Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Reza Lashgari, Shahid Beheshti University, Iran

REVIEWED BY Zahra Rostami, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Iran Mateus Dias Antunes, University of São Paulo, Brazil

*CORRESPONDENCE Surasak Sangkhathat S.s.angkhathat@gmail.com Thammasin Ingviya Ithammasin.i@psu.ac.th

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 05 December 2023 ACCEPTED 09 February 2024 PUBLISHED 20 March 2024

CITATION

Sila T, Suriyaamorn W, Toh C, Rajborirug S, Surasombatpattana S, Thongsuksai P, Kongkamol C, Chusri S, Sornsenee P, Wuthisuthimethawee P, Chaowanawong R, Sangkhathat S and Ingviya T (2024) Factors associated with the worsening of COVID-19 symptoms among cohorts in community- or home-isolation care in southern Thailand. *Front. Public Health* 12:1350304. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1350304

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Sila, Suriyaamorn, Toh, Rajborirug, Surasombatpattana, Thongsuksai, Kongkamol, Chusri, Sornsenee, Wuthisuthimethawee, Chaowanawong, Sangkhathat and Ingviya. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Factors associated with the worsening of COVID-19 symptoms among cohorts in community- or home-isolation care in southern Thailand

Thanit Sila^{1,2}, Wisanuwee Suriyaamorn³, Chanavee Toh², Songyos Rajborirug⁴, Smonrapat Surasombatpattana¹, Paramee Thongsuksai^{1,2}, Chanon Kongkamol^{3,5}, Sarunyou Chusri⁶, Phoomjai Sornsenee⁷, Prasit Wuthisuthimethawee⁸, Raya Chaowanawong⁹, Surasak Sangkhathat^{10,11*†} and Thammasin Ingviya^{3,5*†}

¹Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla, Thailand, ²Department of Health Science and Clinical Research, Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla, Thailand, ³Division of Digital Innovation and Data Analytics, Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla, Thailand, ⁴Department of Epidemiology, Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla, Thailand, ⁵Department of Family Medicine and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla, Thailand, ⁶Department of Internal Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkhla, Thailand, ⁷Faculty of Medicine, Department of Family Medicine and Preventive Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkla, Thailand, ⁸Department of Emergency Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkhla, Thailand, ⁹Faculty of Nursing, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkla, Thailand, ¹⁰Department of Biomedical Sciences and Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla, Thailand, ¹¹Faculty of Medicine, Translational Medicine, Research Center, Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla, Thailand,

Introduction: This study aimed to investigate factors associated with timeto-referral due to worsening symptoms in patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 in southern Thailand. While underlying diseases have been evaluated to assess COVID-19 severity, the influence of vaccinations and treatments is also crucial.

Methods: A cohort of 8,638 patients quarantined in home or community isolation with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 was analyzed. Survival analysis and the Cox proportional hazard ratio were employed to assess factors influencing time-toreferral.

Results: Age \geq 60 years, neurologic disorders, cardiovascular disease, and human immunodeficiency virus infection were identified as significant risk factors for severe COVID-19 referral. Patients who received full- or booster-dose vaccinations had a lower risk of experiencing severe symptoms compared to unvaccinated patients. Notably, individuals vaccinated during the Omicron-dominant period had a substantially lower time-to-referral than those unvaccinated during the Delta-dominant period. Moreover, patients vaccinated between 1 and 6 months prior to infection had a significantly lower risk of time-to-referral than the reference group.

Discussion: These findings demonstrate early intervention in high-risk COVID-19 patients and the importance of vaccination efficacy to reduce symptom severity. The study provides valuable insights for guiding future epidemic management strategies and optimising patient care during infectious disease outbreaks.

KEYWORDS

COVID-19, vaccine, home-isolation, community-isolation, time-to-referral, Southern Thailand

1 Introduction

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the causative agent of coronavirus disease (COVID-19), has spread rapidly worldwide since its emergence (1-3). The pandemic has caused significant morbidity and mortality globally and poses considerable challenges to healthcare systems, including patient overabundance (3-5). The World Health Organization (WHO) is at the forefront of providing management and prevention guidelines for COVID-19 (6). Although the disease can cause severe illness requiring hospitalization, a considerable proportion of patients experience asymptomatic/mild symptoms, who exhibit general symptoms of illness or symptoms like influenza, and can be managed in isolated facilities. Home isolation (HI) is a remote service with separate quarantine rooms which enable patients to self-care without infecting others. In cases without proper isolation spaces, community isolation (CI) is provided at hotels, temples, and colleges. However, self-care remains the primary mode of care in CI under the remote supervision of healthcare workers.

At the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic in Thailand, all patients were being admitted to hospitals or field hospitals fully staffed with medical personnel. After the outbreak of the Delta (from June 2021 to January 2022) and omicron variants (from February 2022 to present) (7), thousands of individuals were infected daily (8-10). Hence, the Thai Ministry of Public Health teased WHO prevention measures by instituting hotel isolation, CI, and HI to prevent the over-occupancy of hospital beds, provided that patients have either mild or no symptoms $(O_2 \text{ saturation} > 94\%)$ (11–14), or no chronic disease which may aggravate later. The Thai Ministry of Public Health has classified patients which are prone to deterioration, called "608" group. The criteria of "608" included presence of older age ("60") and/or seven underlying conditions, including obesity, cardiovascular disease, chronic respiratory disease, chronic kidney disease, brain disorders, diabetes, and cancer and pregnancy ("8") (15). However, not all patients meeting criterion 608 under the care of Songklanagarind Hospital were promptly admitted. Patients without severe symptoms were quarantined under HI/CI, despite meeting the criteria that would require them to be hospitalized immediately and receive antiretroviral medications such as favipiravir. After being vaccinated against COVID-19, according to criterion 608, these patients could remain in HI or CI under the close supervision of medical staff if they were asymptomatic and met all other wellness criteria.

Despite numerous support measures, including daily surveillance and remote follow-up by medical teams using the latest technology, patients with HI and CI (10 days) require hospitalization owing to worsening symptoms (16–19). Previous studies have focused on various factors, particularly underlying diseases, and patient characteristics, for their potential associations with COVID-19 severity and mortality (20–27). However, certain factors such as the type and number of vaccinations and treatments influence the reduction in severity, thereby lowering the chances of hospital admission (27). Consequently, this observational study aimed to investigate the risk factors for hospital admission in patients with HI/CI by comparing time-to-referral as the primary outcome. Data are intended to be used in guideline development to deal with at-risk individuals in a timely manner and as a strategy for managing future epidemics.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study design and population

This prospective cohort study used multiple large datasets derived from the COVID-19 quarantine system managed by a university in southern Thailand. This study included patients with laboratoryconfirmed COVID-19 from Songkhla Province who were registered for quarantine care at Songklanagarind Hospital, Prince of Songkla University, and personnel and their relatives who were quarantined in HI or CI provided by Songklanagarind Hospital, Hat Yai District, Songkhla Province, Thailand.

2.2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria of the patients were as follows: (1) aged \geq 18 years (2) under the care of HI/CI managed by Songklanagarind Hospital (Prince of Songkla University Hospital) from July 1, 2021, to July 31, 2022, and (3) confirmed positive for COVID-19. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) pregnant women; (2) patients who were initially admitted to the hospital; (3) inability to contact or provide less than half of the requested information; (4) referred to other quarantine states, altered the network of care later; (5) referrals not related to the increased severity of COVID-19; or (6) patients hospitalized for reasons other than worsening of the condition, such as to atteend to a referred child, appendicitis, or childbirth (Figure 1).

