Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Gail Broder, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, United States

REVIEWED BY Nilesh Chandrakant Gawde, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, India Charles J. Vukotich Jr., University of Pittsburgh, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Kadari Cisse ⊠ cisskad4@gmail.com

RECEIVED 14 November 2024 ACCEPTED 21 March 2025 PUBLISHED 11 April 2025

#### CITATION

Cisse K, Ouedraogo HG, Ky-Zerbo O, Kambire D, Dahourou DL, Dah TTE, Zida S, Ki-Toe C, Dioma S, Sissoko F, Yugbare A, Ouedraogo AI, Ouedraogo S and Kouanda S (2025) Willingness of female sex workers to use HIV self-testing in Burkina Faso: analysis of cross-sectional respondent-driven sampling data. *Front. Public Health* 13:1528270. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1528270

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Cisse, Ouedraogo, Ky-Zerbo, Kambire, Dahourou, Dah, Zida, Ki-Toe, Dioma, Sissoko, Yugbare, Ouedraogo, Ouedraogo and Kouanda. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Willingness of female sex workers to use HIV self-testing in Burkina Faso: analysis of cross-sectional respondent-driven sampling data

Kadari Cisse<sup>1\*</sup>, Henri Gautier Ouedraogo<sup>1</sup>, Odette Ky-Zerbo<sup>1</sup>, Dinanibè Kambire<sup>1</sup>, Désiré Lucien Dahourou<sup>1</sup>, Ter Tiero Elias Dah<sup>2</sup>, Sylvie Zida<sup>1</sup>, Célestine Ki-Toe<sup>3</sup>, Solange Dioma<sup>3</sup>, Fatou Sissoko<sup>1</sup>, Alexandre Yugbare<sup>1</sup>, Abdoul Ibrahim Ouedraogo<sup>1</sup>, Smaila Ouedraogo<sup>4</sup> and Seni Kouanda<sup>1.5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé (IRSS), Centre National de Recherche Scientifiques de Technologique (CNRST), Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, <sup>2</sup>Unité de Formation et de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé (UFR SS), Université Ledéa Bernard Ouédraogo, Ouahigouya, Burkina Faso, <sup>3</sup>Sécrétariat Permanent du Conseil National de Lutte contre le SIDA et les IST (SP/CNLS-IST), Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, <sup>4</sup>Unité de Formation et de Recherche Sciences de la Santé (UFR/SDS), Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, <sup>5</sup>Institut Africain de Santé Publique, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

**Background:** HIV self-testing (HIVST) is an approach that allows individuals to test for HIV and obtain results in their homes or other private settings. It is recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), particularly for key populations, to help achieve the first 95. The objective of this study was to evaluate the willingness to use HIVST among female sex workers (FSWs) in Burkina Faso.

**Methods:** We performed a biobehavioral cross-sectional study conducted in five regions in Burkina Faso. FSWs were recruited using the respondent-driven sampling (RDS) method. To evaluate FSW's willingness to use HIVST, each participant was invited to answer a "Yes" or "No" question: "If you were offered an HIV self-test, would you use it?." The determinants of willingness to use HIVST were identified using Poisson-modified generalized estimating equation models.

**Results:** A total of 1,338 FSWs were included in this study. The mean age was 28.1 years (standard deviation: 7.71 years), and 47.9% of FSWs had attended at least secondary school. Nearly 21.1% had good knowledge of HIV transmission modes. One-third (28.8%) of FSWs reported not using a condom during their last sexual intercourse with a non-paying partner. Among the study participants, 89.5% (95% CI: 87.0; 91.6) were willing to use HIVST. The main determinants of FSW's willingness to use HIVST were being married (adjusted prevalence ratio (aPR): 1.10 (95%CI: 1.01; 1.20) p = 0.034), having first sex at the age greater than 18 years (aPR: 1.14 (95%CI: 1.02; 1.29) p = 0.024), being member of an association (aPR: 1.10 (95%CI: 1.02; 1.18) p = 0.014), and being no current alcohol consumer (aPR: 1.06 (95%CI 1.01; 1.12) p = 0.026).

**Conclusion:** This study revealed a good willingness to use HIVST among FSWs. This is a promising means to increase HIV testing coverage and knowledge of

HIV status among FSWs and would then strengthen HIV prevention and care services.

KEYWORDS

HIV self-testing, female sex worker, willingness, RDS, Burkina Faso

# **1** Introduction

Efforts have significantly reduced the HIV burden worldwide. However, it remains a major public health issue. Indeed, by the end of 2022, the number of people living with HIV (PLHIV) worldwide was estimated at 39.0 million, including 1.3 million new infections (1). In 2022, female sex workers accounted for 7.7% of new infections worldwide, whereas in West and Central Africa, they accounted for 15% (2).

Burkina Faso has a concentrated HIV epidemic, with an HIV prevalence of less than 1% in the general population and a higher prevalence among vulnerable and key populations (i.e., female sex workers (FSWs) and men who have sex with men). The prevalence of HIV among FSWs was 10.3% (3) in 2016 and ranged from 13 to 30.1% in 2017 according to location (4). Like many other SSA countries, Burkina Faso has subscribed to the UNAIDS target of 95-95-95, which suggests that by 2025, 95% of people living with HIV are aware of their status, 95% of those who are aware of their status receive antiretroviral therapies (ART), and 95% of those who receive ART have a suppressed viral load (5). Few studies have assessed the progress to this target (5). However, about the first 95, only 83% of PLVIH knew their status in 2023 in Burkina Faso (6). To achieve the first goal, new approaches such as index testing and HIV self-testing (HIVST) have been proposed to reach most at-risk populations, who are usually less likely to use health facility-based testing (7).

HIVST can help eliminate some obstacles regarding HIV testing, such as the stigmatization faced by key populations, particularly FSWs in health facilities, and transportation fees. HIVST is a procedure whereby a person uses a simple oral swab or blood sample to perform an HIV test, which he or she will interpret alone, often in a private place. This is often done using rapid test. When the test is positive, the result needs to be confirmed by a health professional using national algorithm. In terms of approach, HIVST represents an innovation with the goal of increasing the uptake of testing among the hidden and/or the most at-risk populations, including FSW. Most studies have shown that the majority of individuals tested prefer the testing process to be discreet and confidential, especially for populations that are victims of stigmatization, such as FSW. This might overcome the lower rate (close to 57.8%) of HIV testing reported in a previous study conducted in Burkina Faso (8). Since 2016, the World Health Organization has recommended that HIVST be offered as an additional HIV testing strategy in sub-Saharan Africa (7). However, HIVST has not been sufficiently implemented. A recent study revealed a lower rate of HIV testing among FSWs in Burkina Faso (8). The government has adopted a national strategy for 2021-2025, which aims to reduce new HIV infections by 75% and improve HIV testing among FSWs (9). Despite the ongoing implementation of new national strategy, little is known about HIVST among the key population in Burkina Faso. This study aimed to investigate the willingness to use HIVST and its determinants among FSWs in Burkina Faso.

## 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Study sites

The study was conducted in the five main large cities of Burkina Faso (Ouagadougou, Bobo-Dioulasso, Koudougou, Tenkodogo, and Ouahigouya). Due to the specificity of the hidden populations, these cities were selected according to several criteria: geographical disparities in HIV prevalence, cultural variation, and crowds of sexual activities in these cities.

## 2.2 Study type and period

A cross-sectional survey was carried out via the respondentdriven sampling method, which is one of the best methods to reach hidden populations, such as FSW (10, 11). The survey took place from June to August 2022.

#### 2.3 Study population

The study population included female sex workers (FSWs) living in the five study towns. Female sex workers are defined as "girls and women over the age of 18 who receive money or other gifts in exchange for sexual services, either regularly or occasionally." The inclusion criteria were as follows: being female, at least 15 years old, having sexual intercourse in exchange for money as the main income source for 12 months, having a valid coupon (the validity of the coupon was set at 15 days), and consenting to participate in the study. HIV-positive FSWs were excluded from this analysis. We included FSWs with less than 18 years old because a previous study showed an important proportion of them who started sex work as minors (12).

## 2.4 Sample size and sampling

The sampling process and sample size calculation have been described elsewhere (8). In brief, the sample size was 1,424 FSWs to be recruited. The sample size was calculated using the Salganik (13) formula. The sampling followed the RDS methods. The recruitment started with two seeds in each city. Seeds were FSWs known as the leader of their community with a high network size. The seeds who meet the study eligibility were non-randomly selected with the

Abbreviations: FSWs, Female sex workers; HIVST, HIV self-testing; PLHIV, People living with HIV; RDS, Respondent-driven sampling; SSA, Sub-Saharan Africa; UNAIDS, Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS; WHO, World Health Organization.

contributions of NGOs and FSW associations. They were selected considering their influence on the FSW community. They are known as leaders in the FSW community of their city. After their inclusion in the study, they were trained on the process of recruitment before receiving three coupons to recruit other FSWs. Second, the following participant also received three coupons to recruit other participants until the sample size was reached. Each coupon was identified by unique numbers; thus, each person recruited can be identified by his coupon number. This allows us to know the order of recruitment and reduce any risk of double inclusion in the survey.

