Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Pengpeng Ye, Chinese Center For Disease Control and Prevention, China

REVIEWED BY Geoffrey Katana, County Government of Kilifi Department of Health, Kenya Sara Hassanain, Federal Ministry of Health, Sudan

\*CORRESPONDENCE Hongfang Xia ⊠ xiahongfang@cug.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 04 October 2024 ACCEPTED 19 December 2024 PUBLISHED 07 January 2025

#### CITATION

Sun R, Wang L and Xia H (2025) Disease burden of tuberculosis in China from 1990 to 2021 and its prediction to 2036. *Front. Public Health* 12:1506266. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1506266

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Sun, Wang and Xia. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Disease burden of tuberculosis in China from 1990 to 2021 and its prediction to 2036

Rong Sun<sup>1†</sup>, Liang Wang<sup>2†</sup> and Hongfang Xia<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Clinical Laboratory, China University of Geosciences Wuhan Hospital, Wuhan, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Public Health, Wuhan Sports University Hospital, Wuhan, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Public Health, China University of Geosciences Wuhan Hospital, Wuhan, China

**Background:** Tuberculosis (TB) is one of the oldest infectious diseases and continues to be a major killer of human beings. This paper was designed to provide insights into the disease burden of TB.

**Methods:** The data was retrieved and downloaded from the latest GBD database. Joinpoint regression was done for the temporal trend analysis. The age-periodcohort model was introduced to get further insights into the independent effects of age, period, and cohort. The BAPC model was utilized to predict ASIR and ASMR from 2022 to 2036.

**Results:** From 1990 to 2021, the ASPR dropped from 31,446 (95% UI: 27,902 to 35,142) to 30,557 (95% UI: 27,693 to 33,531) per 100,000 people, and ASDALYR dropped from 719 (95% UI: 611, 837) to 76 (95% UI: 63, 94) per 100,000 people with an AAPC of –7.009 (95% CI: –7.219, –6.799). ASIR and ASMR decreased from 109 (95% UI: 95, 125) to 36 (95% UI: 33, 40) and from 20 (95% UI: 17, 24) to 2 (95% UI: 2, 3) per 100,000 people, respectively. Men had a higher TB burden than women. The age-period-cohort analysis showed the age effect represented significant fluctuations with a valley at age 5 for incidence rate, and a similar but relatively simple pattern for death rate. Period effect and cohort effect showed both incidence and mortality rates significantly decreased with advancing time points and more recent birth cohorts. At the current decline rate, the ASIR and ASMR would be 26.12 (95%CI: 15.75, 36.48) per 100,000 people and 1.13 (95%CI: 0.45, 1.81) per 100,000 people in 2030, respectively. And the ASIR would be 21.96 (95%CI: 6.14, 37.79) per 100,000 people in 2035.

**Conclusion:** TB burden in China has decreased significantly overall in the past years. However, it is still hard to achieve the national goal of "End TB" by 2035, which means more effective strategies for TB prevention and control are urgently needed. Effective strategies aimed at men should include increasing awareness of tuberculosis among both the general population and healthcare workers, promoting smoking cessation and alcohol reduction, enhancing disease screening and treatment access, and providing psychological support and care.

#### KEYWORDS

tuberculosis, joinpoint analysis, age-period-cohort analysis, BAPC model, disease burden, GBD

# Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is an airborne infectious disease caused by the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex. While it primarily affects the lungs, TB can also impact nearly any part of the body (1). The disease spreads mainly through the air, particularly when individuals with active TB of the lungs or throat talk loudly, sing, cough, sneeze, or spit. When they do, the bacteria are released into the air, where they can remain for several hours, depending on the environment. People who inhale air containing these TB germs can become infected; this is known as latent TB infection. This transmission can occur among family members or coworkers of the infected individual (2). The epidemic state of TB decreased substantially in China in the past few decades (3, 4). However, as described in the "Global Tuberculosis Report 2023," an estimated 10.6 million people fell ill with TB in 2021, an increase of 4.5% from 2020, and 1.6 million people died from TB (including 187,000 among human immunodeficiency virus positive people) (5), which means a deviation from the Global Plan to Stop TB by 2035. China, as one of the 30 high TB burden countries, has made remarkable progress in controlling the disease through a combination of robust policies, improved health services, and increased public awareness. However, it was still among the eight countries which accounted for two thirds of the global TB burden in 2022, suggesting TB remains a significant public health concern for China, particularly in rural and impoverished areas (6).

Understanding the changing burden of TB and its underlying drivers is crucial for designing effective strategies to combat this disease. The incidence rate refers to the number of new cases of a disease or condition that occur in a specified population over a specific period of time. It is useful for identifying trends in disease occurrence and for comparing disease rates across different populations. On the other hand, the prevalence rate measures the total number of existing cases of a disease or condition within a specified population at a certain point in time. This rate helps assess the extent of a disease or condition in the population. The mortality rate indicates the number of deaths from a specific cause within a defined population over a specific period of time. This statistic is used to measure the frequency of deaths attributable to that cause. Another important measure is the disability-adjusted life years rate (DALYR), which combines both mortality and morbidity into a single metric. This measure quantifies the number of years lost due to illness, disability, or premature death, providing an overall assessment of the burden of disease, including both fatal and non-fatal outcomes. Furthermore, age-standardized rates—including age-standardized incidence rate (ASIR), age-standardized prevalence rate (ASPR), age-standardized mortality rate (ASMR), and age-standardized disability-adjusted life years rate (ASDALYR)—adjust for age structure. These rates enable comparisons across different populations or time points by accounting for the impact of age. Inadequate healthcare resources, socioeconomic disparities, and changes in the spectrum of infectious diseases, the ongoing pandemic of corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19) for example, has further complicated TB control efforts, disrupting health services and exacerbating the burden of TB globally (7, 8). Moreover, demographic shifts, including aging populations and increased urbanization, are also influencing the epidemiology of TB. Therefore, continuous in-depth analyses of the TB burden in China, along with its global context, are essential for developing targeted interventions and predicting future trends. So, the Global Burden of Disease Database (GBD) 2021 was mined to obtain the latest insights into the current situation and future trends of TB burden.

