Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Andrea Gori, University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy

REVIEWED BY Fábio Muniz de Oliveira, University of Pittsburgh, United States Slim Fourati, Northwestern University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Mario Tovar ⊠ 702828@unizar.es

RECEIVED 26 September 2023 ACCEPTED 05 February 2024 PUBLISHED 23 February 2024

CITATION

Tovar M, Sanz J and Moreno Y (2024) Model-based impact evaluation of new tuberculosis vaccines in aging populations under different modeling scenarios: the case of China. *Front. Public Health* 12:1302688. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1302688

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Tovar, Sanz and Moreno. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Model-based impact evaluation of new tuberculosis vaccines in aging populations under different modeling scenarios: the case of China

Mario Tovar^{1,2*}, Joaquín Sanz^{1,2} and Yamir Moreno^{1,2,3}

¹Institute for Biocomputation and Physics of Complex Systems (BIFI), University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain, ²Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain, ³CENTAI Institute, Turin, Italy

Introduction: The slow descent in TB burden, the COVID-19 pandemic, along with the rise of multidrug-resistant strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, seriously threaten TB control and the goals of the End TB strategy. To fight back, several vaccine candidates are under development, with some of them undergoing the phases 2B and 3 of the development pipeline. The impact of these vaccines on the general population needs to be addressed using disease-transmission models, and, in a country like China, which last year ranked third in number of cases worldwide, and where the population is aging at a fast pace, the impact of TB vaccination campaigns may depend heavily upon the age of targeted populations, the mechanistic descriptions of the TB vaccines and the coupling between TB dynamics and demographic evolution.

Methods: In this work, we studied the potential impact of a new TB vaccine in China targeting adolescents (15–19 y.o.) or older adults (60–64 y.o.), according to varying vaccine descriptions that represent reasonable mechanisms of action leading to prevention of disease, or prevention of recurrence, each of them targetting specific routes to TB disease. To measure the influence of the description of the coupling between transmission dynamics and aging in TB transmission models, we explored two different approaches to compute the evolution of the contact matrices, which relate to the spreading among different age strata.

Results: Our findings highlight the dependence of model-based impact estimates on vaccine profiles and the chosen modeling approach for describing the evolution of contact matrices. Our results also show, in line with previous modeling works, that older adult vaccination is a suitable option in China to reduce the incidence of TB as long as the vaccine is able to protect already exposed individuals.

Discussion: This study underscores the importance of considering vaccine characteristics and demographic dynamics in shaping TB control strategies. In this sense, older adult vaccination emerges as a promising avenue for mitigating TB transmission in China but also remarks the need for tailored intervention strategies aligned with demographic trends.

KEYWORDS

tuberculosis, vaccines, epidemiology, modeling, bias

1 Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is an infectious disease caused by the bacterium *Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M.tb.)* that usually affects the lungs. It is a complex but preventable disease with a high global burden that requires early detection and long treatments, and that is still among the leading causes of death for a single pathogen worldwide. In 2014, the World Health Assembly introduced the World Health Organization (WHO) "Global Strategy and Targets for tuberculosis prevention, care, and Control after 2015", labeled as the End TB Strategy, which consists of completing a reduction of TB incidence and mortality rates by 90 and 95%, between 2015 and 2035 (1).

Arguably, the measures and interventions currently under use for TB control are effective, but also insufficient to meet the goal of the End TB strategy. Thus, although a decay in TB incidence and mortality has been achieved worldwide since 1990 (2), its yearly rate of reduction is still too slow. Furthermore, during 2020 and 2021, and for the first time in decades, the world witnessed a surge in global TB burden levels with respect to previous years due to the COVID-19 emergency that led to underdiagnosis and undertreatment of TB, along with the saturation of most healthcare systems (3–6). During 2020 and 2021, the WHO estimated that TB was the cause of death of more than 1.5 and 1.6 million people, respectively, worldwide, combining HIV-negative and positive cases (3, 7).

The recent increase in TB burden observed in 2020-2022 due to the irruption of the COVID-19 pandemic, threatens, in highburden countries like India or Indonesia, to raise the TB-related mortality back to even higher levels than before in the next few years (8, 9). Moreover, the ever-increasing rates of emergence of drug resistance (10) evidence the necessity of new tools against the disease, including new and better drugs as well as improved diagnosis methods. Among these new resources, the development of a new vaccine that either boosts or replaces the current bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) is commonly referred to as the potentially most impactful single intervention to halt TB transmission, given the limited and variable efficacy levels observed for BCG against the more transmissible respiratory forms of the disease in young adults (11). Consequently, the TB vaccine development pipeline is populated by a number of novel candidates of different types, based on a variety of immunological principles and vaccine platforms (12). For estimating and comparing the potential impact of each of these candidates on halting the TB transmission chain, the development of epidemiological models arises as a powerful tool. Refining these models and addressing the main sources of uncertainty and bias in their architecture constitutes an important step toward the development of new TB vaccines.

In this work, we forecast the impact of the introduction of a new TB vaccine in China, which, as of 2021, represented 7.4% of the total number of TB cases worldwide (3). To produce robust estimates for vaccine impact in this country, it is important to consider aging as a key demographic determinant where China differs from the majority of high-burden countries in TB. According to the UN population division estimates, among the top-8 countries with the highest number of incident TB cases in 2021 (China, India, Indonesia, Philippines, Pakistan, Nigeria, Bangladesh, and the Democratic Republic of Congo), China is the one where population aging in the years to come will be more pronounced, going from

a median age of 39.0 in 2023 to 50.7 years in 2050. Considering this, recent modeling studies have suggested that targeting elder population groups in a vaccination campaign may produce a greater impact than targeting children or young adults (13).

