Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mo Salman, Colorado State University, United States

REVIEWED BY Sahar Issa, Canadian University of Dubai, United Arab Emirates Mohammad Javad Mohammadi, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Iran

*CORRESPONDENCE Panpan Wang 🖾 wangpp5@mail.sysu.edu.cn Yinyin Cai 🖾 yyincai@nuist.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 19 August 2024 ACCEPTED 16 December 2024 PUBLISHED 06 January 2025

CITATION

Zhu L, Tang M, Cai Y and Wang P (2025) Association between exposure to environmental pollutants and increased oral health risks, a comprehensive review. *Front. Public Health* 12:1482991. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1482991

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhu, Tang, Cai and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association between exposure to environmental pollutants and increased oral health risks, a comprehensive review

Li Zhu¹, Mengchen Tang¹, Yinyin Cai^{2,3*†} and Panpan Wang^{1,4*†}

¹Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Stomatology, Guanghua School of Stomatology, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China, ²Institute of Atmospheric Environmental Economics, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China, ³Shenzhen Institute of Meteorological Innovation, Shenzhen, China, ⁴Department of Periodontology, Guanghua School and Hospital of Stomatology, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

The burden of disease and death attributable to environmental pollution is a growing public health challenge worldwide, particularly in developing countries. While the adverse effects of environmental pollution on oral health have garnered increasing attention, a comprehensive and systematic assessment remains lacking. This article delves into the intricate relationship between environmental pollution and oral health, highlighting significant impacts on various aspects such as dental caries, periodontal diseases, oral facial clefts, cancer, as well as other oral diseases. Our results suggested that secondhand smoke, particulate matters (PM) and heavy metals are the most important risk factors affecting oral health. Additional contributors, such as radiation pollutants, electronic cigarette, phthalates, gaseous air pollutants, pesticides, solvents, wood dust, formaldehyde and excessive fluoride were investigated, though evidence for their impacts remains limited and often inconclusive. The review also explores potential mechanisms underlying these impacts, including microorganism, inflammation, oxidative stress, genetic influences, and toxicant exposures from heavy metals and other pollutants. For instance, PM2.5 may contribute to dental caries by disrupting oral pH balance and absorbing heavy metals such as lead and cadmium which have been considered as caries promoting elements. It is also associated with adverse inflammatory responses and tissue damage in periodontal tissues by causing oxidative stress, potentially leading to periodontitis. Drawing on current evidence, it provides a comprehensive analysis of these associations, offering critical insights to guide the development of preventive strategies and public health interventions. The findings highlight the pressing need for future research to validate the causal links between environmental pollution and oral diseases and to unravel the underlying biological mechanisms. Ultimately, greater attention must be directed toward addressing the relationship between environmental pollution and oral diseases, with a focus on pollution control and the reduction of preventable environmental risks to safeguard oral health on a broader scale.

KEYWORDS

oral diseases, environmental pollution, contaminant, air pollution, chemical pollution, heavy metals, secondhand smoke

01



1 Introduction

Oral diseases encompass a spectrum of conditions affecting the oral tissues, impacting over 3.5 billion individuals globally as per the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015 (1). Among these, dental caries, periodontal disease, tooth loss, and oral cavity cancers are prominent, exerting substantial adverse effects on individual well-being, economic resources, and healthcare systems worldwide (2). Recent years have witnessed a growing acknowledgment of the critical role of oral health, with increasing attention to its nexus with general health (3, 4).

Environmental pollution, characterized by the contamination of air, water bodies, and land with hazardous substances, poses a significant threat to human health and the environment. The Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 reports approximately 9 million annual deaths attributable to environmental pollution, highlighting its pervasive impact and global health implications, particularly accentuated in developing nations (5). Modern forms of pollution, notably ambient air and chemical pollution are emerging as major contributors to this burden (6). Air pollution constitutes a complex mixture of gaseous components, solid and liquid particles, frequently exceeding standard concentrations. Air pollution is a significant threat to health in developed and developing countries (7, 8). Acknowledged repercussions of air pollution encompass respiratory ailments (9, 10), cardiovascular incidents (11), central nervous system dysfunctions (12), cancer (13, 14) and other health detriments (15). Most of the burden from air pollution is attributed to particulate matter (PM), which is variable particles with minuscule diameters (16). Concurrently, gaseous constituents such as nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, ozone, sulfur oxides, dioxins, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) also contribute to air pollution's composition (17). Recently, with the continuous increase of smokers globally, the health effects of indoor air pollutants such as secondhand smoke (SHS) have also received widespread attention. Additionally, environmental chemical pollution has increased substantially over the past 20 years on a global scale. Chemicals including heavy metals, pesticides, organic solvents et al. have become widely disseminated in the global environment and are proved to be harmful to human health.

Numerous epidemiological studies have hinted at a potential link between environmental pollution and the etiology of various oral diseases, including dental caries, periodontal diseases, orofacial clefts (OFCs), cancer and others. Investigations into exposure to environmental contaminants globally underscore the urgency of elucidating their role as risk factors for oral diseases. Therefore, a concerted effort is warranted to comprehensively elucidate this association. This review synthesizes epidemiological evidence concerning the correlation between oral diseases and environmental pollutants, encompassing SHS, PM, heavy metals and other environmental risk factors. Additionally, it seeks to elucidate potential mechanistic pathways underlying these associations. By delineating the sources of harmful chemicals in our environment and assessing their impact on oral health, this review endeavors to provide crucial insights for regulatory strategies and guide future research endeavors in the realm of oral disease causation.

2 Environmental pollution and dental caries

Dental caries is a biofilm-mediated, sugar-driven, multifactorial, dynamic disease that results in the phasic demineralization and remineralization of dental hard tissues. It remains a pervasive global health issue, with the highest prevalence among all evaluated diseases according to the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015 (1). Its multifactorial etiology encompasses various physical, biological, environmental, and lifestyle factors—for example, cariogenic bacteria, inadequate salivary flow, insufficient exposure to fluoride, poor oral hygiene, and the crucial event in the clinical course is the initial acquisition of *Streptococcus mutans*.

Notably, environmental factors including SHS, PM and heavy metals have been proved to be implicated in elevating the risk of dental caries, a relationship extensively studied in recent decades. The most important environmental medium of these pollutants is air, followed by other media such as water and soil. PM and SHS are mixtures of numerous chemicals often suspended in the air, ultimately causing contamination of air. In addition, they can settle on surfaces of objects, floor and soil due to gravity, which can lead to contamination of land. When PM2.5 enters water bodies through precipitation, it changes the physical, chemical, and biological properties of the water. Heavy metals derived from automobile exhaust, industrial wastewater, pesticides and other ways are widely present in the air, water and soil, which cause pervasive environmental pollution. Current research on the association between caries and environmental pollution involves limited types of pollutants. In the future, more research can focus on other new pollutants, such as microplastics, volatile organic compounds, ozone, etc.

2.1 Secondhand smoke

Tobacco smoking stands as a significant global public health concern, impacting the risk profile for a multitude of health conditions. Over 1 billion people in the world smoke tobacco, and an enormous increase has been predicted, to 1.9 billion in 2025 (18). Recent years have witnessed the establishment of a definitive link between tobacco smoking and the etiology of oral diseases such as dental caries and periodontal disease. Moreover, emerging researches highlight the impact of SHS, a form of indoor air pollution, on the occurrence and progression of various oral diseases. SHS, commonly known as passive smoking, occurs when individuals inhale environmental tobacco smoke (ETS). Alarmingly, nearly half of the world's children are exposed to passive smoking within their family homes, a factor intricately linked to heightened dental caries risk.

Numerous epidemiological studies, encompassing case-control, cross-sectional and cohort designs, have corroborated the association between SHS exposure and increased dental caries risk (Table 1). Dental caries was reported using different indices in these studies. The decayed, missing, and filled teeth or surfaces (dmft/dmfc for deciduous dentition, DMFT/DMFC for permanent dentition) indices were the most common, with a score of one or higher signifying dental caries. In addition, the International Caries Detection and Assessment System (ICDAS), early children caries (ECC) and severe early children caries (SECC) were also considered indicators of caries. Cotinine, the primary metabolite of nicotine, is a reliable biomarker to quantitatively measure exposure to ETS and passive smoking. Cotinine will only be present in the body when exposed to tobacco smoke and it can be measured in blood, urine, or saliva. A positive association between salivary/urine/serum cotinine levels and passive smoking, as well as a dose-response relationship, was reported by studies (19-21). In this review, through an extensive literature search, we consolidate the impact of SHS on dental caries prevalence as follows (Figure 1):

1 SHS exposure is significantly correlated with dental caries across primary dentition, mixed dentition, and permanent dentition.

Most of the participants in the studies were infants and preschoolers aged 0 to 6 years, which is considered more susceptible to caries, with immature immune systems, lower salivary flow, thinner enamel and potentially extended durations of passive smoking at home. Exposure to SHS was significantly associated with higher odds of ECC and SECC (22), with statistically significant higher score of ICDAS (20) and dmft (23). A systematic review of 25 pertinent studies, culminating published in 2023, strongly underscores a substantial link between dental caries in deciduous dentition and SHS (24). For schoolchildren with mixed or permanent dentition, SHS was also proved to be independently associated with an increased prevalence of caries. According to a cross-sectional study including 20,703 schoolchildren aged 6-15 years in Japan, household smoking exposure was associated with an increased prevalence of caries not only in those with deciduous but also those with permanent dentition (25). A systematic review and meta-analysis published in 2018 further concluded that an association does exist between passive smoking and a greater presence of caries in children, both in primary and in permanent dentition (26).

2 The influence of SHS on caries development exhibits a dosedependent pattern, contingent upon factors such as the number TABLE 1 Studies accessing exposure to secondhand smoke and dental caries risk.

Author Year Country	Source of SHS	Age of participants	Sample size	Study design	Results OR/95% CI/ <i>p</i> value
Arafa (20) 2023 Saudi Arabia	Household	5–7 years	210	Case–control study	The household smoking group presented with statistically significant higher score of ICDAS $(p < 0.000)$.
Misrabi et al. (188) 2023 Syria	Household	10-13 years	284	Cross-sectional study	The number of smokers at home was significantly associated with the DMFT score, dental plaque accumulation, and gingival inflammation ($p < 0.1$).
Mahabee-Gittens et al. (189) 2022 USA	Household	1–11 years	32,214	Cross-sectional study	Children with home SHS and THS exposure were at increased odds of having carious teeth (AOR = 1.74 , 95% CI = $1.14-2.65$, $p = 0.010$).
Dearing et al. (190) 2022 USA	Prenatal postnatal	4-11 years	1,733	Cross-sectional study	This study does not provide evidence that prenatal or post birth SHS leads to dental caries in children.
Akinkugbe (32) 2021 England	Prenatal maternal	2.5-5 years	1,429	Prospective cohort study	The AOR (95% CI) for offspring caries at for smoking in the first, second and third trimesters were, respectively, 1.16 (0.93, 1.43), 1.11 (0.75, 1.65) and 1.60 (1.09, 2.32).
Saho et al. (191) 2020 Japan	Household	18–19 years	1,905	Cross-sectional study	The risk of DMFT \geq 1 was significantly associated with household exposure to SHS \geq 10 years (OR = 1.50, 95% CI = 1.20–1.87, <i>p</i> < 0.001).
Lee et al. (23) 2020 Malaysian	Household	3–6 years	396	Cross-sectional study	Exposed to SHS (AOR = $1.67, 95\%$ CI = $0.168-$ 0.857, $p = 0.004$) were significantly associated with dental caries.
Tang et al. (192) 2020 China	Postnatal household	3 years	283	Cross-sectional study	There might be a potential negative effect of SHS on the deciduous caries ($p < 0.05$).
Nakayama et al. (22) 2019 Japan	Household	3 years	2,277	Cross-sectional study	Exposure to SHS was significantly associated with higher odds of ECC (OR = 1.74 , 95% CI = $1.38-2.20$, $p < 0.001$) and S-ECC (OR = 1.81 , 95% CI = $1.25-2.62$, $p = 0.002$).
Goto et al. (27) 2019 Japan	Household	3–6 years	405	Cross-sectional study	Exposure to SHS may have a dose-dependent influence on the development of caries (> 3 pack- years of exposure: OR = 5.55, 95% CI = 2.17–14.22; ≤3 pack-years of exposure: OR = 1.47, 95% CI = 0.56–3.84).
Julihn et al. (193) 2018 Sweden	Prenatal maternal	3 years	73,658	Cross-sectional study	Maternal smoking during pregnancy were predictors of dental caries in preschool children (OR = 1.58, 95% CI = 1.39–1.79).
NN et al. (194) 2017 New Zealand	Prenatal postnatal	15-69 months	44	Cross-sectional study	Participants exposed to ETS were more likely to have dental caries ($p < 0.05$).
Bernabe et al. (195) 2017 Scotland	Postnatal maternal	1–4 years	1,102	Cohort study	The predicted mean difference in dmfs was 1.66 (95% CI = 0.57–2.75) between children of smoking and non-smoking mothers.
Tanaka et al. (196) 2015 Japan	Prenatal postnatal	0–3 years	76,920	Cohort study	The propensity score adjusted hazard ratio between maternal smoking during pregnancy and having no smoker in the family was $1.10 (95\%)$ CI = $0.97-1.25$.

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Author Year Country	Source of SHS	Age of participants	Sample size	Study design	Results OR/95% CI/ <i>p</i> value
Tanaka et al. (28) 2015 Japan	Prenatal postnatal	3 years	6,412	Cross-sectional study	Maternal smoking during pregnancy and postnatal SHS exposure may be associated with dental caries in primary dentition (AOR = 1.62, 95% CI = 1.23– 2.11).
Nakayama et al. (34) 2015 Japan	Maternal	3 years	1,801	Cross-sectional study	Maternal smoking was significantly associated with the risk of ECC (OR = 1.91 , 95% CI = $1.43-2.54$).
Majorana et al. (197) 2014 Italian	Prenatal postnatal	24-30 months	2,395	Cross-sectional study	Environmental exposure to smoke during the first year of life was also significantly associated with caries severity (OR = 7.14 , 95% CI = $6.07-7.28$).
Plonka et al. (198) 2013 Australia	Household	0-36 months	1,017	Longitudinal case- control	Children who showed caries by 30 months were more likely to be exposure to SHS ($p = 0.02$).
Tanaka et al. (25) 2010 Japan	Household	6–15 years	20,703	Cross-sectional study	SHS was independently associated with an increased prevalence of DFT [AOR (95% CI) for former and current light and heavy household smoking were 1.03 (1.00–1.05), 1.04 (1.02–1.05), and 1.04 (1.03–1.06), respectively].
Tanaka et al. (29) 2009 Japan	Prenatal postnatal	3 years	2,015	Cross-sectional study	Both prenatal and postnatal exposure to ETS was associated with dental caries in young children [AOR (95% CI) were 1.43 (1.07–1.91) and 1.25 (1.04–1.50)].
Hanioka et al. (35) 2008 Japan	Parental	3 years	711	Cross-sectional study	The association of ECC with paternal smoking was weaker than with maternal smoking [AOR (95% CI) were 1.52 (1.10–2.30) and 2.25 (1.51–3.37)].
Leroy et al. (199) 2008 Belgium	Parental	3–5 years	2,533	Cross-sectional study	The significant relationship between parental smoking behavior and caries experience persisted after adjusting for the other evaluated variables (OR = 3.36, 95% CI = 1.49–7.58).
Shenkin et al. (200) 2004 USA	Household	4-7 years	637	Cohort study	ETS was associated with an increased risk of caries among children (OR = 3.38 , 95% CI = $1.68-6.79$, p = 0.001).
Aligne et al. (21) 2003 USA	Household	4–11 years	3,531	Cross-sectional study	For dental caries in deciduous teeth, the AOR was 1.8 (95% CI = 1.2–2.7) for the risk of decayed surfaces and 1.4 (95% CI = 1.1–2.0) for filled surfaces.
Williams et al. (33) 2000 England	Parental	3–4.5 years	749	Cross-sectional study	Maternal smoking is a significant factor to be considered as an additional caries risk indicator (OR = 1.54, 95% CI = 1.07–2.21).

OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; AOR, adjusted odds ratio; RR, risk ratio; SHS, secondhand smoke; THS, thirdhand smoke; ICDAS, International Caries Detection and Assessment System; DMFT score, the number of decayed, missing or filled permanent tooth due to dental caries; dmfs, the number of decayed, missing or filled primary tooth surface due to dental caries; ECC, early childhood caries; S-ECC, severe early childhood caries; ETS, environmental tobacco smoke.

of smokers in the household, cigarette consumption at home and smoking frequency.

Goto et al. (27) examined the association of exposure to ETS with dental caries among preschool children. Exposure to ETS was assessed in terms of urinary cotinine concentrations and pack-years of exposure to smoking by parents and other family members at home. The results observed significant dose relationships of the pack-years of exposure to SHS with the presence of dental caries (\leq 3 pack-years

of exposure: OR = 1.47, 95% CI = 0.56-3.84; >3 pack-years of exposure: OR = 5.55, 95% CI = 2.17-14.22). In addition, the study also found that the urinary cotinine level was significantly associated with the presence of dental caries, and the dose–response relationship was significantly positive. Other studies have also assessed the pack-years or pack-months of smoking by family members with regard to dental caries (25, 28, 29). All studies observed a significant dose–response relationship similar to Goto's study.



3 Prenatal SHS exposure, compared to postnatal exposure alone, is hypothesized to heighten susceptibility to dental caries, particularly ECC and SECC.

In 2021, Zhong et al. (30) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis on this topic. They concluded that there was a significant correlation between maternal smoking during pregnancy and childhood caries both in cross-sectional studies (OR = 1.57, 95% CI = 1.47-1.67) and longitudinal studies (OR = 1.26, 95% CI = 1.07-1.48). However, they reported more prospective and extensive studies on this theme are needed for verification. In 2024, a latest systematic review and meta-analysis included 2 new cohort studies and 1 new cross-sectional study to have more valid results (31). This systematic review and meta-analysis of 85,159 showed smoking during pregnancy increases the risk of tooth caries in children by 78% (OR = 1.78, 95%CI = 1.55-2.05). Notably, in a 2015 cross-sectional study, Tanaka et al. (28) indicated that maternal smoking during the first trimester of pregnancy poses the most significant risk of dental caries in children. Contradicting the results of Tanaka's study, in a 2021 cohort study Akinkugbe et al. (32) reported the third trimester of pregnancy as the most associated trimester with caries in children.

4 Maternal smoking, in contrast to paternal smoking, appears to steer children toward an escalating trajectory of dental caries incidence attributable to household smoking exposure.

The mother may influence her child more strongly than other family members, as the mother is likely to spend a longer time with the child. Additionally, a transmission of *S. mutans* from smoking mothers to their children may be considered an impact of maternal smoking on pediatric dental caries. It is concluded that maternal smoking is considered as an additional risk indicator beyond social class when predicting caries risk in young children (33). According to a cross-sectional study including 1,801 3-year-old Japanese children, maternal smoking was significantly associated with a higher prevalence of dental caries compared to paternal smoking (paternal smoking OR = 1.40, 95% CI = 1.12–1.75, maternal smoking OR = 2.52, 95% CI = 1.98–3.22) (34). Similarly, another study conducted in Japan reported that dental caries prevalence in 3-yearold children was associated with the smoking status of parents. The association was weaker with respect to paternal than maternal smoking OR = 2.25, 95% CI = 1.51–3.37), but the impact of smoking of other members of the household cannot be excluded (35).

The mechanisms underlying the adverse effects of SHS on dental caries remain elusive. Mosharrafian et al. (36) suggested that passive smoking contributes to increased dental plaque accumulation, akin to active smoking mechanisms. Nicotine present in tobacco fosters the growth and adhesion of S. mutans directly to tooth surfaces, thereby elevating the prevalence of this cariogenic bacterium among passive smokers (37, 38). Strong evidence also indicates a heightened transmission of S. mutans from smoking parents, particularly mothers, to infants during infancy (39, 40). Moreover, nicotine exposure has been linked to enhanced biofilm formation, increased extracellular poly-accharide precipitation, reduced vitamin C absorption, and heightened acidogenic bacterial growth (41). Passive smoking is further associated with an increased risk of recurrent upper respiratory tract infections and mouth breathing, leading to xerostomia characterized by reduced salivary flow rate and diminished saliva protective factors (42, 43). In the embryonic tooth development phase, nicotine has been reported to impede mineralization of human dental pulp cells under prenatal ETS exposure, thereby heightening susceptibility to dental caries (44). Another contributing factor is the association of smoking habits with poor dietary choices, unhealthy lifestyles, and low health awareness (45). Consequently, schoolchildren exposed to passive smoking may emulate their parents' behavior, impacting their oral health outcomes (25).

2.2 Particulate matter

PM is a complex mixture of solid, liquid or solid-liquid particles suspended in the air, which "affects more people than any other pollutant" as WHO state. PM is primarily derived from the combustion processes involving coal, gasoline, and diesel fuels in vehicular and industrial contexts. It is generally categorized by its mean aerodynamic diameter as PM10 (PM <10 µm in diameter), PM2.5 (<2.5 µm) or ultrafine particles (<0.1 µm). High levels of PM in the air drastically increased the number of people with cardiovascular diseases (46) and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (47). Moradi et al. (48) aimed to estimate the health effects attributed to PM2.5 pollutants in the air of Ardabil in 2018 (using Air Q + model). The results suggested that PM2.5 exposure even at low concentrations was associated with an increased risk of overall mortality and specific cause mortality and hospital admissions for respiratory and cardiovascular diseases. Otherwise, PAHs as part of PM can cause many complications in humans, including respirations (49), cardiovascular diseases (50) and increased risk of cancer (51).

Oral and nasal cavity are the first channel for PM to enter human body during breathing. In addition, data has indicated that PM2.5 can also deposit in the oral cavity apart from lungs (52). This finding suggests that oral cavity is likely to be one of the fragile organs while exposed to PM especially PM2.5. Additionally, previous studies support that PM can induce reactive oxygen species (ROS) and oxidative stress which contributes to many biological events such as cellular dysfunction, inflammation and oxidative damage (53). During recent years, oxidative stress is reported to involve in the development of many oral diseases such as dental caries, lichen planus, oral cancer, and most importantly chronic periodontitis (54, 55). Chronic oxidative stress and ROS in oral cavity as well as acidic pH on dental enamel surface due to the metabolic activities of bacterial plaque are the major contributors in the development and progression of dental caries (56). The salivary antioxidant capacity was found significantly lower in children with dental caries compared to their caries free counterpart (57). Thus, it's a promising clue that PM induce caries through ROS-mediated oxidative stress. What's more, PM including PM2.5 and PM10 have been demonstrated to absorb and condense high concentrations of heavy metals such as lead and cadmium which are associated with increased risk of dental caries as described below (58, 59). A study reported the contents and characteristics of atmospheric PM2.5-bound heavy metals in the northern part of the Persian Gulf from December 2016 to September 2017 (58). The average concentration levels of seven measured metals (Cd, Co, Cr, Fe, Ni, Pb, and V) in the PM2.5 samples were in the range of 6.03 ng/m³ to 1,335.94 ng/m³, and the order of their concentration was Fe > Ni > Pb > Cr > Cd > V > Co. In addition, it's well-known that a persistent acidic state in the mouth can lead to tooth demineralization and increase the risk of dental caries. At the same time, several studies have reported the strong acidity of PM2.5, so it is reasonable to assume that long-term exposure to PM2.5 may lead to oral pH disturbances, which can lead to the occurrence of dental caries (60).

In conclusion, these findings provide preliminary evidence in support of the views of underlying interlinkage between PM2.5 and oral health status. Although existing evidence remains not compelling, the potential of PM2.5 to induce damages in oral health should not be overlooked. Future research such as cross-sectional study and prospective cohort study should be designed to examine this plausible hypothesis. If the relationship between PM2.5 exposure and caries is confirmed, and the underlying mechanism is demonstrated, it will provide an important basis for controlling environmental PM2.5 as a public intervention to reduce the incidence of dental caries in the community.

2.3 Heavy metals and other chemical pollutants

Chemicals including heavy metals, organochlorine and organophosphate pesticides, organic solvents, pesticide et al. are widespread in the global environment and are proved to cause adverse effects to human health. Chemical pollutants are responsible for 1.8 million deaths annually worldwide, a figure likely underestimated due to their pervasive presence in the modern environment, according to the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (5). Among them, heavy metals are reported to be highly toxic, widespread and persistent in our environments. They also have numerous exposure routes, including food ingestion like wheat (61), rice (62), even sugar (63), urban dust inhalation (64), and dermal absorption (65), subsequently inducing some health effects. There have been increasing environmental and public health concerns resulting from heavy metals contamination in recent times. Using data collected in the 2017-2020 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), Akinkugbe et al. (66) examined the association between environmental metals and dental caries among U.S. children and adolescents. The results showed that increased exposure to a metal mixture was significantly related to an increased prevalence of dental caries.

Among the many metal mixtures, lead is one of the most toxic and pervasive pollutants in society and mainly accumulated in bones and teeth in humans. Even maternal exposure to lead decades before pregnancy can subsequently result in lead exposure of the developing fetus due to its long half-life of approximately 62 years. Using data collected in the NHANES III including 24,901 participants, Moss et al. (67) suggested that the blood lead level was significantly associated with the number of decayed teeth for both deciduous and permanent teeth in all age U.S. groups. In 2000s, two cross-sectional studies consistently supported the association between lead exposure and caries prevalence and pointed that this association was stronger in primary dentition than in permanent dentition (68, 69). The correlation between blood lead levels and caries in deciduous teeth was confirmed in later studies (70), while that in permanent teeth was not statistically significantly (71, 72). In terms of mechanism exploration, Hou et al. (73) reported the chronic lead exposure can reduce salivary sialic acid level, attenuate oral anti-inflammatory potential and increase the risk of dental caries among preschool children.

In addition to lead exposure, cadmium is also a widespread environmental contaminant. Cadmium enters the body mainly via respiration and the digestive tract and even low-dose cadmium exposure can be potentially toxic after long-term accumulation due to the long half-life of approximately 10–30 years. Arora et al. (74) conducted related epidemiological experiments using data from the NHANES III in America and proposed that environmental cadmium exposure may be associated with increased risk of dental caries in deciduous teeth. In conclusion, above findings may help in part to explain the comparatively high levels of dental caries observed in the cities where exposure to lead or cadmium is common.

Apart from heavy metals, the negative impact of radiation exposure on the general health of children has frequently been described, but only little scientific insight has been gathered on the development of oral diseases. A systematic review published in 2019 analyzed the data concerning the caries prevalence in children born and permanently residing in Chernobyl fallout areas. The results showed that although individual studies demonstrated a greater prevalence of dental caries in children residing in radiationcontaminated areas, no conclusive statement is possible regarding the effect of small dose radiation on the dentition (75). Hence, further high-quality epidemiologic investigations are needed.

3 Environmental pollution and periodontal diseases

Periodontitis, characterized by inflammatory disorders affecting the supporting tissues surrounding the teeth, significantly impacts tooth loss and affects a substantial portion of the population. Periimplantitis is an inflammatory damage that occurs in the soft and hard tissues around the implant which can eventually lead to the loosening and falling of the implant. Recent research has illuminated the relationship between environmental pollution and health outcomes, significantly advancing our understanding of the association between periodontal diseases and environmental factors.

3.1 Secondhand smoke

Given smoking's recognized role as a major preventable risk factor in periodontal disease, researchers have explored whether SHS also contributes to the prevalence of periodontitis. In 2001, Arbes et al. (76) conducted a cross-sectional study about the relationship between SHS and periodontitis for the first time. This result suggested that passive smoking may also have a harmful effect on periodontal health. Subsequent studies further confirmed this relationship (Table 2). For these studies, the effect of SHS on periodontitis can be summarized as follows:

- 1 SHS can influence the gingival index (GI), clinical attachment level (CAL), probing depth (PD) and tooth loss.
- 2 The effect of SHS for periodontitis is dose-dependent.

However, the correlation between SHS and periodontitis is still under debate (77–79). A recent systematic review including both epidemiological and *in vitro* studies by Javed et al. (80) stated that the association was inconclusive and called for additional studies. For adults, Akinkugbe et al. (81) conducted systematic review and metaanalysis to summarize the epidemiological evidence on SHS exposure and prevalent periodontitis endpoints among nonsmokers. The results pointed out that although the findings are consistent with a positive association, there was no meaningful difference in summary estimate for studies reporting CAL and/or PD endpoint (n = 6; random effects POR = 1.34 [0.93, 1.94]) as opposed to tooth loss (n = 2; random effects POR = 1.33 [0.52, 3.40]). After analyzed, this review considered that the magnitude of association depended mostly on the method of ETS assessment (cotinine-measured exposure stronger than selfreported exposure).

On the other hand, for children and adolescents, study findings regarding the impact of SHS on periodontal diseases are also controversial, even in studies conducted in the same country (82, 83). A systematic review and meta-analysis published in 2022 revealed that children and adolescents exposed to SHS exhibited significantly higher levels of GI compared to unexposed (SMD = 1.03, 95% CI = 0.17-1.89), but no difference was observed for PD (SMD = 0.34, 95% CI = 0.14-0.82), with overall very low certainty on evidence (84). The meta-analysis also reported that there is a necessity for further research to draw conclusions on other pertinent periodontal parameters such as bleeding on probing and CAL. In conclusion, the association between ETS and periodontal disease remains debatable and requires further investigations.