2.3 Definition and diagnostic criteria

Patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 using an antigen test kit (ATK) or reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) were considered positive for COVID-19. The first day of each COVID-19 episode (Day 0) was defined as the day when the patients were confirmed positive for COVID-19 by RT-PCR and/or ATK.

The age of each patient was calculated by deducting the date of birth from the time of the initial COVID-19-related occurrence.

The study used criteria-608 to assess the presence of any underlying diseases. This implied that patients with confirmed COVID-19 also had at least one of the following conditions: diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, chronic respiratory disease, chronic kidney disease, cerebrovascular disease, obesity (defined as having a body mass index of \geq 30.0 kg/m²) (28, 29), or cancer. Pregnancy prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection was also considered an underlying condition; however, it was not included in this study.



The severity spectrum of COVID-19 is as follows:

Asymptomatic: A person who has been confirmed to have COVID-19 through virological testing, either with real-time PCR, but does not have any external expressions or symptoms suggestive of COVID-19.

Mild symptom: fever, fatigue, anosmia, anorexia, headache, myalgia, diarrhea, loss of taste or smell, and upper respiratory infection symptoms such as cough, sore throat, and shortness of breath.

Moderate symptoms: This classification includes individuals experiencing increased breathing difficulty upon exertion, chest tightness or pain, difficulty breathing at rest, and persistent high-grade fever. These symptoms suggest a more advanced stage of COVID-19 requiring closer monitoring. This includes individuals with an oxygen saturation (SpO₂) of 94% or higher who exhibit symptoms of the lower respiratory tract or have undergone clinical imaging of the lungs.

Severe symptoms: septic shock, acute respiratory distress syndrome, disseminated intravascular coagulation, macrophage activation syndrome, pneumonia. This includes individuals with a respiratory frequency>30 breaths per minute, $SpO_2 < 94\%$, a ratio of arterial partial pressure of oxygen to fraction of inspired oxygen (PaO₂/FiO₂) < 300 mmHg, or lung infiltrates >50%.

The discharge date was disinvited by those who were admitted for the full 10-day follow-up period without an event and were administratively censored.

 $Time_0$ was the day the patient's COVID-19 status was confirmed using RT-PCR or ATK. According to our database, 95% of the

participants were confirmed to have COVID-19 on the first day after symptom onset. Only 5% of patients received positive COVID-19 results within 1–2 days of symptom onset. For these individuals, the day of symptom onset was considered as time₀.

Time to referral (Time_t), measured while the patients were in HI or CI, was the outcome of interest. At this point, physicians decided to refer the patient to the main hospital based on deteriorating symptoms.

To assess the effect of vaccine timing on the risk of COVID-19 infection, the time between the recent vaccination or last dose of immunization and the confirmation of COVID-19 infection was gathered, which was referred to as the "period of last vaccination."

To identify various SARS-CoV-2 variants, we categorized the patients into two distinct time periods based on the dominant variants in the area. Patients who tested positive before February 1, 2022, were assigned to the Delta-dominant period, whereas those who tested positive thereafter were assigned to the Omicron-dominant period. The period of the dominant variant was determined by random specimen collection from patients with COVID-19 in Songkhla, Thailand, followed by next-generation sequencing analysis. All viral sequence data have been submitted to GISAID for global data sharing.¹

2.4 Data source

We constructed a cohort of 8,638 patients in HI/CI with laboratoryconfirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. Clinical and epidemiological data were obtained from the enrolled patients who completed daily selfreporting questionnaires until they were discharged or referred for deteriorating symptoms. In the case of referral, electronic medical records or charts were maintained by physicians and nurses in the Songklanagarind Hospital database. Data on patient demographics, laboratory test results, medication administration, past and present diagnoses, clinical notes, and vaccination histories were collected. In addition, specific data on the most severe COVID-19 manifestations occurring during quarantine in HI/CI patients were retrospectively extracted from the questionnaires.

The study entry date was defined as the date on which the patient received hospital RT-PCR confirmation of COVID-19 positivity or self-examination using the ATK method. The index dates ranged from July 1, 2021 to July 31, 2022. The study endpoint was the time from the study index to either referral from HI/CI to the hospital or discharge. Recovered patients had their data censored on the date of discharge. The number of patients who were either referred, discharged, or were still admitted to the hospital on July 1, 2021, was recorded, and the duration of HI/CI was determined.

2.5 Target population

Patients in HI or those isolated in certain healthcare institutions were selected using the same inclusion and exclusion criteria as those used in our study. Furthermore, the Songkhla Provincial Public Health Ministry has comparable patient evaluation criteria, particularly those identifying 608 patients, with the primary goal of examining their clinical symptoms when infected with SARS-CoV-2.

1 https://gisaid.org

2.6 Outcome

The referral criteria for this study included patients with confirmed COVID-19 infection using RT-PCR or ATK testing. Patients eligible for quarantine in extended hospital facilities under the care of Songklanagarind Hospital were referred if they exhibited symptoms of deteriorating health, necessitating subsequent hospitalization from HI/CI. The criteria for hospitalization or closer monitoring in HI and CI for COVID-19 patients include:

- Increased breathing difficulty during physical activities, chest tightness or pain, or trouble breathing while at rest.
- Persistent high-grade fever not alleviated by standard treatments.
- Any symptoms or conditions that necessitate close monitoring, such as abdominal pain to exclude surgical requirements or other serious complications.
- Oxygen saturation (SpO₂) levels falling below 95%.

2.7 Statistical analyses

Patients' baseline characteristics, including age, sex, presence of underlying diseases, type of vaccine, number of vaccine doses, duration of last vaccination, treatment, and dominant variant duration, were examined using descriptive statistics. Continuous data are presented using either the mean or median, depending on their distribution patterns. Categorical data are presented as proportions. Differences between the groups of variables were examined using the chi-squared test, and the resulting *p*-values were used to determine the statistical significance of these differences. The log-rank test was used to examine categorical data associations between the in-group of the variable and time-to-event. The probability of survival was presented using Kaplan–Meier curves. Statistical significance was set at a p < 0.05. For the type of vaccine, number of vaccine doses, and duration of the last vaccination, *p*-values were calculated using Peto-Peto (30), to address the crossover problem of the Kaplan–Meier curves.

Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard models were constructed to investigate factors associated with time-toevent (time-to-referral). Initially, univariate Cox regression analyses were performed to examine the associations between each prospective predictor variable and time-to-referral. Hazard ratios (HRs) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals were calculated. Independent associations between various factors and time-to-referral were assessed using a multivariable Cox regression model. The original multivariable model included all possible predictor variables (p < 0.20 in the univariate analysis). After considering potential confounding variables, the multivariate model provided an adjusted estimate of the association between each variable and time-to-referral. The final multivariable model, which was constructed using a stepwise backward selection process based on the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) procedure (31, 32), was regarded as the best-fit model since it captured the most important variables associated with time-to-referral. To determine the strength and significance of the associations, the HRs, 95% confidence intervals, and p-values of the final model were represented using a forest plot.

Subgroup analysis of patients vaccinated with at least one type or dose of vaccine (excluding patients unvaccinated for COVID-19) was performed to explore the association between the period of last vaccination and time-to-referral using Cox proportional hazards ratio. The final multivariate model of the subgroup analysis was constructed using a stepwise backward selection process based on the AIC procedure and was regarded as the best-fit model. All analyses were performed using R (33).

2.8 Ethical statement

This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine of Prince Songkla University, Thailand (REC: 65-133-9-1). The researchers were permitted to retrieve clinical data and daily self-reported questionnaires from the hospital database with a renunciation of informed consent. All data were anonymized prior to analysis and implementation. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, as confirmed by the researchers.

