Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Nayu Ikeda, National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition, Japan

REVIEWED BY Tianan Yang, Beijing Institute of Technology, China Guanlan Zhao, Lanzhou University, China Xin Xie, University of California, Irvine, United States *CORRESPONDENCE

Ming Cao ⊠ cao-ming@foxmail.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 19 February 2024 ACCEPTED 20 May 2024 PUBLISHED 30 May 2024

CITATION

Fan Y, Yang S, Ruan L, Zhang C and Cao M (2024) Association between Life's Essential 8 and estimated pulse wave velocity among adults in the US: a cross-sectional study of NHANES 2011–2018. *Front. Public Health* 12:1388424. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1388424

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Fan, Yang, Ruan, Zhang and Cao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association between Life's Essential 8 and estimated pulse wave velocity among adults in the US: a cross-sectional study of NHANES 2011–2018

Yang Fan[†], Sisi Yang[†], Lei Ruan, Cuntai Zhang and Ming Cao*

Department of Gerontology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

Background: Arterial stiffness, typically evaluated via estimated pulse wave velocity (ePWV), is believed to have a significant association with cardiovascular diseases. The objective of this study was to investigate the correlation between Life's Essential 8 (LE8), a newly revised metric of cardiovascular health, and ePWV among adult population in the United States.

Methods: This research employed a cross-sectional methodology, drawing upon data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) spanning from 2011 to 2018. To explore the relationship between LE8 and ePWV among adults in the US, both univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses were carried out. Additionally, the restricted cubic splines method was utilized to examine any non-linear correlation.

Results: The study comprised 6,742 participants with an average age of 48.30 ± 0.35 years. Among these, 3,236 were males, representing a weighted percentage of 48%. The population's weighted average LE8 score was 68.68 ± 0.37 , while the average ePWV was 8.18 ± 0.04 . An entirely adjusted model revealed a negative correlation between ePWV and LE8 scores [in the moderate LE8 group, coefficient – 0.17, 95% CI -0.28 to –0.06, *p* = 0.004; in the high LE8 group, coefficient – 0.44, 95% CI -0.56 to –0.32, *p* < 0.0001]. This negative correlation was consistent with the findings in demographic subgroup analysis, with the effect size being more pronounced among adults under the age of 60, and individuals without hypertension, cardiovascular disease, or diabetes.

Conclusion: Our study reveals a negative correlation between LE8 and ePWV in the adult population of the US, suggesting that LE8 could potentially serve as an indicative marker for evaluating the risk of vascular stiffness. This inverse relationship is markedly stronger in adults below 60 years and those without diagnosed vascular diseases. This implies that lifestyle upgrades and risk factor management could be especially advantageous in curbing arterial stiffness within these groups. These conclusions underscore the importance of primary prevention in mitigating the risk of vascular aging in a relatively healthy group, emphasizing the significance of early intervention and risk factor management in cardiovascular disease.

KEYWORDS

NHANES, Life's Essential 8, ePWV, cardiovascular health, vascular aging

01

1 Introduction

The Framingham Heart Study, initiated in 1961, highlighted the importance of "risk factors" in cardiology, setting the stage for the development of risk prediction models for cardiovascular diseases (CVD) (1). Building on this foundation, the American Heart Association (AHA) introduced "Life's Simple 7" (LS7) in 2010 to improve CVH by focusing on cholesterol, blood pressure, glucose levels, smoking status, physical activity, diet, and body mass index (BMI) (2). In a notable advancement, the AHA updated this framework to "Life's Essential 8" (LE8) last year, enhancing the original metrics and adding sleep health as a critical component of CVH (3). This update reflects a comprehensive approach to CVH, acknowledging the role of lifestyle factors and sleep in influencing cardiovascular outcomes. Recent studies have shown that higher LE8 scores are associated with increased life expectancy among US adults, underscoring the scoring system's utility in capturing individual differences and the broader determinants of health (4-6). Furthermore, evidence suggests that enhancing CVH in individuals with initially low scores can markedly reduce the incidence of both subclinical and manifest CVD (7-10).

