Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Chhabi Lal Ranabhat, Eastern Scientific LLC, United States

REVIEWED BY Myung-Bae Park, Yonsei University Mirae Campus, Republic of Korea Monica Ewomazino Akokuwebe, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa

*CORRESPONDENCE Jin Young Nam ⊠ jynam@eulji.ac.kr

RECEIVED 16 April 2024 ACCEPTED 14 March 2025 PUBLISHED 28 March 2025

CITATION

Nam JY (2025) How much can we reduce delivery-related medical costs associated with maternal mortality? A nationwide cohort study from 2003 to 2021. *Front. Public Health* 13:1411534. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1411534

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Nam. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

How much can we reduce delivery-related medical costs associated with maternal mortality? A nationwide cohort study from 2003 to 2021

Jin Young Nam*

Department of Healthcare Management, Eulji University, Seongnam, Republic of Korea

Objective: This study aims to examine the association between maternal mortality and childbirth-related medical costs using both unadjusted and adjusted models and to assess the potential reduction in delivery-related medical costs associated with maternal mortality in South Korea.

Methods: This retrospective cohort study used data from the National Health Insurance Service Delivery Cohort Database of South Korea. A total of 7,171,578 participants were included. The outcome measured was delivery-related medical costs associated with maternal mortality. A Generalized Estimating Equation model with a log link and gamma distribution was used to estimate delivery-related medical costs.

Results: The maternal death rates were 9.7 per 100,000 births. The adjusted mean delivery-related medical costs were approximately six times higher in cases with maternal death than in those without (\$2,802 vs. \$480, p < 0.0001). The total delivery-related medical costs for all women with maternal mortality were approximately \$2 million, accounting for 0.06% of total delivery-related medical costs. Although this proportion is relatively small, 83% of the direct medical costs associated with maternal mortality among South Korean women were potentially reducible.

Conclusion: This study found that maternal mortality is associated with significantly higher delivery-related medical costs, nearly six times those of non-maternal mortality cases. Therefore, policymakers should consider reducing costs and improving maternal health outcomes, expanding access to prenatal care for early risk detection and strengthen nationwide maternal health monitoring systems.

KEYWORDS

maternal mortality, maternal death, medical costs, NHIS delivery cohort, pregnancy-related medical costs

Introduction

Maternal mortality remains a critical public health issue worldwide, despite substantial advancements in medical care. Most cases of maternal mortality are preventable and reducing maternal deaths has been a global health priority for decades (1, 2). However, maternal mortality rates have remained high in several high-income countries. For instance, in 2020, the maternal mortality ratio (MMR) in the United States

10.3389/fpubh.2025.1411534

and South Korea was 23.8 and 11.8 per 100,000 live births, respectively, ranking among the highest in high-income nations (3-6). Although maternal mortality is a relatively rare event in high-income countries, it imposes significant economic and social burdens on individuals and healthcare systems.

Among these burdens, the economic impact of maternal mortality is a crucial yet understudied aspect. The financial burden associated with maternal deaths affects not only the healthcare system but also the families and society at large. Despite this, studies on the economic costs of maternal mortality are scarce due to several limitations: (1) low maternal mortality rates in high-income countries leading to data scarcity (7), (2) a predominant focus on chronic diseases in medical cost research (8), (3) challenges in establishing a causal relationship between maternal mortality and medical costs, (4) a preventive approach in public health research (7), and (5) difficulties in cost comparisons across differences in healthcare systems (9).

While some studies have explored the indirect economic costs of maternal mortality, they were primarily conducted in low-and middle-income countries, such as those examining the household financial burden of maternal deaths in rural areas (10, 11). In high-income countries, a few studies have investigated the economic burden associated with severe maternal morbidity (SMM) (12, 13) or pregnancy-related complication such as preeclampsia, gestational diabetes, and ICU admissions (14–17). These studies have consistently demonstrated that women with SMM or pregnancy-related complications incur significantly higher medical costs compared to those without those. However, very few studies have directly examined the economic burden of maternal mortality itself, particularly in high-income setting.

Despite increasing recognition of this issue, limited research has quantified the direct medical costs of maternal mortality. One study estimated the economic impact of maternal mortality in the United States in 2019 at \$30.8 million (8, 14), but no study has comprehensively analyzed the direct medical costs of maternal mortality using long-term, nationwide data in highincome countries.