3 Results

3.1 Study design

In the final cohort, we included 8,638 patients with laboratoryconfirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection who were under HI/CI care at the hospital from July 2021 to July 2022. The study participants were screened by obtaining medical histories through nurses by completing application-based inquiries (namely "Songkhla care") and the retrieval of a database containing previous medical records from institutions. Further, the cohort was examined by a physician and the symptoms were reevaluated, to determine the quarantine location (HI, CI, or hospitalization). Subsequently, medical staff communicated daily to inquire about vital signs until the patient recovered or was hospitalized due to disease deterioration (Figure 2A). During the study period, there were outbreaks of two variants [Delta-dominant period (orange) and Omicron-dominant period (blue); Figure 2A].

3.2 Demographic characteristics and underlying diseases of COVID-19 patients

Majority of the patients with COVID-19 were female (62.1%). Patients aged >60 years comprised a relatively modest percentage (13.2%, median age, 67 years) of all patients with COVID-19 in the care of Songklanagarind Hospital. Diabetes mellitus, obesity, chronic lung disease, neurological disorders, cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease, chronic liver disease, immunocompromised diseases, cancer, depression, and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) were the most common underlying diseases (Table 1). However, cancer, depression, and SLE were not reported among the patients with COVID-19 who were referred to the hospital at the end of this study.



TABLE 1 Characteristic and underlying diseases of patients with COVID-19.

Variables	Category	Total N = 8,638 (%)	Non-referred <i>N</i> = 8,586 (%)	Referred <i>N</i> = 52 (%)	<i>P</i> -value	
Sex						
	Female	5,362 (62.07)	5,340 (99.59)	22 (0.41)	0.005	
	Male	3,276 (37.93)	3,246 (99.08)	30 (0.92)		
Age (years)						
	18–59	7,497 (86.79)	7,466 (99.59)	31 (0.41)	< 0.001	
	60 and older	1,141 (13.21)	1,120 (98.16)	21 (1.84)		
Diabetes mellitus						
	No	8,217 (95.13)	8,176 (99.50)	41 (0.50)	< 0.001	
	Yes	421 (4.87)	410 (99.87)	11 (0.13)		
Hypertension						
	No	7,966 (92.22)	7,926 (99.50)	40 (0.50)	< 0.001	
	Yes	672 (7.78)	660 (98.21)	12 (1.79)	< 0.001	
Obesity						
	No	7,554 (87.45)	7,511 (99.43)	43 (0.57)	0.138	
	Yes	1,084 (12.55)	1,075 (99.17)	9 (0.83)		
Chronic lung disease						
	No	7,957 (92.12)	7,909 (99.40)	48 (0.60)	0.990	
	Yes	681 (7.88)	677 (94.41)	4 (0.59)		
Neurologic disorders						
	No	8,577 (99.29)	8,535 (99.51)	42 (0.49)	< 0.001	
	Yes	61 (0.71)	51 (83.61)	10 (16.39)	< 0.001	
Chronic kidney disease	3					
	No	8,587 (99.41)	8,538 (99.43)	49 (0.57)	< 0.001	
	Yes	51 (0.59)	48 (94.12)	3 (5.88)		
Cardiovascular disease						
	No	8,471 (98.10)	8,432 (99.54)	39 (0.46)	< 0.001	
	Yes	167 (1.90)	154 (92.22)	13 (7.78)	< 0.001	
Chronic liver disease						
	No	8,606 (99.63)	8,555 (99.41)	51 (0.59)	0.402	
	Yes	32 (0.37)	31 (96.87)	1 (3.13)	0.482	
HIV infection						
	No	8,588 (99.42)	8,538 (99.42)	50 (0.58)	0.028	
	Yes	50 (0.58)	48 (96.00)	2 (4.00)	0.028	

HIV, Human Immunodeficiency Virus.

In the log-rank test, sex, age, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, neurological disorders, chronic kidney disease, cardiovascular disease, and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection were significantly associated with time-to-referral.

Two primary reasons for referral were as follows: (1) COVID-19related severe symptoms, including respiratory complications and/or SpO₂ < 94% (n = 39), confirmed pneumonia (n = 13), or headache with fever >39°C and chest pain (n = 4) (Supplementary Table S1), (2) other referral causes (not outcomes for this study), such as accident, appendicitis, or hospitalization of mother with breastfeeding infant.

3.3 Vaccination, treatment, and variant period of patients with COVID-19

Majority of the patients (68.70%) were vaccinated prior to testing positive for COVID-19 (68.70%) and were categorized as (1) non-mRNA [26.40% using inactivated virus vaccine (Sinovac, Sinopharm) and viral vector vaccine (AstraZeneca)], (2) mRNA (8.60% using Pfizer and Moderna vaccines), and (3) combined vaccine (33.80%, non-mRNA combined with mRNA vaccine). There was no significant difference in the rate of referral between the vaccine types. However, the ratio of referrals was significantly

Variables	Detail	Total N = 8,638 (%)	Non-referred N = 8,586 (%)	Referred <i>N</i> = 52 (%)	<i>P</i> -value	
Vaccine type	Non-vaccinated	2,702 (31.28)	2,679 (99.15)	23 (0.85)		
	Non-mRNA	2,278 (26.37)	2,264 (99.39)	14 (0.61)	0.104	
	mRNA	739 (8.62)	734 (99.32)	5 (0.68)	0.104	
	Combination	2,919 (33.88)	2,909 (99.65)	10 (0.34)		
Number of vaccine doses	Non-vaccinated	2,702 (31.28)	2,679 (99.15)	23 (0.85)	0.001	
	Partial dose	415 (4.80)	408 (98.31)	7 (1.69)		
	Full or booster	5,521 (63.92)	5,499 (99.60)	22 (0.40)		
Treatment	Non-favipiravir	8,434 (97.64)	8,387 (99.44)	47 (0.56)	0.003	
	Favipiravir	204 (2.46)	199 (98.55)	5 (2.45)	0.003	
Period of dominant variant	Delta	1,845 (21.36)	1,824 (99.86)	21 (1.14)	0.001	
	Omicron	6,793 (78.64)	6,762 (99.54)	31 (0.86)		
Duration of last vaccination	Non-vaccinated	2,707 (31.34)	2,683 (99.11)	24 (0.89)		
	Less than 1 month	829 (9.60)	820 (98.91)	9 (1.09)	0.008	
	1–6 months	4,604 (53.30)	4,588 (99.65)	16 (0.35)		
	More than 6 months	498 (5.86)	495 (94.40)	3 (0.60)		

TABLE 2 Vaccination status, treatment, and period of dominant COVID-19 variant.

different among vaccination doses, with the lowest ratio in patients who received full or booster doses. A small percentage of patients received favipiravir (2.46%) since their condition met their physicians' risk criteria, including older adults and those with underlying medical conditions. Moreover, a large proportion of patients (78.64%) were diagnosed in the omicron-dominant outbreak and the referral rates were significantly different between the Omicron and Delta periods. Additionally, all variables were tested for their association with time-to-referral using the log-rank test, and the findings were similar to the chi-square results (Table 2). The data are displayed as Kaplan–Meier plots (Supplementary Figure S1).

