#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Tim S. Nawrot, University of Hasselt, Belgium

REVIEWED BY Naonori Kawakubo, Kyushu University, Japan Maite Gorostegui, Sant Joan de Déu Hospital, Spain

\*CORRESPONDENCE Felix M. Onyije ⊠ onyijef@iarc.who.int

RECEIVED 13 February 2025 ACCEPTED 05 June 2025 PUBLISHED 25 June 2025

#### CITATION

Onyije FM, Dolatkhah R, Olsson A, Bouaoun L and Schüz J (2025) Risk factors of neuroblastoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Public Health* 13:1576101. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1576101

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Onyije, Dolatkhah, Olsson, Bouaoun and Schüz. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Risk factors of neuroblastoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Felix M. Onyije\*, Roya Dolatkhah, Ann Olsson, Liacine Bouaoun and Joachim Schüz

Environment and Lifestyle Epidemiology Branch, International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC/WHO), Lyon, France

**Introduction:** Neuroblastoma (NB) is the most common extracranial tumor in children. Synthesizing and elucidating modifiable risk factors is fundamental to inform primary prevention of NB. The objective is to review literature and synthesize risk factors for NB.

**Methods:** PubMed, Web of Science, and Embase databases were searched using lists of key words and MeSH terms related to exposures and risk of NB. Studies were included if they were case-control or cohort studies of children under the age of 20 years at diagnosis and reported Relative Risks (RRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Pooled effect sizes (ES) and 95% CIs for risk factors associated with NB were estimated using random-effects models.

Results: We included 50 eligible studies from Asia, Europe, and North America, and Oceania on cases of NB diagnosed between 1964 and 2016. We observed associations for maternal occupational exposure to pesticides during preconception/pregnancy (ES 1.62, Cl 1.04-2.54), high birthweight [(>4,000 g) ES 1.21, CI 1.02-1.42], and Cesarean section (ES 1.14, CI 1.00-1.30) and the risk of NB. Parental smoking showed a weak association, while breastfeeding  $\geq 6$  months (ES 0.50, CI 0.30-0.84) was inversely associated with NB. Birth characteristics such as low birthweight (<2,500 g), small and large-for-gestational age, gestation age <37 weeks and gestation age >40 weeks, and assisted reproductive technology were not associated with NB. Similarly, no associations were suggested for parental age, gestational diabetes, and pre-eclampsia. Maternal alcohol consumption during preconception/pregnancy, maternal intake of vitamin and folic acid during pregnancy, paternal occupational exposure to extremely low-frequency magnetic fields (ELF-MF), and maternal X-ray exposure during pregnancy were also not associated with the risk of NB. Paternal occupational and child's postnatal exposure to pesticides were also not associated with NB.

**Discussion:** This systematic review and meta-analysis suggest that maternal occupational exposure to pesticides during preconception/pregnancy, high birthweight, Cesarean section, and breastfeeding (beneficial) were associated with the risk of NB, but all associations were rather modest in strength. Synthesizing of these risk factors are needed to inform whether there are avenues for primary prevention of NB.

#### KEYWORDS

neuroblastoma, high birthweight, Cesarean section, breastfeeding, pesticides, systematic review and meta-analysis

# **1** Introduction

Neuroblastoma (NB) is the most common extracranial tumor in children and the most frequent solid malignancy in children under 1 year (1). Approximately 60% of NB occur before age 2 and about 97% are diagnosed before the age of 10 years (2, 3). Globally, the incidence pattern of NB is unique among the childhood cancers and varies greatly across age groups. In developed countries, NB accounts for annually 11–13 per million in children aged <15 years and 65 per million in children <1 year but only 1 per million in children of 10–14 years (4).

Like other common childhood cancers, NB is heterogeneous, and it is classified into different risk strata such as low-risk, intermediate-risk, and high-risk groups. Survival rate varies by risk groups, and is higher than 95% in the low-risk group whereas only around 50% in the high-risk group (5). However, it is known that some of the NB patients are undergoing spontaneous regression even without any form of treatment, a more common phenomenon with NB but observed to a lesser extent in other few cancer types like renal cell carcinoma, malignant melanoma, choriocarcinoma and lymphoid malignancies (6).

While some individual epidemiological studies have suggested some risk factors associated with NB, overall its etiology remains largely unknown. These include paternal smoking, maternal alcohol consumption during the preconceptional period or pregnancy, childhood exposure to pesticides, Cesarean section (C-section), and high birthweight exceeding 4,000 g (3, 7–10). However, the evidence is inconsistent as there are also studies that have shown no associations for the same risk factors (11–15). Thus, to date no modifiable risk factor for NB has been clearly established.

NB has a variety of clinical behaviors that are mostly influenced by the biology, including unique abilities to suppress the host immune system. Chromosomal aberration is frequent in NB. For example, deletions of the short arm of chromosome 1 (1p) occur in about 70% of advanced stage. However, it is still unclear whether these events are responsible for the initiation of NB (16-18). While biology undoubtedly plays a central role, modifiable exposures could influence the timing of disease onset, immune system priming, or epigenetic regulation (19). In our study, we have been careful to avoid strong causal claims and instead frame our findings as associations that warrant further mechanistic exploration. Therefore, the aim of this systematic review and metaanalysis was to synthesize and elucidate evidence from different epidemiological studies. To give a consolidated overview of risk factors potentially associated with NB which may inform primary prevention of the disease.