Therefore, this study aims to examine the association between maternal mortality and childbirth-related medical costs using both unadjusted and adjusted models and to assess the potential reduction in delivery-related medical costs associated with maternal mortality in South Korea, based on a nationwide, 19-year population-based delivery cohort database.

Methods

Data source and study population

This population-based study used the database of the Korean National Health Insurance Service (NHIS), a single insurer of the entire country's population, to which approximately 98% of all South Koreans are enrolled (18). The NHIS database retains data on the following: sociodemographic characteristics; healthcare utilization (received services and treatment costs); clinically determined diagnostic codes from the International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision (ICD-10); prescriptions with drug codes; days of prescription; daily dosages (18). The database uses de-identified join keys to link databases while ensuring patient anonymity (18). The study design was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Eulji University (IRB Number: EU22-27). The requirement for informed consent was waived as the data did not contain identifiable information.

We extracted data from the NHIS claims databases for all women who delivered in medical institutions in South Korea between 1 January 2003, and 31 December 2021. Childbirth was identified using all inpatient records, including pregnancy-related diagnoses and vaginal or cesarean delivery procedures. The study population included women aged 15–49 years and those who gave birth between 1 January 2003, and 19 November 2021, so that the data on childbirth within 6 weeks postpartum could be analyzed. The study population comprised 7,203,924 deliveries. Notably, we excluded women who gave birth after November 19, 2021 (n = 7,415), had no healthcare institution delivery data (n = 7,836), or had no information due to missing data (n = 17,095). A total of 7,171,578 deliveries were included in this study.

Delivery-related medical costs

Delivery-related medical costs were calculated from the claimed total direct medical costs during delivery hospitalization and the 6-week postpartum period. As the NHIS database does not include outpatient drug costs or uncovered healthcare service costs (such as uncovered treatments, medical administrations and injections, and nonstandard accommodations), the costs reported herein do not include those of the uncovered services.

To compare prices from different calendar years, costs were inflated to 2020 values using the South Korea Consumer Price Index for healthcare from the Bank of Korea by multiplying them by a yearspecific inflation factor (19). To express costs in US dollars, they were converted from Korean won to US dollars using the annual exchange rates of the Ministry of Economy and Finance for each year (20).

Maternal mortality

Maternal mortality was defined as the death of a woman during pregnancy or within 6 weeks postpartum (21). Maternal mortality included all-cause mortality because the cause of death was not available in the database.

Covariates

The covariates included maternal sociodemographic and clinical factors. Sociodemographic factors included maternal age (<19, 19–24, 25–29, 30–34, 35–39, 40–44, or > 45 years), income level (quartile), type of insurance (self-employed insurance, employee insurance, or medical aid), and residential area (Seoul, metropolitan areas, small cities, or rural areas). Maternal clinical factors included the following: mode of delivery (spontaneous vaginal delivery, instrumental delivery, or cesarean section

delivery); preterm birth (delivered at <37 vs. \geq 37 weeks); parity (nulliparous or multiparous); multiple birth status (singleton vs. twin or more); adequacy of prenatal care (estimated by the Kessner Adequacy of Prenatal Care Index (22), which categorized adequate vs. inadequate, including intermediate, prenatal care); obstetric comorbidity [assessed by Bateman's obstetric comorbidity index (23)]; type of hospital (general hospital with more than 500 beds, general hospital with 100–499 beds, hospital with 30–99 beds, and clinics with less than 30 beds); delivery year.

Statistical analysis

We analyzed the distribution of maternal mortality and SMM according to maternal sociodemographic and clinical factors using descriptive statistics. We calculated the unadjusted mean delivery-related total medical costs and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to test their differences for childbirth with and without maternal mortality and SMM and all variables using the Kruskal-Wallis test. We used a generalized estimating equation (GEE) model with a log link, gamma distribution, and robust standard errors to estimate the mean delivery-related medical costs of maternal mortality and other variables, adjusted for covariates. We performed a stratified analysis using the GEE model to calculate the association between the adjusted deliveryrelated medical costs and maternal mortality by residential area. All statistical analysis was conducted using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, United States). The level of significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results

In total, 7,171,578 deliveries were included in this study. The maternal mortality rate was 9.7 per 100,000 deliveries. Women aged 35 years and older had a higher proportion of maternal death (35–39 years: 32.8%; 40–44 years: 6.1%; 45 years and older, 0.1%). Maternal mortality gradually decreased from 7.5% in 2003 to 3.2% in 2021 (Table 1).

