Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Olushayo Oluseun Olu, World Health Organization Regional Office for Africa, Republic of Congo

REVIEWED BY Łukasz A. Poniatowski, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland Janet L. Wale, HTAi Patient and Citizen Involvement in HTA Interest Group, Canada

*CORRESPONDENCE Ewunetie Mekashaw Bayked ⊠ emebirhan7@gmail.com

RECEIVED 24 November 2024 ACCEPTED 10 March 2025 PUBLISHED 25 April 2025

CITATION

Bayked EM (2025) The need to update Ethiopia's national health and medicine policies: essential tools for informed decision-making in healthcare and the pharmaceutical sectors. *Front. Public Health* 13:1533710. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1533710

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Bayked. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The need to update Ethiopia's national health and medicine policies: essential tools for informed decision-making in healthcare and the pharmaceutical sectors

Ewunetie Mekashaw Bayked*

Department of Pharmacy, College of Medicine and Health Sciences (CMHS), Wollo University, Dessie, Ethiopia

Background: Health policy involves decisions at national, state, and local levels to achieve healthcare goals, with medicine policy being a critical component that requires integration and potential reform. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends periodic monitoring and evaluation of the National Medicine Policy (NMP) under the National Health Policy (NHP), ideally every 2 to 3 years or comprehensively every 4 to 5 years.

Objectives: This perspective aims to urge the Ministry of Health (MOH) of Ethiopia to update its national health and medicine policies to address emerging health issues, advancements in medical treatment, and global health agendas. It also aims to initiate a name change for Ethiopia's "NDP" to "NMP" to focus on medicines for therapeutic purposes while avoiding confusion with the term "drug."

Methods: A descriptive critical evidence synthesis was used to identify indicators and situations for monitoring, evaluating, and updating national health and medicine policies. The author presented published evidence to support the perspective that Ethiopia's national health and medicine policies need to be updated.

Results: Ethiopia's national health and medicine policies have remained unchanged for over three decades, despite their critical role in guiding healthcare decision-making and reflecting political commitment to advancing healthcare goals through regular monitoring and evaluation. This underscores an urgent need to update these policies and periodically monitor and evaluate them at prescribed intervals: every 2 to 3 years for minor changes or every 4 to 5 years comprehensively. Additionally, the title "National Drug Policy (NDP)" should be changed to "NMP" to better reflect its focus on safe, effective, and approved medicines for healthcare, while avoiding negative associations with the general term "drugs".

Conclusion: Given the dynamic nature of the health and pharmaceutical sectors, it is crucial for Ethiopia to urgently update the NHP and NMP and change the NDP title to "NMP" to eliminate ambiguity, emphasize approved medicines, and align with global best practices.

KEYWORDS

National Health Policy, National Drug Policy, National Medicine Policy, update, monitoring, evaluation

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Ethiopia's health system is divided into three tiers: primary (including health posts, health centers, and district hospitals), secondary (general hospitals), and tertiary (regional and national hospitals) (1). This nation, one of the oldest states in the Horn of Africa, is grappling with poor health outcomes due to decades of lack of revised national health and medicine policies, weak healthcare infrastructure, and low government spending (2).

The WHO defines health policy as decisions, plans, and actions taken by institutions and organizations at national, state, and local levels to achieve specific healthcare goals (3). An NMP is an essential part of health policy; it cannot be developed in isolation. It should align with broader health objectives (4), be integrated into the national health system (5), and undergo periodic monitoring and evaluation (6).

Policy monitoring is a continuous process that involves stakeholder engagement, progress evaluation, legislative endorsement, and outcome evaluation to ensure policy effectiveness (7). Evaluation involves appraising needs, midterm effectiveness, and reviewing achievements for future lessons using monitoring indicators (5, 8).

The WHO has developed indicators to monitor an NMP in all countries using low-cost, non-complex methods (5, 9). These indicators are divided into four categories: 31 background information indicators (quantitative data), 50 structural indicators (qualitative data), 38 process indicators (quantitative data), and 10 outcome indicators. These indicators can be modified or removed to suit specific national contexts, ensuring effective monitoring and evaluation of NMPs (9, 10).

1.2 Rationale

Support from interest groups, concerned stakeholders, favorable macroeconomic conditions, technical expertise, and committed individuals within the MOH can help overcome barriers and advance an effective NMP (5). This underscores that the responsibility for amending the NHP and NMP extends beyond the government to academic institutions, professional associations like the Ethiopian Pharmaceutical Association (EPA), international organizations like the WHO, Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs), pharmaceutical policy experts, pharmaceutical industries, the private sector, and the public (11).