3.4 Factors associated with time-to-referral due to deterioration of symptoms

Among the patients with COVID-19 quarantined in HI/CI, 0.6% were referred to the hospital after developing severe symptoms. In multivariable analyses, the risk of referral was higher among those aged ≥ 60 years than those aged 18-59 years (HR: 2.98; 95%) confidence interval: 1.38–6.41; p = 0.005; Figure 2). Sex was not significantly associated with the number of referrals. With regard to underlying diseases, there was a significant risk of referral due to worsening of symptoms among patients with COVID-19 and a neurological disorder, cardiovascular disease, or HIV infection. Other underlying diseases were not significantly associated with an increased referral risk. In addition, patients immunized with at least two COVID-19 vaccine doses or booster doses were significantly less likely to experience severe symptoms necessitating referral, whereas the vaccine type and administration of favipiravir drug showed no association. Furthermore, those infected in the omicron-dominant period had a significantly lower risk of referral than those infected in the Delta-dominant period (Figure 3).

3.5 Elucidating the association between the vaccine type and the period of dominant virus variant on time-to-referral in patients with COVID-19

In our multivariable Cox regression model, which accounted for other covariates such as age, sex, underlying disease, and other factors involved in risk reduction, the individual effects of vaccine type were not statistically significant predictors of time-to-referral, whereas the period of the dominant variant was significant. However, when examining the interaction term between vaccine type and period of variation, we found a significant association between the combined vaccine and risk reduction during the Omicron-dominant period. Specifically, the combination vaccine, which was administered to individuals infected within the period of the Omicron variant was associated with a significantly lower risk of time-to-referral (HR = 0.13; 95% confidence interval: 0.02– 0.78; p = 0.025; Table 3).

3.6 Cox regression analysis evaluating the association of period of vaccination with time-to- referral among subgroups of patients who received the vaccine against COVID-19

Additionally, we conducted a subgroup analysis by excluding unvaccinated patients (Supplementary Table S2) and focused solely on those who received non-mRNA, mRNA, or combination vaccines (Supplementary Table S3). Among these subgroups, we investigated the impact of the period between the last vaccination and the occurrence of COVID-19 on outcome measures, specifically the time-to-referral.

Select variable	Level	Adjusted HR (95% CI)						P-value
Gender	Female (N=5,362)	Reference		1				
	Male (N=3,276)	0.61 (0.35 – 1.07)	+					0.085
Age	18-59 years (N=7,479)	Reference						
	60 years and older (N=1,141)	2.98 (1.38 - 6.41)			•			0.005
Diabetes mellitus	No (N=8,217)	Reference						
	Yes (N=421)	2.09 (0.91 - 4.79)						0.081
Neurologic disorders	No (N=8,557)	Reference						
	Yes (N=61)	14.59 (6.21 – 34.28)				-	-	< 0.001
Cardiovascular	No (N=8,471)	Reference		-				
	Yes (N=167)	7.48 (3.29–17.03)						< 0.001
HIV infection	No (N=8,588)	Reference		-				
	Yes (N=50)	12.56 (2.94 – 53.68)					1	< 0.001
Number of vaccine doses	Non-vaccinated (N=2,702)	Reference						
	1 dose (N=415)	1.56 (0.61 – 3.93)		r				0.351
	2 doses or booster (N=5,521)	0.48 (0.25 – 0.92)		 1				0.002
Treatment	Non-favipiravir (N=8,434)	Reference						
	Favipiravir (N=204)	1.65 (0.58 - 4.67)		PP1				0.345
Period of dominant variant	Delta (N=1,845)	Reference						
	Omicron (N=6,793)	0.27 (0.13-0.56)	P - B					< 0.00
$\mathbf{R} = \text{Hazard ratio}$				1				

FIGURE 3

Multivariate association study for the time-to-referral. Multivariate analysis was used to evaluate the variables associated with the outcome variable, time-to-referral.

TABLE 3 Interaction between vaccine types and period of dominant variant on the risk of referral.

Variable	Level	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value				
Type of vaccine	Non-vaccinated	Reference	-				
	Non-mRNA	0.40 (0.12–1.34)	0.140				
	mRNA	0.16 (0.01–1.63)	0.121				
	Combination	3.06 (0.64–14.61)	0.161				
Variant	Delta	Reference	-				
	Omicron	0.28 (0.11-0.72)	0.008				
Interaction covariate							
Type of vaccine: Variant	Non-mRNA: Omicron	1.12 (0.25-4.97)	0.885				
	mRNA: Omicron	3.27 (0.27-39.47)	0.351				
	Combination: Omicron	0.13 (0.02–0.78)	0.025				

Interestingly, the log-rank test revealed a significant difference in time-to-referral across different periods from the last vaccination (p = 0.03; Figure 4A). Furthermore, we found a significant association between the period from the last vaccination and the time-to-referral. Compared to the reference group, patients who were vaccinated 1–6 months prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection exhibited a significantly lower risk of experiencing a shorter timeto-referral (HR = 0.36; 95% CI = 0.16–0.83; p = 0.016; Figure 4B). In contrast, the time-to-referral was not significantly different between individuals vaccinated less than 1 month or more than 6 months before the infection compared to those of the reference group.

4 Discussion

This study aimed to predict the factors associated with the worsening of COVID-19 symptoms, leading to hospitalizations due to COVID-19. This study began during the fourth-wave outbreak in Thailand, characterized by the initial dominance of the Delta variant. Moreover, this variant has been reported to have the worst clinical outcomes worldwide (35). Later, Omicron outbreaks dramatically increased the number of infected individuals, but there were fewer severe cases and mortalities than those in the Delta-dominant period (7, 36). The patient in this study was quarantined for 10 days after the detection of SARS-CoV-2 on the first date, similar to previous a systematic review that revealed several Asian, European, and American nations with home-isolation policies (37). In addition, the medical team conducts daily follow-up calls and questionnaires to monitor patient symptoms, including the occurrence of unexpected symptoms and the impact of physical (38), mental, and emotional quarantine (39-41). Furthermore, this study was strengthened by the large number and variety of Songkhla patients who underwent HI and CI in primary care.

In this investigation, we utilized survival analysis, specifically Cox proportional hazard regression, to examine the effects of various associated factors on the deterioration of patients which were indicated by the referral to hospital. Multivariate analysis revealed that older age was associated with an increased risk of referral, similar to previous studies in which older age has been associated with high severity or mortality, independent of comorbidities (20, 26, 27, 42-44). Age-related upregulation in the expression of angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE-2), a SARS-CoV-2 spike protein receptor, hastens viral dissemination among older adults. In contrast, immunological dysregulation and alterations in the gut microbiota caused by aging may also contribute to the cytokine storm, a key marker of disease severity. Age-related disease severity is significantly influenced by changes in the levels of growth hormones and sex steroids, particularly in women, both of which play critical roles in immunological control. However, the outcome was not significantly different between males and females in our study, as observed previously (45). Moreover, our cohort had a higher proportion of females (62.1%), in contrast to many previous studies involving fewer female than male patients (44, 46, 47). Consequently, the probability of sex-based influences can have varying effects on the associated factors and outcomes in different settings (48); a previous study indicated that males are more susceptible to mortality or severity due to the significantly higher expression of the ACE-2 receptor in males than that in females (49).