#### 2.5 Data collection process

The study methods have been described elsewhere (8). In brief, following the RDS approach, data collection started by checking the eligibility of the participants. If a participant was eligible, he was invited to complete a face-to-face questionnaire administered by a trained investigator. The questionnaire was structured to include sociodemographic and behavioral data and was programmed on a digital tablet via the CSPro application. After receiving an explanation of what HIVST consists of, participants were invited to answer the following question: "If you were offered an HIV self-test, would you use it?" After the interviews, the participants underwent HIV testing via a rapid diagnosis test (RDT).

#### 2.6 Study variables

The outcome variable in our study was the willingness to use the HIVST kit. The outcome variable was treated as a binary variable: FSWs who agreed with self-testing (code = 1) versus those who did not agree (code = 0).

The independent variables include demographic and socioeconomic variables, behavioral factors, and HIV knowledge variables. These variables were age (<25 years and >=25 years) of FSW, current marital status, educational level, monthly income (Dollar), age at first sex (<18 and >=18), age at initiation of sex work (<18 and >=18), history of drug use (Yes/No), condom use at last sex with clients (Yes/No), condom use at last sex with partners (Yes/No), history of previous incarceration in last 12 months (Yes/No), current alcohol consumption (Yes/No) with refer to alcohol consumption within last 30 days, lifetime history of drug use (Yes/No), HIV knowledge (Sufficient/Non-sufficient) as defined by UNAIDS, number of biological children (neither, one, two or three, more than three), and membership in an association (Yes/No) also known as peer group membership (it seems to be a way for HIV prevention among female sex workers including HIVST delivery (14, 15)).

#### 2.7 Data processing and analysis

After the data were cleaned, we first excluded all participants who tested positive for HIV. We then describe the characteristics of the participants. We estimated the rate of FSW willingness to use HIVST via the unweighted and RDS weighted options. For each rate, a confidence interval was calculated. The rate of willingness to use HIVST was presented by the demographic and risk behavior characteristics of the participants. We conducted a modified Poisson regression using a generalized estimating equation with an exchangeable correlation structure as recommended (16) to identify factors associated with willingness to use HIVST among female sex workers. From this model, we derive the prevalence ratio and its confidence intervals. All analyses were performed using Stata 18. All the statistical tests were considered significant when the *p*-value was <5%.

## 2.8 Ethical consideration

The protocol of this study was approved by the National Ethical Committee for Health Research (Deliberation Number 2020-02-029 of 5 February 2020).

# **3 Results**

#### 3.1 Participant characteristics

Among the 1,425 participants, 87 HIV-positive individuals were excluded. The final sample size for this analysis was 1,338 FSWs. The participants' characteristics are presented in Table 1.

#### 3.2 Willingness to use HIV self-testing

The rates of willingness to use HIVST among FSWs are presented in Table 1. Among the 1,338 FSWs, 1,082 agreed to use HIVST, for a rate of 89.5% (95% CI: [87.0; 91.6]). The proportion of FSWs who were willing to use HIVST for different subgroups of demographics and risk behaviors was high, ranging from 85.1 to 98.2%. This proportion was highest (98.2%) among FSWs who had more than three children and lowest (51.3%) among FSWs who had a history of previous incarceration in the last 12 months before the data collection. The proportion of FSWs who were willing to use the HIVST rate appeared to be affected by monthly income, but from an income of 700\$ to 5,014\$, the prevalence was the lowest at 85.8%.

# 3.3 Factors associated with FSW willingness to use HIV self-testing

Table 2 below displays the results of the modified Poisson regression on the willingness to use HIVST among FSWs in Burkina Faso. As reported in the table, the proportion of willingness to use HIVST was 10% greater among FSWs who were married than among those who were not married (single), with a significant *p*-value (p = 0.034). The proportion of willingness to use HIVST among FSWs whose first sex was less than 18 years (<18 years) was 14% higher than that among those whose first sex was at least 18 years old (p = 0.024). The proportion was significantly (p = 0.010) higher among those who used condoms during their last sex with a non-paying partner. Compared with those who have a current alcohol consumption, female sex workers who did not have a current alcohol consumption had a 6% higher willingness rate to use HIVST, with a significant p-value (p = 0.026). Those who are members of an association of

#### TABLE 1 Willingness to use HIV self-testing among FSWs by different subgroups of demographics and risk behaviors in Burkina Faso.

