Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Min Xu, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), China

#### REVIEWED BY Chung-Chien Huang, Taipei Medical University, Taiwan Muhammad Naeem, Kohat University of Science and Technology, Pakistan

\*CORRESPONDENCE Hamad Mansur Aldossari ⊠ hmaldossari@imamu.edu.sa

RECEIVED 22 August 2023 ACCEPTED 03 January 2024 PUBLISHED 17 January 2024

#### CITATION

Aldossari HM and Salam AA (2024) COVID-19 infections, recoveries, and mortality: an ANOVA model of locations and administrative areas in Saudi Arabia. *Front. Public Health* 12:1281289. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1281289

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Aldossari and Salam. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# COVID-19 infections, recoveries, and mortality: an ANOVA model of locations and administrative areas in Saudi Arabia

## Hamad Mansur Aldossari<sup>1</sup> and Asharaf Abdul Salam<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Geography and Geographical Information Systems Department, College of Social Sciences, Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>2</sup>King Saud University, Center of Population Studies, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

**Background:** Saudi Arabia has 13 administrative areas, all of which have been seriously affected by the COVID-19 epidemic regardless of their features. Being the largest and a prominent Arab country, epidemic intensity and dynamics have importance, especially in the era of Vision 2030 where infrastructure development and growth to enhance quality of life has of prime focus.

**Aims:** This analysis aims to trace the differentials in COVID-19 infections, recoveries, and deaths across the country depending upon various demographic and developmental dimensions and interactions.

**Data and methods:** This analysis used Saudi Arabia Ministry of Health data from March 15th, 2020 to August 31st, 2022, by classifying administrative areas and locations to build a generalized linear model  $(3 \times 3)$ : three types of administrative areas (major, middle-sized, and others) and localities (major, medium-sized, and others). Apart from two-way ANOVA, an one-way ANOVA also carried out in addition to calculating mean values of infections, recoveries, and deaths.

**Results:** A total of 205 localities were affected with varying severity, which are based on local demographics. Both the administrative areas and localities had a significant number of cases of infections, recoveries, and mortality, which are influenced by relationships and interactions, leading to differential mean values and proportional distributions across various types of administrative areas and localities.

**Conclusion:** There is dynamism that major administrative areas have lesser threats from the epidemics whereas medium-sized ones have serious threats. Moreover, an interaction of administrative areas and localities explains the dynamics of epidemic spread under varying levels of infrastructure preparedness. Thus, this study presents lessons learned to inform policies, programs, and development plans, especially for regional, urban, and infrastructure areas, considering grassroots level issues and diversity.

#### KEYWORDS

univariate general linear model, urbanization, infrastructure development, mean number of infected cases, grassroot level interventions

01

# **1** Introduction

With almost 35 million people living in an area of approximately 2 million square kilometers, the rapid spread of COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia underwent an initial rapid rise and peak, followed by further erratic behavior during the three years period of the epidemic. Geographic variations occurred that were dependent on superspreaders and population heterogeneity, local and regional based on various socioeconomic and demographic conditions (1-4). Highly populated industrial cities demanded epidemic compartmentalization models for policy interventions, distinguishing early implementation, later interventions, and mild interventions to pave the way for postpandemic urban growth strategies and potential (5, 6). Such compartmentalized units are common in Saudi Arabia, where the spatial architecture, urbanism, and local governance patterns differ, adding to the challenges of designing and implementing protective measures in times of emergencies including epidemics (7). In addition to regional comparisons of COVID-19 infection, mortality determined by health service delivery, community-level healthcare, testing approaches, and the characteristics of surveillance systems are dynamics that provide opportunities to balance regional development (6, 8).

