Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Raúl Sampieri-Cabrera, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico

REVIEWED BY Gabriela Navarro Contreras, University of Guanajuato, Mexico Mario Cruz, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico

*CORRESPONDENCE Hans Oh ⊠ hansoh@usc.edu

RECEIVED 17 November 2024 ACCEPTED 07 January 2025 PUBLISHED 07 February 2025

CITATION

Oh H, Karcher NR, Besecker M, DeVylder JE and Lincoln KD (2025) Perceptions of anti-racism efforts and mental health among students in higher education in the United States. *Front. Public Health* 13:1529835. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1529835

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Oh, Karcher, Besecker, DeVylder and Lincoln. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Perceptions of anti-racism efforts and mental health among students in higher education in the United States

Hans Oh¹*, Nicole R. Karcher², Megan Besecker³, Jordan E. DeVylder⁴ and Karen D. Lincoln⁵

¹Suzanne Dworak Peck School of Social Work, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, United States, ²Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, United States, ³Price School of Public Policy, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, United States, ⁴Silver School of Social Work, New York University, New York, NY, United States, ⁵Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Joe C. Wen School of Population and Public Health, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, United States

Background: Anti-racism efforts are imperative for campus communities, yet little is known about whether perceiving their presence on campuses relates to a range of mental health outcomes among students.

Methods: We analyzed data from the Healthy Minds Study 2020-2021 (N = 110,203). Using multivariable logistic regression, we examined the associations between perceptions of anti-racism efforts and several mental health outcomes.

Results: Individuals who disagreed that their schools combatted racism in their campus communities had significantly greater odds of mental health problems (depression, anxiety, psychotic experiences, suicidal ideation, suicide plan, suicide attempt, perceived need for help, and loneliness), and lower odds of flourishing when compared with those who strongly agreed with the statement. For most outcomes, we observed an apparent dose–response association.

Conclusion: Perceiving the presence of anti-racism efforts on campuses was inversely associated with mental health problems, calling for more research to test the effects of anti-racism efforts on mental health.

KEYWORDS

racism, anti-racism, depression, anxiety, psychosis, flourishing, college, suicide

Introduction

Racism is a fundamental cause of health inequity that adversely impacts the mental health of people of color (1). With this in mind, the field of public health has increasingly been concerned with *anti-racism* (2, 3), which describes efforts to mitigate the effects of racism while working toward its elimination [see the work of (2, 4–7)]. Dei and Calliste define anti-racism as "an action oriented, educational and/or political strategy for systemic and political change that addresses issues of racism and interlocking systems of social oppression" (32, p. 188). Anti-racism efforts have aimed to promote knowledge, capabilities, and attitudes in higher education to enable faculty, administrators, staff, and students to actively dismantle racism in its many forms across campuses (8). Anti-racism represents a departure from multiculturalism and cultural competency that had previously prevailed in higher education discourse. While well-meaning, multiculturalism and cultural competency have often been critiqued for not emphasizing the power dynamics that play out across ethno-racial groups (9). In general, anti-racism efforts are still inchoate across institutions

of higher education. However, some efforts have been coordinated through organizations such as the National Association of Diversity Officers in Higher Education (NADOHE), which aims to promote a broad set of anti-racist organizational strategies in higher education in the United States, including faculty development initiatives (e.g., hiring a more diverse workforce, offering trainings to reduce microaggressions in the workplace) and student development initiatives (e.g., recruiting under-represented students and offering scholarships). Little is known about which anti-racist organizational strategies can improve mental health outcomes in higher education settings among people of color.

Furthermore, the impact of anti-racist policies and interventions on mental health is largely unexamined. A convincing body of evidence shows that racial discrimination is associated with an increased risk for anxiety, depression, suicidal thoughts and behaviors, and overall health (10), and an emerging body of evidence also suggests even vicarious discrimination can have similar effects (11, 12). Subjective measures of the environment have been linked to mental health (13, 14), including perceived racial biases and grouplevel discrimination (believing one's racial group is being discriminated against), which have been associated with greater psychological distress (13). By extension, the perception that one's institutional environment engages in anti-racism may also have an impact on mental health, though this has not been extensively studied.

Perceptions of racism in the environment could be linked to increased mental health problems linked to increased mental health problems linked to increased mental health problems by contributing to a sense of social defeat, whereby social exclusion sensitizes contribute to a sense of social defeat, whereby social exclusion sensitizes the mesolimbic dopamine system to produce mental health problems such as psychotic experiences (15). In addition to a sense of social defeat, perceptions of racism may impart a sense of 'thwarted belongingness' where one does not feel connected to others, contributing to suicidal thoughts and behaviors (16). An emerging literature on vicarious racism illustrates the ways in which people do not need to directly experience racial discrimination to be impacted by it (12, 17). Witnessing racial discrimination happening to other people can produce vigilance and anticipatory stress (18).