Arterial stiffness represents an early, modifiable phase of CVD with its own predictive value for the disease, often measured by estimated pulse wave velocity (ePWV). While the link between several cardiovascular risk factors (e.g., age, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, obesity, smoking) and arterial stiffness has been documented (11–13), the cumulative impact of these factors remains to be fully understood. The synergistic effects of these risk factors, when concurrent, may far exceed the sum of their individual impacts, introducing complexities and significant implications for health. Overlooking this cumulative effect could lead to an underestimation of an individual's risk of developing CVD, potentially resulting in inadequate clinical interventions and preventative strategies.

Accordingly, this research aims to explore the correlation between LE8 scores and ePWV among adults in the US, to shed light on the cumulative effects of cardiovascular risk factors on arterial stiffness. Through this analysis, we seek to bridge the gap in current understanding regarding how a holistic assessment of CVH may mirror the early and modifiable phases of CVD risk, particularly with respect to arterial stiffness. This study not only meets the demand for a deeper comprehension of the aggregated impacts of these cardiovascular risk factors but also strives to equip medical professionals with actionable insights and strategies for the early detection and intervention of arterial stiffness, thus facilitating the management of individuals at elevated risk for CVD, thereby contributing to the enhancement of cardiovascular health and the prevention of cardiovascular diseases.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study population

This research utilized a population-based, national cross-sectional approach through the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) database. The NHANES protocol received approval from the Ethics Review Board of the National Centre for Health Statistics, and all participants provided written informed consent. The data, along with analytical method guidelines, are publicly accessible via the US Center for Disease Control and Prevention's National Center for Health Statistics website at https:// www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm.

For this analysis, 39,156 individuals were initially considered from the years 2011 to 2018. Following the application of screening criteria, 6,742 participants were selected to investigate the link between LE8 and ePWV. The process of participant inclusion and exclusion is depicted in Figure 1, with exclusions made for individuals under 18 years of age (n=15,331) and those with incomplete data on LE8, ePWV, or other relevant variables (n=17,083).

2.2 Assessment of LE8

The LE8 framework encompasses several components: diet, physical activity, nicotine exposure (including smoking cessation), sleep health, BMI, blood lipids, glucose levels, and blood pressure (3). It adopts a novel scoring system, where each component is rated on a scale from 0 to 100, culminating in a composite cardiovascular health (CVH) score within the same range. Based on the total score, CVH status is classified as poor (<50), moderate (50–79), or good (\geq 80) (4). This study applies these thresholds to delve into the connection between LE8 components and ePWV.

Dietary scores were determined using average intake data from two 24-h dietary recalls conducted at the study's outset. Data on physical activity, nicotine use, sleep patterns, and medication usage were gathered through standardized surveys. Dietary scores were graded based on the degree of adherence of the DASH style dietary pattern, and exercise was scored based on the number of minutes of moderate-to-high physical activity per week. Nicotine exposure included traditional smoking or exposure to secondhand smoke, and sleep health was scored according to the average sleep time per night. Body measurements for calculating BMI were taken, with BMI itself determined by dividing weight in kilograms by the square of height in meters. Furthermore, NHANES protocols were followed for measuring fasting blood sugar (FBS) and blood



pressure, and blood samples were obtained to assess lipid levels and FBS.

2.3 Assessment of ePWV

The ePWV value was derived from the participant's age and mean blood pressure (MBP) utilizing a specific equation (14):

$$ePWV = 9.587 - 0.402 \times age + 4.560 \times 10^{-3} \times age^{2} - 2.621 \times 10^{-5} \times age^{2} \times MBP + 3.176 \times 10^{-3} \times age \times MBP - 1.832 \times 10^{-2} \times MBP$$

The MBP itself was computed from diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and systolic blood pressure (SBP) based on a predetermined formula (15):

$$MBP = DBP + 0.4(SBP - DBP)$$

All personnel performing BP measurements were formally trained to follow standardized BP measurement guidelines using validated BP measurement equipment to ensure consistency and accuracy of the measurements. Following the calculation of bilateral ePWV values, the higher value was selected for further examination.

2.4 Assessment of covariates

Information on age, sex, ethnicity, educational attainment, and family income was collected through self-reporting. Ethnicity was categorized as non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Mexican American, or other. Education was classified as college or above, high school or equivalent, and less than high school. The presence or absence of systemic diseases, such as diabetes mellitus (DM), including impaired fasting glucose (IFG) and impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), hypertension, and cardiovascular disease, were recorded as binary responses, indicated by a simple "Yes/No."