Although scientists are also interested in the effects of SHS on periimplantitis, current studies are still limited to animal experiments. In 2002, trials conducted by Nociti et al. (85, 86) revealed that intermittent cigarette smoke inhalation may lead to poor bone quality around titanium implants particularly in the cancellous bone area. Moreover, experiments conducted by César-Neto et al. (87) showed that nicotine and cotinine are the main molecules which lead to negative effects. In addition, another study proved that cigarette smoke inhalation amplified the deleterious effects of estrogen deficiency on bone healing around titanium implants (88). To find the possible mitigation methods, scholars designed relevant experiments. Correa et al. (89) did aluminum oxide blast surface treatment and found that it can increase the degree of bone-to-implant contact but cannot overcome the detrimental effect of tobacco smoke on bone around titanium implants. A systematic review and meta-analysis of nine preclinical studies published in 2018 assessed the influence of involuntary cigarette smoke inhalation on osseointegration of dental plants (90). The results showed that significant differences could be observed for bone-to-implant contact for test subjects in cancellous (Z = -4.08, p < 0.001) and cortical bone (Z = -4.31, p < 0.001) respectively.

In recent years, the use of electronic cigarette (e-cigarette) has increased particularly among adolescents. E-cigarettes are electronic nicotine delivery systems which mimic tobacco smoking without the combustion of tobacco. While the oral health sequelae of conventional smoking are well-established, information on the effects of e-cigarette smoke on oral health is still scarce. Over the past few years, there have been an increasing number of studies conducted to explore oral health effects of e-cigarette, while epidemiological studies highlight concerns over oral dryness, gingival and periodontal diseases (91, 92). Although the hazardous substances released by e-cigarette aerosols is not completely benign for bystanders. According to a cross-sectional study on a representative sample of the population aged \geq 15 years in 12 European countries, 16.0% of e-cigarette non-users were exposed to TABLE 2 Studies accessing exposure to secondhand smoke and periodontitis risk.

Author Year Country	Age of participants	Sample size	Study design	Results OR/95% CI/p value	
Umemori et al. (201) 2020 Japan	≥65 years	18,865	Cross-sectional study	The OR for having no teeth rather than having \geq 20 teeth among the participants with daily exposure to SHS was 1.35 (95% CI = 1.08–1.68, $p < 0.01$).	
Karsiyaka Hendek et al. (83) 2019 Turkey	6–12 years	180	Cross-sectional study	The PI and GI values were significantly higher in SHS- exposed children ($p = 0.000$).	
Sutton et al. (202) 2017 USA	≥30 years	4,329	Cross-sectional study	There was a 28% increase in the odds of periodontitis for those with any ETS exposure ($p = 0.01, 95\%$ CI = 1.06–1.55).	
Sanders et al. (203) 2013 USA	Adults	3,137	Cross-sectional study	Odds of periodontitis for those exposed to SHS were elevated 2-fold relative to those who were unexposed (OR = 2.03, 95% CI = 1.30–3.20).	
Sutton et al. (204) 2012 USA	Adults	3,137	Cross-sectional study	Adults with high ETS exposure (cotinine ≥ 1.5 ng/mL) had more than twice the odds of periodontitis (OR = 2.3, 95% CI = 1.3-4.1).	
Erdemir et al. (82) 2010 Turkey	6-12 years	109	Cross-sectional study	The mean CAL was significantly less in SHS-exposed children (0.09 mm; $p < 0.05$).	
Nishida et al. (205) 2008 Japan	Adults	200	Cohort study	Insignificantly higher periodontitis OR in involuntary smokers (OR = 2.23, 95% CI = 1.03–4.83) relative to non-smokers.	
Nishida et al. (206) 2006 Japan	Adults	273	Cross-sectional study	Passive smoke exposure leads to elevation of salivary markers related to periodontitis including IL-1beta, albumin and AST levels.	
Yamamoto et al. (207) 2005 Japan	Adults	273	Cross-sectional study	Significantly higher periodontitis OR in passive smokers relative to non-smokers (OR = 2.87 , 95% CI = $1.05-7.82$).	
Tanaka et al. (208) 2005 Japan	Pregnant women	1,002	Cross-sectional study	Passive smoking at home was associated with an increased prevalence of tooth loss in Japanese young adult women (AOR = 1.79 , 95% CI = $1.08-2.94$).	
Arbes et al. (76) 2001 USA	≥18 years	6,611	Cross-sectional study	The AOR of having periodontal disease were 1.6 (95% CI = 1.1–2.2) times greater for persons exposed to ETS.	

OR, odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio; 95%CI, 95% confidence interval; SHS, secondhand smoke; ETS, environmental tobacco smoke; PI, periodontal index; GI, gingival index; CAL, clinical attachment loss; IL-1beta, interleukin-1beta; AST, aspartate aminotransferase.

secondhand e-cigarette aerosol in any indoor setting at least weekly (93). Two studies revealed that the levels of airborne nicotine and cotinine concentrations in the homes with e-cigarette users were higher than control homes (94, 95). The results showed that non-smokers passively exposed to e-cigarette absorbed nicotine. Additionally, the exposure to primary and secondhand e-cigarette aerosol is proved to be related to higher metal concentrations in the biological samples (96) which may cause potential damage to oral health. In conclusion, the adverse effects on oral health of long-term primary and secondhand exposure to e-cigarette are yet to be determined. Additional clinical and animal-exposure model research is critically needed to validate this association as the use of e-cigarette continues to grow.

Diverse mechanisms are known to interpret the detrimental periodontal effects of passive smoking, mainly including the alteration of both microorganism and host response (Figure 2). Exposure to SHS is proved to suppress the function of gingival fibroblasts and B-cells, impair epithelial cell growth and increase the production of interleukin IL-4, IL-5, IL-10 and IL-13 resulting in increased inflammatory burden and potential alveolar bone loss (80). Numerous compounds in ETS including nicotine, ammonia, nitrogen, and sulfur oxides are demonstrated to have likely harmful effects on periodontal tissues in clinical, vitro and animal experimental studies. Eramo et al. (97) revealed the possible relationship between the salivary concentration of cotinine and the pathogenesis of periodontal disease in passive smokers for the first time. Later, cell experiments conducted by Teughels et al. (98) indicated that the susceptibility of epithelial cells to be colonized by periodontal pathogen could be altered by nicotine, cotinine, or cigarette smoke extract (CSE) in a time-dependent, species-specific manner. What's more, nicotine, cotinine and CSE can directly induce



superoxide generation by otherwise unstimulated neutrophils, stimulating ROS release and oxidative stress mediated tissue damage (99, 100). Sadaoka et al. (101) found that nicotine can increase chromogranin A (ChgA) production of human periodontal ligament-derived fibroblasts, which is involved in the immunomodulation system. What's more, nicotine can induce a peripheral vasoconstrictive effect that minimizes oxygen delivery to tissues, leading to impairment of periodontal health (102). There are also animal experiments proposing that SHS increased alveolar bone loss in periodontitis with enhanced expression of COX-2 and SHP-2 in periodontal tissues (103).

3.2 Particulate matter

In addition to SHS, other types of air pollution such as PM can also contribute to various periodontal health symptoms. C-reactive protein (CRP) is a highly sensitive biomarker of inflammation, which has been widely used to estimate the presence and the intensity of inflammation (104). The association between PM and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) has been well documented in epidemiological studies (105). Moreover, recent studies have linked periodontitis to elevated hs-CRP levels compared to periodontally healthy individuals (106). Yang et al. (107) conducted a crosssectional study to investigate whether PM2.5 exposure had greater effects on increasing hs-CRP among periodontitis patients. The results indicated that personal exposure to PM2.5 significantly increased hs-CRP. Nonetheless, the presence of periodontal disease led to a considerably increased effect magnitude by more than eight folds, suggesting that periodontal patients are probably more susceptible to PM2.5-induced hs-CRP release.

Furthermore, evidence has demonstrated that the carbon components of PM2.5, including elementary carbon and organic carbon, can lead to the overproduction of oral ROS (108, 109). Accumulating evidence shows that periodontitis patients have high level of ROS production and the excessive production of ROS plays a key role in periodontitis-related tissue destruction, among which ROS directly exert cytotoxic and oxidative damage to tissues (110). Therefore, despite lack of direct evidence, it is reasonable to assume that exposure to PM2.5 possibly cause adverse inflammatory reactions in periodontal tissues and promote periodontal tissue damage by increasing ROS concentration within the mouth. A cohort study conducted in 2023 investigated the association among air pollutants, meteorological factors, and periodontal diseases simultaneously (111). The results revealed that PM2.5 exposure increased the risk of periodontitis (RR = 1.049, 95% CI = 1.004-1.096) and older people patients with gingivitis and periodontitis were both vulnerable to PM2.5 exposure. Besides, the adverse effects of air pollutants exposure on periodontal diseases may vary depending on meteorological factors including ambient temperature and humidity.

In conclusion, although the exact mechanism remains unclear, PM2.5 is preliminarily proven capably contributing to adverse inflammatory responses in periodontium, promoting the damage of periodontal tissues (Figure 3). Future studies are essentially needed to examine the causal relationship between exposure to PM2.5 and the development of periodontal disease.



3.3 Heavy metals and other chemical pollutants

Heavy metals also have certain effects on periodontal health. Animal experiment demonstrated significant, time-dependent effects of cadmium on periodontal bone resorption (112). Arora et al. (113) analyzed the data from NHANES III in America and examined the relationship between environmental cadmium exposure and periodontal disease in American adults. The results showed that a 3-fold increase in urinary cadmium concentrations was associated with 54% greater odds of prevalent periodontal disease (OR = 1.54, 95% CI = 1.26-1.87). Another two cross-sectional studies conducted in 2020s in America demonstrated that both high lead and cadmium concentrations in serum and urinary have a significant association with periodontitis (114, 115). Additionally, by analyzing data from the NHANES IV in Korea, two studies showed that the ORs for periodontitis were significantly associated with serum cadmium and lead levels (116, 117). In 2023, a study conducted in China investigated the association between heavy metals in tap water and periodontitis in a nationally representative sample (118).

Apart from heavy metals, phthalates are widely used plasticizers, which were identified as risk factors in the development of many human diseases. Wu et al. (119) found that exposure to multiple phthalates was positively associated with periodontitis in US adults between 30 and 80 years old by activating NF κ B pathway.

4 Environmental pollution and orofacial clefts

OFCs are among the most frequent birth defects with a complex etiology, which can be unilateral or bilateral, complete or incomplete,

and may involve the lip only, the palate only, or both. In China, the incidence of OFCs is notably high, which is believed to be linked to environmental pollution and disparities in economic and medical development. Data on perinatal infants and children with cleft lip and/ or cleft palate from 2015 to 2018 in Guangdong province of China found that the incidence of OFCs was 7.55/10,000 (120). Growing evidence points to a link between maternal exposure to environmental pollutants during pregnancy and increase risk of OFCs.

4.1 Secondhand smoke

Smoking has been extensively linked to various congenital anomalies, including OFCs. Numerous studies have investigated the association between smoking and non-syndromic orofacial clefts (NSOFCs), consistently finding a positive correlation with both maternal active and passive smoking.

Although the magnitude of this association varies across studies, meta-analysis has strengthened the validation of these risks associated with passive smoking. For instance, a systematic review and metaanalysis in 2014 highlighted a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of NSOFC due to maternal passive smoking, similar to the magnitude of risk reported for active smoking (121). Another systematic review and meta-analysis recently published in 2023 updated the literature on the association between SHS and NSOFCs. This meta-analysis findings revealed that maternal SHS exposure was linked to a more than 1.5-fold increase in the risk of having a child with NSOFC, exhibiting a higher odds ratio compared to maternal active smoking (122).

Multiple hypothesized mechanisms explain how tobacco smoke escalates the risk of OFCs. Tobacco smoke contains nicotine and various teratogens like PAHs, dioxins, carbon monoxide, pesticides, and heavy metals such as cadmium. The link between heavy metal exposure and OFCs has been validated in both animal models and human studies. Heavy metals likely act as teratogens by inducing oxidative stress and disrupting redox-sensitive signaling pathways (123, 124). The research on the mechanism of environmental pollution on OFCs has gone deep into the genetic level. By genome wide association studies, scientists find that exposure to SHS can influence the expression of some genes, such as SPRY2 (125), SLC2A9 and WDR1 (126), BMP4 (127), RUNX2 (128), miR-140 (129), SUMO1111 (130), IRF6 (131), MYH9 (132), TGFbeta3 (133), and MSX1 (134).

4.2 Particular matter and gaseous air pollutants

Investigating whether PM or other gaseous air pollutants can traverse the placenta to reach the fetus is a crucial inquiry. Bongaerts et al. (135) found that maternally inhaled carbonaceous air pollution particles can cross the placenta and then translocate into human fetal organs during gestation. This phenomenon represents a potential mechanism elucidating the harmful health impacts of air pollution starting from early life. Upon entering fetal organs, PM may trigger adverse effects by instigating oxidative stress and provoking inflammatory responses.

Maternal exposure to ambient air pollution has been proved to be related to oral clefts in offspring, however the epidemiologic evidence is equivocal. In 2023, in order to further analyze the relationship between air pollutants and the occurrence of OFCs, Huang et al. (136) conducted systematic review and meta-analysis including 11 case–control and cohort studies to explore the possible correlation between common environmental air pollution such as PM2.5, PM10, SO₂, NO₂, O₃, CO and the occurrence of neonatal OFCs. Their findings revealed a significant statistical correlation between exposure to PM10, PM2.5, O₃ and the risk of OFCs in the second month of pregnancy. Conversely, no association was found between exposure to SO₂, CO, NO₂ during pregnancy and the risk of OFCs. These results align with the conclusions of Rao et al. (137) previous meta-analysis, indicating that O₃ exposure increases the risk of OFCs.

4.3 Heavy metals and other chemical pollutants

The presence of toxic elements is linked to the development of OFCs. If mothers are exposed to toxic elements during pregnancy due to their milieu or occupations, infants might be at a higher risk for OFCs. Notably, heavy metals including mercury, cadmium, lead, and arsenic, nickel, which are well-known toxic elements, have all been demonstrated to breach the placental barrier. Consequently, the adverse impacts of these elements on reproductive health have been extensively documented.

A case–control study explored the relationship between lead and cadmium concentrations in umbilical cord tissues and the risk of OFCs, revealing that heightened utero exposure to these metals may elevate the risks of OFCs in newborns (124). In blood samples, one cohort study found that exposure to lead and cadmium during pregnancy was not associated with isolated cleft lip and palate at the low exposure level (138); another cross-sectional study indicated a significant association between elevated lead and cadmium levels in infants' blood and the risk of OFCs (139). Additionally, one case-control study showed that prenatal exposure to cadmium and lead, as reflected by their concentrations in placental tissues, is associated with an increased risk for neonatal OFCs and showed a dose-response pattern (123). Apart from lead and cadmium, the effects on OFCs of other heavy metals including Mercury (123, 138, 139), Barium (140, 141), Strontium (140, 142), Uranium (143, 144), Thorium (144), Arsenic (124, 145) and Nickel (124, 146) have also been investigated, although very little information about the OFCs related to these metals mentioned above is available and the results keep controversial.