Despite the precautionary and preventive measures adopted in Saudi Arabia, the epidemic spread faster than expected, necessitating proactive precautionary political and economic strategies to flatten the epidemic curve by increasing recovery to achieve a comparatively low case fatality rate, the burden of disease (9-12). In addition, the country continued decisive bold steps to safeguard the population at an immense socioeconomic cost, implementing swift community action and hospital preparedness, to increase the impact of mitigation measures (4, 10, 13–16). As a result, seriously affected metropolises and future cities having an availability of essential health services emerged with models of care and protective measures to contain the epidemic (11). On the other hand, poorly developed urban centers (towns and cities) had manifold challenges. Rapid urbanization, particularly around cities with migrant load, demands a rethinking beyond conventional neoliberal strategies to reduce risks of epidemics and other emergencies considering standards of population, space, and utilities to ensure quality of living arrangements through national, regional, and local planning, design, and development strategies (6, 17).

Cities with high population concentrations and economic pressures were seriously affected and were considered as COVID-19 hotspots. The dynamics of the pandemic in urban areas posed critical threats of COVID-19 but with variations of available combating infrastructure as determinants of preparedness (18). The large geographic area of Saudi Arabia has varied climatic and ecological conditions, climatic sensitivity, socioeconomic conditions, seasonal patterns, and population factors that played havoc in affecting transmission, creating disease burden and comorbidity, and warranting control policies (11, 19, 20). Such a vast geographic area leads to limitations in access to healthcare professionals, delays between disease development, progression, and diagnosis, and poor information concerning disease spread due to the absence of trustworthy sources and reliable guidance in emergencies (21, 22). Saudi Arabian locations vary in terms of population dynamics, geography, environments, and resource availability; health infrastructure such as hospitals and medical facilities producing varying levels of accessibility, and risk factors, immune system responses, responses to treatment, and danger of mortality make areas either safe, susceptible, or vulnerable (23). Thus, there is a heterogeneity of population and geographic characteristics making uniform effects as less impactful.

COVID-19 infections are invasions and multiplications of microorganisms, and viruses, that are normally present in the body. This sickness is caused by a virus called Sever Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2. Recoveries from COVID-19, on the other hand, refers to a probable confirmed case known to be alive and 14 days have elapsed. Death from COVID-19 is defined as a death resulting from a clinically compatible confirmed case, unless there is a clear alternative cause of death, not related to COVID-19 disease.

Thus, it makes imperative to have analyses and interpretations separating localities on various dimensions of geography, population, and infrastructure. This study aimed to test a model comparing three administrative area types and three types of neighborhoods in terms of differentiation of COVID-19 infections, recoveries, and deaths reported daily, examining the various interactions and relationships involved. It is hypothesized that major administrative areas having well laid out infrastructure have all strengths to safeguard population from threatening situations, although with a buzzer period. While the upcoming fast changing geographic/administrative areas have challenges of meeting demands posed by epidemic emergencies, the other geographic/administrative areas and localities have lesser impact and demand.

#### 1.1 Data

This study used daily reports of COVID-19 infection published by the Saudi Arabian Ministry of Health, from March 15, 2020 to August 31, 2022. Data were compiled in Excel sheets and analyzed using SPSS 25. The data analyzed refers to the entire cases of infections, recoveries, and deaths. There are no sampling methods involving inclusions or exclusions adopted. At least one person was infected in 205 locations during the studied period; 100 were in major administrative areas (Riyadh, Makkah Al-Mokarramah, Al-Madina Al-Monawarah, and the Eastern Region), 55 were in middle-sized areas (Qassim, Aseer, Northern Borders, and Najran), and 50 were in other administrative areas (Tabuk, Hail, Jazan, Al-Baha, and Al-Jouf). Of all locations, 13 were administrative capitals, 61 were medium-sized locations (grade A governorate headquarters), and 131 were other types, usually smaller and remote locations.

#### 1.2 Statistical analyses

The above mentioned combination of administrative areas and localities formed a 3 × 3 model comprising of 3 types of administrative areas (large, middle-sized, and others) and 3 types of localities (large medium-sized, and others). Such an analysis applies to both normally distributed and non-normally distributed data as they provide valid outcomes explaining the effect of two categorical variables on the dependent variable. This major analysis of the univariate general linear model (Two-Way ANOVA) was carried out to find out the effect of administrative areas and localities separately and thereby the interaction effect of both these variables. To be specific, the interaction

effect represents the combined effects of factors on dependent variable, that is, dependence of the impact of one factor on the level of the other.