Estimating the impact of a vaccine on an aging population must be done considering several technical aspects. From a modeling perspective, demographic aging couples with TB transmission dynamics critically in the age-mixing contact matrices (14). These objects capture the relative frequency of social and/or physical contact between individuals of different age strata and constitute a great tool for representing contact patterns within epidemic models. When working with TB, whose time scales are comparable to those of demographic evolution, models need to incorporate sensible heuristics to describe the evolution of those matrices over time, which appears as a consequence of demographic evolution itself. In previous works (15), we identified different methods that can be used to adapt the contact matrices that were measured in a given population, as the population ages over time. Two of these methods are often found in modeling studies of TB (13, 14, 16). First, contact matrices can be adapted to ensure that the symmetry of the encoded information is preserved, namely, the number of contacts per unit of time between two age groups, *i* and *j*, should be the same, when calculated from the number of contacts per capita, from *i* to *j* and from *j* to *i*. This method is commonly referred to as the pairwise correction method and ensures symmetry in reported numbers of contacts but does not produce contact networks whose mean connectivity is stable over time. To solve this issue, these matrices can be further corrected to ensure that not only the symmetry but also the average connectivity of the networks is preserved across time while underlying populations are aging. This second method, firstly proposed in (15), is referred to in this study as the intrinsic connectivity method, and produces contact structures that feature controlled contact densities on average, which are stable over time. The adoption of each of these methods to describe the evolution of contacts within TB transmission models may affect model outcomes regarding the impact of a new vaccine.

Another relevant aspect through which populations' aging and vaccine impact forecasts may couple in ways that are hard to predict a priori is the vaccine mechanisms of action, combined with its protection profiles. A successful TB vaccine may confer either prevention of disease (POD), or prevention of recurrence (POR) through a variety of mechanisms, including halting fast progression toward primary TB upon a recent, first infection event, diminishing the endogenous reactivation rates upon latent infection, diminishing the risk of reinfection and/or preventing recurrence after recovery of a previous disease episode(16, 17). Furthermore, the ability of a vaccine to confer protection through each of these mechanisms may depend upon the previous exposure of vaccine recipients to mycobacteria, which in the case of Mycobacterium tuberculosis is ascertained by an interferon-gamma release assay (IGRA)(18, 19). Since the fraction of individuals who have been previously exposed to the pathogen varies across age, and, in an aging population, that changes across time, exploring the effects of vaccine protection profiles and mechanisms of action at once is crucial to compare different vaccination strategies targeting different age groups in China.

The goal of this study is to investigate the role of these aspects on the vaccine impact foreseen from two vaccination



run and the second time with the introduction of the vaccine. In the **control run** (bottom) the natural history of the disease remains unaltered, and every transition between states of the model is present. In the **vaccine run**, there are two different branches that evolve in parallel. On **branch 1**, the natural history of the disease remains unaltered, but a *c* fraction of the individuals that receive the vaccine is moved toward **branch 2**, in which the natural history is modified according to the effect of the vaccine, thus, neglecting some transitions and conferring protection. This way, a fraction, *c*, of the vaccinated individuals becomes protected. **(B)** Implementing this general approach for different vaccine characteristics and protection profiles, under different descriptions of contact matrices evolution, we aim to study the effect of these aspects on the impact foreseen by our computational model for a vaccine applied either in adolescents (15–19 years old), or in older individuals (60–64 years old).

campaigns targeting adolescents (15-19 y.o) and elder individuals (60-64 y.o.) in China, starting in 2025. Capitalizing on previous TB transmission models developed by the authors (14, 16), we produced in-silico impact evaluations of a series of vaccines with different protection profiles, acting through different mechanisms, evaluated in different simulations where contact patterns evolve according to different methods. In what concerns the distribution of vaccine-mediated protection across vaccinated individuals, we modeled vaccines as all-or-nothing (AON), as schematized in Figure 1, as it is widely used in the modeling literature (20-22). AON vaccines confer perfect protection to a fraction of the vaccinated individuals but are ineffective for the remaining fraction of vaccinated individuals. The share between those fractions is related to the overall efficacy of the vaccine. To estimate the impact, we make use of two runs of the mathematical model (control and vaccine). Then, we analyze the results in terms of the targeted population, the protection profile of the vaccine, and the method used for updating the contact matrix. The structure and the key dynamic processes that comprise the model are depicted in Supplementary Figures S1, S2, and in the Supplementary methods.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Modeling the effect of the vaccine

The efficacy estimates obtained for a given vaccine in a clinical trial can be mapped onto mechanistic descriptions within a transmission model in a number of different ways. Elucidating what are the specific mechanisms at place that are most likely compatible with trials' results for a given vaccine is not a trivial

task, and the architecture of the model, as well as the characteristics of the population enrolled and detailed data analysis of the results are needed for extrapolating the efficacy levels observed in a trial into transmission models describing TB dynamics in entire populations(16, 17). In a disease such as TB, a vaccine conferring POD or POR may base its protective effects on interfering with different processes throughout the natural history of the disease, preventing individuals' progression to TB by halting specific routes to disease. In the lack of direct evidence concerning the specific dynamic mechanisms in place in a given vaccine, modelers often implement vaccine descriptions where all the main routes to disease putatively affected by the vaccine are equally impacted. Instead, in this work, we aim to compare the impact of different vaccines whose protection acts through different dynamical mechanisms. Formally, we assume that a vaccine can reduce the risk of progressing further from a given state toward disease, thus conferring protection to vaccinated individuals at different stages in the natural history of the disease. Capitalizing on our model, we identify four different basic vaccine mechanisms that can act either alone or combined:

- *E_p*: Protection against primary TB: The vaccine confers protection against fast progression to disease upon a recent first infection event. This mechanism is present in a POD vaccine that prevents fast latency toward active disease (see Supplementary material).
- E_{rl} : Protection against endogenous reactivation of bacilli in individuals with latent TB infection: Vaccine confers protection against endogenous reactivation of bacilli in individuals with latent TB infection (LTBI). This mechanism

is present in a POD vaccine that prevents slow latency toward active disease.