# 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Search strategy and study selection

This systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted according to the 2020 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist (20) (Supplementary Table 1, p. 3). The search strategy used for article selection and methods for data extraction and analysis have been previously published (21, 22). We searched PubMed, Web of Science, and Embase databases with no restriction on publication date but selected articles are all written in English language. Identified peer reviewed articles were retrieved, imported, and screened for duplicates in EndNote version X9.3.3. The authors, FMO and RD assessed the titles, abstracts, and full text of the articles independently to determine their eligibility (Supplementary Table 2, p. 6) (23), differences arising from the independent selection process were resolved by seeking opinion of the third author, AO. Additional articles were sourced from lists of references. The search strategy was structured in line with Population, Exposure, Comparator and Outcome (PECO) components and included a list of key words and MeSH terms (Supplementary Tables 3-5, p. 7-13). The search was initially conducted in June 2022 and subsequently updated until January 2025. The studies were included if they were case-control or cohort studies of childhood NB under the age of 20 years, we reported exposure time windows, and provided estimates of Relative Risks (RRs) such as Odds Ratio (OR), Hazard Ratio (HR), Standardized Mortality Ratio (SMR), Mortality Rate Ratio (MRR), Standard Incidence Ratio (SIR), or Incidence Rate Ratio (IRR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). We checked publications from the same region for overlaps of their study populations. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were defined a priori (Supplementary Table 2, p. 6) (21, 22).

#### 2.2 Data extraction

Risk factors extracted included birth and parental characteristics, environmental and occupational exposures pesticides, radiation, and lifestyle exposures. Exposure time period such as preconceptional, prenatal and postnatal were also considered. Other information extracted includes authors' name, year of publication, study location, period and age range of diagnosis, exposure assessment methods, outcome ascertainment, number of NB cases and controls or, if not available, the study population, follow-up duration, and risk estimates with their respective 95% CIs. Information regarding study design (case-control and cohort or registry-based case-control) was also extracted. Registry-based case-control studies were considered as cohort studies in the present analysis (21). Case-control studies are thereby studies requiring interaction with the study participants.

#### 2.3 Quality assessment of eligible articles

All eligible articles underwent a quality assessment of their methodological quality using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) critical appraisal tools for case-control and cohort studies (24). The appraisal checklist has 10 criteria for case-control and 11 for cohort studies. Every question answered with a "yes" received a score of 1, while a "no" scored 0, and "unclear" or "not applicable" received also 0 (Supplementary Tables 6, 7, p. 14–15). Prior to the critical



appraisal of the articles, we systematically checked the articles for overlaps of their study populations and by risk factors.

software, version 15.1 (College Station, TX, USA) using a nominal significance level of 0.05.

#### 2.4 Statistical analyses

We performed random-effects meta-analyses in order to estimate pooled effect sizes (ES) with their respective 95% CIs. Funnel plots and Egger's test were employed to assess potential publication bias (25). The  $I^2$  statistic was calculated to quantify the heterogeneity of the results between studies.  $I^2$  values of 0% were considered to represent "no heterogeneity", from 1 to 35% "low heterogeneity", from 36 to 55% as "moderate", from 56 to 70% as "substantial" and above 71% as "considerable" heterogeneity (26). Analyses were conducted both combining case-control and cohort studies, and separately by study design (case-control vs. cohort studies). The combined analysis is presented as the primary focus, unless otherwise stated. Analyses were conducted using STATA<sup>®</sup>

## **3 Results**

#### 3.1 Study characteristics

A total of 3,760 unique records were retrieved and screened, leading to the evaluation of 61 full texts. Among these, 50 studies [25 case-control and 25 cohort studies (including registry-based nested case-control studies)] met the study inclusion criteria (Figure 1 and Table 1).

Among all the studies that met the criteria, 52% (n = 26) were carried out in North America. Europe came next with 42% (n = 21), followed by Asia with 4% (n = 2), and Oceania with 2% (n = 1). There were no eligible studies in Latin America and Africa.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of the 50 studies included in the systematic review and meta-analysis, sorted by country.

First author	Country	Date of diagnoses	Age (years)	Risk factor	Data source	Outcome ascertainment	Cases	Control
Europe case-cor	ntrol studies							
Munzer et al. (54)	France	2003-2004	<15	Birth characteristics	Face to face and telephone interview	Cancer registry	191	1,681
Rios et al. (55)	France	2003–2004; 2010–2011	<15	Parental smoking	Face to face and telephone interview	Cancer registry	357	1,783
Rios et al. (56)	France	2003–2004; 2010–2011	<15	Maternal use of pesticides	Face to face and telephone interview	Cancer registry	357	1,783
Hug et al. (57)	Germany	1992–1994	<15	Paternal occupational exposure to ELF-MF	Self-administered questionnaire and telephone interview	Cancer registry	181	2,382
Schüz et al. (14)	Germany	1988–1994	<8	Multiple risk factors Self-administered questionnaire and telephone interview		Cancer registry	183	1,785
Schüz et al and Forman (58)	Germany	1992–1994	<15	Birth characteristics	Self-administered questionnaire and telephone interview	Cancer registry	160	2,057
Schüz et al. (59)	Germany	1992–1994	<15	Maternal vitamin use	Self-administered questionnaire and telephone interview	Cancer registry	157	2,013
Schüz et al. (60)	Germany	1992–1994	<15	Multiple risk factors	Self-administered questionnaire and telephone interview	Cancer registry	160	2,588
Parodi et al. (61)	Italy	1998-2001	<11	Birth characteristics	Face-to-face interview	Cancer registry	153	1,044
Hardell and Dreifaldt (62)	Sweden	1988-1991	<15	Breastfeeding	Medical records	Cancer registry	28	34
Rajaraman et al. (63)	UK-England, Wales and Scotland	1992-1996	<15	Diagnostic radiation and ultrasound scans	Self-administered questionnaire	UKCCS, histopathology review, or consultant treating the child	262	301
Pang et al. (64)	UK-England, Wales and Scotland	1991–1996	<15	Parental smoking	Face-to-face interview	Cancer registries	368	5,743
North America								
Yang et al. (15)	United States and Canada	1992-1994	<19	Parental smoking and alcohol consumption	Telephone interview	Children's Cancer Group (CCG)	504	504
Buck et al. (65)	USA-New York	1976-1987	<6	Multiple risk factors	Telephone interview	Cancer registry	155	310
Hamrick et al. (3)	USA and Canada	1992-1994	<19	Birth characteristics	Telephone interview	CCG	504	504
Daniels et al. (66)	USA and Canada	1992-1994	<19	Breastfeeding	Telephone interview	CCG	393	376