It stands to reason that anti-racism may therefore be associated with reduced risk for mental health problems and that perceiving the presence of anti-racism programs alone can be beneficial. In this study, we explored the association between perceptions of anti-racism on campuses and a range of mental health outcomes among students in higher education. We hypothesized that perceiving the presence of anti-racism efforts would be negatively associated with the odds of reporting mental health outcomes.

Methods

Sample

The Healthy Minds Study is a non-probability online survey administered to students in higher education in the United States (19). The survey was administered at 140 institutions between September 2020 and June 2021. We limited the sample to emerging adults (aged 18–29) and used complete-case analysis. The final analytic sample was 110,203. The response rate for the survey was 14%. To account for non-response, we used sample probability weights [based on administrative data at each institution (19)]. HMS data were collected with the approval of Advarra and all participating colleges/universities (IRB number: Pro00028565). The secondary analysis presented in this study was deemed exempt under the approval of USC (UP-22-00068).

Measures

Perceptions of anti-racism (predictor)

Perceptions of anti-racism (predictor) were measured using the single item: How much do you agree with the following statement? "I believe my school actively works towards combating racism within the campus community." Response options included: *strongly agree, agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, disagree, and strongly disagree.*

Mental health (outcomes)

We examined several mental health outcomes. Depression was measured using the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 [PHQ-9; (20)], which captures the frequency of depression symptoms over the past 2 weeks. The items were summed into a scale (range: 0-27), which was dichotomized (cutoff: 10) to reflect moderately severe or severe depression (21). Anxiety was measured using the Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 [GAD-7; (22)], which captures the frequency of anxiety symptoms over the past 2 weeks. The items were summed into a scale (range: 0-21), which we then dichotomized (cutoff: 10) to reflect moderately severe or severe anxiety in accordance with prior studies (23). Psychotic experiences were measured using an abbreviated version of the World Health Organization Composite International Diagnostic Interview Psychosis Screen, assessing the lifetime prevalence of subthreshold hallucinations and delusions (strange feeling, persecutory delusion, and thought control) (24). Suicidal thoughts and behaviors were measured by the dichotomous items (yes/no) eliciting past year suicidal ideation, suicide plan, and suicide attempt. Perceived need for help was measured using a single ordinal item about needing help for emotional/mental health problems (feeling sad, blue, anxious, or nervous), which was dichotomized to reflect general agreement or disagreement. Loneliness was measured using the 3-item UCLA loneliness scale (range: 3-9) (25). The scale was then dichotomized such that those who had scores of 6 or higher were deemed significantly lonely. Flourishing/Languishing was assessed using a scale (range: 8-56) that elicits the respondent's level of agreement with eight statements about flourishing (26, 27). The flourishing scale was dichotomized such that a score of 47 or lower was considered languishing (28).

Sociodemographic characteristics (covariates)

Respondents reported gender (man, woman, non-binary/ transgender/self-described), age (continuous), and race/ethnicity (White, Black, Latinx/Hispanic, Asian American/Pacific Islander, Multiracial, and Other).

Analysis

We used multivariable logistic regression models to examine associations between perceptions of anti-racism (categorical) and the mental health outcomes of interest (depression, anxiety, psychotic experiences, suicidal ideation, suicide plan, suicide attempt, perceived need for help, loneliness, and flourishing). We tested for dose–response associations by re-running all models while treating anti-racism as continuous. We also conducted exploratory sensitivity analyses to determine whether race/ethnicity moderated the associations between perceptions of anti-racism and mental health outcomes, using interaction terms. We presented findings as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). We used Stata SE 15 to perform all analyses.

Results

Descriptive statistics are presented in Table 1 and have been presented in other studies (19). In response to the statement, *I believe my school actively works toward combating racism within the campus community*, approximately 15.3% of respondents strongly agreed, 34.2% agreed, 30.9% somewhat agreed, 10.4% somewhat disagreed, 6% disagreed, and 3.2% strongly disagreed.

Table 2 presents multivariable logistic regression models showing associations between perceptions of anti-racism and mental health outcomes. Individuals who reported disagreeing with this statement had significantly greater odds of mental health problems when compared with those who strongly agreed with the statement, adjusting for age, gender, and race/ethnicity. This was generally true for depression, anxiety, psychotic experiences, suicidal ideation, suicide plans, suicide attempts, perceived need for help, and loneliness. Those who strongly disagreed were over two and a half times as likely to report moderately severe to severe depression and anxiety. For flourishing, individuals who disagreed with this statement had significantly lower odds of flourishing (i.e., greater odds of languishing). For most outcomes, we observed an apparent dose– response association (Figure 1). Associations did not vary significantly with and without the inclusion of White students.