In addition to this main analysis, locations with at least one person infected, recovered, or dead in each category were extracted. The number of infected, recovered, and dead persons in every administrative area was also analyzed. One-way ANOVA of cases (infections, recoveries, and deaths for years 2020, 2021, and 2022, and the total) was performed with the administrative areas and localities, each classified into three types. The mean number of infections, recoveries, and deaths in each category for the locality and administrative area was assessed. Analyses by years were also performed for all the aforementioned indicators. Significance levels of p < 0.05 (Five percent) was set as acceptable. Graphs are prepared by keeping the proportion of cases (infections, recoveries, and deaths) by type of locations (total of the three types of locations add to 1).

# 2 Results

Overall, 205 locations had at least one infected person, 204 locations had at least one person who recovered, and 99 locations had at least one person who died (Tables 1A,B). In the first category, 99 were from major administrative areas, 56 were from middle-sized administrative areas, and 50 were from smaller areas. The corresponding figures for the second and third variables were 99, 55, and 50 and 42, 27, and 30, respectively. The annual distributions of these numbers are shown in Tables 1A,B, along with the total number of infections, recoveries, and deaths in each type of administrative area. Since these infected locations have a rapidly developing infrastructure, their COVID-19 cases multiplied geometrically, as

revealed by increased infections, recoveries, and deaths. The other 131 smaller locations also experienced rapidly increasing infections, of which 130 locations recorded recoveries and 38 reported deaths. Even these smaller localities have comparatively lower number reporting a death (Figure 1). In short, while the larger locations in major regions had a monthly decline in reported cases, there was a faster and slower increase in the middle-sized and smaller locations, respectively. This trend is probably reflected in the interaction results as well.

Considering the research theme of this, the data were analyzed using a  $3 \times 3$  ANOVA (univariate general linear model) for localities as classified. Significant *F*-values were found for locations, administrative areas, and their interactions (p < 0.001) for infections, recoveries, and deaths (Table 2). Of the considered localities, divided into large administrative capitals, medium-sized headquarters of A-type governorates, and others (small towns and villages) located in 13 administrative areas (divided into major, medium-sized, and others). Both the broader administrative boundaries and the location characteristics impacted the cases during the COVID-19 epidemic.

The *F* value of location was found to be the highest for both infections (55.273), recovery (55.445), and deaths (33.049). Higher *F* values were reported during the intense spread of COVID-19, though the *F* value for the administrative area remained lower throughout the study period, except for that of deaths. This pattern was also reflected in the interactions, indicating that the two variables worked together to lead to different COVID-19 infection statistics. Of the reasonable  $R^2$  values found, most were above 0.40 and the adjusted  $R^2$  was above 0.30, indicating that the tests were logical showing the proportion of variance explained. These results shows agreement with the hypothesis that the COVID-19 varied across administrative areas and localities differentiated on the basis of infrastructure and pace of development.

TABLE 1A Number of neighborhoods having at least one person infected, recovered or died.

Neighborhoods		Infe	cted			Died						
	2020	2021	2022	Total	2020	2021	2022	Total	2020	2021	2022	Total
Major administrative are	Major administrative areas							•				
Large	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Medium-sized	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	19	18	11	22
Others	63	57	57	64	64	58	57	64	15	7	1	16
Total	98	92	92	99	99	93	92	99	38	29	16	42
Middle-sized administra	tive areas											
Large	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Medium-sized	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	10	10	4	13
Others	37	36	36	38	37	34	35	37	8	8	6	10
Total	55	54	54	56	55	52	53	55	22	22	14	27
Other administrative are	as									1		
Large	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Medium-sized	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	11	10	8	13
Others	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	11	7	2	12
Total	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	27	22	15	30
Total												
Large	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
Medium-sized	61	61	61	61	61	61	61	61	40	38	23	48
Others	129	122	122	131	130	121	121	130	34	22	9	38
Total	203	196	196	205	204	195	195	204	87	73	45	99

