Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Warren G. Darling, The University of Iowa, United States

REVIEWED BY Beatriz Fernandes, Escola Superior de Tecnologia da Saúde de Lisboa (ESTeSL), Portugal Yi Sub Kwak, Dong-Eui University, Republic of Korea Gustavo Christofoletti, Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil

*CORRESPONDENCE Chengbo Yang ⊠ Yangchengbo@cdsu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 03 June 2024 ACCEPTED 24 October 2024 PUBLISHED 11 November 2024

CITATION

Cui Z, Xiong J, Li Z and Yang C (2024) Tai chi improves balance performance in healthy older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Public Health* 12:1443168. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1443168

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Cui, Xiong, Li and Yang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Tai chi improves balance performance in healthy older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Zhibo Cui, Jin Xiong, Zhihua Li and Chengbo Yang*

School of Sport and Training, Chengdu Sport University, Chengdu, China

Background: Previous research has indicated that tai chi exercise can effectively enhance balance performance in patients; however, its impact on healthy individuals remains uncertain. Therefore, this meta-analysis aims to investigate the effects of different intensities and styles of tai chi exercise on the balance performance of healthy older adult individuals.

Methods: A targeted search method was employed to identify studies investigating the impact of tai chi exercise on balance in older adults across a range of databases, including Web of Science, PubMed, Cochrane Central, EBSCO, CHKI, and Embase. The studies were conducted in accordance with the PRISMA and PERSIST guidelines, and two independent reviewers were responsible for the search, screening of results, extraction of data, and assessment of study quality. A random-effects model was employed to calculate the weighted mean difference (WMD) and 95% confidence interval (CI).

Results: 2000 participants who met inclusion criteria were included in analyses across 28 trials. The findings indicated that tai chi can enhance the balance performance of healthy older adults, as demonstrated by the Timed Up and Go test (WMD = -1.04, 95% CI: -1.36 to -0.72, p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 71\%$), functional Reach test (FR) (WMD = 2.81, 95% CI: 1.60 to 4.02, p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 56\%$), and Berg Balance Scale (WMD = 2.55, 95% CI: 1.19 to 3.91, p = 0.0002, $I^2 = 88\%$), as well as other balance tests such as SLS (WMD = 5.03, 95% CI: 3.08 to 6.97, p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 85$), and GS (WMD = 0.09, 95% CI: 0.05 to 0.12, p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 54\%$). Subgroup analyses showed that tai chi exercise for both ≤ 12 and > 12 weeks was statistically significant (< 0.01, respectively) for balance performance in healthy older adults, especially for tai chi exercise performed more than twice a week (WMD = -1.03, 95% CI: -1.35 to -0.72, p < 0.00001) and for more than 45 min each time (WMD = -1.11, 95% CI: -1.58 to -0.63, p < 0.00001) tai chi exercise had greater benefits on TUG time, FR distance and BBS in healthy older adults. In addition, compared to Sun-style tai chi, Yang-style tai chi was more effective.

Conclusion: The tai chi exercise positively affects the balance performances of healthy older adults. Engaging in short-term (≤ 12 weeks) exercise for more than two 45-min sessions per week has been found to produce more pronounced effects. The effectiveness of Yang-style tai chi is superior to that of Sun-style tai chi.

Systematic review registration: PROSPERO ID is CRD42024532577 https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/.

KEYWORDS

tai chi, balance performance, healthy older, aging adults, meta-analysis

1 Introduction

As the aging population increases, the significance of preserving balance in older adults is becoming increasingly evident within the realm of geriatric health (1). Even among older adults who are considered healthy, balance may decline with age (2). The decline in balance not only heightens the likelihood of falls but also predisposes individuals to a variety of physical injuries. The 2021 report from the World Health Organization indicates that falls are the second most common cause of unintentional injury-related fatalities among the older adult population, exhibiting a notable surge not only in fall occurrences but also in mortality rates, with the greatest impact being observed among individuals aged 60 and above (3). This issue not only presents a significant risk to the physical well-being of older adult individuals but also significantly hinders their mobility and diminishes their overall quality of life (4). Consequently, it is imperative to explore efficacious interventions aimed at enhancing balance in the healthy older adults.

In recent years, the role of physical exercise in improving the balance of the older adult has received considerable attention (5). Among these, tai chi (TC), a traditional Chinese physical activity with a long history and cultural heritage, has been widely welcomed and favored among the older adult population due to its unique respiratory regulation mechanism, soft and smooth movement design, and high emphasis on physical and mental coordination (6). Multiple studies have consistently shown that TC is effective in enhancing the balance of older adult individuals (7, 8). Specifically, TC has been found to decrease the likelihood of falls and improve static balance in older adults (9). In addition, a recent expert consensus also recommends TC as an important part of balance training (10). The practice of TC necessitates the synchronization of respiratory and motor functions, potentially activating brain regions associated with balance maintenance, thereby enhancing overall stability (11). Additionally, TC has been demonstrated to be more effective than other forms of exercise in improving balance in this population (12, 13). Tai chi exercises involve full-body rotations and trunk twists that strengthen lower limb muscles and enhance joint flexibility, aiding older adults in body support and stability (14). These findings indicate that TC is a beneficial and safe exercise modality for older adults seeking to maintain or improve their balance.

However, a comprehensive assessment of the effects of TC on balance in older adults necessitates a more profound comprehension of the definition of balance performance and the methodologies employed for its evaluation. Balance performance can be defined as an individual's capacity to respond and adapt to a range of body movements and external challenges while maintaining a stable center of gravity (15, 16). This capacity is task-specific and involves various components, such as static balance and dynamic balance (17). Furthermore, Shumway-Cook and Woollacott suggest that the effects of TC on balance outcomes may differ depending on the assessment tools chosen (18). Therefore, employing a variety of assessment tools and methodologies is crucial for obtaining more precise and comprehensive assessment results. For instance, the one-leg stand test, the timed rise test, and the step speed test are employed to provide a comprehensive reflection of the balance performance of the older adult, thereby establishing a scientific basis for the effect of TC in improving balance (19, 20).

In recent four review studies have been conducted to assess the efficacy of TC in improving balance in older adults. The studies revealed that TC significantly reduces the frequency of falls in older adults and effectively reduces anxiety about falls in sarcopenia and frail older adults (21, 22). Moreover, these studies highlighted that TC demonstrated clearer effects in reducing fall rates and improving Berg Balance Scale scores compared to other physical activities (23, 24). Although existing research has demonstrated the positive effects of TC on balance in older adults, the majority of studies have focused on specific subgroups with health problems, such as sarcopenia or frailty. There is a dearth of studies involving healthy older adults and a paucity of meta-analyses designed to assess the differential effects of TC on various aspects of balance. The enhancement of balance is a universal concern for individuals irrespective of their medical status. Therefore, this study aims to assess the Weighted Mean Difference (WMD) of TC on balance in healthy older adults by using META analysis and synthesizing the evidence from the included studies and to explore the quantitative effects of TC style, exercise frequency, and duration on these parameters. This will provide a scientific basis for the development of a personalized exercise intervention program to further improve the well-being and quality of life of healthy older adults.

2 Methods

The systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted in strict adherence to PRISMA statement and the PERSiST guidance (25, 26). The PRISMA checklist can be accessed in the Supplementary Tables S9, S10. Furthermore, the entire review process was formally documented and registered in the PROSPERO database, under the unique registration number (CRD42024532577).

2.1 Search strategy

A search of PubMed (n=511), Web of Science (n=1,036), Cochrane Central (n=572), EBSCOhost (n=1,553), CHKI (n=190), and Embase (n=654) databases covering the period from inception to January 22, 2024, was performed published literature. The search strategy was a Boolean logic search with the following search strategies: ("Tai Ji" OR "Tai-ji" OR "Tai Chi" OR "Tai Ji Quan" OR "Taijiquan" OR "Tai Chi") AND (Falls OR Falling OR "Fall Risk" OR Balance OR Gait OR Walking) AND (Aged OR Aging OR older adults OR older adult OR "older people"). Literature was searched without restriction on language and type of literature. In addition, citations for each included study were reviewed to ensure that no relevant articles were missed during the search. The full search strategies are provided in the Supplementary Tables S1–S6.

2.2 Eligibility criteria

The search criteria developed in accordance with the PICO(S) strategy are as follows (27):

- (1) Participants: healthy older adults with a mean age ≥ 60 (28).
- (2) Intervention: any form of tai chi exercise.
- (3) Comparison: the baseline condition of the subjects before the experiment.
- (4) Outcome: balance performance (an ability to maintain the body's center of gravity) (29). The outcome included the single

leg stance, timed up and go test, gait speed, functional reach test, and Berg balance scale. Among these, the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test is a widely utilized assessment tool for evaluating dynamic balance in older adults (30). The test comprises a circuit in which the subject must rise from a chair, walk a distance of three meters, turn around, and walk back to the chair to resume their seated position (31).

2.3 Exclusion criteria

Excluded studies included those without TC exercise intervention, participants under 60 years old, diseased populations, incomplete or missing data, lack of relevant data, duplicate studies, reviews, observational studies, case studies, qualitative studies, and non-eligible publication types like editorials, letters to the editor, erratum, study protocols, and preprint papers. The literature search did not apply any filter or exclusion criteria based on gender.

2.4 Selection process and data extraction

We followed PRISMA statement guidelines when extracting data and selecting studies (32). The articles from each source were integrated into a unified database using EndNote 20 software (Clarivate, The EndNote Team, Pennsylvania, United States), and duplicates were eliminated. Two reviewers (ZC and JX) independently screened the titles and abstracts retrieved from the search strategy. Any disagreement regarding the inclusion or exclusion of studies was further assessed by a third reviewer (ZL). Each author (ZC, JX, ZL, CY) conducted an individual assessment of the full-text articles according to the eligibility criteria and performed the data extraction. When the data could not be extracted, we reached out to the article author to address the issue. Otherwise, the platform was utilized to extract data from WebPlotDigitizer (33). Furthermore, we will offer comprehensive training for new members of the review team who lack familiarity with the software and content areas utilized (34).

2.5 Assessment of study quality

The researchers, ZC and JX, each performed an independent quality assessment of the included studies using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool (35). During this process, consensus was sought through in-depth discussion of any disagreements that arose from the assessment. If there were differences that could not be resolved through discussion, a third reviewer (CY) was consulted to ensure the objectivity and accuracy of the results. The Cochrane Risk of Bias tool assesses bias, which includes selection bias, performance bias, detection bias, attrition bias, reporting bias, and other bias. Each of these domains was rated as low risk, unclear risk, or high risk (36).

