Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mehdi Benamar, Harvard Medical School, United States

REVIEWED BY Xueping Yu, Fujian Medical University, China Sondes Haddad-Boubaker, Pasteur Institute of Tunis, Tunisia

*CORRESPONDENCE Lin Wang ⊠ carolin_wang@bjmu.edu.cn Wenquan Niu ⊠ niuwenquan_shcn@163.com

[†]These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 28 January 2024 ACCEPTED 05 February 2025 PUBLISHED 19 February 2025

CITATION

Zhou B, Xu Q, Li S, Wang J, Liu J, Zhang T, Qu X, Wang X, Zhang L, Liu X, Gu J, Zhou L, Chen F, Zong X, Niu W and Wang L (2025) Impact of Omicron infection on childhood health: the Beijing long-COVID study. *Front. Public Health* 13:1377745. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1377745

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhou, Xu, Li, Wang, Liu, Zhang, Qu, Wang, Zhang, Liu, Gu, Zhou, Chen, Zong, Niu and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Impact of Omicron infection on childhood health: the Beijing long-COVID study

Bo Zhou^{1†}, Qi Xu^{1†}, Shaoli Li^{1†}, Jianhong Wang^{1†}, Junting Liu^{1,2}, Ting Zhang³, Xia Qu¹, Xi Wang¹, Lili Zhang¹, Xiaoli Liu¹, Jialu Gu¹, Lijun Zhou¹, Fangfang Chen⁴, Xinnan Zong⁵, Wenquan Niu^{6*} and Lin Wang^{1*}

¹Child Healthcare Center, Children's Hospital, Capital Institute of Pediatrics, Beijing, China, ²Child Health Big Data Research Center, Capital Institute of Pediatrics, Beijing, China, ³Laboratory of Child Development and Nutriomics, Capital Institute of Pediatrics, Beijing, China, ⁴Department of Epidemiology, Capital Institute of Pediatrics, Beijing, China, ⁵Department of Growth and Development, Capital Institute of Pediatrics, Beijing, China, ⁶Center for Evidence-Based Medicine, Capital Institute of Pediatrics, Beijing, China

Purpose: The aim of this prospective study was to assess the dynamic changes of persisting symptoms among children aged 6–18 years during 1–2 months after the Omicron infection based on the modified SBQ-LC in the Tongzhou cohort, Beijing.

Methods: This study includes 4 serial surveys performed within January 7–9, January 14–16, January 21–23, and February 12–14 in 2023, respectively. The prediction of age and survey for eight domains in the Rasch 0–100 linear score was undertaken by generalized additive mixed model.

Results: Total 1,536 children (median age: 13 years, boys: 49.9%) had completed questionnaires across 4 surveys. Information on 51 symptoms was collected, with each scored on a 4-point rating scale. Generally, the distribution of age with all domains followed the N-shaped geometry, and that of survey followed the inverse J-shaped geometry. The Rasch linear score hit the lowest level among children aged 6–8 years, and reached the peak among children aged 12–13 years. The scores of all domains sharply declined from the first to the third survey, and remained stable between the third and the fourth survey. At the fourth survey, 95.05 and 51.37% children still had one or more problems relating to breathing and mental health, respectively, and the percentage of rest six domains was reduced to less than 20%.

Conclusion: Our findings indicate the multifaceted impact of Omicron infection on childhood health, especially among children aged 12–13 years. Moreover, breathing and mental health related problems still persisted during 1-to-2-month Omicron infection period.

KEYWORDS

Omicron infection, long Covid, children, Symptom Burden Questionnaire, prospective cohort

Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has made a serious public health threat worldwide spreading to over 200 countries globally and infecting millions of people of all ages. Since firstly reported on November 9, 2021, the highly-contagious Omicron variant has swiftly swept around the world (1). In China, the fight against Omicron has lasted nearly a whole year, and on December 8, 2022, the epidemic prevention and control policy was officially optimized by releasing the zero-COVID approaches and lifting mandatory quarantine measures. As expected, the sudden arrival of Omicron has fueled a surge in coronavirus-infected cases across China, affecting all age groups, including children (2, 3). In spite of stronger transmission and immune escape abilities, Omicron infection is associated with shorter recovery time and lower fatality rate, and it seems that Omicron variants affect a large proportion of the younger population with the appearance of clinical manifestations similar to adults (4-6). The majority of children have fully recovered within 1 month following Omicron infection, yet a fraction of them still experienced one or more unexplained persistent residual or new-onset symptoms afterwards. These symptoms, collectively termed as long COVID or post-COVID sequelae, have constituted a major public health issue in the post-pandemic era (7). On average, one in four children experienced long COVID, which can more or less cause damage to many organ systems, possibly via fatigue, post-exertional dyspnea, headache, or sleep disorders (8). For example, Hassan and colleagues have conducted an excellent meta-analysis on the prevalence of mental health problems among children with post-COVID sequelae, by demonstrating that the higher prevalence of anxiety, depression and appetite problems among infected children than those uninfected might be attributable to long COVID (9). Moreover, a national cross-sectional study from Israel showed that symptoms including headache, weakness, fatigue and abdominal pain were more common among children with COVID infection than those without (10). Thus far, evidence on post-Omicron symptoms in children is primarily based on cross-sectional observations or case series, and a dynamic continuum for these symptoms are lacking. What's more, a literature search has failed to reveal any evidence from Chinese children on this subject.

To fill this gap in knowledge and yield more reference for following investigations, we adopted the modified Symptom Burden Questionnaire for long COVID (SBQ-LC) (11) and prospectively surveyed children and adolescents 6–18 years of age in the Tongzhou district, Beijing from January 7 to February 14, 2023 at four serial intervals, aiming to assess the dynamic changes of persisting symptoms after Omicron waves from eight domains.

Methods

Study design

This is a prospective study involving four cross-sectional surveys performed on January 7–9, January 14–16, January 21–23 and February 12–14, respectively, in parallel to post-Omicron 2–3 weeks,

3–4 weeks, 4–5 weeks, and 8–9 weeks. The conduct of this study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee at the Capital Institute of Pediatrics (Beijing, China), and informed consent was obtained from the parents or major supervisors of all study participants.

Study participants

The data for this study were obtained from the Beijing Children and Adolescents Health Cohort conducted from 2022 to 2023, which was a prospective cohort study design established in Tongzhou district of Beijing. The cohort consisted of three primary, three junior and three high schools. Two classes of each grade in each school were randomly selected for cluster sampling survey. All school-aged children 6–18 years were surveyed using online questionnaires implemented on the Wenjuanxing platform.¹ Questionnaires, circulated four times following the Omicron waves, were filled out by the parents or major supervisors of children involved. Children were excluded from this study if they were clinically diagnosed to have respiratory, digestive, cardiovascular, psychological and behavioral diseases prior to COVID-19 infection.