#### TABLE 1B Number persons included in the analysis by administrative areas classified.

Major				Middle Sized	k	Others					
Name (Number of Locations) Infected Recovered Died		Name (Number of Locations) Infected Recovered Died		Name (Number of Locations)	Infected	Recovered	Died				
2020											
Riyadh (33)	75,126	73,380	1,251	Qaseem (14)	13,914	13,592	195	Tabuk (8)	5,043	4,895	85
Makkah Al Mokarramah (29)	88,030	85,319	2,375	Aseer (25)	28,281	27,690	441	Hail (9)	7,317	7,090	131
Al Madina Al Monawarah (11)	29,835	29,439	163	Northern Borders (8)	2,491	2,223	87	Jazan (17)	12,099	11,503	466
Eastern Region (27)	88,195	86,964	849	Najran (7)	6,513	6,376	66	Al Baha (9)	4,511	4,330	666
Total (100)	281,186	275,102	4,638	Total (54)	51,199	49,881	789	Al Jouf (8)	1,290	1,176	55
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Total (51)	30,260	28,994	803
2021											
Riyadh (33)	59,065	57,646	322	Qaseem (14)	7,593	7,427	99	Tabuk (8)	3,410	3,319	28
Makkah Al Mokarramah (29)	45,146	42,316	827	Aseer (25)	13,114	12,723	235	Hail (9)	4,435	4,386	93
Al Madina Al Monawarah (11)	10,272	9,962	155	Northern Borders (8)	2,841	2,841	26	Jazan (17)	9,089	8,876	214
Eastern Region (27)	30,898	30,137	501	Najran (7)	4,222	4,183	50	Al Baha (9)	2,719	2,735	32
Total (100)	145,381	140,061	1805	Total (54)	27,770	27,174	410	Al Jouf (8)	1,419	1,372	66
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Total (51)	21,072	20,688	433
2022											
Riyadh (33)	86,753	86,927	30	Qaseem (14)	7,584	7,649	15	Tabuk (8)	3,698	3,712	9
Makkah Al Mokarramah (29)	66,036	67,553	90	Aseer (25)	12,891	12,863	103	Hail (9)	2,852	2,859	14
Al Madina Al Monawarah (11)	15,349	15,266	10	Northern Borders (8)	1,604	1,640	8	Jazan (17)	11,003	10,993	38
Eastern Region (27)	41,312	41,678	72	Najran (7)	2,883	2,884	10	Al Baha (9)	3,359	3,368	12
Total (100)	209,450	211,424	202	Total (54)	24,962	25,036	136	Al Jouf (8)	1,188	1,211	8
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Total (51)	22,100	22,143	81
Total		1						1			
Riyadh (33)	220,944	217,953	1,603	Qaseem (14)	29,091	28,668	309	Tabuk (8)	12,151	11,926	122
Makkah Al Mokarramah (29)	199,212	195,188	3,292	Aseer (25)	54,286	53,276	779	Hail (9)	14,604	14,335	238
Al Madina Al Monawarah (11)	55,456	54,667	328	Northern Borders (8)	6,936	6,704	121	Jazan (17)	32,191	31,372	718
Eastern Region (27)	160,405	158,779	1,422	Najran (7)	13,618	13,443	126	Al Baha (9)	10,589	10,433	110
Total (100)	636,017	626,587	6,645	Total (54)	103,931	102,091	1,235	Al Jouf (8)	3,897	3,759	129
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Total (51)	73,432	71,825	1,317



It also shows how both these indicators operate together to create a difference. There are localities of various types within an administrative area and so the potential of an administrative area cannot alone explain the intensity of COVID-19 spread.

All the 13 urban pockets and administrative capitals of Riyadh, Makkah Al-Mokarramah, Al-Madina Al-Monawarah, Dammam, Buraydah, Abha, Arar, Najran, Tabuk, Hail, Jazan, Al-Baha, and Sakaka accounted for a large share of the cases initially, which declined rapidly over the studied period. While the major locations, the administrative area headquarters (13 in number) stands as the highest infected, recovered and dead localities, the middle-sized localities (A grade governorate headquarters) have had cases reaching to the former category accounting at certain points in case of locations than administrative areas (46.244 against 55.273 in case of infections and 46.143 against 55.445 in case of recoveries). The reverse is true in the case of deaths (49.569 against 33.049). Higher the *F* ratio greater the significance, although the values are highly significant.