ord00 <t< th=""><th>Variable</th><th>Total</th><th>Number of FSWs willing for HIV self-test</th><th>Unweighted prevalence (95%CI)</th><th>RDS weighted prevalence (95%CI)</th></t<>	Variable	Total	Number of FSWs willing for HIV self-test	Unweighted prevalence (95%CI)	RDS weighted prevalence (95%CI)		
Approximation4.2912944.049.040.003-2510409.040.009.040.00.00Currentraturat107.009.040.009.040.0010000103010.009.040.009.040.0010000103010.009.040.009.040.0010000103010.009.040.009.040.00100001030010.009.040.009.040.001000010.0010.009.040.009.040.0010000010.0010.009.040.009.040.0010000010.0010.0010.009.040.00100000010.0010.0010.009.040.00100000010.0010.0010.009.040.001000000010.0010.0010.0010.001000000000010.0010.0010.0010.0010000000000000010.0010.0010.0010.001000000000000000000000000000000000000	Overall	1,338	1,082	89.4 (87.6; 91.0)	89.5 (87.0; 91.6)		
-43696.9697.07.48.91.096.07.04.92.01> 1-2397.0197.0197.0197.0197.01Salph97.0197.0197.0197.0197.01Marda97.0197.0197.0197.0197.01Davarda valova30.0197.0197.0197.0197.01Davarda valova30.0197.0197.0197.0197.01Davarda valova30.0197.0197.0197.0197.01Davarda valova30.0197.0197.0197.0197.01Davarda valova30.0197.0197.0197.0197.01Davarda valova30.0197.0197.0197.0197.01Davarda valova30.0130.0197.0197.0197.01Scanda valova30.0130.0130.0197.0197.01Davarda valova30.0130.0130.0197.0197.01Jana valova30.0130.0130.0130.0130.01Jana valova30.0130.0130.0130.0130.01Jana valova30.0130.0130.0130.0130.01Jana valova30.0130.0130.0130.0130.01Jana valova30.0130.0130.0130.0130.01Jana valova30.0130.0130.0130.0130.01Jana valova30.0130.0130.0130.0130.01Jana valova30.0130.0130.01<	Age (year)						
< <table>&gt;&gt;28080074040(3)04)(04), 04)CurrentantantaSingen97078090(07, 04), 04)90(07, 04), 04)Marido90078090(07, 04), 04)90(07, 04), 04)Ibarrent300202090(07, 04), 04)90(07, 04), 04)Demonstore300202090(07, 04), 04)90(07, 04), 04)Ibarrent300202090(07, 04), 04)90(07, 04), 04)Ibarrent300202090(07, 04), 04)90(07, 04), 04)Ibarrent300202090(07, 04), 04)90(07, 04), 04)100-000300202090(07, 04), 04)90(07, 04), 04)101-000300300(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)90(07, 04), 04)102-000300300(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)90(07, 04), 04)103-000300300(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)103-000300(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)103-000300(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)103-000300(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)103-000300(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)103-000300(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)103-00030(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)103-00030(01, 04), 04)30(01, 04), 04)30</table>	<25	529	408	87.7 (84.4; 90.4)	88.8 (84.4; 92.1)		
ContractionalSigner900900900900900900090009000900090009000090000900009000090000900009000090000900009000090000900000900000900000900000900000900000900000090000009000000900000009000000090000000090000000090000000009000000000900000000090000000000900000000000009000000000000000000000000000000000000	> = 25	809	674	90.6 (88.3; 92.5)	89.9 (86.8; 92.4)		
ÍsngleísngleísngleísngleísngleísngleísngleÍsngle33 <t< td=""><td>Current marital status</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Current marital status						
Marad930937942(49.93)939(46.93)Drored or videod303302302(84.94)302(84.94)Exactance31024584.04.19.07)452(35.93)Primary school303302907(87.93.94)352(75.93.94)School or philpschool303302907(87.93.94)352(75.93.94)School or philpschool303304304(84.93.07)363(75.93.94)School or philpschool303304304(84.93.07)363(75.93.94)Jond-School313314304(84.93.07)363(75.93.94)Jond-School313314304(84.93.07)363(75.93.94)Jond-School313314304(84.93.07)363(75.93.94)Jond-School314304304(75.93.94)363(75.93.94)Jond-School314304304(75.93.94)363(75.93.94)Jond-School314304304(75.93.94)363(75.93.94)Jond-School314304304(75.93.94)363(75.94)Jond-School314304304(75.94)364(75.94)Jond-School314314314314(75.94)Jond-School314314314314Jond-School314314314314Jond-School314314314314Jond-School314314314314Jond-School314314314314Jond-School314314314314Jond-School314<	Single	970	788	90.0 (87.8; 91.8)	90.2 (87.3; 92.5)		
Idenced outside33826987.683.491,9787.683.491,97Ellerate13024288.464.197.0187.87.53.03Formyshol36.3026.2088.464.197.0187.87.53.03Scondary nha chool36.7036.70.2036.70.2036.70.20Marrier167.0010.8090.402.45.0097.87.53.70.10164.00510.9236.70.2036.70.2036.70.20164.00510.9236.70.2036.70.2036.70.20265.00536.7036.70.2036.70.2036.70.20264.00536.70.2036.70.2036.70.2036.70.20264.00536.70.2036.70.2036.70.2036.70.202705.00536.70.2036.70.2036.70.2036.70.202705.00536.70.2036.70.2036.70.2036.70.202716.00537.4036.70.2036.70.2036.70.202717.00536.70.2036.70.2036.70.2036.70.202718.00536.70.2036.70.2036.70.2036.70.202719.00536.70.2036.70.2036.70.2036.70.202719.00536.70.2036.70.2036.70.2036.70.202719.00536.70.2036.70.2036.70.2036.70.202719.00536.70.2036.70.2036.70.2036.70.203710.00536.70.2036.70.2036.70.2036.70.203710.00536.70.2036.70.2036.70.2036.70.203710.00536.70.2036.70.2036.	Married	30	25	96.2 (74.6; 99.5)	93.9 (61.6;99.3)		
Eductional eventInternet3.033.048.4.6.4.1.9.1.98.6.3.6.5.9.0.9Pirmary school3.053.6.4.6.4.1.9.1.93.6.6.5.9.0.1Secondary orly fachool3.053.6.3.6.6.9.1.0.93.6.8.6.8.9.1.0.1Mathematical event3.0.5.0.0.13.6.4.6.9.1.0.13.6.6.7.9.1.0.11.004-2053.0.6.0.0.13.0.6.0.0.1.0.13.6.6.7.9.1.0.11.004-2053.0.6.0.13.0.6.0.1.0.13.6.6.7.9.1.0.12.05-2053.6.4.03.0.0.0.13.6.6.7.9.1.0.12.05-2053.6.4.03.0.0.0.13.6.6.7.9.1.0.12.05-2053.6.4.03.0.0.0.13.6.7.0.2.1.0.12.05-2053.6.4.03.0.0.0.13.6.7.0.2.1.0.12.05-2053.6.4.03.0.0.0.13.6.7.0.2.1.0.13.16-1053.6.4.0.13.6.7.0.2.1.0.13.6.7.0.2.1.0.13.16-1053.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.2.1.0.13.16-1053.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.16-1053.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.16-1053.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.16-1053.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.16-1053.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.16-1053.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.16-1053.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.16-1053.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.16-1053.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.16-1053.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.6.1.0.13.16-105 </td <td>Divorced or widowed</td> <td>338</td> <td>269</td> <td>87.6 (83.4; 90.9)</td> <td>87.2 (81.4; 91.3)</td>	Divorced or widowed	338	269	87.6 (83.4; 90.9)	87.2 (81.4; 91.3)		
Illente30124384.84.14.17.082.63.83.93.01Pinny shold50.0020.000.00.705.03.0168.8.05.03.01Scooday or high school0.010.01.000.01.00.01Molt jurner0.01.000.01.000.01.00.01106-2050.01.000.01.000.01.00.010.01.00.01106-2050.02.000.01.000.01.00.010.01.00.01106-2050.02.000.01.000.01.00.010.01.00.01106-2050.02.000.01.000.01.00.010.01.00.01106-2050.02.000.01.000.02.01.010.01.01.011070-0160.01.000.01.000.01.01.010.01.01.01108-0160.01.000.01.010.01.01.010.01.01.01108-0160.01.010.01.010.01.01.010.01.01.01108-0160.01.010.01.010.01.010.01.01.01109-0160.01.010.01.010.01.010.01.01109-0160.01.010.01.010.01.010.01.01109-0160.01.010.01.010.01.010.01.01109-0160.01.010.01.010.01.010.01.01109-0160.01.010.01.010.01.010.01.01109-0160.01.010.01.010.01.010.01.01109-0160.01.010.01.010.01.010.01.01109-0160.01.010.01.010.01.010.01.01109-0160.01.010.01.010.01.010.01.01109-016	Educational level						