This paper starts with a review of the global and Chinese tuberculosis (TB) burden from 1990 to 2021, comparing this data to existing studies to contribute to the ongoing discussion on TB control and prevention. We then explore the interactive and independent effects of age, period, and cohort on TB disease burden trends. Following this analysis, we present a predictive analysis of TB trends using Bayesian age-period-cohort (BAPC) models. This aims to provide insights into the future burden of TB and its potential implications for public health.

# Materials and methods

#### Data sources

The GBD database compiled and analyzed a vast array of data on the health status and trends of populations worldwide, providing valuable insights into the burden of diseases, injuries, and the underlying risk factors that contribute to ill health across countries, regions, and age groups. Updated periodically, the latest GBD database (i.e., GBD2021) leverages lots of advanced statistical modeling to estimate disease burden for 371 diseases and injuries, as well as 88 risk factors, spanning from infectious diseases to non-communicable conditions like cardiovascular disease, cancer, and mental health disorders in 204 countries and territories and 811 sub-national locations from 1990 to 2021. A thorough introduction to GBD2021 has been reported in relevant high-quality literature (9-11). The TB data, including the estimates and 95% uncertainty intervals (UIs) of the disease burden was downloaded from the GBD2021 database, which was available at https://vizhub.healthdata. org/gbd-results/ (12). The main variables this research analyzed are as follows:

- Age-Standardized Incidence Rate (ASIR):
  - o Adjusts for age differences in the occurrence of diseases.
  - o Provides a comparable measure of new cases across different populations.
  - o Crucial for monitoring disease trends and evaluating the effectiveness of interventions.
- Age-Standardized Mortality Rate (ASMR):
  - o Adjusts for age when measuring deaths from specific causes.
  - o Reflects the impact of diseases on mortality patterns.
  - o Important for policy formulation and resource allocation.
- Age-Standardized Prevalence Rate (ASPR):
  - Adjusts for age in measuring the proportion of a population affected by a disease.
  - o Indicates the burden of disease within a population.
  - o Useful for identifying high-risk groups and guiding interventions.
- Age-Standardized Disability-Adjusted Life Years Rate (ASDALYR):
  - o Combines years lost due to premature mortality and disability.
  - o Adjusts for age and severity of disease.
  - o Provides a comprehensive measure of overall disease burden.

Due to public accessibility to the data, ethics approval and informed consent were not required for this study. Meanwhile, the

GBD open-source dataset has several limitations that stem from the characteristics of the data and the methods used for its collection and processing. Firstly, while the GBD dataset is comprehensive, it may not fully capture the nuances and variations in disease burden across different geographical regions and populations. Since the data is aggregated at a global level, it lacks detailed information for various regions in China, making it difficult to analyze the health burden of TB by region and ethnic groups. Secondly, the methodologies used to estimate disease burden within the GBD framework rely on a series of assumptions and models, which can introduce biases and uncertainties. These assumptions may not always align with specific contextual realities, potentially leading to inaccuracies in the estimates. Therefore, caution is advised when drawing conclusions from secondary analyses based on GBD data. Finally, the timeliness and currency of the GBD dataset can also be a limitation. The data might not always reflect the most recent trends in disease burden due to delays in collection, processing, and publication.

#### Statistical analysis

#### Descriptive statistical analysis

This study conducted descriptive analysis and visualization of the temporal trend of TB epidemiological characteristics, such as age-standardized incidence rate (ASIR), age-standardized prevalence rate (ASPR), age-standardized mortality rate (ASMR), and age-standardized disability-adjusted life years rate (ASDALYR), focusing on the differences between China and the World, as well as the differences among different genders and ages in China. All analyses and visualizations were performed using R software (version 4.2.1).

#### Joinpoint regression analysis

To more precisely reveal the temporal trends in ASIR, ASPR, ASMR, and ASDALYR of TB from 1990 to 2021, the Joinpoint 5.1.0.0 software which was available on the website of the National Cancer Institute (accessed on 10 April 2024),<sup>1</sup> was employed to conduct segmented regression analysis (13). This method can identify significant joinpoints, segmenting the overall long-term trends into distinct subsegments (14). In this study, we also calculated and assessed the annual percentage change (APC) with their 95% confidence interval (CI) and the significance of the trends for each subsegment. Additionally, the average annual percentage change (AAPC) was calculated to summarize the overall trends. If the lower boundary of APC/AAPC 95%CI is found to be greater than 0, signified an uptrend within the respective subsegment; conversely, the upper boundary of its 95%CI falling below 0 demonstrated a downtrend. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Age-period-cohort analysis

To further disentangle and quantify the independent and interactive effects of age, period, and cohort on the temporal trends in ASIR and ASMR of TB from 1990 to 2021, this study adopted the Bayesian age-period-cohort (BAPC) models as a robust methodological

However, there is collinearity among the three factors in the age-period-cohort model, which makes parameter estimation difficult. In this study, the Intrinsic Estimator (IE) method was utilized to address the complete collinearity relationship between age, period, and cohort variables (16, 17). Through the BAPC model, we can estimate the effect coefficients of three factors and convert them into relative risk (RR). By plotting the changes in RR over time for each factor, we can intuitively examine the underlying patterns and trends related to age, period, or cohort. This approach helps us discover the magnitude and direction of each factor's impact on the outcome. To meet the requirements of age-period-cohort analysis, the data were organized into successive 5-year age groups (i.e., 0-4, 5-9, ..., 95-99), consecutive 5-year periods from 1992 to 2021 (i.e., 1992-1996, 1997-2001, ..., 2017-2021) and corresponding consecutive 5-year birth cohorts (i.e.,1897-1901, 1902-1906, ..., 2017-2021). And then, we, respectively, computed the relative risk (RR) for age, period, and cohort. Stata software (version 15.0) was used for age-period-cohort analysis and R software (version 4.2.1) was used for visualizations.

