Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Ryota Sakurai, Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology, Japan

REVIEWED BY Chen Li, Shanghai University of Engineering Sciences, China Lambert Zixin Li, Stanford University, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jianhong Yang ⊠ 13705743956@139.com

RECEIVED 12 April 2024 ACCEPTED 12 August 2024 PUBLISHED 23 August 2024

#### CITATION

Li X, Wei C, Hu K, Sun J, Gao X and Yang J (2024) Regional differences in the Association of Healthy Aging with the incidence of falls: an analysis based on the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study from 2011 to 2020. *Front. Public Health* 12:1416214. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1416214

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Li, Wei, Hu, Sun, Gao and Yang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Regional differences in the Association of Healthy Aging with the incidence of falls: an analysis based on the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study from 2011 to 2020

Xiang Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Chao Wei<sup>1</sup>, Kejing Hu<sup>1</sup>, Jie Sun<sup>3</sup>, Xiang Gao<sup>3</sup> and Jianhong Yang<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Ningbo University, Ningbo, China, <sup>2</sup>Ningbo Key Laboratory of Neurological Diseases and Brain Function, The First Affiliated Hospital of Ningbo University, Ningbo, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Ningbo University, Ningbo, China

**Background:** Falls frequently occur among the older adult population. In this study, we examined the variations in fall incidence across different regions over time, focusing on the disparities between urban and rural areas among older adult Chinese individuals, Healthy aging is comprised of five dimensions: (1) absence of chronic diseases, (2) good physical functioning, (3) normal cognitive function, (4) active social participation, and (5) absence of depression. Additionally, we explored the relationship between healthy aging and the occurrence of falls in middle-aged and older adults. Falls are defined as events that occurred within the past two years.

**Results:** Among 9,918 participants, 33.8% lived in urban areas and 23.0% achieved healthy aging. In contrast, 66.2% resided in rural areas with 16.5% achieving healthy aging. In 2011, rural residents had a higher fall incidence rate (17% in rural vs. 13.5% in urban); by 2020, the fall rate remained higher in rural areas (19.5% in rural vs. 17.3% in urban). Unhealthy aging (HR = 1.08, 95%CI: 1.00–1.16) were risk factors for falls. Subgroup analysis revealed that in rural areas, unhealthy aging increased the risk of falls. In urban areas, the increased risk of falls associated with unhealthy aging was not significant (Rural HR = 1.11, 95%CI:1.01–1.22; Urban HR = 1.05, 95%CI: 0.93–1.18).

**Conclusion:** Healthy aging may be more strongly associated with a lower risk of falls in rural areas, while this association might be less pronounced in urban areas due to different environmental and social factors. This highlights the need for environment-specific fall prevention strategies and targeted measures for the older adult.

#### KEYWORDS

fall, healthy aging, middle-aged adults, urban population, rural population

# **1** Introduction

The aging demographic is shifting globally, with individuals aged 65 and above projected to increase from 10% in 2022 to 16% by 2050 (1). This trend is particularly pronounced in Asian nations. Data from China's Seventh National Population Census in 2020 revealed that those aged 60 and above comprised 18.3% of the total population, a 5.44% increase from the Sixth Census in 2010 (2), indicating a shift from mild to moderate aging. By 2030, the older adult population in China is anticipated to constitute about 25% (3). Reports from the World Health Organization (WHO) indicate that each year, between 28-35% of individuals aged 65 and over suffer falls, with 4-15% of these incidents resulting in severe injuries (4). In China, falls are the predominant cause of accidental injuries among the older adult, representing 52.81% of all such cases (5). The association of fallrelated injuries in China is approximately double that observed in the United States (6). The repercussions of falls in the older adult include not only death and disability but also a deterioration in daily functioning, which can escalate medical costs and demand for healthcare services, rehabilitation, and support, thereby placing a significant burden on families and society (7). Given these challenges, identifying risk factors for falls and developing preventative strategies have become critical priorities for clinicians and policymakers in geriatric healthcare. Nevertheless, most existing studies on the prevalence of falls and associated factors have relied on cross-sectional designs, which constrains their ability to establish causality or demonstrate consistent correlations with falls (8, 9).

The concept of healthy aging, introduced by the WHO and defined as "the process of developing and maintaining functional ability that enables well-being in older age, "is neither novel nor exclusive but encompasses elements of successful, positive, and optimized aging (10). Research has demonstrated that healthy aging can be associated with a lower need for long-term care (11) and a decrease in all-cause mortality (12). In China, where a significant segment of the population is older adult, the importance of healthy aging is increasingly recognized. Previous research has associated unhealthy aging with conditions such as depression (13, 14); however, the connection between healthy aging and fall risk remains underexplored. As individuals age, they are more susceptible to falls due to deteriorations in normal physiological responses, vision, hearing, mobility, reflex actions, fragility, and prolonged recovery times (15). Additionally, the fear of falling can be associated with further physical decline, including reduced muscle strength and balance, thus heightening fall risk. Factors such as Parkinson's disease, stroke, cognitive impairments, the use of sedatives and antipsychotics, joint diseases, and poor vision have been identified as contributors to impaired balance and gait (16), which in turn increase fall risk. Based on these observations, we hypothesized that healthy aging could mitigate the risk of falls. Furthermore, the residential environment (urban versus rural) influences various aspects of life, resulting in differing fall rates among these populations (17). Numerous studies have investigated these urban-rural disparities in falls (18-20), though the majority utilize cross-sectional designs that do not capture temporal changes. In this study, to generate robust findings acknowledging that falls can recur and are not isolated incidents, the Anderson-Gill model (21) was employed. This statistical approach is particularly effective for analyzing multiple instances of an event over time. This research is significant in that it extends beyond previous studies by incorporating longitudinal data to examine recurrent fall incidence rates among the older adult, thereby enhancing understanding of the factors influencing falls.

The objectives of our study are to: (1) examine the temporal variations in fall rates between urban and rural areas in China; (2) investigate the changing regional disparities in the influence of healthy aging on adult falls within China; (3) analyze whether the risk factors for falls in urban and rural settings vary over time.

# 2 Methods

## 2.1 Study design and population

The China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) aims to collect high-quality microdata representative of Chinese households and individuals aged 45 and above (22). The national baseline survey of CHARLS was launched in 2011 and, to ensure the representativeness of the sample, covered 150 counties and 450 villages across China. A stratified random sampling method was employed to select participants from administrative regions nationwide. The sampling process involved four stages: (a) random sampling of 150 counties from all counties, excluding Tibet; (b) selection of 450 villages within these counties; (c) random sampling of household samples within these villages; and (d) random sampling of individual samples within these households. These samples are followed up every two to three years, and the data are made available to the academic community one year after the survey concludes. Initiated in 2011, CHARLS has conducted follow-up surveys in 2013, 2015, 2018, and 2020, yielding five waves of data. For a more extensive analysis, this current study incorporated data from all available waves, from wave 1 (2011) to wave 5 (2020). The inclusion criteria for our study sample required participants to be aged 45 or older and to have participated in all five waves of the survey. Additionally, subjects lacking information on falls were excluded. A total of 96,628 individuals participated from wave 1 to wave 5. After excluding 4,698 individuals under 45 years of age and 42,340 individuals who did not participate in all five waves, the final sample consisted of 9,918 individuals for each wave. The detailed inclusion and exclusion process is illustrated in Figure 1.

All CHARLS waves were ethically approved by Peking University's Institutional Review Board (IRB number: IRB00001052-11015). Participants signed a written informed consent form.

## 2.2 Variables and covariates

### 2.2.1 Outcomes variables

The outcome variable was defined as falls and non-falls, collected from questions in waves 1–5: "Have you fallen in the past two years?"