İnmaysdaniGa30Q20M9.(R0,9.4)AstRay,9.(M)Genomy ruby AshonGrad <td< td=""><td>Illiterate</td><td>310</td><td>245</td><td>88.4 (84.1; 91.7)</td><td>89.2 (83.5; 93.0)</td></td<>	Illiterate	310	245	88.4 (84.1; 91.7)	89.2 (83.5; 93.0)		
Ísendiny enhigistionÓsfáÓsfáØsla (ke, ke, li)Harina (dular)Juska (dular)Íslos 20010108.63 (dular)1.98 (dular)1.98 (dular)Juska 20010410109.84 (dular)1.98 (dular)Juska 20010410109.84 (dular)1.98 (dular)Juska 20010410109.84 (dular)1.98 (dular)Juska 2001041099.84 (dular)1.98 (dular)Juska 2001041099.84 (dular)1.98 (dular)Juska 2001041099.84 (dular)1.98 (dular)Juska 2001041041.98 (dular)1.98 (dular)Juska 2001041041.98 (dular)1.98 (dular)Juska 200101010101.98 (dular)1.98 (dular)	Primary school	350	292	90.7 (87.0; 93.4)	85.8 (79.6; 90.3)		
Methylicone(idelar)164-0051110859.04 (20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20,	Secondary or high school	678	545	89.3 (86.6; 91.6)	91.8 (88.6; 94.1)		
14-000010870404,05,00047(25,9,01)108-265,000053047010,081,93,01080,63,93,012050-004036040040,67,03,03040,67,03,032005-0145074040,01040,67,03,03040,67,03,032015-014074040,01040,01040,01218074040,01040,01040,01218074040,01040,01040,01218010040,01040,01040,012180110040,01040,01040,012190110040,01040,01040,012190110010,01040,01040,012190110010,01040,01040,012190110010,01040,01040,012190110010,01040,01040,012190130010,01040,01040,012190140010,01040,01040,012190140010,01040,01040,012190141010,01040,01040,012190141010,01040,01040,012190141010,01040,01040,012190141010,01040,01040,012190141010,01040,01040,012190141010,01040,01040,012190141010,01040,01040,012190141010,01040,01040,01 <td< td=""><td>Monthly income (dollars)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Monthly income (dollars)						
1908-208993844791,018,13,3)98,855,92,932505-708075644641802,08,10)756,07,33,10750437616702,08,20802,07,23,2075147614602907,08,23,20756,07,23,2075157540703,02702,02,20756,02,20751675407540754,02756,02,207517751775177517751775187517751775177517751971317517751775177519713175177517751775197131751775177517751971317517751775177519713175177517751775197131751775177517751971317517751775177519713175177517751775197131751775177517751971317517751775177519713175177517751775197131751775177517751971317517751775177519713175177517751775197131751775177517751971317517751775177519713175177517751775197131751775177517751971317517 <td>14\$-100\$</td> <td>110</td> <td>85</td> <td>90.4 (82.4; 95.0)</td> <td>87.2 (72.5; 94.7)</td>	14\$-100\$	110	85	90.4 (82.4; 95.0)	87.2 (72.5; 94.7)		
1288-7008964461882(82.91,0)96.6(3.9.3,3)705-5016713.00713.00713.00713.00714714.00714.00714.00714.00715714.00714.00714.00714.00	100\$-250\$	528	427	91.0 (88.1; 93.3)	89.8 (85.5; 92.9)		
1908-6944§196845.07,198.08858.07,64,91,91Ager array174.0060.0890.70,83,92,0190.07,03,93,011×100.020.02,03,02,0190.07,03,03,0190.07,03,03,013×100.020.02,03,020.02,03,0290.07,03,03,021×100.13,020.02,03,020.02,03,0290.02,03,021×100.13,020.02,03,020.02,03,0290.02,03,021×100.13,020.02,020.02,03,0290.02,03,021×100.13,020.02,020.02,03,0290.02,03,021×100.13,020.13,020.02,03,0290.02,03,021×100.13,020.13,020.02,03,0290.02,03,021×100.13,020.13,020.02,03,02,0290.02,03,021×100.13,020.13,020.13,0290.02,03,021×100.13,020.13,020.13,0290.02,03,021×100.13,020.13,020.13,0290.02,03,021×100.13,020.13,020.13,0290.02,03,021×100.13,020.13,020.13,0290.02,03,021×100.13,020.13,020.13,0290.02,021×100.13,020.13,020.13,0290.02,021×100.13,020.13,020.13,0290.02,021×100.13,020.13,020.13,0290.02,021×100.13,020.13,020.13,0290.02,021×100.13,020.13,020.13,0290.02,021×10<	250\$-700\$	564	461	89.2 (86.2; 91.6)	90.6 (87.0; 93.3)		
Aga dist set148744608907(83,927)907(73,93,73)2=18654474876(89,92,04)686(49,12)Aga tintation discover181876(89,12)881(72,02)2=13181181986(89,12)981(72,02)2=14191191981(72,02)981(72,02)3=14191191981(72,02)981(72,02)3191191191981(72,02)981(72,02)3191191191981(72,02)981(72,02)3191191191981(72,02)981(72,02)3191191191191191319119119119119131911911911911913191191191191191319119119119119131911911911911913191191191191191319119119119119131911911911911913191191191191191419119119119119141911911911911915191191191191191519119119119119161911911911911916191 <t< td=""><td>700\$-5014\$</td><td>136</td><td>109</td><td>84.5 (77.1; 89.8)</td><td>85.8 (76.4; 91.8)</td></t<>	700\$-5014\$	136	109	84.5 (77.1; 89.8)	85.8 (76.4; 91.8)		
148648907(83.927)907(83.937)> = 18594474877(84.940,4)88.0(4.19.1)Ag tartitation of servers18118186.8(0.9.1,4)86.1(7.2.0)> 18115794.086.8(0.9.1,4)86.1(7.2.0)> 19115794.096.9(7.9.1,4)96.1(7.2.0)Starting tarting	Age at first sex						
\solverside\otextAgenerationAgener	<18	744	608	90.7 (88.3; 92.7)	90.7 (87.3; 93.3)		
Age attitation of sex work<18	> = 18	594	474	87.9 (84.9; 90.4)	88.0 (84.1; 91.1)		
<1818118388.8(80.5).91.3)85.176.29.1.0)>=181,15794489.9(87.91.6)90.4(87.82.0.1)History of injection drug use (ever)11011Na1,13010.089.4(87.59.1.0)89.4(86.59.1.5)Condom use at last sex with paying1,13092.389.4(87.4).2.1089.6(80.59.1.6)No7457.091.9(81.7).6090.1(A)No7457.091.9(81.7).6090.9(83.7).50No7457.091.9(81.7).6090.9(83.7).50No74.057.091.9(81.7).6090.9(83.7).50No74.074.091.0187.6(80.90.8)90.9(83.5).50No74.074.074.090.4(87.94.2)90.9(83.7).50No38.474.076.9(48.93.7)91.0(10.7)91.0(10.7)No13.211.01089.7(87.91.3)90.1(87.92.0)91.0(10.7)No13.211.02099.6(87.2).91.091.0(10.7)91.0(10.7)No13.1067.298.6(87.2).91.088.3(85.0).91.010.10No13.136.0798.6(87.2).91.098.6(80.7)98.6(80.7)No10.1085.091.4(83.5).91.094.6(8.5).91.010.10No10.1290.798.6(81.9).098.6(81.9).010.10No10.1385.091.4(83.5).91.098.6(80.7).098.6(80.7).0No10.136.0798.6(87.91.0)98.6(80.7).010.10 <t< td=""><td>Age at initiation of sex work</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Age at initiation of sex work						
h = 181,157944899(87,91,6)90.4(87,82,4)History of injection drug use (ver)1110Yes11,2010,0789.4(85,91,0)89.4(86,91,5)Condom use at last sex with paying bery13,0289.4(87,91,0)89.4(86,91,8)Yes1,13092399.4(87,49,1,2)89.6(86,91,8)No745791.9(8,17,9,6,7)90.9(3,3,95,10)Condom use at last sex with non-pay14290.4(87,94,20)90.9(3,3,95,2)Yes17214290.4(87,94,20)90.9(3,3,95,2)No38431687.8(84,09,08)87.0(23,90,6)No13.010.0287.8(46,09,08)87.0(23,90,6)Yes13.010.0289.7(87,91,30)90.1(87,92,91,00)No13.2110.0289.6(87,29,1,6)88.3(85,09,1,0)Yes81967289.6(87,29,1,6)88.3(85,09,1,0)No13.1291.4089.6(87,29,1,6)88.3(85,09,1,0)Yes81967289.6(87,29,1,6)88.3(85,09,1,0)Yes10.1290789.6(87,29,1,6)99.4(8,69,1,7)Yes10.1291.4090.14,13,19,1090.6(8,1,9,1,10)No12.3291.6191.4(83,59,57,10)90.8(8,01,5,1,10)No12.3291.6291.4(83,59,57,10)90.8(8,01,5,1,10)No12.3291.6291.4(83,59,57,10)90.8(8,01,5,1,10)No12.3291.6291.4(83,59,57,10)90.8(8,01,5,1,10)No<	<18	181	138	86.8 (80.5; 91.3)	85.1 (76.2;91.0)		
History of injection drug use (very)Yes1110No1,3241,07289.4 (85,91.0)Condom use at last sex with paying werk13.0089.4 (85,91.0)Yes1,13092389.4 (87.4;1.2)No745791.9 (81.7;6.7)No745791.9 (81.7;6.7)Condom use at last sex with non-yerk90.9 (83.7;9.2)Yes17214290.4 (84.7;4.2)No38431687.8 (84.9;0.8)No38431687.8 (84.9;0.8)No13410.076.9 (28.9;3.7)Yes131076.9 (28.9;3.7)No132110.0290.9 (87.2;1.6)No132014089.6 (87.2;1.6)No51941089.3 (85.1;1.8)Yes1018591.4 (83.5;5.7)No1.23799793.8 (35.9;1.3)No1.23799793.9 (87.2;1.6)No1.23799793.9 (87.2;1.6)No1.237	> = 18	1,157	944	89.9 (87.9; 91.6)	90.4 (87.8; 92.4)		