#### Forecasting ASIR and ASMR beyond 2021

Furthermore, the BAPC model's predictive power is widely accepted. By leveraging historical data and accounting for uncertainty, the model can generate forecasts of future trends in health outcomes, including mortality or incidence (18–20). In this study, we applied the BAPC model to forecast the ASIR and ASMR of TB in China from 2022 to 2036. The standard population data used in the model was sourced from World Standard (WHO 2000–2025), which was available<sup>2</sup> (21). All analyses and visualizations were performed by R software (version 4.2.1).

#### Results

# Disease burden of TB and its temporal trend

In China, TB led to 617,726 (95% UI: 549,548 to 688,348) new cases and 37,332 (95% UI: 29,309 to 49,368) deaths in 2021 as per the GBD2021 data. Meanwhile, the ASIR, ASMR, and ASDALYR for TB in 2021 were 36 (95% UI: 33, 40) per 100,000 people, 2 (95% UI: 2, 3) per 100,000 people, and 76 (95% UI: 63, 94) per 100,000 people respectively, reflecting AAPCs of -3.491 (95% CI: -3.609, -3.372), -7.424 (95% CI: -7.777, -7.069), and -7.009 (95% CI: -7.219, -6.799) compared to 1990 (Table 1). The ASPR for TB in 2021 was 30,557 (95% UI: 27,693 to 33,531) per 100,000 people with an AAPC of -0.152 (95% CI: -0.366, 0.063) compared to 1990, indicating the decline in ASPR was not

framework, which was a statistical tool rooted in Bayesian inference and had gained widespread recognition among epidemiologists, demographers and public health researchers (15). The BAPC model is based on age-period-cohort analysis, which describes the change trend of diseases according to the impact of age, period and cohort on morbidity or mortality. Utilizing Bayesian inference techniques, the model estimates the posterior distribution by combining prior information about unknown parameters with sample data, allowing for the inference of unknown parameters based on this posterior distribution.