- E_q : Protection against TB upon reinfection: Vaccine confers protection against exogenous reactivation caused by a secondary infection event in subjects who had been previously infected. This mechanism is present in a POD vaccine that prevents progression toward active disease upon reinfection, for individuals who had already been exposed to the pathogen before (either LTBI or recovered individuals).
- *E*_{relapse}: Protection against TB relapse: This mechanism is present in a POR vaccine that prevents endogenous reactivation in individuals who had a past episode of active TB.
- All: Every vaccine's mechanism acts at the same time.

The interaction between vaccines conferring protection at any of the previous mechanisms, and the natural history of the disease is sketched in Supplementary Figure S3. In short, the fraction of the vaccinated individuals who get protection from the vaccine will face a modified version of the natural history according to the effect of the vaccine, where some key transitions are halted.

2.2 Model-based impact evaluations of TB vaccines

We estimate the impacts of vaccines using an adapted version of the model in (14), which is a deterministic, agestructured model based on ordinary differential equations, where individuals belonging to different age strata are considered to experiment different levels of epidemiological risk. This translates, in general, into age-specific parameter values, as described in the **Supplementary material**. The architecture that defines the disease dynamics within each age group represents the natural history of TB (see **Supplementary Figure S1**). The model also includes aging dynamics, which is key in countries undergoing fast demographic changes (see **Supplementary Figure S2**).

Regarding the impact evaluation, we use two different runs of the model that ultimately lead to an estimate of the incidence rate reduction due to the vaccine. In the first run, specific values of all the epidemiological parameters are stochastically drawn from suitable distributions. Using the specific set of parameters obtained, the model is calibrated and the spreading of the disease is forecasted in a non-intervention scenario, referred here as the control run. Then, the model is run again, using the same calibration, but introducing the vaccine in 2025. This vaccine run does not follow qualitatively the same Natural History as in the control run, as the vaccine alters it by reducing the progression risk of protected individuals in certain transitions that depend on the characteristics of the vaccine (see Supplementary material). Finally, the impact of the vaccine is estimated by comparing those two runs through the calculation of the incidence rate reduction (IRR) at the end of 2050, as in Equation 1

$$IRR(t = 2050)(\%) = \frac{i_{\text{control run}}(t = 2050) - i_{\text{vaccine run}}(2050)}{i_{\text{control run}}(t = 2050)} \cdot 100$$
(1)

Repeating this procedure a number of N = 500 times, we obtain a distribution of forecasted vaccine impacts, which allows us to build suitable expected values and confidence intervals that propagate uncertainty from model inputs to vaccine impacts.

As already mentioned, all vaccines are introduced into the model according to an all-or-nothing scheme, which means they only show efficacy in a fraction c of the vaccinated individuals, which in this context represents the vaccine efficacy under a scenario of perfect coverage. The remaining 1 - c fraction of vaccinated individuals do not benefit from these effects and preserve the same dynamics as the unvaccinated individuals. Formally, this is modeled by displacing a fraction c of vaccinated individuals from a control branch to a vaccine-protection branch, where the dynamics is modified to reflect these changes.

The vaccines considered in this work feature different levels of waning. As vaccinated individuals age from their age at vaccination a_v to $a > a_v$, the vaccine efficacy is expected to decay, eventually becoming inefficient *w* years after vaccination. To implement vaccine waning in an all-or-nothing model, we introduced in the model a series of return fluxes that move individuals in the age group $a > a_v$ back from the protected to the non-protected branch of the model. The intensity of those fluxes is given by Equation 2.

$$w_i(a, a_v) = 1 - e^{-\ln(2) \cdot \frac{5(a - a_v)}{w}}$$
(2)

where *a* is the age group that suffers the waning, a_v is the age group that is being vaccinated, and *w* captures the waning, in years. This formula ensures that, after *w* years, the vaccinated individuals will suffer a waning intensity of 50%. Moreover, for any $a \le a_v$, the waning intensity is set to zero, constituting a viable approach to implement vaccination campaigns targetting one specific age stratum. Then, the waning flux is calculated following Equation 3.

$$W_f(a, a_v, t) = w_i(a, a_v) \cdot X(a, t) \tag{3}$$

where X(a) is the population in the age group a, in the reservoir X at time t, where X is every reservoir that vaccinated individuals may lie into.

Besides the alterations in epidemiological risks experienced by the individuals protected by the vaccine, another important vaccine characteristic concerns the immunological status that individuals may need to fulfill before vaccination for the vaccine to confer protection to them. Depending on the immunological principles of the vaccine considered, its protection may unfold contingent on the previous exposure of the vaccinated subject to the pathogen, and, as such, it is possible that new vaccines may confer protection only to susceptible, immunologically naive individuals, or to individuals who have been previously infected with M.tuberculosis. To illustrate the effect of the dependency between the recipient's status and vaccine efficacy, we reproduce vaccine impact simulations where the protective effect of the vaccine, described through the displacement of a fraction c of individuals toward the protected branch, only takes place from different source reservoirs. This way, we explore four possible scenarios where only a fraction c of individuals in the following reservoirs get protection: (i) only susceptible individuals (ii) only LTBI individuals (iii) only previously exposed individuals (including LTBI and recovered subjects), and (iv) all individuals.

Finally, the vaccination is implemented in two steps. First, a mass vaccination campaign, similar to the one proposed in (13) takes place, vaccinating annually a third of the population in the reservoirs affected by the vaccine, and for the age targeted population (15–19 or 60–64). Then, after this campaign vanishes, the vaccination continues routinely coupled to the aging.