2.6 Data synthesis and analysis

Data synthesis and analysis were conducted with the use of Review Manager 5.4 (Cochrane Collaboration in Oxford, UK) and Stata SE V.15 software (Stata Corp). Random effect models were utilized to calculate the weighted mean difference (WMD) and the 95% confidence interval (CI) of TC exercise based on the Mean ± SD in each balance performance outcome (37). WMD can be negative or positive depending on the respective outcome measure. The variability in research studies was evaluated by utilizing the I² statistic and categorized as insignificant (0-40%), moderate (30-60%), significant (50-90%), and substantial (75-100%) (38). We thoroughly evaluated the relevant *p*-values, particularly when heterogeneity was observed in the overlapping intervals (38, 39). If the heterogeneity test yielded a non-significant result, a fixed-effects model utilizing the Mantel-Haenszel method was employed (25). Conversely, in instances of significant statistical heterogeneity ($I^2 \ge 50\%$ or p < 0.1), a randomeffects model was adopted (40, 41). Furthermore, in cases of substantial heterogeneity ($I^2 > 50\%$), subgroup analyses and sensitivity analyses were conducted to further elucidate the findings (42). In the subgroup analysis, we investigated the effects of TC on styles (sun and yang), exercise period ≤12 weeks and >12 weeks weekly frequencies $(\leq 2 \text{ days/Week and} > 2 \text{ days/Week, and duration } \leq 45 \text{ min/session}$ and > 45 min/session) (43). The leave-one-out method of sensitivity analysis entails the removal of each study from the analyses one by one (44). Finally, the potential for publication bias was evaluated through the use of funnel plots and Egger's test (45).

3 Results

3.1 Study selection

As depicted in Figure 1, a total of 4,516 articles were initially searched; as depicted in Figure 1, a total of 4,516 articles were initially searched, from which 2,352 duplicates were eliminated. Subsequent screening of titles and abstracts led to the exclusion of an additional 2045 articles. Among the remaining 119 articles, 18 were identified as conference papers, reports, or reviews, 14 articles not focused on TC exercise, 17 articles involving diseased populations, 7 articles with participants under 60, and 39 articles with incomplete or irrelevant data. In addition, 4 other relevant studies were included from references of previous reviews. Ultimately, 28 articles were included for analysis, with one or more outcomes (11, 46–72).

3.2 Characteristics of the included studies

There is a summary of the main characteristics and results of each of the studies that were included in Table 1. The sample sizes in the 28 articles published from 2005 to 2023 varied from 11 to 453 individuals. 2000 participants were involved in the research, with an average age of 68.24 years. These studies were conducted in diverse geographic regions, including Asia, North America, and Europe, and one study from New Zealand in Oceania. The primary styles of TC examined in the literature were Sun-style and Yang style, with one study utilizing the Chen style and the remaining studies not specifying a particular style. The period of interventions in the studies ranged from 8 to 16 weeks, including 6 studies that extended beyond five months. Exercise frequencies varied from 1 to 4 times per week, with session duration ranging from 30 to 90 min. Outcome measures included time up in 17 studies (11, 46, 49, 51, 52, 57, 59–64, 66, 69–72) berg balance scale in 9 studies (11, 51, 54–56, 58, 66, 67, 70), functional reach test



in 11 studies (11, 46, 49, 50, 59–65), 11 studies (50, 51, 59, 61–64, 66, 69, 70, 72) reported single leg stance and gait speed in 7 studies (47, 48, 50, 53, 56, 64, 68).

3.3 Risk of bias

The Cochrane tool for risk assessment was utilized to assess the methodological quality of the literature included. For random sequence generation and allocation concealment, 23 (11, 47–56, 58–61, 63–69, 71, 72) and 22 (11, 47–53, 55, 56, 58, 59, 61, 63–72) articles were classified as low risk, respectively. Regarding performance bias, 20 articles (11, 47–49, 51–56, 59–62, 64–66, 69, 71, 72) were rated as low risk, while 6 (46, 50, 58, 63, 67, 68) were unclear, and 2 (57, 70) were high risk. For

detection bias, 16 articles (11, 47, 49, 50, 55, 56, 59, 61–63, 67–72) were considered low risk, 9 (46, 48, 51, 53, 54, 57, 58, 64, 65) were unclear, and 3 (52, 60, 66) were high risk. Regarding attrition bias and reporting bias, 21 (46, 48–55, 57–65, 67, 70, 72) and 22 (46, 48–52, 54–60, 63, 64, 66–72) articles were deemed low risk, respectively. Lastly, regarding the other bias, 16 articles (47, 50, 52–54, 56, 57, 60, 62–65, 67, 68, 70, 72) were low risk, 10 (46, 48, 49, 51, 55, 59, 61, 66, 69, 71) were unclear risk, and 2 (11, 58) were high risk (Figure 2; Supplementary Figure S1).

3.4 Publication bias

Trials comprising 10 or more items were assessed using funnel plots and Egger's test (73). The findings indicated the absence of

TABLE 1 Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis.

Study ID	Country	Total	Age	Туре	Exercise period	Frequency	Duration	Mains results
Bubela et al. (46)	American	13	71.2 ± 6.1	TC	16 weeks	3 days/week	60 min/session	13
		14	72.2 ± 2.8	Yang-style TC	0 1		20 / .	
Chen et al. (11)	China	14	75.1±5.5	Yang-style TC	8 weeks	3 days/week	30 min/session	123
Chen et al. (47)	China	12	67.7 ± 0.7	Yang-style TC	16 weeks	4 days/week	60 min/session	4
Ge et al. (48)	China	32	70.2 ± 5.4	Yang-style TC	8 weeks	4 days/week	60 min/session	4
Huang et al. (49)	China	32	71.4 ± 0.5	TC	>5 months	1 day/week	40 min/session	13
Kim et al. (50)	Korea	23	71.4±3.3	Sun-style TC	12 weeks	2 days/week	60 min/session	345
Li et al. (51)	American	115	76.9 ± 4.7	Yang-style TC	6 months	3 days/week	40 min/session	025
Li et al. (52)	China	224	77.5 ± 5.6	TC	24 weeks	2 days/week	60 min/session	0
Liye et al. (53)	China	32	67.9±3.2	Yang-style TC	8 weeks	3 days/week	90 min/session	4
Logghe et al. (54)	Netherlands	138	77.5 ± 4.7	Yang-style TC	13 weeks	2 days/week	60 min/session	2
Logghe et al. (55)	Netherlands	138	76.9 ± 4.5	Yang-style TC	13 weeks	2 days/week	60 min/session	2
Manor et al. (56)	American	29	81.0 ± 5.0	Yang-style TC	12 weeks	2 days/week	60 min/session	24
Marcia et al. (57)	American	199	75.3±8.2	ТС	12 weeks	3 days/week	60 min/session	1
Mortazavi et al. (58)	Iran	27	67.2 ± 5.4	Yang-style TC	10 weeks	3 days/week	60 min/session	2
Ni et al. (59)	American	11	70.3 ± 5.7	Chen-style TC	12 weeks	2 days/week	60 min/session	133
		20	76.5 ± 8.7	Yang-style TC	8 weeks	3 days/week	30 min/session	123
Penn et al. (60)	China	15	75.3 ± 5.2	Yang-style TC	8 weeks	3 days/week	30 min/session	13
Pluchino et al. (61)	American	14	69.28 ± 6.03	Sun-style TC	8 weeks	2 day/week	60 min/session	135
Roberson et al. (62)	Czech Republic	15	76.8 ± 9.4	ТС	10 weeks	2 days/week	60 min/session	135
Sadeghian et al. (63)	Iran	18	65.3±3.6	Sun-style TC	8 weeks	3 days/week	60 min/session	135
Son et al. (64)	Korea	21	72.8 ± 4.7	Sun-style TC	12 weeks	2 days/week	60 min/session	1345
Takeshima et al. (65)	Japan	25	72.0±5.0	Yang-style TC	12 weeks	2 days/week	60 min/session	3
		233	75.3±7.0	Sun-style TC	20 weeks	1 day/week	60 min/session	
Taylor et al. (66)	New Zealand	220	74.4 ± 6.2	Sun-style TC	20 weeks	2 days/week	60 min/session	13
Tousignant et al. (67)	Canada	76	79.1±6.4	ТС	15 weeks	2 days/week	60 min/session	2
Wayne et al. (68)	American	87	64.5 ± 7.4	Yang-style TC	6 months	2 days/week	30 min/session	(4)
Wu et al. (68)	American	22	76.1±7.9	Yang-style TC	15 weeks	4 days/week	45 min/session	13
Yildirim et al. (70)	Turkey	30	62.9±6.5	Yang-style TC	12 weeks	3 days/week	60 min/session	125
Zhao et al. (71)	China	20	70.2±3.9	Yang-style TC	16 weeks	3 days/week	90 min/session	1
Zhu et al. (72)	China	16	67.4±4.0	ТС	16 weeks	4 days/week	60 min/session	13

TC: Tai Chi; ① TUG: Timed Up and Go test (s); ② BBS: Berg Balance Scale (range, 0-56); ③ FR: Functional Reach (cm); ④ GS: gait speed (m/s); ③ SLS: Single Leg Stance (s).

publication bias for BBS (p=0.105) and FR (p=0.217) while demonstrating publication bias for TUG (p=0.022) and SLS (p=0.001) (Figure 3).

3.5 Timed up and go test

A total of twenty studies have reported TUG results. The results of a meta-analysis indicate that TC exercise is associated with improved TUG times in older adults. Heterogeneity was present among the studies ($I^2 = 71\%$, *P*<0.00001), so a random-effects model was used for analysis. The results indicated a positive effect of TC exercise on TUG time (WMD = -1.04, 95%CI: -1.36 to 0.72,

P<0.00001) (Figure 4). After sensitivity analysis, no significant difference was found after sequentially excluding each study or studies with smaller sample sizes. Subgroup analyses showed statistically significant effects regardless of whether the exercise period was ≤12 weeks or >12 weeks (<0.001 and p<0.01, respectively). Specifically, the exercise period ≤12 weeks showed a more apparent effect on TUG time (WMD = -1.09). Furthermore, as exercise frequency increased, TC was more effective in reducing TUG time (WMD = -0.83 and -1.03, respectively). Lasting >45 min/session was more effective than those lasting ≤45 min/session (p<0.001 and p=0.09, respectively). Yang-style TC was more effective than Sun-style TC (p<0.001 and p=0.36, respectively) (Table 2; Figure 4).



3.6 Functional reach test

Eleven studies encompassing thirteen comparisons investigated the impact of TC on the Functional Reach test (FR). Tests for heterogeneity indicated moderate variability among the studies ($I^2=56\%$, p=0.008), necessitating the use of a random effects model for the analysis. The meta-analysis results demonstrated that TC could improve the FR distance in healthy older adult individuals (WMD=2.81, 95% CI: 1.60 to 4.02, p<0.00001) (Figure 5). By sequentially excluding smaller studies, the sensitivity analysis revealed no significant difference. Subgroup analyses showed that tai chi significantly enhances functional reach distance in older adults irrespective of the frequency, exercise period, or style of practice (Yang or Sun) (all p<0.01). However, the intervention effect was notably more pronounced for ≤ 12 weeks than those exceeding 12 weeks (p<0.001, p=0.55) (Table 3; Figure 5).