### 2.2.2 Independent variables

#### 2.2.2.1 Healthy aging

The primary variable in this study is the healthy aging indicator, which is a binary variable constructed based on five dimensions. The first dimension is the absence of chronic diseases, where healthy older adults are defined as those without such conditions. The second Li et al.



dimension is the absence of disabilities, with healthy older adults defined as individuals who do not require assistance with activities of daily living, such as bathing, eating, dressing, moving across a room, getting in and out of bed, and using the bathroom. The third dimension is normal cognitive function, where healthy older adults in China are defined as those with "normal" cognitive abilities. The fourth dimension of healthy aging is social participation, where active engagement in social activities such as volunteering or employment is considered a positive indicator. The final component of healthy aging is the absence of depressive symptoms, with healthy older adults expected to be free of negative emotions, including feelings of fear, loneliness, or worthlessness in daily life.

- Absence of Chronic Diseases: Healthy aging involves having none of the five common diseases in China: cancer, heart disease, lung diseases, liver and stomach diseases, and diabetes (disease count = 0). The determination of diseases is primarily conducted through interviews, during which respondents are asked about their health status and any existing conditions.
- (2) Good Physical Function: This is assessed through activities of daily living (ADL) and instrumental activities of daily living (IADL). ADL encompasses tasks such as dressing, incontinence management, indoor transferring, eating, toileting, and showering, while IADL covers grooming, using a phone, shopping, preparing food, housekeeping, utilizing transportation, managing medications, handling finances, and doing laundry. Participants are considered to exhibit healthy aging if they have no disabilities in ADL (ADL=0) and no more than one disability in IADL (IADL=0 or 1) (23).
- (3) Normal Cognitive Function: In the CHARLS questionnaire, cognitive function is quantified with a total score ranging from 0 to 21, consisting of the visual-spatial skills exam, the Telephone Interview for Cognitive Status (TICS-10), and episodic memory. Better cognitive performance is indicated by a higher total score (24). In this study, we use the concept of age-associated cognitive decline (AACD) to define cognitive impairment, which requires a score at least one standard deviation (SD) below the age-appropriate norm. AACD is recommended by the International Psychogeriatric Association in collaboration with the World Health Organization (WHO)

as a way to identify evidence of cognitive decline across broader cognitive domains, covering all criteria for estimating cognitive impairment. Respondents are divided into age groups at fiveyear intervals, and individuals with cognitive scores 1 SD below the mean of their group are defined as having cognitive impairment (25).

- (4) Active Social Participation: Engaging in social activities such as volunteering, political activism, athletics, religion, sports, alumni relations, and non-governmental organization involvement is essential to a healthy aging process. Participating in one or more of the above described activities (social activities >1) is referred to as active social involvement (26).
- (5) No depression: evaluated using the Depression Scale (CESD-10) of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies (25). Participants in this study were deemed to have depressed symptoms if their depression symptom score (0–30) was less than 10 (27).

### 2.2.2.2 Control variables

The independent factors in this study were the individuals' physical condition, health habits, socioeconomic position, and demographics. Gender and age (45–54 years, 55–64 years,  $\geq$ 165 years) are examples of demographic variables. Socioeconomic variables encompass a person's marital status (married, single, divorced, or widowed), level of education (illiterate, primary school, junior high, high school or higher), type of health insurance, and pension status, household income (low, middle-low, middle-high, or high). Health behavior factors include smoking (yes/no), drinking (yes/no), physical activity (none/light/moderate/intense), disability (yes/no), life satisfaction (yes/no), Life satisfaction is assessed based on responses to the survey question "How satisfied are you with your life?" Responses are scored on a scale from 1 to 5, where 1 indicates "very satisfied" and 5 indicates "very dissatisfied." These scores are then converted into a binary variable: scores of 1 to 3 are categorized as "satisfied" (yes), and scores of 4 and 5 are categorized as "dissatisfied" (no)., self-rated health (SRH) (poor/fair/good). Household income is determined based on domestic situations, where annual household income within 10,000 RMB is considered low income, 10,000-30,000 RMB as middle-low income, 30,000-80,000 RMB as middle-high income, and above 80,000 RMB as high-income households.

### 2.3 Statistical analysis

Initially, the research population's general characteristics, falls, healthy aging, and control factors were reported using descriptive statistics, which are the frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. The overall features, control factors, and baseline components of healthy aging in urban and rural regions were compared using the chi-square test. Second, from 2011 to 2020, the incidence rates of falls in urban and rural locations were compared. Third, the Andersen-Gill model was used to examine how the incidence of falls is affected over time by healthy aging. A popular model for recurrent event survival time data, the Andersen-Gill model is essentially a straightforward expansion of the Cox proportional hazards regression model (28). It is predicated on the idea that, regardless of whether prior events have happened, the instantaneous risk of encountering an event at a time since study induction stays the same (29, 30). Falls (1 = fall) is the dependent variable in this study. Region, control factors, and healthy aging are examples of independent variables. During the course of the five follow-up evaluations in the study period, falls may repeat more than once. Based on the independent variables and elements of healthy aging, Model 1 estimated the incidence of falls from 2011 to 2020. Subgroup analysis was done in Model 2 to find geographical variations in fall-related parameters. For every model, p-values, 95% confidence intervals (CI), and hazardous ratios (HR) were computed. After removing all missing observations, we first carried out a thorough data analysis for the missing data. Five interpolated datasets were also produced, and multiple imputation was utilized to fill in the missing values. In this study, we employed a Mixed-Effects Generalized Linear Model (MEGLM) to analyze various risk factors influencing fall rates. Fixed effects variables include life satisfaction and unhealthy aging, while region is included as a random effects variable to account for the potential association between residential area (rural or urban) and fall rates. The MEGLM approach integrates both fixed and random effects, allowing us to address the hierarchical structure of the data and control for variability between groups. Stata (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA) and R (RStudio, Inc., version 4.3.1) were used for with *p*-values < 0.05 all statistical analyses, denoting statistical significance.

# **3** Results

# 3.1 General characteristics and healthy aging in urban and rural areas

The baseline features of those involved are presented in Table 1, which includes 9,918 individuals (4,530 males and 5,388 women). Of these, 6,564 people, or 66.2%, were rural residents. In terms of social and individual characteristics, women made up 54.3% of the participants. 46.5% of respondents were illiterate or had only completed elementary school, with a greater prevalence of this tendency in rural areas (52.7% V.S. 34.3%, *p*<0.001). 28.6% of participants perceived their household income as middle-high or above (annual household income  $\geq$ 30,000 RMB). Regarding health behaviors, about 62.2–67.3% of participants were non-drinkers or non-smokers; 31.7% engaged in no or light physical activity. Regarding health status, 96.1% of individuals reported no physical disability.

There were notable variations between the urban and rural participants' levels of education, physical activity intensity, smoking habit, household income, health insurance, pensions, and SRH. The distribution of baseline healthy aging and its elements in urban and rural populations is shown in Table 2. Overall, 18.7% of participants were considered to be aging healthily, with those in urban areas at 23.0% and those in rural areas at 16.5%, demonstrating significant differences among baseline dwelling locations (p < 0.001). Among the five elements of healthy aging, physical function and cognitive function were significantly higher in urban areas. In contrast, there was a considerable difference between urban and rural populations' levels of social involvement and mental health. The Effect of health aging on fall unadjusted model can be seen in the Supplementary Material Table S1.

## 3.2 Incidence of falls from 2011-2020

Figure 2A shows the frequency of falls from 2011 (wave 1) to 2020 (wave 5) in urban and rural areas. In 2011, rural areas experienced a higher rate of falls (17.3%) than urban areas (13.5%). Despite fluctuations, both urban and rural areas saw an increase in fall incidence rates compared to 2011, with rural areas consistently higher than urban areas. The analysis using a mixed-effects generalized linear model indicated that unhealthy aging significantly increases the risk of falls among older adults (p<0.001), and life satisfaction also has a significant impact on fall risk (p<0.001). The detailed results are provided in the attached document. Figure 2B shows the incidence of healthy aging and falls from wave 1 to wave 5. Throughout the follow-up, the rate of healthy aging declined in both urban and rural populations, with urban populations maintaining a higher rate of healthy aging (13.0%) compared to rural areas (8.2%) by the wave 5 survey.