The average total delivery-related medical costs with unadjusted all covariates for all participants were \$1,157 (95% CI \$1,156–\$1,157); the costs in cases with maternal mortality were \$7,634 (95% CI, \$6,717–\$8,551) and those in cases without maternal mortality were \$1,156 (95% CI \$1,156–\$1,157) over 19 years (Table 2). The average medical costs varied significantly based on the covariates (p < 0.0001 for each) (Table 2).

The mean delivery-related total medical costs were adjusted for all covariates, and the association between the adjusted costs and maternal mortality was analyzed (Table 3). Patients with maternal mortality incurred significantly higher medical costs than those without. The adjusted mean (CI) delivery-related costs were \$2,802 (95% CI \$2,717–\$2,889), which was 5.8-fold higher with maternal mortality than without maternal mortality. The total delivery-related medical cost for all women with maternal mortality {number with maternal mortality (n = 693) × adjusted mean cost of maternal mortality (\$2,802)} was approximately \$1.94 million, representing 0.06% of the total delivery-related medical costs. Moreover, maternal mortality-related costs have significantly increased in recent years, from \$546 (95% CI \$544-\$548) in 2003 to \$1,352 (95% CI \$1,347-1,357) in 2021.

Discussion

This study demonstrated a strong association between maternal mortality and delivery-related medical costs, with a nearly six-fold increase in costs among women who experienced maternal mortality. The results provide a detailed breakdown of these costs, highlighting that maternal mortality cases incurred significantly higher expenses across all periods. These findings align with previous studies on severe maternal morbidity (SMM), which have shown increased medical costs associated with adverse maternal outcomes (12). Consequently, over \$1.6 million was spent from 2003 to 2021 on excess delivery-related medical costs due to maternal death, as calculated using adjusted cost estimates (693 cases × \$2802 – 693 cases × \$480). Importantly, approximately 83% (\$1.6 million of \$1.94 million) of the maternal mortality delivery-related medical costs could have been avoided through the prevention of mortality-related complications.

While the association between maternal mortality and medical costs is clear, the cause of the high cost is insufficiently understood, perhaps because maternal mortality is demographically rare and difficult to study. Consistent with this, a World Health Organization (WHO) study on maternal mortality did not estimate direct medical costs and included only low-income countries (24).

At 0.06% of the overall delivery-related medical costs, the proportion of the total delivery medical costs attributable to maternal mortality may seem small. This relates to the absolute number of maternal deaths, which is relatively low in South Korea because of its total fertility rate, which, at 0.81 children per woman, is the lowest in the world (25). Therefore, although the MMR in South Korea in 2022 is higher than that of the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) members (12 vs. 9 deaths per 100,000 live births in South Korea vs. OECD countries) (26), the absolute number of maternal deaths is extremely small. Furthermore, the burden of maternal mortality extends beyond direct medical costs to include the potential years of lost life, the statistical value of those years, and their impact on surviving family members. Thus, further studies are required to estimate the total burden of maternal mortality. As 83% of the direct medical costs associated with maternal mortality in South Korean women were potentially reducible, maternal health promotion can potentially improve maternal health outcomes and prevent tragic events.

Interestingly, the medical costs associated with maternal mortality have increased significantly in recent years. Although the reasons for this remain unknown, several mechanisms have been proposed. First, delivery-related medical costs may have been changed by the new fee-for-service policies. The Korean government supported several fertility-related policies to encourage the expansion of healthcare coverage. Since January 2005, spontaneous vaginal delivery has been free of charge. In the 4 years from its universal adoption for prospective payment in July 2012 to June 2016, cesarean section delivery accounted for 20% of the total out-of-pocket costs paid. Since July 2016, it has accounted for 5% of the total delivery-related costs. When the South Korean government implemented a financial support policy, out-of-pocket medical costs for cesarean section delivery dropped from 100 to 5%, reducing the financial burden on maternities.

TABLE 1 General characteristics of study population.