3.7 Berg balance scale

Nine studies reported on Berg balance scale scores. The metaanalysis results indicated considerable heterogeneity among the studies (I²=88%, p<0.00001), leading to using a random effects model for effect size calculation. The findings demonstrated that TC exercise positively impacted BBS scores (WMD=2.51, 95% CI: 1.21 to 3.80, p=0.0001). The sensitivity analysis was performed sequentially, excluding studies with smaller sample sizes or those whose sample sizes were comparatively smaller. The findings of these analyses revealed that the exclusion of any given study did not yield significant variations in the overall results. Subgroup analyses showed that the performance of TC in improving BBS scores in older adults increased with the frequency of exercise (p=0.12 and 0.001, respectively) (Table 4; Figure 6).

3.8 Other outcomes

For other outcomes, due to limitations in the included literature, only effect sizes were combined (74). Specifically, eleven studies

reported the impact of the intervention on single leg stance distance, including performance with eyes open and closed, while seven studies reported results from the gait speed test (Figure 7). The meta-analysis indicated that TC exercise led to a significant reduction in single leg stance time (WMD = 5.03, 95% CI: 3.08 to 6.97, p < 0.00001) and an increase in gait speed (WMD = 0.09, 95% CI: 0.05 to 0.12, p < 0.00001).

4 Discussion

The systematic review and meta-analysis, comprising 28 studies involving 2000 healthy older adults, sought to investigate the impact of TC on balance performance. The findings indicated that TC exercise has a positive effect on balance among healthy older individuals. In particular, the benefits of TC were more pronounced when the intervention period was equal to or less than 12 weeks, conducted more than two days per week, and lasted over 45 min per session. The results of the sensitivity analyses were found to be consistent with those of the primary analysis. Furthermore, Yangstyle TC demonstrated superior efficacy in enhancing balance performance compared to Sun-style TC. This suggests that TC exercises may be a valuable intervention for improving balance performance in healthy older adults.

4.1 Effectiveness of tai chi on balance performance in healthy older adults

This study aims to elucidate the effects of TC on specific balance performance among healthy older adults. Our findings showed that TC exerts a positive influence on enhancing balance in this population. This result aligns with prior systematic reviews, which have demonstrated that TC improves balance performance and reduces fall risk in this population (75, 76). Cheng et al. performed a network meta-analysis, revealing that TC is the most efficacious among the four traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) physical therapies for fall prevention in older adults (24). Furthermore, Hu et al. (77) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis, substantiating the positive



Publication bias. (A) TUG: timed up and go test. (B) FR: functional reach CI (C) BBS: berg balance scale. (D) SLS: single leg stance.

	Po	st-Tes	t	Pr	e-Test			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV. Random, 95% CI
Bubela et al. (2019)	9.6	4.8	13	10.9	5.6	13	0.6%	-1.30 [-5.31, 2.71]	
Chen et al. (2020a)	8.4	1.6	14	9	1.8	14	3.6%	-0.60 [-1.86, 0.66]	-+
Chen et al. (2020)	6.9	0.9	14	8.7	0.7	14	6.3%	-1.80 [-2.40, -1.20]	-
Huang et al. (2010)	5.94	0.41	31	7.16	0.69	31	7.7%	-1.22 [-1.50, -0.94]	•
Li et al. (2005)	8.27	2.23	115	9.07	2.35	115	6.4%	-0.80 [-1.39, -0.21]	-
Li et al. (2018)	20.86	5.13	224	22.96	5.94	224	4.4%	-2.10 [-3.13, -1.07]	
Marcia et al. (2015)	9.9	6	199	11.2	6.7	199	3.6%	-1.30 [-2.55, -0.05]	
Ni et al. (2014)	6.77	0.46	11	7.75	1.02	11	6.0%	-0.98 [-1.64, -0.32]	-
Penn et al. (2019a)	8.33	1.71	20	9.1	1.94	20	4.0%	-0.77 [-1.90, 0.36]	+
Penn et al. (2019)	8.4	1.6	15	9	1.8	15	3.7%	-0.60 [-1.82, 0.62]	
Pluchino et al. (2012)	8.86	1.76	14	8.25	1.8	14	3.4%	0.61 [-0.71, 1.93]	+
Roberson et al. (2015)	6.1	0.88	15	6.58	1.04	15	5.9%	-0.48 [-1.17, 0.21]	
Sadeghian et al. (2023)	6.5	1.05	18	9.8	1.6	18	5.0%	-3.30 [-4.18, -2.42]	-
Son et al. (2016)	8	1	13	9	1.2	13	5.2%	-1.00 [-1.85, -0.15]	
Taylor et al. (2012a)	8.4	3.3	220	8.5	3.6	220	6.1%	-0.10 [-0.75, 0.55]	+
Taylor et al. (2012)	8.5	3.3	233	9.1	3.2	233	6.4%	-0.60 [-1.19, -0.01]	-
Wu et al. (2010)	8.4	1.2	22	8.6	1.7	22	5.1%	-0.20 [-1.07, 0.67]	-+
Yildirim et al. (2016)	6.5	1	27	7.6	1	27	6.6%	-1.10 [-1.63, -0.57]	T
Zhao et al. (2017)	6.16	0.82	20	7.16	1.23	20	6.1%	-1.00 [-1.65, -0.35]	-
Zhu et al. (2021)	9.28	1.82	20	11.53	1.97	20	3.9%	-2.25 [-3.43, -1.07]	
Total (95% CI)			1258			1258	100.0%	-1.04 [-1.36, -0.72]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.32 Test for overall effect: Z = 0	,	,		(P < 0.0	00001)	; ² = 71	1%		-10 -5 0 5 10
JRE 4									

TABLE 2 Timed up and go test of subgroup analyses results.

Subgroup	Included studies	WMD	95%CI	²	Р
Exercise period	17				
≤12 weeks	9	-1.09	[-1.86, -0.57]	75%	< 0.001
>12 weeks	8	-1.01	[-1.41, -0.60]	60%	< 0.01
Frequency	18				
≤2 days/week	8	-0.83	[-1.19, -0.47]	66%	<0.001
>2 days/week	9	-1.03	[-1.35, -0.72]	73%	<0.001
Duration					
≤45 min/session	5	-0.54	[-1.17, 0.09]	82%	0.09
>45 min/session	12	-1.11	[-1.58, -0.63]	77%	< 0.001
Tai chi style	18				
Sun	4	-0.61	[-1.91, 0.70]	93%	0.36
Yang	7	-0.87	[-1.20, -0.53]	31%	<0.001