2.5 Statistical analysis

For continuous variables, weighted means and standard errors were reported, while categorical variables were expressed in terms of frequency and percentage. The ANOVA test or Chi-squared test were applied to compare continuous or categorical variables across different LE8 groups, respectively. For the univariable analysis of covariates, a univariable model was utilized.

The association between LE8 and ePWV was evaluated using survey-weighted linear regression to identify the β -coefficient and 95% confidence interval (CI). Three models were deployed: an unadjusted crude model, Model 1 (adjusted for age and sex), Model 2 (adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, education, and poverty), and Model 3 (fully adjusted for all covariates, including age, sex, ethnicity, education, poverty, and clinical conditions such as coronary heart disease and diabetes mellitus).

CVH scores were used to divide LE8 values into three subgroups, with the low LE8 group serving as the reference. R software version 4.2.2 was used for all analyses, with a two-sided *p*-value of less than 0.05 considered statistically significant.

3 Results

3.1 Baseline characteristics

The study sample comprised 6,742 participants aged 18 or above, drawn from the years 2011–2018. The baseline characteristics of the study population, divided by LE8 levels according to their scores, are presented in Table 1. The mean age (standard deviation, SD) of the participants was 48.30 ± 0.35 years, with males making up 48% of the participants (weighted percentage=3,236). The population had a mean LE8 score (SD) of 68.68 ± 0.37 and a mean ePWV (SD) of 8.18 ± 0.04 .

Compared to those with low LE8 scores, individuals with moderate or high LE8 scores were typically younger, more likely to be female, wealthier, more educated, and had a lower prevalence of cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, and hypertension. Moreover, those with moderate or high LE8 scores exhibited lower ePWV.

3.2 Association between LE8 scores and ePWV

The multiple univariable analysis displayed in Table 2 revealed significant associations between ePWV and various factors, including age, poverty, ethnicity, educational attainment, and LE8 score. The relationship between LE8 scores and ePWV was further investigated using survey-weighted linear regression.

In the unadjusted model, participants with high LE8 levels had significantly lower ePWV (β , -0.83; 95% CI -1.02, -0.64; p < 0.0001) compared to those with moderate (β , -2.01; 95% CI, -2.19, -1.84, p < 0.0001) and low LE8 levels, as demonstrated by the scatter plot presented in the Supplementary materials, a negative association is observed between the LE8 scores and ePWV (Supplementary Figure S1). This trend persisted even after controlling for age and sex in Model 1, and additional adjustments for ethnicity, education, and poverty in Model 2, as well as comorbidities like cardiovascular disease and diabetes mellitus in Model 3.

Although the association was somewhat weakened after multivariable adjustment in Model 3, individuals with moderate and high LE8 scores still displayed a reduced ePWV (β , -0.17; 95% CI -0.28, -0.06; p < 0.0001 and β , -0.44; 95% CI -0.56, -0.32, p < 0.0001, respectively) compared to those in the low LE8 group. The P for trend was less than 0.0001 across all models (Table 3).

Based on the RCS (Restricted Cubic Splines) curve analysis provided in Figure 2, the decreasing linear relationship between LE8 and ePWV was seen, demonstrated that with an increase in LE8, there is a tendency for PWV to decrease, suggesting that higher LE8 correlates with lower arterial stiffness and potentially better vascular health. The LE8 and ePWV association exhibits significant nonlinear relationship (p < 0.05), particularly among individuals with higher LE8 (score > 67.5), further supporting the critical role of lifestyle