Initially, 2,900 children were surveyed, and due to incomplete information across four surveys, only 1,536 children formed the present analytical cohort. The study profile was described in Figure 1.

Questionnaire

The questionnaire used in this study referred to the SBQ-LC version 1.0 (11), a comprehensive patient reported outcome instrument developed using modern psychometric methods. Considering that the SBQ-LC is primarily designed for adults, only domains suitable for children were selected. Specially, the original SBQ-LC was constructed based on 123 symptoms from 16 domains, and the modified SBQ-LC for children on 51 symptoms from 8 domains, that is, breathing (n = 7), pain (n = 4), circulation (n = 5), fatigue (n = 4), memory and thinking and communication (n = 10), movement (n = 3), muscles and joints (n = 9) and mental health (n = 9). Symptoms referred to the best description of experience over the last 7 days, and were scored on 4-point scales according to symptom severity, with 0 being none, 1 being mild, 2 being moderate and 3 being severe. The scale raw score of each domain was conversed to the Rasch 0-100 linear score, with higher scale scores denoting greater symptom burden for each domain. The 51 symptoms were translated into Chinese language with maintained integrity.

Quality control

To avoid duplicated reports, the same device (smart phone) can be used only once to complete questionnaires at each survey. No personal information including names and identification number was covered in the questionnaire to ensure total anonymity and honest responses. In addition, to ensure information integrity, submitting questionnaires cannot be performed until the competition of all items.

Abbreviation: SBQ-LC, Symptom Burden Questionnaire for long COVID

¹ https://www.wjx.cn/



The questionnaire settings provided control over the questionnaires and prevented respondents from random selection.

Statistical analyses

Continuous data are expressed as mean (standard deviation) in the case of normal distributions or as median (interquartile range) in the case of skewed distributions. Categorical data are expressed as count (percent). Difference of continuous data between four surveys was tested by using the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), and that of categorical data using the χ^2 -test. The joint distribution of age and sex was displayed by pyramid plot. Correlation of scale scores of eight domains was evaluated by the Spearman correlation coefficients upon stratified by surveys and displayed separately using heat maps. The prediction of age and survey for eight domains in the Rasch 0–100 linear score was undertaken by means of generalized additive mixed model, with knot values specified as 4 and using the Gaussian function

with identity link. The age and survey were explored as smoothed factors, and sex as non-smoothed factor. Two-dimension (age or survey with each domain in the modified SBQ-LC) and three-dimension (age, survey and each domain) were depicted to inspect underlying nonlinear changes. The distribution of symptom combination within each domain was inspected using the Venn plot for four surveys. Statistical analyses and data representation were completed using the R programming language version 4.3.0 implemented under the Integrated Development Environment RStudio version 2023.03.1.

Results

Characteristics of study participants

Of all study participants, 1,536 children had completed information across 4 surveys, and their basic characteristics and symptom distributions are shown in Table 1. The median age of 1,536 TABLE 1 Symptom distributions of children and adolescents across 4 surveys.

Characteristics		The 1st survey	The 2nd survey	The 3rd survey	The 4th survey	р	
Sample size		1,536	1,536	1,536	1,536		
age (median [IQR])			13.00 [9.00, 15.00]				
Boys (%)			767	(49.9)		NA	
	None	555 (36.1)	1,043 (67.9)	1,295 (84.3)	1,340 (87.2)	< 0.001	
Physical mental exhaustion	Mild	459 (29.9)	280 (18.2)	173 (11.3)	154 (10.0)		
(%)	Moderate	421 (27.4)	161 (10.5)	54 (3.5)	31 (2.0)		
	Severe	101 (6.6)	52 (3.4)	14 (0.9)	11 (0.7)		
	None	524 (34.1)	1,033 (67.3)	1,301 (84.7)	1,342 (87.4)	< 0.001	
• (0/)	Mild	459 (29.9)	296 (19.3)	168 (10.9)	149 (9.7)		
Low energy (%)	Moderate	438 (28.5)	165 (10.7)	53 (3.5)	35 (2.3)		
	Severe	115 (7.5)	42 (2.7)	14 (0.9)	10 (0.7)		
	None	613 (39.9)	1,077 (70.1)	1,316 (85.7)	1,338 (87.1)	< 0.001	
T' Ju (0/)	Mild	473 (30.8)	267 (17.4)	161 (10.5)	150 (9.8)		
Tiredness (%)	Moderate	356 (23.2)	150 (9.8)	45 (2.9)	35 (2.3)		
	Severe	94 (6.1)	42 (2.7)	14 (0.9)	13 (0.8)		
	None	610 (39.7)	1,071 (69.7)	1,293 (84.2)	1,345 (87.6)	< 0.001	
Worsening symptoms after activity (%)	Mild	476 (31.0)	287 (18.7)	181 (11.8)	147 (9.6)		
	Moderate	344 (22.4)	134 (8.7)	47 (3.1)	31 (2.0)		
	Severe	106 (6.9)	44 (2.9)	15 (1.0)	13 (0.8)		
	None	1,028 (66.9)	1,249 (81.3)	1,395 (90.8)	1,425 (92.8)	< 0.001	
Shortness of breathing sitting	Mild	358 (23.3)	202 (13.2)	109 (7.1)	78 (5.1)		
(%)	Moderate	114 (7.4)	58 (3.8)	23 (1.5)	23 (1.5)		
	Severe	36 (2.3)	27 (1.8)	9 (0.6)	10 (0.7)		
	None	1,036 (67.4)	1,215 (79.1)	1,368 (89.1)	1,397 (91.0)	< 0.001	
Shortness of breathing	Mild	337 (21.9)	227 (14.8)	134 (8.7)	106 (6.9)		
staring (%)	Moderate	122 (7.9)	67 (4.4)	25 (1.6)	25 (1.6)		
	Severe	41 (2.7)	27 (1.8)	9 (0.6)	8 (0.5)		
	None	1,127 (73.4)	1,278 (83.2)	1,409 (91.7)	1,429 (93.0)	< 0.001	
Shortness of breathing lying	Mild	282 (18.4)	177 (11.5)	101 (6.6)	77 (5.0)		
(%)	Moderate	99 (6.4)	55 (3.6)	17 (1.1)	21 (1.4)		
	Severe	28 (1.8)	26 (1.7)	9 (0.6)	9 (0.6)		
	None	1,134 (73.8)	1,279 (83.3)	1,415 (92.1)	1,441 (93.8)	< 0.001	
Chaot tight and $(0/)$	Mild	265 (17.3)	172 (11.2)	97 (6.3)	67 (4.4)		
Chest tightness (%)	Moderate	104 (6.8)	62 (4.0)	15 (1.0)	20 (1.3)		
	Severe	33 (2.1)	23 (1.5)	9 (0.6)	8 (0.5)		
	None	964 (62.8)	1,220 (79.4)	1,394 (90.8)	1,427 (92.9)	< 0.001	
Wheezing noisy breathing	Mild	355 (23.1)	212 (13.8)	110 (7.2)	74 (4.8)		
(%)	Moderate	156 (10.2)	77 (5.0)	23 (1.5)	26 (1.7)		
	Severe	61 (4.0)	27 (1.8)	9 (0.6)	9 (0.6)		
	None	1,298 (84.5)	1,405 (91.5)	1,460 (95.1)	1,458 (94.9)	< 0.001	
Wakeup short of breath (%)	Mild	238 (15.5)	131 (8.5)	76 (4.9)	78 (5.1)		
Breathing faster than usual	None	360 (23.4)	271 (17.6)	166 (10.8)	166 (10.8)	< 0.001	
(%)	Mild	1,176 (76.6)	1,265 (82.4)	1,370 (89.2)	1,370 (89.2)		
Difficulty remembering (%)	None	1,536 (100.0)	1,536 (100.0)	1,536 (100.0)	1,536 (100.0)	NA	