Yes1110InterpretationNo1,3241,0728,84,(85,51,0)8,84,(85,91,0)Condom use at last sex with paying-vert1,1309,238,84,(87,45,12)8,86,(85,91,8)Yes1,1309,239,91,81,79,6,7)9,01,01No745,79,19,(81,79,6,7)9,01,02Condom use at last sex with non-perture1,2129,04,(84,79,4,2)9,09,(83,39,5,2)Yes1721,429,04,(84,79,4,2)9,09,(83,39,5,2)No3,843,168,78,(84,09,0,8)8,70,(82,39,0,6)History of previous incarcention in1,3211,0218,87,(85,91,30)9,01,(87,69,2)Yes13107,69,(28,91,30)9,01,(67,69,2)No1,3211,0218,98,(85,19,1,6)9,01,(87,92,1)Yes8,196,7218,98,(65,19,1,6)9,14,(87,94,1)No1,2379,978,93,(87,49,1,0)8,94,(86,91,5)Hirkineth1,2379,979,14,87,94,1)9,03,(84,91,6)Hirkineth1,0358,058,98,(66,0,7)8,93,(64,91,6)	History of injection drug use (ever)	·	·				
No1,3241,07289.4(87.5;10)89.4(86.9;1.5)Condoure at last sex with paying with a sex of the sex o	Yes	11	10				
Condom use at last sex with paying periodSolution	No	1,324	1,072	89.4 (87.5; 91.0)	89.4 (86.9; 91.5)		
Yes1,13092388.4 (87.4; 91.2)89.6 (86.9; 91.8)No745791.9 (81.7; 96.7)90.1 (nd)Condom use at last sex with non-pay17214290.4 (84.7; 94.2)90.9 (83.3; 95.2)Yes13231687.8 (84.0; 90.8)87.0 (82.3; 90.6)No38431687.6 (84.0; 90.8)87.0 (82.3; 90.6)History of previous incarceration ital13110076.9 (42.8; 93.7)51.3 (nd)Yes131076.9 (42.8; 93.7)51.3 (nd)No13,32110,07289.7 (87.8; 91.3)90.1 (87.6; 92.0)Current alcohol consumption13110089.5 (87.2; 91.6)88.3 (85.0; 91.0)Yes81967289.6 (87.2; 91.6)88.3 (85.0; 91.0)No51941089.3 (86.1; 91.8)91.4 (83.5; 95.7)90.8 (nd)Iterime history of drug use12.3799789.3 (87.4; 91.0)89.4 (86.8; 91.5)Yes1018591.4 (83.5; 95.7)90.8 (14.6)No12.3799789.3 (87.4; 91.0)89.4 (86.8; 91.5)HV knowledge130327791.4 (87.7; 94.1)90.3 (84.5; 94.0)Sufficient10.0580588.9 (86.6; 0.7)89.3 (86.4; 91.6)	Condom use at last sex with paying par	tner					
No7457919 (81.7; 9.7)90.1 (nd)Condom use at last sex with non-pay- Verson17214290.4 (84.7; 94.2)90.9 (83.3; 95.2)No38431687.8 (84.0; 90.8)87.0 (82.3; 90.6)History of previous incarceration in user13087.6 (84.0; 90.8)87.0 (82.3; 90.6)Yes131076.9 (42.8; 93.7)51.3 (nd)No132110,07289.7 (87.8; 91.3)90.1 (87.6; 92.0)No132110,07289.7 (87.8; 91.3)90.1 (87.6; 92.0)Yes81967289.6 (87.2; 91.6)88.3 (85.0; 91.0)No51941089.3 (86.1; 91.8)91.4 (87.4; 94.2)Yes1018591.4 (83.5; 95.7)90.8 (nd)No123799789.3 (87.4; 91.0)89.4 (86.8; 91.5)Hivknowledge13327791.4 (87.7; 94.1)90.3 (84.5; 94.0)Insufficient1,03580588.9 (86.6; 07.7)89.3 (86.4; 91.6)	Yes	1,130	923	89.4 (87.4; 91.2)	89.6 (86.9; 91.8)		
Condom use at last sex with non-pay-terrYes17214290.4 (84.7, 94.2)90.9 (83.3, 95.2)No38431687.8 (84.0, 90.8)87.0 (82.3, 90.6)History of previous incarceration in attractor87.6 (84.0, 90.8)87.0 (82.3, 90.6)Yes131076.9 (42.8, 93.7)51.3 (nd)No13.2110.07289.7 (87.8; 91.3)90.1 (87.6; 92.0)Current alcohol consumption11089.7 (87.8; 91.3)90.1 (87.6; 92.0)Yes81967289.6 (87.2; 91.6)88.3 (85.0; 91.0)No51941089.3 (86.1; 91.8)91.4 (87.4; 94.2)Lifetime history of drug use118591.4 (83.5; 95.7)90.8 (nd)No10.23790.9789.3 (87.4; 91.0)89.4 (86.8; 91.5)HV knowledge130327791.4 (87.7; 94.1)90.3 (84.5; 94.0)Insufficient1,03580588.9 (86.6; 90.7)89.3 (86.4; 91.6)	No	74	57	91.9 (81.7; 96.7)	90.1 (nd)		
Yes17214290.4 (84.7, 94.2)90.9 (83.3, 95.2)No38431687.8 (84.0, 90.8)87.0 (82.3, 90.6)History of previous incacceration in latter87.6 (84.0, 90.8)87.0 (82.3, 90.6)Yes131076.9 (42.8, 93.7)51.3 (nd)No1,3211,07289.7 (87.8, 91.3)90.1 (87.6, 92.0)Current alcohol consumption81967289.6 (87.2, 91.6)88.3 (85.0, 91.0)No51941089.3 (86.1, 91.8)91.4 (87.4, 94.2)Lifetime history of drug use91.4 (87.4, 94.2)90.8 (nd)Yes1018591.4 (83.5, 95.7)90.8 (nd)No1,23790.9789.3 (87.4; 91.0)89.4 (86.8; 91.5)HV knowledge13327791.4 (87.7; 94.1)90.3 (84.5; 94.0)Insufficient1,03580588.9 (86.6; 00.7)89.3 (86.4; 91.6)	Condom use at last sex with non-payin	g partner	I		I		
No38431687.8 (84.0; 90.8)87.0 (82.3; 90.6)History of previous incarceration in L2 monthYes131076.9 (42.8; 93.7)51.3 (nd)No13211,07289.7 (87.8; 91.3)90.1 (87.6; 92.0)Current alcohol consumptionYes81967289.6 (87.2; 91.6)88.3 (85.0; 91.0)No51941089.3 (86.1; 91.8)91.4 (87.4; 94.2)Lifetime history of drug useYes1018591.4 (83.5; 95.7)90.8 (nd)No1,23799789.3 (87.4; 91.0)89.4 (86.8; 91.5)HIV knowledgeSufficient30327791.4 (87.7; 94.1)90.3 (84.5; 94.0)Insufficient1,03580588.9 (86.6; 90.7)89.3 (86.4; 91.6)	Yes	172	142	90.4 (84.7; 94.2)	90.9 (83.3; 95.2)		
History of previous incarceration in last J2 month    Yes  13  10  76.9 (42.8; 93.7)  51.3 (nd)    No  1,321  1,072  89.7 (87.8; 91.3)  90.1 (87.6; 92.0)    Current alcohol consumption  1  819  672  89.6 (87.2; 91.6)  88.3 (85.0; 91.0)    No  519  410  89.3 (86.1; 91.8)  91.4 (87.4; 94.2)    Lifetime history of drug use  101  85  91.4 (83.5; 95.7)  90.8 (nd)    No  1,237  997  89.3 (87.4; 91.0)  89.4 (86.8; 91.5)    HIV knowledge  303  277  91.4 (87.7; 94.1)  90.3 (84.5; 94.0)    Insufficient  1,035  805  88.9 (86.6; 90.7)  89.3 (86.4; 91.6)	No	384	316	87.8 (84.0; 90.8)	87.0 (82.3; 90.6)		
Yes  13  10  76.9 (42.8; 93.7)  51.3 (nd)    No  1,321  1,072  89.7 (87.8; 91.3)  90.1 (87.6; 92.0)    Current alcohol consumption   90.1 (87.6; 92.0)  0.1    Yes  89.7 (87.8; 91.3)  90.1 (87.6; 92.0)    No  819  672  89.6 (87.2; 91.6)  88.3 (85.0; 91.0)    No  519  410  89.3 (86.1; 91.8)  91.4 (87.4; 94.2)    Lifetime history of drug use   91.4 (83.5; 95.7)  90.8 (nd)    No  1,237  997  89.3 (87.4; 91.0)  89.4 (86.8; 91.5)    HIV knowledge   303  277  91.4 (87.7; 94.1)  90.3 (84.5; 94.0)    Insufficient  1,035  805  88.9 (86.6; 90.7)  89.3 (86.4; 91.6)	History of previous incarceration in las	t 12 month	I		I		
No  1,321  1,072  89.7 (87.8; 91.3)  90.1 (87.6; 92.0)    Current alcohol consumption	Yes	13	10	76.9 (42.8; 93.7)	51.3 (nd)		
Current alcohol consumption  Seven also also also also also also also also	No	1,321	1,072	89.7 (87.8; 91.3)	90.1 (87.6; 92.0)		
Yes  819  672  89.6 (87.2; 91.6)  88.3 (85.0; 91.0)    No  519  410  89.3 (86.1; 91.8)  91.4 (87.4; 94.2)    Lifetime history of drug use    91.4 (87.4; 94.2)    Yes  101  85  91.4 (83.5; 95.7)  90.8 (nd)    No  1,237  997  89.3 (87.4; 91.0)  89.4 (86.8; 91.5)    HIV knowledge    303  277  91.4 (87.7; 94.1)  90.3 (84.5; 94.0)    Insufficient  1,035  805  88.9 (86.6; 90.7)  89.3 (86.4; 91.6)	Current alcohol consumption						
No  519  410  89.3 (86.1; 91.8)  91.4 (87.4; 94.2)    Lifetime history of drug use           91.4 (87.4; 94.2)	Yes	819	672	89.6 (87.2; 91.6)	88.3 (85.0; 91.0)		
Lifetime history of drug use  Interpretent  <	No	519	410	89.3 (86.1; 91.8)	91.4 (87.4; 94.2)		
Yes  101  85  91.4 (83.5; 95.7)  90.8 (nd)    No  1,237  997  89.3 (87.4; 91.0)  89.4 (86.8; 91.5)    HIV knowledge    91.4 (87.7; 94.1)  90.3 (84.5; 94.0)    Sufficient  1,035  805  88.9 (86.6; 90.7)  89.3 (86.4; 91.6)	Lifetime history of drug use						
No  1,237  997  89.3 (87.4; 91.0)  89.4 (86.8; 91.5)    HIV knowledge	Yes	101	85	91.4 (83.5; 95.7)	90.8 (nd)		
HIV knowledge  91.4 (87.7; 94.1)  90.3 (84.5; 94.0)    Sufficient  1,035  805  88.9 (86.6; 90.7)  89.3 (86.4; 91.6)	No	1,237	997	89.3 (87.4; 91.0)	89.4 (86.8; 91.5)		
Sufficient  303  277  91.4 (87.7; 94.1)  90.3 (84.5; 94.0)    Insufficient  1,035  805  88.9 (86.6; 90.7)  89.3 (86.4; 91.6)	HIV knowledge						
Insufficient 1,035 805 88.9 (86.6; 90.7) 89.3 (86.4; 91.6)	Sufficient	303	277	91.4 (87.7; 94.1)	90.3 (84.5; 94.0)		
	Insufficient	1,035	805	88.9 (86.6; 90.7)	89.3 (86.4; 91.6)		