# 3.3 Association of Healthy Aging with falls from 2011–2020

Figure 3 displays instantaneous Cox regression results for all participants (2011–2020) to identify factors influencing falls and HRs. Among the five sub-factors of healthy aging, four are significantly related to falls: higher ADL scores, abnormal cognitive function, poorer mental health, and lower social participation are all significantly associated with falls. Additionally, being male, older age, lower education level, higher physical activity intensity, lower self-reported health status, disability, type of medical insurance, drinking behavior, absence of a pension, lower life satisfaction, lower income level, and unhealthy aging are all significant factors for falls. We conducted an additional analysis of the history of falls in Table S2 of the Supplementary Material.

# 3.4 Association of Healthy Aging on falls by residential area

The subgroup analysis findings are displayed in Table 3. Lower education levels were linked to falls during the course of the research period (2011–2020), with rural regions exhibiting a decrease in fall

## TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of the participants (N = 9,918).

| Variable                    | Category                                   | Total         | Urban         | Rural         | <i>p</i> -value |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Number of participants      |  | 9,918         | 3,354 (33.8%) | 6,564 (66.2%) |                 |
| Age (years)                 | 45-54                                      | 3,591 (36.2%) | 1,285 (38.3%) | 2,306 (35.1%) | 0.013           |
|                             | 55-64                                      | 4,119 (41.5%) | 1,340 (40.0%) | 2,779 (42.3%) |                 |
|                             | ≥65  | 2,208 (22.3%) | 729 (21.7%)   | 1,479 (22.5%) |                 |
| Gender                      | Male                                       | 4,530 (45.7%) | 1,509 (45.0%) | 3,021 (46.0%) | 0.328           |
|                             | Female                                     | 5,388 (54.3%) | 1845 (55.0%)  | 3,543 (54.0%) |                 |
| Education                   | Illiteracy                                 | 4,613 (46.5%) | 1,151 (34.3%) | 3,462 (52.7%) | < 0.001         |
|                             | Primary school                             | 2,147 (21.6%) | 702 (20.9%)   | 1,445 (22.0%) |                 |
|                             | Middle school                              | 2075 (20.9%)  | 871 (26.0%)   | 1,204 (18.3%) |                 |
|                             | High school and above                      | 1,083 (10.9%) | 630 (18.8%)   | 453 (6.9%)    |                 |
| Marital status              | Married                                    | 8,915 (89.9%) | 3,028 (90.3%) | 5,887 (89.7%) | 0.349           |
|                             | Unmarried/divorced/widowed                 | 1,003 (10.1%) | 326 (9.7%)    | 677 (10.3%)   |                 |
| Physical activity intensity | No   | 732 (7.4%)    | 271 (8.1%)    | 461 (7.0%)    | < 0.001         |
|                             | Light                                      | 2,411 (24.3%) | 933 (27.8%)   | 1,478 (22.5%) |                 |
|                             | Moderate                                   | 3,230 (32.6%) | 1,123 (33.5%) | 2,107 (32.1%) |                 |
|                             | Intense                                    | 3,545 (35.7%) | 1,027 (30.6%) | 2,518 (38.4%) |                 |
| Smoke                       | Yes  | 3,752 (37.8%) | 1,196 (35.7%) | 2,556 (38.9%) | 0.001           |
|                             | No   | 6,166 (62.2%) | 2,158 (64.3%) | 4,008 (61.1%) |                 |
| Drink                       | Yes  | 3,244 (32.7%) | 1,097 (32.7%) | 2,147 (32.7%) | 0.999           |
|                             | No   | 6,674 (67.3%) | 2,257 (67.3%) | 4,417 (67.3%) |                 |
| Household income            | Low  | 4,802 (48.4%) | 1,349 (40.2%) | 3,453 (52.6%) | < 0.001         |
|                             | Middle-low                                 | 2,286 (23.0%) | 790 (23.6%)   | 1,496 (22.8%) |                 |
|                             | Middle-high                                | 2,169 (21.9%) | 921 (27.5%)   | 1,248 (19.0%) |                 |
|                             | High                                       | 661 (6.7%)    | 294 (8.8%)    | 367 (5.6%)    |                 |
| Disability                  | Yes  | 391 (3.9%)    | 117 (3.5%)    | 274 (4.2%)    | 0.088           |
|                             | No   | 9,527 (96.1%) | 3,237 (96.5%) | 6,290 (95.8%) |                 |
| Medical insurance           | No   | 584 (5.9%)    | 276 (8.2%)    | 308 (4.7%)    | < 0.001         |
|                             | Urban employee medical insurance           | 792 (8.0%)    | 678 (20.2%)   | 114 (1.7%)    |                 |
|                             | Urban and rural resident medical insurance | 136 (1.4%)    | 75 (2.2%)     | 61 (0.9%)     |                 |
|                             | Urban resident medical insurance           | 325 (3.3%)    | 279 (8.3%)    | 46 (0.7%)     |                 |
|                             | New rural cooperative medical insurance    | 7,925 (79.9%) | 1939 (57.8%)  | 5,986 (91.2%) |                 |
|                             | Government medical insurance               | 129 (1.3%)    | 88 (2.6%)     | 41 (0.6%)     |                 |
|                             | Other                                      | 27 (0.3%)     | 19 (0.6%)     | 8 (0.1%)      |                 |
| Pension                     | Yes  | 3,553 (35.8%) | 1,649 (49.2%) | 1904 (29.0%)  | < 0.001         |
|                             | No   | 6,365 (64.2%) | 1705 (50.8%)  | 4,660 (71.0%) |                 |
| Life satisfaction           | Yes  | 7,697 (77.6%) | 2,619 (78.1%) | 5,078 (77.4%) | 0.411           |
|                             | No   | 2,221 (22.4%) | 735 (21.9%)   | 1,486 (22.6%) |                 |
| Self-reported health        | Poor                                       | 2,648 (26.7%) | 680 (20.3%)   | 1968 (30.0%)  | < 0.001         |
|                             | Normal                                     | 4,944 (49.8%) | 1795 (53.5%)  | 3,149 (48.0%) |                 |
|                             | Good                                       | 2,326 (23.5%) | 879 (26.2%)   | 1,447 (22.0%) |                 |
| Fall                        | Yes  | 1,570 (15.8%) | 452 (13.5%)   | 1,118 (17.0%) | < 0.001         |
|                             | No   | 8,348 (84.2%) | 2,902 (86.5%) | 5,446 (83.0%) |                 |
| Healthy aging               | Yes  | 1852 (18.7%)  | 771 (23.0%)   | 1,081 (16.5%) | < 0.001         |
|                             | No   | 8,066 (81.3%) | 2,583 (77.0%) | 5,483 (83.5%) |                 |