Additionally, pesticides are among the exposures reportedly associated with increased risks for OFCs. The risk of OFCs associated with maternal and paternal pesticide exposures separately was examined by conducting a meta-analysis of studies published from 1966 through 2005 (147). The results reported that maternal occupational exposure was associated with an increased risk of OFCs (OR = 1.37, 95% CI = 1.04-1.81), whereas the estimates were somewhat weaker for paternal occupational exposures (OR = 1.16, 95% CI = 0.94-1.44). Recently, more epidemiologic studies had explored the associations between parental occupational pesticide exposure and OFCs. Consistent with the previous studies, maternal occupational pesticide exposures suggest positive associations with OFCs whereas those for paternal exposures are mixed (148–150). Yang et al. (151) firstly explored the association between in utero exposures to organochlorine pesticides and the occurrence of OFCs and the conclusion did not support this association. Studies also evaluated the effects of parental occupational exposure to more chemical contaminants, including organic solvents, dust, gases, and fumes on the development of OFCs (149, 150, 152). Among them, a metaanalysis examined the association between maternal occupational exposure to solvents, pesticides and metals as assessed by expertbased assessment and congenital anomalies in the offspring (152). The results found that there was an association between maternal occupational exposure to solvents and OFCs, but no associations between occupational exposure to pesticides or metals and OFCs in the offspring in this meta-analysis. Larger studies are needed to confirm this finding.

5 Environmental pollution and cancer

5.1 Secondhand smoke

Cancer remains a leading cause of mortality globally, posing a significant challenge to increasing life expectancy worldwide. Head and neck cancer (HNC) ranks among the most prevalent cancers, with approximately 890,000 new cases and 450,000 deaths reported in 2018 according to Global Cancer Statistics (153). HNC primarily affects regions such as the oral cavity, nasopharynx, oropharynx, hypopharynx, and larynx. The established link between tobacco smoking and HNC is well-documented, with SHS also shown to have a carcinogenic effect in non-smokers.

Data from a large case–control study in America suggested a role for SHS in the etiology of HNC (154). Further investigations, such as

10.3389/fpubh.2024.1482991

a case-control study focusing on an East Asian population, also supported the association between SHS and HNC risk, highlighting a potentially stronger link with oral cavity cancer compared to other HNC subsites (155). Idris et al. (156) prospectively evaluated the role of SHS on recurrence and survival in treated HNC patients. The results showed that SHS significantly increased recurrence and decrease recurrence-free survival, indicating SHS exposure as an independent predictor of recurrence and survival after HNC treatment. However, the underlying mechanisms responsible for these negative patient outcomes remain unclear. To delve into the effects of SHS exposure on HNC treatment, Sadhasivam et al. (157) investigated SHS's influence on cisplatin efficacy in cancer cells. Their findings demonstrated that SHS exposure can enhance cisplatin resistance by altering the expression of proteins involved in multidrug resistance, thereby increasing cancer cells' ability to evade cisplatin-induced cell death. These results underscore the importance for clinicians to consider SHS exposure's potential impact on HNC etiology and treatment outcomes.

A systematic review and meta-analysis by Mariano et al. (158) in 2022 further solidified the link between SHS exposure and oral cancer risk. The results found that compared with non-exposed individuals, the duration of SHS exposure of more than 10 or 15 years increased the risk of oral cancer (OR = 2.07, 95%) CI = 1.54-2.79, p < 0.00001), supporting a causal association between SHS exposure and oral cancer. Nasopharyngeal cancer (NPC) is one of the most common cancers in southern China. Case-control studies conducted in southern China suggested that there was a strong and statistically significant positive association between NPC risk and exposure to substantial SHS especially as a child or as an adult in women (159). What's more, Chen et al. (160) evaluated the confounding effects of passive smoking on association between tea and oral cancer in Chinese women, showing that tea consumption reduces the risk of oral cancer in Chinese women, but this effect is modified by the carcinogenic effects of passive smoking.

5.2 Particulate matter

Since 2013, outdoor air pollution, particularly the PM component, has been officially classified as a carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer under the World Health Organization. Previous research has demonstrated that ROS-mediated oxidative stress upon exposure to PM2.5 can activate signaling pathways, modulate transcription factors, and damage DNA, thereby initiating and promoting tumor development, including lung cancer and non-lung cancer (161, 162).

In 2019, Chu et al. (163) directly link PM2.5 exposure to an increased risk of oral cancer. Their analysis included 482,659 Taiwanese men over the age of 40 and revealed that high levels of PM2.5 (>40.37 μ g/m³) were associated with a 43% higher likelihood of developing oral cancer, including cancers of the lips, tongue, cheeks, mouth floor, and hard and soft palate. A meta-analysis of 14 studies was conducted to find out the possible relationships of exposure to mixed air contaminants and oral cancer (164). The meta-analysis results suggested a statistically significant relationship of oral cancer and PM2.5 exposure (OR = 1.13, 95% CI: 1.06, 1.20). Additionally, high concentrations

of both coarse PM (PM2.5–10) and fine PM (PM2.5) were significantly linked to a higher risk of NPC in Taiwanese men (165, 166). Study from Yang et al. (167) further underscored the positive association between ambient air pollutants like SO_2 , PM10, and notably NO₂, and NPC incidence in China.

Overall, there is a compelling case to view PM as a carcinogenic factor contributing to the initiation of oral cancer and NPC. However, several gaps remain, particularly regarding the kinetics of PM in the oral cavity and the underlying mechanisms of malignancies induced by PM. Therefore, further research is necessary to elucidate these problems.

5.3 Heavy metals and other chemical pollutants

Chemical pollution, especially in occupational settings, is another significant factor contributing to HNC, particularly NPC, especially in high-incidence areas. The carcinogenicity of toxic heavy metals has been extensively studied and proven through numerous experiments. Long-term and short-term exposure to heavy metals are significantly associated with various types of cancers in humans (168, 169). Chronic exposure to heavy metals via food, tobacco smoking and occupational exposure has long been acknowledged as a factor that can increase the incidence of HNC, including laryngeal and nasopharyngeal cancer, among exposed populations (170, 171). Khlifi et al. (172) investigated the risk of laryngeal and nasopharyngeal cancer associated with arsenic and cadmium in the Tunisian population and found that HNC patients' blood levels with arsenic and cadmium were significantly higher than those of controls. Peng et al. (173) suggested that cadmium exposure was associated with NPC in a population with a relatively high prevalence in southeast China. These studies highlight the importance of understanding and mitigating the risks associated with heavy metal exposure in relation to HNC incidence.

Dust generated in wood-related processes has long been recognized as a common occupational risk factor associated with cancer (174). Some studies of the occupational population have reported associations between NPC and occupational exposure to wood dust, but the results remain contentious. To address this, two systematic review and metaanalysis analyze the current epidemiological evidence to examine the association between occupational exposure to wood dust and the risk of NPC. Both studies hint at the contributing effect of wood dust upon NPC (175, 176). Apart from dust, the effects of occupational exposure to other substances on NPC are also discovered. In 2021, Chen et al. (177) did a population-based case-control study, consisting of 2,514 incident NPC cases and 2,586 randomly selected population controls, in southern China. The results showed that occupational exposures to dusts, chemical vapors, exhausts/smokes, or acids/alkalis are associated with an excess risk of NPC. Additional, duration-response trends were observed with increasing duration of occupational exposure. In 2009, a working group of the International Agency for Research on Cancer classified formaldehyde as carcinogenic to humans and concluded that formaldehyde causes NPC (178). However, in recent updated re-analysis of this conclusion, Marsh et al. concluded that the results of the original analysis of NPC-risk are misleading because they are based on inappropriate regression analyses and that their updated re-analysis did not support a persistent association between formaldehyde exposure and NPC risk (179). In addition, studies have evaluated the occupational risk status and incidence of lip and tongue cancer across different occupations in the Nordic countries. The results suggested that certain occupational exposures may be carcinogenic factors in the development of tongue or lip cancer (180, 181).

6 Environmental pollution and other oral diseases

Dental fluorosis is a developmental disturbance of dental enamel, caused by successive exposures to high level of fluoride during tooth development. Fluoride is one of among chemicals that has been shown to cause significant effects in people through drinking water (182). While fluoride at low concentrations in drinking water is beneficial for teeth development, excessive exposure (greater than the World Health Organization guideline value of 1.5 mg/L) can lead to adverse effects. A national study used the 2013-2014 and 2015-2016 NHANES data suggested that exposure to higher concentrations of fluoride in water and having higher plasma levels of fluoride were associated with a greater risk of dental fluorosis (183). In 2019, Demelash et al. (184) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to assess the fluoride concentration in groundwater and the prevalence of dental fluorosis among residents in Ethiopian Rift Valley. Their findings revealed that fluoride levels in groundwater exceeded the standard value (1.5 mg/L), with a relatively high pooled prevalence of dental fluorosis observed. In addition to drinking water sources, in regions such as Yunnan and Guizhou Province in Southwest China, there is increasing evidence indicating that the primary route of fluoride exposure for residents is through coal-burning roasted foodstuffs, particularly roasted pepper and corn. This exposure has been linked to dental fluorosis among children in these areas (185-187).

Although limited, some research has explored the effects of environmental pollution, including air and chemical pollution, on other oral diseases such as tonsil diseases, enamel hypoplasia, oral mucosal disease, bruxism, mumps, pulpal periapical disease, Sjögren's syndrome, dental erosion, and Bell's palsy. Table 3 outlines the relationships between these oral diseases and various types of environmental pollution.

7 Discussion

Environmental pollution and oral diseases are both worldwide health issues that deserve considerable attention. A vast amount of literatures shows that environmental pollutants, including air and chemical pollutants, are important risk factors for oral diseases. This review highlights epidemiological evidence linking environmental pollution and oral diseases such as dental caries, periodontal diseases, OFCs, HNC, as well as other oral diseases. Among numerous environmental pollutants, SHS, PM and heavy metals especially lead and cadmium are of great concern and have been proven to be significantly associated with different oral diseases. Additional contributors, such as radiation pollutants, electronic cigarette, phthalates, gaseous air pollutants, pesticides, solvents, wood dust, formaldehyde and excessive fluoride were investigated, though evidence for their impacts remains limited and often inconclusive. The review also seeks to elucidate potential mechanisms underlying these impacts. Although we have proposed some possible pathogenic mechanisms, including microorganism, inflammation, oxidative stress, genetic influences, and toxicant exposures from heavy metals and other pollutants, more specific details remain to be elucidated in the future.

Most of the epidemiological evidence linking environmental pollution to oral diseases discussed here are from cross-sectional studies. To establish a causal relationship between exposure to environment pollutants and oral diseases, prospective longitudinal studies on this topic with specific and quantified measurement of environmental exposures are encouraged. It is important to investigate the toxic effects of different components of various pollutants and the kinetics after entering the oral cavity. Another important point to note is how exposure is measured. Research should explore reliable and valid method and novel biomarkers for environmental exposure assessment. And at a wide range of exposure levels, more researches should try to establish the dose-response relationship between a pollutant and oral disease. Additionally, it is also critical to control potential confounding variables such as socioeconomic, educational, and behavioral factors. Subsequent research in this area should be meticulously designed to mitigate the potential influence of confounding factors on the results. In terms of mechanism

Oral diseases	Aiı	r pollution	Chemical pollution	Special environment
	SHS	Particulates		
Tonsil diseases	Y (209–211)	Y (212)	Y (213)	Ν
Enamel hypoplasia	Y (194)	Ν	Ν	Fluoride (214) Fluoride and sulfur dioxide (215)
Oral mucosal disease	Y (194, 216–220)	Y (221)	N	Acidic environment (222)
Bruxism	Y (223–225)	N	N	N
Mumps	N	Y (226–228)	N	N
Pulpal periapical disease	N	Y (229, 230)	N	N
Sjögren's syndrome	N	Y (231)	N	N
Dental erosion	N	N	N	Acidic environment (232)
Bell's palsy	N	Y (233)	N	Ν

TABLE 3 Relationships between other oral diseases and environmental pollution.

"Y" means there are literatures supported; "N" means literature not supported.

exploration, most studies focus on their effects on general pathways, such as regional inflammation and oxidative stress and lack of detailed mechanisms of the pathogenesis. More exploration is needed to further explain the specific mechanism. In addition, genetic factors may influence the impacts of environmental pollutants on oral diseases, thus influencing disease susceptibility. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the genetic background of patients and the interaction between environment pollutants in future research.

The significance of this research lies in its contribution to understanding how environmental pollution affects oral health outcomes. By elucidating these complex associations, this article provides insights for public health interventions and policy initiatives aimed at reducing the burden of oral diseases linked to environmental factors. Moreover, it underscores the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration between dental professionals, environmental scientists, and public health experts to address these challenges effectively.

Ultimately, environmental pollution is a global problem whose consequences are not yet adequately and fully known and demand a global response. Thus, it is important to raise awareness among government policy makers and the public about environmental pollution as a threat to public health. A larger focus is needed to control pollution and prevent pollution-related disease, with an emphasis on air pollution and hazardous chemical pollution. International organizations and national governments need to prioritize clinical and health-care research into the effects of pollutants and form science–policy interface to guide practice.

8 Conclusion

This review provides an in-depth analysis of the association between environmental pollutants and oral health risks, synthesizing current literature on the impact of pollution on oral diseases. Evidence indicates that pollutants such as SHS, PM, and heavy metals contribute significantly to the development of dental caries, periodontal diseases, OFCs, HNC, and other oral conditions. Looking forward, future research in this field should prioritize longitudinal studies to establish a causal relationship, develop advanced biomarkers for environmental exposure assessment, and design targeted interventions for high-risk populations. Emerging technologies, including genomics and advanced environmental

References

1. Hforouzanfar M, Charlson FJ, Bacha U, Badawi A, Bahit MC, Balakrishnan K, et al. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990-2015: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2015. *Lancet.* (2016) 388:1659–724. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(16)31679-8

2. Peres MA, Macpherson LMD, Weyant RJ, Daly B, Venturelli R, Mathur MR, et al. Oral diseases: a global public health challenge. *Lancet.* (2019) 394:249–60. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(19)31146-8

3. Kapila YL. Oral health's inextricable connection to systemic health: special populations bring to bear multimodal relationships and factors connecting periodontal disease to systemic diseases and conditions. *Periodontol.* (2021) 87:11–6. doi: 10.1111/prd.12398

4. Gestational Hypertension and Preeclampsia. Acog practice bulletin, number 222. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2020) 135:e237–60. doi: 10.1097/aog.000000000003891

5. Murray CJ, Aravkin AY, Zheng P, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abbasi-Kangevari M, et al. Global burden of 87 risk factors in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic

monitoring tools, offer promising avenues for unraveling the mechanisms underlying pollution-induced oral diseases. Ultimately, sustained research efforts and policy implementations are critical to mitigate the adverse effects of environmental pollution on oral health. Such initiatives will not only enhance oral health outcomes but also contribute to broader public health improvements on a global scale.