An One-Way ANOVA was executed to examine infected cases, recoveries, and deaths, considering administrative areas and locations as independent variables, and assessing their individual impacts. The former was not found to be significant at p = 0.05, except for infections in 2020, while the latter variable was significant (p < 0.001) throughout the period for all three indicators (Table 3). These results indicated that more than the broader administrative areas, smaller homogeneous geographic units and locations played prominent roles in increasing the spread of COVID-19 and thereby recoveries and mortality. During

		F (Significance)										
Month	Corrected Model	Intercept	Admin; area	Neighbor- hoods	Admin; area X location	R <sup>2</sup> (Adjusted r <sup>2</sup> )						
Infections												
2020	41.353*	175.770*	75.143*	87.836*	42.286*	0.630 (0.615)						
2021	15.370*	70.860*	28.747*	32.158*	15.372*	0.397 (0.371)						
2022	17.530*	64.115*	29.812*	38.446*	19.226*	0.429 (0.404)						
Total	25.838*	105.810*	46.244*	55.273*	27.042*	0.513 (0.493)						
Recoveries												
2020	42.565*	179.538*	76.877*	90.821*	43.768*	0.635 (0.620)						
2021	15.661*	72.690*	29.557*	32.463*	15.618*	0.390 (0.365)						
2022	18.631*	67.880*	31.725*	40.859*	20.488*	0.432 (0.409)						
Total	25.856*	105.660*	46.143*	55.445*	27.114*	0.513 (0.494)						
Deaths												
2020	16.390*	78.748*	37.166*	27.934*	13.414*	0.401 (0.376)						
2021	31.861*	175.526*	81.012*	45.303*	22.315*	0.565 (0.548)						
2022	18.918*	152.284*	60.924*	11.411*	7.284*	0.436 (0.413)						
Total	20.828*	106.502*	49.569*	33.049*	16.062*	0.460 (0.437)						

TABLE 2 Two-Way ANOVA results (3×3 Model) calculated with univariate general linear model.

\*p < 0.001.

TABLE 3 Results One-Way ANOVA (F Values) of COVID-19 year wise with administrative area (3 groups) and locations (3 groups).

years	Administrative area	Locations
Infections		
2020	3.119**	34.983*
2021	2.083	19.272*
2022	2.054	18.698*
Total	2.399	26.367*
Recoveries		
2020	3.152**	35.373*
2021	1.842	20.133*
2022	1.908	19.900*
Total	2.414	26.268*
Deaths		
2020	1.388	27.218*
2021	1.316	52.189*
2022	0.224	52.658*
Total	1.345	34.949*

\**p* < 0.001, \*\**p* < 0.05.

the initial stages of infection, administrative areas did not play significant roles, but their roles slowly became clearer; by 2022. With time, these areas started to play prominent roles in the epidemic spread, which explains the geographic variations in the spread of COVID-19, along with population heterogeneity.

An analysis of the mean number of infected cases was performed using the classification adopted in the  $3 \times 3$  design (Table 4) for a better clarity, thus allotting a mean for each category

of location (administrative area capital, A Grade governorate headquarters, and others) in each type of administrative area (major, medium-sized, and others). Each location, as a whole, had 3,967 infected persons, 3,905 recoveries, and 45 deaths, at the end of August 2022. A large share of these infections, recoveries, and mortality were recorded at the end of 2020 (the first wave) although the subsequent waves had not created such a heavy burden.

In major administrative areas, the mean numbers of infected, recovered, and dead persons were 6,424, 6,330, and 67, respectively at the end of the period of analysis; the corresponding figures for medium-sized areas were 1,867, 1,834, and 24, and for others were 1,454, 1,422, and 26, respectively. These figures vary through the years and also through types of administrative areas and localities.