<sup>1</sup> https://surveillance.cancer.gov/joinpoint/download

<sup>2</sup> https://seer.cancer.gov/stdpopulations/world.who.html

| Measure    | Sex    | 1990   |  | 2021   |  | 1990-2021                  | p value     |
|------------|--------|--|--|--|--|----------------------------|-------------|
|            |        | All-ages cases n (95%<br>UI)                   | ASR per<br>100,000<br>people<br>(95% UI) | All-ages cases n (95%<br>UI)                   | ASR per<br>100,000<br>people<br>(95% UI) | AAPC (95%<br>CI)           | for<br>AAPC |
| China      |        |  |  |  |  |                            |             |
| Incidence  | Male   | 683,686 (593,332,789,780)                      | 131 (114,150)                            | 406,433 (360,732,452,642)                      | 47 (42,52)                               | -3.270 (-3.350,<br>-3.190) | <0.001      |
|            | Female | 484,122 (407,126,574,804)                      | 89 (77,103)                              | 211,293 (186,374,236,357)                      | 26 (23,29)                               | -3.845 (-4.094,<br>-3.594) | <0.001      |
|            | Total  | 1,167,808 (1,001,441,1,359,621)                | 109 (95,125)                             | 617,726 (549,548,688,348)                      | 36 (33,40)                               | -3.491 (-3.609,<br>-3.372) | <0.001      |
| Prevalence | Male   | 196,212,727<br>(172,953,351,221,591,670)       | 32,457<br>(28,905,36,013)                | 259,399,170<br>(235,884,026,284,569,387)       | 31,679<br>(28,789,34,672)                | -0.025 (-0.227,<br>0.176)  | 0.805       |
|            | Female | 173,567,058<br>(151,658,158,198,844,278)       | 30,407<br>(26,810,34,280)                | 232,149,210<br>(210,320,898,256,071,816)       | 29,399<br>(26,568,32,460)                | -0.175 (-0.362, 0.012)     | 0.067       |
|            | Total  | 369,779,785<br>(324,342,084,420,948,319)       | 31,446<br>(27,902,35,142)                | 491,548,380<br>(445,855,387,539,371,580)       | 30,557<br>(27,693,33,531)                | -0.152 (-0.366, 0.063)     | 0.167       |
| Deaths     | Male   | 103,742 (77,568,132,622)                       | 25 (19,33)                               | 26,981 (19,576,38,551)                         | 3 (2,4)                                  | -6.903 (-7.122,<br>-6.684) | <0.001      |
|            | Female | 67,349 (56,026,79,053)                         | 16 (13,18)                               | 10,351 (7,976,13,860)                          | 1 (1,1)                                  | -8.457 (-8.743,<br>-8.171) | <0.001      |
|            | Total  | 171,091 (141,634,204,908)                      | 20 (17,24)                               | 37,332 (29,309,49,368)                         | 2 (2,3)                                  | -7.424 (-7.777,<br>-7.069) | <0.001      |
| DALYs      | Male   | 4,325,971 (3,342,350,5,378,669)                | 855 (661,1,064)                          | 991,182 (767,265,1,335,566)                    | 109 (85,145)                             | -6.467 (-6.687,<br>-6.247) | <0.001      |
|            | Female | 2,999,759 (2,585,588,3,434,183)                | 593 (510,678)                            | 384,328 (308,366,483,222)                      | 45 (36,56)                               | -8.058 (-8.429,<br>-7.685) | <0.001      |
|            | Total  | 7,325,730 (6,221,452,8,528,411)                | 719 (611,837)                            | 1,375,510 (1,120,820,1,723,072)                | 76 (63,94)                               | -7.009 (-7.219,<br>-6.799) | <0.001      |
| Global     |        |  |  |  |  |                            |             |
| Incidence  | Male   | 4,467,133 (3,942,667,5,108,724)                | 190 (168,217)                            | 4,684,699 (4,183,366,5,243,434)                | 115 (104,129)                            | -1.601 (-1.66,<br>-1.542)  | <0.001      |
|            | Female | 4,131,388 (3,588,419,4,748,723)                | 160 (140,184)                            | 3,722,434 (3,313,147,4,158,319)                | 92 (81,103)                              | -1.760 (-1.837,<br>-1.683) | <0.001      |
|            | Total  | 8,598,520 (7,528,228,9,854,794)                | 173 (153,199)                            | 8,407,133 (7,519,793,9,393,767)                | 103 (92,115)                             | -1.655 (-1.740,<br>-1.570) | <0.001      |
| Prevalence | Male   | 823,515,218<br>(738,681,069,911,950,864)       | 31,391<br>(28,354,34,503)                | 987,404,665<br>(897,029,073,1,085,486,647)     | 24,386<br>(22,177,26,832)                | -0.812 (-0.881,<br>-0.744) | <0.001      |
|            | Female | 781,849,757<br>(701,624,622,865,470,600)       | 30,032<br>(27,123,33,116)                | 924,412,120<br>(837,484,487,1,015,739,987)     | 22,848<br>(20,741,25,214)                | -0.884 (-0.961,<br>-0.806) | <0.001      |
|            | Total  | 1,605,364,975<br>(1,440,332,859,1,777,421,464) | 30,697<br>(27,716,33,777)                | 1,911,816,784<br>(1,733,433,144,2,100,447,765) | 23,614<br>(21,451,26,020)                | -0.850 (-0.912,<br>-0.787) | <0.001      |
| Death      | Male   | 1,051,722 (810,021,1,227,159)                  | 52 (40,61)                               | 725,385 (646,282,868,622)                      | 18 (16,22)                               | -3.322 (-3.495,<br>-3.148) | <0.001      |
|            | Female | 727,147 (660,765,815,158)                      | 30 (28,34)                               | 437,411 (396,771,482,605)                      | 10 (9,11)                                | -3.456 (-3.6,<br>-3.311)   | <0.001      |
|            | Total  | 1,778,869 (1,532,822,1,980,801)                | 40 (34,45)                               | 1,162,796 (1,050,008,1,313,985)                | 14 (13,16)                               | -3.34 0(-3.491,<br>-3.189) | <0.001      |
| DALYs      | Male   | 45,547,389 (35,529,337,53,089,779)             | 1913 (1,491,2,220)                       | 28,536,132 (25,244,156,33,765,448)             | 705 (623,834)                            | -3.158 (-3.278,<br>-3.037) | <0.001      |
|            | Female | 37,132,385 (33,730,888,41,775,979)             | 1,424 (1,297,1,598)                      | 18,441,330 (16,705,778,20,317,945)             | 463 (417,513)                            | -3.557 (-3.684,<br>-3.431) | <0.001      |
|            | Total  | 82,679,773 (73,004,989,91,407,746)             | 1,651 (1,458,1825)                       | 46,977,463 (42,482,994,52,463,556)             | 580 (522,650)                            | -3.316 (-3.426,<br>-3.206) | <0.001      |

#### TABLE 1 The disease burden presented with cases and ASR for tuberculosis by sex at global level and in China, AAPC from 1990 to 2021.

UI, uncertainty interval; ASR, age standardized rate; AAPC, average annual percentage change; CI, confidence interval; DALYs, disability-adjusted life years.



statistically significant (p = 0.167). At the global level, the ASIR, ASPR, ASMR, and ASDALYR for TB in 2021 were 103 (95% UI: 92, 115) per 100,000 people, 23,614 (95% UI: 21,451 to 26,020) per 100,000 people, 14 (95% UI: 13, 16) per 100,000 people, and 580 (95% UI: 522, 650) per 100,000 people respectively, reflecting AAPCs of -1.655 (95% CI: -1.740, -1.570), -0.850 (95% CI: -0.912, -0.787), -3.340 (95% CI: -3.491, -3.189), and - 3.316 (95% CI, -3.426, -3.206) compared to 1990. Compared with the global disease burden of TB, the ASIR, ASMR, and ASDALYR in China were lower than the global level, and the decline in these metrics in China was larger than that observed worldwide. However, the ASPR in China was higher than the global level (Figure 1). Figure 2 shows the sex-specific prevalence (Figure 2A), disabilityadjusted life years (Figure 2B), incidence (Figure 2C), and mortality (Figure 2D) numbers of TB in China for different age groups in 2021. TB was more prevalent in people between the age of 15 and 74. A similar tendency was observed in the incidence and disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), which showed a decrease at the age of 5 and 70, respectively, and an overall increase between the age of 9 and 69 with slight up and down at some ages. The highest incidence peaks occurred in the age group of 65-69. Moreover, the incidence and DALYs of the population aged 69 and above still maintain a high level. In terms of death, numbers stayed at a low level before the age of 19, and increased to higher levels between the age of 20 and 79. After the age of 95, the mortality number

decreased dramatically. Men had higher incidence, mortality, and DALYs than women while the difference between men and women was slight for prevalence, which could also be drawn from Figure 3.