| Variables                     |   | Total         | Urban          | Rural          | <i>p</i> -value |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Ν                             |   | 9,918         | 3,354 (33.82%) | 6,564 (66.18%) |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Healthy aging                 | No                                      | 8,066 (81.3%) | 2,583 (77.0%)  | 5,483 (83.5%)  | < 0.001         |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | Yes                                     | 1852 (18.7%)  | 771 (23.0%)    | 1,081 (16.5%)  |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Components                    | Definition                              |               |                |                |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absence of chronic disease    | Number of chronic                       |               |                |                |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | ≥1                                      | 3,983 (40.2%) | 1,368 (40.8%)  | 2,615 (39.8%)  | 0.36            |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | 0                                       | 5,935 (59.8%) | 1986 (59.2%)   | 3,949 (60.2%)  |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Good physical function        | ADL                                     |               |                |                |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | 0                                       | 8,406 (84.7%) | 2,967 (88.5%)  | 5,439 (82.9%)  | < 0.001         |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | ≥1                                      | 1,512 (12.3%) | 387 (11.5%)    | 1,125 (17.1%)  |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | IADL                                    |               |                |                |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | 0-1                                     | 8,009 (80.8%) | 2,894 (86.3%)  | 5,115 (77.9%)  | < 0.001         |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | ≥2                                      | 1909 (19.2%)  | 460 (13.7%)    | 1,449 (22.1%)  |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | Sum Of ADL And IADL                     |               |                |                |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | 0-1                                     | 8,058 (81.2%) | 2,893 (86.3%)  | 5,165 (78.7%)  | < 0.001         |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | ≥2                                      | 1860 (18.8%)  | 461 (13.7%)    | 1,399 (21.3%)  |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normal cognitive function     | Cognitive impairment                    |               |                |                |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | Yes                                     | 1786 (18.1%)  | 430 (12.8%)    | 1,356 (20.7%)  | < 0.001         |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | No                                      | 8,132 (81.9%) | 2,924 (87.2%)  | 5,208 (79.3%)  |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Good psychological adaptation | Depression                              |               |                |                |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | Yes                                     | 3,181 (32.1%) | 833 (24.8%)    | 2,348 (35.8%)  | < 0.001         |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | No                                      | 6,737 (67.9%) | 2,521 (75.2%)  | 4,216 (64.2%)  |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Active social engagement      | Participation in one or more activities |               |                |                |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | Yes                                     | 4,942 (35.9%) | 1773 (52.9%)   | 3,169 (48.3%)  | < 0.001         |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | No                                      | 4,976 (50.2%) | 1,581 (47.1%)  | 3,395 (51.7%)  |                 |  |  |  |  |  |

#### TABLE 2 Description of the components of healthy aging at baseline (N = 9,918).



risk as education levels increased (HR for elementary, junior high, and high school or above, respectively: 0.88, 0.74, 0.69). Regarding household annual income, its association was notable in rural areas, with fall risk decreasing as household annual income increased (HR for primary, junior high, high school or 189 above respectively: 0.88, 0.74, 0.69). High-income families in urban populations provided

protection against falls (HR=0.82, 95% CI: 0.72–0.93); however, middle-class and lower-class persons did not show any difference in this regard. Regardless of living in an urban or rural area, people with pensions were associated with a lower risk of falling compared to those without pensions. To verify the robustness of the above model results, we conducted sensitivity analysis using blood

| Characteristics                            | Ν     | Hazard Ratio(95%CI) |                   | p-value  |
|--|-------|---------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Region                                     |       |                     |                   |          |
| Rural                                      | 6564  | 1.03(0.98-1.08)     | H=-1              | 0.306    |
| Urban                                      | 3354  | 1 [ref]             |                   |          |
| Age (Years)                                |       |                     |                   |          |
| 45-54                                      | 3591  | 1 [ref]             |                   |          |
| 55-64                                      | 4119  | 0.79(0.74-0.85)     | H <b>H</b> -1     | <0.001   |
| More than 64                               | 2208  | 0.76(0.71-0.82)     | H <b>H</b> H      | <0.001   |
| Gender                                     |       |                     |                   |          |
| Male                                       | 4530  | 0.73(0.68-0.78)     | H <b>H</b> -1     | <0.001   |
| Female                                     | 5388  | 1 [ref]             |                   |          |
| Education                                  |       |                     |                   |          |
| Illiteracy                                 | 4613  | 1 [ref]             |                   |          |
| Primary School                             | 2147  | 0.88(0.83-0.93)     | H <b></b>         | <0.001   |
| Middle School                              | 2075  | 0.73(0.68-0.78)     | <b>1</b>          | < 0.001  |
| High School And Above                      | 1083  | 0.71(0.65-0.78)     | <b>HHH</b>        | <0.001   |
| Marital Status                             |       |                     |                   | 0.001    |
| Married                                    | 8915  | 0.04/0.80.1)        |                   | 0.044    |
| Unmarried/Divorced/Widowed                 | 1003  | 0.94(0.89-1)        |                   | 0.044    |
|  | 1003  | 1 [ref]             |                   |          |
| Physical Activity intensity                | 720   | 4 [5]               |                   |          |
| No   | 732   | 1 [ref]             |                   | -0.004   |
| Light                                      | 2411  | 1.18(1.09-1.27)     |                   | < 0.001  |
| Moderate                                   | 3230  | 1.19(1.1-1.28)      |                   | <0.001   |
| Intense                                    | 3545  | 1.18(1.1-1.28)      |                   | <0.001   |
| Smoke                                      |       |                     |                   |          |
| Yes  | 3752  | 1(0.94-1.07)        | ⊷ <b>⊷</b>        | 0.998    |
| No   | 6166  | 1 [ref]             |                   |          |
| Drink                                      |       |                     |                   |          |
| Yes  | 3244  | 1.24(1.18-1.3)      |                   | ◀ <0.001 |
| No   | 6674  | 1 [ref]             |                   |          |
| Household Income                           |       |                     |                   |          |
| Low  | 4802  | 1 [ref]             |                   |          |
| Middle-low                                 | 2286  | 0.9(0.86-0.96)      | H <b>H</b> -4     | <0.001   |
| Middle-high                                | 2169  | 0.88(0.83-0.93)     | H <b></b>         | <0.001   |
| High                                       | 661   | 0.79(0.73-0.87)     |                   | <0.001   |
| Disability                                 |       |                     |                   |          |
| Yes  | 391   | 1.35(1.26-1.44)     | _                 | <0.001   |
| No   | 9527  | 1 [ref]             |                   |          |
| Medical Insurance                          | 3521  | i [iei]             |                   |          |
|  | E 0 / | 1 Irof              |                   |          |
| No   | 584   | 1 [ref]             |                   | 0.000    |
| Urban Employee Medical Insurance           | 792   | 0.81(0.71-0.93)     |                   | 0.002    |
| Urban And Rural Resident Medical Insurance |       | 0.54(0.47-0.62)     |                   | <0.001   |
| Urban Resident Medical Insurance           | 325   | 0.83(0.71-0.98)     | ► <b></b>         | 0.023    |
| New Rural Cooperative Medical Insurance    | 7925  | 0.77(0.69-0.85)     |                   | <0.001   |
| Government Medical Insurance               | 129   | 1.03(0.81-1.33)     | •                 | • 0.793  |
| Other                                      | 27    | 0.57(0.43-0.75)     |                   | <0.001   |
| Pension                                    |       |                     |                   |          |
| Yes  | 3553  | 0.65(0.62-0.69)     | H <b>H</b> H      | <0.001   |
| No   | 6365  | 1 [ref]             |                   |          |
| Life Satisfaction                          |       |                     |                   |          |
| Yes  | 7697  | 1.3(1.24-1.36)      | - I -             | <0.001   |
| No   | 2221  | 1 [ref]             |                   |          |
| Self-Reported Health                       |       |                     |                   |          |
| Poor                                       | 2648  | 1 [ref]             |                   |          |
| Normal                                     | 4944  | 0.68(0.65-0.72)     | 104               | <0.001   |
|  |       | . ,                 |                   |          |
|  | 2326  | 0.48(0.45-0.51)     | HIH               | <0.001   |
| Healthy Aging                              | 1055  |                     |                   |          |
| Yes  | 1852  | 1 [ref]             |                   |          |
| No   | 8066  | 1.08(1.00-1.16)     | <b>⊨=</b> -       | 0.047    |
|  |       |                     | 0.5 The estimates | 1.5      |
|  |       |                     | The estimates     |          |

TABLE 3 Regional comparison of the time-dependent Cox recurrent models between fall and related factors.