Bubela et al. (2019) 30.8 8.5 16 28.8 7.3 16 3.8% 2.00 [-3.49, 7.49] Chen et al. (2020) 24.8 3.8 14 24.3 5.6 14 6.9% 0.50 [-3.05, 4.05] Chen et al. (2020) 32.5 4.1 14 28.7 4.2 14 8.1% 3.80 [0.73, 6.87] Huang et al. (2010) 34.36 1.8 31 28.95 2.56 31 14.8% 5.41 [4.31, 6.51] kim et al. (2019) 32.8 5.2 23 28.8 4.8 23 8.6% 4.00 [1.11, 6.89] Ni et al. (2019) 36.73 1.73 11 33.38 1.83 11 13.4% 3.35 [1.86, 4.84] Penn et al. (2019a) 25.52 4.11 15 23.75 6.47 15 6.2% 1.77 [-2.11, 5.65] Penn et al. (2019) 26.24 6.82 20 24.3 7.4 20 5.2% 194 [-2.47, 6.35] Pluchino et al. (2012) 40.79 9.45 14 40.39 7 14 3.1% 0.40 [-5.76, 6.56] Roberson e	oup Mea	an SD T	Total Mea	n SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Chen et al. (2020a) 24.8 3.8 14 24.3 5.6 14 6.9% 0.50 [-3.05, 4.05] Chen et al. (2020) 32.5 4.1 14 28.7 4.2 14 8.1% 3.80 [0.73, 6.87] Huang et al. (2010) 34.36 1.8 31 28.95 2.56 31 14.8% 5.41 [4.31, 6.51] kim et al. (2019) 32.8 5.2 23 28.8 4.8 23 8.6% 4.00 [1.11, 6.89] Ni et al. (2019) 36.73 1.73 11 33.38 1.83 11 13.4% 3.35 [1.86, 4.84] Penn et al. (2019a) 25.52 4.11 15 23.75 6.47 15 6.2% 1.77 [-2.11, 5.65] Penn et al. (2019) 26.24 6.82 20 24.3 7.4 20 5.2% 1.94 [-2.47, 6.35] Pluchino et al. (2012) 40.79 9.45 14 40.39 7 14 3.1% 0.40 [-5.76, 6.56] 7 Roberson et al. (2015) 82.25 8.1 15 77.04 5.42 15 4.4% 5.21 [0.28, 10.14] <								
Chen et al. (2020) 32.5 4.1 14 28.7 4.2 14 8.1% 3.80 [0.73, 6.87] Huang et al. (2010) 34.36 1.8 31 28.95 2.56 31 14.8% 5.41 [4.31, 6.51] kim et al. (2019) 32.8 5.2 23 28.8 4.8 23 8.6% 4.00 [1.11, 6.89] Ni et al. (2019a) 36.73 1.73 11 33.38 1.83 11 13.4% 3.35 [1.86, 4.84] Penn et al. (2019a) 26.24 6.82 20 24.3 7.4 20 5.2% 1.94 [-2.47, 6.35] Pluchino et al. (2012) 40.79 9.45 14 40.39 7 14 3.1% 0.40 [-5.76, 6.56] Roberson et al. (2015) 82.25 8.1 15 7.04 5.42 15 4.4% 5.21 [0.28, 10.14] Sadeghian et al. (2023) 31 4.63 18 28.1 4.76 18 8.1% 2.90 [-0.17, 5.97]								+
Huang et al. (2010) 34.36 1.8 31 28.95 2.56 31 14.8% 5.41 [4.31, 6.51] kim et al. (2019) 32.8 5.2 23 28.8 4.8 23 8.6% 4.00 [1.11, 6.89] Ni et al. (2014) 36.73 1.73 11 33.38 1.83 11 13.4% 3.35 [1.86, 4.84] Penn et al. (2019a) 25.52 4.11 15 23.75 6.47 15 6.2% 1.77 [-2.11, 5.65] Penn et al. (2019) 26.24 6.82 20 24.3 7.4 20 5.2% 1.94 [-2.47, 6.35] Pluchino et al. (2012) 40.79 9.45 14 40.39 7 14 3.1% 0.40 [-5.76, 6.56] Roberson et al. (2015) 82.25 8.1 15 7.04 5.42 15 4.4% 5.21 [0.28, 10.14] Sadeghian et al. (2023) 31 4.63 18 28.1 4.76 18 8.1% 2.90 [-0.17, 5.97]								-
kim et al. (2019) 32.8 5.2 23 28.8 4.8 23 8.6% 4.00 [1.11, 6.89] Ni et al. (2014) 36.73 1.73 11 33.38 1.83 11 13.4% 3.35 [1.86, 4.84] Penn et al. (2019) 25.52 4.11 15 23.75 6.47 15 6.2% 1.77 [-2.11, 5.65] Penn et al. (2019) 26.24 6.82 20 24.3 7.4 20 5.2% 1.94 [-2.47, 6.35] Pluchino et al. (2012) 40.79 9.45 14 40.39 7 14 3.1% 0.40 [-5.76, 6.56] Roberson et al. (2015) 82.25 8.1 15 77.04 5.42 15 4.4% 5.21 [0.28, 10.14] Sadeghian et al. (2023) 31 4.63 18 28.1 4.76 18 8.1% 2.90 [-0.17, 5.97]								•
Ni et al. (2014) 36.73 1.73 11 33.38 1.83 11 13.4% 3.35 [1.86, 4.84] Penn et al. (2019a) 25.52 4.11 15 23.75 6.47 15 6.2% 1.77 [-2.11, 5.65] Penn et al. (2019) 26.24 6.82 20 24.3 7.4 20 5.2% 1.94 [-2.47, 6.35] Pluchino et al. (2012) 40.79 9.45 14 40.39 7 14 3.1% 0.40 [-5.76, 6.56] Roberson et al. (2015) 82.25 8.1 15 77.04 5.42 15 4.4% 5.21 [0.28, 10.14] Sadeghian et al. (2023) 31 4.63 18 28.1 4.76 18 8.1% 2.90 [-0.17, 5.97]					23			+
Penn et al. (2019) 26.24 6.82 20 24.3 7.4 20 5.2% 1.94 [-2.47, 6.35] Pluchino et al. (2012) 40.79 9.45 14 40.39 7 14 3.1% 0.40 [-5.76, 6.56] 7 Roberson et al. (2015) 82.25 8.1 15 77.04 5.42 15 4.4% 5.21 [0.28, 10.14] Sadeghian et al. (2023) 31 4.63 18 28.1 4.76 18 8.1% 2.90 [-0.17, 5.97]		73 1.73			11			•
Pluchino et al. (2012) 40.79 9.45 14 40.39 7 14 3.1% 0.40 [-5.76, 6.56] Roberson et al. (2015) 82.25 8.1 15 77.04 5.42 15 4.4% 5.21 [0.28, 10.14] Sadeghian et al. (2023) 31 4.63 18 28.1 4.76 18 8.1% 2.90 [-0.17, 5.97]	9a) 25.5	52 4.11	15 23.7	5 6.47	15	6.2%	1.77 [-2.11, 5.65]	+
Roberson et al. (2015) 82.25 8.1 15 77.04 5.42 15 4.4% 5.21 [0.28, 10.14] Sadeghian et al. (2023) 31 4.63 18 28.1 4.76 18 8.1% 2.90 [-0.17, 5.97]	9) 26.2	24 6.82	20 24.	3 7.4	20	5.2%	1.94 [-2.47, 6.35]	+
Sadeghian et al. (2023) 31 4.63 18 28.1 4.76 18 8.1% 2.90 [-0.17, 5.97]	(2012) 40.7	79 9.45	14 40.3	97	14	3.1%	0.40 [-5.76, 6.56]	+
	(2015) 82.2	25 8.1	15 77.0	4 5.42	15	4.4%	5.21 [0.28, 10.14]	-
	(2023) 3	31 4.63	18 28.	1 4.76	18	8.1%	2.90 [-0.17, 5.97]	-
Son et al. (2016) 33.2 6.5 21 31.9 4.8 21 7.1% 1.30 [-2.16, 4.76]	33.	3.2 6.5	21 31.	9 4.8	21	7.1%	1.30 [-2.16, 4.76]	+
Takeshima et al. (2017) 36.4 4.1 25 36.2 4.2 25 10.5% 0.20 [-2.10, 2.50]	(2017) 36.	6.4 4.1	25 36.	2 4.2	25	10.5%	0.20 [-2.10, 2.50]	Ť
Total (95% CI) 237 237 100.0% 2.81 [1.60, 4.02]		:	237		237	100.0%	2.81 [1.60, 4.02]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.25; Chi ² = 26.97, df = 12 (P = 0.008); l ² = 56%	au² = 2.25; Chi² =	= 26.97, df =	= 12 (P = 0	.008); l ²	= 56%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.56$ (P < 0.00001) -100 -50	effect: Z = 4.56 (P	P < 0.00001))					-100 -50 0 50 100

impact of TC on neuromuscular function in the older adult population (77). However recent randomized controlled trials have demonstrated that, although TC is a neuromuscular exercise aimed at enhancing balance and coordination, it is less effective than other forms of exercise in promoting active and reactive balance strategies due to the inherently slow nature of its movements (78). These findings imply that TC may be more beneficial for improving static balance. Consequently, further research is warranted to investigate its effects on dynamic balance and the ability to respond to unexpected situations.

To elucidate the efficacy of tai chi in enhancing balance among healthy older adults, we examined the minimum clinically important difference (MCID) of the employed outcome measures. The MCID denotes the smallest threshold that signifies a clinically meaningful improvement from the patient's perspective (79). This metric is crucial for validating the clinical significance of the treatment effect. According to the results of previous studies, the MCID for TUG was 2.1 s, FR was 8.28 cm, BBS was 3 points, SLS was 24 s, and GS was 0.13 m/s (80–84). By comparing the results of this study with the MCID criteria described above, we found that some outcomes exceeded the MCID after the tai chi intervention, while others did not (Table 5). This discrepancy may be attributed to variations in study samples. In addition, at the calculation level, prior research has predominantly established the MCID using the anchoring method, which correlates changes in the numerical scale of outcomes with an independent subjective assessment of improvement. However, due to the constraints of our study, we were limited to calculating the MCID using distribution-based methods. This method may not adequately capture clinically significant improvements that are crucial for patients and could result in varying interpretations of the effects of the same intervention (85).

In our study, which focused on the effects of TC on balance in healthy older adults, TC improved not only static balance (e.g., SLB) but also dynamic balance (e.g., TUG and GS) and enhanced proactive balance (e.g., FR). In contrast to the observed enhancements in TUG, FR, SLS, and BBS, the impact of TC on GS appeared to be comparatively constrained. This phenomenon may be attributable to the ceiling effect, wherein the potential for improvement in GS metrics is restricted by an individual's elevated baseline performance. Gait speed, defined as walking a certain distance on a flat surface in a short period, is typically correlated with an individual's sports performance (86). Conversely, TC primarily comprises a sequence of slow isotonic movements,

TABLE 3 Functional reach functional test of subgroup analyses results.

Subgroup	Included studies	WMD	95%CI	²	Р
Exercise perio	d				
>12 weeks	2	2.21	[-4.98, 9.41]	85%	0.55
Frequency	18				
\leq 2 days/week	7	2.53	[1.25,3.81]	27%	< 0.001
>2 days/week	4	2.47	[0.14,4.80]	69%	0.04
Duration					
\leq 45 min/session	3	3.12	[0.96, 5.29]	63%	0.005
>45 min/session	8	2.27	[0.90,-3.64]	35%	0.001
Tai chi style					
Sun	3	2.89	[1.09, 4.69]	0%	0.002
Yang	8	2.47	[0.86,4.08]	69%	0.003

predominantly executed in a semi-deep squatting posture, and involves intricate body rotations and shifts in the center of gravity (87–89). These characteristics may diverge from the requirements of rapid, linear movement as assessed in stride speed tests, potentially influencing the efficacy of TC in improving stride speed. Furthermore, in the study of step speed, the mean step speed after TC was 1.1 m/s. Although the WMD value of 0.09 was relatively small, Montero-Odasso et al. recommend 0.7–1.0 m/s to be the mean value, with >1.1 m/s being the high value (90). Thus, TC has a small but meaningful improvement in step speed, especially in healthy older adults.

4.2 Most effective dose of tai chi exercise for healthy older adults

4.2.1 Exercise frequency

Several studies have confirmed that physical activity and exercise effectively promote balance and prevent falls in older adults (91-93). The World guidelines for fall prevention and management for older adults recommend that older adults engage in 150-300 min of moderate-intensity physical activity or 75-150 min of vigorousintensity physical activity each week to promote balance performance and prevent falls (5). Moreover, the World Health Organization (3) recommends that older adults engage in moderate-intensity exercise at least three days a week to promote bone health, muscle strength, and overall body function, thereby reducing the risk of falls (94). With regard to the frequency and duration of exercise, there is as yet no consensus among experts on the existing exercise guidelines for TC training for older adults. In order to address this gap, guidelines from the World Health Organization are often used as a reference in order to develop TC training programs that are suitable for older adults. A number of randomized controlled trials have demonstrated that practicing TC at least three times a week is an effective method of enhancing balance and significantly reducing the risk of falls in older adults (77, 95, 96).

This finding is further supported by our study, which showed that a variety of balance indicators in older adults improved significantly as the frequency and duration of TC practice increased. However, it is important to note that a comparable positive effect was not observed

Subgroup	Included studies	WMD	95%CI	 ²	Р
Exercise perio	d				
≤ 12 weeks	5	3.53	[1.90, 5.16]	53%	< 0.001
>12 weeks	4	2.15	[0.01, 4.28]	91%	0.002
Frequency					
\leq 2 days/week	4	1.60	[-0.44, 3.65]	82%	0.12
>2 days/week	5	3.07	[1.18, 4.97]	91%	0.001
Duration					
\leq 45 min/session	2	2.42	[0.85, 3.98]	0%	< 0.001
>45 min/session	7	3.32	[2.23, 4.40]	88%	0.001

All included studies were Yang-style tai chi.

in the FR measurements. This discrepancy may be attributable to the complex interplay of multiple factors, including variability in training regimens, exercise environments, and differing levels of monitoring and recording (97). Additionally, while TC practice has demonstrated potential in improving balance, the inconsistencies observed across studies significantly complicate the establishment of a definitive relationship between the frequency of TC exercise and its intervention effects. In consideration of these findings, future research should endeavor to investigate these multifaceted factors more comprehensively, taking into account both the qualitative and quantitative aspects of exercise sessions. This approach is essential to ensure the safety and efficacy of TC interventions and to optimize their potential health benefits.