Figure 3 depicted the trends in the sex-specific all-age numbers and age-standardized rates of TB incidence, prevalence, mortality, and DALYs in China from 1990 to 2021. The sex-specific ASDALYR, ASIR, and ASMR for TB declined gradually (Figures 3B–D) while the ASPR fluctuated by year for both males and females (Figure 3A).

#### Joinpoint regression analysis

Joinpoint regression analysis of the age-standardized rates for TB in China and globally from 1990 to 2021 were shown in Figure 4. As shown in Figure 4A, compared with the global trend of ASPR, which gradually decreased since 1994, the ASPR in China undulated significantly, specifically decreased from 1999 to 2005 (APC = -1.90), increased in the period 2005–2010 (APC = 0.54), followed a decrease from 2010 to 2015 (APC = -1.63) and then again continuously increased till 2021 with an APC<sub>2015-2019</sub> = 2.48, despite a non-significant fall in all cases and females (Figure 4A). Different from the trend of ASPR, the ASIR of TB was dropped year after year, with two remarkable drops in the period of 1995–2005 (APC = -4.59) and 2010–2015 (APC = -5.68; Figure 4B).



The ASMR significantly decreased from 2004 to 2013 in both of males (APC<sub>2004-2007</sub> = -12.57, APC<sub>2007-2010</sub> = -10.02, APC<sub>2010-2013</sub> = -7.85) and females (APC<sub>2004-2007</sub> = -16.08, APC<sub>2007-2010</sub> = -11.71, APC<sub>2010-2013</sub> = -9.01; Figure 4C). The ASDALYR (Figure 4D) was on a similar downward trend with the ASMR, which also had remarkable declines between 2004 and 2013 (APC<sub>2004-2007</sub> = -12.31, APC<sub>2007-2013</sub> = -8.44).

# The effects of age, period, and cohort on incidence/mortality of TB calculated by age-period-cohort models

Figure 5 illustrated the age-period-cohort effect on TB incidence and mortality rate. For the overall trend, the TB incidence rate grew up with age, and peaked at the age of 95–99 year-old, which experienced three drops at ages 0–4, 15–34, and 65–74 year-old, respectively. After controlling the effects of period and cohort, it decreased under 5 years old and then increased to the peak. The onset risk of TB at age of 90 (RR = 2.014, 95% CI: 1.980, 2.049) was 8.121 times of that in age 5 (RR = 0.248, 95% CI: 0.246, 0.251). The increase of RR values was initially rapid at the age of 5–15, then slower at the age of 15–95, among which there were two drops at the age of 20–25 and 65–75. The period-based trend of TB incidence gradually decreased with the progress of the times, with the RR value decreased from 1.479 (95% CI: 1.474, 1.483) in period<sub>1992-1996</sub> to 0.709 (95% CI: 0.707, 0.712) in period<sub>2017-2021</sub>. The birth cohort based trend showed the onset risk was significantly higher in the early birth cohort (RR  $_{cohort 1897-1901} = 1.945, 95\%$  CI: 1.725, 2.194) and decreased in the recent cohorts (RR $_{cohort 2017-2021} = 0.434, 95\%$  CI: 0.426, 0.442) with the maximum reduction in the cohort $_{1997-2012}$ . The trend of TB mortality rate was similar to that of incidence rate but with a smaller amplitude, as so as the corrected age effect, period effect, and cohort effect. After controlling for the effects of period and birth cohort, the mortality rate declined with age until 10 years old and then increased, peaking at ages 85–89. However, the age-based incidence and mortality both had an obvious turning point at age 5, the RR were 0.248 (95% CI: 0.246, 0.251) and 0.152 (95% CI: 0.147, 0.158) for incidence and mortality, respectively. The additional effect values of age, period, and cohort were summarized in Supplementary Table S1.

# Prediction of TB incidence and mortality rate from 2022 to 2036 in China

To learn about the trends of TB incidence and mortality after 2021, the BAPC models were used to predict the ASR from 2022 to 2036, the results were summarized in Supplementary Table S2. According to the prediction, the ASIR and ASMR of TB would continue to decline. The ASIR and ASMR would be 26.12 (95% CI: 15.75, 36.48) per 100,000 people and 1.13 (95% CI:0.45, 1.81) per 100,000 people in 2030, respectively. And the ASIR would be 21.96 (95% CI: 6.14, 37.79) per 100,000 people in 2035. Regarding gender



stratification, the ASIR in males and females would decrease annually after 2021, from 46.80 (95% CI: 46.65, 46.96) per 100,000 people in 2021 to 29.66 (95% CI: 9.08, 50.22) per 100,000 people in 2035 for males, and from 26.25 (95% CI: 26.13, 26.37) per 100,000 people to 15.83 (95% CI: 2.98, 28.68) per 100,000 people for females, and the ASIR would be 21.96 (95% CI: 6.14, 37.79) per 100,000 people in the year of 2035 for total population (Figure 6A). The predicted mortality rate would also keep going down over the next two decades (Figure 6B), the males' ASMR was expected to drop from 2.73 (95% CI: 2.70, 2.77) per 100,000 people in 2021 to 1.43 (95% CI: 0.00, 3.07) per 100,000 people in 2035, while the female' ASMR would go down from 0.96 (95% CI: 0.94, 0.98) per 100,000 people to 0.41 (95% CI: 0.10, 0.91) per 100,000 people. These results indicated that the disease burden of TB in China will gradually decrease in both males and females in terms of ASIR and ASMR.