| Variable          | Categories                                 | Urban |             | <i>p</i> -Value | Rural |             | <i>p</i> -Value |
|-------------------|--|-------|-------------|-----------------|-------|-------------|-----------------|
|                   |  | HR    | 95% CI      |                 | HR    | 95% CI      |                 |
| Age (years)       | 45-54                                      | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 |
|                   | 55-64                                      | 0.79  | (0.70-0.90) | <0.001          | 0.79  | (0.73-0.86) | < 0.001         |
|                   | ≥65  | 0.73  | (0.64-0.84) | <0.001          | 0.78  | (0.71-0.85) | < 0.001         |
| Gender            | Male                                       | 0.72  | (0.64-0.81) | <0.001          | 0.73  | (0.67–0.8)  | < 0.001         |
|                   | Female                                     | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 |
| Education         | Illiteracy                                 | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 |
|                   | Primary school                             | 0.85  | (0.77-0.95) | 0.003           | 0.88  | (0.83-0.94) | < 0.001         |
|                   | Middle school                              | 0.70  | (0.63-0.79) | <0.001          | 0.74  | (0.68-0.8)  | < 0.001         |
|                   | High school and above                      | 0.72  | (0.63-0.82) | < 0.001         | 0.69  | (0.61-0.78) | < 0.001         |
| Marital status    | Married                                    | 0.89  | (0.8-0.98)  | 0.022           | 0.97  | (0.91-1.04) | 0.448           |
|                   | Unmarried/divorced/<br>widowed             | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 |
| Physical Activity | No   | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 |
| intensity         | Light                                      | 1.08  | (0.93-1.24) | 0.304           | 1.22  | (1.11-1.34) | < 0.001         |
|                   | Moderate                                   | 0.99  | (0.86-1.14) | 0.864           | 1.30  | (1.18-1.42) | < 0.001         |
|                   | Intense                                    | 1.25  | (1.09–1.45) | 0.002           | 1.17  | (1.07–1.28) | < 0.001         |
| Smoke             | Yes  | 1.00  | (0.89–1.12) | 0.999           | 1.00  | (0.93-1.08) | 0.974           |
|                   | No   | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 |
| Drink             | Yes  | 1.22  | (1.11-1.34) | <0.001          | 1.25  | (1.17–1.32) | < 0.001         |
|                   | No   | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 |
| Disability        | Yes  | 1.30  | (1.14-1.48) | <0.001          | 1.37  | (1.26-1.49) | < 0.001         |
|                   | No   | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 |
| Household income  | Low  | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 |
|                   | Middle-low                                 | 1.01  | (0.91-1.12) | 0.799           | 0.86  | (0.81-0.92) | < 0.001         |
|                   | Middle-high                                | 1.01  | (0.92-1.11) | 0.826           | 0.82  | (0.77-0.88) | < 0.001         |
|                   | High                                       | 0.83  | (0.73-0.95) | 0.008           | 0.78  | (0.7–0.88)  | < 0.001         |
| Medical insurance | No   | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 |
|                   | Urban employee medical insurance           | 0.74  | (0.6-0.91)  | 0.004           | 0.78  | (0.63–0.97) | 0.024           |
|                   | Urban and rural resident medical insurance | 0.48  | (0.38–0.6)  | <0.001          | 0.57  | (0.49–0.68) | <0.001          |
|                   | Urban resident medical insurance           | 0.73  | (0.59-0.91) | 0.005           | 0.92  | (0.68–1.25) | 0.59            |
|                   | New rural cooperative medical insurance    | 0.65  | (0.54-0.79) | <0.001          | 0.82  | (0.72–0.94) | 0.003           |
|                   | Government medical insurance               | 1.10  | (0.8–1.52)  | 0.564           | 0.78  | (0.5–1.22)  | 0.275           |
|                   | Other                                      | 0.64  | (0.42-0.95) | 0.029           | 0.49  | (0.33-0.72) | < 0.001         |
| Pension           | Yes  | 0.68  | (0.62–0.75) | <0.001          | 0.64  | (0.6-0.68)  | < 0.001         |
|                   | No   | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 |
| Life satisfaction | Yes  | 1.28  | (1.18–1.4)  | <0.001          | 1.30  | (1.23-1.38) | < 0.001         |
|                   | No   | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 |

(Continued)

#### TABLE 3 (Continued)

| Variable             | Categories | Urban |             | <i>p</i> -Value | Rural |             | <i>p</i> -Value |
|----------------------|------------|-------|-------------|-----------------|-------|-------------|-----------------|
|                      |            | HR    | 95% CI      |                 | HR    | 95% CI      |                 |
| Self-reported health | Poor       | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 |
|                      | Normal     | 0.71  | (0.65-0.78) | <0.001          | 0.67  | (0.64-0.71) | <0.001          |
|                      | Good       | 0.49  | (0.43-0.55) | <0.001          | 0.48  | (0.44-0.52) | <0.001          |
| Healthy aging        | Yes        | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 | 1.00  | [ref]       |                 |
|                      | No         | 1.05  | (0.93-1.18) | 0.452           | 1.11  | (1.01-1.23) | 0.032           |

[ref], Indicates the reference group in the analysis. The reference group serves as the baseline for comparison, and therefore, no confidence interval is reported for it.

metabolic indicators. The results are shown in Table S3 of the Supplementary Material.

## 4 Discussion

Unhealthy aging raised the incidence of falls in rural regions, according to a geographical analysis of falls and associated variables. In urban regions, this rise was not statistically significant (urban HR = 1.05, 95%CI: 0.93-1.18; rural HR = 1.11, 95%CI: 1.01-1.22). In terms of health behaviors, in rural areas, light to intense physical activities increased the risk of falls (HR and 95%CI for light, moderate, and intense physical activity respectively: 1.22 (1.13–1.35); 1.30 (1.18-1.42); 1.17 (1.07-1.28)). In urban areas, light to moderate physical activity was not significantly associated with falls, whereas intense physical activity was associated with an increased risk of falls (HR = 1.25, 95%CI: 1.09-1.45). Higher self-assessment of health was associated with a lower risk of falls in both urban and rural locations.

In order to better understand the connection between falls and healthy aging, this study examined longitudinal data on the health of middle-aged and older persons in China from 2011 to 2020, paying particular attention to regional variations within the country. The risk of falls is 1.08 times higher in the unhealthy aging people than in the healthy aging group, according to our model analysis, which shows that fall incidence rates are higher in rural areas than in urban areas, while the differences are not statistically significant.

According to the classic definition by Rowe and Kahn (31), successful aging is characterized by minimal disease and disability, high levels of cognitive and physical function, and an active lifestyle in later years. However, as research on aging has progressed, definitions of healthy aging have varied. An analysis of 27 studies on successful aging found that the reported prevalence of successful aging ranged from 0.4 to 95.0% (32). This inconsistency primarily arises from the lack of a widely accepted definition and measurement framework for successful aging. Researchers increasingly recognize that successful aging should be multidimensional, encompassing not only biomedical factors but also social and psychological aspects (33, 34). In 2015, the World Health Organization (WHO) introduced the concept of healthy aging, defining it as "the process of developing and maintaining the functional ability that enables well-being in older age." Healthy aging is not a new concept but rather an inclusive one related to successful, active, and he optimized aging. This paper adopts a comprehensive definition of healthy aging (HA) based on several classic sources and adapted to the context of China (23, 32, 35). The study focuses on five dimensions selected for their relevance to exploring the association of healthy aging. However, due to differences in cultural background and economic development, our current definition may not fully capture the true state of healthy aging. Addressing this issue will be a focus of future research.

In our longitudinal analysis, we found that fall rates among middle aged and older adult individuals in urban and rural region have generally trended upwards. Previous research confirms this, showing that the risk of falls increases with age due to factors such as impaired balance, decreased mobility, and declines in vision and cognitive function (36). In addition, physical and physiological characteristics make older people more vulnerable to unintentional fall-related accidents (37). Therefore, the increase in fall rates among the older adult may be related to the decline in physical function. However, we also observed a decrease in fall rates in both urban and rural populations in the 2020 survey. The CHARLS 2020 survey (wave5), conducted from 2020 to 2022, coincided with the COVID-19 pandemic, during which the Chinese government implemented strict pandemic control measures. For much of this period, people in both urban and rural areas were engaged in home-based activities, resulting in less movement and activity compared to before. This is indirectly associated with decreased activity levels among the older adult, the population's fall rates correspondingly decrease, aligning with our findings on physical activity intensity. Our study found that as the intensity of physical activity increases, so does the likelihood of falls. In our study, 66.2% of participants came from rural areas, and in the CHARLS questionnaire, physical activity includes job demands, entertainment, and exercise. For most participants, the physical activity reported in the survey was related to work requirements rather than regular exercise. Previous studies have found that exercise is associated with a lower incidence of falls (38). However, physical activity and exercise differ in our study, leading to different outcomes. Thus, it is evident in our study that compared to individuals with no physical activity, those with higher intensity physical activity have higher fall rates, especially in rural populations, where many residents engage in farming and other productive activities. Even at an older age, they may assist in family farming activities. Therefore, The Chinese government should enhance public facility construction in rural areas and improve the welfare of the older adult to address reduced physical activity from farming and potentially lower fall rates.