4.2.2 Exercise period

The results of our study indicated that after a period of 12 weeks or less, TC training led to statistically significant improvements in balance performance (all p < 0.05). This result is consistent with previous studies and further validates the positive effects of TC training on balance. Yildirim et al. observed notable improvements in TUG time and Berg balance scores following a brief 8-12 week TC training program (70). Likewise, a recent systematic review indicated that TC interventions of shorter duration (<20 weeks) yielded more substantial advantages in functional flexibility and balance for healthy older individuals compared to longer-term interventions (>20 weeks) (98). This may be attributed to the fact that the short-term intervention prompted an initial adaptive response in the body, which facilitated the improvement of balance (99). Nevertheless, it is important to note that the most recent metaanalysis demonstrated that the impact of TC on improving physical balance and SLS in older adults became more pronounced as the duration of exercise increased (23). In addition, the Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans emphasize the health benefits of exercise irrespective of its duration (100). The guidelines from New Zealand offer the most comprehensive information on enhancing balance. According to this guideline, the optimal interventions for improving balance involve engaging in 60-min sessions three times per week, over a recommended period of 4 to 52 weeks (101). This means that TC has a positive effect on balance and flexibility in older people in both the short and long term. While short-term training may produce immediate improvements,

		st-Test			re-Test			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Chen et al. (2020a)	51.1	4.7	14	49.2	4.5	14	6.8%	1.90 [-1.51, 5.31]	
Chen et al. (2020)	54	1.1	14	50.3	2.1	14	11.4%	3.70 [2.46, 4.94]	•
i et al. (2005)	49.28	4.15	115	45.67	3.92	115	11.7%	3.61 [2.57, 4.65]	•
ogghe et al. (2009)	51.2	5	138	51.8	4.3	138	11.6%	-0.60 [-1.70, 0.50]	•
ogghe et al. (2011)	52.2	3.7	138	50	6.1	138	11.5%	2.20 [1.01, 3.39]	•
Manor et al. (2014)	47.3	6.4	29	45.6	6.8	29	6.8%	1.70 [-1.70, 5.10]	+
Mortazavi et al. (2018)	53.59	2.36	27	47.1	4.85	27	9.7%	6.49 [4.46, 8.52]	-
Penn et al. (2019a)	48	11.35	20	45.05	12.17	20	2.5%	2.95 [-4.34, 10.24]	
Penn et al. (2019)	51.33	4.79	15	49.33	4.52	15	6.9%	2.00 [-1.33, 5.33]	+
Fousignant et al. (2012)	42	8.3	76	38.3	7	76	8.7%	3.70 [1.26, 6.14]	-
(2016) (ildirim et al.	55.3	0.7	30	54.7	1	30	12.5%	0.60 [0.16, 1.04]	t
Total (95% CI)			616			616	100.0%	2.51 [1.21, 3.80]	+
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 3.45	Chi ² = 8	5.59, df	= 10 (F	o < 0.00	001); l ²	= 88%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 3	8.79 (P =)	0.0001)							-50 -25 0 25 50
		,							
E 6									

		P	ost-Test	t	P	re-Test			Mean Difference		Me	an Differer	nce	
	Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random, 95% Cl		IV, I	Random, 95	5% CI	
	kim et al. (2019)	37	21.3	23	20.2	16.6	23	2.7%	16.80 [5.76, 27.84]			-	_	
	Li et al. (2005)	13.14	11.94	125	6.96	9.27	125	14.0%	6.18 [3.53, 8.83]					
	Ni et al. (2014)	27.68	7.16	11	17.84	3.91	11	8.8%	9.84 [5.02, 14.66]			-		
	Pluchino et al. (2012)	41	10.5	27	34.6	15.2	27	5.5%	6.40 [-0.57, 13.37]			-		
	Roberson et al. (2015)	31.85	23.24		28.22	19.1	11	1.1%	3.63 [-14.15, 21.41]			<u> </u>	-	
	Sadeghian et al. (2023)	32.9	21.92	15	15.52	21.06	15	1.5%	17.38 [2.00, 32.76]					
	Son et al. (2016)	47.5	13.33	18	21.6	15.91	18	3.4%	25.90 [16.31, 35.49]					
	Taylor et al. (2012a)	35.9	22.8	21	18	15.5	21	2.4%	17.90 [6.11, 29.69]			-		
	Taylor et al. (2012)	12.3	3.7	220	11	3.5	220	18.5%	1.30 [0.63, 1.97]					
	Wu et al. (2010)	11.9		233	10.8	3.8	233		1.10 [0.43, 1.77]					
	Yildirim et al. (2016)	14.8		22	13.2	12.2	22	7.8%	1.60 [-3.75, 6.95]			+		
	Zhu et al. (2021)	10.8	2.57	16	8.74	2.91	16	16.0%	2.06 [0.16, 3.96]					
	Total (95% CI)			742			742	100.0%	5.03 [3.08, 6.97]			•		
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 5.23	3: Chi ² = 7	71.02, df	f = 11 (P < 0.00	001); l ²	= 85%			H	+		+	
	Test for overall effect: Z =					,, ,				-100	-50	0	50	100
			0.0000	.,										
		0.00 (i	0.0000	')										
2				")										
		Post	-Test		Pre-1				lean Difference			ın Differen		
	Study or Subgroup	Post Mean	-Test SD To	otal M	ean	SD To		leight I	V. Random, 95% CI			andom, 95		
	Chen et al. (2022)	Post Mean 0.93 (-Test <u>SD To</u>).05	otal M	ean 0.88 0	<u>SD To</u>	12 2	/eight 21.7%						
		Post Mean	-Test <u>SD To</u>).05	otal M	ean	<u>SD To</u>		leight I	V. Random, 95% CI			andom, 95		
	Chen et al. (2022)	Post Mean 0.93 (-Test <u>SD To</u>).05).15	0tal M 12 32	ean 0.88 0	<u>SD To</u> .06 .29	12 2 32	/eight 21.7%	V. Random. 95% Cl 0.05 [0.01, 0.09]	_		andom, 95		
	Chen et al. (2022) Ge et al. (2021)	Post <u>Mean</u> 0.93 (1.14 (-Test <u>SD To</u>).05).15).14	0tal M 12 32 23	ean 0.88 0 0.95 0	<u>SD To</u> .06 .29 .11	12 2 32	/eight 1 21.7% 8.2%	V. Random, 95% CI 0.05 [0.01, 0.09] 0.19 [0.08, 0.30]	_		andom, 95		
	Chen et al. (2022) Ge et al. (2021) kim et al. (2019)	Post Mean 0.93 (1.14 (1.06 (-Test <u>SD To</u>).05).15).14).23	23 32 32	ean 0.88 0 0.95 0 0.97 0	SD To .06 .29 .11 .17	12 2 32 23 ·	/eight / 21.7% 8.2% 14.4%	V. Random, 95% Cl 0.05 [0.01, 0.09] 0.19 [0.08, 0.30] 0.09 [0.02, 0.16]			andom, 95		
	Chen et al. (2022) Ge et al. (2021) kim et al. (2019) Liye et al. (2017)	Post <u>Mean</u> 0.93 (1.14 (1.06 (1.21 (-Test <u>SD To</u>).05).15).14).23).28	0tal M 12 32 23 32 29	ean 0.88 0 0.95 0 0.97 0 1.06 0	SD To .06 .29 .11 .17 .23	12 2 32 23 7 32 29	<u>/eight</u> 21.7% 8.2% 14.4% 9.9%	V. Random, 95% Cl 0.05 [0.01, 0.09] 0.19 [0.08, 0.30] 0.09 [0.02, 0.16] 0.15 [0.05, 0.25]			andom, 95		
-	Chen et al. (2022) Ge et al. (2021) kim et al. (2019) Liye et al. (2017) Manor et al. (2014)	Post <u>Mean</u> 0.93 (1.14 (1.06 (1.21 (1.05 (-Test <u>SD To</u> 0.05 0.15 0.14 0.23 0.28 0.15	23 23 23 29 26	ean 0.88 0 0.95 0 0.97 0 1.06 0 0.98 0	<u>SD To</u> .06 .29 .11 .17 .23 .14	12 2 32 23 32 29 26	/eight 21.7% 8.2% 14.4% 9.9% 6.5%	V. Random, 95% Cl 0.05 [0.01, 0.09] 0.19 [0.08, 0.30] 0.09 [0.02, 0.16] 0.15 [0.05, 0.25] 0.07 [-0.06, 0.20]			andom, 95		
	Chen et al. (2022) Ge et al. (2021) kim et al. (2019) Liye et al. (2017) Manor et al. (2014) Son et al. (2016)	Post Mean 0.93 (1.14 (1.06 (1.21 (1.05 (0.99 (SD T (SD T () .05) .15) .14) .23) .28) .15) .15) .12	23 23 23 29 26	ean 0.88 0 0.95 0 0.97 0 1.06 0 0.98 0 0.87 0	SD To .06 .29 .11 .17 .23 .14 .07	12 2 32 32 32 29 26 87	leight l 21.7% 8.2% 8.2% 14.4% 9.9% 6.5% 13.2% 13.2%	V. Random, 95% Cl 0.05 [0.01, 0.09] 0.19 [0.08, 0.30] 0.09 [0.02, 0.16] 0.15 [0.05, 0.25] 0.07 [-0.06, 0.20] 0.12 [0.04, 0.20]			andom, 95		
	Chen et al. (2022) Ge et al. (2021) kim et al. (2019) Liye et al. (2017) Manor et al. (2017) Son et al. (2014) Wayne et al. (2015) Total (95% CI)	Post Mean 0.93 (1.14 (1.06 (1.21 (1.05 (0.99 (1.01 (SD To 0.05 0.15 0.14 0.23 0.28 0.15 0.12	241 212 32 23 32 29 26 87 241	ean 0.88 0 0.95 0 0.97 0 1.06 0 0.98 0 0.87 0 0.97 0	SD To 06 29 11 17 23 14 07 22	12 2 32 23 2 29 26 2 87 2 41 10	leight l 21.7% 8.2% 8.2% 14.4% 9.9% 6.5% 13.2% 26.0%	V. Random, 95% Cl 0.05 [0.01, 0.09] 0.19 [0.08, 0.30] 0.09 [0.02, 0.16] 0.15 [0.05, 0.25] 0.07 [-0.06, 0.20] 0.12 [0.04, 0.20] 0.04 [0.01, 0.07]		IV. R	andom, 95	% CI	
	Chen et al. (2022) Ge et al. (2021) kim et al. (2019) Liye et al. (2017) Manor et al. (2014) Son et al. (2016) Wayne et al. (2015)	Post <u>Mean</u> 0.93 (1.14 (1.06 (1.21 (0.99 (1.01 (0.09) (1.01 (0.00; Chi ² =	SD To SD To 0.05 0.15 0.14 0.23 0.28 0.15 0.12 2 13.07,	241 212 223 223 229 26 87 241 df = 6	ean 0.88 0 0.95 0 0.97 0 1.06 0 0.98 0 0.87 0 0.97 0	SD To 06 29 11 17 23 14 07 22	12 2 32 23 2 29 26 2 87 2 41 10	leight l 21.7% 8.2% 8.2% 14.4% 9.9% 6.5% 13.2% 26.0%	V. Random, 95% Cl 0.05 [0.01, 0.09] 0.19 [0.08, 0.30] 0.09 [0.02, 0.16] 0.15 [0.05, 0.25] 0.07 [-0.06, 0.20] 0.12 [0.04, 0.20] 0.04 [0.01, 0.07]	-0.5		andom, 95		0.5
	Chen et al. (2022) Ge et al. (2021) kim et al. (2019) Liye et al. (2017) Manor et al. (2017) Son et al. (2016) Wayne et al. (2015) Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0 Test for overall effect: Z =	Post <u>Mean</u> 0.93 (1.14 (1.06 (1.21 (0.99 (1.01 (0.09) (1.01 (0.00; Chi ² =	SD To SD To 0.05 0.15 0.14 0.23 0.28 0.15 0.12 2 13.07,	241 212 223 223 229 26 87 241 df = 6	ean 0.88 0 0.95 0 0.97 0 1.06 0 0.98 0 0.87 0 0.97 0	SD To 06 29 11 17 23 14 07 22	12 2 32 23 2 29 26 2 87 2 41 10	leight l 21.7% 8.2% 8.2% 14.4% 9.9% 6.5% 13.2% 26.0%	V. Random, 95% Cl 0.05 [0.01, 0.09] 0.19 [0.08, 0.30] 0.09 [0.02, 0.16] 0.15 [0.05, 0.25] 0.07 [-0.06, 0.20] 0.12 [0.04, 0.20] 0.04 [0.01, 0.07]	-0.5	IV. R	andom, 95	% CI	0.5