	Maternal mortality							
	Decea	sed	Survived Total					
	N	(%)	No	(%)	No	(%)		
Total	7,170,885	99.99	693	0.01	7,171,578	100		
Maternal age				1				
<19	24,294	0.34	3	0.43	24,297	0.34		
19–24	339,769	4.74	19	2.74	339,788	4.74		
25–29	1,910,357	26.64	154	22.22	1,910,511	26.64		
30-34	3,314,261	46.22	247	35.64	3,314,508	46.22		
35–39	1,372,149	19.14	227	32.76	1,372,376	19.14		
40-44	203,099	2.83	42	6.06	203,141	2.83		
45+	6,956	0.10	1	0.14	6,957	0.10		
Income level				II				
1Q	1,449,800	20.22	176	25.40	1,449,976	20.22		
2Q	1,799,441	25.09	178	25.69	1,799,619	25.09		
3Q	2,521,797	35.17	236	34.05	2,522,033	35.17		
4Q	1,399,847	19.52	103	14.86	1,399,950	19.52		
Type of insurance				I I				
Self-employed insured	1,873,725	26.13	244	35.21	1,873,969	26.13		
Employee insured	5,257,942	73.32	434	62.63	5,258,376	73.32		
Medical aid	39,218	0.55	15	2.16	39,233	0.55		
Residential area				II				
Seoul	1,453,088	20.26	142	20.49	1,453,230	20.26		
Metropolitans	1,773,351	24.73	159	22.94	1,773,510	24.73		
Small cities	3,479,580	48.52	345	49.78	3,479,925	48.52		
Rural areas	464,866	6.48	47	6.78	464,913	6.48		
Mode of delivery				II				
Spontaneous vaginal delivery	2,330,317	32.50	116	16.74	2,330,433	32.50		
Instrumental delivery	2,016,484	28.12	125	18.04	2,016,609	28.12		
Cesarean section delivery	2,824,084	39.38	452	65.22	2,824,536	39.39		
Preterm birth				II				
No	6,998,961	97.6	655	94.52	6,999,616	97.6		
Yes	171,924	2.40	38	5.48	171,962	2.40		
Prenatal care				I I				
Adequate	6,205,455	86.54	560	80.81	6,206,015	86.54		
Inadequate	965,430	13.46	133	19.19	965,563	13.46		
Parity				I I				
Nulliparous	3,746,237	52.24	386	55.70	3,746,623	52.24		
Multiparous	3,424,648	47.76	307	44.30	3,424,955	47.76		
Multiple birth status			1					
Singleton	7,060,400	98.46	669	96.54	7,061,069	98.46		
Twin or more	110,485	1.54	24	3.46	110,509	1.54		
Obstetric comorbidities	1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
0	4,948,703	69.01	252	36.36	4,948,955	69.01		
1+	2,222,182	30.99	441	63.64	2,222,623	30.99		

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

	Maternal mortality						
	Deceased		Survived		Total		
	N	(%)	No	(%)	No	(%)	
Type of hospital							
General hospital (> 500 beds)	434,258	6.06	126	18.18	434,384	6.06	
General hospital (100–499 beds)	760,444	10.60	120	17.32	760,564	10.61	
Hospital (30–99 beds)	2,939,447	40.99	178	25.69	2,939,625	40.99	
Clinics (<30 beds)	3,036,736	42.35	269	38.82	3,037,005	42.35	
Year							
2003	385,661	5.38	52	7.50	385,713	5.38	
2004	390,444	5.44	53	7.65	390,497	5.45	
2005	387,726	5.41	41	5.92	387,767	5.41	
2006	406,866	5.67	53	7.65	406,919	5.67	
2007	455,942	6.36	54	7.79	455,996	6.36	
2008	431,675	6.02	44	6.35	431,719	6.02	
2009	413,729	5.77	50	7.22	413,779	5.77	
2010	441,087	6.15	45	6.49	441,132	6.15	
2011	443,138	6.18	46	6.64	443,184	6.18	
2012	454,226	6.33	27	3.90	454,253	6.33	
2013	407,474	5.68	40	5.77	407,514	5.68	
2014	398,237	5.55	33	4.76	398,270	5.55	
2015	399,697	5.57	31	4.47	399,728	5.57	
2016	371,028	5.17	20	2.89	371,048	5.17	
2017	326,434	4.55	16	2.31	326,450	4.55	
2018	298,562	4.16	21	3.03	298,583	4.16	
2019	276,217	3.85	21	3.03	276,238	3.85	
2020	246,116	3.43	24	3.46	246,140	3.43	
2021	236,626	3.30	22	3.17	236,648	3.30	

Therefore, pregnancies and obstetricians might choose their delivery by cesarean section more easily if needed. Nevertheless, total costs were not reduced but increased with respect to the consumer price inflation rate.