Furthermore, our study found that over the past decade, the fall rates in rural populations have consistently been higher than those in urban populations. One study found that living conditions in rural areas, such as outdoor toilets, uneven rural roads (39), and lack of heating facilities (40), increase the risk of falls to varying degrees. In addition, squat toilets are more common in rural Chinese communities due to cultural norms and financial constraints than sitting toilets. Orthostatic hypotension is a common side effect of standing and squatting, which raises the risk of falling. It has also been demonstrated by earlier studies that falling can be more likely while using a seated toilet (41). In summary, better home environments among middle-aged and older individuals in China, including sitting toilets, clean living conditions, and the use of clean cooking fuels, may be associated with a lower risk of falls (42). More work is required to comprehend the influence of environmental variables (such as rural settings) on the association between behavior and falls in the background of each nation, as the chance of falls depends on the interplay between environmental factors and behavior (43).

Previous research has found that social support not only provides participants with systematic fall prevention training but also is associated with a reduction in their fear of falling (44), which in turn is related to a lower frequency of falls (45). The safety of the living environment, the completeness of facilities, and the friendliness of the community all influence the activity range and fall risk for older adults (42, 43, 46). Timely and appropriate medical care can be associated with a lower occurrence of falls. Easy access to medical resources, early recognition of the need for medical care, and proactive assessments may be related to a lower number of falls and a decrease in their severity (47). To address falls among older adults, communitylevel health education and fall prevention training can be implemented to enhance health awareness and fall prevention capabilities. Promoting suitable fitness activities for the older adult, such as Tai Chi and brisk walking, may improve physical fitness and be associated with a lower fall risk. Improving medical services in urban and rural areas and providing more preventive health services, such as regular physical examinations and fall risk assessments, are important. Strengthening chronic disease management among older adults can help manage conditions and may be associated with lower fall risks. Furthermore, creating safe living environments in urban and rural areas by addressing potential fall hazards, such as uneven pavements and staircases without handrails, is essential. Offering age-friendly renovation services to adapt the living environments of older adults can make their homes more suitable for daily life.

According to our research, older adult and middle-aged people who have less education are more likely to fall. Higher education also prioritizes preserving health, improves medication adherence, and lowers the chance of falls, according to another study (48). Our study also found that good lifestyle habits, such as not smoking, not drinking, high life satisfaction, and a high self-assessment of health, are associated with a lower rate of falls, reflecting the individual's psychological health from various perspectives. Therefore, better psychological health may be associated with a lower rate of falls. Additionally, our study found that higher total household income is associated with a lower fall rate in both urban and rural populations, similar to the association with various types of medical insurance and pensions. By reducing out-of-pocket (OOP) costs, China's social health insurance (SHI) plan efficiently enhances timely utilization of healthcare services, particularly for those with lower socioeconomic level (49, 50). The distribution of service consumption and insurance benefits between the affluent and the poor, however, continues to be a major indicator of the extreme inequality of access to healthcare in China (51). Numerous studies demonstrate that, if socioeconomically better off, patients from wealthy families or metropolitan regions tend to use more healthcare services and gain more from SHI reimbursements than do members of underprivileged communities (52, 53). Overall, although China provides universal medical insurance, there are still significant differences in healthcare access among different socioeconomic groups. Differences in household income, pensions, and types of medical insurance lead to variations in individuals' attention to their health, causing disparities in fall rates between urban and rural areas.

Healthy aging was made a worldwide priority when the WHO and the UN unveiled a 10-year global action plan in 2020, known as the United Nations Decade of Healthy Aging (2021-2030) (54). However, there are no trustworthy instruments or survey methods to quantify the multifaceted and incredibly variable idea of healthy aging. There have been reports of investigations on the creation of the Healthy Aging Index recently (45). As a result, we evaluated the incidence of healthy aging using data from effective aging studies-a notion that is used with more frequency (35). Compared to the 32-35% of persons 45 and older who reported participating in the Korean KLoSA survey (13), our study's wave 5 prevalence of healthy aging was 9.8%, which is lower. A different research with 2,157 participants from five European nations who were at least 70 years old found that 41.8% of them were healthy seniors (55). In comparison, 79% of 3,100 U.S. veterans aged 60 and above reported self-rated healthy aging (56). The variations in the criteria of healthy aging and the demographics seen in the previously stated research might account for these discrepancies. Therefore, a standardized healthy aging tool that considers cultural differences between countries should be used to monitor healthy aging.

Of the five signs of aging healthily, mental health (unadjusted HR = 1.41), ADL (unadjusted HR = 1.32), and IADL (unadjusted HR = 1.32) showed a higher risk ratio for falls than other factors. The state of one's mental health is essential for aging in a healthful manner. Previous studies have revealed that depression symptoms are linked to both fear of falling and falling risk in the older adult (57). Falling is more likely to occur in older people with higher degrees of depressive symptoms, and falling is more frightening to people who are depressed. It's still unclear exactly how depression symptoms and falls are related. Therefore, actively managing depressive symptoms is crucial for fall prevention (58). It need good ADL and IADL to keep one's autonomy and independence. ADL limits have been linked to reduced gait speed and worse balance, which has been linked to an increased risk of falls (36, 59, 60). The results of the current study confirm that enhancing physical function should be a key component of health aging intervention programs (61-64). It is important to take these things into account while creating treatments for healthy aging.

In summary, healthy aging involves multiple aspects, including good physical health, active social participation, and good mental state. In rural areas, healthy aging as a protective factor against the risk of falls may be related to fewer environmental risks and closer community ties. Individuals in rural areas may have easier access to neighbor support, and in smaller communities, the older adult may be more active, maintaining better physical condition and social activities. In contrast, in urban areas, although individuals aging healthily also tend to have a lower risk of falls, this effect was not statistically significant. This may be due to the complexity of urban environments, faster pace of life, and greater environmental risks faced by the older adult, such as traffic and sidewalk conditions, which may weaken the protective effect of healthy aging on reducing the risk of falls. In rural areas, strengthening community support and establishing family support networks can enhance awareness and capabilities among older adults and their family members. Additionally, improving the supply of basic medical services in rural areas is crucial to ensure that older adults have access to timely healthcare. In urban areas, establishing senior activity centers can promote social engagement and physical exercise among older adults. Moreover, leveraging technology, such as health monitoring devices and smart home technologies, can improve safety and quality of life for the older adult.

# **5** Limitation

The study has significant limitations. This secondary data analysis does not include physical environmental factors that may be associated with falls, such as housing, neighborhood facilities, and social capital. Future study should examine how these factors influence regional disparities in depression prevalence rates. Furthermore, there is no unanimity on healthy aging. A thorough analysis of healthy aging found 65 models with varying subcomponents (ranging from 2 to 9). In addition, some frameworks consider depression as a component of healthy aging (35). This study adopted successful aging as the closest notion to healthy aging, which was also employed in the Korean KLoSA study (13). Third, information on falls may be affected by recollection bias. Our yearly survey approach of determining falls may be less accurate than more stringent methods like monthly fall diaries. Finally, the factors used in this study may vary with time. Future research should employ appropriate analytical approaches to examine how changes in healthy aging and other factors are associated with the occurrence of falls. This study recorded only participants' fall information from the past two years and did not capture a complete history of falls or conduct a detailed assessment of fall severity. This simplified measurement approach may have overlooked some aspects of fall-related risks. Additionally, we recognize that social desirability bias could affect respondents' answers, particularly concerning personal health and fall incidents. Some participants may have underestimated the frequency of falls due to reluctance to acknowledge them. Future research could mitigate this bias by employing various data collection methods, such as supplementary reports from family members or caregivers.