Author contributions

LZ: Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MT: Writing – original draft. YC: Supervision, Visualization, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. PW: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81500871 to PW) and Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province China (2016A030310214 to PW).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

analysis for the global burden of disease study 2019. *Lancet*. (2020) 396:1223–49. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30752-2

6. Fuller R, Landrigan PJ, Balakrishnan K, Bathan G, Bose-O'Reilly S, Brauer M, et al. Pollution and health: a progress update. *Lancet Planet Health*. (2022) 6:e535–47. doi: 10.1016/s2542-5196(22)00090-0

7. Effatpanah M, Effatpanah H, Jalali S, Parseh I, Goudarzi G, Barzegar G, et al. Hospital admission of exposure to air pollution in Ahvaz megacity during 2010-2013. *Clin Epidemiol Global Health.* (2020) 8:550–6. doi: 10.1016/j. cegh.2019.12.001

8. Mohammadi MJ, Heri Iswanto A, Mansourimoghadam S, Taifi A, Maleki H, Fakri Mustafa Y, et al. Consequences and health effects of toxic air pollutants emission by industries. *J Air Pollut Health*. (2022) 7:95–108. doi: 10.18502/japh.v7i1.8923

9. Borsi SH, Goudarzi G, Sarizadeh G, Dastoorpoor M, Geravandi S, Shahriyari HA, et al. Health endpoint of exposure to criteria air pollutants in ambient air of on a populated in Ahvaz City, Iran. *Front Public Health.* (2022) 10:869656. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.869656

10. Tahery N, Dobaradarn S, Zarea K, Cheraghi M, Mohammadi MJ, Hatamzadeh N, et al. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and air pollution: a review. *Jundishapur J Chronic Dis Care.* (2021) 10:e110273. doi: 10.5812/jjcdc.110273

11. Dastoorpoor M, Sekhavatpour Z, Masoumi K, Mohammadi MJ, Aghababaeian H, Khanjani N, et al. Air pollution and hospital admissions for cardiovascular diseases in Ahvaz, Iran. *Sci Total Environ*. (2019) 652:1318–30. doi: 10.1016/j. scitotenv.2018.10.285

12. Mohammadi MJ, Zarea K, Hatamzadeh N, Salahshouri A, Sharhani A. Toxic air pollutants and their effect on multiple sclerosis: a review study. *Front Public Health.* (2022) 10:898043. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.898043

13. Yousefi H, Lak E, Mohammadi MJ, Shahriyari HA. Carcinogenic risk assessment among children and adult due to exposure to toxic air pollutants. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* (2022) 29:23015–25. doi: 10.1007/s11356-021-17300-0

14. Nikmanesh Y, Mohammadi MJ, Yousefi H, Mansourimoghadam S, Taherian M. The effect of long-term exposure to toxic air pollutants on the increased risk of malignant brain tumors. *Rev Environ Health.* (2023) 38:519–30. doi: 10.1515/reveh-2022-0033

15. Margiana R, Yousefi H, Afra A, Agustinus A, Abdelbasset WK, Kuznetsova M, et al. The effect of toxic air pollutants on fertility men and women, fetus and birth rate. *Rev Environ Health.* (2023) 38:565–76. doi: 10.1515/reveh-2022-0032

16. Abbasi-Kangevari M, Malekpour MR, Masinaei M, Moghaddam SS, Ghamari SH, Abbasi-Kangevari Z, et al. Effect of air pollution on disease burden, mortality, and life expectancy in North Africa and the Middle East: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2019. *Lancet Planet Health*. (2023) 7:e358–69. doi: 10.1016/ s2542-5196(23)00053-0

17. Manisalidis I, Stavropoulou E, Stavropoulos A, Bezirtzoglou E. Environmental and health impacts of air pollution: a review. *Front Public Health*. (2020) 8:14. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.00014

18. Global burden and strength of evidence for 88 risk factors in 204 countries and 811 subnational locations, 1990-2021: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2021. *Lancet*. (2024) 403:2162–203. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(24)00933-4

19. Lidón-Moyano C, Fu M, Pérez-Ortuño R, Ballbè M, Garcia E, Martín-Sánchez JC, et al. Third-hand exposure at homes: assessment using salivary cotinine. *Environ Res.* (2021) 196:110393. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2020.110393

20. Arafa A. Household smoking impact on the oral health of 5-to 7-years-old children. *BMC Oral Health.* (2023) 23:1028. doi: 10.1186/s12903-023-03715-3

21. Aligne CA, Moss ME, Auinger P, Weitzman M. Association of pediatric dental caries with passive smoking. *JAMA*. (2003) 289:1258–64. doi: 10.1001/jama.289.10.1258

22. Nakayama Y, Ohnishi H, Mori M. Association of environmental tobacco smoke with the risk of severe early childhood caries among 3-year-old Japanese children. *Caries Res.* (2019) 53:268–74. doi: 10.1159/000492790

23. Lee ZL, Gan WY, Lim PY, Hasan R, Lim SY. Associations of nutritional status, sugar and second-hand smoke exposure with dental caries among 3- to 6-year old Malaysian pre-schoolers: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Oral Health.* (2020) 20:164. doi: 10.1186/s12903-020-01152-0

24. Uthayakumar T, Bennett JX, Cartas HL, Brunet M, Vo KL, Kroon J. Passive smoking and oral health of infants, preschoolers, and children: a systematic review. *Nicotine Tob Res.* (2023) 25:1625–32. doi: 10.1093/ntr/ntad093

25. Tanaka K, Miyake Y, Arakawa M, Sasaki S, Ohya Y. Household smoking and dental caries in schoolchildren: the Ryukyus child health study. *BMC Public Health.* (2010) 10:335. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-10-335

26. González-Valero L, Montiel-Company JM, Bellot-Arcís C, Almerich-Torres T, Iranzo-Cortés JE, Almerich-Silla JM. Association between passive tobacco exposure and caries in children and adolescents. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* (2018) 13:e0202497. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0202497

27. Goto Y, Wada K, Konishi K, Uji T, Koda S, Mizuta F, et al. Association between exposure to household smoking and dental caries in preschool children: a cross-sectional study. *Environ Health Prev Med.* (2019) 24:9. doi: 10.1186/s12199-019-0764-1

28. Tanaka K, Miyake Y, Nagata C, Furukawa S, Arakawa M. Association of prenatal exposure to maternal smoking and postnatal exposure to household smoking with dental caries in 3-year-old Japanese children. *Environ Res.* (2015) 143:148–53. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2015.10.004

29. Tanaka K, Miyake Y, Sasaki S. The effect of maternal smoking during pregnancy and postnatal household smoking on dental caries in young children. *J Pediatr.* (2009) 155:410–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2009.03.032

30. Zhong Y, Tang Q, Tan B, Huang R. Correlation between maternal smoking during pregnancy and dental caries in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Oral Health*. (2021) 2:673449. doi: 10.3389/froh.2021.673449

31. Samani D, Ziaei S, Musaie F, Mokhtari H, Valipour R, Etemadi M, et al. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and early childhood dental caries in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Oral Health*. (2024) 24:781. doi: 10.1186/s12903-024-04548-4

32. Akinkugbe AA. Does the trimester of smoking matter in the association between prenatal smoking and the risk of early childhood caries? *Caries Res.* (2021) 55:114–21. doi: 10.1159/000513257

33. Williams SA, Kwan SY, Parsons S. Parental smoking practices and caries experience in pre-school children. *Caries Res.* (2000) 34:117–22. doi: 10.1159/000016578

34. Nakayama Y, Mori M. Association of environmental tobacco smoke and snacking habits with the risk of early childhood caries among 3-year-old Japanese children. *J Public Health Dent*. (2015) 75:157–62. doi: 10.1111/jphd.12085

35. Hanioka T, Nakamura E, Ojima M, Tanaka K, Aoyama H. Dental caries in 3-yearold children and smoking status of parents. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* (2008) 22:546–50. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3016.2008.00950.x

36. Mosharrafian S, Lohoni S, Mokhtari S. Association between dental caries and passive smoking and its related factors in children aged 3-9 years old. *Int J Clin Pediatr Dent.* (2020) 13:600–5. doi: 10.5005/jp-journals-10005-1831

37. Wu J, Li M, Huang R. The effect of smoking on caries-related microorganisms. *Tob Induc Dis.* (2019) 17:32. doi: 10.18332/tid/105913

38. Liu S, Wu T, Zhou X, Zhang B, Huo S, Yang Y, et al. Nicotine is a risk factor for dental caries: an in vivo study. *J Dent Sci.* (2018) 13:30–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jds.2017.09.006

39. Menon I, Bhat N. Association of Passive Smoking with dental caries and salivary biomarkers among 5-10 years old children of Muradnagar, Ghaziabad. J Family Med Prim Care. (2019) 8:2633–9. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_369_19

40. Damle SG, Yadav R, Garg S, Dhindsa A, Beniwal V, Loomba A, et al. Transmission of mutans streptococci in mother-child pairs. *Indian J Med Res.* (2016) 144:264–70. doi: 10.4103/0971-5916.195042

41. Tomar SL, Hecht SS, Jaspers I, Gregory RL, Stepanov I. Oral health effects of combusted and smokeless tobacco products. *Adv Dent Res.* (2019) 30:4–10. doi: 10.1177/0022034519872480

42. Hur K, Liang J, Lin SY. The role of secondhand smoke in allergic rhinitis: a systematic review. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol.* (2014) 4:110–6. doi: 10.1002/alr.21246

43. Wang Y, Huang Z, Luo D, Tian L, Hu M, Xiao S. Respiratory symptoms and urinary cotinine levels in pre-school children exposed to environmental tobacco smoke. *Front Public Health.* (2020) 8:587193. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.587193

44. Yanagita M, Kashiwagi Y, Kobayashi R, Tomoeda M, Shimabukuro Y, Murakami S. Nicotine inhibits mineralization of human dental pulp cells. *J Endod*. (2008) 34:1061–5. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2008.06.005

45. Mac Lean RR, Cowan A, Vernarelli JA. More to gain: dietary energy density is related to smoking status in us adults. *BMC Public Health*. (2018) 18:365. doi: 10.1186/s12889-018-5248-5

46. Momtazan M, Geravandi S, Rastegarimehr B, Valipour A, Ranjbarzadeh A, Yari AR, et al. An investigation of particulate matter and relevant cardiovascular risks in Abadan and Khorramshahr in 2014–2016. *Toxin Rev.* (2019) 38:290–7. doi: 10.1080/15569543.2018.1463266

47. Khaefi M, Geravandi S, Hassani G, Yari AR, Soltani F, Dobaradaran S, et al. Association of particulate matter impact on prevalence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in Ahvaz, Southwest Iran during 2009-2013. *Aerosol Air Qual Res.* (2017) 17:230–7. doi: 10.4209/aaqr.2015.11.0628

48. Moradi M, Mokhtari A, Mohammadi MJ, Hadei M, Vosoughi M. Estimation of long-term and short-term health effects attributed to pm (2.5) standard pollutants in the air of Ardabil (using air Q + model). *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. (2022) 29:21508–16. doi: 10.1007/s11356-021-17303-x

49. Ravanbakhsh M, Yousefi H, Lak E, Ansari MJ, Suksatan W, Qasim QA, et al. Effect of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHS) on respiratory diseases and the risk factors related to Cancer. *Polycycl Aromat Compd.* (2023) 43:8371–87. doi: 10.1080/10406638.2022.2149569

50. Qigang N, Afra A, Ramírez-Coronel AA, Turki Jalil A, Mohammadi MJ, Gatea MA, et al. The effect of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon biomarkers on cardiovascular diseases. *Rev Environ Health.* (2023) 39:841–51. doi: 10.1515/reveh-2023-0070

51. Nezhad ME, Goudarzi G, Babaei AA, Mohammadi MJ. Characterization, ratio analysis, and carcinogenic risk assessment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon compounds bounded Pm10 in a southwest of Iran. *Clin Epidemiol Glob Health*. (2023) 24:101419. doi: 10.1016/j.cegh.2023.101419

52. Manojkumar N, Srimuruganandam B, Shiva Nagendra SM. Application of multiple-path particle dosimetry model for quantifying age specified deposition of particulate matter in human airway. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* (2019) 168:241–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.10.091

53. Møller P, Danielsen PH, Karottki DG, Jantzen K, Roursgaard M, Klingberg H, et al. Oxidative stress and inflammation generated DNA damage by exposure to air pollution particles. *Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res.* (2014) 762:133–66. doi: 10.1016/j.mrrev.2014.09.001

54. Żukowski P, Maciejczyk M, Waszkiel D. Sources of free radicals and oxidative stress in the oral cavity. *Arch Oral Biol.* (2018) 92:8–17. doi: 10.1016/j. archoralbio.2018.04.018

55. Subramanian AK, Narayan V, Navaneethan R. Oxidative stress and oral diseases In: PK Maurya and K Dua, editors. Role of oxidative stress in pathophysiology of diseases. Singapore: Springer (2020). 1–12.