long-term practice may produce more lasting and cumulative positive effects. Therefore, encouraging older adults to participate in TC on a consistent basis may be important for improving their quality of life and health.

4.2.3 Tai chi type

The impact of various TC styles on balance in healthy older adults, as a multifaceted form of physical and mental exercise, warrants comprehensive investigation. Zou et al. report that Yang-style TC, characterized by slow, rhythmic movements, positively influences balance parameters and musculoskeletal flexibility in older adults (53). Taylor et al. conducted a study to assess the safety and feasibility of community-based Yang-style tai chi for individuals with chronic stroke (102). The findings indicated that Yang-style TC was safe, with participants exhibiting a high level of adherence and no reported falls or other adverse events. Furthermore, a study conducted by Tsai et al. demonstrated that a 20-week Sun-style TC program significantly enhanced balance control among older adults, with this improvement remaining unaffected by participants' cognitive levels (103). These findings suggest that different styles of TC may differently affect balance in older adults due to their different movement characteristics and training intensities.

Our research found that practicing Yang-style TC significantly improves balance in healthy older adults, supporting previous studies

Outcome	Reference values	Study	Total	Estimate of effect (95% CI)
		Li et al. (52)	224	-2.10 [-3.13, -1.07]
TUG	2.1 s (80)	Sadeghian et al. (63)	18	-3.30 [-4.18,-2.42]
		Zhu et al. (72)	20	-2.25 [-3.43, -1.07]
		Chen et al. (11)	14	3.70 [2.46, 4.94]
DDC	2 = 1 = 1 = 1 = (0.1)	Li et al. (51)	115	3.61 [2.57, 4.65]
BBS	3 points (84)	Mortazavi et al. (58)	27	6.49 [4.46, 8.52]
		Tousignant et al. (67)	76	3.70 [1.26, 6.14]
SLS	24 s (83)	Son et al. (64)	18	25.90 [16.31, 35.49]
<u> </u>	0.12 m/s (02)	Ge et al. (48)	32	0.19 [0.08, 0.30]
GS	0.13 m/s (82)	Liye et al. (53)	32	0.15 [0.05, 0.25]

TABLE 5 Studies with outcome measurements exceeded MCID.

that suggest it is more effective than Sun-style TC (104). Yang-style TC is distinguished by its direct movements, which may facilitate the enhancement of muscle memory and render it more accessible for older adults to master (105). Conversely, Sun-style TC is characterized by its elevated stance, narrow foot spacing, rapid movement switching, and numerous follow-up movements (106). Consequently, Yang-style TC appears to be more appropriate for beginners and older individuals with diminished balance. In contrast, Sun-style TC may be better suited for practitioners who possess foundational TC skills and seek a more challenging practice. Moreover, as one of the pioneering TC styles to gain international prominence, Yang-style TC has undergone continuous adaptation to diverse cultural contexts and health requirements. This adaptability has catalyzed the evolution of various teaching methodologies and practice styles. Consequently, the crosscultural adaptation of Yang-style TC has enhanced its capacity to address the specific needs of different demographic groups, thereby augmenting its efficacy as a form of exercise.

4.3 Limitations

This study used six databases to improve the reliability of findings on the effects of TC on balance in healthy older adults. Following PRISMA guidelines and registering the protocol with PROSPERO increased the transparency and rigor of the study. However, there are some limitations of this study that need to be addressed in the future. For instance, balance assessments like the BBS depend on selfreported data, which can be affected by cognitive and memory biases, leading to potential inaccuracies and reporting bias. Future studies should use more objective measurement tools to reduce these biases. Future research should use more objective measurement tools to reduce biases. Moreover, the lack of detailed studies on reactive balance limits understanding of tai chi's effects on it.

Regarding data analysis, some subgroup analyses were unable to draw reliable conclusions or were not performed due to limitations in the number and characteristics of included studies. This resulted in significant heterogeneity between subgroups. This heterogeneity masked some important effects and limited the ability to generalize the findings. Furthermore, while the MCID was used to evaluate tai chi's impact on balance, varying study designs, sample traits, and MCID calculation methods can result in different interpretations of the same interventions. Lastly, this study could not provide insight into the dose response between training parameters. Different training frequencies, durations, intensities, and styles may affect balance in older adults differently. Further investigation is warranted to examine the relationships among these training parameters through the utilization of complex statistical methodologies to ascertain the most effective TC exercise regimen. In summary, based on the available evidence and noted limitations, recommendations to enhance future research on tai chi's impact on homeostasis are provided in the Supplementary Table S8.

5 Conclusion

Our study quantitatively analyzed the effects of TC on balance performance in healthy older adults and updated the existing literature. The results indicated that TC significantly enhanced balance in healthy older adults, particularly with training sessions over 45 min, more than twice a week, and within a short-term period (\leq 12 weeks). Among the different styles of tai chi, Yang-style tai chi was superior to Sun-style tai chi in improving balance. However, due to limited research, we could not assess TC impact on reactive balance in older adults. These results mainly pertain to healthy individuals over 60, and further studies are required to confirm their relevance to other groups.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary material.

Author contributions

ZC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JX: Methodology, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. ZL: Methodology, Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Validation. CY: Conceptualization, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Chang JT, Morton SC, Rubenstein LZ, Mojica WA, Maglione M, Suttorp MJ, et al. Interventions for the prevention of falls in older adults: systematic review and Meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials. *BMJ*. (2004) 328:680. doi: 10.1136/bmj.328.7441.680

2. Wang Q, Fu H. Relationship between proprioception and balance control among Chinese senior older adults. *Front Physiol*. (2022) 13:1078087. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.1078087

3. WHO. Falls. (2021). Available at: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/ detail/falls

4. Amput P, Tapanya W, Sangkarit N, Konsanit S, Wongphon S. Balance ability and quality of life in older adult with recovery from mild Covid-19. *Ann Geriatr Med Res.* (2023) 27:235–40. doi: 10.4235/agmr.23.0084

5. Montero-Odasso M, van der Velde N, Martin FC, Petrovic M, Tan MP, Ryg J, et al. World guidelines for falls prevention and Management for Older Adults: a global initiative. *Age Ageing.* (2022) 51:51. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afac205

6. Li JX, Hong Y, Chan KM. Tai chi: physiological characteristics and beneficial effects on health. Br J Sports Med. (2001) 35:148–56. doi: 10.1136/bjsm.35.3.148

7. Shi H, Wang S, Zhang Y, Liu P, Dong C, Wang D, et al. The effects of tai chi exercise for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: an overview of systematic reviews and Metaanalyses. *J Diabetes Res.* (2022) 2022:6587221–15. doi: 10.1155/2022/6587221

8. Scianni A. Tai chi improves balance and prevents falls in people with Parkinson's disease. J Physiother. (2015) 61:44. doi: 10.1016/j.jphys.2014.11.008

9. Xinxin L, Hui L, Mujia M. Meta-analysis of tai chi versus other exercises to reduce the risk of falls in older adults. *China Rehabil Theory Pract.* (2022) 28:1169–77. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1006-9771.2022.10.007

10. Izquierdo M, Merchant RA, Morley JE, Anker SD, Aprahamian I, Arai H, et al. International exercise recommendations in older adults (Icfsr): expert consensus guidelines. J Nutr Health Aging. (2021) 25:824–53. doi: 10.1007/s12603-021-1665-8

11. Chen P, Penn I, Wei S, Chuang L, Sung W. Augmented reality-assisted training with selected tai-chi movements improves balance control and increases lower limb muscle strength in older adults: a prospective randomized trial. *J Exerc Sci Fit.* (2020) 18:142–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jesf.2020.05.003

12. Zhong D, Xiao Q, Xiao X, Li Y, Ye J, Xia L, et al. Tai chi for improving balance and reducing falls: an overview of 14 systematic reviews. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med.* (2020) 63:505–17. doi: 10.1016/j.rehab.2019.12.008

13. Jacobson BH, Chen HC, Cashel C, Guerrero L. The effect of T'ai chi Chuan training on balance, kinesthetic sense, and strength. *Percept Mot Skills*. (1997) 84:27–33. doi: 10.2466/pms.1997.84.1.27

14. Jain A, Taylor J, Sanzo P, Zerpa CE. The effect of tai chi on functional lower extremity mobility and strength, ankle proprioception, and postural adaptation in older adults. *Am J Med Med Sci.* (2017) 7:229–37. doi: 10.5923/j.ajmms.20170706.01

15. Alexander NB. Postural control in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. (1994) 42:93–108. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.1994.tb06081.x

16. Horak FB. Clinical measurement of postural control in adults. *Phys Ther.* (1987) 67:1881–5. doi: 10.1093/ptj/67.12.1881

17. Dunsky A, Zeev A, Netz Y. Balance performance is task specific in older adults. *Biomed Res Int.* (2017) 2017:6987017–7. doi: 10.1155/2017/6987017

18. Shumway-cook A, Woollacott M. Motor control: translating research into clinical practice. Lippincoot Williams & Wilkins. (2006).