Discussion

Main findings

Racism is a pervasive force that adversely impacts the mental health of people of color, making anti-racism efforts imperative. However, anti-racist efforts are often lacking across institutions. We found that among students in higher education, perceiving the absence of anti-racism efforts within the campus community was associated with greater odds of endorsing a wide range of mental health problems in a dose-dependent fashion. The more strongly students disagreed with the statement that their schools actively combatted racism, the more likely they were to endorse mental health problems such as depression, anxiety, psychotic experiences, suicidal thoughts and behaviors, perceived need for help, and loneliness. We found that perceiving the absence of anti-racism programs on campus was associated with lower odds of flourishing. We also found that perceiving the absence of anti-racism efforts was associated with poorer mental health across all racial/ethnic groups, including White students, even though White students are not directly affected by systemic racism.

This association may be a positive externality where the benefits of antiracism 'spill over' to White students. Moreover, existing within more diverse, inclusive, and equitable spaces may be beneficial for mental health regardless of whether one is directly affected by racism.

Explanation of findings

Racism is a multi-faceted construct that impacts mental health through multiple pathways (29, 30). Racism in its many forms is pervasive on campuses. Since racism is deleterious to mental health, it stands to reason that actively combatting racism would result in the improvement of mental health. We cautiously interpret findings given the cross-sectional nature of the data and the absence of experimental conditions. There is some question as to whether perceptions of anti-racism are adequate proxies for the actual presence of anti-racism programs (or at least the visibility of antiracism programs) on campuses. We acknowledge that perceptions do not always accurately reflect reality. Still, it is possible that colleges and universities that actively combat racism on campus are more diverse, equitable, and inclusive, such that students experience less discrimination, oppression, and exclusion, which are strongly linked to mental health.

Limitations

To our knowledge, this study is among the first to examine perceptions of anti-racism efforts on campuses and their associations with mental health among emerging adults in higher education. However, there were several limitations of this study. First, in terms of measurement, we relied on a single self-report item to measure perceptions of anti-racism efforts on campus. It is not clear how students interpreted 'anti-racism', as it refers to a range of actions, including measures to change individual attitudes and behaviors, but also policies to improve racial equity. The single item did not allow students to specify what aspects of anti-racism they were able to observe. Future studies can use more extensive subjective and objective measures of anti-racism efforts (e.g., counting the number of anti-racist associations, anti-racist policies, and anti-racist trainings). The combination of these measures would be important in reducing bias. Second, in terms of sampling, the data were collected using a non-probability sampling strategy that yielded a relatively low response rate (14%). We used survey weights to attempt to account for non-response; however, future studies should use probability sampling strategies with incentives to increase participation and reduce sampling bias. Third, in terms of design, the cross-sectional nature of the study did not allow us to make any causal claims. While perceiving the absence of anti-racism efforts may reflect hostile and invalidating socioenvironmental conditions that lead to mental health problems, it is also possible that people with mental health problems are either more likely to perceive their environments negatively or less likely to be able to detect anti-racism on their campuses due to their symptoms (e.g., social isolation). More experimental designs are needed to test the effects of various anti-racism efforts on mental health across multiple settings. Finally, the data were collected in 2020 during the COVID-19 pandemic and

TABLE 1 Descriptive statistics.