Characteristics		The 1st survey	The 2nd survey	The 3rd survey	The 4th survey	р
Memory loss (%)	None	1,094 (71.2)	1,186 (77.2)	1,317 (85.7)	1,339 (87.2)	< 0.001
	Mild	337 (21.9)	271 (17.6)	177 (11.5)	158 (10.3)	
	Moderate	83 (5.4)	53 (3.5)	28 (1.8)	25 (1.6)	
	Severe	22 (1.4)	26 (1.7)	14 (0.9)	14 (0.9)	
Brain frog (%)	None	1,136 (74.0)	1,213 (79.0)	1,341 (87.3)	1,379 (89.8)	< 0.001
	Mild	299 (19.5)	244 (15.9)	157 (10.2)	120 (7.8)	
	Moderate	77 (5.0)	51 (3.3)	24 (1.6)	23 (1.5)	
	Severe	24 (1.6)	28 (1.8)	14 (0.9)	14 (0.9)	
Difficulty planning (%)	None	1,059 (68.9)	1,200 (78.1)	1,356 (88.3)	1,380 (89.8)	< 0.001
	Mild	342 (22.3)	236 (15.4)	142 (9.2)	120 (7.8)	
	Moderate	103 (6.7)	72 (4.7)	23 (1.5)	25 (1.6)	
	Severe	32 (2.1)	28 (1.8)	15 (1.0)	11 (0.7)	
Confusion happening (%)	None	1,270 (82.7)	1,308 (85.2)	1,403 (91.3)	1,424 (92.7)	< 0.001
-	Mild	194 (12.6)	160 (10.4)	110 (7.2)	82 (5.3)	
	Moderate	51 (3.3)	42 (2.7)	13 (0.8)	18 (1.2)	
	Severe	21 (1.4)	26 (1.7)	10 (0.7)	12 (0.8)	
Difficulty concentrating (%)	None	972 (63.3)	1,188 (77.3)	1,325 (86.3)	1,365 (88.9)	< 0.001
7 0	Mild	411 (26.8)	251 (16.3)	173 (11.3)	137 (8.9)	
	Moderate	121 (7.9)	71 (4.6)	26 (1.7)	22 (1.4)	
	Severe	32 (2.1)	26 (1.7)	12 (0.8)	12 (0.8)	
Word finding difficulty (%)	None	1,249 (81.3)	1,310 (85.3)	1,405 (91.5)	1,430 (93.1)	< 0.001
<u> </u>	Mild	219 (14.3)	163 (10.6)	105 (6.8)	80 (5.2)	
	Moderate	52 (3.4)	43 (2.8)	18 (1.2)	15 (1.0)	
	Severe	16 (1.0)	20 (1.3)	8 (0.5)	11 (0.7)	
Understanding difficulty (%)	None	1,315 (85.6)	1,344 (87.5)	1,410 (91.8)	1,428 (93.0)	< 0.001
enderstanding difficulty (76)	Mild	164 (10.7)	147 (9.6)	101 (6.6)	81 (5.3)	(0.001
	Moderate	42 (2.7)	29 (1.9)	16 (1.0)	18 (1.2)	
	Severe	15 (1.0)	16 (1.0)	9 (0.6)	9 (0.6)	
Slurred speech (%)	None	1,299 (84.6)	1,322 (86.1)	1,417 (92.3)	1,434 (93.4)	< 0.001
Starrea Speech (70)	Mild	178 (11.6)	1,522 (80.1)	98 (6.4)	74 (4.8)	<0.001
	Moderate	46 (3.0)	36 (2.3)	14 (0.9)	19 (1.2)	
	Severe	13 (0.8)	19 (1.2)	7 (0.5)	9 (0.6)	
Reading difficulty (%)	None	1,301 (84.7)	1,330 (86.6)	1,424 (92.7)	1,429 (93.0)	< 0.001
Reading difficulty (70)	Mild	175 (11.4)	150 (9.8)	85 (5.5)	83 (5.4)	<0.001
	Moderate	43 (2.8)	36 (2.3)	20 (1.3)	14 (0.9)	
					. ,	
Tremor (%)	Severe	17 (1.1)	20 (1.3)	7 (0.5)	10 (0.7)	< 0.001
Fremor (%)	None Mild	1,317 (85.7)	1,348 (87.8)	1,432 (93.2)	1,448 (94.3)	<0.001
		169 (11.0)	135 (8.8)	77 (5.0)	65 (4.2)	
	Moderate	38 (2.5)	36 (2.3)	15 (1.0)	14 (0.9)	
	Severe	12 (0.8)	17 (1.1)	12 (0.8)	9 (0.6)	0.001
Balance difficulty (%)	None	1,260 (82.0)	1,313 (85.5)	1,414 (92.1)	1,422 (92.6)	<0.001
	Mild	199 (13.0)	161 (10.5)	95 (6.2)	89 (5.8)	
	Moderate	56 (3.6)	44 (2.9)	16 (1.0)	18 (1.2)	