Moreover, the rate of cesarean section deliveries in South Korea has gradually increased, which is related to the medical costs of childbirth. According to OECD statistics, the rate of cesarean section deliveries from 2003 to 2020 dramatically increased from 36.5 to 53.8% in South Korea, the second-highest rate of cesarean section delivery in 2020 among OECD countries (27). A previous study showed that cesarean delivery is a high-risk factor for maternal mortality, and a report from a WHO Health Organization global survey involving nine Asian countries showed higher rates of cesarean section to be associated with a higher MMR (28). A similar finding was reported in a high-income country (29). Therefore, these numbers indicate that delivery-related medical costs may increase due to increasing C-section deliveries.

Finally, the South Korean government implemented several childbirth encouragement policies, including financial and service support measures such as iron supplementation (2008), vouchers for prenatal care and childbirth (2011), reduced out-of-pocket (OOP) medical costs for high-risk pregnancies (2015), and insured coverage of assisted reproductive technology. Direct or indirect improvement in maternal health and reduced financial burdens may have led to reduced OOP costs associated with maternal mortality in recent years. However, increasing new policies related to encouraging pregnancy may raise total medical costs because the utilization of healthcare services and accessibility to obstetricians may be better.

Strengths and limitations

This study has several strengths. First, to the best of our knowledge, no other investigation has estimated the association between maternal mortality and delivery-related direct medical costs using a nationally representative database that includes all women who delivered in South Korea during an extended 19-year period. While several studies have examined the relationship between delivery costs and one of these conditions, none have estimated the costs regarding maternal mortality. Second, the

TABLE 2 Unadjusted model for delivery costs on maternal mortality.

	Delivery medical costs for maternal mortality (2008.1~2021.11)						
	Ν	Mean cost (USD)	lower 95% CI	Upper 95% Cl	<i>p</i> -value		
Total	7,171,578	1,157	1,156	1,157			
Maternal death within 42 days before c	hildbirth				< 0.0001		
Deceased	7,170,885	1,156	1,156	1,157			
Survived	693	7,634	6,717	8,551			
Maternal age					< 0.0001		
<19	24,297	998	991	1,005			
19-24	339,788	1,017	1,015	1,019			
25-29	1,910,511	1,028	1,027	1,028			
30-34	3,314,508	1,139	1,138	1,139			
35-39	1,372,376	1,358	1,357	1,360			
40-44	203,141	1,547	1,542	1,551			
45+	6,957	1,625	1,601	1,649			
Income level					< 0.0001		
1Q	1,449,976	1,158	1,157	1,159			
2Q	1,799,619	1,134	1,133	1,135			
3Q	2,522,033	1,155	1,154	1,156			
4Q	1,399,950	1,189	1,188	1,190			
Type of insurance					< 0.0001		
Self-employed insured	1,873,969	1,101	1,100	1,102			
Employee insured	5,258,376	1,177	1,177	1,178			
Medical aid	39,233	1,056	1,049	1,062			
Residential area					< 0.0001		
Seoul	1,453,230	1,158	1,157	1,159			
Metropolitans	1,773,510	1,180	1,179	1,181			
Small cities	3,479,925	1,153	1,152	1,153			
Rural areas	464,913	1,094	1,092	1,096			
Mode of delivery					< 0.0001		
Spontaneous vaginal delivery	2,330,433	848	847	848			
Instrumental delivery	2,016,609	1,031	1,030	1,032			
Cesarean section delivery	2,824,536	1,502	1,501	1,503			
Preterm birth		-		-	< 0.0001		
No	6,999,616	1,146	1,145	1,146			
Yes	171,962	1,605	1,599	1,612			
Prenatal care		-		-	< 0.0001		
Adequate	6,206,015	1,182	1,182	1,183			
Inadequate	965,563	993	992	995			
Parity					< 0.0001		
Nulliparous	3,746,623	1,221	1,220	1,222			
Multiparous	3,424,955	1,087	1,086	1,087			
Multiple birth status	-,,-00			-,	< 0.0001		
Singleton	7,061,069	1,147	1,146	1,147			
Twin or more	110,509	1,811	1,140	1,818			