(Continued)

#### TABLE 1 (Continued)

Variable	Total	Number of FSWs willing for HIV self-test	Unweighted prevalence (95%CI)	RDS weighted prevalence (95%CI)		
Number of biological children						
Neither	441	344	87.5 (83.9; 90.5)	88.8 (84.2; 92.2)		
One child	421	332	89.2 (85.7; 92.0)	89.1 (84.0; 92.7)		
Two or three	384	324	90.5 (87.0; 93.1)	89.2 (84.3; 92.8)		
More than three	92	82	95.3 (88.0; 98.3)	98.2 (94.6; 99.4)		
Membership in an association						
Yes	104	91	93.8 (86.7; 97.2)	89.2 (73.9; 96.0)		
No	1,234	991	89.1 (87.1; 90.8)	89.5 (87.0; 91.6)		

FSWs have a 10% higher rate of willingness to use HIVST than those who are not members of any association of FSWs (p = 0.014).

# 4 Discussion

## 4.1 Key findings

This is the first analysis of HIVST among FSWs in Burkina Faso. Our findings suggest a high rate (89.5%) of willingness to use HIVST among FSWs in Burkina Faso. The willingness to use HIVST seems to be greater among FSWs who are married, those who initiated sex before 18 years of age, those who did not use condoms at last sex with non-paying partners, those who used drugs, those of did not consume alcohol, and those who are member of FSW association.

#### 4.2 FSW willingness to use HIV self-testing

Compared to other studies, our study revealed a high rate of willingness to use HIVST among FSWs (89.5%) in Burkina Faso. Kim et al. (17) reported a lower rate (30.1%) of willingness to use HIVST among FSWs in Malaysia. While a qualitative study conducted in western Africa (Mali, Cote d'Ivoire, and Senegal) showed positive attitudes and willingness of FSW toward HIVST use (18), studies that focused on the willingness to use HIVST are sparse. However, many studies have assessed the acceptability and uptake of HIVST among key populations in SSA. Figueroa et al. (19) reported in their literature review that three of 23 studies on HIVST focused on FSW. Witzel et al. (20) noted that among 11 RCTs on HIVST compared with standard HIV testing, only three RCTs focused on HIVST among FSWs. The HIVST acceptability rate appears to be high in sub-Saharan Africa. Indeed, the HIVST rate reported in studies is close to 59.3% in Ethiopia (21). A systematic review revealed greater acceptability of HIVST among FSWs (19, 20). In particular, oral HIVST has a high acceptability rate, close to 90.5% in Morocco (22) and 81% in Botswana (23). Among other populations, studies have reported various levels of HIVST acceptance rates. In 2020, 70.4% of university students in Nigeria (24) and 94.3% in Senegal were firsttime testers (25), and 84.5% were among the general population in Zimbabwe, 76.5% in Malawi, and 64.5% in South Africa. The STAR initiative in 13 countries has reported good acceptance of HIVST; the majority of participants found the test kits easy to use and interpret, and approximately two-thirds were willing to pay for HIVST (26). However, studies have shown some limits regarding the use of HIVST. The main limitation was the linkage HIV care service including confirmation test and ART initiation. Kra et al. (27, 28) reported that only 56% of the key population with HIVST positive was linked to a confirmation test. In 2021, Neuman et al. reported a limited effect of HIVST on ART initiation compared with that of standard testing services alone (29). From the ATLAS study, we learned that FSWs were reluctant to promote HIVST use among their casual clients (18). However, in another context, a recent community-based study showed a good linkage between confirmatory testing (89%) and ART initiation (85%), and 69% of participants were willing to pay for the HIVST kit (26). In Burkina Faso, a national strategy plan was drafted in 2022 to improve the application of a differentiated service approach known to significantly improve HIV cascades of care (30-32). From this plan, we noted that FSWs are among the main population for whom this approach is implemented. The approach included HIV testing, index testing, and HIVST as new strategies for HIV testing in addition to community facility-based HIV testing. Our findings suggest a good framework for scaling up HIVST services among FSWs in Burkina Faso. This approach might also accelerate the achievement of the first 95 since studies have shown that peer-assisted HIVST increases the number of newly diagnosed HIV-positive cases (33).

# 4.3 Determinant of FSW willingness to use HIVST

According to studies, various factors increase the likelihood of FSW's willingness to use HIVST, depending on the setting. In our study, the age of initiation of sex work was not associated with FSW's willingness to use HIVST. However, Nibret Eskezia (21) noted that spending more than 5 years of sex work increased the likelihood of HIVST acceptability. Age at first sexual intercourse was associated with HIVST. Nibret Eskezia et al. noted that FSWs aged >19 years at first sexual debut are 3.23 times more likely to accept HIVST. In our study, we did not assess knowledge about HIVST. Studies have shown good acceptability of HIVST among FSWs with good knowledge of HIVST (21). The association between risky sexual behavior and HIV testing is often difficult to interpret because of important desirability bias even if the data collector is well trained to avoid it. Indeed, our study reported that risky sexual behavior, such as not using a condom,

TABLE 2 Factors associated with willingness to use HIV self-testing among FSWs.

Variable	Bivariable analysis		Multivariable analysis				
Overall	Crude PR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	Adjusted PR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value			
Age							
<25							
> = 25	1.01 (0.97; 1.06)	0.560	1.00 (0.91; 1.04)	0.997			
Current marital status							
Single							
Married	1.04 (0.91; 1.19)	0.538	1.10 (1.01; 1.20)	0.034			
Divorced or widowed	0.97 (0.92; 1.02)	0.196	1.04 (0.93; 1.15)	0.513			
Educational level							
Illiterate							
Primary school	0.96 (0.89; 1.04)	0.351	1.00 (0.85; 1.20)	0.985			
Secondary or high school	1.03 (0.96; 1.11)	0.427	1.09 (0.93; 1.27)	0.277			
Monthly income							
14\$-100\$							
100\$-250\$	1.03 (0.93; 1.15)	0.556	1.14 (0.88; 1.49)	0.320			
250\$-700\$	1.04 (0.94; 1.16)	0.427	1.18 (0.88; 1.57)	0.269			
700\$-5014\$	0.99 (0.86; 1.13)	0.849	1.00 (0.76; 1.33)	0.972			
Age at first sex							
<18	1.03 (0.96; 1.11)	0.418	1.14 (1.02; 1.29)	0.024			
> = 18							
Age at initiation of sex work							
<18							
> = 18	1.06 (0.97; 1.17)	0.192	1.06 (0.98; 1.14)	0.138			
History of injection drug use (ever)							
Yes							
No	0.90 (0.87; 0.92)	< 0.001	1.03 (0.89; 1.18)	0.734			
Condom use at last sex with paying partne	r						
Yes							
No	1.01 (0.91; 1.11)	0.886	1.02 (0.89; 1.17)	0.770			
Condom use at last sex with non-paying pa	artner						
Yes	1.04 (0.98; 1.10)	0.155	1.07 (1.02; 1.13)	0.010			
No							
History of previous incarceration in last 12 month							
Yes							
No	1.76 (0.85; 3.64)	0.129	2.30 (0.78; 6.77)	0.129			
Current alcohol consumption							
Yes							
No	1.03 (1.00; 1.07)	0.057	1.06 (1.01; 1.12)	0.026			
Lifetime history of drug use							
Yes							
No	0.98 (0.92; 1.05)	0.604	0.90 (0.84; 0.97)	0.004			
HIV knowledge							
Suffisante							
Insufficient	0.99 (0.95; 1.03)	0.604	1.02 (0.91; 1.15)	0.716			

(Continued)

#### TABLE 2 (Continued)

Variable	Bivariable analysis		Multivariable analysis			
Overall	Crude PR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	Adjusted PR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value		
Number of biological children						
Neither						
One child	1.00 (0.95; 1.06)	0.891	1.04 (0.96; 1.12)	0.334		
Two or three	1.01 (0.96; 1.06)	0.823	1.02 (0.95; 1.11)	0.539		
More than three	1.11 (1.06; 1.16)	< 0.001	1.13 (0.99; 1.29)	0.060		
Member of an association						
Yes	1.00 (0.87; 1.14)	0.971	1.10 (1.02; 1.18)	0.014		
No						

was associated with a lower rate of FSW willingness to use HIVST. However, Stalter et al. noted that individuals who reported high-risk behaviors were more likely to repeat HIV tests (34). Manu et al. reported no significant association between risky sexual behaviors and HIV testing (35).