The WHO Constitution's eighth principle reinforces this, emphasizing that "informed recommendation and active cooperation from the public are of utmost importance in improving people's health." This highlights that both those who directly implement changes and those who challenge existing values with evidence-based arguments are crucial drivers of policy change (12).

In light of this scenario, as a researcher and expert in social and administrative pharmacy (SAPh), I offer my evidence-based recommendation on the importance of monitoring and evaluating health and medicine policies in Ethiopia. To do so, I conducted an extensive review of the MOH of Ethiopia¹ and the Ethiopian Food and Drug Administration Authority (EFDA, http://www.efda.gov.et/) websites to determine if the national health and medicine policies have been revised or if there have been movements toward updating them.

Utilizing a descriptive critical evidence synthesis approach, as mentioned above, I analyzed key indicators and situations relevant to monitoring, evaluating, and updating the policies. To support my argument, I also incorporated recently published evidence, highlighting emerging health issues, technological advancements in medical treatments, and shifts in global health priorities. This evidence forms the basis of my perspective, emphasizing the urgent need for Ethiopia to align its health and medicine policies with current and future health challenges.

1.3 Objectives

This perspective aims to urge the MOH of Ethiopia to update its national health and medicine policies to address emerging health issues, advancements in medical treatment, and global health agendas. It also aims to initiate a name change for Ethiopia's "NDP" to "NMP" to focus on medicines for health promotion, disease prevention, treatment, cure, and rehabilitation, while avoiding confusion with the broad term "drug," which can include non-medical substances.

2 Perspectives

The healthcare and pharmaceutical sectors are constantly changing due to globalization (13, 14) and many other factors. Among the key areas driving change are technological and scientific advancements, including the rise of Artificial Intelligence (AI) (15–17), digital health and/or health technologies (18, 19), gene therapy (20–22), the discovery of new treatments such as biosimilars (23, 24), and nutraceuticals (25).

Global health initiatives and policies are also important drivers of change in the sectors. Initiatives like One Health (26), Healthy People 2030 (27), and Universal Health Coverage (UHC) (28) or health economics (pharmacoeconomics) policies (29–32) are set to be important global health priorities. Programs such as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (33, 34), along with international frameworks like Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) and conferences like the International Conference on Drug Regulatory Authorities (ICDRA) and the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH), are guiding the global health agenda (35). Regional initiatives, such as the African Medicines Agency (AMA) (36) and the Health Extension Program (HEP) of Ethiopia (37), also have significant influence.

In addition, the sectors are increasingly expected to address global and emerging health threats. These include emerging and re-emerging diseases (38), Neglected Tropical Diseases (NTDs) (39, 40), and the growing concern of Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) (41, 42).

Another important aspect is the ongoing shift in healthcare systems and practices. This includes the need to integrate traditional medicine into the modern health system (43), which helps create more inclusive, culturally sensitive healthcare approaches (44). Mental health issues (45), the treatment of drug use disorders (46), and the need for specialized care for the aging population (47) are also critical areas of focus.

¹ https://www.moh.gov.et/

Furthermore, ethical and social considerations are central to healthcare discussions. Issues such as euthanasia (physician-assisted suicide—PAS) (48, 49) and *In Vitro* Fertilization (IVF) (50) continue to prompt debates about medical ethics and the rights of patients.

The above emerging issues and WHO indicators thus underscore the need for countries, which did not revise, to update their health and medicine policies. However, while the NMP should be periodically monitored, evaluated, or amended every 2 to 3 years (5, 6, 51), comprehensively every 4 to 5 years (52), Ethiopia's NMP, developed in November 1993 (53), has not been revised, failing to address the everchanging nature of the pharmaceutical sector. Similarly, the NHP, developed in September 1993 (54), has not been updated to reflect global and local changes in the health sector reforms.

As a result, the Ethiopian NHP and NMP are failing to align with current local and global health objectives. The Ethiopian Public Health Institute (EPHI) 2021–22 Final Report, published in July 2023, states that the NHP is under revision to address sociodemographic, epidemiologic, and economic shifts in Ethiopia. This revision aligns with Ethiopia's goal of becoming a middle-income country, as well as commitments to UHC and the SDGs in the health sector. As to the report, the revised policy has undergone consultations and is awaiting ratification by the Council of Ministers (55). Yet, the report does not mention updates to the NMP, and no public documents on updated NHP are currently available.