2.3 Updating contact matrices with evolving demography

Age-mixing contact matrices play a key role in epidemic spreading (23-25), as the complete knowledge of the network of contacts is usually unreachable or impossible to implement. Thus, for modeling purposes, it is useful to study age-group interactions, where contact matrices indicate how age-strata mix between them. Usually, empirical contact matrices are obtained through statistical surveys. In these studies, participants are asked how many contacts they have during the day and with whom. This allows us to obtain the (average) number of contacts that an individual of a particular age i has with individuals of age group *j*. The resulting matrix is not symmetric due to the different number of individuals in each age group. However, it is precisely the demographic structure that imposes constraints in the entries of this matrix, as reciprocity of contacts should be fulfilled at any time (i.e., the total number of contacts reported by age-group i with age-group j should be equal in the opposite direction). Therefore, an empirical contact matrix, that has been measured on a specific population, should not be used directly without adapting it to the demographic structure of a different population under study.

This issue has important consequences in the field of disease modeling. As contact matrices play a key role in disease forecast, it is essential to assure that the matrices implemented are adapted to the demographic structure of the population considered to avoid biased estimations. For some short-cycle diseases like influenza, the time scale of the epidemic is much shorter than the typical times needed for a demographic structure to evolve (26). The previous considerations are more troublesome for the case of persistent diseases that need long-term simulations, for which the hypothesis of constant demographic structures does not hold anymore (14). Particularly, in the case of TB modeling, time scales are typically long, as the presence of latent individuals may lead to TB cases decades after primary infection (27). This ultimately leads to the urge to adapt the contact matrices measured in a specific demography in such a way that they evolve accordingly to the demography of that setting. To this end, we capitalize on the methods proposed by Arregui et al. (15), which are briefly described below. We selected only methods labeled in the original article as M1 (Pairwise corrections) and M3 (Intrinsic connectivity) as the first one is typically used in the literature, also for modeling TB e.g., (13), and is the simplest one for shortlives diseases, whereas the second one (M3) allows projecting contact matrices along with demography, which fits our needs in TB forecasting.

2.3.1 Pairwise correction

The magnitude usually reported when measuring contact patterns is the mean number of contacts that an individual in age group *i* has with individuals in age group *j* during a measured period of time. Calling $M_{i,j}$ this quantity, we observe that, in order to fulfill reciprocity, $M_{i,j}$ should equal $M_{j,i}$, which is not the case with directly measured data. An immediate correction is to average those numbers, so that the excess of contacts measured in one direction is transferred to the reciprocal. Then, the matrix entry in a new demography is computed using Equation 4.

$$M'_{i,j} = \frac{1}{N'_i} \frac{1}{2} (M_{i,j} N'_i + M_{j,i} N'_j)$$
(4)

where $M'_{i,j}$ corresponds to the new demography under study. An example of the evolution of the contact matrix used in this study, under the pairwise correction is included in Supplementary Figures S4A, B.

2.3.2 Intrinsic connectivity matrix

An alternative method that preserves the mean connectivity of the contact network makes use of the density matrix or intrinsic connectivity matrix. Using the original data the density matrix Γ is extracted following Equation 5

$$\Gamma_{i,j} = M_{i,j} \frac{N}{N_j}.$$
(5)

The Γ matrix corresponds, except for a global factor, to the contact pattern in a "rectangular" demography (a population structure where all age groups have the same density). Then, introducing a new demography, the contact matrix is obtained using Equation 6.

$$M'_{i,j} = \frac{\Gamma_{i,j} N'_j N'}{\sum_{i,j} \Gamma_{i,j} N'_i N'_j}.$$
(6)

An example of the evolution of the contact matrix used in this work when using the intrinsic connectivity method is included in Supplementary Figures S4C, D.

3 Results

After we implemented our computational model of TB transmission, we evaluated the impact of a TB vaccine of varying characteristics, simulated using models where contact matrices are updated using different methods, introduced in China in either adolescent (15–19 years old), or elder individuals (60–64 years old). In Figures 2, 3 we represent the forecast impact of each vaccine using our model. As discussed in the Methods section, all vaccines are modeled according to an all-or-nothing scheme, conferring different types of protection (E_p , E_q , E_{r_l} , $E_{relapse}$, or all at once, see Methods) to a fraction *c* of vaccine in all viduals in certain disease reservoirs (susceptible, latent and/or recovered individuals, or all). The efficacy of the vaccine in all scenarios is set to *c* = 56%, as a reference value compatible with applying a highly protective vaccine with a 70% efficacy through a high-coverage campaign reaching 80% of the target population.

value is obtained as the product of the previous quantities, as in our model, AON vaccines cannot have partial efficacy, and a fraction of 56% captures the same protected population that a vaccine with 70% efficacy and 80% of coverage would protect. Then, this reference value is similar to one among the most optimistic scenarios explored in previous modeling studies undertaken in China (13). The vaccination campaign in the simulation starts in 2025, and we forecast the impact of the vaccine measuring the IRR (see Methods) in 2050. Individuals of the targeted age group, are vaccinated when they first enter the corresponding age group. Furthermore, selected vaccines experience waning levels of 10 years, as described in more detail in the Methods section.

In Figure 2, we gather the IRRs achieved by the different vaccines described above when applied to the older adult population. In this case, we found that, when vaccines are able to confer protection to immunologically naive individuals, either alone (Susceptible only) or along with the rest of individuals in the population, (whole population), vaccines featuring the largest impact are those that are able to prevent fast progression to primary TB upon recent infection [E_p vaccine featuring 24.9% IRR, 95% CI (17.8-36.1) in panel A]. Instead, if vaccine protection only unfolds on individuals who had been previously infected by the time of vaccination (protection active to either latent or latent plus recovered individuals only), the most impactful vaccines are those that are able to protect individuals from developing active TB upon endogenous reactivation of dormant bacilli $[E_{rl}$ vaccine featuring 6.47% IRR, 95% CI(4.88-8.15) in panel C]. Furthermore, it is important to notice that, in all vaccines tested leading to an impact higher than 1% under at least one of the two methods explored for describing contact matrices evolution, the impact was systematically higher when updating the contact matrix according to the intrinsic connectivity approach.