# Discussion

This study examined the trends of TB burden in China from 1990 to 2021 using the joinpoint analysis combined with the age-period-cohort models and estimated the future trends of TB to 2036 via the BAPC models. The findings indicated an overall decline in TB burden in China as well as the global situation from 1990 to 2021 in terms of ASIR, ASMR, ASPR, and ASDALYR, indicating significant effectiveness in TB control works. However, it is worth noting that since 2010, the downward trends of the TB burden in China have slowed down significantly, particularly in incidence and mortality rates. One potential cause may be comorbidity with diabetes or HIV; the increase in diabetes cases and HIV infection has been identified as significant factors contributing to the sustained TB burden. Patients with diabetes or HIV/AIDS have higher susceptibility to TB (22). Another potential cause may be the economic environment. Since 2010, China's economic growth has slowed down, partially due to external and cyclical factors, which may have impacted healthcare access and resource allocation for TB control. It is well worth strengthening diabetes management and anti-HIV/AIDS and increasing investment in TB control programs.

Different from the steady decline of ASIR, ASMR, and ASDALYR, the prevalence of TB in China fluctuated significantly during the period analyzed. Through joinpoint regression analysis, it was found that the prevalence of TB in China had experienced several rises and declines from 1990 to 2021. Notably, the significant decline observed during the period from 1999 to 2005 may be attributed to the widespread implementation of the Directly Observed Treatment, Short-course (DOTS) strategy, which was promoted globally by the World Health Organization (WHO) starting in 1993 (23). This strategy ensured that patients completed their full treatment, effectively reducing the incidence of drug-resistant tuberculosis and helping to control the spread of the disease. The decrease in TB prevalence between 2010 and 2015 may be linked to a substantial increase in treatment coverage, which rose from 33% in 2000 to 87% in 2008. High treatment coverage is known to positively impact the reduction of TB prevalence and incidence. However, the increase in TB prevalence from 2015 to 2019 may be associated with demographic



FIGURE 4

Temporal trends in age-standardized rates of TB in China versus global rates based on the joinpoint regression analysis. (A) ASPR, (B) ASIR, (C) ASMR, (D) ASDALYR.



FIGURE 5

Trends of age-based, period-based and cohort-based variation of TB incidence/mortality rate in China. (A) Incidence trends, (B) Mortality trends, (C) APC model for incidence, (D) APC model for mortality. APC model: Age-period-cohort model.



Predicted trends of TB incidence and mortality rate in China from 2022 to 2036. Column and lines before the dotted line represent the true trend of TB incidence/death number and rate respectively; Column and lines after the dotted line represent the predicted trend and its 95% CI. (A) Incidence, (B) Mortality.

trends, such as an aging population in China (24), which presents a growing challenge for TB control. Additionally, the rise in chronic diseases, including diabetes, and the increase in rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis (RR-TB) have contributed to this upward trend (25).

The burden of TB in China showed a significant gender disparity, with a higher disease burden among males compared to females. Lifestyles such as smoking and alcohol consumption may contribute to this difference, as studies indicated that smoking is a significant risk factor for TB (26-30), and excessive alcohol consumption is also associated with an increased risk of the disease (31-34). Men typically engage in smoking and excessive drinking more than women, which could explain the higher disease burden among males. Moreover, men in China may encounter greater social and economic pressures that impact their overall health and increase their risk of TB. However, current research on this topic remains limited. Therefore, when developing prevention and control strategies, it is essential to focus on men. This includes increasing awareness of TB among males and healthcare workers (35), promoting smoking cessation (36, 37) and alcohol restriction (38), encouraging disease screening, and providing psychological support and care (39) for men.

Age had noticeable effects on the incidence and mortality rate of TB. Due to the protective effect of BCG vaccination and other factors

such as low exposure risks, both the incidence and mortality rate of children under 5 years old was relatively low, and decreased with age. However, the incidence rate rose rapidly at the age of 5-15, which may be related to factors such as increase of aggregation activities of school-age children, immature immunity, and decrease of BCG protection (40). Another rapid rise stage at age of 45-65 can be due to aging since TB is an age-related disease (41). Different age groups have shown different trends of change, but the overall incidence rate and mortality rate of the older adult population were higher, suggesting that we should pay special attention to the health status of the older adult population in prevention and control work, strengthen health management, improve diagnosis and treatment level, and reduce the mortality rate. The results of the age-periodcohort model analysis also showed that the period and birth cohort have significant impacts on TB burden. The earlier the period, the higher the incidence and mortality rate, and so as the birth cohort effect. These means the epidemic situation of TB in the historical period has a far-reaching impact on the current and future disease burden. Therefore, it is necessary to fully consider the impact of historical background, e.g., the pandemic of COVID-19 has set back progress toward achieving global TB targets by several years (42, 43) and social changes including technology progress (44) on the

epidemic situation of TB, so as to more accurately predict the future trend of disease burden.

Prediction of TB burden from 2022 to 2036 via the BAPC model showed that the incidence and mortality rate of TB in China would continue to decline, and the rate in males would be still higher than that in females. Despite the continuous decline, it still could not achieve the goal of "End TB by 2035" with a TB incidence of 10/100,000 people as the predicted ASIR of TB would be 21.96 (95% CI: 6.14, 37.79)/100,000 people in 2035. Meanwhile, the ASIR and ASMR for TB in 2030 would be 26.12/100,000 people (95% CI: 15.75, 36.48) and 1.13/100,000 people (95% CI: 0.45, 1.81), which showed a gap with the stop TB target in 2030. The focus of future prevention and control work should be on optimizing the relative strategies, improving diagnosis and treatment methods, and strengthening health education.