(Continued)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

	Delivery medical costs for maternal mortality (2008.1~2021.11)						
	Ν	Mean cost (USD)	lower 95% CI	Upper 95% Cl	<i>p</i> -value		
Obstetric comorbidities					< 0.0001		
0	4,948,955	1,065	1,065	1,066			
1+	2,222,623	1,360	1,359	1,362			
Type of hospital				· ·			
General hospital (>500 beds)	434,384	1,584	1,580	1,588			
General hospital (100–499 beds)	760,564	1,274	1,272	1,276			
Hospital (30–99 beds)	2,939,625	1,192	1,191	1,193			
Clinics (<30 beds)	3,037,005	1,033	1,032	1,033			
Year					< 0.0001		
2003	385,713	685	684	687			
2004	390,497	784	782	785			
2005	387,767	885	884	887			
2006	406,919	957	955	959			
2007	455,996	1,025	1,024	1,027			
2008	431,719	795	794	796			
2009	413,779	880	878	882			
2010	441,132	943	942	945			
2011	443,184	982	980	983			
2012	454,253	1,155	1,154	1,157			
2013	407,514	1,276	1,274	1,278			
2014	398,270	1,262	1,260	1,264			
2015	399,728	1,224	1,222	1,226			
2016	371,048	1,252	1,249	1,254			
2017	326,450	1,537	1,534	1,539			
2018	298,583	1,637	1,634	1,640			
2019	276,238	1,754	1,751	1,758			
2020	246,140	2,064	2,060	2,067			
2021	236,648	2,042	2,038	2,045			

endpoints were adjusted for numerous covarying demographic and obstetric factors, allowing for the detection of significant differences in the diverse case mix. Third, this study provides considerable data to support future studies on the association between maternal health outcomes and medical costs and the disease burden of maternal mortality and morbidity in various segments of the delivery population.

This study has some limitations. First, as the NHIS delivery cohort database does not include information on healthcare services not covered by insurance and policies affecting covered services that changed during the 19-year span of the study, some costs were inconsistently captured. For instance, the coverage of specialist medical service fees changed in January 2018, and the coverage of some non-standard hospital accommodations changed in July 2019. Consequently, total medical costs may have been underestimated. Second, maternal death included all-cause mortality within 42 days of childbirth. Thus, as the NHIS Delivery Cohort database did not include information on cause-specific mortality, it may have included incident- or accident-caused mortality. Further studies are necessary to link the government's cause-specific mortality database with the NHIS Delivery Cohort database. Third, as the NHIS Delivery Cohort database uses revised ICD-10 codes that do not include procedure codes, we converted the ICD-10 codes for procedures, which may have made the identification of procedural cases less accurate.

Conclusion

This study found that maternal mortality is associated with significantly higher delivery-related medical costs, nearly six times those of non-maternal mortality cases. Approximately 83% of these

TABLE 3 Adjusted model for delivery medical costs on maternal mortality.

	Delivery medical costs						
	Parameter estimate	Mean cost (USD)	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% Cl	<i>p</i> -value		
Intercept	6.1738	480	479	481	< 0.0001		
Maternal mortality				' ·			
Deceased	0.0000						
Survived	1.7643	2,802	2,717	2,889	< 0.0001		
Maternal age			1	I I			
<19	-0.0143	473	470	476	< 0.0001		
19-24	-0.0104	475	473	477	< 0.0001		
25–29	0.0000						
30-34	0.0074	484	482	485	< 0.0001		
35-39	0.0066	483	482	485	< 0.0001		
40-44	0.0346	497	495	499	< 0.0001		
45+	0.047	503	498	509	< 0.0001		
Income level	1			ı			
1Q	0.0001	480	479	481	0.8414		
2Q	-0.002	479	478	480	< 0.0001		
3Q	-0.002	479	478	480	< 0.0001		
4Q	0.0000						
Type of insurance							
Self-employed insured	-0.0011	479	478	481	0.0008		
Employee insured	0.0000						
Medical aid	-0.1075	431	429	434	< 0.0001		
Residential area							
Seoul	0.0000						
Metropolitans	0.0273	493	492	495	< 0.0001		
Small cities	0.0084	484	483	485	<0.0001		
Rural areas	0.0108	485	484	487	<0.0001		
Mode of delivery	0.0100	-105	101	407	<0.0001		
Spontaneous vaginal delivery	0.0000						
Instrumental delivery	0.1068	534	533	535	< 0.0001		
Cesarean section delivery	0.4712	769	767	771	< 0.0001		
Preterm birth				11			
No	0.0000						
Yes	0.0479	504	502	505	< 0.0001		
Prenatal care	1		1	1			
Adequate	0.0000						
Inadequate	-0.0125	474	473	475	<0.0001		
Parity			1	1			
Nulliparous	0.1069	534	533	535	< 0.0001		
Multiparous							
Multiple birth status							
Singleton	0.0000						
omgicton	0.0000						

(Continued)

TABLE 3 (Continued)