(Continued)

10.3389/fpubh.2025.1576101

First author	Country		Date o diagnos	es (ye	\ge ears)	Risk factor			Data source Outcome ascertainme			ent	Cases	Control
Patton et al. (67)	USA and Ca	nada	1992–1994	Ł .	<20	Diagno	ostic radiation		Telephone interview CCG or the Pedi Oncology Group			atrics (POG)	496	496
Daniels et al. (10)	USA and Ca	nada	1992-1994	Ŀ	<5	Pesticio	des exposure		Face-to-face and telephone Cancer registry interview				538	538
Olshan et al. (68)	USA and Ca	nada	1984-1986	5 .	<19	Pesticides exposure			Face-to-face and telephone interview	CCG and POG		504	504	
Olshan et al. (69)	USA and Ca	nada	1984-1986	5 .	<19	Maternal vitamin use			Face-to-face and telephone CCG and POG interview				538	504
De Roos et al. (70)	USA and Ca	nada	1992–1994	L .	<19	Electromagnetic fields exposure			Self-administered Cancer registry questionnaire and telephone interview				538	504
Kerr et al. (71)	USA-New Y	ork	1976-1982	7 .	<15	Parental occupational exposures			Telephone interview		Cancer registry		183	372
Schwartzbaum (72)	USA-Tennes	ssee	1979–1980	5	<9	Maternal lifestyle		Face-to-face interview		Hospital records		101	690	
Bunin et al. (73)	USA-Philade	elphia	1970-1979	) .	<15	Paternal occupation exposures			Face-to-face and telephone interview		Cancer registry		104	104
Carozza et al. (13)	USA-Texas		1990–1998	3	<15	Pesticio	Pesticides exposure		Digital orthophoto quadrangle (DOQ) data	Cancer registry			218	1,802
First author	Study design	Coun	try co	Data ollection year	A <u>c</u> (yea	ge ars)	e Risk factor D rs)		ita Source	Outcome ascertainmen		Cases	Study population	Follow up (Years)
Cohort studies (i	ncluding reg	gistry-ba	ised case-c	ontrol stu	dies)									
Australia/Oceani	а													
Stavrou et al. (74)	СО	Australia	a I	994-2005	<	13	Maternal smoking Midv		idwives data collection Ca		ncer registry	122	1,042,981	12
Asia														
Heck et al. (75)	СО	China-T	aiwan 2	004-2014	<	2 Birth characteristics H		Но	Iospital records Ca		Cancer registry 207		2,079,037	11
Huang et al. (76)	RBCC	China-T	aiwan 2	004-2014	<	2 Gestational diabetes H		Но	fospital records Ca		ncer registry	226	2,076,877	11
Europe														
Volk et al. (77)	RBCC	Denmar	k 1	968-2016	<	19	Parental occupational exposure	Em civi	Employment history from civil registration system		acer registry	28	444	18
Schüz et al. (78)	СО	Denmar	k 1	973-2010	<	20	Birth order		Population and birth registers		ncer registry	303	2,461,283	19
Contreras et al. (79)	RBCC	Denmar	k 1	968-2015	<	16	Parental age	Bir	th certificates	Car	ncer registry	346	585,594	15
Seppälä et al (80)	RBCC	Finland	1	996-2014	<	20	Birth characteristics	Me	dical records	Car	ncer registry	143	1,888	19
Bjørge et al. (11)	СО	Norway	1	967-2004	<	15	Birth and parental characteristics	Bir	th registry	Car	ncer registry	178	2.1 million	15
Mortensen et al. (81)	СО	Norway	]	999–2010	<	15	Maternal folic acid use	Bir	th registry Cance		ncer registry 72		687,406	6

Onyije et al.