Characteristics		The 1st survey	The 2nd survey	The 3rd survey	The 4th survey	р
Movement difficulty (%)	None	1,255 (81.7)	1,315 (85.6)	1,421 (92.5)	1,425 (92.8)	< 0.001
	Mild	216 (14.1)	159 (10.4)	90 (5.9)	91 (5.9)	
	Moderate	49 (3.2)	43 (2.8)	16 (1.0)	12 (0.8)	
	Severe	16 (1.0)	19 (1.2)	9 (0.6)	8 (0.5)	
Feeling faint (%)	None	1,058 (68.9)	1,224 (79.7)	1,355 (88.2)	1,394 (90.8)	< 0.001
	Mild	307 (20.0)	193 (12.6)	138 (9.0)	95 (6.2)	
	Moderate	124 (8.1)	90 (5.9)	31 (2.0)	33 (2.1)	
	Severe	47 (3.1)	29 (1.9)	12 (0.8)	14 (0.9)	
Palpitations (%)	None	1,335 (86.9)	1,369 (89.1)	1,431 (93.2)	1,440 (93.8)	< 0.001
	Mild	152 (9.9)	112 (7.3)	82 (5.3)	66 (4.3)	
	Moderate	36 (2.3)	38 (2.5)	13 (0.8)	22 (1.4)	
	Severe	13 (0.8)	17 (1.1)	10 (0.7)	8 (0.5)	
Dizziness standing (%)	None	1,058 (68.9)	1,224 (79.7)	1,355 (88.2)	1,394 (90.8)	< 0.001
	Mild	307 (20.0)	193 (12.6)	138 (9.0)	95 (6.2)	
	Moderate	124 (8.1)	90 (5.9)	31 (2.0)	33 (2.1)	
	Severe	47 (3.1)	29 (1.9)	12 (0.8)	14 (0.9)	
Swelling legs and feet (%)	None	1,424 (92.7)	1,413 (92.0)	1,458 (94.9)	1,469 (95.6)	0.002
	Mild	83 (5.4)	85 (5.5)	57 (3.7)	44 (2.9)	
	Moderate	21 (1.4)	26 (1.7)	14 (0.9)	14 (0.9)	
	Severe	8 (0.5)	12 (0.8)	7 (0.5)	9 (0.6)	
Iands and feet colder than	None	1,115 (72.6)	1,228 (79.9)	1,367 (89.0)	1,394 (90.8)	< 0.001
sual (%)	Mild	261 (17.0)	198 (12.9)	120 (7.8)	91 (5.9)	
	Moderate	114 (7.4)	81 (5.3)	37 (2.4)	38 (2.5)	
	Severe	46 (3.0)	29 (1.9)	12 (0.8)	13 (0.8)	
Chest pain (%)	None	1,348 (87.8)	1,384 (90.1)	1,452 (94.5)	1,462 (95.2)	< 0.001
	Mild	134 (8.7)	111 (7.2)	64 (4.2)	48 (3.1)	
	Moderate	43 (2.8)	27 (1.8)	11 (0.7)	17 (1.1)	
	Severe	11 (0.7)	14 (0.9)	9 (0.6)	9 (0.6)	
Pain on breathing (%)	None	1,337 (87.0)	1,379 (89.8)	1,457 (94.9)	1,463 (95.2)	< 0.001
-	Mild	126 (8.2)	110 (7.2)	59 (3.8)	53 (3.5)	
	Moderate	53 (3.5)	31 (2.0)	11 (0.7)	10 (0.7)	
	Severe	20 (1.3)	16 (1.0)	9 (0.6)	10 (0.7)	
hooting stabbing pain (%)	None	1,295 (84.3)	1,368 (89.1)	1,436 (93.5)	1,450 (94.4)	< 0.001
	Mild	151 (9.8)	114 (7.4)	77 (5.0)	59 (3.8)	
	Moderate	72 (4.7)	38 (2.5)	13 (0.8)	16 (1.0)	
	Severe	18 (1.2)	16 (1.0)	10 (0.7)	11 (0.7)	
Aching burning pain (%)	None	1,341 (87.3)	1,385 (90.2)	1,458 (94.9)	1,463 (95.2)	< 0.001
	Mild	134 (8.7)	98 (6.4)	55 (3.6)	51 (3.3)	
	Moderate	47 (3.1)	34 (2.2)	15 (1.0)	15 (1.0)	
	Severe	14 (0.9)	19 (1.2)	8 (0.5)	7 (0.5)	
/uscle pain (%)	None	1,053 (68.6)	1,265 (82.4)	1,407 (91.6)	1,420 (92.4)	< 0.001
_	Mild	304 (19.8)	183 (11.9)	96 (6.2)	80 (5.2)	
	Moderate	140 (9.1)	63 (4.1)	22 (1.4)	27 (1.8)	
	Severe	39 (2.5)	25 (1.6)	11 (0.7)	9 (0.6)	