	Perceptions of Anti-racism								
	Strongly agree	Agree	Somewhat agree	Somewhat disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Total	value	
	(n = 14,234)	(n = 36,177)	(n = 35,931)	(n = 12,655)	(<i>n</i> = 7,560)	(n = 3,646)	(<i>n</i> = 110,203)		
Mental health outcomes									
Major Depression								< 0.001	
No	8,711 (64.3%)	21,502 (62.2%)	19,177 (55.9%)	5,840 (48.4%)	3,149 (43.8%)	1,286 (37.1%)	59,665 (56.7%)		
Yes	4,837 (35.7%)	13,082 (37.8%)	15,138 (44.1%)	6,219 (51.6%)	4,047 (56.2%)	2,179 (62.9%)	45,502 (43.3%)		
Generalized Anxiety								< 0.001	
No	9,190 (68.1%)	23,108 (67.2%)	21,297 (62.4%)	6,703 (55.9%)	3,651 (51.0%)	1,485 (43.6%)	65,434 (62.6%)		
Yes	4,301 (31.9%)	11,273 (32.8%)	12,815 (37.6%)	5,298 (44.1%)	3,507 (49.0%)	1,922 (56.4%)	39,116 (37.4%)		
Lifetime Psychotic Experiences								< 0.00	
No	9,516 (70.8%)	24,631 (71.7%)	23,672 (69.6%)	8,001 (66.9%)	4,615 (64.7%)	1,969 (57.8%)	72,404 (69.4%)		
Yes	3,930 (29.2%)	9,703 (28.3%)	10,347 (30.4%)	3,960 (33.1%)	2,514 (35.3%)	1,436 (42.2%)	31,890 (30.6%)		
Suicidal Ideation								< 0.001	
No	12,104 (89.2%)	30,630 (88.7%)	29,570 (86.4%)	10,064 (83.7%)	5,863 (81.8%)	2,654 (77.4%)	90,885 (86.6%)		
Yes	1,462 (10.8%)	3,916 (11.3%)	4,659 (13.6%)	1,960 (16.3%)	1,301 (18.2%)	774 (22.6%)	14,072 (13.4%)		
Suicide Plan								< 0.00	
No	12,956 (95.6%)	32,949 (95.5%)	32,386 (94.7%)	11,215 (93.4%)	6,625 (92.6%)	3,126 (91.4%)	99,257 (94.7%)		
Yes	597 (4.4%)	1,562 (4.5%)	1,809 (5.3%)	795 (6.6%)	528 (7.4%)	295 (8.6%)	5,586 (5.3%)		
Suicide Attempt		,						< 0.00	
No	13,407 (99.0%)	34,119 (98.9%)	33,768 (98.8%)	11,834 (98.6%)	7,046 (98.5%)	3,350 (98.0%)	103,524 (98.8%)		
Yes	138 (1.0%)	375 (1.1%)	423 (1.2%)	174 (1.4%)	107 (1.5%)	69 (2.0%)	1,286 (1.2%)		
Perceived Need for Help	155 (11070)	575 (1170)	125 (11270)	1,1(111/0)	107 (11570)	0, (2.0,0)	1,200 (1.270)	<0.00	
No	5,579 (42.5%)	12,920 (38.3%)	10,377 (31.1%)	2,812 (23.9%)	1,549 (22.1%)	739 (22.3%)	33,976 (33.2%)		
Yes	7,556 (57.5%)	20,800 (61.7%)	23,025 (68.9%)	8,976 (76.1%)	5,464 (77.9%)	2,578 (77.7%)	68,399 (66.8%)		
Flourishing/Languishing	7,550 (57.576)	20,800 (01.7 %)	25,025 (08.970)	8,970 (70.170)	5,404 (77.970)	2,578 (77.776)	00,339 (00.870)	< 0.00	
	6,570 (47.5%)	21,402 (60.8%)	24,565 (70.2%)	0.202 (75.90/)	5,630 (76.8%)	2,593 (73.6%)	70,062 (65.4%)	<0.00	
No (Languishing) Yes (Flourishing)	7,256 (52.5%)	13,796 (39.2%)	10,421 (29.8%)	9,302 (75.8%) 2,965 (24.2%)	1,704 (23.2%)	931 (26.4%)	37,073 (34.6%)		
Loneliness	7,230 (32.370)	13,790 (39.270)	10,421 (29.8%)	2,903 (24.270)	1,704 (23.270)	951 (20.470)	57,075 (34.0%)	< 0.00	
	(005 (51 00())	16000 (4600)	12 526 (20 000)	4.000 (2.4.00/)	2 221 (22 (0))	1.050 (21.40)	(2.004 (12.10())	<0.00	
No	6,835 (51.0%)	16,032 (46.8%)	13,536 (39.8%)	4,090 (34.2%)	2,321 (32.6%)	1,070 (31.4%)	43,884 (42.1%)		
Yes	6,574 (49.0%)	18,251 (53.2%)	20,452 (60.2%)	7,864 (65.8%)	4,805 (67.4%)	2,335 (68.6%)	60,281 (57.9%)		
Sociodemographic charac									
Age	21.22	21.22	21.29	21.28	21.37	21.52	21.23		
Race/ethnicity								< 0.00	
White	8,897 (62.5%)	23,444 (64.8%)	21,693 (60.4%)	7,111 (56.2%)	4,019 (53.2%)	1,653 (45.3%)	66,817 (60.6%)		
Asian	1,611 (11.3%)	4,192 (11.6%)	4,470 (12.4%)	1,633 (12.9%)	963 (12.7%)	385 (10.6%)	13,254 (12.0%)		
Black	1,050 (7.4%)	2,335 (6.5%)	3,156 (8.8%)	1,400 (11.1%)	1,016 (13.4%)	740 (20.3%)	9,697 (8.8%)		
Hispanic	1,081 (7.6%)	2,410 (6.7%)	2,401 (6.7%)	857 (6.8%)	518 (6.9%)	271 (7.4%)	7,538 (6.8%)		
Two or More	1,193 (8.4%)	3,094 (8.6%)	3,455 (9.6%)	1,386 (11.0%)	845 (11.2%)	460 (12.6%)	10,433 (9.5%)		
Middle Eastern/Arab	257 (1.8%)	472 (1.3%)	484 (1.3%)	173 (1.4%)	140 (1.9%)	76 (2.1%)	1,602 (1.5%)		
Pacific Islander	29 (0.2%)	43 (0.1%)	47 (0.1%)	11 (0.1%)	10 (0.1%)	4 (0.1%)	144 (0.1%)		
American Indian/Alaskan Native	33 (0.2%)	68 (0.2%)	75 (0.2%)	27 (0.2%)	10 (0.1%)	11 (0.3%)	224 (0.2%)		
Missing/unknown	83 (0.6%)	119 (0.3%)	150 (0.4%)	57 (0.5%)	39 (0.5%)	46 (1.3%)	494 (0.4%)		
Gender Identity								<0.00	
Man	5,126 (36.0%)	10,984 (30.4%)	9,094 (25.3%)	2,640 (20.9%)	1,614 (21.3%)	951 (26.1%)	30,409 (27.6%)		
Woman	8,890 (62.5%)	24,440 (67.6%)	25,480 (70.9%)	9,349 (73.9%)	5,393 (71.3%)	2,365 (64.9%)	75,917 (68.9%)		
Non-binary	201 (1.4%)	720 (2.0%)	1,316 (3.7%)	643 (5.1%)	528 (7.0%)	310 (8.5%)	3,718 (3.4%)		
Missing/unknown	17 (0.1%)	33 (0.1%)	41 (0.1%)	23 (0.2%)	25 (0.3%)	20 (0.5%)	159 (0.1%)		