#### TABLE 1 (Continued)

First author	Study design	Country	Data collection year	Age (years)	Risk factor	Data Source	Outcome ascertainment	Cases	Study population	Follow up (Years)	
Feychting et al. (82)	СО	Sweden	1976–1977, 1981–1982	<15	Electromagnetic fields exposure	Occupational history	Cancer registry	40	235,635	14	
Bluhm et al. (83)	RBCC	Sweden	1973–1995	<5	Multiple risk factors	Medical birth register	Cancer registry/death register	245	1,225	2	
Sundh et al. (84)	СО	Denmark, Finland, Sweden, and Norway	1982-2012	<20	Assisted reproductive technology (ART)	Birth registers	Cancer registries	48	450,215	19	
North America											
Spector et al. (85)	СО	USA	2004-2012	<10	ART	Hospital records	Cancer registry	307	275,686	8	
McLaughlin et al. (86)	RBCC	USA-New York	1983-2001	<15	Birth characteristics	Birth certificates	Cancer registry	529	12,010	14	
Urayama et al. (2)	RBCC	USA- California	1988-1997	<5	Birth characteristics	Birth certificates	Cancer registry	508	1,015	4	
Johnson et al. (87)	RBCC	USA- Minnesota	1988-2004	<15	Multiple risk factors	Birth registry	Cancer Surveillance System	155	8,752	15	
Williams et al. (88)	RBCC	USA- Minnesota	1976-2014	<15	C-section	Birth certificate	Cancer registry	35	117	14	
Chow et al. (12)	RBCC	USA- Washington	1980-2004	<20	Maternal and birth characteristics	Birth certificate and hospital database	Cancer surveillance system	240	2,400	19	
Contreras et al. (89)	RBCC	USA- California	1988-2013	<6	Gestational diabetes	Birth records	Cancer registry	1,378	270,147	5	
Heck et al. (90)	RBCC	USA- California	2007-2013	<6	Parental smoking	Birth certificates	Cancer registry	238	40,356	5	
Johnson and Spitz (91)	RBCC	USA-Texas	1964–1978	<15	Birth characteristics	Birth certificates	Death certificate	157	314	14	
Spitz and Johnson (92)	RBCC	USA-Texas	1964–1978	<15	Paternal occupational exposure	Birth certificates	Death certificate	157	314	14	
Schraw et al. (93)	RBCC	USA-Texas	1995-2011	<16	Birth characteristics	Birth certificates	Cancer registry	637	6,370	15	
Kumar et al. (94)	RBCC	USA-Texas	2003-2009	<5	Maternal residential proximity to major roadways	Texas roadway network StratMap	Cancer registry	252	2,855	4	
Neglia et al. (95)	RBCC	USA- Minnesota	1969–1988	<10	Prenatal and perinatal	Birth certificates	State Department of Health	97	97	9	

CO, Cohort studies; RBCC, Registry-based case-control studies.



Meta-analysis of pooled effect sizes (ES) of exposure to birth characteristics [Gestation Age <37 weeks; >40 weeks; Small for Gestation Age (SGA), Large for Gestation Age (LGA); assisted reproductive technology (ART); Hormonal/Infertility treatment and C-section] for the risk of NB and heterogeneity ( $l^2$ ) with Eggers *p*-value by study design. <sup>†</sup>Where only one study was identified, it is referred to as RR and not ES.

## 3.2 Study bias and quality assessment

The 50 articles critically appraised for quality using the JBI tools were generally of good quality (86%). The least ranked case-control study scored 7 out of 10 points, while for cohort study, it was 7 out of 11 points. Thus, all screened articles appraised were included in the final analysis (Supplementary Tables 6, 7, p. 14–15).

## 3.3 Birth and parental characteristics

C-section was a suggestive risk factor of NB (ES 1.14, CI 1.00-1.30) with Eggers *p*-value of 0.57 and moderate heterogeneity across studies. This outcome is based on 8 cohort studies

and one case-control study. There was no association observed between gestational age <37 weeks or >40 weeks and NB risk. Analyses relating to small and large for gestational age were also not suggestive of association with NB, though the ES for large gestational age (LGA) was slightly elevated with confidence intervals including 1 (ES 1.23, CI 0.89–1.70) based on 1 cohort and 1 case control study. Assisted reproductive technology (ART) and hormonal/infertility treatment did not show an association with NB (Figure 2).

Low birthweight (<2,500 g) was not associated with NB, but an association was seen between high birthweight (>4,000 g) and the risk of NB (ES 1.21, CI 1.02–1.42). Breastfeeding appeared to be inversely associated with NB in an exposure-response manner, as shown in children breastfed for  $\geq 6$  months (ES 0.50, CI 0.30–0.84). Birth order (2 and  $\geq 3$ ) was not associated with NB risk. While



Meta-analysis of pooled effect sizes (ES) of exposure to birth characteristics (Birthweight, Breastfeeding, Birth order 2 and  $\geq$ 3, and Parity  $\geq$ 3) for the risk of NB and heterogeneity ( $I^2$ ) with Eggers p-value, by study design. <sup>†</sup>Where only one study was identified, it is referred to as RR and not ES. \*Breastfeeding <6 months does not include 0 months

the mother's parity  $\geq$ 3 showed an increased risk of NB based on three cohort studies (ES 1.50, CI 1.13-1.99), this was attenuated when combined with 2 case control studies, and there was moderate heterogeneity across studies (Figure 3).

For young mothers (<20 years) and older fathers ( $\geq35$  years) the ES were slightly elevated in two case-control studies (ES 1.54, CI 0.81-2.93 and ES 1.40, CI 0.80-2.60), but not in the more numerous cohort studies; the potential selection bias leading to spurious associations with young parental age in childhood cancer has been noted before (27). Gestational diabetes, and pre-eclampsia were not associated with NB risk. However, Egger's p-value was 0.01 for the cohort and combined studies on gestational diabetes, suggesting potential publication bias (Figure 4).