In turn, in Figure 3, we present the analogous results associated with a vaccination campaign targeting the population between 15 and 19 years old. Although vaccines protecting against primary TB are still more impactful as long as susceptible individuals are protected [E_p vaccine featuring 11.11% IRR, 95% CI (8.10–13.98)] in panel A, vs. other vaccines], and vaccines halting endogenous reactivation of latent bacilli are more impactful if protection takes place after infection, in this case, the impact associated with vaccines in the latter case is comparatively lower than what is found in elders [E_{rl} vaccine in panel C yields 2.14 IRR, 95% CI (1.65–2.76), when in elders yielded 6.47% IRR, 95% CI (4.88–8.15)]. In what concerns the influence of contact matrices on forecast impacts, interestingly, we observe that the highest impacts were associated with the pairwise-corrections method, unlike what is observed in the older adult age group.

Admittedly, comparing the impacts from both campaigns targeting elders (Figure 2) and adolescents (Figure 3), we see that the question of what is the optimal age group to target in an immunization campaign for a new TB vaccine finds different answers depending on the combination of vaccine characteristics, protection profiles, and modeling assumptions. More specifically, in cases where previous infection is required for vaccines to elicit their protective effects, via protection against endogenous reactivation (E_{rl}) or against relapse ($E_{relapse}$), then targeting the older age group always appears as a superior choice according

to our simulations [6.47% IRR, 95% CI (4.88-8.15) in most impactful vaccine in elders vs. 2.14 IRR, 95% CI (1.65-2.76) in adolescents]. However, as soon as protection against primary TB is granted to susceptible individuals as one of the possible protective mechanisms of the vaccines, the quantitative description of contagion dynamics implemented within our model becomes more crucial, and, consequently, model forecasts are more sensitive to the adoption of either one of the two modeling approaches explored for describing contact matrices evolution: pairwise corrections vs. intrinsic connectivity. As a result, only in some occasions when the over-simplified pairwise correction method is adopted, the impacts foreseen for an adolescent-focused campaign can overcome the impacts found for an elder vaccination campaign (Bars marked in red) for these vaccines. For instance, an E_p vaccine yields 11.11% IRR (95% CI 8.10-13.98) under pairwise correction in adolescent, surpassing the 8.54% IRR (95% CI 6.03-11.95) obtained in elders for the same method, when vaccine protection unfolds before infection, whereas for the rest of vaccines, elders score higher impacts no matter what method is used.

In order to understand the influence of the vaccine mechanisms on their respective impacts, it is sufficient to analyze the time evolution of the distribution of TB cases across the different routes to disease classically described in TB, aggregated across age groups, as we represent in Figures 4A, B. These routes include fast progression to primary TB upon a first, recent infection event; TB after endogenous reactivation from LTBI; TB upon exogenous reinfection and, last, TB recurrence after a previous disease event (see Supplementary methods). Importantly, each of the four vaccine mechanisms explored in this work tackles specifically each one of these routes. As seen in Figures 4A, B, primary TB upon recent infection is the prominent cause of TB cases during the simulated period, which makes protection against primary TB the most impactful vaccine mechanism, at least, as long as the susceptible individuals [who are those under a higher risk of developing primary TB upon infection (28)], could be protected by the vaccine (see Figures 2A, D, 3A, D). Furthermore, we also observe that endogenous reactivation of LTBI individuals is the second type of event responsible for the highest share of TB cases, which in turn explains why vaccines protecting LTBI individuals are most impactful when they protect against endogenous reactivation (see Figures 2B, C, 3B, C), and why vaccines targeting re-infection or relapse are comparatively less impactful, even when applied on older age groups where prevalence of infected and recovered subjects is higher.

Furthermore, to understand the role of the modeling assumptions concerning contacts when comparing impact forecasts from analogous immunization campaigns, it is important to highlight that their influence manifests more strongly when comparing forecasts for vaccines targeting TB upon recent infection (E_p vaccines) or reinfection (E_q vaccines), which is to say when the vaccine targets transmission. In such cases, the adoption of the most adequate method providing intrinsic connectivity control appears systematically associated with larger impacts when we analyze elder-focused campaigns, as well as lower impacts when we focus on campaigns targetting adolescents. The main difference between methods is that, when the intrinsic connectivity method is used, the magnitude of contact between older age groups is



Impact of different vaccines applied to individuals between 60 and 64 years old. In all panels, tested vaccines act in different parts of the natural history of TB, halting progression to disease in one or more of the possible routes to disease, as described in the methods: E_{ρ} : protection against primary TB, E_q protection against reinfection, E_n: protection against endogenous progression to TB after LTBI, E_{relapse}: prevention of recurrence. We analyze independently the impact of vaccines whose protective effects unfold when applied to individuals belonging to different compartments of the natural history. (A) Susceptible subjects (efficacy observed before infection). (B) Latently infected individuals (efficacy observed after infection). (C) Latently infected and recovered individuals. (D) Entire population. In each case, the impact of each vaccine is evaluated for a waning level of 10 years. In all panels, bars represent median values for the IRR measured in 2050, associated with the introduction of the vaccine in 2025. Error bars capture 95% confidence intervals from a set of N = 500 model outcomes in each case. Bars marked in red capture those scenarios in which the impacts foreseen for an adolescent-focused campaign can overcome the impacts found for the elder vaccination campaign.

higher than in the pairwise method (see Supplementary Figure S4). The influence of this difference in the results can be further contextualized by observing the evolution of the force of infection, defined as the fraction of susceptible individuals in a given group that gets infected per year, and which is computed using the contact matrices. Figures 4C, D capture the evolution of the force of infection that individuals in each of these two age strata are subject to, according to each of the two models explored to describe the evolution of contact matrices. As seen in Figures 4C, D, using the pairwise model appears associated with an underestimation of the force of infection suffered by individuals in the older age group, and an overestimation of it among adolescents, with respect to the adoption of the more rigorous correction method based on preserving the intrinsic connectivity of contact matrices. In this context, elders suffering a higher force of infection produce more infected individuals among older age groups, which, in turn, leaves more room for a vaccine to prevent more cases.