# 6 Conclusion

Overall, healthy aging may be more strongly associated with a lower risk of falls in rural areas, while in urban areas, this association may be less pronounced due to differing environmental and social factors. This emphasizes the need to consider the living environment of the older adult when developing fall prevention strategies and to take targeted measures, such as improving urban environmental safety, providing community support, and promoting health activities, to foster healthy aging.

## Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study, https://charls.pku.edu.cn/.

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by Institutional Review Board of Peking University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# Author contributions

XL: Conceptualization, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. CW: Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft. KH: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. JS: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. XG: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. JY: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Zhejiang Province Traditional Chinese Medicine Science and Technology Project (contract No. 2021ZA128), Ningbo Top Medical and Health Research Program (contract No. 2022020304).

# Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine of Zhejiang Province, specifically, the bureau provided financial support for the conduct of our research.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1416214/ full#supplementary-material

#### 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1416214

## References

1. United Nations. (2022). Available online at: https://population.un.org/wpp/ Publications/Files/WPP2019\_Highlights.pdf (Accessed April 7, 2024)

2. National Bureau of Statistics. (2021) Available online at: https://www.stats.gov.cn/ sj/pcsj/rkpc/d7c/202303/P020230301403217959330.pdf (Accessed April 7, 2024)

3. People's Daily Online. (2021). Available online at: http://finance.people.com.cn/ n1/2021/0908/c1004-32221046.html (Accessed April 7, 2024)

4. World Health Organization. (2021). Available online at: https://www.who.int/newsroom/fact-sheets/detail/falls (Accessed April 7, 2024)

5. World Health Organization. (2008). WHO global report on falls prevention in older age. Available online at: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241563536 (Accessed April 7, 2024)

 World Health Organization. (2015). World report on ageing and health. Available online at: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241565042 (Accessed April 7, 2024)

7. Xu Q, Ou X, Li J. The risk of falls among the aging population: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Public Health.* (2022) 10:902599. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.902599

8. Chen H, Huang L, Xiang W, Liu Y, Xu JW. Association between cognitive frailty and falls among older community dwellers in China: a Chinese longitudinal healthy longevity survey-based study. *Front Aging Neurosci.* (2022) 14:1048961. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.1048961

9. Wen P, Sun L, Cheng L, Zhou C, Wang Z, Wang Z. Fall status and risk factors in older Chinese adults: a cross-sectional study. *J Clin Nurs.* (2023) 33:2609–18. doi: 10.1111/jocn.16965

10. Wong RY. A new strategic approach to successful aging and healthy aging. Geriatrics (Basel). (2018) 3:86. doi: 10.3390/geriatrics3040086

11. Yang W, Wu B, Tan SY, Li B, Lou VWQ, Chen ZA, et al. Understanding health and social challenges for aging and long-term Care in China. *Res Aging*. (2021) 43:127–35. doi: 10.1177/0164027520938764

12. Mao L, Yin R, Cai J, Niu M, Xu L, Sui W, et al. The relationship between successful aging and all-cause mortality risk in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Front Med.* (2021) 8:740559. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.740559

13. Nari F, Jang BN, Kim S, Jeong W, Jang SI, Park EC. Association between successful aging transitions and depressive symptoms among older Korean adults: findings from the Korean longitudinal study of aging (2006-2018). *BMC Geriatr.* (2021) 21:352. doi: 10.1186/s12877-021-02250-6

14. Marin IB, Fernandez D, Ayuso-Mateos JL, Leonardi M, Tobiasz-Adamczyk B, Koskinen S, et al. Healthy aging and late-life depression in Europe: does migration matter? *Front Med.* (2022) 9:866524. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.866524

15. Sirohi A, Kaur R, Goswami AK, Mani K, Nongkynrih B, Gupta SK. A study of falls among elderly persons in a rural area of Haryana. *Indian J Public Health*. (2017) 61:99–104. doi: 10.4103/ijph.IJPH\_102\_16

16. Jana D, Sahu M, Paul B, Chakraborty S, Bandyopadhyay L, Das R. Proportion, pattern, and predictors of falls in older adults – a community-based observational study in rural West Bengal. *J Midlife Health*. (2023) 14:130–8. doi: 10.4103/jmh.jmh\_35\_23

17. Park S, Han Y, Kim B, Dunkle RE. Aging in place of vulnerable older adults: person-environment fit perspective. *J Appl Gerontol.* (2017) 36:1327–50. doi: 10.1177/0733464815617286

18. Kwan MM, Close JC, Wong AK, Lord SR. Falls incidence, risk factors, and consequences in Chinese older people: a systematic review. J Am Geriatr Soc. (2011) 59:536–43. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.03286.x

19. Eckert C, Gell NM, Wingood M, Schollmeyer J, Tarleton EK. Malnutrition risk, rurality, and falls among community-dwelling older adults. *J Nutr Health Aging*. (2021) 25:624–7. doi: 10.1007/s12603-021-1592-8

20. Xu T, Han H. Prevalence of falls among the rural elderly-three PLADs of Western China, 2017-2018. *China CDC Wkly.* (2020) 2:877-80. doi: 10.46234/ ccdcw2020.239

21. Anderson PK, Borgan Ø, Richard DG, Niels K. Statistics models based on counting process. New York: Springer Science and Business Media (1993).

22. Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang G. Cohort profile: the China health and retirement longitudinal study (CHARLS). *Int J Epidemiol*. (2014) 43:61–8. doi: 10.1093/ ije/dys203

23. Chu L, Lor A, Moisan MG, Phi KM. Gender disparities in healthy aging: a cross-National Comparative Study in the United States and South Korea from 2006 to 2016. *Int J Aging Hum Dev.* (2023) 96:33–50. doi: 10.1177/00914150221106643

24. Zhou R, Li J, Chen M. The association between cognitive impairment and subsequent falls among older adults: evidence from the China health and retirement longitudinal study. *Front Public Health*. (2022) 10:900315. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.900315

25. Zhang N, Chen F, Wang C, Yan P. Incidence of cognitive impairment after hypothetical interventions on depression, nighttime sleep duration, and leisure activity

engagement among older Chinese adults: an application of the parametric g-formula. *Front Public Health.* (2023) 11:1088833. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1088833

26. Feng Q, Son J, Zeng Y. Prevalence and correlates of successful ageing: a comparative study between China and South Korea. *Eur J Ageing.* (2015) 12:83–94. doi: 10.1007/s10433-014-0329-5

27. Deng Y, Zhao H, Liu Y, Liu H, Shi J, Zhao C, et al. Association of using biomass fuel for cooking with depression and anxiety symptoms in older Chinese adults. *Sci Total Environ*. (2022) 811:152256. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152256

28. Lee J, Sa J. Regional disparities in healthy eating and nutritional status in South Korea: Korea National Health and nutrition examination survey 2017. *Nutr Res Pract.* (2020) 14:679–90. doi: 10.4162/nrp.2020.14.6.679

29. Cox DR. Regression models and life-tables. J Royal Stat Soc. (1972) 34:187–202. doi: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1972.tb00899.x

30. Ozga AK, Kieser M, Rauch G. A systematic comparison of recurrent event models for application to composite endpoints. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* (2018) 18:2. doi: 10.1186/s12874-017-0462-x

31. Rowe JW, Kahn RL. Human aging: usual and successful. *Science*. (1987) 237:143–9. doi: 10.1126/science.3299702

32. Depp CA, Jeste DV. Definitions and predictors of successful aging: a comprehensive review of larger quantitative studies. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. (2006) 14:6–20. doi: 10.1097/01.JGP.0000192501.03069.bc