#### 3.4 Lifestyle

Maternal smoking during pregnancy (ever smokers) showed a weak association for the risk of NB. Similarly, there was a weak association for mothers who smoked 1-10 cigarettes per/day in case-control studies (ES 1.35, CI 1.00-1.83), but it was attenuated when combined with the one cohort study on the topic (ES 0.85, CI 0.50-1.47; Table 2). In the same vein, paternal smoking during preconception/prenatal showed weak association with NB (ES 1.12, CI 0.97-1.30, p = 0.44), based on 4 case-control studies with no heterogeneity across studies. Maternal consumption of alcohol during preconception/pregnancy did not show an association with NB risk. Likewise, no association was observed for maternal intake of vitamin and folic acid during preconception/pregnancy and the risk of NB (ES 0.98, CI 0.53-1.84, 2 case-control and 1 cohort studies), although with substantial heterogeneity.

#### 3.5 Chemicals and radiations

Exposure to general pesticides during childhood and the risk of NB, showed a slightly elevated ES but with wide confidence intervals (ES 1.28, CI 0.73-2.27) based on 2 casecontrol studies (Table 2). On the other hand, we observed an

Number of study) †	ES (95% CI)	l <sup>2</sup> (%)	p value		
Younger mothers (age	<20)				
Case control (2)	1.54 (0.81, 2.93)	0.0	-	8	+ • · · ·
Cohort (6)	0.99 (0.75, 1.29)	20.4	0.95	_	<b>←</b>
Combined (8)	1.06 (0.84, 1.34)	10.5	0.59	÷	<b>•</b> -
Older mothers (age ≥35	5)				
Case control (2)	0.90 (0.61, 1.33)	0.0	-	_	<b>-</b>
Cohort (9)	1.09 (0.95, 1.25)	0.0	0.22		<b>+</b> -
Combined (11)	1.06 (0.94, 1.21)	0.0	0.32		+
Younger fathers (age <	25)				
Cohort (2)	1.00 (0.50, 2.01)	62.2	-		<b>†</b> ───
Older fathers (age ≥35)					
Case control (1)	1.40 (0.80, 2.60)	-	-	-	+ -
Cohort (5)	1.14 (0.89, 1.44)	0.0	0.45		<b>↓</b>
Combined (6)	1.17 (0.94, 1.46)	0.0	0.26		<b> </b> ←
Gestational diabetes					
Case control (1)	1.10 (0.60, 2.10)	0.0	-		•
Cohort (4)	1.19 (0.89, 1.60)	13.2	0.01	6	+•
Combined (5)	1.10 (0.59, 2.06)	0.0	0.01	-	•
Pre-eclampsia					
Case control (1)	0.90 (0.50, 1.50)	-	-		<b>┝</b> ──
Cohort (1)	1.20 (0.50, 2.80)	-	-		<b>↓</b> ◆
Combined (2)	0.98 (0.62, 1.55)	0.0	-		┥──
				1	
				25	1 4
				FS	95% CI)

FIGURE 4

Meta-analysis of pooled effect sizes (ES) of exposure to parental characteristics [Younger mothers (age <20) and fathers (age <25)], Older mothers and fathers (age  $\geq$ 35), Gestational diabetes and Pre-eclampsia for the risk of NB and heterogeneity ( $l^2$ ) with Eggers *p*-value, by study design. <sup>†</sup>Where only one study was identified, it is referred to as RR and not ES.

association for maternal exposure to general pesticides during preconception/pregnancy and the risk of NB (ES 1.62, CI 1.04–2.54; four case-control studies). We did not observe an association for paternal exposure to general pesticides during preconception/pregnancy and the risk of NB (ES 0.86. CI 0.51–1.45; four case-control studies) with low heterogeneity and Eggers p-value 0.35.

Maternal exposure to X-ray during pregnancy was not associated with NB risk based on three case-control studies (ES 1.11, CI 0.77–1.59, p = 0.65), with no heterogeneity across studies. Likewise, there were no associations observed between paternal occupational exposure to ELF-MF and the risk of NB (Table 2). Maternal residential proximity of <500 m to major roads was elevated (RR 1.23, CI 0.91–1.67, 1 cohort study) when compared to those living  $\geq$ 500 m away from major roads; but this is based on only one study with a wide confidence interval.

## **4** Discussion

In this systematic review and meta-analysis including 50 epidemiological studies with an approximate total of 14,000 cases of NB. We synthesized the evidence of factors that have been studied in relation to NB in children. Breastfeeding was beneficial with longer duration ( $\geq 6$  months). Maternal occupational exposure to pesticides during preconception/pregnancy was associated with an increased risk of NB. High birthweight (>4,000 g) showed a slightly elevated ES with borderline significance, as well as for C-section. Associations seen with parental smoking (for paternal in case control studies with self-reported information only) are weak and partly inconsistent, not allowing to draw clear conclusions, but suggesting very modest associational age and size, ART and hormonal/infertility treatment, birth order (2 and