In our cohort, some underlying diseases were significantly associated with an increased risk of symptom worsening. Specifically, patients with cardiovascular diseases, neurological disorders, and HIV infection were more likely to experience severe symptoms necessitating hospital referrals. Similar to previous studies, we found that cardiovascular comorbidities such as heart failure, acute myocardial injury, arrhythmias, cardiogenic shock, and coronary artery disease were associated with the progressive worsening of symptoms (50–54). Generally, ACE-2 expression is abundant in the heart and lungs and has been identified as a functional receptor (53, 55, 56). The virus decreases ACE-2 expression, which leads to an increase in angiotensin II, and ultimately, an increase in pulmonary vascular permeability, resulting in pulmonary edema (57). Additionally, antiviral drugs administered to patients with cardiovascular disease can increase the risk of symptom worsening (58). However, this study did not establish an association

between antiviral drugs and cardiac disease nor did it identify additional risk factors. Neurological comorbidities are a significant determinant of COVID-19 severity, warranting a thorough evaluation from the earliest phases of infection (59, 60); studies on COVID-19 have revealed that approximately one-third of patients experience neurological symptoms (59, 61-63). Previous research on cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and brain tissue indicates that SARS-CoV-2 infection causes immunological activation and inflammation inside the central nervous system (64) which corroborates prior observations in the CSF from living patients and is consistent with the discovery of SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid or viral protein in the brains of those who succumbed to acute COVID-19 (65, 66). ACE-2 is overexpressed in specific regions of the human brain, including the substantia nigra and brain ventricles, as well as excitatory and inhibitory neurons in the middle temporal gyrus and posterior cingulate cortex which are target regions of SARS-CoV-2 (67). COVID-19 is substantially associated with severity or mortality in patients with HIV, as seen in cohorts of South Africa (ARR 2.14; 95% confidence interval: 1.70-2.70) (68), United Kingdom (AHR 2.59; 95% confidence interval: 1.74-3.84) (69), United States (AOR 1.29; 95% confidence interval: 1.16-1.44) (70) and New York (ARR 1.23; 95% confidence interval: 1.07-1.40) (71). Additionally, patients with HIV generally have decreased immunological and CD4 counts since the cytokine storm is one of the main mechanisms causing COVID-19related morbidity and mortality (72). Therefore, in patients with HIV, significant immunosuppression and low CD4 levels may increase the risk of developing lymphopenia and the severity of COVID-19 (73). Thus, the results of this and previous studies support the idea that older individuals, including those with cardiovascular disease, neurological disorders, and HIV, should be closely monitored in the case of future epidemics.

Regarding COVID-19 vaccination, a significantly reduced risk of experiencing worsening symptoms was discovered in patients who received a full dose of the vaccine or a booster dose, compared to those in previous studies (74, 75). However, no association between the type of vaccine and patients' referral was found in our study. The Omicrondominant period had a lower AHR (0.28) than that in the Deltadominant period, indicating a lower risk of symptom aggravation (76, 77). The high transformability and rising infection rate of the new variant may be risky; however, no adverse effects or additional fatalities were reported (78). After considering the interaction between the period of infection and type of vaccination, we observed that the interaction was strongly associated with a reduced risk of outcome. Although vaccine efficacy is significantly lower in the Omicron variant than that in the Delta variant (79-81), the use of heterologous vaccines in the first and second doses is associated with higher cellular and humoral immune responses and higher neutralizing antibody levels against COVID-19 than those with homologous vaccines (82, 83). A heterologous booster dose for people with complete primary vaccination scheduled with CoronaVac provided a high level of protection against COVID-19, including severe disease and death (84, 85). Similar results were observed with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine as the first dose and mRNA vaccine (BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273) as the second dose (86, 87). Thus, the efficacy of the COVID-19 vaccine may depend on the variant mutation rather than the strain, and that the interaction between vaccine type and variant is important when assessing the effectiveness of vaccination strategies.

Our subgroup analysis also showed that among patients who received at least one dose of the COVID-19 vaccine, the duration



Analysis of duration between the last vaccination and the occurrence of COVID-19 and multivariable associations with time-to-referral, excluding unvaccinated participants. (A) The Peto-Peto test was used to examine the relationship between the duration after the last vaccination and the

(Continued)

FIGURE 4 (Continued)

occurrence of COVID-19, specifically in relation to the time-to-referral period, and statistically significant differences were expressed as *p*-values. (B) Multivariate analysis evaluating the variables associated with the outcome variable and time-to-referral. Sex, age, presence of diabetes mellitus, neurological problems, HIV infection, the duration between the last vaccination and the occurrence of COVID-19, and the type of vaccine received were among the variables in the model. The adjusted hazard ratios and accompanying 95% confidence intervals for each variable are shown in the table, associating each variable to the time-to-referral.

between vaccination and COVID-19 exposure at 1-6 months was significantly associated with a reduction in the worsening of symptoms and referrals. Moreover, adenoviral vector vaccines have shown the highest efficacy after 3 weeks of vaccination (88). Similarly, in Thailand, a study reported that 97.26% of the participants who received the first dose of the AstraZeneca vaccine and 99.49% of those who received two doses of the Sinovac vaccine produce high levels of anti-COVID-19 antibodies after 4 weeks (89). In addition, according to a recent prospective cohort study in Scotland, the first dose of the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine had an efficacy of approximately 91% against COVID-19 on hospital admission 28-34 days after immunization. The effect of the Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine at the same time point was 88% (95% confidence interval, 75-94) (90, 91). However, previous studies on mRNA vaccines have demonstrated effective COVID-19 protection for only up to 6 months, with protection waning over the next 6 months (92, 93). However, to our knowledge, no study has definitively ascertained the highest immune activation time, and vaccine efficacies may change with regional variations. Few studies have investigated the efficacy and persistence of these vaccines.

This study had several limitations. The study period covered waves of epidemics of the Delta and Omicron variants (such as B.1.1.529, BA.1, and BA.2), which were inferred using the period or wave of the sub-lineage. Based on our previous study, the epidemiology was classified into a patient category. Many patients consumed Andrographis paniculata (Fah-Ta-Lai-Jone) without informing healthcare providers, preventing us from conclusively identifying an association between treatment and outcomes. In addition, our study did not categorize vaccine brands by manufacturer, but rather by type. Moreover, in Songkhla, fewer individuals received a single dose of the vaccine for the Omicron variant than for the Delta variant, and the period of last vaccination was collinear with the variant. Therefore, the variant variable was not used to correlate the period of recent vaccination with outcomes. If the patient's condition worsened to the point of mortality or if they had severe respiratory symptoms and were unable to breathe independently, the patients were referred to the doctor's discretion. This may be one of the reasons why the outcomes of this study were poor despite the diversity of patient backgrounds, availability of treatment, and large population size. Finally, a limitation of this study is that majority of patients with diabetes mellitus were well-controlled and regularly monitored, which may not fully represent the broader population of patients with COVID-19 and diabetes, who may have less optimal control or more severe disease outcomes.

In conclusion, this study aimed to provide information for medical personnel caring for patients, manage the overwhelming number of nursing patients with emerging diseases related to the respiratory tract, and identify the risk factors that influence the disease severity of a patient. Underlying diseases such as heart disease, neurological disorders, and HIV infection are the primary focus groups for monitoring and follow-up. Based on the present study findings, researchers recommend that high-risk patients be admitted to the hospital at the onset of infection. If this is not feasible, they should always be quarantined by caregivers. Additionally, old age is an influential risk factor for disease severity; however, not all older adults are at risk of developing severe diseases. Therefore, it is also necessary to consider the underlying diseases. Factors, such as vaccines may merely prevent disease severity, which is dependent on the outbreak of each strain. Therefore, further studies are warranted in this regard.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Thailand (protocol code REC: 65-133-9-1). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/ next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

TS: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. WS: Writing – review & editing. CT: Writing – review & editing. SR: Writing – review & editing. SSu: Writing – review & editing. PT: Writing – review & editing. CK: Writing – review & editing. SC: Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Resources. PW: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Project administration, Resources. PS: Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Resources. RC: Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Resources. SSa: Writing – review & editing. TI: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The National Research Council of Thailand provided funding for this study under the following project: Development of a Participatory Home Isolation System: Design, Implementation, and Evaluation (grant number: N35A650016). Additionally, the project: Research Project to Evaluate the Effectiveness of Vaccine Protection Against Various Variables of Concern about COVID-19 in Southern Thailand by Using Regular Data from Contact Tractracing, Vaccinations History, and Their Patient Variable Report (grant number 64-003).