33. Jeste DV, Depp CA, Vahia IV. Successful cognitive and emotional aging. *World Psychiatry*. (2010) 9:78–84. doi: 10.1002/j.2051-5545.2010.tb00277.x

34. Bowling A, Iliffe S. Which model of successful ageing should be used? Baseline findings from a British longitudinal survey of ageing. *Age Ageing*. (2006) 35:607–14. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afl100

35. Menassa M, Stronks K, Khatmi F, Roa Diaz ZM, Espinola OP, Gamba M, et al. Concepts and definitions of healthy ageing: a systematic review and synthesis of theoretical models. *EClinicalMedicine*. (2023) 56:101821. doi: 10.1016/j. eclinm.2022.101821

36. Campbell AJ, Borrie MJ, Spears GF, Jackson SL, Brown JS, Fitzgerald JL. Circumstances and consequences of falls experienced by a community population 70 years and over during a prospective study. *Age Ageing*. (1990) 19:136–41. doi: 10.1093/ ageing/19.2.136

37. Lu Z, Ye P, Wang Y, Duan L, Er Y. Characteristics of falls among older people-China, 2018. *China CDC Wkly.* (2021) 3:65–8. doi: 10.46234/ccdcw2021.013

38. Franse CB, Rietjens JA, Burdorf A, van Grieken A, Korfage IJ, van der Heide A, et al. A prospective study on the variation in falling and fall risk among communitydwelling older citizens in 12 European countries. *BMJ Open*. (2017) 7:e015827. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-015827

39. Yoo JS, Kim CG, Yim J, Jeon MY. Factors influencing falls in the frail elderly individuals in urban and rural areas. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* (2016) 28:687–97. doi: 10.1007/ s40520-015-0469-2

40. D'Alessandro D, Appolloni L. Housing and health: an overview. *Ann Ig.* (2020) 32:17–26.

41. Wang X, Chen Z, Li Z, Chen B, Qi Y, Li G, et al. Association between frailty and risk of fall among diabetic patients. *Endocr Connect.* (2020) 9:1057–64. doi: 10.1530/EC-20-0405

42. Li S, Cui G, Er Y, Ye P, Xue T, Zhang JJ, et al. Housing environmental factors driving falls among middle-aged and older adults: a National Cohort Study. *Innov Aging*. (2023) 7:igad 121. doi: 10.1093/geroni/igad121

43. Zhang L, Ding Z, Qiu L, Li A. Falls and risk factors of falls for urban and rural community-dwelling older adults in China. *BMC Geriatr.* (2019) 19:379. doi: 10.1186/s12877-019-1391-9

44. Gallo E, Stelmach M, Frigeri F, Ahn DH. Determining whether a dosage-specific and individualized home exercise program with consults reduces fall risk and falls in community-dwelling older adults with difficulty walking: a randomized control trial. *J Geriatr Phys Ther.* (2018) 41:161–72. doi: 10.1519/JPT.00000000000114

45. Kendrick D, Kumar A, Carpenter H, Zijlstra GA, Skelton DA, Cook JR, et al. Exercise for reducing fear of falling in older people living in the community. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2014) 11:CD009848. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD009848.pub2

46. Lee H, Lim JH. Living alone, environmental hazards, and falls among U.S. older adults. *Innov Aging*. (2023) 7:igad 055. doi: 10.1093/geroni/igad055

47. Dormosh N, Abu-Hanna A, Calixto I, Schut MC, Heymans MW, van der Velde N. Topic evolution before fall incidents in new fallers through natural language processing of general practitioners' clinical notes. *Age Ageing.* (2024) 53:afae016. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afae016

48. Maitra S. Can patient self-management explain the health gradient? Goldman and Smith's "can patient self-management help explain the SES health gradient?" (2002) revisited. *Soc Sci Med.* (2010) 70:802–12; discussion 13-5. doi: 10.1016/j. socscimed.2009.08.043

49. Tao W, Zeng Z, Dang H, Li P, Chuong L, Yue D, et al. Towards universal health coverage: achievements and challenges of 10 years of healthcare reform in China. *BMJ Glob Health*. (2020) 5:e002087. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2019-002087

50. Fang H, Eggleston K, Hanson K, Wu M. Enhancing financial protection under China's social health insurance to achieve universal health coverage. *BMJ*. (2019) 365:l2378. doi: 10.1136/bmj.l2378

51. Liu Y, Hao Y, Lu ZN. Health shock, medical insurance and financial asset allocation: evidence from CHFS in China. *Health Econ Rev.* (2022) 12:52. doi: 10.1186/s13561-022-00400-z

52. Li J, Shi L, Liang H, Ding G, Xu L. Urban-rural disparities in health care utilization among Chinese adults from 1993 to 2011. *BMC Health Serv Res.* (2018) 18:102. doi: 10.1186/s12913-018-2905-4

53. Yan X, Liu Y, Cai M, Liu Q, Xie X, Rao K. Trends in disparities in healthcare utilisation between and within health insurances in China between 2008 and 2018: a repeated cross-sectional study. *Int J Equity Health.* (2022) 21:30. doi: 10.1186/s12939-022-01633-4

54. World Health Organization (2020). United Nations decade of healthy ageing (2021–2030): Plan of action. Available online at: https://www.who.int/initiatives/decade-of-healthy-ageing (Accessed April 11, 2024)

55. Schietzel S, Chocano-Bedoya PO, Sadlon A, Gagesch M, Willett WC, Orav EJ, et al. Prevalence of healthy aging among community dwelling adults age 70 and older from five European countries. *BMC Geriatr.* (2022) 22:174. doi: 10.1186/s12877-022-02755-8

56. Pietrzak RH, Levy BR, Tsai J, Southwick SM. Successful aging in older US veterans: results from the 2019-2020 National Health and resilience in veterans study. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. (2021) 29:251–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2020.08.006

57. Kitayuguchi J, Abe T, Okuyama K, Gomi T, Okada S, Shiwaku K, et al. Association between a hilly neighborhood environment and falls among rural older adults: a cross-sectional study. *J Rural Med.* (2021) 16:214–21. doi: 10.2185/jrm.2021-028

58. Wei TS, Liu PT, Chang LW, Liu SY. Gait asymmetry, ankle spasticity, and depression as independent predictors of falls in ambulatory stroke patients. *PLoS One*. (2017) 12:e0177136. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0177136

59. Nagarkar A, Kulkarni S. Association between daily activities and fall in older adults: an analysis of longitudinal ageing study in India (2017-18). *BMC Geriatr.* (2022) 22:203. doi: 10.1186/s12877-022-02879-x

60. Mamikonian-Zarpas A, Lagana L. The relationship between older Adults' risk for a future fall and difficulty performing activities of daily living. *J Aging Gerontol.* (2015) 3:8–16. doi: 10.12974/2309-6128.2015.03.01.2

61. Merchant RA, Tsoi CT, Tan WM, Lau W, Sandrasageran S, Arai H. Communitybased peer-led intervention for healthy ageing and evaluation of the 'HAPPY' program. *J Nutr Health Aging*. (2021) 25:520–7. doi: 10.1007/s12603-021-1606-6

62. Hsu HC, Kuo T, Lin JP, Hsu WC, Yu CW, Chen YC, et al. A cross-disciplinary successful aging intervention and evaluation: comparison of person-to-person and digital-assisted approaches. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2018) 15:913. doi: 10.3390/ ijerph15050913

63. Hsu HC, Chuang SH, Hsu SW, Tung HJ, Chang SC, Lee MM, et al. Evaluation of a successful aging promotion intervention program for middle-aged adults in Taiwan. *Glob Health Promot.* (2019) 26:81–90. doi: 10.1177/1757975917702087

64. Andresen EM, Malmgren JA, Carter WB, Patrick DL. Screening for depression in well older adults: evaluation of a short form of the CES-D (Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale). *Am J Prev Med.* (1994) 10:77–84. doi: 10.1016/S0749-3797(18)30622-6