	Period	N	ΕS†	LCI	UCI	<sup>2</sup> (%)	Egger's <i>p</i> value	Ν	ΕS <sup>†</sup>	LCI	UCI	<sup>2</sup> (%)	Egger's <i>p</i> value	N	ΕS <sup>†</sup>	LCI	UCI	<sup>2</sup> (%)	Egge p value
Lifestyle																			
Maternal smoking	Prenatal	4	1.19	0.98	1.45	0.0	0.44	2	1.08	0.71	1.64	0.0	-	6	1.17	0.98	1.40	0.0	0.42
Maternal smoking 1–10/day	Prenatal	3	1.35	1.00	1.83	0.0	0.65	1	0.85	0.50	1.47	-	-	4	1.21	0.93	1.57	0.0	0.56
Paternal smoking	Preconception/ prenatal	4	1.12	0.97	1.30	0.0	0.44												
Maternal alcohol	Preconception/ prenatal	4	0.90	0.76	1.07	0.0	0.87												
Maternal vitamin and folic acid intake	Prenatal	2	0.95	0.39	2.07	91.1	-	1	1.05	0.53	2.06	-	-	3	0.98	0.53	1.84	82	0.36
Pesticides																			
Child's exposure to general pesticides	Postnatal	2	1.28	0.73	2.27	66.8	-												
Maternal occupational exposure to general pesticides	Preconception/ prenatal	4	1.62	1.04	2.54	17.5	0.84												
Paternal occupational exposure to general pesticides	Preconception/ prenatal	5	1.09	0.65	1.83	24	0.35												
Radiation																			
Maternal exposure to X-rays	Prenatal	3	1.11	0.77	1.59	0.0	0.65												
Paternal exposure to ELF-MF >0.15–0.2 $\mu T$	Preconception/ prenatal	2	0.86	0.64	1.16	0.0	-	1	1.80	0.60	5.30	-	-	3	0.91	0.68	1.21	0.0	0.08
Paternal exposure to ELF-MF $> 0.2 \ \mu T$	Preconception/ prenatal	2	0.99	0.74	1.32	0.0	-	1	0.90	0.20	3.60	-	-	3	0.99	0.74	1.30	0.0	0.79
Maternal residential proximity to major roads (<500 m)	Preconception/ prenatal	1	1.23	0.91	1.67	-	-												

TABLE 2 Meta-analysis of pooled effect sizes (ES) of exposure to lifestyle, pesticides, and radiation for the risk of NB and heterogeneity (l<sup>2</sup>) between studies, by study design.

 $^\dagger Where \ only \ one \ study \ was \ identified, it \ is \ referred \ to \ as \ RR \ and \ not \ ES.$ 

 $\geq$ 3), gestational diabetes and pre-eclampsia, maternal alcohol consumption and exposure to X-ray during pregnancy, paternal occupational exposure to pesticides and ELF-MF at the levels studied were not associated with NB risk.

The protective effect of breastfeeding  $\geq 6$  months in our study is consistent with other reviews, were the authors reported 39% (28) and 46 % (29) lower risks of NB for longest breastfeeding vs. shortest breastfeeding. Similar findings on the protective effect of breastfeeding  $\geq 6$  months have also been reported for other childhood cancer types like leukemia and Wilms tumor (22, 30). The mechanism by which breast milk can reduce the risk of NB is not fully understood. However, breast milk has been reported to contain immunologically active components and multifactorial anti-inflammatory defense mechanisms that influence the development of the immune system of the breastfed infants. Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) -related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) in breast milk can control apoptosis and cell proliferation in various organs and tissues (28, 29, 31).

We reported that C-section was suggestive for the risk of NB. Elective C-section is gradually becoming more frequent especially in high socioeconomic status populations, and due to improved surgical procedures in develop countries (32). Systematic reviews and meta-analyses have reported associations between C-sections and childhood leukemia (30, 33) and Wilms tumor (22, 34). C-section has been hypothesized to negatively impact on the function of the developing immune system. The mechanisms for the association between C-section and increased risk of childhood cancer is thought to be due the fact that these neonates do not undergo the essential stress during vaginal delivery that activates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and prime the immune system for future function. Hence creating a permissive environment for malignancies to develop (35–37).

The association we reported for high birthweight (>4,000 g) and increased risk of NB in the present systematic review and meta-analyses was driven by studies published before 2010 and those conducted in North America. Our finding is in agreement with the meta-analysis conducted by Harder et al. (38) who also found an association with slightly lower magnitude (1.19) compared to the present systematic review and meta-analysis (1.21) including subsequent studies, and excluding those with substantial overlaps. Birthweights may affect C-section delivery rates, as small and large new-borns have more C-section deliveries than those of average weight (39). Underlying genetic and epigenetic mechanisms play significant role in high birthweight and childhood cancer. There are several known susceptibility genes, pediatric overgrowth disorders and factors that may influence the association between high birthweight and childhood cancers such as Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (BWS), Weaver syndrome, CLOVES, Proteus syndrome, Simpson-Golabi-Behmel syndrome, kaposiform hemangioendothelioma, macrosomia, and organomegaly. About 5%-10% of children with BWS may develop childhood cancer especially Wilms tumor (40-42).

The association we observed for maternal exposure to pesticides during preconception/pregnancy in the main study, was only elevated in sub analysis but with consistent magnitude across decades and in Europe and North America where the studies were conducted. This results are consistent with the findings of Khan et al. (43) who found an association for prenatal pesticides exposure with the same magnitude of association as those reported in the present systematic review and meta-analysis. The mechanisms underlying the associations of pesticides with childhood cancer may differ depending on the type and composition of pesticides. For example, pyrethroids used for pest control on fruits, vegetables as well as for household insecticides, have been reported to induce multiple biological effects (genotoxic and non-genotoxic effects) and initiation the development of childhood cancer (44-46). These insecticides may pass through the feto-placental barrier and thus expose the fetus (47-49). During intrauterine life, there are immunological adaptations to ensure optimal fetal development (50). However, exposure to pesticides induces modifications in the immune system according to the specific pesticide altering the wellregulated immune responses to tumor and microbial antigens, and potentially increasing susceptibility, and development of cancers (51-53). Clear interpretation is hampered by the fact that there are no data on specific active ingredients in pesticides.