Finally, in order to contextualize the differences in impact found between elder and adolescents-focused campaigns, in Figure 4E, we present a simultaneous breakdown of TB cases predicted by 2050, in each of the age groups, associated with each of the routes to disease, according to each of the contact matrices models. In this figure, we see how both modeling approaches for contact matrices concur in assigning a higher incidence for TB cases related to LTBI reactivation, reinfection, or relapses, which can be interpreted as the main cause why, in Figures 2, 3, we observe that E_{rl} , E_a , and $E_{relapse}$ vaccines are systematically more impactful in elder individuals than in adolescents. However, in Figure 4E, we can also observe how the number of cases associated with primary TB is either higher, or lower in adolescents than it is in the older age group, depending on whether we adopt the pairwise correction method, or the intrinsic connectivity method, respectively.

Importantly, none of these observations are affected by the level of vaccine waning: while Figures 2, 3 capture impacts associated with vaccines whose protection lasts ten years, largely comparable results are obtained for less and longer lasting vaccines, as summarized in the Supplementary material (see Supplementary Figure S5, corresponding to waning=5 years, and Supplementary Figure S6, corresponding to waning = 20 years).

4 Discussion

Mathematical disease-transmission models are a powerful tool for estimating the impact of new TB vaccines, which, if done properly, may be instrumental in comparing the potential of different vaccine candidates and immunization campaigns. This is true, especially in TB, where vaccine development must face two simultaneous hindrances. First, vaccine efficacy is harder to foresee before phases 2b/3 of the development pipeline than for other diseases, given the lack of reliable correlates of immune protection (29). Second, the architectures of the clinical trials of vaccine efficacy that are being adopted to test novel TB vaccine candidates are highly diverse (16, 17), and the protection profiles of the tested vaccines may be equally diverse. Taken together, these



FIGURE 3

Impact of different vaccines applied to adolescents, with ages between 15 and 19 years old. Analogous to Figure 2, in all panels, tested vaccines act in different parts of the natural history of TB, halting progression to disease in one or more of the possible routes to disease: E_p : protection against primary TB, E_q protection against reinfection, E_{r_l} : protection against endogenous progression to TB after LTBI, $E_{relapse}$: prevention of recurrence. We analyze independently the impact of vaccines whose protective effects unfold when applied to individuals belonging to different compartments of the natural history. (A) Susceptible subjects (efficacy observed before infection). (B) Latently infected individuals (efficacy observed after infection). (C) Latently infected and recovered individuals. (D) Entire population. In each case, the impact of each vaccine is evaluated for a waning level of 10 years. In all panels, bars represent median values for the IRR measured in 2050, associated with the introduction of the vaccine in 2025. Error bars capture 95% confidence intervals from a set of N = 500 model outcomes in each case. Bars marked in red capture those scenarios in which the impacts foreseen for an adolescent-focused campaign can overcome the impacts found for the elder vaccination campaign.

issues claim the development of rigorous computational models to produce impact comparisons for different vaccines tested in trials of different characteristics and implemented through assorted immunization campaigns. These constitute extremely non-trivial tasks, which enhances the need to ensure that current TB models can handle them while minimizing bias and uncertainty.

In accomplishing this goal, an aspect that demands special attention is the description of the coupling between demographic aging and the evolution of TB epidemiology in a given population. This is especially true in a country such as China, where two simultaneous aspects concur, namely: an intense process of demographic aging -already ongoing, and expected to continue in the next few decades-, concomitant with a high burden of TB incidence and prevalence levels. While previous works pointed to the observation that immunization campaigns targeting older age groups (paradigmatically individuals above 60 years old) are expected to cause a stronger reduction in global TB incidence levels than campaigns targeting adolescents (16-20 years old) (13), the robustness of these results under different modeling scenarios, including different vaccine characteristics and modeling decisions concerning the evolution of contact matrices among different agegroups remained to be proven.

Capitalizing on a mathematical model previously developed by the team (14, 16, 17), in this work we reproduce the general observation that, in China, immunization campaigns targeting older individuals, in the age group between 60 and 64 years old, are associated with promising levels of reduction in the incidence rates expected by 2050, with varying forecast impacts depending on vaccine characteristics and modeling assumptions, especially if the vaccine is able to protect already exposed individuals. This observation can be interpreted in light of the demographic shift expected in the country, where older age strata are expected to accumulate a higher fraction of total TB cases in the years to come. However, by using our model, we were able to address, for the first time in this study, how this observation may depend, in turn, on vaccine characteristics (the combination of its mechanisms of action and protection profile), as well as on modeling assumptions (the description of contact matrices over time).