Characteristics	Overall		<i>p</i> value		
		Low < 50	Moderate (50,80)	$High \ge 80$	
Number	6,742	873	4,428	1,441	
LE8	68.68 (0.37)	42.09 (0.27)	66.14 (0.17)	86.94 (0.19)	< 0.0001
ePWV	8.18 (0.04)	9.22 (0.08)	8.39 (0.05)	7.20 (0.06)	< 0.0001
Age	48.30 (0.35)	55.06 (0.63)	49.63 (0.43)	41.83 (0.57)	< 0.0001
Poverty	2.98 (0.06)	2.22 (0.09)	2.93 (0.06)	3.41 (0.07)	< 0.0001
Sex					< 0.0001
Male	3,236 (48)	411 (45.96)	2,247 (50.63)	578 (41.16)	
Female	3,506 (52)	462 (54.04)	2,181 (49.37)	863 (58.84)	
Race/Ethnicity					< 0.0001
Non-Hispanic White	2,789 (41.37)	371 (65.61)	1839 (67.85)	579 (68.61)	
Non-Hispanic Black	1,418 (21.03)	245 (15.74)	991 (11.65)	182 (6.69)	
Mexican American	870 (12.9)	106 (7.79)	583 (7.75)	181 (7.94)	
Other Races	1,665 (24.7)	151 (10.87)	1,015 (12.75)	499 (16.76)	
Education level					< 0.0001
College or above	3,967 (58.84)	361 (43.22)	2,510 (62.37)	1,096 (80.43)	
High School or equivalent	1,475 (21.88)	247 (33.16)	1,027 (23.64)	201 (13.46)	
Less than high school	1,300 (19.28)	265 (23.62)	891 (13.99)	144 (6.11)	
Cardiovascular disease					< 0.0001
No	5,974 (88.61)	649 (76.70)	3,928 (90.39)	1,397 (97.34)	
Yes	768 (11.39)	224 (23.30)	500 (9.61)	44 (2.66)	
Diabetes mellitus					< 0.0001
No	4,106 (60.9)	247 (31.78)	2,600 (63.49)	1,259 (89.50)	
IFG	689 (10.22)	111 (15.15)	509 (12.61)	69 (4.42)	
IGT	478 (7.09)	56 (6.51)	343 (6.91)	79 (4.34)	
DM	1,469 (21.79)	459 (46.57)	976 (16.99)	34 (1.73)	
Hypertension					< 0.0001
No	5,478 (81.25)	515 (62.29)	3,555 (82.66)	1,408 (98.50)	

358 (37.71)

improvements and health risk factor management for primary prevention in reducing vascular risk.

1,264 (18.75)

3.3 Subgroup analyses

Yes

Table 4 presents the subgroup analyses, which suggest variations in the association between LE8 scores and ePWV across different age categories (< 60 years versus \geq 60 years) and genders, with the P for trend being <0.0001, =0.016, <0.0001, and <0.0001, respectively. Notably, the influence of LE8 scores on ePWV was more noticeable in participants aged under 60 years.

In addition, when conducting a subgroup analysis based on the history of hypertension, cardiovascular disease (CVD), and metabolic diseases (MD), there were significant inverse relationships between LE8 scores and ePWV, particularly with a strong association observed in adults who did not have these conditions (all P for trend <0.0001).

4 Discussion

873 (17.34)

Our study examined a nationally representative cohort of US adults and discovered a significant association between the recently devised LE8 metric, a measure of cardiovascular health (CVH), and estimated pulse wave velocity (ePWV). We found an inverse correlation between LE8 and ePWV, suggesting that LE8 could serve as a helpful tool for understanding ePWV and, by extension, arterial stiffness.

33 (1.50)

At present, ePWV is the most extensively researched index of arterial stiffness and satisfies most of the strict criteria required for a clinically relevant biomarker (16). Such research can lead to new theories about vascular aging that can be evaluated in meticulously planned prospective studies using more sophisticated vascular measures (17). This implies that ePWV could be employed to assess blood pressure in large-scale epidemiological cohort studies. To our knowledge, this is the first study to explore the relationship between the newly introduced LE8 metric and ePWV in US adults.

Recognized cardiovascular risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, obesity, and smoking have been linked to arterial stiffness (18, 19). We further investigated the

TABLE 2 Risk factors for ePWV in adults in NHANES 2011-2018.