# Conclusion

This study showed that the overall TB burden in terms of ASIR, ASMR, ASPR, and ASDALYR in both sexes had decreased in the last three decades in China. However, the TB incidence predicted using the annual reduction rate via the BAPC model could not achieve the aim of "END TB by 2035," indicating it is warranted to formulate the current strategies for preventing and controlling TB considering the factors such as gender, age, period, and birth cohort since they have important effects on the disease burden of TB. Effective strategies targeting men should focus on increasing awareness of tuberculosis among both the general population and healthcare workers. Additionally, promoting smoking cessation and alcohol moderation, enhancing disease screening and treatment access, and providing psychological support and care are crucial.

## Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found at: https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-results/.

## Author contributions

RS: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. LW: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing.

#### References

1. Organization WH. Global tuberculosis report 2013. (2013). Available at: https:// www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241564656 [Accessed December 1, 2024].

2. Churchyard G, Kim P, Shah NS, Rustomjee R, Gandhi N, Mathema B, et al. What we know about tuberculosis transmission: an overview. *J Infect Dis.* (2017) 216:S629–35. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jix362

3. Ding C, Wang S, Shangguan Y, Feng X, Guo W, Shi P, et al. Epidemic trends of tuberculosis in China from 1990 to 2017: evidence from the global burden of disease study. *Infect Drug Resist.* (2020) 13:1663–72. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S249698

4. Lan QW, Chen HK, Huang ZM, Bao TY, Liang CJ, Yi RT, et al. Global, regional, and national time trends in incidence for tuberculosis, 1990-2019: an age-period-cohort analysis for the global burden of disease 2019 study. *Heart Lung.* (2024) 65:19–30. doi: 10.1016/j.hrtlng.2024.01.009

5. Organization WH. Global tuberculosis report 2023. (2023). Available at: https:// www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240083851[Accessed September 15, 2024]. HX: Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

# Acknowledgments

The authors thank the GBD study collaborations for sharing the data.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### **Generative AI statement**

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1506266/ full#supplementary-material

6. Squire SB, Obasi A, Nhlema-Simwaka B. The global plan to stop TB: a unique opportunity to address poverty and the millennium development goals. *Lancet*. (2006) 367:955–7. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68393-1

7. Visca D, Ong CWM, Tiberi S, Centis R, D'Ambrosio L, Chen B, et al. Tuberculosis and COVID-19 interaction: a review of biological, clinical and public health effects. *Pulmonology*. (2021) 27:151–65. doi: 10.1016/j.pulmoe.2020.12.012

8. Pang YL, Du J, Gao J, Li L. Impact of COVID-19 on tuberculosis control in China. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. (2020) 24:545–7. doi: 10.5588/ijtld.20.0127

9. Collaborators GBDCoD. Global burden of 288 causes of death and life expectancy decomposition in 204 countries and territories and 811 subnational locations, 1990-2021: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2021. *Lancet*. (2024) 403:2100–32. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00367-2

10. Collaborators GBDRF. Global burden and strength of evidence for 88 risk factors in 204 countries and 811 subnational locations,  $1990\-2021$ : a systematic analysis for the

global burden of disease study 2021. Lancet. (2024) 403:2162-203. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00933-4

11. Diseases GBD, Injuries C. Global incidence, prevalence, years lived with disability (YLDs), disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs), and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 371 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories and 811 subnational locations, 1990-2021: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2021. *Lancet.* (2024) 403:2133-61. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00757-8

12. Network GBoDC. Global Burden of Disease Study 2021 (GBD 2021) Results. Seattle, United States: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) (2022). Available at: https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-results/ (Accessed August 6, 2024).

13. Program JR. 5.1.0.0. Statistical research and applications branch, National Cancer Institute. (2024). Available at: https://surveillance.cancer.gov/joinpoint/download [Accessed April 10, 2024]

14. Kim H-J, Fay MP, Feuer EJ, Midthune DN. Permutation tests for joinpoint regression with applications to cancer rates. *Stat Med.* (2000) 19:335–51. doi: 10.1002/ (sici)1097-0258(20000215)19:3<335::Aid-sim336>3.0.Co;2-z

15. Yang Y, Land KC. Age-period-cohort analysis: New models, methods, and empirical applications. Age-period-cohort analysis: New models, methods, and empirical applications. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press (2013).p.15–48.

16. Yang Y, Schulhofer-Wohl S, Fu Wenjiang J, Land KC. The intrinsic estimator for age-period-cohort analysis: what it is and how to use it. *Am J Sociol.* (2008) 113:1697–736. doi: 10.1086/587154

17. Yang YFW, Land KA. Methodological comparison of age-period-cohort models: The intrinsic estimator and conventional generalized linear models. *Sociol Methodol*. (2004) 1:75–110. doi: 10.2307/3649370

18. Gu JC, Song JW, Liu Y, Wang XL, Xu SS, Zhang LL, et al. Trends of Oral Cancer mortality in China from 1990 to 2019 and a 15-year predictive analysis based on a Bayesian age-period-cohort model. *Biomed Environ Sci.* (2023) 36:553–6. doi: 10.3967/bes2023.068

19. Jurgens V, Ess S, Cerny T, Vounatsou P. A Bayesian generalized age-period-cohort power model for cancer projections. *Stat Med.* (2014) 33:4627–36. doi: 10.1002/sim.6248

20. Meng Y, Wang C, Liu Y. Trend analysis and prediction of injury incidence in China from 1990 to 2019 based on Bayesian age-period-cohort model. *Inj Prev.* (2024) 18:045303. doi: 10.1136/ip-2024-045303

21. Ahmad OB, Boschi-Pinto C, Lopez AD, Murray CJL, Lozano R, Inoue M. Age standardization of rates: a new WHO standard. GPE discussion paper series no. 31. World Health Organization; (2001). 10–12. Available at: https://seer.cancer.gov/stdpopulations/world.who.html [Accessed September 15, 2024].