 $p\mbox{-}values$ by \mbox{Chi}^2 test for binary/categorical variables.

		All races/ethnicities					White excluded					
Outcome	Response	Odds ratio	<i>p-</i> Value	LCI	UCI	Significant	Odds ratio	<i>p-</i> Value	LCI	UCI	Significant	
	Agree	1.08	0.021	1.01	1.16	Yes	1.14	0.022	1.02	1.27	Yes	
	Somewhat agree	1.37	0.000	1.28	1.46	Yes	1.34	0.000	1.22	1.47	Yes	
	Somewhat disagree	1.76	0.000	1.61	1.93	Yes	1.68	0.000	1.48	1.90	Yes	
	Disagree	2.05	0.000	1.85	2.26	Yes	1.86	0.000	1.61	2.16	Yes	
Major Depression	Strongly Disagree	2.59	0.000	2.24	3.00	Yes	2.54	0.000	2.04	3.16	Yes	
	Agree	1.01	0.774	0.95	1.07	No	1.14	0.022	1.02	1.27	Yes	
	Somewhat agree	1.26	0.000	1.18	1.35	Yes	1.34	0.000	1.22	1.47	Yes	
	Somewhat disagree	1.58	0.000	1.46	1.71	Yes	1.68	0.000	1.48	1.90	Yes	
Generalized	Disagree	1.92	0.000	1.73	2.13	Yes	1.86	0.000	1.61	2.16	Yes	
Anxiety	Strongly Disagree	2.57	0.000	2.24	2.94	Yes	2.54	0.000	2.04	3.16	Yes	
	Agree	1.11	0.092	0.98	1.24	No	1.14	0.204	0.93	1.39	No	
	Somewhat agree	1.37	0.000	1.22	1.54	Yes	1.26	0.006	1.07	1.49	Yes	
	Somewhat disagree	1.52	0.000	1.33	1.75	Yes	1.54	0.000	1.25	1.89	Yes	
	Disagree	1.79	0.000	1.54	2.07	Yes	1.56	0.000	1.26	1.92	Yes	
Suicidal Ideation	Strongly Disagree	2.06	0.000	1.73	2.45	Yes	1.89	0.000	1.46	2.45	Yes	
	Agree	1.03	0.728	0.88	1.21	No	1.15	0.272	0.89	1.48	No	
	Somewhat agree	1.20	0.014	1.04	1.39	Yes	1.20	0.090	0.97	1.48	No	
	Somewhat disagree	1.39	0.000	1.17	1.65	Yes	1.50	0.002	1.16	1.94	Yes	
	Disagree	1.60	0.000	1.31	1.95	Yes	1.37	0.013	1.07	1.76	Yes	
Suicide Plan	Strongly Disagree	1.63	0.000	1.32	2.03	Yes	1.55	0.011	1.11	2.17	Yes	
	Agree	1.15	0.391	0.84	1.58	No	1.34	0.266	0.80	2.24	No	
	Somewhat agree	1.23	0.153	0.93	1.64	No	1.09	0.689	0.72	1.63	No	
	Somewhat disagree	1.25	0.180	0.90	1.75	No	1.20	0.440	0.75	1.93	No	
	Disagree	1.34	0.116	0.93	1.93	No	1.36	0.156	0.89	2.08	No	
Suicide Attempt	Strongly Disagree	1.48	0.093	0.94	2.35	No	1.57	0.147	0.85	2.90	No	
Flourishing	Agree	0.59	0.000	0.56	0.63	Yes	0.57	0.000	0.51	0.64	Yes	
	Somewhat agree	0.40	0.000	0.38	0.43	Yes	0.41	0.000	0.36	0.46	Yes	
	Somewhat disagree	0.32	0.000	0.29	0.35	Yes	0.35	0.000	0.30	0.40	Yes	
	Disagree	0.32	0.000	0.29	0.36	Yes	0.37	0.000	0.31	0.43	Yes	
	Strongly Disagree	0.40	0.000	0.34	0.46	Yes	0.42	0.000	0.34	0.52	Yes	
Loneliness	Agree	1.15	0.000	1.08	1.23	Yes	1.19	0.006	1.05	1.34	Yes	
	Somewhat agree	1.52	0.000	1.43	1.62	Yes	1.48	0.000	1.34	1.63	Yes	
	Somewhat disagree	1.93	0.000	1.76	2.11	Yes	1.90	0.000	1.64	2.21	Yes	
	Disagree	1.95	0.000	1.79	2.13	Yes	1.65	0.000	1.40	1.93	Yes	
	Strongly Disagree	2.10	0.000	1.81	2.43	Yes	1.99	0.000	1.63	2.44	Yes	
Perceived Need for Help	Agree	1.13	0.001	1.05	1.21	Yes	1.28	0.001	1.12	1.47	Yes	
	Somewhat agree	1.50	0.000	1.40	1.61	Yes	1.53	0.000	1.36	1.73	Yes	
	Somewhat disagree	2.04	0.000	1.82	2.27	Yes	2.02	0.000	1.68	2.44	Yes	
	Disagree	2.31	0.000	2.07	2.59	Yes	2.22	0.000	1.88	2.63	Yes	
	Strongly Disagree	2.10	0.000	1.78	2.47	Yes	2.05	0.000	1.63	2.57	Yes	