## **3** Discussion

An imminent need to rethink the conventional growth strategies of cities in line with growth models and urbanization regards emergency preparedness and epidemic spread in planning, design, and development strategies (3, 6). COVID-19 has informed the community, development planners, and policymakers of the integral transformative actions for creating resilient and sustainable cities (18). It is also imperative for authorities to be vigilant to provide evidence in preparation for immediate intervention measures and policies in case of epidemics and emergencies (19).

Although the major administrative areas accounted for the majority of infected cases, rapid actions, interventions, and infrastructure turned these higher numbers into recoveries. But the more homogenous neighborhoods characterized by demographics, geography, livelihoods, and expatriate population proportion had

TABLE 4 The mean number of infected persons in each category of	of neighborhood by administrative area classified, month-wise.
---	--

Regions/locations	Infections					Recoveries				Deaths			
	2020	2021	2022	Total	2020	2021	2022	Total	2020	2021	2022	Total	
Major regions													
Major locations	34,444	17,103	29,297	80,844	33,735	16,303	29,538	79,576	608	236	25	869	
Medium sized locations	3,642	1,812	2,471	7,925	3,559	1,744	2,500	7,802	66	26	3	95	
Others	482	365	277	1,047	465	325	249	1,039	3	1	0	3	
Total	2,868	1,580	2,278	6,424	2,778	1,415	2,137	6,330	47	18	2	67	
Middle-sized regions													
Major locations	4,203	2,425	2,569	9,198	4,036	2,366	2,589	8,991	119	58	11	189	
Medium-sized locations	1,490	674	625	2,788	1,458	660	626	2,744	19	11	5	34	
Others	373	246	169	756	357	229	160	746	1	1	1	3	
Total	936	518	465	1,867	895	489	450	1,834	14	7	2	24	
Others													
Major locations	3,011	1,634	2,020	6,664	2,876	1,586	2,024	6,487	102	51	9	163	
Medium-sized locations	655	440	506	1,601	632	431	505	1,567	15	9	2	26	
Others	155	194	125	474	150	194	125	468	2	1	0	3	
Total	601	417	436	1,454	576	409	436	1,422	16	9	2	26	
Total	Total												
Major locations	13,049	6,637	10,582	30,269	12,728	6,354	10,664	29,746	263	110	15	388	
Medium-sized locations	2,365	1,191	1,532	5,087	2,309	1,151	1,546	5,006	42	18	3	63	
Others	377	289	209	836	364	268	196	828	2	1	0	3	
Total	1,786	991	1,309	3,967	1,727	917	1,261	3,905	30	13	2	45	

serious repercussions of super-spreaders. Thus, conflicting scenarios have resulted due to the preparedness in the rapidly developing centers and geographic clusters (1).

The variables, assessed in a  $3 \times 3$  model, significantly affected the number of COVID-19 cases, similar to the epidemic compartmental model (5). The high population concentration and economic activities in urban areas made them hotspots for COVID-19, as revealed by its worldwide spread at various locations (18). These locations differ in climatic conditions, socioeconomic status, and disease control and containing capacity, determining the transmission rates and thus the health status (19).

The higher levels of mitigation potential of metropolitan cities with high community and business activities have higher emergency preparedness, especially in health delivery, community outreach, and diagnostic and surveillance systems (8). During the early months of infection (March and April 2020), these major cities had higher proportions (more than 80%) of all cases, which decreased to 48% by November of the same year. Whether adopting intervention strategies earlier or later impacts effectiveness should still be examined, in addition to characteristics such as spatial architecture and governance patterns (5, 7). Saudi Arabia has taken the lead in implementing precautionary measures to anticipate the dangers of the epidemic throughout the country (9); however, emergencies and diseases spread to all parts of the country with varying intensities (6). Because of this, explaining the opportunities and dynamics at the local level is essential, especially along future city programs initiated in the country that are moving ahead progressively.