## 4.4 Public health implications

Studies have reported low rates of HIV testing among FSWs (36-38). Our previous study showed that only half (57.8%) of FSWs reported having been tested for HIV in the last 12 months suggesting an important gap in HIV testing in this population (8). There are many barriers to the use of standard HIV testing services. Among these barriers are stigma and discrimination regarding HIV-positive individuals and sex work (38). Many studies have highlighted that HIVST may address this issue, as it is safe, confidential, and increases the acceptability and frequency of HIV testing (20). Indeed, HIVST increased testing uptake by 36% compared with standard HIV testing among FSWs (20). A modeling study from the ATLAS project revealed that HIVST might increase the HIV diagnosis rate by 1.3% in Côte d'Ivoire, 10.6% in Senegal, and 3.6% in Mali by the end of 2028 (39). HIVST is projected to reduce disparities in access to HIV testing among key populations and their partners in Western Africa (39). Regarding the benefit of HIVST in HIV prevention, we recommended scaling up this intervention in Burkina Faso. This might help fill the gap in HIV testing among FSWs in the country. However, the linkage to HIV care seems to be low compared with that to standard care (20). Indeed, the systematic review revealed a lower linkage to HIV care via HIVST than to standard HIV care (20). Linkage to care seems to be the key aspect of HIVST, which needs to be addressed to improve the benefit of HIVST. Indeed, Kouassi et al. noted that only 56% of participants with a positive HIVST result followed up with confirmatory HIV tests. While compared to standard HIV testing, HIVST seems to better screen HIV-positive FSWs (33). This approach might help reach FSWs who are not able to use facility-based HIV testing services. The ATLAS HIVST strategy revealed that HIVST increased HIV testing coverage by reaching the underserved population across West Africa (40). Since studies such as that of Mavhu et al. (45) noted that HIVST is preferred over health provider-based HIV testing, public health policymakers in Burkina Faso must promote HIVST among FSWs to increase the HIV testing rate and reach the WHO recommendation regarding HIV testing among FSWs (7). The program level cost of HIVST strategies seems to be higher than HIV standard service (41); however, a part of the cost may be supported by the population since they are willing to pay for HIVST kit (26). Promoting HIVST needs to be combined with risk behavior control intervention among FSWs since evidence among MSM shows that risk behavior seems to increase after HIVST introduction (42). It is also necessary to take into account strategies that might support FSWs who tested HIV positive to disclose their status to their partners and invite them for testing (43).

# 4.5 Strengths and limitations

Face-to-face interviews in the context of sex work stigma might cause social desirability. There is recall bias regarding some characteristics reported as independent variables in this study. The study was conducted in five cities of the country, and the results might not be generalizable to all FSWs in the country. Some factors, such as self-perceived risk known to be associated with HIV testing, were not collected. However, this is the first quantitative assessment of HIVST acceptability among FSWs in Burkina Faso. We also used the RDS approach, which is among the best approaches for studying hidden populations (11, 44).

# **5** Conclusion

This study revealed a high HIVST willingness rate among FSWs in Burkina Faso. This rate seems to be higher among FSWs who were members of peer group associations suggesting that peer approach might be used to improve HIV testing. In the context of the ongoing implementation of self-testing as a new approach to increased HIV testing coverage, particularly among FSWs, our findings suggest that this strategy is favorable. As studies have shown, WHO recommendations regarding HIV testing frequency among FSW intensive efforts are still needed to improve the HIV testing rate.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by Comité d'Ethique pour la Recherche en Santé (CERS) (Deliberation Number 2020-02-029 of February 5, 2020). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The ethics committee/institutional review board waived the requirement of written informed consent for participation from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin because, as sex work is stigmatized in Burkina Faso, most parents or legal guardians are not aware that their girls are engaged in female sex work. To guarantee the confidentiality and protect the private life of participants, it was difficult to obtain the consent of parents or legal guardians.

## Author contributions

KC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing original draft, Writing - review & editing. HO: Conceptualization, acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project Funding administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing - review & editing. OK-Z: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing - review & editing. DK: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. DD: Formal analysis, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. TD: Formal analysis, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. SZ: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing - review & editing. CK-T: Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing review & editing. SD: Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing review & editing. FS: Data curation, Methodology, Software, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. AY: Data curation, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. AO: Data curation, Formal analysis, Software, Writing - review & editing. SO: Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. SK: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation,

# References

1. van Schalkwyk C, Mahy M, Johnson LF, Imai-Eaton JW. Updated data and methods for the 2023 UNAIDS HIV estimates. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. (1999) 95:e1–4. doi: 10.1097/QAI.00000000003344

2. Korenromp EL, Sabin K, Stover J, Brown T, Johnson LF, Martin-Hughes R, et al. New HIV infections among key populations and their partners in 2010 and 2022, by world region: a multisources estimation. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. (1999) 95:e34–45. doi: 10.1097/QAI.00000000003340

3. Traore IT, Hema NM, Sanon A, Some F, Ouedraogo D, Some R, et al. HIV risk and behaviour among part-time versus professional FSW: baseline report of an interventional cohort in Burkina Faso. *Sex Transm Infect.* (2016) 92:550–3. doi: 10.1136/sextrans-2015-052038

4. Ouedraogo HG, Ky-Zerbo O, Baguiya A, Grosso A, Goodman S, Samadoulougou BC, et al. HIV among female sex Workers in Five Cities in Burkina Faso: a crosssectional baseline survey to inform HIV/AIDS programs. *AIDS Res Treat.* (2017) 2017:9580548. doi: 10.1155/2017/9580548

5. Frescura L, Godfrey-Faussett P, AF A, El-Sadr W, Syarif O, Ghys PD, et al. Achieving the 95 95 95 targets for all: a pathway to ending AIDS. *PLoS One.* (2022) 17:e0272405. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0272405

Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

# Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the Secretariat permanent du Conseil national de lute contre le SIDA et les Infections sexuellement transmissibles (SP/CNLS-IST) of Burkina Faso; the Global Fund for Malaria, tuberculosis, and HIV; the key populations' leaders and their organization (Yerelon + à Ouagadougou; Yerelon à Bobo-Dioulasso; ACTI-PLUS à Koudougou; AMMIE à Ouahigouya et AJVLS à Tenkodogo); and the study participants.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## **Generative Al statement**

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

6. UNAIDS. (2023). HIV and AIDS estimates: Country factsheets Burkina Faso 2023 [internet]. Available online at: https://www.unaids.org/en/regionscountries/countries/ burkinafaso (Accessed October 30, 2024).

7. World Health Organization. Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection: Recommendations for a public health approach [internet]. *2nd* ed. Geneva: World Health Organization (2016).

 Cisse K, Ouedraogo HG, Ky-zerbo O, Kambire D, Zida S, Ki-toe C, et al. HIV testing among female sex workers and associated factors in Burkina Faso: findings from a respondent-driven sampling survey. *BMC Public Health*. (2024) 24:2512. doi: 10.1186/s12889-024-19977-7

9. CNLS-IST. Cadre stratégique national de lutte contre le VIH, le SIDA et les infections sexuellement transmissibles (CSN-SIDA) 2021–2025. Ouagadougou: Juillet (2020).

10. Heckathorn DD. Respondent-driven sampling: a new approach to the study of hidden populations\*. *Soc Probl.* (1997) 44:174–99.

11. Handcock MS, Gile KJ, Mar CM. Estimating hidden population size using respondent-driven sampling data. *Electron J Stat.* (2014) 8:1491–521. doi: 10.1214/14-EJS923

12. Grosso AL, Ketende S, Dam K, Papworth E, Ouedraogo HG, Ky-Zerbo O, et al. Structural determinants of health among women who started selling sex as minors in Burkina Faso. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. (2015) 68:S162–70. doi: 10.1097/QAI.00000000000447

13. Salganik MJ. Variance estimation, design effects, and sample size calculations for respondent-driven sampling. *J Urban Health*. (2006) 83:98. doi: 10.1007/s11524-006-9106-x

14. McGowan M, Roche SD, Nakitende A, Wachinger J, Nanyiri E, Amongin J, et al. Understanding how social support influences peer-delivered HIV prevention interventions among Ugandan female sex workers: a case study from HIV self-testing. *BMC Public Health.* (2022) 22:427. doi: 10.1186/s12889-022-12836-3

15. Bhattacharjee P, Prakash R, Pillai P, Isac S, Haranahalli M, Blanchard A, et al. Understanding the role of peer group membership in reducing HIV-related risk and vulnerability among female sex workers in Karnataka, India. *AIDS Care.* (2013) 25:546–54. doi: 10.1080/09540121.2012.736607