Variable	β (95% CI)	p value					
LE8	-0.05 (-0.05, -0.04)	<0.0001					
LE8_group							
Low	0 (reference)						
Moderate	-0.83 (-1.02, -0.64)	<0.0001					
High	-2.01 (-2.19, -1.84)	<0.0001					
Age	0.11 (0.11, 0.11)	< 0.0001					
Sex							
Male	0 (reference)						
Female	-0.06 (-0.16, 0.05)	0.29					
Poverty	0.09 (0.04, 0.13)	<0.001					
Race/Ethnicity		·					
Non-Hispanic White	0 (reference)						
Non-Hispanic Black	-0.33 (-0.49, -0.17)	<0.0001					
Mexican American	-0.99 (-1.18, -0.80)	<0.0001					
Other Races	-0.75 (-0.91, -0.59)	<0.0001					
Education level							
College or above	0 (reference)						
High School or equivalent	0.33(0.13, 0.53)	0.002					
Less than high school	0.37(0.19, 0.55)	< 0.001					
Cardiovascular disease							
No	0 (reference)						
Yes	2.23(2.05, 2.42)	< 0.0001					
Diabetes mellitus							
No	0 (reference)						
IFG	1.26 (1.06, 1.45)	< 0.0001					
IGT	1.15 (0.89, 1.41)	<0.0001					
DM	1.91 (1.74, 2.08)	< 0.0001					
Hypertension							
No	0 (reference)						
Yes	2.79 (2.64, 2.94)	< 0.0001					

cumulative impact of these risk factors on the progression of arterial stiffness. In our research, we observed a negative linear relationship between ePWV and LE8 scores, which persisted even after accounting for age, sex, race, education level, and history of hypertension, cardiovascular disease (CVD), and metabolic diseases (MD). Higher levels of CVH may help reduce CVD-related morbidity and mortality, possibly by slowing down the process of arterial stiffness. To our knowledge, several studies have investigated the relationship between LE8 and life expectancy.

Arterial stiffness is an early and reversible stage of CVD and an important link in the chain of cardiovascular events. Cardiovascular risk factors (e.g., smoking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and hypercholesterolemia) independently predict CVD development (20). In addition to strengthening the targeting of traditional cardiovascular risk factors, studies have shown that lifestyle improvements (e.g., aerobic exercise, weight loss, sodium restriction, and other preventive measures targeting risk factors) can help slow the progression of arterial stiffness, thereby effectively reducing the incidence of CVD.

Previous research has indicated that diabetes and metabolic shifts predict arterial stiffness in a dose-responsive manner (21). Chronic hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia enhance the activity of the local renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, modifying the mechanical attributes of the arterial wall tissue. Persistent elevation of mean blood pressure also results in arterial wall thickening, primarily in the middle layer. A J-shaped correlation exists between alcohol consumption and ePWV, and among diet-related factors, salt intake may have the most substantial effect on vascular stiffness (22). Current dietary levels of salt supplementation lead to a



RCS (Restricted Cubic Splines) curve analysis predicting relationship between LE8 and ePWV.

TABLE 3	Survey	-weighted	association	between	LE8 with	ePWV	in the	study p	opulation.
---------	--------	-----------	-------------	---------	----------	------	--------	---------	------------

Variable	β (95%Cl)									
	Crude model M			el 1 Model 2			Model 3	Model 3		
LE8	β (95%CI)	p value	β (95%CI)	p value	β (95%CI)	p value	β (95%CI)	p value		
Low	0 (reference)		0 (reference)		0 (reference)		0 (reference)			
Moderate	-0.83 (-1.02, -0.64)	< 0.0001	-0.27 (-0.37, -0.17)	< 0.0001	-0.22 (-0.32, -0.12)	< 0.0001	-0.17 (-0.28, -0.06)	0.004		
High	-2.01 (-2.19, -1.84)	<0.0001	-0.61 (-0.72, -0.51)	< 0.0001	-0.52 (-0.62, -0.41)	< 0.0001	-0.44 (-0.56, -0.32)	<0.0001		
p for trend		<0.0001		< 0.0001		< 0.0001		< 0.0001		

Crude model: adjusted for none. Model 1: adjusted for age and sex. Model 2: adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, education level and poverty. Model 3: adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, education level, poverty, history of cardiovascular disease and diabetes mellitus.

