

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Sophia Papadakis, University of Crete, Greece

REVIEWED BY Vieri Lastrucci, Meyer Children's Hospital, Italy Aurelio Luna, University of Murcia, Spain

\*CORRESPONDENCE Silvio Tafuri ⊠ silvio.tafuri@uniba.it

RECEIVED 20 October 2023 ACCEPTED 12 December 2023 PUBLISHED 05 January 2024

#### CITATION

Di Lorenzo A, Stefanizzi P and Tafuri S (2024) Are we saying it right? Communication strategies for fighting vaccine hesitancy. Front. Public Health 11:1323394. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1323394

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Di Lorenzo, Stefanizzi and Tafuri. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons
Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Are we saying it right? Communication strategies for fighting vaccine hesitancy

Antonio Di Lorenzo<sup>1</sup>, Pasquale Stefanizzi<sup>2</sup> and Silvio Tafuri<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Interdisciplinary Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy, <sup>2</sup>Department of Interdisciplinary Medicine, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy

Vaccine hesitancy is a multi-faceted phenomenon, deeply rooted in cultural, socioeconomic and personal background. Communication is deemed fundamental in fighting vaccine hesitancy. Medical communication should be accessible, relying both on an emotional approach and accurate information. Trained professionals should curate communication with the public.

#### KEYWORDS

vaccine advocacy, anti-vaccination arguments, vaccine literacy, healthcare workers training, vaccination coverage

## Introduction

According to current definition, vaccine hesitancy (VH) consists in "delay in acceptance or refusal of vaccination despite availability of vaccination services" (1). It has been identified by the World Health Organization as one of the 10 most serious threats to global health since it hinders vaccination efforts, thus creating vulnerable niches of individuals in which infection diseases' outbreaks might occur (2). The loss of community immunity due to suboptimal vaccination coverage also increases the risk of vaccine preventable diseases and their complications for vulnerable subjects who failed to respond to vaccination or could not be vaccinated (3).

It is surely imperative to address this critical topic; however, inaccurate interventions may backfire. In recent years, anti-vaccination movements have grown more structured and sturdier to criticism, relying on rhetoric and strongly refusing authority (4). Official communication is often met with disbelief, and lack of cohesion within the scientific community results in a failure to respond to the organized backlash of internet-based anti-vaccination movements (5).

Mandatory vaccination policies have also proven to be only partially effective: while increasing vaccination coverage, they are currently met with a significant degree of scepticism, sometimes evoking conspiracy sentiments (6, 7). People subjected to mandatory vaccination were found to fight it by pseudoscientific arguments (8), and even healthcare workers were observed to strongly oppose such measures (9). Therefore, different kind of interventions appear to be needed to fight hesitancy.

# **Policy options**

VH is currently recognized to be a multi-faceted phenomenon, rooted in both socioeconomic, cultural, and individual factors (1, 3). Communication regarding vaccination is therefore tricky: it should be simple enough to be understood by as many people as possible,

Di Lorenzo et al. 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1323394

yet with a complex structure. In fact, pro-vaccination messages should target different aspects of VH at once, account for the target audience's diversity and use a technically correct but non-elitist language.

To date, however, several websites encouraging vaccination are often more difficult to understand for non-specialized readers than anti-vaccination platforms (10), and similar differences in readability are observed in various online settings (11). Classic communication based on dramatic narratives regarding the dangers of VH, despite still being widely used to sensitize the public about the importance of vaccination, has been proven to not be effective, while also stoking the fear of adverse events (12, 13).

When pondering and designing communication endeavors, policymakers should also take into consideration communication's relationship with health literacy and vaccine literacy, specifically. In fact, according to a recent definition provided by Sørensen et al., (14) health literacy encompasses a variety of aspects, including "knowledge, motivation and competences to access, understand, appraise, and apply health information". As far as vaccine-specific literacy is concerned, Lorini et al. (15) suggested that it is a "relational concept" related to one's ability, motivation, and knowledge to seek, understand, appraise and apply information regarding vaccination in a larger conceptual workframe extending to themselves, their family, and their community. It is apparent that the "understanding" dimension of vaccine literacy can be at least partially impacted by communication's quality.

#### Actionable recommendations

First of all, medical communication should be accessible: relegating it to dedicated online databases makes it difficult to reach for the general audience. Providing additional sources of referenced information, both on digital and analog platforms, could help move medical notions closer to the public (16).

Secondly, VH often has a strong emotional component, and communication should take this factor into consideration (17). Addressing fear should be the top priority for all healthcare professionals, also considering that emotional wellbeing is part of the very concept of health (18). Additionally, the possibility of harm should be acknowledged and addressed properly to establish and maintain a stable relationship of communication and trust (13, 19, 20).

The role of frontline healthcare workers in promoting vaccination among their patients also represents a valuable asset. Various studies have highlighted how vaccine providers' opinion was perceived as relevant by patients, contributing to orient their decision to accept the vaccine (21–23). By establishing a strong network of adequately trained healthcare workers at a community level, this positive influence might be expanded and result in an overall increase in vaccine acceptancy and vaccination coverage.