The delay in updating the NHP and NMP may be due to factors like conflict and political instability for the current government of Ethiopia, particularly since 2018, driven by political entrepreneurs (56). The 2019 WHO review of 40 years of primary healthcare implementation also revealed that conflict and political instability have significantly hindered PHC efforts (57), leading to policy agenda abandonment, suboptimal development, and implementation failures (58). In fact, health policy processes often face obstacles like fragmentation, weak advocacy, unclear agendas, insufficient evidence, lack of consultation, and corruption (58). Like the NHP, developing NMP is also challenging due to political will, resource constraints, opposition, and corruption (5).

Nevertheless, as rationalized above, support from interest groups, shared stakeholder values, favorable macroeconomic conditions, technical expertise, and committed MOH individuals can overcome these challenges (5). Despite the barriers mentioned, monitoring and evaluation using such facilitators are thus crucial for ensuring policies meet goals, address public health needs, adapt to challenges, improve accountability, resource allocation, and health outcomes. Revising the NHP and NMP, therefore, is essential for guiding regulatory decisions in healthcare and the pharmaceutical sectors, respectively (27). Hence, Ethiopia needs to update its NHP along with the NMP to adapt to global and local health challenges and improve health outcomes.

Moreover, it is recommended that the title "NDP" be changed to "NMP" to reduce ambiguity and better reflect the policy's focus on promoting safe, effective, and high-quality essential medicines. Because, while "drug" broadly refers to any chemical substance, including harmful ones, "medicine" specifically denotes substances developed and approved to treat, cure, or prevent diseases, emphasizing their therapeutic purpose. This distinction clarifies the policy's intent, aligns it with healthcare objectives, and ensures clarity for stakeholders. Additionally, using the term "medicine" aligns with international best practices, strengthening the policy's commitment to public health and global consistency (59).

3 Conclusion

Health policy encompasses decisions, plans, and actions at national, state, and local levels to achieve healthcare goals, with medicine policy being a key component. Periodic monitoring and evaluation of the NMP under the NHP are essential to keep align with the evolving health and pharmaceutical sectors. However, Ethiopia's national health and medicine policies have remained unchanged for over three decades, despite recommendations to ideally update them every 2 to 3 years or comprehensively every 4 to 5 years. Therefore, it is urgent to update these policies and periodically monitor and evaluate them according to the prescribed intervals. Additionally, changing the title from "NDP" to "NMP" is crucial to eliminate ambiguity, better reflect the focus on safe, effective, and approved medicines, and align with global best practices, while avoiding negative associations with the general term "drugs."

Data availability statement

The information relevant to the perspective is included in the article, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not applicable for the study in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent in this study was not applicable in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

EMB: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Annis E, Ratcliffe H. Strengthening primary health care systems to increase effective coverage and improve health outcomes in Ethiopia. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: Primary Health Care Performance Initiative (2022).

2. Wamai R. Reviewing Ethiopia's health system development. Japan Med Assoc J. (2009) 52:279-86.

3. O'Brien GL, Sinnott S-J, Walshe V, Mulcahy M, Byrne S. Health policy triangle framework: narrative review of the recent literature. *Health Policy Open.* (2020) 1:100016. doi: 10.1016/j.hpopen.2020.100016

4. World Health Organization, Introduction, How to develop and implement a national drug policy, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, (2001), pp. 3–10.

5. Management Sciences for Health, National medicine policy, MDS-3: Managing access to medicines and health technologies, Management Sciences for Health, Arlington, VA, (2012), pp. 4.1–4.20.

6. World Health Organization, Monitoring and evaluation, How to develop and implement a national drug policy, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, (2001), pp. 77–78.

7. Health Policy Project, Capacity development resource guide: Policy monitoring, Futures Group, Health Policy Project, Washington, DC, (2014), pp. 1–6.

8. World Health Organization, The national drug policy process, how to develop and implement a national drug policy, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, (2001), pp. 11–20.

9. World Health Organization, Introduction, indicators for monitoring national drug policies: a practical manual, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, (1999), pp. 1–9.

10. Nikfar S, Kebriaeezadeh A, Majdzadeh R, Abdollahi M. Monitoring of National Drug Policy (NDP) and its standardized indicators; conformity to decisions of the national drug selecting committee in Iran. *BMC Int Health Hum Rights*. (2005) 5:5. doi: 10.1186/1472-698X-5-5

11. World Health Organization. Basic documents: Forty-ninth edition (including amendments adopted up to 31 may 2019). Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization (2020).