TABLE 2 Multivariable logistic regression models showing associations between perceptions of anti-racism and mental health outcomes.

(Continued)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

			All ra	S	White excluded						
Outcome	Response	Odds ratio	<i>p-</i> Value	LCI	UCI	Significant	Odds ratio	<i>p-</i> Value	LCI	UCI	Significant
Psychotic Experience (Lifetime)	Agree	1.04	0.307	0.97	1.11	No	1.08	0.117	0.98	1.20	No
	Somewhat agree	1.11	0.014	1.02	1.20	Yes	1.04	0.527	0.92	1.17	No
	Somewhat disagree	1.25	0.000	1.14	1.37	Yes	1.35	0.000	1.17	1.56	Yes
	Disagree	1.36	0.000	1.21	1.54	Yes	1.29	0.007	1.08	1.56	Yes
	Strongly Disagree	1.72	0.000	1.49	1.98	Yes	1.59	0.000	1.32	1.91	Yes
Psychotic	Agree	1.02	0.753	0.92	1.13	No	1.11	0.264	0.92	1.33	No
Experience	Somewhat agree	1.13	0.018	1.02	1.26	Yes	1.14	0.103	0.97	1.34	No
(12 months)	Somewhat disagree	1.40	0.000	1.24	1.58	Yes	1.58	0.000	1.27	1.95	Yes
	Disagree	1.47	0.000	1.28	1.68	Yes	1.49	0.001	1.17	1.90	Yes
	Strongly Disagree	1.56	0.000	1.33	1.82	Yes	1.47	0.001	1.19	1.82	Yes
Psychotic	Agree	1.02	0.811	0.87	1.19	No	1.13	0.327	0.88	1.46	No
Experiences:	Somewhat agree	0.99	0.859	0.86	1.13	No	1.02	0.867	0.83	1.24	No
Hallucinations	Somewhat disagree	1.17	0.075	0.98	1.38	No	1.24	0.113	0.95	1.61	No
	Disagree	1.16	0.176	0.93	1.45	No	1.23	0.179	0.91	1.66	No
	Strongly Disagree	1.40	0.002	1.13	1.73	Yes	1.40	0.024	1.05	1.89	Yes
Psychotic	Agree	1.03	0.376	0.96	1.11	No	1.04	0.433	0.94	1.16	No
Experiences:	Somewhat agree	1.10	0.023	1.01	1.20	Yes	0.98	0.795	0.86	1.13	No
Strange Feeling	Somewhat disagree	1.26	0.000	1.15	1.38	Yes	1.31	0.000	1.14	1.52	Yes
	Disagree	1.31	0.000	1.16	1.48	Yes	1.19	0.075	0.98	1.44	No
	Strongly Disagree	1.66	0.000	1.43	1.91	Yes	1.51	0.000	1.24	1.82	Yes
Psychotic experiences: Persecutory delusion	Agree	0.87	0.022	0.77	0.98	Yes	0.89	0.206	0.74	1.07	No
	Somewhat agree	0.98	0.764	0.86	1.12	No	0.97	0.774	0.81	1.17	No
	Somewhat disagree	1.18	0.037	1.01	1.37	Yes	1.17	0.185	0.93	1.48	No
	Disagree	1.22	0.037	1.01	1.48	Yes	1.18	0.251	0.89	1.57	No
	Strongly Disagree	1.52	0.000	1.27	1.83	Yes	1.37	0.015	1.06	1.75	Yes
Psychotic experiences: Thought control	Agree	0.86	0.039	0.75	0.99	Yes	0.89	0.348	0.70	1.14	No
	Somewhat agree	0.80	0.003	0.70	0.92	Yes	0.75	0.008	0.60	0.92	Yes
	Somewhat disagree	0.89	0.198	0.75	1.06	No	0.91	0.479	0.70	1.18	No
	Disagree	1.01	0.920	0.83	1.22	No	0.96	0.742	0.73	1.25	No
	Strongly Disagree	1.30	0.038	1.02	1.67	Yes	1.04	0.798	0.79	1.36	No