Characteristics		The 1st survey	The 2nd survey	The 3rd survey	The 4th survey	р
Muscle weakness (%)	None	1,005 (65.4)	1,227 (79.9)	1,402 (91.3)	1,404 (91.4)	< 0.001
	Mild	337 (21.9)	204 (13.3)	100 (6.5)	94 (6.1)	
	Moderate	153 (10.0)	76 (4.9)	22 (1.4)	29 (1.9)	
	Severe	41 (2.7)	29 (1.9)	12 (0.8)	9 (0.6)	
Muscle stiffness (%)	None	1,297 (84.4)	1,368 (89.1)	1,450 (94.4)	1,453 (94.6)	< 0.001
	Mild	161 (10.5)	115 (7.5)	66 (4.3)	57 (3.7)	
	Moderate	53 (3.5)	35 (2.3)	13 (0.8)	18 (1.2)	
	Severe	25 (1.6)	18 (1.2)	7 (0.5)	8 (0.5)	
Joint pain (%)	None	1,179 (76.8)	1,316 (85.7)	1,426 (92.8)	1,441 (93.8)	< 0.001
	Mild	222 (14.5)	149 (9.7)	82 (5.3)	65 (4.2)	
	Moderate	100 (6.5)	48 (3.1)	15 (1.0)	19 (1.2)	
	Severe	35 (2.3)	23 (1.5)	13 (0.8)	11 (0.7)	
Joint swelling (%)	None	1,409 (91.7)	1,420 (92.4)	1,457 (94.9)	1,467 (95.5)	0.001
	Mild	95 (6.2)	77 (5.0)	57 (3.7)	48 (3.1)	
	Moderate	22 (1.4)	25 (1.6)	14 (0.9)	14 (0.9)	
	Severe	10 (0.7)	14 (0.9)	8 (0.5)	7 (0.5)	
Joint stiffness (%)	None	1,402 (91.3)	1,417 (92.3)	1,458 (94.9)	1,463 (95.2)	< 0.001
	Mild	95 (6.2)	78 (5.1)	60 (3.9)	51 (3.3)	
	Moderate	26 (1.7)	28 (1.8)	11 (0.7)	14 (0.9)	
	Severe	13 (0.8)	13 (0.8)	7 (0.5)	8 (0.5)	
Muscle twitching (%)	None	1,415 (92.1)	1,420 (92.4)	1,454 (94.7)	1,468 (95.6)	0.003
	Mild	92 (6.0)	80 (5.2)	60 (3.9)	51 (3.3)	
	Moderate	19 (1.2)	24 (1.6)	12 (0.8)	11 (0.7)	
	Severe	10 (0.7)	12 (0.8)	10 (0.7)	6 (0.4)	
Muscle cramping (%)	None	1,422 (92.6)	1,426 (92.8)	1,459 (95.0)	1,462 (95.2)	0.034
	Mild	88 (5.7)	77 (5.0)	59 (3.8)	54 (3.5)	
	Moderate	18 (1.2)	21 (1.4)	10 (0.7)	13 (0.8)	
	Severe	8 (0.5)	12 (0.8)	8 (0.5)	7 (0.5)	
Tingling numbness (%)	None	1,332 (86.7)	1,385 (90.2)	1,450 (94.4)	1,457 (94.9)	< 0.001
	Mild	148 (9.6)	108 (7.0)	67 (4.4)	58 (3.8)	
	Moderate	37 (2.4)	29 (1.9)	10 (0.7)	12 (0.8)	
	Severe	19 (1.2)	14 (0.9)	9 (0.6)	9 (0.6)	
Lack interest (%)	None	1,199 (78.1)	1,300 (84.6)	1,397 (91.0)	1,396 (90.9)	< 0.001
	Mild	246 (16.0)	165 (10.7)	105 (6.8)	104 (6.8)	
	Moderate	63 (4.1)	52 (3.4)	22 (1.4)	22 (1.4)	
	Severe	28 (1.8)	19 (1.2)	12 (0.8)	14 (0.9)	
Feeling anxious (%)	None	1,150 (74.9)	1,266 (82.4)	1,361 (88.6)	1,376 (89.6)	< 0.001
	Mild	272 (17.7)	185 (12.0)	130 (8.5)	117 (7.6)	
	Moderate	83 (5.4)	61 (4.0)	31 (2.0)	30 (2.0)	
	Severe	31 (2.0)	24 (1.6)	14 (0.9)	13 (0.8)	
Feeling sad (%)	None	1,312 (85.4)	1,363 (88.7)	1,427 (92.9)	1,430 (93.1)	< 0.001
	Mild	158 (10.3)	118 (7.7)	78 (5.1)	73 (4.8)	
	Moderate	46 (3.0)	36 (2.3)	18 (1.2)	24 (1.6)	
	Severe	20 (1.3)	19 (1.2)	13 (0.8)	9 (0.6)	

Characteristics		The 1st survey	The 2nd survey	The 3rd survey	The 4th survey	p
Thoughts self-harm (%)	None	1,430 (93.1)	1,417 (92.3)	1,454 (94.7)	1,453 (94.6)	0.038
	Mild	79 (5.1)	78 (5.1)	61 (4.0)	62 (4.0)	
	Moderate	22 (1.4)	27 (1.8)	12 (0.8)	14 (0.9)	
	Severe	5 (0.3)	14 (0.9)	9 (0.6)	7 (0.5)	
Mood swings (%)	None	1,138 (74.1)	1,257 (81.8)	1,371 (89.3)	1,394 (90.8)	< 0.001
	Mild	286 (18.6)	197 (12.8)	126 (8.2)	104 (6.8)	
	Moderate	88 (5.7)	61 (4.0)	26 (1.7)	25 (1.6)	
	Severe	24 (1.6)	21 (1.4)	13 (0.8)	13 (0.8)	
Change in appetite (%)	None	971 (63.2)	1,201 (78.2)	1,348 (87.8)	1,383 (90.0)	< 0.001
	Mild	330 (21.5)	201 (13.1)	133 (8.7)	105 (6.8)	
	Moderate	176 (11.5)	101 (6.6)	36 (2.3)	33 (2.1)	
	Severe	59 (3.8)	33 (2.1)	19 (1.2)	15 (1.0)	
Feeling lonely (%)	None	1,332 (86.7)	1,371 (89.3)	1,430 (93.1)	1,429 (93.0)	< 0.001
	Mild	152 (9.9)	114 (7.4)	81 (5.3)	72 (4.7)	
	Moderate	38 (2.5)	33 (2.1)	13 (0.8)	24 (1.6)	
	Severe	14 (0.9)	18 (1.2)	12 (0.8)	11 (0.7)	
Loss of identity (%)	None	1,536 (100.0)	1,536 (100.0)	1,536 (100.0)	1,536 (100.0)	NA
Feeling hopeful (%)	None	238 (15.5)	556 (36.2)	802 (52.2)	832 (54.2)	< 0.001
	Mild	239 (15.6)	199 (13.0)	158 (10.3)	119 (7.7)	
	Moderate	447 (29.1)	307 (20.0)	208 (13.5)	211 (13.7)	
	Severe	612 (39.8)	474 (30.9)	368 (24.0)	374 (24.3)	

Data are expressed as median (interquartile range) or mean (standard deviation) for continuous variables and as count (percent) for categorical variables. Probability was generated based on the *F*-test. NA, not available.

study children was 13 years, and 49.9% of them were boys. In total, 51 symptoms were surveyed, and each was scored on a 4-point rating scale.

Symptom changes

The changes on the presence of any post-Omicron symptoms between the first and fourth survey are provided in Figure 2. On the first survey following Omicron waves, 96.22% children reported the presence of breathing-related problems, 90.49% mental health-related problems, 72.98% fatigue-related problems, 45.64% memory-related problems, 43.03% muscles and jointsrelated problems, 39.52% circulation-related problems, 23.11% movement-related problems and 22.53% pain-related problems. On the fourth survey, 95.05 and 51.37% of children still had one or more problems relating to breathing and mental health, respectively, and the percentage of rest six domains was reduced to less than 20%.

Score comparison of eight domains

Eight domains were generated based on 51 symptoms, and the raw score of each domain was translated into the Rasch 0–100 linear score according to the User Manual of the SBQ-LC. The

distribution of the Rasch linear score for each domain was compared across 4 surveys, as presented in Figure 3. The F-based Welth test showed overall statistical significance of eight domains, and pairwise comparisons across surveys were also significant at a level of 5%.

Score correlation of eight domains

The correlation of the Rasch 0–100 linear scores of eight domains across four surveys is illustrated in Figure 4. The overall correlation of eight domains was statistically significant. The correlation of fatigue with movement, pain, muscles and joints, and memory differed obviously across four surveys.