Subgroups		LE8							
	Low	Moderate	<i>p</i> value	High	p value				
Age									
<60	0 (reference)	-0.802 (-0.958, -0.646)	<0.0001	-1.547 (-1.714, -1.379)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001			
>=60	0 (reference)	0.133 (-0.129, 0.394)	0.314	-0.44 (-0.757, -0.123)	0.007	0.016			
Sex									
Male	0 (reference)	-1.073 (-1.293, -0.852)	<0.0001	-2.013 (-2.241, -1.785)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001			
Female	0 (reference)	-0.678 (-0.951, -0.405)	<0.0001	-2.054 (-2.310, -1.798)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001			
HBP			·						
No	0 (reference)	-0.559 (-0.725, -0.392)	<0.0001	-1.402 (-1.604, -1.200)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001			
Yes	0 (reference)	0.027 (-0.236, 0.290)	0.837	1.204 (0.374, 2.035)	0.005	0.166			
CVD									
No	0 (reference)	-0.852 (-1.051, -0.653)	< 0.0001	-1.913 (-2.087, -1.739)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001			
Yes	0 (reference)	0.192 (-0.201, 0.586)	0.331	-0.628 (-1.222, -0.033)	0.039	0.58			
DM									
No	0 (reference)	-0.735 (-1.047, -0.422)	<0.0001	-1.638 (-1.907, -1.369)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001			
IFG	0 (reference)	-0.225 (-0.692, 0.242)	0.338	-0.794 (-1.529,-0.059)	0.035	0.047			
IGT	0 (reference)	0.023 (-0.648, 0.694)	0.945	0.214 (-0.550, 0.978)	0.574	0.512			
DM	0 (reference)	-0.132(-0.422, 0.158)	0.365	-1.007 (-1.777, -0.237)	0.011	0.102			

TABLE 4 Subgroups analyses.

Adjusted for ethnicity, education level and poverty. HBP, hypertension; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DM, diabetes millitus.

dose-dependent rise in blood pressure (23). One study noted that salt-sensitive rats on a high-salt diet displayed increased vascular stiffness and altered arterial wall composition weeks before blood pressure increased (24). These lifestyle and risk factors eventually result in changes in endothelial function, smooth muscle tone, vascular intima-media thickness, and the composition of collagen, fibronectin, hyaluronic acid, and elastin.

Compared to LS7, LE8 incorporates sleep as a factor. A metaanalysis showed that both short and long sleep durations were associated with ePWV compared to the recommended sleep duration (25). In subgroup analyses, meaningful associations were found between short sleep duration and elevated PWV in adults with cardiometabolic diseases, and between long sleep duration and elevated PWV in older adults. These results suggest that both short and long sleep durations may contribute to subclinical CVD. Hence, LE8 offers more value than LS7 in assessing CVH and predicting CVD risk. Moreover, ePWV, which can noninvasively detect arterial stiffness, is convenient, quick, economical, and highly valuable in clinical applications.

Our study's strengths include the use of nationally representative data, a new LE8 score to examine the relationship between ePWV and life expectancy among adults in the US, and stratified analyses by age, gender, and clinical disease subgroups. This study found a negative relationship between the LE8 score and ePWV, notably among adults under 60 and those without hypertension, cardiovascular disease, or diabetes. This finding provides a further basis for the development of cardiovascular health assessment and preventive measures, and the LE8 score can be used as an indicator to assess the risk of vascular stiffness, helping in the early identification of people at high risk for cardiovascular disease. The study, however, has some limitations. First, lifestyle factors, such as diet and sleep duration, were self-reported in the NHANES, which inevitably leads to measurement errors. Future studies could improve data quality through objective measures (e.g. using wearable devices to monitor sleep and activity levels, or optimizing food questionnaires and validated by professionals). Second, as ePWV information was only available at baseline, potential changes in ePWV during the follow-up period were not considered. Further studies are needed to evaluate the relationship between PWV changes and health outcomes. Third, in the analyses of the LE8 score, each metric closely mirrored the risk factor for arterial stiffness, estimated by ePWV. Fourth, e-cigarette information wasn't included in the LE8 score calculation, as it was only available post-2013, possibly leading to a slight overestimation of the LE8 score. With the increasing popularity of e-cigarette use, future research should incorporate e-cigarette use in cardiovascular health assessments to more accurately assess the impact of tobacco use on cardiovascular health. Furthermore, future studies are needed to overcome existing limitations through long-term tracking and using objective measures of health indicators, and to explore the relationship of multidimensional data (e. g. genetic information, environmental exposures, etc.) to cardiovascular health that can provide deeper insights. While further exploration of customized interventions based on LE8 scores, such as targeted lifestyle modification recommendations, can verify whether improving LE8 scores can significantly reduce cardiovascular outcomes. Through these research findings and practice improvements, we can better understand and respond to CVD prevention, especially through early intervention to improve the overall public cardiovascular health and lifestyle improvement.