#### 4.1 Strengths and limitations

This systematic review and meta-analysis was limited by the number of eligible articles which was small for most risk factors, hence, results should be interpreted with caution. Others include potential information and selection biases inherent in the studies, crude exposure assessment methods and exposure misclassification, most likely non-differential, may also have influenced the results. Majority of the studies were conducted in Europe and North America.

Our study also has some strengths, including well-structured search strategy, separation of case-control and cohort/registrybased case-control studies in the meta-analysis. Group of persons exposed (paternal, maternal and childhood) and exposure time window (preconception, prenatal and postnatal) were also separated.

# **5** Conclusion

The present systematic review and meta-analysis suggests that breastfeeding reduces the risk of NB, while maternal occupational pesticides exposure, high birthweight and C-section show a modest association with NB. Improved exposure assessment is needed in further studies including stratification by risk groups, to obtain solid evidence of modifiable risk factors of NB.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

## Author contributions

FO: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing –

review & editing. RD: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. AO: Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. LB: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. JS: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. The study was funded by a grant from the French National Cancer Institute (INCa:15670; PEDIAC consortium).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# References

1. Nong J, Su C, Li C, Wang C, Li W, Li Y, et al. Global, regional, and national epidemiology of childhood neuroblastoma (1990-2021): a statistical analysis of incidence, mortality, and DALYs. *EClinicalMedicine*. (2025) 79:102964. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2024.102964

2. Urayama KY, Von Behren J, Reynolds P. Birth characteristics and risk of neuroblastoma in young children. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2007) 165:486–95. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwk041

3. Hamrick SE, Olshan AF, Neglia JP, Pollock BH. Association of pregnancy history and birth characteristics with neuroblastoma: a report from the Children's Cancer Group and the Pediatric Oncology Group. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* (2001) 15:328–37. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3016.2001. 0376a.x

4. Tas ML, Reedijk AMJ, Karim-Kos HE, Kremer LCM, van de Ven CP, Dierselhuis MP, et al. Neuroblastoma between 1990 and 2014 in the Netherlands: increased incidence and improved survival of high-risk neuroblastoma. *Eur J Cancer.* (2020) 124:47–55. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2019.09.025

5. Irwin MS, Naranjo A, Zhang FF, Cohn SL, London WB, Gastier-Foster JM, et al. Revised neuroblastoma risk classification system: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol.* (2021) 39:3229–41. doi: 10.1200/JCO.21.00278

6. Brodeur GM. Spontaneous regression of neuroblastoma. Cell Tissue Res. (2018) 372:277-86. doi: 10.1007/s00441-017-2761-2

7. Sorahan T, Lancashire RJ, Hulten MA, Peck I, Stewart AM. Childhood cancer and parental use of tobacco: deaths from 1953 to 1955. *Br J Cancer*. (1997) 75:134–8. doi: 10.1038/bjc.1997.22

8. Tsai J, Kaye WE, Bove FJ. Wilms' tumor and exposures to residential and occupational hazardous chemicals. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. (2006) 209:57–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2005.09.003

9. Yeazel MW, Ross JA, Buckley JD, Woods WG, Ruccione K, Robison LL. High birth weight and risk of specific childhood cancers: a report from the Children's Cancer Group. *J Pediatr.* (1997) 131:671–7. doi: 10.1016/S0022-3476(97)70091-X

10. Daniels JL, Olshan AF, Teschke K, Hertz-Picciotto I, Savitz DA, Blatt J, et al. Residential pesticide exposure and neuroblastoma. *Epidemiology.* (2001) 12:20–7. doi: 10.1097/00001648-200101000-00005

11. Bjørge T, Engeland A, Tretli S, Heuch I. Birth and parental characteristics and risk of neuroblastoma in a population-based norwegian cohort study. *Br J Cancer.* (2008) 99:1165–9. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6604646

12. Chow EJ, Friedman DL, Mueller BA. Maternal and perinatal characteristics in relation to neuroblastoma. *Cancer.* (2007) 109:983–92. doi: 10.1002/cncr.22486

# **Generative AI statement**

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2025. 1576101/full#supplementary-material

13. Carozza SE Li B, Wang Q, Horel S, Cooper S. Agricultural pesticides and risk of childhood cancers. Int J Hyg Environ Health. (2009) 212:186–95. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2008.06.002

14. Schüz J, Kaletsch U, Meinert R, Kaatsch P, Spix C, Michaelis J. Risk factors for neuroblastoma at different stages of disease. Results from a populationbased case-control study in Germany. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2001) 54:702–9. doi: 10.1016/S0895-4356(00)00339-5

15. Yang Q, Olshan AF, Bondy ML, Shah NR, Pollock BH, Seeger RC, et al. Parental smoking and alcohol consumption and risk of neuroblastoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* (2000) 9:967–72.