All models are adjusted for age, gender, and race/ethnicity. Reference = Strongly agree.

during the Black Lives Matter (BLM) movement to protest anti-Black racism. The BLM movement raised awareness of racism and brought anti-racism to the foreground of many campuses. Moreover, hate crimes against Asian Americans surged across the country, impacting mental health on campuses (31). The HMS data collection coincided with these historical events, which may have resulted in a period effect. Future research can examine the moderating effects of the location of the school, the ethno-racial composition of the student body, the size of the student body, and the political orientation of the student. It is possible that what constitutes significant anti-racism programming in one department may seem inadequate in another department. For instance, students in the field of social work may be more attuned to issues of racism and may feel more discontent with the amount of anti-racist programming when compared with students in other professional degree programs.

Implications and conclusion

Perceptions of anti-racism on campuses were inversely associated with mental health problems. It is worth noting that sometimes antiracism efforts can potentially generate 'backlash' that stokes more racism (2). Anti-racist strategies can include programs to empower and educate, but can also include programs to build alliances for advocacy and social justice/activism (4). Future experimental studies should explore the effects of anti-racism on mental health toward reducing



ratio.

mental health and service disparities affecting students of color, and which specific strategies prove to be most effective.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: https://healthymindsnetwork.org/hms/.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Advarra and University of Southern California (IRB number: Pro00028565). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The secondary analysis presented in this study was deemed exempt under the approval of USC (UP-22-00068). The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

HO: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. NK: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. MB: Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. JD: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. KL: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing.

References

1. Phelan JC, Link BG. Is racism a fundamental cause of inequalities in health? *Annu Rev Sociol.* (2015) 41:311–30. doi: 10.1146/annurev-soc-073014-112305

2. Ben J, Kelly D, Paradies Y. Contemporary anti-racism: a review of effective practice In: Routledge international handbook of contemporary racisms. ed. J Solomos Routledge, (2020). 205–15.

3. Hassen N, Lofters A, Michael S, Mall A, Pinto AD, Rackal J. Implementing antiracism interventions in healthcare settings: a scoping review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2021) 18:2993. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18062993

4. Corneau S, Stergiopoulos V. More than being against it: anti-racism and antioppression in mental health services. *Transcult Psychiatry*. (2012) 49:261–82. doi: 10.1177/1363461512441594

5. Ford CL, Airhihenbuwa CO. The public health critical race methodology: praxis for antiracism research. *Soc Sci Med.* (2010) 71:1390–8. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.07.030

6. Garneau AB, Lavoie P, Sit V, Sédillot CL. Core components of an anti-racist approach among health professions educators: an integrative review. *Int J Med Educ.* (2023) 14:131–6. doi: 10.5116/ijme.64e9.b6b4

7. Rakotovao L, Simeoni M, Bennett-AbuAyyash C, Walji T, Abdi S. Addressing antiblack racism within public health in North America: a scoping review. *Int J Equity Health*. (2024) 23:128. doi: 10.1186/s12939-024-02124-4

8. Baffour TD, Mercier MC, Rutledge L, Mowdood A, McFarland M. A scoping review protocol of anti-racism programs and practices in higher education: implications for developing interventions to advance equity. *Int J Educ Res Open.* (2023) 5:100279. doi: 10.1016/j.ijedro.2023.100279

9. Pon G. Cultural competency as new racism: an ontology of forgetting. J Progress Hum Serv. (2009) 20:59–71. doi: 10.1080/10428230902871173

10. Lewis TT, Cogburn CD, Williams DR. Self-reported experiences of discrimination and health: scientific advances, ongoing controversies, and emerging issues. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol.* (2015) 11:407–40. doi: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032814-112728

11. Louie P, Upenieks L. Vicarious discrimination, psychosocial resources, and mental health among black Americans. *Soc Psychol Q.* (2022) 85:187–209. doi: 10.1177/01902725221079279

12. Moody MD, Thomas Tobin CS, Erving CL. Vicarious experiences of major discrimination and psychological distress among black men and women. *Soc Mental Health*. (2022) 12:175–94. doi: 10.1177/21568693221116631