5 Conclusion

To summarize, our research findings reveal that in the US adult population, LE8 exhibits a significant and inverse relationship with ePWV. This suggests that enhancing levels of cardiovascular health (CVH) could effectively decelerate the development and progression of vascular aging indicators, notably arterial stiffness, thereby potentially decreasing cardiovascular disease (CVD) prevalence. The application of the CVH framework in the management of clinical vascular health, particularly in the context of primary prevention of vascular aging, warrants strong endorsement.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that LE8 is independently and negatively associated with ePWV among adults in the US and that increasing CVH levels has the potential to slow the onset and progression of vascular ageing marker-arterial stiffness, thus reducing the burden of CVD. Rational use of the CVH concept in clinical vascular health management, especially for the primary prevention for vascular ageing should be advocated.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found at: https://www.jianguoyun.com/p/ DUuVCk8Q64SzDBjD1sMFIAA; https://www.jianguoyun.com/p/ Dd4TsZoQ64SzDBjJ1sMFIAA.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Reviewed Board of the National Centre for Health Statistics. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

YF: Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SY: Data curation, Methodology, Software, Writing – review & editing. LR: Writing – review & editing. CZ: Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing. MC: Data curation, Formal analysis,

References

1. Kannel WB, Dawber TR, Kagan A, Revotskie N, Stokes J. Factors of risk in the development of coronary heart disease--six year follow-up experience. The Framingham Study. *Ann Intern Med.* (1961) 55:33–50. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-55-1-33

2. Lloyd-Jones DM, Hong Y, Labarthe D, Mozaffarian D, Appel LJ, Van Horn L, et al. Defining and setting national goals for cardiovascular health promotion and disease reduction: the American Heart Association's strategic impact goal through 2020 and beyond. *Circulation*. (2010) 121:586–613. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.109.192703

3. Naman SS, Vibhu P, Nirav P, Ishant Y, Chandan B, Pankaj A, et al. AHA Life's essential 8 and ideal cardiovascular health among young adults. *Am J Prev Cardiol.* (2022) 13:100452. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpc.2022.100452

4. Ma H, Wang X, Xue Q, Li X, Liang Z, Qi L, et al. Cardiovascular health and life expectancy among adults in the United States. *Circulation*. (2023) 147:1137–46. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.122.062457

Funding acquisition, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 82201747) and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant no. 2020YFC2008000).

Acknowledgments

We thank Zhang Jing (Shanghai Fifth People's Hospital) for his assistance with the NHANES database. His outstanding work, the NHANES R package, and webpages make it easier for us to explore the NHANES database.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1388424/ full#supplementary-material

5. Lloyd-Jones DM, Ning H, Labarthe D, Brewer L, Sharma G, Rosamond W, et al. Status of cardiovascular health in US adults and children using the American Heart Association's new "Life's essential 8" metrics: prevalence estimates from the National Health and nutrition examination survey (NHANES), 2013 through 2018. *Circulation*. (2022) 146:822–35. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.122.060911

6. Lee H, Yano Y, Cho SMJ, Lee HH, Kim DW, Lloyd-Jones DM, et al. Associations of ideal cardiovascular health and its change during young adulthood with premature cardiovascular events: a Nationwide cohort study. *Circulation*. (2021) 144:90–2. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.054212

7. Gaye B, Tajeu GS, Vasan RS, Lassale C, Allen NB, Singh-Manoux A, et al. Association of Changes in cardiovascular health metrics and risk of subsequent cardiovascular disease and mortality. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2020) 9:e017458. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.120.017458

8. Spring B, Moller AC, Colangelo LA, Siddique J, Roehrig M, Daviglus ML, et al. Healthy lifestyle change and subclinical atherosclerosis in young adults: coronary Artery

risk development in young adults (CARDIA) study. Circulation. (2014) 130:10-7. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.005445