On the one hand, when modeling TB vaccines, it is important to acknowledge the multiplicity of possible mechanisms of action a vaccine may confer protection through (16, 17). This aspect, in turn, must be considered simultaneously with the fact that the initial immunological profile of vaccinated individuals (i.e., their IGRA status) may in turn influence the ability of the vaccine to provide its protective effects (13). In this work, we describe how these two aspects are coupled, generating strong interactions between the vaccine mechanisms in place and the sub-population reservoirs that may gain protective effects upon vaccination. Specifically, we observed that vaccines protecting susceptible, immunologically naive individuals are more impactful when their mechanism of action is based upon the prevention of primary TB after infection. This result can be understood by observing that progression to primary TB upon recent infection represents not just the main epidemiological risk for susceptible individuals, but



the most common route to disease in the whole population, as sketched in Figures 4A, B. Importantly, our simulations indicate that tackling primary TB is the most promising intervention, not only when the immunization campaign targets adolescents, but also when it targets older individuals, as long as vaccine protection unfolds for susceptible individuals at least. Furthermore, when a vaccine requires that vaccinated individuals have previously been infected, the most impactful vaccine mechanism of action is based on preventing endogenous reactivation of LTBI. This indicates that, for LTBI subjects, endogenous progression to TB represents the highest epidemiological risk, which is shown in Figures 4A, B, where endogenous reactivation in the second most common route to disease. These couplings between vaccine mechanisms and protection profiles should be carefully taken into account when testing and comparing vaccine candidates with different profiles and immunization strategies.

On the other hand, in a country such as China, it is key to produce model-based descriptions of TB dynamics that are robust under the scenario of fast demographic aging. Under these circumstances, the adoption of plausible description frameworks to describe the evolution of contact matrices is key. The reason for this is that these matrices capture the relative frequency of contacts that may lead to new infections among individuals of different ages, and these are bound to evolve with time in an aging population. While relatively naive descriptions of contact matrices based on symmetry preservation through pairwise corrections are enough when modeling infectious diseases during short periods of time, TB demands more sophisticated approaches that preserve not only the symmetry but also the overall connectivity of the entire contact networks (15). The reason for this is that during the extended time windows that TB modeling requires, demographic structures are expected to vary significantly, and, with them, the frequencies of social contacts among age strata, and the entire connectivity, measured as the average number of contacts per individual, of the system.

In this work, we showed that more sophisticated modeling approaches based on imposing the preservation of the intrinsic connectivity of contact networks (instead of simpler methods based on pairwise corrections aiming only at preserving symmetry) are linked to higher vaccine impacts when immunization campaigns target transmission among elder individuals. In turn, for campaigns targeting transmission among adolescents, it is the simpler methods, based on pairwise corrections, the one yielding higher impacts. In short, our simulations indicate that vaccines whose protection mechanisms take place after infection (e.g., E_{rl}, E_q and E_{relapse} on L, L+R, or All population), are expected to elicit higher population impacts if applied in elder individuals, as well as vaccines protecting susceptible individuals against primary TB, providing that an adequate modeling approach is used to describe the evolution of their contact matrices, ensuring intrinsic connectivity control.

We also need to mention that our approach is not exempt from limitations that impact the results presented here. First, we are studying only two age groups, adolescents and elders, as in this particular setting, they seem to be the more promising age groups to vaccinate and compare in a country like China, where the population is aging at a fast pace, and the impact results obtained here will be different if studied, for instance, in other adult groups. Moreover, we are not considering a heterogeneous population in which individuals are divided according to their HIV or diabetes status, or by being smokers, which certainly may affect both the risk of developing TB and the possible impact of the tested vaccines. In this sense, the population under study is considered to follow the same natural history of TB with the same model parameters. This population is, however, subject to a force of infection which is calibrated using the incidence and mortality TB data provided by the WHO for the whole Chinese population. Implicitly, these input data are affected by many factors, and as a consequence, calibration reproduces, in each country, a different epidemiological situation capturing, among many other different factors that vary across countries, the differences associated to different BCG vaccine coverage and effectiveness. Including more detailed population profiling in TB transmission models accounting for differences in baseline susceptibility to TB, as well as differences in vaccine efficacy in different population sub-groups holds the promise to produce less uncertain forecasts. However, counting with this type of data is often challenging, which majes simpler approaches as the one presented here, where these differences are neglected, a most reasonable, and still very useful choice.

Our approach is also limited by factors that affect TB transmission models. The outcomes of our model depend on a series of epidemiological parameters and initial burden estimates that are subject to strong sources of uncertainty, thus propagating this uncertainty to the results. This means that future improvements in measuring the input data are expected to impact the quantitative outcomes of our mathematical model, in the same way it would affect any other model that leans on them. Always bearing in mind the strong uncertainties that the forecasts inherit, our results highlight the importance of acknowledging the complexity of TB transmission dynamics when modeling the effects of an age-focused intervention such as the introduction of a new vaccine on a specific age group.

In closing, our results emphasize the idea that immunization campaigns for the introduction of new TB vaccines in different countries can be, and must be, tailored using mathematical models that integrate information on vaccines' profiles, population demography, and basal TB epidemiology.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

MT: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing—original draft, Writing review & editing. JS: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Visualization, Writing review & editing. YM: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Visualization, Writing—review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. MT acknowledges the support of the Government of Aragón through a PhD contract. JS acknowledges support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICINN) through grant PID2019-106859GA-I00 and Ramón y Cajal research grant RYC-2017-23560, as well as to the Government of Aragón, Spain, and "ERDF A way of making Europe" through grant B49-23R (NeuroBioSys). YM was partially supported by the Government of Aragón, Spain, and "ERDF A way of making Europe" through grant E36-23R (FENOL), and by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Agencia Española de Investigación (MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033) Grant No. PID2020-115800GB-I00. The funders had no role in study design, data collection, and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We thank M. Clarin for help with the figures.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships

References

1. Uplekar M, Weil D, Lonnroth K, Jaramillo E, Lienhardt C, Dias HM, et al. WHO's new end TB strategy. *Lancet.* (2015) 385:1799–801. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60570-0

2. Kazemnejad A, Arsang Jang S, Amani F, Omidi A. Global epidemic trend of tuberculosis during 1990-2010: using segmented regression model. *J Res Health Sci.* (2014) 14:115-21.