19. Lesinski M, Hortobágyi T, Muehlbauer T, Gollhofer A, Granacher U. Effects of balance training on balance performance in healthy older adults: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Sports Med.* (2015) 45:1721–38. doi: 10.1007/s40279-015-0375-y

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1443168/ full#supplementary-material

20. Granacher U, Muehlbauer T, Zahner L, Gollhofer A, Kressig RW. Comparison of traditional and recent approaches in the promotion of balance and strength in older adults. *Sports Med.* (2011) 41:377–400. doi: 10.2165/11539920-000000000-00000

21. Huang C-Y, Mayer PK, Wu M-Y, Liu D-H, Wu P-C, Yen H-R. The effect of tai chi in elderly individuals with sarcopenia and frailty: a systematic review and Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Ageing Res Rev.* (2022) 82:101747. doi: 10.1016/j. arr.2022.101747

22. Zhang W, Sun J, Feng X, Zhang H, Zhang Y, Zhao M. Effectiveness of tai chi exercise on fear of falling and balance in older adults: a meta-analysis. *Geriatr Nurs*. (2023) 51:194–201. doi: 10.1016/j.gerinurse.2023.03.019

23. Chen W, Li M, Li H, Lin Y, Feng Z. Tai chi for fall prevention and balance improvement in older adults: a systematic review and Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Front Public Health.* (2023) 11:1236050. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1236050

24. Cheng M, Wang Y, Wang S, Cao W, Wang X. Network Meta-analysis of the efficacy of four traditional Chinese physical exercise therapies on the prevention of falls in the elderly. *Front Public Health.* (2022) 10:1096599. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.1096599

25. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The Prisma 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. (2021) 372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71

26. Ardern CL, Büttner F, Andrade R, Weir A, Ashe MC, Holden S, et al. Implementing the 27 Prisma 2020 statement items for systematic reviews in the sport and exercise medicine, musculoskeletal rehabilitation and sports science fields: the Persist (implementing Prisma in exercise, rehabilitation, sport medicine and sports science) guidance. *Br J Sports Med.* (2022) 56:175–95. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2021-103987

27. Da Costa Santos CM, de Mattos Pimenta CA, Nobre MR. The Pico strategy for the research question construction and evidence search. *Rev Lat Am Enfermagem*. (2007) 15:508–11. doi: 10.1590/s0104-11692007000300023

28. Yen FS, Wang SI, Lin SY, Chao YH, Wei JC. The impact of heavy alcohol consumption on cognitive impairment in Young old and middle old persons. *J Transl Med.* (2022) 20:155. doi: 10.1186/s12967-022-03353-3

29. Yim-Chiplis PK, Talbot LA. Defining and measuring balance in adults. *Biol Res Nurs*. (2000) 1:321-31. doi: 10.1177/109980040000100408

30. Ortega-Bastidas P, Gómez B, Aqueveque P, Luarte-Martínez S, Cano-de-la-Cuerda R. Instrumented timed up and go test (Itug)-more than assessing time to predict falls: a systematic review. *Sensors (Basel).* (2023) 23:3426. doi: 10.3390/s23073426

31. Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The timed "up & go": a test of basic functional mobility for frail elderly persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* (1991) 39:142–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.1991. tb01616.x

32. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and Meta-analyses: the Prisma statement. *PLoS Med.* (2009) 6:e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097

33. Webplotdigitizer. (2010). Available at: https://apps.automeris.io/wpd/

34. Young MM, Stevens A, Porath-Waller A, Pirie T, Garritty C, Skidmore B, et al. Effectiveness of brief interventions as part of the screening, brief intervention and referral to treatment (Sbirt) model for reducing the non-medical use of psychoactive substances: a systematic review protocol. *Syst Rev.* (2012) 1:22. doi: 10.1186/2046-4053-1-22

35. Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Chandler J, Welch VA, Higgins JP, et al. Updated guidance for trusted systematic reviews: a new edition of the Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2019) 10:Ed000142. doi: 10.1002/14651858.Ed000142

36. Savović J, Jones HE, Altman DG, Harris RJ, Jüni P, Pildal J, et al. Influence of reported study design characteristics on intervention effect estimates from randomized. *Controlled Trials Ann Intern Med.* (2012) 157:429–38. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-157-6-201209180-00537

37. Tufanaru C, Munn Z, Stephenson M, Aromataris E. Fixed or random effects Metaanalysis? Common methodological issues in systematic reviews of effectiveness. *Int J Evid Based Healthc*. (2015) 13:196–207. doi: 10.1097/xeb.0000000000000065

38. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*. (2003) 327:557–60. doi: 10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557

39. Higgins JP. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. (2023). Available at: https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/

40. Hosseini Araghi M, Chen Y-F, Jagielski AC, Mannan Choudhury S, Banerjee D, Thomas GN, et al. Weight loss intervention through lifestyle modification or pharmacotherapy for obstructive sleep Apnoea in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2016) 3–5. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD010281.pub2

41. Mao C, Fu XH, Yuan JQ, Yang ZY, Chung VC, Qin Y, et al. Tong-Xin-Luo capsule for patients with coronary heart disease after percutaneous coronary intervention. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2015) 2015:Cd010237. doi: 10.1002/14651858. CD010237.pub2

42. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and Meta-analysis protocols (Prisma-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev.* (2015) 4:1. doi: 10.1186/2046-4053-4-1

43. Garber CE, Blissmer B, Deschenes MR, Franklin BA, Lamonte MJ, Lee IM, et al. Quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory, musculoskeletal, and Neuromotor fitness in apparently healthy adults: guidance for prescribing exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* (2011) 43:1334–59. doi: 10.1249/ MSS.0b013e318213fefb

44. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and Meta-analysis protocols (Prisma-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *BMJ*. (2015) 350:g7647. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g7647

45. Sterne JA, Sutton AJ, Ioannidis JP, Terrin N, Jones DR, Lau J, et al. Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in Metaanalyses of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ*. (2011) 343:d4002. doi: 10.1136/ bmj.d4002

46. Bubela D, Sacharko L, Chan J, Brady M. Balance and functional outcomes for older community-dwelling adults who practice tai chi and those who do not: a comparative study. *J Geriatric Phys Ther.* (2019) 42:209–15. doi: 10.1519/JPT.000000000000153

47. Chen Y, Wan A, Mao M, Sun W, Song Q, Mao D. Tai chi practice enables prefrontal cortex bilateral activation and gait performance prioritization during dual-task negotiating obstacle in older adults. Frontiers in aging. *Neuroscience*. (2022) 14:14. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.1000427

48. Ge Y, Liu H, Wu Q, Chen A, Gao Z, Xing F, et al. Effects of a short eight tai chiforms for the pre-frail elderly people in senior living communities. *Physiother Theory Pract.* (2022) 38:1928–36. doi: 10.1080/09593985.2021.1926023

49. Huang H-C, Liu C-Y, Huang Y-T, Kernohan WG. Community-based interventions to reduce falls among older adults in Taiwan—long time follow-up randomised controlled study. *J Clin Nurs.* (2010) 19:959–68. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2702.2009.02834.x

50. Kim CY, Je HD, Jeong H, Jeong JH, Kim HD. Effects of tai chi versus Taekkyon on balance, lower-extremity strength, and gait ability in community-dwelling older women: a single-blinded randomized clinical trial. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil*. (2020) 33:41–8. doi: 10.3233/bmr-181493

51. Fuzhong L, Harmer P, Fisher KJ, McAuley E, Chaumeton N, Eckstrom E, et al. Tai chi and Fall reductions in older adults: a randomized controlled trial. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. (2005) 60:187–94. doi: 10.1093/gerona/60.2.187

52. Li F, Harmer P, Fitzgerald K, Eckstrom E, Akers L, Chou LS, et al. Effectiveness of a therapeutic tai Ji Quan intervention vs a multimodal exercise intervention to prevent falls among older adults at high risk of falling: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med.* (2018) 178:1301–10. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.3915

53. Liye Z, Wang C, Tian Z, Wang H, Shu Y. Effect of Yang-style tai chi on gait parameters and musculoskeletal flexibility in healthy Chinese older women. *Sports*. (2017) 5:5. doi: 10.3390/sports5030052

54. Logghe IH, Zeeuwe PE, Verhagen AP, Wijnen-Sponselee RM, Willemsen SP, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, et al. Lack of effect of tai chi Chuan in preventing falls in elderly people living at home: a randomized clinical trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* (2009) 57:70–5. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2008.02064.x

55. Logghe IH, Verhagen AP, Rademaker AC, Zeeuwe PE, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Van Rossum E, et al. Explaining the ineffectiveness of a tai chi fall prevention training for community-living older people: a process evaluation alongside a randomized clinical trial (Rct). *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* (2011) 52:357–62. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2010.05.013

56. Manor B, Lough M, Gagnon MM, Cupples A, Wayne PM, Lipsitz LA. Functional benefits of tai chi training in senior housing facilities. J Am Geriatr Soc. (2014) 62:1484–9. doi: 10.1111/jgs.12946

57. Marcia O, Smith ML, Parker EM, Jiang L, Chen S, Wilson AD, et al. Fall prevention in community settings: results from implementing tai chi: moving for better balance in three states. *Front Public Health.* (2015) 2:258. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2014.00258

58. Mortazavi H, Tabatabaeichehr M, Golestani A, Armat MR, Yousefi MR. The effect of tai chi exercise on the risk and fear of falling in older adults: a randomized clinical trial. *Materia Soc Medica*. (2018) 30:38–42. doi: 10.5455/msm.2018.30.38-42

59. Ni M, Mooney K, Richards L, Balachandran A, Sun M, Harriell K, et al. Comparative impacts of tai chi, balance training, and a specially-designed yoga program on balance in older fallers. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* (2014) 95:1620–8.e30. doi: 10.1016/j. apmr.2014.04.022

60. Penn IW, Sung WH, Lin CH, Chuang E, Chuang TY, Lin PH. Effects of individualized tai-chi on balance and lower-limb strength in older adults. *BMC Geriatr.* (2019) 19:235. doi: 10.1186/s12877-019-1250-8

61. Pluchino A, Lee SY, Asfour S, Roos BA, Signorile JF. Pilot study comparing changes in postural control after training using a video game balance board program and 2 standard activity-based balance intervention programs. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* (2012) 93:1138–46. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2012.01.023

62. Roberson DN, Shu-Yi W, Sigmund E, Valkova H. The influence of a ten week tai chi program with seniors. *Acta Gymnica*. (2015) 45:77–84. doi: 10.5507/ag.2015.014