For each survey, the correlation of eight domain scores is illustrated in Supplementary Figure S1. Across the four surveys conducted, a positive correlation was observed for the eight domains. Notably in the fourth survey, the correlation coefficient for mental health with the other domains was found to be relatively weaker than the other comparisons.

Prediction cubic curves

The restricted cubic spline smoothed curves for age and survey are provided in Figure 5 for eight domains. Overall, the distribution of age with all domains followed the N-shaped geometry, and that of



survey followed the inverse J-shaped geometry. For age, the Rasch linear score hit the lowest level among children aged 6–8 years, and reached the peak among children aged 12–13 years. It is also worth noting that at the 4th survey, 56.95% children had one or more mental health-related problems among children aged 12–13 years, higher than the average level of other age groups at 50.53%. For survey, the scores of all domains sharply declined from the first to the third survey, and remained stable between the third and the fourth survey.

Besides, the combined distribution of age and survey for each domain was also explored under the generalized additive mixed model (Supplementary Figure S2). Eight domains exhibited a saddle-shaped trend with respect to age and survey time, peaking at 12–13 years of age, and all eight domains demonstrated an upward trajectory during the first survey.

Prediction estimates

Considering the autocorrelational structure of eight domains under study, generalized additive mixed model was adopted and it is an extension of the generalized linear mixed model. Two factors were smoothed in this study, that is, age and survey, and sex was treated as nonsmoothed factor. As shown in Table 2, the association of sex was statistically significant with pain only. Age was associated with all domains at a significance level of 5%, and besides muscles and joints (p = 0.058), survey with the other seven domains was significant.

Survey-dependent score changes of eight domains

The survey-dependent changes of the Rasch scores of eight domains are provided in Supplementary Figure S3. The difference

between the first and second survey across eight domains was predominantly increased, the difference between the second and third survey has comparable ups and downs, and the difference between the third and fourth survey was predominantly decreased.

Symptom combinations

The symptom combinations of each domain across 4 surveys are displayed in the form of Venn plots in Supplementary Figures S4-S7, respectively. In the first survey, 51.8% of children reported the simultaneous presence of four symptoms in fatigue domain; 44.4 and 44.5% of children reported one symptom in breathing and mental health domains; 76.9 60.5, 77.5, 56.9, and 54.4% of children reported no presence of any symptom in movement, circulation, pain, muscles and joints and memory domains. In the second survey, 65.6 and 43.1% of children reported one symptom in breathing and mental health domains; 62.6, 82.7, 74.3, 85.5, 75.6, and 68.9% of children reported no presence of any symptom in fatigue, movement, circulation, pain, muscles and joints and memory domains. In the third survey, 78.8% of children reported one symptom in breathing domain; 79.8, 90.6, 84.5, 92.7, 87.9, 46.2, and 81.1% of children reported no presence of any symptom in fatigue, movement, circulation, pain, muscles and joints, mental health and memory domains. In the fourth survey, 81.6% of children reported one symptom in breathing domain; 83.2, 91.5, 87.7, 93.2, 89.4, 48.6, and 83.5% of children reported no presence of any symptom in fatigue, movement, circulation, pain, muscles and joints, mental health and memory domains.

Discussion

The aim of this prospective study was to assess the dynamic changes of persisting symptoms among children aged 6–18 years during 1–2 months after the Omicron infection based on the modification of SBQ-LC from eight domains in Beijing, China. The key findings of this study are that the impact of Omicron infection on the health of children is multifaceted, and of eight domains evaluated, breathing- and mental health-related problems persisted during 1-to-2-month Omicron infection period. Moreover, the impact of Omicron infection on the health of children period. Moreover, the impact of Omicron infection on the health of children peaked among children aged 12–13 years, who are experiencing the transition from primary school to junior high school in practice. To hasten post-COVID-19 recovery, special attention should be given to vulnerable age groups who had the highest risk of detrimental multisystem symptoms. As far as we know, this is thus far the first prospective survey on post-Omicron symptoms among Chinese children in the literature.

It is universally accepted that children, as a special group, are very sensitive and vulnerable, and warrant special care, especially when facing regional or global serious pandemics, such as COVID-19. Global statistics show that of all confirmed cases, pediatric COVID-19 is estimated to account for 2–7%. Currently, there is wide recognition that in the long-term, COVID-19 has left a large proportion of adults and children suffering unexplained persistent residual or new-onset symptoms that may affect routine functioning and fluctuate or relapse over time (12–15). These symptoms, collectively termed as long COVID, are prevalent and present among 25% of children according to a meta-analytical report (8). It is clearly





important to achieve a better understanding of the symptoms that prevail during the long COVID period, so that preventive or interventive measures may be put in place to improve quality of life and reduce the burden of disease and disability. At present, evidence on post-Omicron symptoms mainly draws from mono crosssectional surveys and outpatient or inpatient patients (10, 16–20), and the dynamic picture of these symptoms, especially following Omicron waves, is not fully understood in children from China. To shed some light, we designed this prospective study by monitoring the 1-to-2-month changes of post-Omicron symptoms of 1,536 children aged 6–18 years from Tongzhou district, Beijing at serial even intervals.

In this study, it is worth noting that the impact of Omicron infection on the health of children involves multiple systems, in particular breathing and mental health with over 90% children suffering one or more relevant symptoms one-month

post-Omicron, even after 3 months, one or more these symptoms persisted among over half children. Persisting breathing-related problems are very easy to trigger the development of lower respiratory tract infection, an important cause of children morbidity and mortality around the globe (21). Moreover, systematic evidence suggests that children with confirmed lower respiratory tract infection during the first 3 years of life often have abnormal pulmonary function testing and are susceptible to obstructive airways diseases (22, 23). As such, our public health decision-makers and healthcare professionals working in primary care settings should attach much emphasis to the respiratory health of children following Omicron waves. Our findings underscore the need for enhanced comprehensive monitoring on the patterns and progressions of breathing-related symptoms in practice, as well as the need for early identification and targeted intervention of highrisk children for lower respiratory tract infection and pneumonia



LC, Symptom Burden Questionnaire for long COVID.

TABLE 2 Association of sex, age and survey with eight domains in the
modified SBQ-LC under generalized additive mixed model.