3. World Health Organization. *Global Tuberculosis Report 2022*. Geneva: WHO (2022).

4. de Investigación en Tuberculosis de Barcelona U. *The COVID-19 Pandemic Remains Getting Worse the Tuberculosis Control.* (2021). Available online at: https://www.uitb.cat/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/nota-prensa-XXV-jornadas-TB_Eng.pdf (accessed September 2023).

5. Malik AA, Safdar N, Chandir S, Khan U, Khowaja S, Riaz N, et al. Tuberculosis control and care in the era of COVID-19. *Health Policy Plan.* (2020) 35:1130–2. doi: 10.1093/heapol/czaa109

6. McQuaid CF, Vassall A, Cohen T, Fiekert K, and White R. The impact of COVID-19 on TB: a review of the data. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* (2021) 25:436-46. doi: 10.5588/ijtld.21.0148

7. World Health Organization. *Global Tuberculosis Report 2021*. Geneva: WHO (2021).

8. Cilloni L, Fu H, Vesga JF, Dowdy D, Pretorius C, Ahmedov S, et al. The potential impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the tuberculosis epidemic a modelling analysis. *EClinicalMedicine*. (2020) 28:100603. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100603

9. Tovar M, Aleta A, Sanz J, Moreno Y. Modeling the impact of COVID-19 on future tuberculosis burden. *Commun Med.* (2022) 2:1–10. doi: 10.1038/s43856-022-00145-0

10. Lange C, Chesov D, Heyckendorf J, Leung CC, Udwadia Z, Dheda K. Drug-resistant tuberculosis: an update on disease burden, diagnosis and treatment. *Respirology*. (2018) 23:656–73. doi: 10.1111/resp.13304

11. Fine PE. BCG: the challenge continues. Scand J Infect Dis. (2001) 33:58–60. doi: 10.1080/003655401753382576

12. Martin C, Aguilo N, Marinova D, Gonzalo-Asensio J. Update on TB vaccine pipeline. *Appl Sci.* (2020) 10:2632. doi: 10.3390/app10072632

13. Harris RC, Sumner T, Knight GM, Evans T, Cardenas V, Chen C, et al. Age-targeted tuberculosis vaccination in China and implications for vaccine development: a modelling study. *Lancet Global Health.* (2019) 7:e209–18. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30452-2

14. Arregui S, Iglesias MJ, Samper S, Marinova D, Martin C, Sanz J, et al. Datadriven model for the assessment of Mycobacterium tuberculosis transmission in evolving demographic structures. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA*. (2018) 115:E3238–45. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1720606115

15. Arregui S, Aleta A, Sanz J, Moreno Y. Projecting social contact matrices to different demographic structures. *PLoS Comput Biol.* (2018) 14:e1006638. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006638

that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2024. 1302688/full#supplementary-material

16. Tovar M, Arregui S, Marinova D, Martín C, Sanz J, Moreno Y. Bridging the gap between efficacy trials and model-based impact evaluation for new tuberculosis vaccines. *Nat Commun.* (2019) 10:1–10. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-13387-9

17. Tovar M, Moreno Y, Sanz J. Addressing mechanism bias in model-based impact forecasts of new tuberculosis vaccines. *Nat Commun.* (2023) 14:5312. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-40976-6

18. van Crevel R, Ottenhoff TH, Van Der Meer JW. Innate immunity to Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* (2002) 15:294–309. doi: 10.1128/CMR.15.2.294-309.2002

19. O'Garra A, Redford PS, McNab FW, Bloom CI, Wilkinson RJ, Berry MP. The immune response in tuberculosis. *Annu Rev Immunol.* (2013) 31:475–527. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-032712-095939

20. Weerasuriya C, Clark R, White R, Harris R. New tuberculosis vaccines: advances in clinical development and modelling. *J Intern Med.* (2020) 288:661–81. doi: 10.1111/joim.13197

21. Smith P, Rodrigues L, Fine P. Assessment of the protective efficacy of vaccines against common diseases using case-control and cohort studies. *Int J Epidemiol.* (1984) 13:87–93. doi: 10.1093/ije/13.1.87

22. Gomes MGM, Lipsitch M, Wargo AR, Kurath G, Rebelo C, Medley GF, et al. A missing dimension in measures of vaccination impacts. *PLoS Pathog.* (2014) 10:e1003849. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003849

23. Eubank S, Guclu H, Anil Kumar V, Marathe MV, Srinivasan A, Toroczkai Z, et al. Modelling disease outbreaks in realistic urban social networks. *Nature.* (2004) 429:180–4. doi: 10.1038/nature02541

24. Wallinga J, Teunis P, Kretzschmar M. Using data on social contacts to estimate age-specific transmission parameters for respiratory-spread infectious agents. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2006) 164:936–44. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwj317

25. Read JM, Earnes KT, Edmunds WJ. Dynamic social networks and the implications for the spread of infectious disease. J R Soc Interface. (2008) 5:1001–7. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2008.0013

26. Worby CJ, Chaves SS, Wallinga J, Lipsitch M, Finelli L, Goldstein E. On the relative role of different age groups in influenza epidemics. *Epidemics*. (2015) 13:10–6. doi: 10.1016/j.epidem.2015.04.003

27. Kiazyk S, Ball T. Tuberculosis (TB): latent tuberculosis infection: an overview. *Canada Commun Dis Rep.* (2017) 43:62. doi: 10.14745/ccdr.v43i 34a01

28. Andrews JR, Noubary F, Walensky RP, Cerda R, Losina E, Horsburgh CR. Risk of progression to active tuberculosis following reinfection with Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2012) 54:784–91. doi: 10.1093/cid/cir951

29. Bhatt K, Verma S, Ellner JJ, Salgame P. Quest for correlates of protection against tuberculosis. *Clin Vacc Immunol.* (2015) 22:258–66. doi: 10.1128/CVI.00 721-14