	Delivery medical costs						
	Parameter estimate	Mean cost (USD)	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% Cl	<i>p</i> -value		
Twin or more	0.0486	504	502	506	< 0.0001		
Obstetric comorbidities	۱ <u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>			I			
0	0.0000						
1+	0.0548	507	506	509	< 0.0001		
Type of hospital	' '			II			
General hospital (>500 beds)	0.2341	607	605	609	< 0.0001		
General hospital (100–499 beds)	0.148	557	555	558	< 0.0001		
Hospital (30–99 beds)	0.0000						
Clinics (<30 beds)	-0.0595	452	451	453	< 0.0001		
Year	·		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
2003	0.0000						
2004	0.1294	546	544	548	< 0.0001		
2005	0.2836	637	635	640	< 0.0001		
2006	0.3606	688	686	691	< 0.0001		
2007	0.435	742	739	744	< 0.0001		
2008	0.187	579	577	581	< 0.0001		
2009	0.2879	640	638	642	< 0.0001		
2010	0.3603	688	686	691	< 0.0001		
2011	0.4085	722	720	725	< 0.0001		
2012	0.561	841	838	844	< 0.0001		
2013	0.648	918	914	921	< 0.0001		
2014	0.6351	906	903	909	< 0.0001		
2015	0.5968	872	869	875	< 0.0001		
2016	0.6079	882	878	885	< 0.0001		
2017	0.8006	1,069	1,065	1,073	< 0.0001		
2018	0.8533	1,127	1,123	1,131	< 0.0001		
2019	0.9104	1,193	1,188	1,198	< 0.0001		
2020	1.063	1,390	1,384	1,395	< 0.0001		
2021	1.0355	1,352	1,347	1,357	< 0.0001		

Adjusted for maternal age, income level, type of insurance, residential area, mode of delivery, preterm birth, prenatal care, parity, multiple births, obstetric comorbidities, type of hospital, and year.

costs (\$1.6 million) were potentially reducible, emphasizing the need for improved maternal health interventions. This study provides the first nationwide, long-term analysis of the direct medical costs of maternal mortality in a high-income country, highlighting its economic burden on both individuals and the healthcare system. To reduce costs and improve maternal health outcomes, policymakers should expand access to prenatal care for early risk detection and strengthen nationwide maternal health monitoring systems. Additionally, further research is needed to explore the broader economic impact of maternal mortality. By implementing these measures, maternal deaths can be reduced, and healthcare expenditures can be optimized, benefiting both individuals and society.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found at: https://nhiss.nhis.or.kr/.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Institutional Review Board of Eulji University (IRB Number: EU22-27). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

JN: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (No. 2020R1C1C1013668).

References

1. Trost S, Beauregard J, Chandra G, Njie F, Berry J, Harvey A, et al. *Pregnancy-related deaths: Data from maternal mortality review committees in 36 US States, 2017–2019.* Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, US Department of Health and Human Services. (2022). Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/maternal-mortality/php/data-research/mmrc-2017-2019.html.

2. Kassebaum NJ, Bertozzi-Villa A, Coggeshall MS, Shackelford KA, Steiner C, Heuton KR, et al. Global, regional, and national levels and causes of maternal mortality during 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2013. *Lancet.* (2014) 384:980–1004. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60696-6

3. Hoyert DL. Maternal mortality rates in the United States 2020. NCHS Health E-Stats. (2022). doi: 10.15620/cdc:113967

4. Mariotto AB, Enewold L, Zhao J, Zeruto CA, Yabroff KR. Medical care costs associated with cancer survivorship in the United States. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* (2020) 29:1304–12. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-19-1534

5. Wang Y, Zhang P, Shao H, Andes LJ, Imperatore G. Medical costs associated with diabetes complications in Medicare beneficiaries aged 65 years or older with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* (2023) 46:149–55. doi: 10.2337/dc21-2538

6. Gunja MZ, Gumas ED, Williams RD. US health care from a global perspective, 2022: accelerating spending, worsening outcomes. *Commonwealth Fund.* (2023) 1:1–24. doi: 10.26099/8ejy-yc74

7. World Health Organization. Maternal mortality Geneva. Switzerland: World Health Organization (2024).

8. O'Neil SS, Platt I, Vohra D, Pendl-Robinson E, Dehus E, Zephyrin L, et al. Societal cost of nine selected maternal morbidities in the United States. *PLoS One.* (2022) 17:e0275656. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0275656