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate the enthusiastic work of the medical staff of Songklanagarind Hospital, Songkhla Provincial Public Health Office, and the Home Isolation Center in providing healthcare when resources were scarce during the COVID-19 pandemic. The authors also thank the Division of Digital Innovation and Data Analytics, Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkhla University, for the initial data cleansing and preparation. The authors would also like to thank the Immunology and Virology Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkhla University, for all the laboratory work.

References

1. Hu B, Guo H, Zhou P, Shi Z-L. Characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19. Nat Rev Microbiol. (2021) 19:141–54. doi: 10.1038/s41579-020-00459-7

2. Sharma A, Tiwari S, Deb MK, Marty JL. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2): a global pandemic and treatment strategies. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. (2020) 56:106054. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106054

3. Al-Qahtani AA. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2): emergence, history, basic and clinical aspects. *Saudi J Biol Sci.* (2020) 27:2531–8. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.04.033

4. Zhou Y, Han T, Chen J, Hou C, Hua L, He S, et al. Clinical and autoimmune characteristics of severe and critical cases of COVID-19. *Clin Transl Sci.* (2020) 13:1077–86. doi: 10.1111/cts.12805

5. Woods JA, Hutchinson NT, Powers SK, Roberts WO, Gomez-Cabrera MC, Radak Z, et al. The COVID-19 pandemic and physical activity. *Sports Med Health Sci.* (2020) 2:55–64. doi: 10.1016/j.smhs.2020.05.006

6. World Health Organization. *Clinical management of COVID-19: living guideline* (2022). WHO/2019-nCoV/Clinical/2022.2.

7. Puenpa J, Rattanakomol P, Saengdao N, Chansaenroj J, Yorsaeng R, Suwannakarn K, et al. Molecular characterisation and tracking of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in Thailand, 2020–2022. *Arch Virol.* (2023) 168:26. doi: 10.1007/s00705-022-05666-6

8. Lin L, Liu Y, Tang X, He D. The disease severity and clinical outcomes of the SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. *Front Public Health.* (2021) 9:775224. doi: 10.3389/ fpubh.2021.775224

9. Samieefar N, Rashedi R, Akhlaghdoust M, Mashhadi M, Darzi P, Rezaei N. Delta variant: the new challenge of COVID-19 pandemic, an overview of epidemiological, clinical, and immune characteristics. *Acta Biomed.* (2022) 93:e2022179. doi: 10.23750/abm.v93i1.12210

10. Anderson RM, Vegvari C, Hollingsworth TD, Pi L, Maddren R, Ng CW, et al. The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: remaining uncertainties in our understanding of the epidemiology and transmission dynamics of the virus, and challenges to be overcome. *Interface Focus*. (2021) 11:20210008. doi: 10.1098/rsfs.2021.0008

11. (CCSA) TCfC-SA. Guidance for integrated management of state quarantine facilities Ministry of Public Health of Thailand (2020) Available at: https://ddc.moph.go.th/viralpneumonia/eng/file/guidelines/g_guarantine_190620new.pdf.

12. Akksilp S. Guidelines for medical personnel in providing patient advice and providing home isolation services for COVID-19 patients. Thailand: Department of Medical Sciences, Ministry of Public Health of Thailand (2021).

13. Akksilp S. *Home isolation service arrangement: department of medical sciences* Ministry of Public Health of Thailand (2022).

14. Guidelines for quarantining patients with COVID-19 in the community (Community Isolation). Thailand: Department of Medical Services (DMS), Ministry of Public Health (2021) Available at: http://dmta.dms.go.th/manualdmta/MN_Policy/cpg/CPG-39.pdf.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1350304/ full#supplementary-material

15. Wangboonkongchana T. PM orders immediate hospitalization of COVID-19 patients under 608 groups who are classified yellow. (2022).

16. Bhardwaj PJN, Joshi NK, Gupta MK, Goel AD, Saurabh S, Charan J, et al. Erratum: analysis of facility and home isolation strategies in COVID 19 pandemic: evidences from Jodhpur, India. *IDR*. (2021) 14:2555–6. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S326330

17. Alishan S, Ali F, Iqbal Z, Ammar A, Muhammad AS, Farooq F, et al. Home management of COVID-19 patients: a successful model in non-severe COVID-19 patients in the developing world. *Cureus*. (2022) 14:e21605. doi: 10.7759/cureus.21605

18. Xu H, Huang S, Qiu C, Liu S, Deng J, Jiao B, et al. Monitoring and management of home-quarantined patients with COVID-19 using a wechat-based telemedicine system: retrospective cohort study. *J Med Internet Res.* (2020) 22:e19514. doi: 10.2196/19514

19. Hutchings OR, Dearing C, Jagers D, Shaw MJ, Raffan F, Jones A, et al. Virtual health care for community management of patients With COVID-19 in Australia: observational cohort study. *J Med Internet Res.* (2021) 23:e21064. doi: 10.2196/21064

20. Terada M, Ohtsu H, Saito S, Hayakawa K, Tsuzuki S, Asai Y, et al. Risk factors for severity on admission and the disease progression during hospitalisation in a large cohort of patients with COVID-19 in Japan. *BMJ Open*. (2021) 11:e047007. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-047007

21. Fried MW, Crawford JM, Mospan AR, Watkins SE, Munoz B, Zink RC, et al. Patient characteristics and outcomes of 11 721 patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) hospitalized across the United States. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2021) 72:e558–e65. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1268

22. Ioannou GN, Locke E, Green P, Berry K, O'Hare AM, Shah JA, et al. Risk factors for hospitalization, mechanical ventilation, or death among 10131 US veterans with SARS-CoV-2 infection. *JAMA Netw Open.* (2020) 3:e2022310. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.22310

23. Noopetch P, Benchamanon R, Kongsuwan S, and Chai-adisaksopha C. Development a predictive score for severe Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) from high risk factor of patient described by Thai government policy. *Open Forum Infectious Diseases.* (2022) 9. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofac492.384

24. Contreras-Villamizar K, Barbosa O, Muñoz AC, Suárez JS, González CA, Vargas DC, et al. Risk factors associated with acute kidney injury in a cohort of hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *BMC Nephrol.* (2023) 24:140. doi: 10.1186/s12882-023-03172-8

25. Smits PD, Gratzl S, Simonov M, Nachimuthu SK, Goodwin Cartwright BM, Wang MD, et al. Risk of COVID-19 breakthrough infection and hospitalization in individuals with comorbidities. *Vaccine*. (2023) 41:2447–55. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2023.02.038

26. Li X, Xu S, Yu M, Wang K, Tao Y, Zhou Y, et al. Risk factors for severity and mortality in adult COVID-19 inpatients in Wuhan. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2020) 146:110-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2020.04.006

27. Hartantri Y, Debora J, Widyatmoko L, Giwangkancana G, Suryadinata H, Susandi E, et al. Clinical and treatment factors associated with the mortality of COVID-19 patients admitted to a referral hospital in Indonesia. *Lancet Reg Health.* (2023) 11:100167. doi: 10.1016/j.lansea.2023.100167

28. Nuttall FQ. Body mass index: obesity, BMI, and health: a critical review. Nutr Today. (2015) 50:117–28. doi: 10.1097/NT.00000000000092

29. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Defining adult overweight & obesity division of nutrition, physical activity, and obesity* National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (2022) Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/basics/adult-defining.html#print.