12. Wait S. What is health policy? London, United Kingdom: The Health Policy Partnership (2024).

13. National Academies of Sciences/ Engineering and Medicine. Health and medicine division, board on Global Health, and committee on mutual recognition agreements and reliance in the regulation of medicines, regulating medicines in a globalized world: The need for increased reliance among regulators. Washington, DC: United Nations National Academies Press (2019).

14. Valverde JL. The globalization of medicines as a challenge for governments. *Pharm Policy Law*. (2016) 18:19–29. doi: 10.3233/ppl-160429

15. World Health Organization. Ethics and governance of artificial intelligence for health: WHO guidance. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization (2021).

16. World Health Organization. WHO releases AI ethics and governance guidance for large multi-modal models. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization (2024).

17. World Health Organization. WHO outlines considerations for regulation of artificial intelligence for health. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization (2023).

18. McLachlan AJ, Aslani P. National Medicines Policy 2.0: a vision for the future. *Aust Prescr.* (2020) 43:24–6. doi: 10.18773/austprescr.2020.007

19. Coder M, McBride L, McClenahan S. Core elements of national policy for digital health technology evidence and access. *NPJ Digit Med.* (2024) 7:212. doi: 10.1038/s41746-024-01209-2

20. Mela A, Rdzanek E, Tysarowski A, Sakowicz M, Jaroszyński J, Furtak-Niczyporuk M, et al. The impact of changing the funding model for genetic diagnostics and improved access to personalized medicine in oncology. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res.* (2023) 23:43–54. doi: 10.1080/14737167.2023.2140139

21. World Health Organization, WHO considerations on regulatory convergence of cell and gene therapy products, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, (2021), pp. 1–22.

22. World Health Organization, Considerations in developing a regulatory framework for human cells and tissues and for advanced therapy medicinal products, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, (2023), pp. 1–27.

23. Vogler S, Schneider P, Zuba M, Busse R, Panteli D. Policies to encourage the use of Biosimilars in European countries and their potential impact on pharmaceutical expenditure. *Front Pharmacol.* (2021) 12:625296. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.625296

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

24. Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health, International policies on the appropriate use of biosimilar drugs, environmental scan, Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health, Ottawa, Canada, (2018), pp. 3–18.

25. Santini A, Cammarata SM, Capone G, Ianaro A, Tenore GC, Pani L, et al. Nutraceuticals: opening the debate for a regulatory framework. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* (2018) 84:659–72. doi: 10.1111/bcp.13496

26. Chatterjee P, Kakkar M, Chaturvedi S. Integrating one health in national health policies of developing countries: India's lost opportunities. *Infect Dis Poverty.* (2016) 5:87. doi: 10.1186/s40249-016-0181-2

27. Teitelbaum J, McGowan AK, Richmond TS, Kleinman DV, Pronk N, Ochiai E, et al. Law and policy as tools in healthy people 2030. *J Public Health Manag Pract*. (2021) 27:S265–73. doi: 10.1097/phh.00000000001358

28. Reich MR, Harris J, Ikegami N, Maeda A, Cashin C, Araujo EC, et al. Moving towards universal health coverage: lessons from 11 country studies. *Lancet.* (2016) 387:811-6. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60002-2

29. Sienko M. The role of Pharmacoeconomics in health policy decision-making. *PharmacoEconomics*. (2024) 9:240.

30. Greenberg PE, Arcelus A, Birnbaum HG, Cremieux PY, LeLorier J, Ouellette P, et al. Pharmacoeconomics and health policy. Current applications and prospects for the future. *PharmacoEconomics*. (1999) 16:425–32. doi: 10.2165/00019053-199916050-00001

31. Jakovljevic M, Verhaeghe N, Souliotis K, Krstic K. Editorial: challenges of pharmacoeconomics in global health arena—contemporary momentum in the early 2020s. *Front Public Health*. (2023) 11:1189671. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1189671

32. World Health Organization. Health economics and health care financing. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization (2025).

33. Buss PM, Fonseca LE, Galvão LA, Fortune K, Cook C. Health in all policies in the partnership for sustainable development. *Rev Panam Salud Publica*. (2016) 40:186–91.

34. United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), Policy guidelines for inclusive sustainable development goals: Good health and well-being, OHCHR, Geneva, Switzerland, (2020), pp. 7–49.

35. Management Sciences for Health, Pharmaceutical legislation and regulation, MDS-3: Managing access to medicines and health technologies, Management Sciences for Health, Arlington, VA, (2012), pp. 6.1–6.21.