9. Tikkanen R, Gunja MZ, FitzGerald M, Zephyrin L. Maternal mortality and maternity care in the United States compared to 10 other developed countries. *Commonwealth Fund*. (2020) 10:22. doi: 10.26099/411v-9255

10. Kes A, Ogwang S, Pande RP, Douglas Z, Karuga R, Odhiambo FO, et al. The economic burden of maternal mortality on households: evidence from three subcounties in rural western Kenya. *Reprod Health.* (2015) 12:1–10. doi: 10.1186/1742-4755-12-S1-S3

11. Ye F, Wang H, Huntington D, Zhou H, Li Y, You F, et al. The immediate economic impact of maternal deaths on rural Chinese households. *PLoS One*. (2012) 7:e38467. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0038467

12. Howland RE, Angley M, Won SH, Wilcox W, Searing H, Tsao T-Y. Estimating the hospital delivery costs associated with severe maternal morbidity in new York City, 2008–2012. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2018) 131:242–52. doi: 10.1097/AOG. 00000000002432

13. Callaghan WM, Creanga AA, Kuklina EV. Severe maternal morbidity among delivery and postpartum hospitalizations in the United States. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2012) 120:1029–36. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e31826d60c5

14. White RS, Lui B, Bryant-Huppert J, Chaturvedi R, Hoyler M, Aaronson J. Economic burden of maternal mortality in the USA, 2018–2020. *J Compar Effective Res.* (2022) 11:927–33. doi: 10.2217/cer-2022-0056

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the National Health Insurance Service for the using database of this study.

Conflict of interest

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

15. Khosla K, Jin Y, Espinoza J, Kent M, Gencay M, Kunz LH, et al. Signs or symptoms of suspected preeclampsia–a retrospective national database study of prevalence, costs, and outcomes. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. (2024) 36:101124. doi: 10.1016/j.preghy.2024.101124

16. Riestenberg C, Jagasia A, Markovic D, Buyalos RP, Azziz R. Health care-related economic burden of polycystic ovary syndrome in the United States: pregnancy-related and long-term health consequences. *J Clin Endocrinol Metabol.* (2022) 107:575–85. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgab613

17. Margiotta C, Gao J, O'Neil S, Vohra D, Zivin K. The economic impact of untreated maternal mental health conditions in Texas. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. (2022) 22:700. doi: 10.1186/s12884-022-05001-6

18. Lee J, Lee JS, Park S-H, Shin SA, Kim K. Cohort profile: the national health insurance service-national sample cohort (NHIS-NSC), South Korea. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2017) 46:dyv319. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyv319

19. Bank of Korea. Consumer Price index (2020–100). Republic of Korea: Bank of Korea (2023).

20. Korean Government. Trends of currency exchange. (2022). Available online at: https://www.index.go.kr/unity/potal/main/EachDtlPageDetail.do?idx_cd=1068 (Accessed August 15, 2023).

21. World Health Organization. Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2020: estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group and UNDESA/Population Division. Geneva: World Health Organization. (2023).

22. Kessner DM, Singer J, Kalk CW. Infant death: An analysis by maternal risk and health care. Vol. 1. Washington DC: Institute of Medicine Natiolnal Academy of Sciences. (1973).

23. Bateman BT, Mhyre JM, Hernandez-Diaz S, Huybrechts KF, Fischer MA, Creanga AA, et al. Development of a comorbidity index for use in obstetric patients. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2013) 122:957–65. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3182a603bb

24. Islam MK, Gerdtham UG, World Health Organization. The costs of maternalnewborn illness and mortality. Geneva: World Health Organization (2006). Available from: https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/43516

25. Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. Fertility rates. (2023) Available from: https://data.oecd.org/pop/fertility-rates.htm (Accessed October 2, 2023).

26. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development WHO. Health at a glance: Asia/Pacific 2022: Measuring Progress towards universal health coverage. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development WHO (2022).

27. Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. Caesarean sections (indicator). (2024). Available from https://www.oecd.org/en/data/indicators/caesarean-sections.html. (Accessed October 2, 2023).

28. Lumbiganon P, Laopaiboon M, Gülmezoglu AM, Souza JP, Taneepanichskul S, Ruyan P, et al. Method of delivery and pregnancy outcomes in Asia: the WHO global survey on maternal and perinatal health 2007-08. *Lancet.* (2010) 375:490–9. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61870-5

29. Kallianidis AF, Schutte JM, van Roosmalen J, van den Akker T. Maternal mortality after cesarean section in the Netherlands. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* (2018) 229:148–52. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2018.08.586