16. Selvaraj V, Boopathi K, Paranjape R, Mehendale S. A single weighting approach to analyze respondent-driven sampling data. *Indian J Med Res.* (2016) 144:447–59. doi: 10.4103/0971-5916.198665

17. Kim RS, Wickersham JA, Maviglia F, Galka JM, Azwa I, Gautam K, et al. Drivers of HIV self-testing among female sex workers: findings from a multi-state study in Malaysia. *Front Med.* (2023) 10:1022746. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2023.1022746

18. Ky-Zerbo O, Desclaux A, Boye S, Vautier A, Rouveau N, Kouadio BA, et al. Willingness to use and distribute HIV self-test kits to clients and partners: a qualitative analysis of female sex workers' collective opinion and attitude in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, and Senegal. *Women Health*. (2022) 18:17455057221092268. doi: 10.1177/17455057221092268

19. Figueroa C, Johnson C, Verster A, Baggaley R. Attitudes and acceptability on HIV self-testing among key populations: a literature review. *AIDS Behav.* (2015) 19:1949–65. doi: 10.1007/s10461-015-1097-8

20. Witzel TC, Eshun-Wilson I, Jamil MS, Tilouche N, Figueroa C, Johnson CC, et al. Comparing the effects of HIV self-testing to standard HIV testing for key populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Med.* (2020) 18:381. doi: 10.1186/s12916-020-01835-z

21. Nibret Eskezia B, Tafere Y, Aschale A, Abebe MN. Uptake of HIV self-testing and associated factors among female sex workers at non-Governmental HIV testing facilities in Debre Markos and Bahir Dar towns, Northwest Ethiopia, 2022. *HIVAIDS Auckl NZ*. (2023) 15:279–91. doi: 10.2147/HIV.S385526

22. Ben Moussa A, Belhiba O, Hajouji FZ, El Kettani A, Youbi M, Alami K, et al. Acceptability and usability of oral fluid-based HIV self-testing among female sex workers and men who have sex with men in Morocco. *BMC Public Health.* (2022) 22:1–9. doi: 10.1186/s12889-022-14632-5

23. Shava E, Bogart LM, Manyake K, Mdluli C, Maribe K, Monnapula N, et al. Feasibility of oral HIV self-testing in female sex workers in Gaborone, Botswana. *PLoS One*. (2021) 16:e0259508. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0259508

24. Iliyasu Z, Kassim RB, Iliyasu BZ, Amole TG, Nass NS, Marryshow SE, et al. Acceptability and correlates of HIV self-testing among university students in northern Nigeria. *Int J STD AIDS*. (2020) 31:820–31. doi: 10.1177/0956462420920136

25. Lyons CE, Coly K, Bowring AL, Liestman B, Diouf D, Wong VJ, et al. Use and acceptability of HIV self-testing among first-time testers at risk for HIV in Senegal. *AIDS Behav.* (2019) 23:130–41. doi: 10.1007/s10461-019-02552-2

26. Laxmeshwar C, Hegde A, Dange A, Mariyappan K, Soosai M, Mane S, et al. Acceptability, usability, and willingness to pay for HIV self-test kits distributed through community-based, PLHIV network-led and private practitioners models in India: results from the STAR III initiative. *J Int AIDS Soc.* (2024) 27:e26348. doi: 10.1002/jia2.26348

27. Kouassi AK, Simo Fotso A, Rouveau N, Maheu-Giroux M, Boily M-C, Silhol R, et al. (2023). Estimating HIV self-testing positivity rate and linkage to confirmatory testing and care: a telephone survey in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali and Senegal. AIDS impact Conf [internet]. Stockhlom, Sweden. Available online at: https://hal.science/hal-04120705 (Accessed November 11, 2024).

28. Kra AK, Fotso AS, Rouveau N, Maheu-Giroux M, Boily M-C, Silhol R, et al. HIV selftesting positivity rate and linkage to confirmatory testing and care: a telephone survey in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, and Senegal. *Peer Commun J.* (2024) 4:428. doi: 10.24072/pcjournal.428 29. Neuman M, Fielding KL, Ayles H, Cowan FM, Hensen B, Indravudh PP, et al. ART initiations following community-based distribution of HIV self-tests: meta-analysis and meta-regression of STAR initiative data. *BMJ Glob Health.* (2021) 6:e004986. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2021-004986

30. Ministère de la santé. (2022). Strategie Nationale Des Approches Differenciees Des Services Vih.

31. Macdonald V, Verster A, Baggaley R. A call for differentiated approaches to delivering HIV services to key populations. *J Int AIDS Soc.* (2017) 20:21658. doi: 10.7448/IAS.20.5.21658

32. Roy M, Bolton Moore C, Sikazwe I, Holmes CB. A review of differentiated service delivery for HIV treatment: effectiveness, mechanisms, targeting, and scale. *Curr HIV/ AIDS Rep.* (2019) 16:324–34. doi: 10.1007/s11904-019-00454-5

33. Lillie T, Boyee D, Kamariza G, Nkunzimana A, Gashobotse D, Persaud N. Increasing testing options for key populations in Burundi through peer-assisted HIV self-testing: descriptive analysis of routine programmatic data. *JMIR Public Health Surveill.* (2021) 7:e24272. doi: 10.2196/24272

34. Stalter R, Chen M, Uwizeye G, Mutunge E, Ahayo A, Mugwaneza P, et al. Association of sexual risk behaviour with previous HIV testing among voluntary HIV counselling and testing clients in Kigali, Rwanda. *Int J STD AIDS*. (2016) 27:1317–25. doi: 10.1177/0956462415617590

35. Manu A, Ogum-Alangea D, Azilaku JC, Anaba EA, Torpey K. Risky sexual behaviours and HIV testing among young people in Ghana: evidence from the 2017/2018 multiple Indicator cluster survey. *Reprod Health.* (2022) 19:125. doi: 10.1186/s12978-022-01439-1

36. Liang B, Huang Q, Ou Y, Zhang F, Zhang P, Nong A, et al. Trends and associated factors in the uptake of HIV testing among female sex workers in Sino-Vietnam border areas in Guangxi, China: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Infect Dis.* (2022) 22:479. doi: 10.1186/s12879-022-07459-3

37. Nnko S, Kuringe E, Nyato D, Drake M, Casalini C, Shao A, et al. Determinants of access to HIV testing and counselling services among female sex workers in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health.* (2019) 19:15. doi: 10.1186/s12889-018-6362-0

38. Tokar A, Broerse JEW, Blanchard J, Roura M. HIV testing and counseling among female sex workers: a systematic literature review. *AIDS Behav*. (2018) 22:2435–57. doi: 10.1007/s10461-018-2043-3

39. Silhol R, Maheu-Giroux M, Soni N, Fotso AS, Rouveau N, Vautier A, et al. Potential population-level effects of HIV self-test distribution among key populations in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, and Senegal: a mathematical modelling analysis. *Lancet HIV*. (2024) 11:e531–41. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3018(24)00126-7

40. Kra AK, Fotso AS, N'guessan KN, Geoffroy O, Younoussa S, Kabemba OK, et al. Can HIV self-testing reach first-time testers? A telephone survey among self-test end users in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, and Senegal. *BMC Infect Dis.* (2023) 22:972. doi: 10.1186/s12879-023-08626-w

41. Traore MM, Badiane K, Vautier A, Simo Fotso A, Kanku Kabemba O, Rouveau N, et al. Economic analysis of low volume interventions using real-world data: costs of HIV self-testing distribution and HIV testing Services in West Africa from the ATLAS project. *Front Health Serv.* (2022) 2:886513. doi: 10.3389/frhs.2022.886513

42. Su R, Liu Y, Li P, Ge L, Liao M, Fu Y, et al. The impact of HIV self-testing on risk behaviors among men who have sex with men: a mixed-methods study. *Front Public Health*. (2024) 12:12. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1369931

43. Boye S, Bouaré S, Ky-Zerbo O, Rouveau N, Simo Fotso A, d'Elbée M, et al. Challenges of HIV self-test distribution for index testing when HIV status disclosure is low: preliminary results of a qualitative study in Bamako (Mali) as part of the ATLAS project. *Front Public Health*. (2021) 9:653543. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.653543

44. Wejnert C, Pham H, Krishna N, Le B, DiNenno E. Estimating design effect and calculating sample size for respondent-driven sampling studies of injection drug users in the United States. *AIDS Behav.* (2012) 16:797–806. doi: 10.1007/s10461-012-0147-8

45. Mavhu W, Makamba M, Hatzold K, Maringwa G, Takaruza A, Mutseta M W, Makamba M, Hatzold K, Maringwa G, Takaruza A, Mutseta M. Preferences for oral-fluid-based or blood-based HIV self-testing and provider-delivered testing: an observational study among different populations in Zimbabwe. (2023) 973. doi: 10.1186/s12879-023-08624-y