30. Harrington DP, Fleming TR. A class of rank test procedures for censored survival data. *Biometrika*. (1982) 69:553–66. doi: 10.1093/biomet/69.3.553

31. Zhang Z. Variable selection with stepwise and best subset approaches. *Ann Transl Med.* (2016) 4:136. doi: 10.21037/atm.2016.03.35

32. Chowdhury MZI, Turin TC. Variable selection strategies and its importance in clinical prediction modelling. *Fam Med Community Health.* (2020) 8:e000262. doi: 10.1136/fmch-2019-000262

33. R Development Core Team. *R: language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing (2009) Available at: http://www.R-project.org.

34. Ministry of Public Health, Thailand. *The COVID-19 report has an opportunity to provide Thailand information - open government data of Thailand*. (2023). Available at: https://data.go.th/dataset/covid-19-daily

35. Tongsengkee N, Surasombatpattana S, Tanomkiat W, Siripaitoon P, Kositpantawong N, Kanchanasuwan S, et al. characteristics, outcomes, and factors affecting mortality in hospitalized patients with CAP due to different variants of SARS-CoV-2 and non-COVID-19 CAP. *J Clin Med.* (2023) 12. doi: 10.3390/jcm12041388

36. *Timeline Comparisons by region*. Thailand: Johns Hopkins University & Medicine (2023) Available at: https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/region/thailand.

37. Xie G, Wang L, Zhang J. How are countries responding differently to COVID-19: a systematic review of guidelines on isolation measures. *Front Public Health.* (2023) 11:1190519. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1190519

38. dos Santos EM, Bijega TF, Antunes MD, Wittig DS. Etiologia da dor lombar devido ao trabalho remoto durante o período da pandemia de COVID-19. *Rev Panor*. (2022) 35 https://periodicoscientificos.ufmt.br/revistapanoramica/index.php/revistapanoramica/ article/view/1497

39. Parisi S, Lehner N, Schrader H, Kierer L, Fleischer A, Miljukov O, et al. Experiencing COVID-19, home isolation and primary health care: a mixed-methods study. *Front Public Health*. (2022) 10:1023431. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.1023431

40. Zhang MM, Niu N, Zhi XX, Zhu P, Wu B, Wu BN, et al. Nurses' psychological changes and coping strategies during home isolation for the 2019 novel coronavirus in China: a qualitative study. *J Adv Nurs*. (2021) 77:308–17. doi: 10.1111/jan.14572

41. Slone M, Pe'er A, Mor F. Previous trauma exposure and self-mastery as moderators of psychiatric effects of home isolation during the Covid-19 pandemic: a field study. *BMC Psychiatry*. (2022) 22:450. doi: 10.1186/s12888-022-04087-8

42. Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, Ja X, Zhou X, Xu S, et al. Risk factors associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome and death in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Inter Med.* (2020) 180:934–43. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.0994

43. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet.* (2020) 395:1054–62. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3

44. Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, Shu H, Ja X, Liu H, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study. *Lancet Respir Med.* (2020) 8:475–81. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30079-5

45. Gesesew HA, Koye DN, Fetene DM, Woldegiorgis M, Kinfu Y, Geleto AB, et al. Risk factors for COVID-19 infection, disease severity and related deaths in Africa: a systematic review. *BMJ Open.* (2021) 11:e044618. doi: 10.1136/ bmjopen-2020-044618

46. Di Castelnuovo A, Bonaccio M, Costanzo S, Gialluisi A, Antinori A, Berselli N, et al. Common cardiovascular risk factors and in-hospital mortality in 3,894 patients with COVID-19: survival analysis and machine learning-based findings from the multicentre Italian CORIST Study. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. (2020) 30:1899–913. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2020.07.031

47. Russell FM, Wang A, Ehrman RR, Jacobs J, Croft A, and Larsen C. Risk factors associated with hospital admission in COVID-19 patients initially admitted to an observation unit. *Am. J. Emerg. Med.* (2021) 46:339–43. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2020.10.009

48. Ranjan J, Ravindra A, Mishra B. Gender and genetic factors impacting COVID-19 severity. J Family Med Prim Care. (2021) 10:3956–63. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_769_21

49. Ortolan A, Lorenzin M, Felicetti M, Doria A, Ramonda R. Does gender influence clinical expression and disease outcomes in COVID-19? A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Int J Infect Dis.* (2020) 99:496–504. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2020.07.076

50. Yang J, Zheng Y, Gou X, Pu K, Chen Z, Guo Q, et al. Prevalence of comorbidities and its effects in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Infect Dis.* (2020) 94:91–5. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.017

51. Zheng Z, Peng F, Xu B, Zhao J, Liu H, Peng J, et al. Risk factors of critical & mortal COVID-19 cases: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *J Infect.* (2020) 81:e16–25. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2020.04.021

52. Li B, Yang J, Zhao F, Zhi L, Wang X, Liu L, et al. Prevalence and impact of cardiovascular metabolic diseases on COVID-19 in China. *Clin Res Cardiol.* (2020) 109:531-8. doi: 10.1007/s00392-020-01626-9

53. Zheng YY, Ma YT, Zhang JY, Xie X. COVID-19 and the cardiovascular system. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. (2020) 17:259–60. doi: 10.1038/s41569-020-0360-5

54. Tavazzi G, Pellegrini C, Maurelli M, Belliato M, Sciutti F, Bottazzi A, et al. Myocardial localization of coronavirus in COVID-19 cardiogenic shock. *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2020) 22:911–5. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.1828

55. Turner AJ, Hiscox JA, Hooper NM. ACE2: from vasopeptidase to SARS virus receptor. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* (2004) 25:291–4. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2004.04.001

56. Chen L, Li X, Chen M, Feng Y, Xiong C. The ACE2 expression in human heart indicates new potential mechanism of heart injury among patients infected with SARS-CoV-2. *Cardiovasc Res.* (2020) 116:1097–100. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvaa078

57. Clerkin KJ, Fried JA, Raikhelkar J, Sayer G, Griffin JM, Masoumi A, et al. COVID-19 and cardiovascular disease. *Circulation*. (2020) 141:1648–55. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.046941

58. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*. (2020) 323:1061–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.1585

59. Mao L, Jin H, Wang M, Hu Y, Chen S, He Q, et al. Neurologic manifestations of hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Neurol.* (2020) 77:683–90. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.1127

60. Jin H, Hong C, Chen S, Zhou Y, Wang Y, Mao L, et al. Consensus for prevention and management of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) for neurologists. *Stroke Vasc Neurol.* (2020) 5:146–51. doi: 10.1136/svn-2020-000382

61. Romagnolo A, Balestrino R, Imbalzano G, Ciccone G, Riccardini F, Artusi CA, et al. Neurological comorbidity and severity of COVID-19. *J Neurol.* (2021) 268:762–9. doi: 10.1007/s00415-020-10123-y

62. Aggarwal G, Lippi G, Michael HB. Cerebrovascular disease is associated with an increased disease severity in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a pooled analysis of published literature. *Int J Stroke*. (2020) 15:385–9. doi: 10.1177/1747493020921664

63. Du RH, Liang LR, Yang CQ, Wang W, Cao TZ, Li M, et al. Predictors of mortality for patients with COVID-19 pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV-2: a prospective cohort study. *Eur Respir J.* (2020) 55:2000524. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00524-2020