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

13. Wen M, Shi L, Zhang D, Li Y, Chen Z, Chen B, et al. Racial-ethnic disparities in psychological distress during the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States: the role of experienced discrimination and perceived racial bias. *BMC Public Health.* (2023) 23:957. doi: 10.1186/s12889-023-15912-4

14. Zhang L, Zhou S, Kwan M-P. A comparative analysis of the impacts of objective versus subjective neighborhood environment on physical, mental, and social health. *Health Place*. (2019) 59:102170. doi: 10.1016/j.healthplace.2019.102170

15. Selten J-P, Van Der Ven E, Rutten BP, Cantor-Graae E. The social defeat hypothesis of schizophrenia: an update. *Schizophr Bull.* (2013) 39:1180–6. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbt134

16. Chu C, Buchman-Schmitt JM, Stanley IH, Hom MA, Tucker RP, Hagan CR, et al. The interpersonal theory of suicide: a systematic review and meta-analysis of a decade of cross-national research. *Psychol Bull.* (2017) 143:1313–45. doi: 10.1037/bul0000123

17. Heard-Garris NJ, Cale M, Camaj L, Hamati MC, Dominguez TP. Transmitting trauma: a systematic review of vicarious racism and child health. *Soc Sci Med.* (2018) 199:230–40. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.04.018

18. Hamilton-Moseley KR, Phan L, Hacker KJ, Jewett BJ, Ajith AU, Chen-Sankey J, et al. Racial/ethnic disparities in vicarious and direct COVID-19–related discrimination and vigilance. *Am J Prev Med.* (2023) 65:439–47. doi: 10.1016/j. amepre.2023.03.013

19. Lipson SK, Zhou S, Abelson S, Heinze J, Jirsa M, Morigney J, et al. Trends in college student mental health and help-seeking by race/ethnicity: findings from the national healthy minds study, 2013–2021. *J Affect Disord*. (2022) 306:138–47. doi: 10.1016/j. jad.2022.03.038

20. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL. The PHQ-9: a new depression diagnostic and severity measure. *Psychiatr Ann.* (2002) 32:509–15. doi: 10.3928/0048-5713-20020901-06

21. Manea L, Gilbody S, McMillan D. Optimal cut-off score for diagnosing depression with the patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9): a meta-analysis. *CMAJ.* (2012) 184:E191-6. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.110829

22. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. *Arch Intern Med.* (2006) 166:1092–7. doi: 10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092

23. Plummer F, Manea L, Trepel D, McMillan D. Screening for anxiety disorders with the GAD-7 and GAD-2: a systematic review and diagnostic metaanalysis. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. (2016) 39:24–31. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2015.11.005

24. McGrath JJ, Saha S, Al-Hamzawi A, Alonso J, Bromet EJ, Bruffaerts R, et al. Psychotic experiences in the general population: a cross-National Analysis Based on 31 261 respondents from 18 countries. *JAMA Psychiatry*. (2015) 72:697–705. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.0575

25. Hughes ME, Waite LJ, Hawkley LC, Cacioppo JT. A short scale for measuring loneliness in large surveys: results from two population-based studies. *Res Aging*. (2004) 26:655–72. doi: 10.1177/0164027504268574

26. Diener E, Wirtz D, Biswas-Diener R, Tov W, Kim-Prieto C, Choi D, et al. New measures of well-being In: Assessing well-being. ed. ED Diener Springer Dordrecht, (2009) 247–66.

27. Diener E, Wirtz D, Tov W, Kim-Prieto C, Choi D, Oishi S, et al. New well-being measures: short scales to assess flourishing and positive and negative feelings. *Soc Indic Res.* (2010) 97:143–56. doi: 10.1007/s11205-009-9493-y

28. Hone LC, Jarden A, Schofield GM, Duncan S. Measuring flourishing: the impact of operational definitions on the prevalence of high levels of wellbeing. *Int J Wellbeing*. (2014) 4:62–90. doi: 10.5502/ijw.v4i1.4

29. Bailey ZD, Krieger N, Agénor M, Graves J, Linos N, Bassett MT. Structural racism and health inequities in the USA: evidence and interventions. *Lancet.* (2017) 389:1453–63. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30569-X

30. Harrell CJP, Burford TI, Cage BN, Nelson TM, Shearon S, Thompson A, et al. Multiple pathways linking racism to health outcomes. *Du Bois Rev.* (2011) 8:143–57. doi: 10.1017/S1742058X11000178

31.Zhou S, Banawa R, Oh H. Stop Asian hate: the mental health impact of racial discrimination among Asian Pacific islander young and emerging adults during COVID-19. *J Affect Disord*. (2023) 325:346–53. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2022.12. 132

32. Dei, GJS, Calliste, A. (2000). Mapping the terrain: Power, knowledge and anti-racism education. *Power, knowledge and anti-racism education: A critical reader*, 11–22.