56. Rahman MT, Hossain A, Pin CH, Yahya NA. Zinc and metallothionein in the development and progression of dental caries. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* (2019) 187:51–8. doi: 10.1007/s12011-018-1369-z

57. Rahmani M, Ghorchi V, Rezaei F, Vaisi-Raygani A. Evaluation of total antioxidant capacity of saliva in high school students. *Glob J Health Sci.* (2015) 8:89–94. doi: 10.5539/gjhs.v8n4p89

58. Faraji Ghasemi F, Dobaradaran S, Saeedi R, Nabipour I, Nazmara S, Ranjbar Vakil Abadi D, et al. Levels and ecological and health risk assessment of pm (2.5)-bound heavy metals in the northern part of the Persian Gulf. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* (2020) 27:5305–13. doi: 10.1007/s11356-019-07272-7

59. Idani E, Geravandi S, Akhzari M, Goudarzi G, Alavi N, Yari AR, et al. Characteristics, sources, and health risks of atmospheric pm₁₀-bound heavy metals in a populated middle Eastern City. *Toxin Rev.* (2020) 39:266–74. doi: 10.1080/15569543.2018.1513034

60. Vo TTT, Wu CZ, Lee IT. Potential effects of noxious chemical-containing fine particulate matter on oral health through reactive oxygen species-mediated oxidative stress: promising clues. *Biochem Pharmacol.* (2020) 182:114286. doi: 10.1016/j. bcp.2020.114286

61. Esmaili A, Noroozi Karbasdehi V, Saeedi R, Javad Mohammadi M, Sobhani T, Dobaradaran S. Data on heavy metal levels (Cd, Co, and Cu) in wheat grains cultured in Dashtestan County, Iran. *Data Brief.* (2017) 14:543–7. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2017.08.012

62. Mohammadi MJ, Kiani F, Farhadi M, Ghanbari S, Jalili D, Mirzaei L. Evaluation of carcinogenic risk of heavy metals due to consumption of rice in southwestern Iran. *Toxicol Rep.* (2024) 12:578–83. doi: 10.1016/j.toxrep.2024.05.005

63. Mohammadi MJ, Yari AR, Saghazadeh M, Sobhanardakani S, Geravandi S, Afkar A, et al. A health risk assessment of heavy metals in people consuming Sohan in Qom, Iran. *Toxin Rev.* (2018) 37:278–86. doi: 10.1080/15569543.2017.1362655

64. Mohammadi MJ, Farhadi M, Ghanbari S, Asban P, Kiani F, Taherian M, et al. Ecological risk assessment of heavy metals in urban dust in Iran: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Toxicol Rep.* (2023) 11:471–80. doi: 10.1016/j. toxrep.2023.11.007

65. Budi HS, Catalan Opulencia MJ, Afra A, Abdelbasset WK, Abdullaev D, Majdi A, et al. Source, toxicity and carcinogenic health risk assessment of heavy metals. *Rev Environ Health*. (2024) 39:77–90. doi: 10.1515/reveh-2022-0096

66. Akinkugbe AA, Midya V, Duffy J, Landero J, Wright RO, Wright RJ. Metal mixtures and oral health among children and adolescents in the national health and nutrition examination survey (NHANES), 2017-2020. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. (2024) 257:114335. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2024.114335

67. Moss ME, Lanphear BP, Auinger P. Association of dental caries and blood lead levels. *JAMA*. (1999) 281:2294–8. doi: 10.1001/jama.281.24.2294

68. Gemmel A, Tavares M, Alperin S, Soncini J, Daniel D, Dunn J, et al. Blood lead level and dental caries in school-age children. *Environ Health Perspect.* (2002) 110:A625–30. doi: 10.1289/ehp.021100625

69. Youravong N, Chongsuvivatwong V, Geater AF, Dahlén G, Teanpaisan R. Lead associated caries development in children living in a lead contaminated area, Thailand. *Sci Total Environ.* (2006) 361:88–96. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.05.017

70. Wiener RC, Long DL, Jurevic RJ. Blood levels of the heavy metal, lead, and caries in children aged 24-72 months: NHANES III. *Caries Res.* (2015) 49:26–33. doi: 10.1159/000365297

71. Yepes JF, McCormick-Norris J, Vinson LA, Eckert GJ, Hu H, Wu Y, et al. Blood levels of Lead and dental caries in permanent teeth. *J Public Health Dent.* (2020) 80:297–303. doi: 10.1111/jphd.12384

72. Wu Y, Jansen EC, Peterson KE, Foxman B, Goodrich JM, Hu H, et al. The associations between lead exposure at multiple sensitive life periods and dental caries risks in permanent teeth. *Sci Total Environ*. (2019) 654:1048–55. doi: 10.1016/j. scitotenv.2018.11.190

73. Hou R, Huo X, Zhang S, Xu C, Huang Y, Xu X. Elevated levels of lead exposure and impact on the anti-inflammatory ability of oral sialic acids among preschool children in E-waste areas. *Sci Total Environ.* (2020) 699:134380. doi: 10.1016/j. scitotenv.2019.134380

74. Arora M, Weuve J, Schwartz J, Wright RO. Association of environmental cadmium exposure with pediatric dental caries. *Environ Health Perspect*. (2008) 116:821–5. doi: 10.1289/ehp.10947

75. Wolgin M, Filina N, Shakavets N, Dvornyk V, Lynch E, Kielbassa AM. A systematic review of the caries prevalence among children living in chernobyl fallout countries. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:3244. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-39755-5

76. Arbes SJ Jr, Agústsdóttir H, Slade GD. Environmental tobacco smoke and periodontal disease in the United States. *Am J Public Health*. (2001) 91:253–7. doi: 10.2105/ajph.91.2.253

77. Tanaka K, Miyake Y, Hanioka T, Arakawa M. Active and passive smoking and prevalence of periodontal disease in young Japanese women. *J Periodontal Res.* (2013) 48:600–5. doi: 10.1111/jre.12044

78. Arora M, Schwarz E, Sivaneswaran S, Banks E. Cigarette smoking and tooth loss in a cohort of older Australians: the 45 and up study. *J Am Dent Assoc.* (2010) 141:1242–9. doi: 10.14219/jada.archive.2010.0052

79. Akinkugbe AA, Sanders AE, Preisser JS, Cai J, Salazar CR, Beck JD. Environmental tobacco smoke exposure and periodontitis prevalence among nonsmokers in the

Hispanic community health study/study of Latinos. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* (2017) 45:168–77. doi: 10.1111/cdoe.12275

80. Javed F, Bashir Ahmed H, Romanos GE. Association between environmental tobacco smoke and periodontal disease: a systematic review. *Environ Res.* (2014) 133:117–22. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2014.05.008

 Akinkugbe AA, Slade GD, Divaris K, Poole C. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between exposure to environmental tobacco smoke and periodontitis endpoints among nonsmokers. *Nicotine Tob Res.* (2016) 18:2047–56. doi: 10.1093/ ntr/ntw105

82. Erdemir EO, Sönmez IS, Oba AA, Bergstrom J, Caglayan O. Periodontal health in children exposed to passive smoking. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2010) 37:160–4. doi: 10.1111/j. 1600-051X.2009.01510.x

83. Karsiyaka Hendek M, Erkmen Almaz M, Olgun E, Kisa U. Salivary Ll-37 and periodontal health in children exposed to passive smoking. *Int J Paediatr Dent*. (2019) 29:369–74. doi: 10.1111/ipd.12459

84. Oliveira LM, Oliveira MDM, Ardenghi TM, Zanatta FB. Is secondhand smoke exposure associated with poor periodontal status in children and adolescents? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent.* (2022) 23:513–25. doi: 10.1007/s40368-022-00709-7

85. Nociti Júnior FH, Cesar Neto JB, Carvalho MD, Sallum EA, Sallum AW. Intermittent cigarette smoke inhalation may affect bone volume around titanium implants in rats. *J Periodontol.* (2002) 73:982–7. doi: 10.1902/jop.2002.73.9.982

86. Nociti FH Jr, César NJ, Carvalho MD, Sallum EA. Bone density around titanium implants may be influenced by intermittent cigarette smoke inhalation: a histometric study in rats. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* (2002) 17:347–52.

87. César-Neto JB, Duarte PM, Sallum EA, Barbieri D, Moreno H Jr, Nociti FH Jr. A comparative study on the effect of nicotine administration and cigarette smoke inhalation on bone healing around titanium implants. *J Periodontol.* (2003) 74:1454–9. doi: 10.1902/jop.2003.74.10.1454

88. Carvalho MD, Benatti BB, César-Neto JB, Nociti FH Jr, da Rocha Nogueira Filho G, Casati MZ, et al. Effect of cigarette smoke inhalation and estrogen deficiency on bone healing around titanium implants: a Histometric study in rats. *J Periodontol.* (2006) 77:599–605. doi: 10.1902/jop.2006.050190

89. Correa MG, Gomes Campos ML, César-Neto JB, Casati MZ, Nociti FH, Sallum EA. Histometric evaluation of bone around titanium implants with different surface treatments in rats exposed to cigarette smoke inhalation. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* (2009) 20:588–93. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0501.2008.01695.x

90. Javed F, Kellesarian SV, Abduljabbar T, Abduljabbar AT, Akram Z, Vohra F, et al. Influence of involuntary cigarette smoke inhalation on osseointegration: a systematic review and Meta-analysis of preclinical studies. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* (2018) 47:764–72. doi: 10.1016/j.ijom.2017.11.009

91. Holliday R, Chaffee BW, Jakubovics NS, Kist R, Preshaw PM. Electronic cigarettes and oral health. *J Dent Res.* (2021) 100:906–13. doi: 10.1177/00220345211002116

92. Yang I, Sandeep S, Rodriguez J. The oral health impact of electronic cigarette use: a systematic review. *Crit Rev Toxicol.* (2020) 50:97–127. doi: 10.1080/10408444.2020.1713726

93. Amalia B, Liu X, Lugo A, Fu M, Odone A, van den Brandt PA, et al. Exposure to secondhand aerosol of electronic cigarettes in indoor settings in 12 European countries: data from the Tackshs survey. *Tob Control.* (2021) 30:49–56. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2019-055376

94. Amalia B, Fu M, Tigova O, Ballbè M, Paniello-Castillo B, Castellano Y, et al. Exposure to secondhand aerosol from electronic cigarettes at homes: a real-life study in four European countries. *Sci Total Environ.* (2023) 854:158668. doi: 10.1016/j. scitotenv.2022.158668

95. Ballbè M, Martínez-Sánchez JM, Sureda X, Fu M, Pérez-Ortuño R, Pascual JA, et al. Cigarettes vs. E-cigarettes: passive exposure at home measured by means of airborne marker and biomarkers. *Environ Res.* (2014) 135:76–80. doi: 10.1016/j. envres.2014.09.005

96. Batista DR, Coelho LS, Tanni SE, de Godoy I. Metal in biological samples from electronic cigarette users and those exposed to their second-hand aerosol: a narrative review. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. (2024) 11:1349475. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1349475

97. Eramo S, Tassi C, Negri P, Manta M, Fraschini M, Pedetta F. Elisa analysis of salivary cotinine in smokers. *Minerva Stomatol.* (2000) 49:163–8. Epub 2000/10/21.

98. Teughels W, Van Eldere J, van Steenberghe D, Cassiman JJ, Fives-Taylor P, Quirynen M. Influence of nicotine and cotinine on epithelial colonization by periodontopathogens. J Periodontol. (2005) 76:1315–22. doi: 10.1902/jop.2005.76.8.1315

99. Matthews JB, Chen FM, Milward MR, Ling MR, Chapple IL. Neutrophil superoxide production in the presence of cigarette smoke extract, nicotine and cotinine. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2012) 39:626–34. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2012.01894.x

100. Brembach TC, Sabat R, Witte K, Schwerdtle T, Wolk K. Molecular and functional changes in neutrophilic granulocytes induced by nicotine: a systematic review and critical evaluation. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1281685. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1281685

101. Sadaoka S, Yagami K, Maki S. Nicotine in cigarettes promotes chromogranin a production by human periodontal ligament fibroblasts. *Arch Oral Biol.* (2013) 58:1029–33. doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2013.02.012

102. Silva H. Tobacco use and periodontal disease-the role of microvascular dysfunction. *Biology (Basel)*. (2021) 10:441. doi: 10.3390/biology10050441

103. Li X, Liang X, Li S, Qi X, Du N, Yang D. Effect of environmental tobacco smoke on Cox-2 and Shp-2 expression in a periodontitis rat model. *Oral Dis.* (2021) 27:338–47. doi: 10.1111/odi.13538

104. Sproston NR, Ashworth JJ. Role of C-reactive protein at sites of inflammation and infection. *Front Immunol.* (2018) 9:754. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00754

105. Liu Q, Gu X, Deng F, Mu L, Baccarelli AA, Guo X, et al. Ambient particulate air pollution and circulating C-reactive protein level: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Hyg Environ Health.* (2019) 222:756–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2019.05.005

106. Andreu R, Santos-Del-Riego S, Payri F. Serum inflammatory and prooxidant marker levels in different periodontal disease stages. *Healthcare (Basel)*. (2021) 9:1070. doi: 10.3390/healthcare9081070

107. Yang TH, Masumi S, Weng SP, Chen HW, Chuang HC, Chuang KJ. Personal exposure to particulate matter and inflammation among patients with periodontal disease. *Sci Total Environ*. (2015) 502:585–9. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.09.081

108. Park J, Park EH, Schauer JJ, Yi SM, Heo J. Reactive oxygen species (Ros) activity of ambient fine particles (pm (2.5)) measured in Seoul, Korea. *Environ Int.* (2018) 117:276–83. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2018.05.018

109. Sagai M. Toxic components of pm (2.5) and their toxicity mechanisms-on the toxicity of sulfate and carbon components. *Nihon Eiseigaku Zasshi*. (2019) 74:19004. doi: 10.1265/jjh.19004

110. Chen M, Cai W, Zhao S, Shi L, Chen Y, Li X, et al. Oxidative stress-related biomarkers in saliva and gingival crevicular fluid associated with chronic periodontitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2019) 46:608–22. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.13112

111. Huang K, Feng LF, Liu ZY, Li ZH, Mao YC, Wang XQ, et al. The modification of meteorological factors on the relationship between air pollution and periodontal diseases: an exploration based on different interaction strategies. *Environ Geochem Health*. (2023) 45:8187–202. doi: 10.1007/s10653-023-01705-6

112. Browar AW, Koufos EB, Wei Y, Leavitt LL, Prozialeck WC, Edwards JR. Cadmium exposure disrupts periodontal bone in experimental animals: implications for periodontal disease in humans. *Toxics*. (2018) 6:32. doi: 10.3390/toxics6020032

113. Arora M, Weuve J, Schwartz J, Wright RO. Association of environmental cadmium exposure with periodontal disease in U.S. adults. *Environ Health Perspect*. (2009) 117:739-44. doi: 10.1289/ehp.0800312

114. Huang H, Yao J, Yang N, Yang L, Tao L, Yu J, et al. Association between levels of blood trace minerals and periodontitis among United States adults. *Front Nutr.* (2022) 9:999836. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.999836

115. Li ZH, Li J, Mao YC, Zhao JW, Hu HY, Zhang S, et al. Association of urinary heavy metal combined exposure with periodontitis among us adults from NHANES 2011-2014. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* (2023) 30:107887–98. doi: 10.1007/s11356-023-29888-6

116. Han DH, Lee HJ, Lim S. Smoking induced heavy metals and periodontitis: findings from the Korea national health and nutrition examination surveys 2008-2010. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2013) 40:850–8. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12133

117. Won YS, Kim JH, Kim YS, Bae KH. Association of internal exposure of cadmium and lead with periodontal disease: a study of the fourth Korean national health and nutrition examination survey. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2013) 40:118–24. doi: 10.1111/ jcpe.12033

118. Zhou S, Li W, Wan J, Fu Y, Lu H, Li N, et al. Heavy metals in drinking water and periodontitis: evidence from the national oral health survey from China. *BMC Public Health*. (2023) 23:1706. doi: 10.1186/s12889-023-16391-3