64. Spudich S, Nath A. Nervous system consequences of COVID-19. *Science*. (2022) 375:267–9. doi: 10.1126/science.abm2052

65. Matschke J, Lütgehetmann M, Hagel C, Sperhake JP, Schröder AS, Edler C, et al. Neuropathology of patients with COVID-19 in Germany: a post-mortem case series. *Lancet Neurol.* (2020) 19:919–29. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(20)30308-2

66. Lee MH, Perl DP, Nair G, Li W, Maric D, Murray H, et al. Microvascular injury in the brains of patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med.* (2021) 384:481–3. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMc2033369

67. Chen R, Wang K, Yu J, Howard D, French L, Chen Z, et al. The spatial and cell-type distribution of SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2 in the human and mouse brains. *Front Neurol.* (2020) 11:573095. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2020.590766

68. Boulle A, Davies M-A, Hussey H, Ismail M, Morden E, Vundle Z, et al. Risk factors for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) death in a population cohort study from the Western Cape Province, South Africa. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2021) 73:e2005–15. doi: 10.1093/ cid/ciaa1198

69. Bhaskaran K, Rentsch CT, MacKenna B, Schultze A, Mehrkar A, Bates CJ, et al. HIV infection and COVID-19 death: a population-based cohort analysis of UK primary care data and linked national death registrations within the OpenSAFELY platform. *Lancet HIV*. (2021) 8:e24–32. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3018(20)30305-2

70. Yang X, Sun J, Patel RC, Zhang J, Guo S, Zheng Q, et al. Associations between HIV infection and clinical spectrum of COVID-19: a population level analysis based on US National COVID Cohort Collaborative (N3C) data. *Lancet HIV*. (2021) 8:e690–700. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3018(21)00239-3

71. Tesoriero JM, Swain CE, Pierce JL, Zamboni L, Wu M, Holtgrave DR, et al. COVID-19 outcomes among persons living with or without diagnosed HIV infection in New York State. *JAMA Netw Open.* (2021) 4:e2037069. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.37069

72. Melo AKG, Milby KM, Caparroz A, Pinto A, Santos RRP, Rocha AP, et al. Biomarkers of cytokine storm as red flags for severe and fatal COVID-19 cases: a living systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* (2021) 16:e0253894. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0253894

73. Danwang C, Noubiap JJ, Robert A, Yombi JC. Outcomes of patients with HIV and COVID-19 co-infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS Res Ther.* (2022) 19:3. doi: 10.1186/s12981-021-00427-y

74. Chadeau-Hyam M, Wang H, Eales O, Haw D, Bodinier B, Whitaker M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection and vaccine effectiveness in England (REACT-1): a series of cross-sectional random community surveys. *Lancet Respir Med.* (2022) 10:355–66. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00542-7

75. Technical briefing. SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under investigation in England. Sage: Public Health England (n.d.). Gov.uk. Retrieved February 21, 2024, from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/636245418fa8f50574c9a7bf/Technical-Briefing-45-9September2022.pdf

76. Ulloa AC, Buchan SA, Daneman N, Brown KA. Estimates of SARS-CoV-2 omicron variant severity in Ontario, Canada. *JAMA*. (2022) 327:1286–8. doi: 10.1001/jama.2022.2274

77. Hyams C, Challen R, Marlow R, Nguyen J, Begier E, Southern J, et al. Severity of Omicron (B.1.1.529) and Delta (B.1.617.2) SARS-CoV-2 infection among hospitalised adults: a prospective cohort study in Bristol, United Kingdom. *Lancet Reg Health*. (2023) 25:100556. doi: 10.1016/j.lanepe.2022.100556

78. El-Shabasy RM, Nayel MA, Taher MM, Abdelmonem R, Shoueir KR, Kenawy ER. Three waves changes, new variant strains, and vaccination effect against COVID-19 pandemic. *Int J Biol Macromol.* (2022) 204:161–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac. 2022.01.118

79. UK Health Security Agency. COVID-19 vaccine surveillance report, (2022). Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1049160/Vaccine-surveillance-report-week-3-2022.pdf.

80. Nick A, Julia S, Freja K, Samuel T, Tim R, Eileen G, et al. Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines against the Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant of concern. *medRxiv*. (2021):2021.12.14.21267615. doi: 10.1101/2021.12.14.21267615

81. Buchan SA, Chung H, Brown KA, Austin PC, Fell DB, Gubbay JB, et al. Estimated effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines against omicron or delta symptomatic infection and severe outcomes. *JAMA Netw Open*. (2022) 5:e2232760. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen. 2022.32760

82. Rashedi R, Samieefar N, Masoumi N, Mohseni S, Rezaei N. COVID-19 vaccines mix-and-match: the concept, the efficacy and the doubts. *J Med Virol.* (2022) 94:1294–9. doi: 10.1002/jmv.27463

83. Borobia AM, Carcas AJ, Pérez-Olmeda M, Castaño L, Bertran MJ, García-Pérez J, et al. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of BNT162b2 booster in ChAdOx1-S-primed participants (CombiVacS): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet.* (2021) 398:121–30. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01420-3

84. Mahase E. Covid-19: mix and match booster vaccination approach offers best protection, study reports. *BMJ*. (2022) 377:o1052. doi: 10.1136/bmj.o1612

85. Jara A, Undurraga EA, Zubizarreta JR, González C, Pizarro A, Acevedo J, et al. Effectiveness of homologous and heterologous booster doses for an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine: a large-scale prospective cohort study. *Lancet Glob Health*. (2022) 10:e798–806. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(22)00112-7

86. Schmidt T, Klemis V, Schub D, Mihm J, Hielscher F, Marx S, et al. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of heterologous ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/mRNA vaccination. *Nat Med.* (2021) 27:1530–5. doi: 10.1038/s41591-021-01464-w

87. Benning L, Töllner M, Hidmark A, Schaier M, Nusshag C, Kälble F, et al. Heterologous ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/BNT162b2 prime-boost vaccination induces strong humoral responses among health care workers. *Vaccines*. (2021) 9:857. doi: 10.3390/vaccines9080857

88. Ghazy RM, Ashmawy R, Hamdy NA, Elhadi YAM, Reyad OA, Elmalawany D, et al. Efficacy and effectiveness of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Vaccines*. (2022) 10:350. doi: 10.3390/vaccines10030350

89. Faculty of Medicine Chulalongkorn University and Ministry of Higher Education, Science, Research and Innovation. *Summary of COVID-19 immunization efficacy in Thai People, Sinovac immunization, and AstraZeneca immunization: KIN.* (2021). Available at: https://kinrehab.com/news/view/346.

90. Kaura A, Trickey A, Shah ASV, Benedetto U, Glampson B, Mulla A, et al. Comparing the longer-term effectiveness of a single dose of the Pfizer-BioNTech and Oxford-AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccines across the age spectrum. *eClinicalMedicine*. (2022) 46:101344. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101344

91. Vasileiou E, Simpson CR, Shi T, Kerr S, Agrawal U, Akbari A, et al. Interim findings from first-dose mass COVID-19 vaccination roll-out and COVID-19 hospital admissions in Scotland: a national prospective cohort study. *Lancet.* (2021) 397:1646–57. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00677-2

92. Bates TA, McBride SK, Leier HC, Guzman G, Lyski ZL, Schoen D, et al. Vaccination before or after SARS-CoV-2 infection leads to robust humoral response and antibodies that effectively neutralize variants. *Sci Immunol.* (2022) 7:eabn8014. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.abn8014

93. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al. Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine. *N Engl J Med.* (2020) 383:2603–15. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2034577