## References

- 1. MacDonald NE; SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy. Vaccine hesitancy: definition, scope and determinants. *Vaccine*. (2015) 33:4161–4. doi: 10.1016/j. vaccine.2015.04.036
- 2. World Health Organization (WHO). Ten health issues WHO will tackle this year. World Health Organization; (2019). Available at https://www.who.int/news-room/spotlight/ten-threats-to-global-health-in-2019. Accessed on October 2, 2023.
- 3. Dubé E, Laberge C, Guay M, Bramadat P, Roy R, Bettinger J. Vaccine hesitancy: an overview. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. (2013) 9:1763–73. doi: 10.4161/hv.24657

#### Conclusion

Increasing people's trust in healthcare professionals is a fundamental goal for modern healthcare systems. Patience is needed when talking to those who feel betrayed, abandoned, or even damaged by institutions. Most importantly, communication should be a profession: trained personnel should be responsible for spreading ideas the right way, making sure that everyone understands and no one is left behind.

Training of personnel should be adequately designed and directed in order to ensure the presence of competent frontline healthcare workers in all main healthcare settings. This aspect should be incorporated into governmental practice for uniformity's sake, while its application should be curated by locally competent healthcare administration.

## **Author contributions**

AL: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. PS: Methodology, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. ST: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# **Funding**

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

- 4. Garett R, Young SD. Online misinformation and vaccine hesitancy. *Transl Behav Med.* (2021) 11:2194–9. doi: 10.1093/tbm/ibab128
- 5. Johnson NF, Velásquez N, Restrepo NJ, Leahy R, Gabriel N, el Oud S, et al. The online competition between pro-and anti-vaccination views. *Nature.* (2020) 582:230–3. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2281-1
- 6. Gostin LO, Reiss D, Mello MM. Vaccination mandates-an old public health tool faces new challenges. *JAMA*. (2023) 330:589–90. doi: 10.1001/jama.2023. 11059

Di Lorenzo et al. 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1323394

- 7. Alshehri S, Sallam M. Vaccine conspiracy association with higher COVID-19 vaccination side effects and negative attitude towards booster COVID-19, influenza and monkeypox vaccines: a pilot study in Saudi universities. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. (2023) 19:2275962. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2023.2275962
- 8. Gable JSM, Sauvayre R, Chauvière C. Fight against the mandatory COVID-19 immunity passport on twitter: natural language processing study. *J Med Internet Res.* (2023) 25:e49435. doi: 10.2196/49435
- 9. Karlsson LC, Garrison A, Holford D, Fasce A, Lewandowsky S, Taubert F, et al. Healthcare professionals' attitudes to mandatory COVID-19 vaccination: cross-sectional survey data from four European countries. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. (2023) 19:2256442. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2023.2256442
- 10. Okuhara T, Ishikawa H, Okada M, Kato M, Kiuchi T. Readability comparison of pro-and anti-HPV-vaccination online messages in Japan. *Patient Educ Couns.* (2017) 100:1859–66. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2017.04.013
- 11. Faasse K, Chatman CJ, Martin LR. A comparison of language use in pro-and anti-vaccination comments in response to a high profile Facebook post. *Vaccine*. (2016) 34:5808–14. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.09.029
- 12. Nyhan B, Reifler J, Richey S, Freed GL. Effective messages in vaccine promotion: a randomized trial. *Pediatrics*. (2014) 133:e835–42. doi: 10.1542/peds.2013-2365
- 13. Whitehead HS, French CE, Caldwell DM, Letley L, Mounier-Jack S. A systematic review of communication interventions for countering vaccine misinformation. *Vaccine*. (2023) 41:1018–34. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.12.059
- 14. Sørensen K, van den Broucke S, Fullam J, Doyle G, Pelikan J, Slonska Z, et al. Health literacy and public health: a systematic review and integration of definitions and models. *BMC Public Health*. (2012) 12:80. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-80

- 15. Lorini C, Del Riccio M, Zanobini P, Biasio RL, Bonanni P, Giorgetti D, et al. Vaccination as a social practice: towards a definition of personal, community, population, and organizational vaccine literacy. *BMC Public Health*. (2023) 23:1501. doi: 10.1186/s12889-023-16437-6
- 16. Jones AH, Jacobs MB, October TW. Communication skills and practices vary by clinician type.  ${\it Hosp~Pediatr.}$  (2020) 10:325–30. doi: 10.1542/hpeds.2019-0262
- 17. Bramhall E. Effective communication skills in nursing practice.  $\it Nurs\, Stand.$  (2014) 29:53–9. doi: 10.7748/ns.29.14.53.e9355
- $18.\,\mathrm{Nobile}$  M. The who definition of health: a critical reading. Med Law. (2014)  $33{:}33{-}40.$
- 19. Kaldjian LC. Communication about medical errors. Patient Educ Couns. (2021) 104:989–93. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2020.11.035
- 20. Fulton K. Acknowledgement supports effective communication. Superv Nurse.  $(1981)\ 12:37-40.$  doi: 10.1097/00006247-198103000-00008
- 21. Chung Y, Schamel J, Fisher A, Frew PM. Influences on immunization decision-making among US parents of Young children. *Matern Child Health J.* (2017) 21:2178–87. doi: 10.1007/s10995-017-2336-6
- 22. Alderotti G, Corvo MF, Buscemi P, Stacchini L, Giorgetti D, Lorini C, et al. Communicating with patients about COVID-19 vaccination: a qualitative study on vaccinators in Tuscany region, Italy. *Vaccines*. (2023) 11:223. doi: 10.3390/vaccines11020223
- 23. Katzman JG, Katzman JW. Primary care clinicians as COVID-19 vaccine ambassadors. J Prim Care Community Health. (2021) 12:215013272110070. doi: 10.1177/21501327211007026