9. Vishram-Nielsen JKK, Laurent S, Nilsson PM, Linneberg A, Sehested TSG, Greve SV, et al. Does estimated pulse wave velocity add prognostic information?: MORGAM prospective cohort project. *Hypertension*. (2020) 75:1420–8. doi: 10.1161/ HYPERTENSIONAHA.119.14088

10. Yi J, Wang L, Guo X, Ren X. Association of Life's essential 8 with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality among US adults: a prospective cohort study from the NHANES 2005-2014. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* (2023) 33:1134–43. doi: 10.1016/j. numecd.2023.01.021

11. Wang X, Ma H, Li X, Heianza Y, Manson JE, Qi L, et al. Association of Cardiovascular Health with Life Expectancy Free of cardiovascular disease, diabetes, Cancer, and dementia in UK adults. *JAMA Intern Med.* (2023) 183:340–9. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2023.0015

12. Jin C, Li J, Liu F, Li X, Hui Y, Gu D, et al. Life's essential 8 and 10-year and lifetime risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in China. *Am J Prev Med.* (2023) 64:927–35. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2023.01.009

13. Petermann-Rocha F, Deo S, Celis-Morales C, Ho FK, Bahuguna P, Pell JP, et al. An opportunity for prevention: associations between the Life's essential 8 score and cardiovascular incidence using prospective Data from UK biobank. *Curr Probl Cardiol.* (2023) 48:101540. doi: 10.1016/j.cpcardiol.2022.101540

14. Greve VS, Blicher KM, Kruger R, Sehestedt T, Gram-Kampmann E, Rasmussen S, et al. Estimated carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity has similar predictive value as measured carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity. *J Hypertens*. (2016) 34:1279–89. doi: 10.1097/HJH.00000000000935

15. Smulyan H, Safar ME. Blood pressure measurement: retrospective and prospective views. *Am J Hypertens*. (2011) 24:628–34. doi: 10.1038/ajh.2011.22

16. Charalambos V, Panagiotis X, Victor A, Marianne B, Cífková R, Francesco C, et al. The role of vascular biomarkers for primary and secondary prevention. A position paper from the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on peripheral circulation: endorsed by the Association for Research into arterial structure and physiology (ARTERY) society. *Atherosclerosis.* (2015) 241:507–32. doi: 10.1016/j. atherosclerosis.2015.05.007

17. Giorgia F, Fabio F, Andrea S, Silvia C, Salvatore AS. Non-invasive methods for PWV measurement in blood vessel stiffness assessment. *IEEE Rev Biomed Eng.* (2022) 15:169–83. doi: 10.1109/RBME.2021.3092208

18. Boutouyrie P, Chowienczyk P, Humphrey JD, Mitchell GF. Arterial stiffness and cardiovascular risk in hypertension. *Circ Res.* (2021) 128:864–86. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.121.318061

19. Safar ME. Arterial stiffness as a risk factor for clinical hypertension. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2018) 15:97–105. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2017.155

20. He XW, Park J, Huang WS, Leng LH, Yu Y, Pei YB, et al. Usefulness of estimated pulse wave velocity for identifying prevalent coronary heart disease: findings from a general Chinese population. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. (2022) 22:9. doi: 10.1186/s12872-022-02456-5

21. Prenner SB, Chirinos JA. Arterial stiffness in diabetes mellitus. *Atherosclerosis*. (2015) 238:370-9. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2014.12.023

22. Del GR, Maddalena A, Bassetti S, Gabutti L. Association between alcohol intake and arterial stiffness in healthy adults: a systematic review. *Nutrients*. (2022) 14:1207. doi: 10.3390/nu14061207

23. Todd AS, Macginley RJ, Schollum JB, Johnson RJ, Williams SM, Sutherland WH, et al. Dietary salt loading impairs arterial vascular reactivity. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2010) 91:557–64. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2009.28645

24. Komnenov D, Levanovich PE, Perecki N, Chung CS, Rossi NF. Aortic stiffness and diastolic dysfunction in Sprague Dawley rats consuming short-term fructose plus high salt diet. *Integr Blood Press Control.* (2020) 13:111–24. doi: 10.2147/IBPC.S257205

25. Pomeroy A, Pagan LP, Kline CE, Heffernan KS, Meyer ML, Stoner L. The relationship between sleep duration and arterial stiffness: a meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev.* (2023) 70:101794. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2023.101794