Eight domains in the modified SBQ-LC	Factors	Coef.	SE	t	p
	Sex	0.59	0.66	0.89	0.375
Fatigue	Age	-7.66	1.76	-4.35	< 0.001
	Survey	-9.10	0.72	-12.70	< 0.001
	Sex	0.43	0.48	0.89	0.371
Breathing	Age	-4.00	1.23	-3.25	0.001
	Survey	-2.85	0.45	-6.35	< 0.001
	Sex	0.43	0.48	0.89	0.371
Movement	Age	-4.00	1.23	-3.25	0.001
	Survey	-2.85	0.45	-6.35	<0.001
	Sex	0.43	0.48	0.89	0.371
Circulation	Age	-4.00	1.23	-3.25	0.001
	Survey	-2.85	0.45	-6.35	< 0.001
	Sex	2.43	0.65	3.72	< 0.001
Pain	Age	-7.25	1.70	-4.25	< 0.001
	Survey	-2.79	0.58	-4.80	< 0.001
	Sex	-0.32	0.49	-0.65	0.514
Muscles and joints	Age	-3.67	1.20	-3.06	0.002
Joints	Survey	-0.69	0.36	-1.90	0.058
	Sex	-0.65	0.54	-1.19	0.233
Mental health	Age	-3.92	1.37	-2.86	0.004
	Survey	-2.42	0.46	-5.25	< 0.001
	Sex	0.55	0.48	1.16	0.248
Memory	Age	-4.93	1.25	-3.95	< 0.001
	Survey	-3.12	0.47	-6.71	<0.001

SE, standard error.

to reduce the escalating burden of COVID-19 pandemic globally. Besides, there is evidence that COVID-19 infection can accelerate the adverse psychological and behavioral outcomes, and a wide range of brain changes were reported in patients with pediatric mental illness (24). Other studies have shown that mental health continued to deteriorate through the COVID-19 pandemic even after easing restrictions (25). According to our recent report, longtime home study was associated with a high rate of psychological and behavioral problems in children after the COVID-19 pandemic, and this rate reached 13.51% in children aged 6-11 years and 18.08% in children aged 12-16 years (26). Further, we found that reasons behind these psychological and behavioral problems might be attributable to parent-child conflict, sleep problems, prolonged online study time and video-game time and increased sedentary time (27). At the time of our serial surveys, children infected with COVID-19 were required to study at home again, with their psychological behaviors facing great challenges. Hence, the implication of this study is a call for action to pay special attention to mental health in children after the Omicron infection.

Another important finding of this study is that the detrimental impact of Omicron infection in childhood health was largest among children 12-13 years of age especially in terms of breathing and mental health, who are undergoing the transition from primary school to junior high school during the period from 2019 to 2022 in Beijing. It is generally accepted that children of this age group are experiencing periodical changes in physiological, mental and behavioral aspects throughout the human lifespan. These changes are potentially worsened when facing the repeated COVID-19 outbreaks. We all agree that compulsory education builds a foundation upon which every aspect of children's development relies, yet studying online at home disrupts normal education, prevents children from getting their education off the best possible start, and reduces face-to-face communication as well. As stated by Sun and colleagues, China needs a scientific long COVID recoverysupport platform, which can help the public understand the symptoms and effects of long COVID, and provide guidance to patients on how to cope with symptoms during their recovery (28). In the post-pandemic era, childcare is hence essential in providing children with integrated services and protection, as well as developing social, emotional and cognitive skills, especially for children aged 12-13 years.

The findings of this prospective study provide schools and healthcare professionals with valuable information, as reflected in the following aspects. First, 1–2 weeks are required for children to adjust and adopt the early stage of reopening schools. Second, the intensity of physical and school assignment objectives should be increased gradually according to the status of children. Third, mental healthrelated problems should be closely monitored and channeled out in time via the integrated efforts of both parents and teachers.

Some limitations should be acknowledged for this study. First, our questionnaire was designed by referring to the SBQ-LC version 1.0, which is suitable for adults only and constructed from 16 domains. Contrastingly, we only adopted 8 domains suitable for children, and whether doing so can be applicable deserves special concerns, as no official questionnaires are currently available for children under long COVID. Second, only data on four serial surveys following Omicron waves were available for the present study, and considering the persisting health-related problems, further longitudinal surveys, which are ongoing, necessitate. Third, only data on eight groups of clinical symptoms were collected, and as incorporating dynamic lung imaging and viral nucleic acid CT values would have been more meaningful, this substantially weakened the results. Fourth, study children were enrolled from the Tongzhou district, Beijing, and whether our regional observations can be extrapolated to other regions warrants further validation. What's more, all children are of Chinese origin, and generalizability to other racial or ethnical groups should be done with caution.

Despite above potential limitations, our findings indicate the multifaceted impact of Omicron infection on childhood health, especially among children aged 12–13 years. Moreover, of eight domains evaluated, breathing and mental health related problems persisted during 1–2-month Omicron infection period and the rest six domains were significantly improved. Importantly, the implication of this study is a call for action to build a long COVID recovery-support platform for children around the world, especially in the domain of respiratory and mental health and among children currently attending junior high schools.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Ethics Committee at the Capital Institute of Pediatrics (Beijing, China). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardians/next of kin.

Author contributions

BZ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - original draft. QX: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - original draft. SL: Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - original draft. JW: Investigation, Supervision, Writing - original draft. JL: Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - original draft. TZ: Project administration, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. XQ: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing original draft. XW: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - original draft. LZha: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - original draft. XL: Investigation, Methodology, Writing - original draft. JG: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - original draft. LZho: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - original draft. FC: Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing review & editing. XZ: Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. WN: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing - original draft. LW: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Supervision, Visualization, Writing - review & editing.

References

1. Taylor L. Covid-19: Omicron drives weekly record high in global infections. *BMJ*. (2022) 376:066. doi: 10.1136/bmj.066

2. Chang H, Zhang X, Su H, Cai J, Liu X, Li J, et al. Epidemiological characteristics of paediatric Omicron infection during the outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 infection during March-May in 2022 in Shanghai, China. *J Hyg.* (2023) 151:e81:e81. doi: 10.1017/S0950268823000663

3. Li YC, Ma Z, Zhong HY, You HL. Clinical characteristics of children with Omicron SARS-CoV-2 infection in Changchun, China from March to April 2022: a retrospective study. *Front Pediatr.* (2022) 10:990944. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.990944

4. Morello R, Mariani F, Mastrantoni L, De Rose C, Zampino G, Munblit D, et al. Risk factors for post-COVID-19 condition (long Covid) in children: a prospective cohort study. *EClinicalMedicine*. (2023) 59:101961:101961. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2023.101961

5. Khemiri H, Ayouni K, Triki H, Haddad-Boubaker S. SARS-CoV-2 infection in pediatric population before and during the Delta (B.1.617.2) and Omicron (B.1.1.529) variants era. *Virol J.* (2022) 19:144. doi: 10.1186/s12985-022-01873-4