36. Ncube BM, Dube A, Ward K. The process of ratifying the treaty to establish the African medicines agency: perspectives of national regulatory agencies. *Health Policy Plan.* (2024) 39:447–56. doi: 10.1093/heapol/czae017

37. Assefa Y, Gelaw YA, Hill PS, Taye BW, Van Damme W. Community health extension program of Ethiopia, 2003–2018: successes and challenges toward universal coverage for primary healthcare services. *Glob Health.* (2019) 15:24. doi: 10.1186/s12992-019-0470-1

38. Khodayari-Zarnaq R, Alizadeh G, Alizadeh G. Emerging and re-emerging diseases: policies and strategies for future. *Evid Based Health Policy Manag Econ*. (2020) 4:154–8. doi: 10.18502/jebhpme.v4i3.4160

39. Mela A, Staniszewska A, Wrona W, Poniatowski ŁA, Jaroszyński J, Niewada M. The direct and indirect costs of epilepsy in Poland estimates for 2014–2016 years. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res.* (2019) 19:353–62. doi: 10.1080/14737167.2019.1533817

40. World Health Organization, Road map for neglected tropical diseases 2021–2030, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, (2023), pp. 1–5.

41. Leung E, Weil DE, Raviglione M, Nakatani H. The WHO policy package to combat antimicrobial resistance. *Bull World Health Organ*. (2011) 89:390–2. doi: 10.2471/blt.11.088435

42. World Health Organization. Antimicrobial resistance. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization (2023).

43. Degu TA. Integrating traditional medicine into the healthcare system in Ethiopia: promoting research and avoiding misappropriation. *Indones Comp Law Rev.* (2024) 6:109–27. doi: 10.18196/iclr.v6i2.21454

44. Bayked EM, Kahissay MH, Workneh BD. Beliefs, perceptions, and tendencies of patients with diabetes towards folk-healing alternatives: a qualitative analysis. *Cogent Soc Sci.* (2022) 8:2106650. doi: 10.1080/23311886.2022.2106650

45. World Health Organization. Mental health policy, plans and programmes. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization (2005).

46. World Health Organization. International standards for the treatment of drug use disorders. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization (2020).

47. Seid AM, Babbel NF. Ethiopian aging policy: a review of existing frameworks in relation to the Madrid international plan of action on aging. *Public Health Pract.* (2023) 6:100432. doi: 10.1016/j.puhip.2023.100432

48. Ethio Negari. Ethiopia legalizes physician-assisted death. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: Ethio Negari (2025).

49. Paulos D. The right to die: The legal aspect of euthanasia in Ethiopia. California, United States: LinkedIn Corporation (2024).

50. Sium AF, Surur F, Negash M. Opening the first and only public in vitro fertilization (IVF) center in sub-Saharan Africa: the experience of Ethiopia. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* (2024) 1–3. doi: 10.1002/ijgo.15709

51. Modiba WK, Katerere DR, Mncwangi NP. A desktop review of evaluation of implementation of national medicines policies in SADC countries. *Heliyon.* (2023) 9:e22218. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e22218

52. Hoebert JM, van Dijk L, Mantel-Teeuwisse AK, Leufkens HGM, Laing RO. National medicines policies – a review of the evolution and development processes. J Pharm Policy Pract. (2013) 6:5. doi: 10.1186/2052-3211-6-5

53. The Transitional Government of Ethiopia, National Drug Policy of the transitional government of Ethiopia, FDRE, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, (1993), pp. 14–22.

54. The Transitional Government of Ethiopia, Health policy of the transitional government of Ethiopia, FDRE, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, (1993), pp. 1–11.

55. Ethiopian Public Health Institute, Ethiopia service provision assessment 2021–22: final report, Ethiopian Public Health Institute, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, (2023), pp. 1–6.

56. Siyum B.A., Underlying causes of conflict in Ethiopia: historical, political, and institutional. Diamond Scientific Publishing, Budapest, Hungary. (2021), pp. 13–26.

57. WHO Evaluation Office, Review of 40 years of primary healthcare implementation at country level, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, (2019), pp. 1–44.

58. Ansah EW, Maneen S, Ephraim A, Ocloo JEY, Barnes MN, Botha NN. Politicsevidence conflict in national health policy making in Africa: a scoping review. *Health Res Policy Syst.* (2024) 22:47. doi: 10.1186/s12961-024-01129-3

59. ipharmachine. Drug vs medicine: what's the difference? Ruian, China: ipharmachine (2024).