The impact of environmental quality, socioeconomic impacts, management and governance, and transportation and urban design on the epidemic spread is being discussed (18, 24). These impacts are also affected by super-spreaders, as previously stated (1, 2, 5). This calls for human settlements to seek options to distribute populations, including migrants, to various parts of the country (6). The digital health declaration enacted to address health needs virtually smoothed the implementation of preventive and curative programs (16). Mitigation strategies at venues where people meet a large number of strangers should be mandatory (1). In addition, different strategies and restrictions adopted should be tailored to population density, considering the employment and vulnerability of marginal populations (3, 17, 25, 26).

## 4 Conclusions and recommendations

The popular concept of giving importance to grassroots-level action plans and interventions to give output was accurate in the context of the COVID-19 epidemic in Saudi Arabia. The larger administrative areas having density of population, administration and policy implementation had high potential and preparedness to address emergencies, especially healthcare interventions to address COVID-19. The number of infected cases changed in a haphazardous manner due to uncontrolled factors; however, the increasing number of infections started to follow a pattern inside the middle-sized administrative areas, which emerged as hostile factors. In contrast, smaller neighborhoods had less impact of infected cases and thus recoveries and mortality. The interaction between neighborhoods and administrative area dynamics was significant, indicating an importance of these interactions in causing infections in combination with administrative area and locality types. Thus, developmental plans, programs, and policies taking grassroots-level demographic dynamics into account are valuable, especially in the context of epidemics and emergencies. And so, more and more analyses are needed to extract grassroot level factors by exploring national databases.

# Author contributions

HA: Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AS: Formal analysis, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This manuscript has been funded by The Deanship of Scientific Research at Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University, Riyadh, through the Research Grant No. IMSIU-RG23162.

# **Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud

## References

1. Eilersen A, Sneppen K. SARS-CoV-2 superspreading in cities vs the countryside. APMIS: J pathol, microbiol immunol. (2021) 129:401–7. doi: 10.1111/apm.13120

2. Ebrahim SH, Memish ZA. COVID-19: preparing for superspreader potential among Umrah pilgrims to Saudi Arabia. *Lancet.* (2020) 395:e48. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30466-9

3. Salam AA, Al-Khraif RM, Dilip TR, Elsegaey I. Coronavirus disease 2019 in proportion to population: a historical analysis of Saudi Arabia. *Bulletin of the Nat Res Centre.* (2022) 46:198. doi: 10.1186/s42269-022-00876-z

4. Salam AA, Khraif RM, Elsegaey I. COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia: An Overview. Front Public Health. (2022) 9:736942. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.736942

5. Tian T, Luo W, Tan J. The timing and effectiveness of implementing mild interventions of COVID-19 in large industrial regions via a synthetic control method. *Statistics and its interface*. (2021) 14:3–12. doi: 10.4310/20-SII634

6. Guaralda M, Hearn G, Foth M, Yigitcanlar T, Mayere S, Law L. Towards Australian regional turnaround: insights into sustainably accommodating post-pandemic urban growth in regional towns and cities. *Sustainability*. (2020) 12:10492. doi: 10.3390/su122410492

7. Ziccardi A. Large urban regions and social distancing imposed by COVID-19. ASTROLABIO-NUEVA EPOCA. (2020) 25:46-64. doi: 10.55441/1668.7515.n25.29382

 Signorelli C, Odone A, Gianfredi V, Bossi E, Bucci D, Oradini-Alacreu A, et al. COVID-19 mortality rate in nine high-income metropolitan regions. *Acta Biomed.* (2020) 91:7–18. doi: 10.23750/abm.v91i9-S.10134

9. Ministry of Health. *The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia's experience in health preparedness and response to COVID-19 pandemic*. Saudi Arabia: Riyadh (2020).