6. Khemiri H, Gdoura M, Ben Halima S, Krichen H, Camma C, Lorusso A, et al. SARS-CoV-2 excretion kinetics in nasopharyngeal and stool samples from the pediatric population. *Front Med.* (2023) 10:1226207. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2023.1226207

 Hastie CE, Lowe DJ, McAuley A, Mills NL, Winter AJ, Black C, et al. Natural history of long-Covid in a Nationwide, population cohort study. *Nat Commun.* (2023) 14:3504. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-39193-y

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by the Beijing Hospitals Authority's Ascent Plan (DFL20221103), High-level Public Health Talents Training Program of Beijing Municipal Health Commission (2022-02-19).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to all participating children and their parents or supervisors for their cooperation and willingness, as well as teachers and all of the medical staff for their great help.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1377745/ full#supplementary-material

8. Campos C, Prokopich S, Loewen H, Sanchez-Ramirez DC. Long-term effect of COVID-19 on lung imaging and function, cardiorespiratory symptoms, fatigue, exercise capacity, and functional capacity in children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Healthcare*. (2022) 10:2492. doi: 10.3390/healthcare10122492.

9. Mat Hassan N, Salim HS, Amaran S, Yunus NI, Yusof NA, Daud N, et al. Prevalence of mental health problems among children with long Covid: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. (2023) 18:e0282538. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0282538

10. Adler L, Israel M, Yehoshua I, Azuri J, Hoffman R, Shahar A, et al. Long Covid symptoms in Israeli children with and without a history of SARS-CoV-2 infection: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*. (2023) 13:e064155. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-064155

11. Hughes SE, Haroon S, Subramanian A, McMullan C, Aiyegbusi OL, Turner GM, et al. Development and validation of the symptom burden questionnaire for long Covid (SBQ-LC): Rasch analysis. *BMJ*. (2022) 377:e070230. doi: 10.1136/bmj-2022-070230

12. Wacks M, Wortley E, Gregorowski A, Segal TY, Whittaker E. Fifteen-minute consultation: managing post-COVID-19 syndrome (long Covid) in children and young people. *Arch Dis Child Educ Pract Ed.* (2023) 109:29–34. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2022-324950

13. Warschburger P, Kamrath C, Lanzinger S, Sengler C, Wiegand S, Goldel JM, et al. A prospective analysis of the long-term impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on wellbeing and health care among children with a chronic condition and their families: a study protocol of the kick-Covid study. *BMC Pediatr.* (2023) 23:130. doi: 10.1186/s12887-023-03912-7 14. Buonsenso D, Morello R, Mariani F, De Rose C, Mastrantoni L, Zampino G, et al. Risk of long Covid in children infected with Omicron or pre-Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Acta Paediatr.* (2023) 112:1284–6. doi: 10.1111/apa.16764

15. Coker TR, Cheng TL, Ybarra M. Addressing the long-term effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on children and families. *JAMA*. (2023) 329:1055–6. doi: 10.1001/jama.2023.4371

16. Ahn B, Choi SH, Yun KW. Non-neuropsychiatric long Covid symptoms in children visiting a pediatric infectious disease clinic after an Omicron surge. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* (2023) 42:e143–5. doi: 10.1097/INF.00000000003862

17. Baptista de Lima J, Salazar L, Fernandes A, Teixeira C, Marques L, Afonso C. Long Covid in children and adolescents: a retrospective study in a pediatric cohort. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* (2023) 42:e109–11. doi: 10.1097/INF.000000000003829

18. Buonsenso D, Morello R, Mariani F, De Rose C, Cortese R, Vetrugno L, et al. Role of lung ultrasound in the follow-up of children with previous SARS-CoV-2 infection: a case-control assessment of children with long Covid or fully recovered. *J Clin Med.* (2023) 12:3342. doi: 10.3390/jcm12093342

19. Jamaica Balderas L, Navarro Fernandez A, Dragustinovis Garza SA, Orellana Jerves MI, Solis Figueroa WE, Koretzky SG, et al. Long Covid in children and adolescents: COVID-19 follow-up results in third-level pediatric hospital. *Front Pediatr.* (2023) 11:1016394. doi: 10.3389/fped.2023.1016394

20. Goretzki SC, Brasseler M, Dogan B, Huhne T, Bernard D, Schonecker A, et al. High prevalence of alternative diagnoses in children and adolescents with suspected long Covid-a single center cohort study. *Viruses.* (2023) 15:579. doi: 10.3390/v15020579

21. Verwey C, Ramocha L, Laubscher M, Baillie V, Nunes M, Gray D, et al. Pulmonary sequelae in 2-year-old children after hospitalisation for respiratory syncytial virus lower respiratory tract infection during infancy: an observational study. *Respir Res.* (2023) 10:e001618. doi: 10.1136/bmjresp-2023-001618

22. Verwey C, Nunes MC, Dangor Z, Madhi SA. Pulmonary function sequelae after respiratory syncytial virus lower respiratory tract infection in children: a systematic review. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* (2020) 55:1567-83. doi: 10.1002/ppul.24804

23. Englund JA, Cohen RA, Bianco V, Domachowske JB, Langley JM, Madhi SA, et al. Evaluation of clinical case definitions for respiratory syncytial virus lower respiratory tract infection in young children. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc.* (2023) 12:273–81. doi: 10.1093/jpids/piad028

24. Yuan TF, Ng CH, Hu S. Addressing the mental health of children in quarantine with Covid-19 during the Omicron variant era. *Asian J Psychiatr.* (2023) 80:103371. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2022.103371

25. Payne L, Goijen HJ, Cobham V, Bor W, Stathis SS, Coghill DC, et al. The impact of COVID-19 on children and adolescents attending child and youth mental health services in Queensland, Australia. *Early Interv Psychiatry*. (2023) 17:1180–8. doi: 10.1111/eip.13421

26. Wang L, Zhang Y, Chen L, Wang J, Jia F, Li F, et al. Psychosocial and behavioral problems of children and adolescents in the early stage of reopening schools after the Covid-19 pandemic: a National Cross-Sectional Study in China. *Transl Psychiatry.* (2021) 11:342. doi: 10.1038/s41398-021-01462-z

27. Wang L, Chen L, Jia F, Shi X, Zhang Y, Li F, et al. Risk factors and prediction nomogram model for psychosocial and behavioural problems among children and adolescents during the Covid-19 pandemic: a National Multicentre Study: risk factors of childhood psychosocial problems. *J Affect Disord*. (2021) 294:128–36. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.06.077

28. Tan H, Liu J, Zeng F. China needs a scientific long Covid recovery-support platform. Lancet. (2023) 401:344–5. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(23)00138-1