119. Wu W, Jiang W, Zhou Y, Zhang Z, Li G, Tang C. Phthalate exposure aggravates periodontitis by activating Nfkb pathway. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* (2024) 275:116252. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2024.116252

120. Zhu Y, Miao H, Zeng Q, Li B, Wang D, Yu X, et al. Prevalence of cleft lip and/or cleft palate in Guangdong Province, China, 2015-2018: a spatio-temporal descriptive analysis. *BMJ Open.* (2021) 11:e046430. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-046430

121. Sabbagh HJ, Hassan MH, Innes NP, Elkodary HM, Little J, Mossey PA. Passive smoking in the etiology of non-syndromic orofacial clefts: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *PLoS One.* (2015) 10:e0116963. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0116963

122. Sabbagh HJ, Baghlaf KK, Jamalellail HMH, Bakhuraybah AS, AlGhamdi SM, Alharbi OA, et al. Environmental tobacco smoke exposure and non-syndromic orofacial cleft: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Tob Induc Dis.* (2023) 21:76. doi: 10.18332/tid/163177

123. Pi X, Qiao Y, Wei Y, Jin L, Li Z, Liu J, et al. Concentrations of selected heavy metals in placental tissues and risk for neonatal orofacial clefts. *Environ Pollut*. (2018) 242:1652–8. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2018.07.112

124. Ni W, Yang W, Yu J, Li Z, Jin L, Liu J, et al. Umbilical cord concentrations of selected heavy metals and risk for orofacial clefts. *Environ Sci Technol.* (2018) 52:10787–95. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.8b02404

125. Zhou R, Wang M, Li W, Wang S, Zheng H, Zhou Z, et al. Haplotype and haplotype-environment interaction analysis revealed roles of spry 2 for Nscl/P among

Chinese populations. Int J Environ Res Public Health. (2019) 16:557. doi: 10.3390/ ijerph16040557

126. Wu T, Schwender H, Ruczinski I, Murray JC, Marazita ML, Munger RG, et al. Evidence of gene-environment interaction for two genes on chromosome 4 and environmental tobacco smoke in controlling the risk of nonsyndromic cleft palate. *PLoS One.* (2014) 9:e88088. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0088088

127. Chen Q, Wang H, Schwender H, Zhang T, Hetmanski JB, Chou YH, et al. Joint testing of genotypic and gene-environment interaction identified novel association for bmp 4 with non-syndromic Cl/P in an Asian population using data from an international cleft consortium. *PLoS One.* (2014) 9:e109038. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0109038

128. Wu T, Fallin MD, Shi M, Ruczinski I, Liang KY, Hetmanski JB, et al. Evidence of gene-environment interaction for the Runx 2 gene and environmental tobacco smoke in controlling the risk of cleft lip with/without cleft palate. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol.* (2012) 94:76–83. doi: 10.1002/bdra.22885

129. Li L, Zhu GQ, Meng T, Shi JY, Wu J, Xu X, et al. Biological and epidemiological evidence of interaction of infant genotypes at Rs7205289 and maternal passive smoking in cleft palate. *Am J Med Genet A*. (2011) 155A:2940–8. doi: 10.1002/ ajmg.a.34254

130. Jia ZL, Shi B, Xu X, Kong XL. Interactions between small ubiquitin-like modifier 1 and nonsyndromic orofacial clefts. *DNA Cell Biol.* (2011) 30:235–40. doi: 10.1089/ dna.2010.1110

131. Wu T, Liang KY, Hetmanski JB, Ruczinski I, Fallin MD, Ingersoll RG, et al. Evidence of gene-environment interaction for the Irf 6 gene and maternal multivitamin supplementation in controlling the risk of cleft lip with/without cleft palate. *Hum Genet*. (2010) 128:401–10. doi: 10.1007/s00439-010-0863-γ

132. Jia ZL, Li Y, Chen CH, Li S, Wang Y, Zheng Q, et al. Association among polymorphisms at Myh 9, environmental factors, and nonsyndromic orofacial clefts in Western China. *DNA Cell Biol.* (2010) 29:25–32. doi: 10.1089/dna.2009.0935

133. Guo Z, Huang C, Ding K, Lin J, Gong B. Transforming growth factor beta-3 and environmental factors and cleft lip with/without cleft palate. *DNA Cell Biol.* (2010) 29:375–80. doi: 10.1089/dna.2009.1009

134. van den Boogaard MJ, de Costa D, Krapels IP, Liu F, van Duijn C, Sinke RJ, et al. The Msx 1 allele 4 homozygous child exposed to smoking at periconception is most sensitive in developing nonsyndromic orofacial clefts. *Hum Genet*. (2008) 124:525–34. doi: 10.1007/s00439-008-0569-6

135. Bongaerts E, Lecante LL, Bové H, Roeffaers MBJ, Ameloot M, Fowler PA, et al. Maternal exposure to ambient black carbon particles and their presence in maternal and fetal circulation and organs: an analysis of two independent population-based observational studies. *Lancet Planet Health*. (2022) 6:e804–11. doi: 10.1016/s2542-5196(22)00200-5

136. Huang Z, Wu J, Qiu Y, Lin J, Huang W, Ma X, et al. Association between gestational exposure and risk of orofacial clefts: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. (2023) 23:829. doi: 10.1186/s12884-023-06104-4

137. Rao A, Ahmed MK, Taub PJ, Mamoun JS. The correlation between maternal exposure to air pollution and the risk of orofacial clefts in infants: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Oral Maxillofac Res.* (2016) 7:e2. doi: 10.5037/jomr.2016.7102

138. Takeuchi M, Yoshida S, Kawakami C, Kawakami K, Ito S. Association of maternal heavy metal exposure during pregnancy with isolated cleft lip and palate in offspring: Japan environment and Children's study (JECS) cohort study. *PLoS One.* (2022) 17:e0265648. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0265648

139. Oginawati K, Susetyo SH, Cahyani ZN, Fahimah N. Investigation of exposure to heavy metals (Hg, Pb, Cd, Co, and Cr) as the cause of congenital anomaly cases (orofacial cleft) in infants. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. (2022) 29:82169–85. doi: 10.1007/s11356-022-21611-1

140. Chen Y, Liu L, Ni W, Jin L, Li Z, Ren A, et al. Association between selected alkaline earth elements concentrations in umbilical cord and risk for cleft lip with or without cleft palate. *Sci Total Environ.* (2021) 750:141735. doi: 10.1016/j. scitotenv.2020.141735

141. Pi X, Jin L, Li Z, Liu J, Zhang Y, Wang L, et al. Association between concentrations of barium and aluminum in placental tissues and risk for orofacial clefts. *Sci Total Environ.* (2019) 652:406–12. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.262

142. Yin S, Wei J, Wang C, Jin L, Wang L, Li Z, et al. Alkali and alkaline earth elements in maternal serum and occurrence of orofacial clefts in offspring. *Reprod Toxicol*. (2022) 110:97–104. doi: 10.1016/j.reprotox.2022.04.001

143. Guo Y, Liu L, Ni W, Pan Y, Chen Y, Xie Q, et al. Uranium concentration in umbilical cord may increase the risk for orofacial clefts. *Environ Res.* (2020) 182:109103. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2019.109103

144. Wei Y, Jin L, Li Z, Liu J, Wang L, Pi X, et al. Levels of uranium and thorium in maternal scalp hair and risk of orofacial clefts in offspring. *J Environ Radioact*. (2019) 204:125–31. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvrad.2019.04.007

145. Suhl J, Leonard S, Weyer P, Rhoads A, Siega-Riz AM, Renée Anthony T, et al. Maternal arsenic exposure and nonsyndromic orofacial clefts. *Birth Defects Res.* (2018) 110:1455–67. doi: 10.1002/bdr2.1386 146. Pi X, Wei Y, Li Z, Jin L, Liu J, Zhang Y, et al. Higher concentration of selenium in placental tissues is associated with reduced risk for orofacial clefts. *Clin Nutr.* (2019) 38:2442–8. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2018.11.002

147. Romitti PA, Herring AM, Dennis LK, Wong-Gibbons DL. Meta-analysis: pesticides and orofacial clefts. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J.* (2007) 44:358-65. doi: 10.1597/06-100.1

148. Suhl J, Romitti PA, Rocheleau C, Cao Y, Burns TL, Conway K, et al. Parental occupational pesticide exposure and nonsyndromic orofacial clefts. *J Occup Environ Hyg.* (2018) 15:641–53. doi: 10.1080/15459624.2018.1484127

149. Hao Y, Tian S, Jiao X, Mi N, Zhang B, Song T, et al. Association of parental environmental exposures and supplementation intake with risk of nonsyndromic orofacial clefts: a case-control study in Heilongjiang Province, China. *Nutrients*. (2015) 7:7172–84. doi: 10.3390/nu7095328

150. Spinder N, Bergman JEH, Boezen HM, Vermeulen RCH, Kromhout H, de Walle HEK. Maternal occupational exposure and oral clefts in offspring. *Environ Health*. (2017) 16:83. doi: 10.1186/s12940-017-0294-5

151. Yang W, Ni W, Jin L, Liu J, Li Z, Wang L, et al. Determination of organochlorine pesticides in human umbilical cord and association with orofacial clefts in offspring. *Chemosphere*. (2021) 266:129188. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.129188

152. Spinder N, Prins JR, Bergman JEH, Smidt N, Kromhout H, Boezen HM, et al. Congenital anomalies in the offspring of occupationally exposed mothers: a systematic review and meta-analysis of studies using expert assessment for occupational exposures. *Hum Reprod.* (2019) 34:903–19. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dez033

153. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: Globocan estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2018) 68:394–424. doi: 10.3322/caac.21492

154. Troy JD, Grandis JR, Youk AO, Diergaarde B, Romkes M, Weissfeld JL. Childhood passive smoke exposure is associated with adult head and neck cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol.* (2013) 37:417–23. doi: 10.1016/j.canep.2013.03.011

155. Hashibe M, Li Q, Chen CJ, Hsu WL, Lou PJ, Zhu C, et al. Involuntary smoking and the risk of head and neck cancer in an east Asian population. *Cancer Epidemiol.* (2019) 59:173–7. doi: 10.1016/j.canep.2019.01.020

156. Idris S, Baqays A, Isaac A, Chau JKM, Calhoun KH, Seikaly H. The effect of second hand smoke in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. (2019) 48:33. doi: 10.1186/s40463-019-0357-4

157. Sadhasivam B, Manyanga J, Ganapathy V, Acharya P, Bouharati C, Chinnaiyan M, et al. Exposure to secondhand smoke extract increases cisplatin resistance in head and neck cancer cells. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2024) 25:1032. doi: 10.3390/ijms25021032

158. Mariano LC, Warnakulasuriya S, Straif K, Monteiro L. Secondhand smoke exposure and oral cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Tob Control.* (2022) 31:597–607. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2020-056393

159. Chang ET, Liu Z, Hildesheim A, Liu Q, Cai Y, Zhang Z, et al. Active and passive smoking and risk of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a population-based case-control study in southern China. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2017) 185:1272–80. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwx018

160. Chen F, He B, Hu Z, Huang J, Liu F, Yan L, et al. Passive smoking and cooking oil fumes (COF) may modify the association between tea consumption and oral cancer in Chinese women. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* (2016) 142:995–1001. doi: 10.1007/s00432-016-2123-6

161. Yu P, Guo S, Xu R, Ye T, Li S, Sim MR, et al. Cohort studies of long-term exposure to outdoor particulate matter and risks of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Innovation (Camb)*. (2021) 2:100143. doi: 10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100143

162. Hamra GB, Guha N, Cohen A, Laden F, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Samet JM, et al. Outdoor particulate matter exposure and lung cancer: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Environ Health Perspect*. (2014) 122:906–11. doi: 10.1289/ehp/1408092

163. Chu YH, Kao SW, Tantoh DM, Ko PC, Lan SJ, Liaw YP. Association between fine particulate matter and oral cancer among Taiwanese men. *J Investig Med.* (2019) 67:34–8. doi: 10.1136/jim-2016-000263

164. Zhan H, Liu D, Deji Z, Liang W, Li J. Exposure to mixture particulate contaminants in the air and the risk of oral cancer: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heliyon*. (2024) 10:e38568. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e38568

165. Huang HC, Tantoh DM, Hsu SY, Nfor ON, Frank CL, Lung CC, et al. Association between coarse particulate matter (pm (10-2. 5)) and nasopharyngeal carcinoma among Taiwanese men. *J Investig Med.* (2020) 68:419–24. doi: 10.1136/jim-2019-001119

166. Fan HC, Chen CY, Hsu YC, Chou RH, Teng CJ, Chiu CH, et al. Increased risk of incident nasopharyngeal carcinoma with exposure to air pollution. *PLoS One.* (2018) 13:e0204568. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0204568

167. Yang T, Liu Y, Zhao W, Chen Z, Deng J. Association of ambient air pollution with nasopharyngeal carcinoma incidence in ten large Chinese cities, 2006-2013. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:1824. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17061824

168. Lafta MH, Afra A, Patra I, Jalil AT, Mohammadi MJ, Baqir Al-Dhalimy AM, et al. Toxic effects due to exposure heavy metals and increased health risk assessment (leukemia). *Rev Environ Health*. (2024) 39:351–62. doi: 10.1515/reveh-2022-0227

169. Khalaf EM, Taherian M, Almalki SG, Asban P, Kareem AK, Alhachami FR, et al. Relationship between exposure to heavy metals on the increased health risk and carcinogenicity of urinary tract (kidney and bladder). *Rev Environ Health.* (2024) 39:539–49. doi: 10.1515/reveh-2022-0245

170. Khlifi R, Olmedo P, Gil F, Feki-Tounsi M, Chakroun A, Rebai A, et al. Blood nickel and chromium levels in association with smoking and occupational exposure among head and neck cancer patients in Tunisia. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* (2013) 20:8282–94. doi: 10.1007/s11356-013-1466-7

171. Khlifi R, Hamza-Chaffai A. Head and neck cancer due to heavy metal exposure via tobacco smoking and professional exposure: a review. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* (2010) 248:71–88. doi: 10.1016/j.taap.2010.08.003

172. Khlifi R, Olmedo P, Gil F, Molka FT, Hammami B, Ahmed R, et al. Risk of laryngeal and nasopharyngeal cancer associated with arsenic and cadmium in the Tunisian population. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* (2014) 21:2032–42. doi: 10.1007/s11356-013-2105-z

173. Peng L, Wang X, Huo X, Xu X, Lin K, Zhang J, et al. Blood cadmium burden and the risk of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a case-control study in Chinese Chaoshan population. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* (2015) 22:12323–31. doi: 10.1007/s11356-015-4533-4

174. Hancock DG, Langley ME, Chia KL, Woodman RJ, Shanahan EM. Wood dust exposure and lung cancer risk: a meta-analysis. *Occup Environ Med.* (2015) 72:889–98. doi: 10.1136/oemed-2014-102722

