated risk factors among military healthcare workers in specialized COVID-19 hospitals in Wuhan, China: a cross-sectional survey. *Asia Pac Psychiatry*. (2020) 14:e12427. doi: 10.1111/appy.12427

61. Dai Z, Wang H, Xiao W, Huang Y, Si M, Fu J, et al. The association of mindfulness and psychological well-being among individuals who have recovered from COVID-19 in Jianghan District, Wuhan, China: a cross-sectional study. *J Affect Disord*. (2022) 319:437–45. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2022.09.062

62. Zhang X, Cai Y, Zhu K, Liu Z, Zhou Q, Zhu Y, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of depression and anxiety among Chinese adults who received SARS-CoV-2 vaccine - a cross-sectional survey. *J Affect Disord*. (2023) 324:53–60. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2022.12.077

63. Chen X, Qi H, Liu R, Feng Y, Li W, Xiang M, et al. Depression, anxiety and associated factors among Chinese adolescents during the COVID-19 outbreak: a comparison of two cross-sectional studies. *Transl Psychiatry.* (2021) 11:148. doi: 10.1038/s41398-021-01271-4

64. Sawyer SM, Azzopardi PS, Wickremarathne D, Patton GC. The age of adolescence. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health.* (2018) 2:223–8. doi: 10.1016/S2352-4642(18)30022-1

65. Hawes MT, Szenczy AK, Klein DN, Hajcak G, Nelson BD. Increases in depression and anxiety symptoms in adolescents and young adults during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychol Med.* (2022) 52:3222–30. doi: 10.1017/S0033291720005358

66. Wang Q, Xu R, Volkow ND. Increased risk of COVID-19 infection and mortality in people with mental disorders: analysis from electronic health records in the United States. *World Psychiatry*. (2021) 20:124–30. doi: 10.1002/wps.20806

67. Aranda Z, Rodriguez-Cuevas FG. COVID-19 and global mental health. Lancet Psychiatry. (2021) 8:457. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00124-3

68. The Lancet Public Health. COVID-19: from a PHEIC to a public mental health crisis? *Lancet Public Health.* (2020) 5:e414. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30165-1

69. Collaborators C-MD. Global prevalence and burden of depressive and anxiety disorders in 204 countries and territories in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet.* (2021) 398:1700–12. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02143-7

70. Bareeqa SB, Ahmed SI, Samar SS, Yasin W, Zehra S, Monese GM, et al. Prevalence of depression, anxiety and stress in China during COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Int J Psychiatry Med.* (2021) 56:210–27. doi: 10.1177/0091217420978005

71. Wu T, Jia X, Shi H, Niu J, Yin X, Xie J, et al. Prevalence of mental health problems during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord.* (2021) 281:91–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.11.117

72. Shou Y, Smithson M, Gulliver A, Murray K, Banfield M, Rodney Harris RM, et al. Risk tolerance and changes in coronavirus disease (COVID) related health behaviors: a longitudinal study. *Health Psychol.* (2022) 41:507–18. doi: 10.1037/hea0001197

73. Sun P, Wang M, Song T, Wu Y, Luo J, Chen L, et al. The psychological impact of COVID-19 pandemic on health care workers: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Front Psychol.* (2021) 12:626547. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.626547

74. Chang JJ, Ji Y, Li YH, Pan HF, Su PY. Prevalence of anxiety symptom and depressive symptom among college students during COVID-19 pandemic: a meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord*. (2021) 292:242–54. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.05.109

75. Ludwig-Walz H, Dannheim I, Pfadenhauer LM, Fegert JM, Bujard M. Increase of depression among children and adolescents after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in Europe: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health.* (2022) 16:109. doi: 10.1186/s13034-022-00546-y

76. Lebel C, MacKinnon A, Bagshawe M, Tomfohr-Madsen L, Giesbrecht G. Elevated depression and anxiety symptoms among pregnant individuals during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Affect Disord. (2020) 277:5–13. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.07.126

77. Sediri S, Zgueb Y, Ouanes S, Ouali U, Bourgou S, Jomli R, et al. Women's mental health: acute impact of COVID-19 pandemic on domestic violence. *Arch Womens Ment Health*. (2020) 23:749–56. doi: 10.1007/s00737-020-01082-4

78. Liu CH, Wong GTF, Hyun S, Hahm HC. Concerns about the social climate, finances, and COVID-19 risk on depression and anxiety: An analysis on U.S. young adults across two waves. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2022) 148:286–92. doi: 10.1016/j. jpsychires.2022.01.048

79. Xiong J, Lipsitz O, Nasri F, Lui LMW, Gill H, Phan L, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general population: a systematic review. J Affect Disord. (2020) 277:55–64. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.08.001

80. Thakur V, Jain A. COVID 2019-suicides: a global psychological pandemic. Brain Behav Immun. (2020) 88:952–3. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.062