10. El-Sayed EMAM. How AI, data science and technology is used to fight the pandemic COVID-19: case study in Saudi Arabia. Environment. *Research in world economy*. (2020) 11:409–19. doi: 10.5430/rwe.v11n5p409

11. Jdaitawi M, Jdaitawi L, Alkurdi R. Analyzing the spread of COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia and controlling disease strategies. *International J internal and emergency med.* (2020) 3:1028

12. Al-Otaibi ST. The battle against coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: public health perspective. *Saudi Med J.* (2020) 41:1285–91. doi: 10.15537/smj.2020.12.25459

13. Yezli S, Khan A. COVID-19 social distancing in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: bold measures in the face of political, economic, social and religious challenges. *Travel Med Infect Dis.* (2020) 37 (Article Number: 101692):101692. doi: 10.1016/j. tmaid.2020.101692

14. Obied DA, Alhamlan FS, Al-Qahtani AA, Al-Ahdal MN. Containment of COVID-19: the unprecedented response of Saudi Arabia. *J Infect Dev Ctries*. (2020) 14:699–706. doi: 10.3855/jidc.13203

Islamic University, Riyadh, for its funding of this research through the Research Grant. Thanks are due to Ali Aldossary and Muna Hammad Aldossari for their valuable contributions.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

15. Barry M, Ghonem L, Alsharidi A, Alanazi A, Alotaibi NH, al-Shahrani FS, et al. Coronavirus disease-2019 pandemic in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: mitigation measures and hospital preparedness. *J natural sci med.* (2020) 3:155–158. doi: 10.4103/JNSM.JNSM\_29\_20

16. Al Knawy B, Adil M, Crooks G, Rhee K, Bates D, Jokhdar H, et al. The Riyadh declaration: the role of digital health in fighting pandemics. *Lancet*. (2020) 396:1537–9. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31978-4

17. Ali MA, Al-Khani AM, Sidahmed LA. Migrant health in Saudi Arabia during the COVID-19 pandemic. *East Mediterr Health J.* (2020) 26:879–80. doi: 10.26719/emhj.20.094

18. Sharifi A, Khavarian-Garmsir AR. The COVID-19 pandemic: impacts on cities and major lessons for urban planning, design, and management. *Sci Total Environ.* (2020) 749:142391. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142391

19. Metelmann S, Pattni K, Brierley L, Cavalerie L, Caminade C, Blagrove MSC, et al. Impact of climatic, demographic and disease control factors on the transmission dynamics of COVID-19 in large cities worldwide. *One Health.* (2021) 12:100221. doi: 10.1016/j.onehlt.2021.100221

20. Abohamr SI, Abazid RM, Aldossari MA, Amer HA, Badhawi OS, Aljunaidi OM, et al. Clinical characteristics and in-hospital mortality of COVID-19 adult patients in Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J.* (2020) 41:1217–26. doi: 10.15537/smj.2020.11.25495

21. Barry M, Al-Amri M, Memish ZA. COVID-19 in the shadows of MERS-CoV in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *J epidemiol and global health*. (2020) 10:1–3. doi: 10.2991/jegh.k.200218.003

22. Almaghlouth I, Islam T, Alamro N, Alsultan A, Alfadda A, al-muhsen S, et al. Mapping COVID-19 related research from Saudi Arabia, a scoping review: between reality and dreams. *Saudi Med J*. (2020) 41:791–801. doi: 10.15537/ smj.2020.8.25163

23. Baz A, Alhakami H. Fuzzy based decision making approach for evaluating the severity of COVID-19 pandemic in cities of Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Computers, materials & continua.* (2021) 66:1155–74. doi: 10.32604/cmc.2020. 013215

24. Al-Khraif RM, Elsegaey I, Al-Mogarry M, Salam AA. Saudi Arabia's City-ranking index (SACRI) methodology executed: preliminary findings. *J Economics & Manag.* (2022) 44:376–92. doi: 10.22367/jem.2022.44.15; doi: 10.22367/jem.2023.45.03

25. Alqahtani AM, AlMalki ZS, Alalweet RM, Almazrou SH, Alanazi AS, Alanazi MA, et al. Assessing the severity of illness in patients with coronavirus disease in Saudi Arabia: a retrospective descriptive cross-sectional study. *Front Public Health* (2020); 8:593256. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.593256

26. Alyami MH, Naser AY, Orabi MAA, Alwafi H, Alyami HS. Epidemiology of COVID-19 in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: an ecological study. *Front Public Health.* (2020) 8:506. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.00506