63. Sadeghian F, Zolaktaf V, Shigematsu R. A comparison between effects of squarestepping exercise and tai chi Chuan on functional fitness and fear of falling in older women. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* (2023) 35:827–34. doi: 10.1007/s40520-023-02367-1

64. Son NK, Ryu YU, Jeong HW, Jang YH, Kim HD. Comparison of 2 different exercise approaches: tai chi versus Otago, in community-dwelling older women. *J Geriatr Phys Ther.* (2016) 39:51–7. doi: 10.1519/JPT.00000000000042

65. Takeshima N, Islam MM, Kato Y, Koizumi D, Narita M, Rogers NL, et al. Effects of 12 weeks of tai chi Chuan training on balance and functional fitness in older Japanese adults. *Sports (Basel).* (2017) 5:32. doi: 10.3390/sports5020032

66. Taylor D, Hale L, Schluter P, Waters DL, Binns EE, McCracken H, et al. Effectiveness of tai chi as a community-based falls prevention intervention: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* (2012) 60:841–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2012.03928.x

67. Tousignant M, Corriveau H, Roy P-M, Desrosiers J, Dubuc N, Hébert R, et al. The effect of supervised tai chi intervention compared to a physiotherapy program on fall-related clinical outcomes: a randomized clinical trial. *Disabil Rehabil.* (2012) 34:196–201. doi: 10.3109/09638288.2011.591891

68. Wayne PM, Hausdorff JM, Lough M, Gow BJ, Lipsitz L, Novak V, et al. Tai chi training may reduce dual task gait variability, a potential mediator of fall risk, in healthy older adults: cross-sectional and randomized trial studies. *Front Hum Neurosci.* (2015) 9:332. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00332

69. Wu G, Keyes L, Callas P, Ren XL, Bookchin B. Comparison of telecommunication, community, and home-based tai chi exercise programs on compliance and effectiveness in elders at risk for falls. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* (2010) 91:849–56. doi: 10.1016/j. apmr.2010.01.024

70. Yildirim P, Ofluoglu D, Aydogan S, Akyuz G. Tai chi vs. combined exercise prescription: a comparison of their effects on factors related to falls. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.* (2016) 29:493–501. doi: 10.3233/BMR-150645

71. Zhao YA, Chung PK, Tong TK. Effectiveness of a balance-focused exercise program for enhancing functional fitness of older adults at risk of falling: a randomised controlled trial. *Geriatr Nurs.* (2017) 38:491–7. doi: 10.1016/j.gerinurse.2017.02.011

72. Zhu RK, Wang WJ, Zhao L, Mao SS. Comparisons of tai chi and Iyengar yoga intervention effects on muscle strength, balance, and confidence in balance. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. (2021) 61:1333–8. doi: 10.23736/s0022-4707.20.11752-3

73. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in Meta-analysis detected by a simple, Graphical Test. *BMJ*. (1997) 315:629–34. doi: 10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629

74. Borenstein M, Higgins JP. Meta-analysis and subgroups. Prev Sci. (2013) 14:134-43. doi: 10.1007/s11121-013-0377-7

75. Sherrington C, Fairhall NJ, Wallbank GK, Tiedemann A, Michaleff ZA, Howard K, et al. Exercise for preventing falls in older people living in the community. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2019) 1:CD012424. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD012424.pub2

76. Chen Y, Zhang Y, Guo Z, Bao D, Zhou J. Comparison between the effects of Exergame intervention and traditional physical training on improving balance and fall prevention in healthy older adults: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* (2021) 18:164. doi: 10.1186/s12984-021-00917-0

77. Hu Y, Kattan C, Kontos D, Zhu W, Hernandez ME. Benefits of tai Ji Quan practice on neuromuscular functions in older adults: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Complement Ther Clin Pract.* (2021) 42:101295. doi: 10.1016/j.ctcp.2020.101295

78. Joshi R, Kulkarni N, Kulkarni CA, Bansal P. Impact of tai chi and Aerobic exercise on cognitive function, balance, cardiovascular fitness, and quality of life in older adults: randomized control trial. *Cureus*. (2024) 16:e62497. doi: 10.7759/cureus.62497

79. Bloom DA, Kaplan DJ, Mojica E, Strauss EJ, Gonzalez-Lomas G, Campbell KA, et al. The minimal clinically important difference: a review of clinical significance. *Am J Sports Med.* (2023) 51:520–4. doi: 10.1177/03635465211053869

80. Maldaner N, Sosnova M, Ziga M, Zeitlberger AM, Bozinov O, Gautschi OP, et al. External validation of the minimum clinically important difference in the timed-upand-go test after surgery for lumbar degenerative disc disease. *Spine*. (2022) 47:337–42. doi: 10.1097/brs.000000000004128 81. Soke F, Eldemir S, Ozkan T, Ozkul C, Ozcan Gulsen E, Gulsen C, et al. The functional reach test in people with multiple sclerosis: a reliability and validity study. *Physiother Theory Pract.* (2022) 38:2905–19. doi: 10.1080/09593985.2021.1938308

82. Bohannon RW, Glenney SS. Minimal clinically important difference for change in comfortable gait speed of adults with pathology: a systematic review. *J Eval Clin Pract.* (2014) 20:295–300. doi: 10.1111/jep.12158

83. Bohannon RW, Andrews AW, Glenney SS. Minimal clinically important difference for comfortable speed as a measure of gait performance in patients undergoing inpatient rehabilitation after stroke. *J Phys Ther Sci.* (2013) 25:1223–5. doi: 10.1589/jpts.25.1223

84. Gervasoni E, Jonsdottir J, Montesano A, Cattaneo D. Minimal clinically important difference of berg balance scale in people with multiple sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* (2017) 98:337–40.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2016.09.128

85. McGlothlin AE, Lewis RJ. Minimal clinically important difference: defining what really matters to patients. *JAMA*. (2014) 312:1342–3. doi: 10.1001/jama.2014.13128

86. Bohannon RW. Comfortable and maximum walking speed of adults aged 20-79 years: reference values and determinants. *Age Ageing*. (1997) 26:15–9. doi: 10.1093/ ageing/26.1.15

87. Zhang JG, Ishikawa-Takata K, Yamazaki H, Morita T, Ohta T. The effects of tai chi Chuan on physiological function and fear of falling in the less robust elderly: an intervention study for preventing falls. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* (2006) 42:107–16. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2005.06.007

88.Lan C, Lai JS, Chen SY. Tai chi Chuan: an ancient wisdom on exercise and health promotion. *Sports Med.* (2002) 32:217-24. doi: 10.2165/00007256-200232040-00001

89. Li F, Fisher KJ, Harmer P, Shirai M. A simpler eight-form easy tai chi for elderly adults. J Aging Phys Act. (2003) 11:206–18. doi: 10.1123/japa.11.2.206

90. Montero-Odasso M, Schapira M, Soriano ER, Varela M, Kaplan R, Camera LA, et al. Gait velocity as a single predictor of adverse events in healthy seniors aged 75 years and older. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* (2005) 60:1304–9. doi: 10.1093/gerona/60.10.1304

91. Delbaere K, Valenzuela T, Lord SR, Clemson L, Zijlstra GAR, Close JCT, et al. E-health Standingtall balance exercise for fall prevention in older people: results of a two year randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. (2021) 373:n740. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n740

92. Sturnieks DL, Hicks C, Smith N, Ratanapongleka M, Menant J, Turner J, et al. Exergame and cognitive training for preventing falls in community-dwelling older people: a randomized controlled trial. *Nat Med.* (2024) 30:98–105. doi: 10.1038/s41591-023-02739-0

93. Gillespie LD, Gillespie WJ, Robertson MC, Lamb SE, Cumming RG, Rowe BH. Interventions for preventing falls in elderly people. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2003):Cd000340. doi: 10.1002/14651858.Cd000340 94. Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *Br J Sports Med.* (2020) 54:1451–62. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955

95. Howe TE, Rochester L, Neil F, Skelton DA, Ballinger C. Exercise for improving balance in older people. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2011) 2012:Cd004963. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004963.pub3

96. Huang ZG, Feng YH, Li YH, Lv CS. Systematic review and Meta-analysis: tai chi for preventing falls in older adults. *BMJ Open.* (2017) 7:e013661. doi: 10.1136/ bmjopen-2016-013661

97. Sannes TS, Mansky PJ, Chesney MA. The need for attention to dose in mind-body interventions: lessons from T'ai chi clinical trials. *J Altern Complement Med.* (2008) 14:645–53. doi: 10.1089/acm.2007.0680

98. Li Y, Liu M, Zhou K, Dong G, Manor B, Bao D, et al. The comparison between effects of Taichi and conventional exercise on functional mobility and balance in healthy older adults: a systematic literature review and Meta-analysis. *Front Public Health*. (2023) 11:1281144. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1281144

99. Callahan MJ, Parr EB, Snijders T, Conceição MS, Radford BE, Timmins RG, et al. Skeletal muscle adaptive responses to different types of short-term exercise training and detraining in middle-age men. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* (2021) 53:2023–36. doi: 10.1249/mss.00000000002684

100. Piercy KL, Troiano RP, Ballard RM, Carlson SA, Fulton JE, Galuska DA, et al. The physical activity guidelines for Americans. *JAMA*. (2018) 320:2020–8. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.14854

101. Weggemans RM, Backx FJG, Borghouts L, Chinapaw M, Hopman MTE, Koster A, et al. The 2017 Dutch physical activity guidelines. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* (2018) 15:58. doi: 10.1186/s12966-018-0661-9

102. Taylor-Piliae RE, Coull BM. Community-based Yang-style tai chi is safe and feasible in chronic stroke: a pilot study. *Clin Rehabil.* (2012) 26:121-31. doi: 10.1177/0269215511419381

103. Tsai PF, Chang JY, Beck C, Kuo YF, Keefe FJ, Rosengren K. A supplemental report to a randomized cluster trial of a 20-week Sun-style tai chi for osteoarthritic knee pain in elders with cognitive impairment. *Complement Ther Med.* (2015) 23:570–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2015.06.001

104. Wu G. Evaluation of the effectiveness of tai chi for improving balance and preventing falls in the older population--a review. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* (2002) 50:746–54. doi: 10.1046/j.1532-5415.2002.50173.x

105. Lin J, Ning S, Lyu S, Gao H, Shao X, Tan Z, et al. The effects of different types of tai chi exercises on preventing falls in older adults: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* (2024) 36:65. doi: 10.1007/s40520-023-02674-7

106. Song R, Lee EO, Lam P, Bae SC. Effects of tai chi exercise on pain, balance, muscle strength, and perceived difficulties in physical functioning in older women with osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. *J Rheumatol.* (2003) 30:2039–44.