175. E M, Yin J, Jin W, Mao Y, Wu Q, Qiu J. Wood dust exposure and risks of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Pub Health*. (2020) 30:817–22. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckz239

176. Beigzadeh Z, Pourhassan B, Kalantary S, Golbabaei F. Occupational exposure to wood dust and risk of nasopharyngeal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Res.* (2019) 171:170–6. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2018.12.022

177. Chen Y, Chang ET, Liu Q, Cai Y, Zhang Z, Chen G, et al. Occupational exposures and risk of nasopharyngeal carcinoma in a high-risk area: a population-based case-control study. *Cancer.* (2021) 127:2724–35. doi: 10.1002/cncr.33536

178. Baan R, Grosse Y, Straif K, Secretan B, El Ghissassi F, Bouvard V, et al. A review of human carcinogens--part F: chemical agents and related occupations. *Lancet Oncol.* (2009) 10:1143–4. doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(09)70358-4

179. Marsh GM, Morfeld P, Zimmerman SD, Liu Y, Balmert LC. An updated reanalysis of the mortality risk from nasopharyngeal cancer in the national cancer institute formaldehyde worker cohort study. *J Occup Med Toxicol.* (2016) 11:8. doi: 10.1186/ s12995-016-0097-6

180. Peltonen J, Nikkilä R, Al-Samadi A, Mäkitie A, Martinsen JI, Kjaerheim K, et al. Occupation and tongue cancer in Nordic countries. *BMC Oral Health*. (2024) 24:506. doi: 10.1186/s12903-024-04172-2

181. Mroueh R, Carpén T, Mäkitie A, Hansen J, Heikkinen S, Lynge E, et al. Occupational variation in the incidence of lip cancer in the Nordic countries. *Acta Oncol.* (2023) 62:541–9. doi: 10.1080/0284186x.2023.2224053

182. Solanki YS, Agarwal M, Gupta AB, Gupta S, Shukla P. Fluoride occurrences, health problems, detection, and remediation methods for drinking water: a comprehensive review. *Sci Total Environ.* (2022) 807:150601. doi: 10.1016/j. scitotenv.2021.150601

183. Hung M, Hon ES, Mohajeri A, Moparthi H, Vu T, Jeon J, et al. A national study exploring the association between fluoride levels and dental fluorosis. *JAMA Netw Open*. (2023) 6:e2318406. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.18406

184. Demelash H, Beyene A, Abebe Z, Melese A. Fluoride concentration in ground water and prevalence of dental fluorosis in Ethiopian Rift Valley: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health.* (2019) 19:1298. doi: 10.1186/s12889-019-7646-8

185. Guo J, Wu H, Zhao Z, Wang J, Liao H. Review on health impacts from domestic coal burning: emphasis on endemic fluorosis in Guizhou Province, Southwest China. *Rev Environ Contam Toxicol.* (2021) 258:1–25. doi: 10.1007/398_2021_71

186. Li L, Luo KL, Tang YG, Liu YL. The daily fluorine and arsenic intake for residents with different dietaries and fluorosis risk in coal-burning fluorosis area, Yunnan, Southwest China. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* (2015) 22:2031–40. doi: 10.1007/s11356-014-3485-4

187. Luo KL, Li L, Zhang SX. Coal-burning roasted corn and chili as the cause of dental fluorosis for children in southwestern China. *J Hazard Mater*. (2011) 185:1340–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.10.052

188. Misrabi G, Karkoutly M, Bshara N. The effect of secondhand smoke exposure on dental caries and gingival health among schoolchildren in Damascus, Syria: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Oral Health*. (2023) 23:745. doi: 10.1186/s12903-023-03486-x

189. Mahabee-Gittens EM, Smith HA, Merianos AL. Disparities in dental health issues and oral health care visits in us children with tobacco smoke exposure. *J Am Dent Assoc.* (2022) 153:319–29. doi: 10.1016/j.adaj.2021.09.002

190. Dearing BA, Katz RV, Weitzman M. Prenatal tobacco and postbirth second-hand smoke exposure and dental caries in children. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. (2022) 50:130–8. doi: 10.1111/cdoe.12642

191. Saho H, Taniguchi-Tabata A, Ekuni D, Yokoi A, Kataoka K, Fukuhara D, et al. Association between household exposure to secondhand smoke and dental caries among Japanese young adults: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2020) 17:8623. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17228623

192. Tang SD, Zhang YX, Chen LM, Wang YY, Cheng Y, Tang LQ. Influence of lifestyle factors, including second-hand smoke, on dental caries among 3-year-old children in Wuxi, China. J Paediatr Child Health. (2020) 56:231–6. doi: 10.1111/jpc.14566

193. Julihn A, Soares FC, Hjern A, Dahllöf G. Socioeconomic determinants, maternal health, and caries in young children. *JDR Clin Trans Res.* (2018) 3:395–404. doi: 10.1177/2380084418788066

194. NN BHDrummond BK, Milne T, Cullinan MP, Meldrum AM, Coates D. Effects of environmental tobacco smoke on the oral health of preschool children. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent*. (2017) 18:393–8. doi: 10.1007/s40368-017-0308-6

195. Bernabé E, Mac Ritchie H, Longbottom C, Pitts NB, Sabbah W. Birth weight, breastfeeding, maternal smoking and caries trajectories. *J Dent Res.* (2017) 96:171–8. doi: 10.1177/0022034516678181

196. Tanaka S, Shinzawa M, Tokumasu H, Seto K, Tanaka S, Kawakami K. Secondhand smoke and incidence of dental caries in deciduous teeth among children in Japan: population based retrospective cohort study. *BMJ*. (2015) 351:h 5397. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h5397

197. Majorana A, Cagetti MG, Bardellini E, Amadori F, Conti G, Strohmenger L, et al. Feeding and smoking habits as cumulative risk factors for early childhood caries in toddlers, after adjustment for several behavioral determinants: a retrospective study. *BMC Pediatr.* (2014) 14:45. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-14-45

198. Plonka KA, Pukallus ML, Barnett AG, Holcombe TF, Walsh LJ, Seow WK. A longitudinal case-control study of caries development from birth to 36 months. *Caries Res.* (2013) 47:117–27. doi: 10.1159/000345073

199. Leroy R, Hoppenbrouwers K, Jara A, Declerck D. Parental smoking behavior and caries experience in preschool children. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* (2008) 36:249–57. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0528.2007.00393.x

200. Shenkin JD, Broffitt B, Levy SM, Warren JJ. The association between environmental tobacco smoke and primary tooth caries. *J Public Health Dent.* (2004) 64:184–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1752-7325.2004.tb02750.x

201. Umemori S, Aida J, Tsuboya T, Tabuchi T, Tonami KI, Nitta H, et al. Does secondhand smoke associate with tooth loss among older Japanese? Jages cross-sectional study. *Int Dent J.* (2020) 70:388–95. doi: 10.1111/idj.12577

202. Sutton JD, Salas Martinez ML, Gerkovich MM. Environmental tobacco smoke and periodontitis in United States non-smokers, 2009 to 2012. *J Periodontol.* (2017) 88:565–74. doi: 10.1902/jop.2017.160725

203. Sanders A, Slade G. State cigarette excise tax, secondhand smoke exposure, and periodontitis in us nonsmokers. *Am J Public Health*. (2013) 103:740–6. doi: 10.2105/ ajph.2011.300579

204. Sutton JD, Ranney LM, Wilder RS, Sanders AE. Environmental tobacco smoke and periodontitis in U.S. non-smokers. J Dent Hyg. (2012) 86:185–94. Epub 2012/09/06.

205. Nishida N, Yamamoto Y, Tanaka M, Kataoka K, Kuboniwa M, Nakayama K, et al. Association between involuntary smoking and salivary markers related to periodontitis: a 2-year longitudinal study. *J Periodontol.* (2008) 79:2233–40. doi: 10.1902/ jop.2008.080149

206. Nishida N, Yamamoto Y, Tanaka M, Maeda K, Kataoka K, Nakayama K, et al. Association between passive smoking and salivary markers related to periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2006) 33:717–23. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2006.00982.x

207. Yamamoto Y, Nishida N, Tanaka M, Hayashi N, Matsuse R, Nakayama K, et al. Association between passive and active smoking evaluated by salivary cotinine and periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2005) 32:1041–6. doi: 10.1111/j. 1600-051X.2005.00819.x

208. Tanaka K, Miyake Y, Sasaki S, Ohya Y, Miyamoto S, Matsunaga I, et al. Active and passive smoking and tooth loss in Japanese women: baseline data from the Osaka maternal and child health study. *Ann Epidemiol.* (2005) 15:358–64. doi: 10.1016/j. annepidem.2004.12.005

209. Hinton AE, Herdman RC, Martin-Hirsch D, Saeed SR. Parental cigarette smoking and tonsillectomy in children. *Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci.* (1993) 18:178–80. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2273.1993.tb00824.x

210. Li'e C, Juan C, Dongying J, Guiling F, Tihua Z, Yanfei W. The role of environmental tobacco exposure and *Helicobacter Pylori* infection in the risk of chronic tonsillitis in children. *Sao Paulo Med J.* (2017) 135:29–33. doi: 10.1590/1516-3180.2016.023602102016

211. Straight CE, Patel HH, Lehman EB, Carr MM. Prevalence of smoke exposure amongst children who undergo tonsillectomy for recurrent tonsillitis. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* (2015) 79:157–60. doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2014.11.032

212. Kim SY, Kong IG, Min C, Choi HG. Association of air pollution with increased risk of peritonsillar abscess formation. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* (2019) 145:530–5. doi: 10.1001/jamaoto.2019.0742

213. Čabanová K, Štrbová K, Motyka O, Zeleník K, Dvořáčková J, Zabiegaj D, et al. Traffic pollution tracers in the lymphatic system tissue of children-possible link to chronic tonsillitis development: pilot study. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* (2022) 29:39131–8. doi: 10.1007/s11356-022-18869-w

214. Ford D, Seow WK, Kazoullis S, Holcombe T, Newman B. A controlled study of risk factors for enamel hypoplasia in the permanent dentition. *Pediatr Dent.* (2009) 31:382–8. Epub 2009/12/02

215. Lv Y, Yao J, Wang Y, Bai G, Lin C, Tu C. Co-exposure to fluoride and sulfur dioxide induces abnormal enamel mineralization in rats via the Fgf 9-mediated Mapk signaling pathway. *Environ Res.* (2024) 250:118527. doi: 10.1016/j. envres.2024.118527

216. Brook I. The impact of smoking on oral and nasopharyngeal bacterial flora. J Dent Res. (2011) 90:704–10. doi: 10.1177/0022034510391794

217. Hanioka T, Tanaka K, Ojima M, Yuuki K. Association of melanin pigmentation in the gingiva of children with parents who smoke. *Pediatrics*. (2005) 116:e186–90. doi: 10.1542/peds.2004-2628

218. Sridharan S, Ganiger K, Satyanarayana A, Rahul A, Shetty S. Effect of environmental tobacco smoke from smoker parents on gingival pigmentation in children and young adults: a cross-sectional study. *J Periodontol.* (2011) 82:956–62. doi: 10.1902/jop.2010.100479

219. Yadav R, Deo V, Kumar P, Heda A. Influence of environmental tobacco smoke on gingival pigmentation in schoolchildren. *Oral Health Prev Dent*. (2015) 13:407–10. doi: 10.3290/j.ohpd.a33918

220. Zaquia Leão H, Galleano Zettler C, Cambruzzi E, Lammers M, da Luz R, Soster P, et al. The effects of passive smoking on laryngeal and tracheal mucosa in male Wistar rats during growth: an experimental study. *J Voice*. (2017) 31:126.e19-.e24. doi: 10.1016/j.jvoice.2015.12.014

221. Gregorczyk-Maga I, Wachsmann A, Olszewska M, Partyka L. Exhaled carbon monoxide levels correlate with incidence of oral mucosal lesions independent of smoking status. *Int J Environ Health Res.* (2019) 29:290–300. doi: 10.1080/09603123.2018.1541442

222. Vianna MI, Santana VS, McKelvey W. Periodontal health and oral mucosal lesions as related to occupational exposure to Acixd mists. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* (2005) 33:341–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0528.2005.00226.x

223. Melo G, Duarte J, Pauletto P, Porporatti AL, Stuginski-Barbosa J, Winocur E, et al. Bruxism: an umbrella review of systematic reviews. *J Oral Rehabil*. (2019) 46:666–90. doi: 10.1111/joor.12801

224. Montaldo L, Montaldo P, Caredda E, D'Arco A. Association between exposure to secondhand smoke and sleep bruxism in children: a randomised control study. *Tob Control.* (2012) 21:392–5. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2011-050217

225. Toyama N, Ekuni D, Taniguchi-Tabata A, Yoneda T, Kataoka K, Yokoi A, et al. Associations between sleep bruxism, sleep quality, and exposure to secondhand smoke in Japanese young adults: a cross-sectional study. *Sleep Med.* (2020) 68:57–62. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2019.09.003

226. Xie W, Zhao H, Shu C, Wang B, Zeng W, Zhan Y. Association between ozone exposure and prevalence of mumps: a time-series study in a megacity of Southwest China. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* (2021) 28:64848–57. doi: 10.1007/s11356-021-15473-2

227. Zhang M, Zhu Y. Association between particulate matter pollution and the incidence of mumps in 31 provinces from China. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* (2021) 28:51210–6. doi: 10.1007/s11356-021-14287-6

228. Hao J, Yang Z, Huang S, Yang W, Zhu Z, Tian L, et al. The association between short-term exposure to ambient air pollution and the incidence of mumps in Wuhan, China: a time-series study. *Environ Res.* (2019) 177:108660. doi: 10.1016/j. envres.2019.108660

229. Agarkov NM, Gontarev SN, Lutsenko VD, Yakovlev AP, Ivanov AV. Mathematical-cartographic modeling and forecasting of caries and acute apical periodontitis incidence in pediatric population. *Stomatologiia (Mosk)*. (2017) 96:48–55. doi: 10.17116/stomat201796648-55

230. Schmädicke R, Hiller I, Horn K, Kociok M, Kowalewicz B. Meteorologic effects on dental pain conditions. Z Gesamte Hyg. (1990) 36:57–8.

231. Galperín G, Berra M, Marquez MI, Mandaradoni M, Tau J, Berra A. Impact of environmental pollution on the ocular surface of Sjögren's syndrome patients. *Arq Bras Oftalmol.* (2018) 81:481–9. doi: 10.5935/0004-2749.20180091

232. Wiegand A, Attin T. Occupational dental Erosion from exposure to acids: a review. *Occup Med (Lond)*. (2007) 57:169–76. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kql163

233. Kim SY, Min C, Choi J, Park B, Choi HG. Air pollution by no (2) is associated with the risk of Bell's palsy: a nested case-controlled study. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:4221. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-61232-7