22. Franco JV, Bongaerts B, Metzendorf MI, Risso A, Guo Y, Peña Silva L, et al. Diabetes as a risk factor for tuberculosis disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2024) 8:Cd016013. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD016013.pub2

23. Wang L, Zhang H, Ruan Y, Chin DP, Xia Y, Cheng S, et al. Tuberculosis prevalence in China, 1990-2010; a longitudinal analysis of national survey data. *Lancet.* (2014) 383:2057-64. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(13)62639-2

24. Huynh GH, Klein DJ, Chin DP, Wagner BG, Eckhoff PA, Liu R, et al. Tuberculosis control strategies to reach the 2035 global targets in China: the role of changing demographics and reactivation disease. *BMC Med.* (2015) 13:88. doi: 10.1186/s12916-015-0341-4

25. Su W, Ruan YZ, Li T, Du X, Jiang JW, Li RZ. Characteristics of rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis detection in China, 2015-2019. *Infect Dis Poverty*. (2021) 10:99. doi: 10.1186/s40249-021-00883-8

26. Leung C, Lam T, Yew W. Smoking and tuberculosis: infection, disease, and mortality. Arch Intern Med. (2007) 167:2008-9. doi: 10.1001/archinte.167.18.2008-b

27. Maurya V, Vijayan VK, Shah A. Smoking and tuberculosis: an association overlooked. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. (2002) 6:942–51.

28. Pednekar MS, Gupta PC. Prospective study of smoking and tuberculosis in India. *Prev Med.* (2007) 44:496–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2007.02.017

29. Lin H, Xiao L, Chen Y, Zeng X, Zhang X, Lin Y. Smoking cessation to prevent death and tuberculosis recurrence after treatment: a prospective cohort study with a seven-year follow-up in China. *J Glob Health*. (2024) 14:04187. doi: 10.7189/jogh.14.04187

30. Zhang H, Xin H, Li X, Li H, Li M, Lu W, et al. A dose-response relationship of smoking with tuberculosis infection: a cross-sectional study among 21008 rural residents in China. *PLoS One*. (2017) 12:e0175183. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0175183

31. Thomas BE, Thiruvengadam K, Kadam D, Ovung S, Sivakumar S. Smoking, alcohol use disorder and tuberculosis treatment outcomes: a dual co-morbidity burden that cannot be ignored. *PLoS One.* (2019) 14:e0220507. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0220507

32. Wigger GW, Bouton TC, Jacobson KR, Auld SC, Yeligar SM, Staitieh BS. The impact of alcohol use disorder on tuberculosis: a review of the epidemiology and potential immunologic mechanisms. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:864817. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.864817

33. Imtiaz S, Shield KD, Roerecke M, Samokhvalov AV, Lönnroth K, Rehm J. Alcohol consumption as a risk factor for tuberculosis: meta-analyses and burden of disease. *Eur Respir J.* (2017) 50:1700216. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00216-2017

34. Kuznetsov VN, Shelygin KV, Grjibovski AM, Mariandyshev AO, Johansson E, Bjune GA. Incidence of tuberculosis and associations with indicators of alcohol consumption in three regions of Northwest Russia in 1975-2009: a time-series analysis. J Environ Public Health. (2013) 2013:693963. doi: 10.1155/2013/693963

35. Magee MJ, Darchia L, Kipiani M, Chakhaia T, Kempker RR, Tukvadze N, et al. Smoking behavior and beliefs about the impact of smoking on anti-tuberculosis treatment among health care workers. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* (2017) 21:1049–55. doi: 10.5588/ijtld.17.0023

36. Wang EY, Arrazola RA, Mathema B, Ahluwalia IB, Mase SR. The impact of smoking on tuberculosis treatment outcomes: a meta-analysis. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* (2020) 24:170–5. doi: 10.5588/ijtld.19.0002

37. Lee J, Chung C, Jung SS, Park HK, Lee SS, Lee KM, et al. Do patients attempt and succeed in quitting smoking during tuberculosis treatment? A prospective cohort study. *BMC Pulm Med.* (2023) 23:456. doi: 10.1186/s12890-023-02693-0

38. Morojele NK, Shenoi SV, Shuper PA, Braithwaite RS, Rehm J. Alcohol use and the risk of communicable Diseases. *Nutrients*. (2021) 13:13. doi: 10.3390/nu13103317

39. Sweetland AC, Galea J, Shin SS, Driver C, Dlodlo RA, Karpati A, et al. Integrating tuberculosis and mental health services: global receptivity of national tuberculosis program directors. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* (2019) 23:600–5. doi: 10.5588/ijtld.18.0530

40. Lange C, Aaby P, Behr MA, Donald PR, Kaufmann SHE, Netea MG, et al. 100 years of *Mycobacterium bovis* bacille Calmette-Guerin. *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2022) 22:e2–e12. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00403-5

41. Hu D, Yan W, Zhu J, Zhu Y, Chen J. Age-related disease burden in China, 1997-2017: findings from the global burden of disease study. *Front Public Health.* (2021) 9:638704. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.638704

42. Zimmer AJ, Klinton JS, Oga-Omenka C, Heitkamp P, Nawina Nyirenda C, Furin J, et al. Tuberculosis in times of COVID-19. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* (2022) 76:310–6. doi: 10.1136/jech-2021-217529

43. Organization WH. Impact of the COVID- 19 pandemic on TB detection and mortality in 2020, (2021). Available at: https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/ impact-of-the-covid-19-pandemic-on-tb-detection-and-mortality-in-2020 [Accessed September 15, 2024].

44. Abdulgader SM, Okunola AO, Ndlangalavu G, Reeve BWP, Allwood BW, Koegelenberg CFN, et al. Diagnosing tuberculosis: what do new technologies allow us to (not) do? *Respiration*. (2022) 101:797–813. doi: 10.1159/000525142