16. Rivera Z, Escutia C, Madonna MB, Gupta KH. Biological insight and recent advancement in the treatment of neuroblastoma. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24:8470. doi: 10.3390/ijms24108470

17. Davidoff AM. Neuroblastoma. Semin Pediatr Surg. (2012) 21:2–14. doi: 10.1053/j.sempedsurg.2011.10.009

18. Tsubota S, Kadomatsu K. Origin and initiation mechanisms of neuroblastoma. *Cell Tissue Res.* (2018) 372:211-21. doi: 10.1007/s00441-018-2796-z

19. Alegría-Torres JA, Baccarelli A, Bollati V. Epigenetics and lifestyle. *Epigenomics*. (2011) 3:267-77. doi: 10.2217/epi.11.22

20. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The prisma 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ.* (2021) 372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71

21. Onyije FM, Dolatkhah R, Olsson A, Bouaoun L, Deltour I, Erdmann F, et al. Risk factors for childhood brain tumours: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies from 1976 to 2022. *Cancer Epidemiol.* (2024) 88:102510. doi: 10.1016/j.canep.2023.102510

22. Onyije FM, Dolatkhah R, Olsson A, Bouaoun L, Schüz J. Environmental risk factors of wilms tumour: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *EJC Paediatr Oncol.* (2024) 4:100178. doi: 10.1016/j.ejcped.2024.100178

23. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al. (eds.). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 6.4.* Chichester: John Wiley & Sons (2023).

24. Moola S, Munn Z, Tufanaru C, Aromataris E, Sears K, Sfetcu R, et al. Systematic reviews of etiology and risk (2020). In: Aromataris E, Lockwood C, Porritt K, Pilla B, Jordan Z, editors. *JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis*. JBI (2024). doi: 10.46658/JBIMES-24-06

25. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*. (1997) 315:629–34. doi: 10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629

26. Lin L, Shi L, Chu H, Murad MH. The magnitude of small-study effects in the cochrane database of systematic reviews: an empirical study of nearly 30 000 metaanalyses. *BMJ Evid Based Med.* (2020) 25:27–32. doi: 10.1136/bmjebm-2019-111191

27. Schüz J. Non-response bias as a likely cause of the association between young maternal age at the time of delivery and the risk of cancer in the offspring. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* (2003) 17:106–12. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3016.2003.00460.x

28. Su Q, Sun X, Zhu L, Yan Q, Zheng P, Mao Y, et al. Breastfeeding and the risk of childhood cancer: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis. *BMC Med.* (2021) 19:90. doi: 10.1186/s12916-021-01950-5

29. Martin RM, Gunnell D, Owen CG, Smith GD. Breast-feeding and childhood cancer: a systematic review with metaanalysis. *Int J Cancer.* (2005) 117:1020-31. doi: 10.1002/ijc.21274

30. Onyije FM, Olsson A, Baaken D, Erdmann F, Stanulla M, Wollschlaeger D, et al. Environmental risk factors for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: an umbrella review. *Cancers.* (2022) 14:382. doi: 10.3390/cancers14020382

31. Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJD, França GVA, Horton S, Krasevec J, et al. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *Lancet.* (2016) 387:475–90. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01024-7

32. Betrán AP, Ye J, Moller AB, Zhang J, Gülmezoglu AM, Torloni MR. The increasing trend in caesarean section rates: global, regional and national estimates: 1990-2014. *PLoS ONE*. (2016) 11:e0148343. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148343

33. Jiang L-L, Gao Y-Y, He W-B, Gan T, Shan H-Q, Han X-M. Cesarean section and risk of childhood leukemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J Pediatr.* (2020) 16:471–9. doi: 10.1007/s12519-020-00338-4

34. Han MA, Storman D, Al-Rammahy H, Tang S, Hao Q, Leung G, et al. Impact of maternal reproductive factors on cancer risks of offspring: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *PLoS ONE.* (2020) 15:e0230721. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0230721

35. Williams LA, Richardson M, Spector LG, Marcotte EL. Cesarean section is associated with an increased risk of acute lymphoblastic leukemia and hepatoblastoma in children from minnesota. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* (2021) 30:736–42. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-20-1406

36. Cho CE, Norman M. Cesarean section and development of the immune system in the offspring. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* (2013) 208:249–54. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2012.08.009

37. Fritz J, Lamadrid-Figueroa H, Muñoz-Rocha TV, Huerta-García Y, Martínez-Silva G, Trejo-Valdivia B, et al. Cesarean birth is associated with lower motor and language development scores during early childhood: a longitudinal analysis of two cohorts. *Sci Rep.* (2024) 14:23438. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-73914-7

38. Harder T, Plagemann A, Harder A. Birth weight and risk of neuroblastoma: a meta-analysis. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2010) 39:746–56. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyq040

39. Poma PA. Correlation of birth weights with cesarean rates. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. (1999) 65:117–23. doi: 10.1016/S0020-7292(98)00261-6

40. Connolly GK, Harris RD, Shumate C, Rednam SP, Canfield MA, Plon SE, et al. Pediatric cancer incidence among individuals with overgrowth syndromes and overgrowth features: a population-based assessment in seven million children. *Cancer.* (2024) 130:467–75. doi: 10.1002/cncr.35041

41. Manor J, Lalani SR. Overgrowth syndromes-evaluation, diagnosis, and management. *Front Pediatr.* (2020) 8:574857. doi: 10.3389/fped.2020.574857

42. MacFarland SP, Duffy KA, Bhatti TR, Bagatell R, Balamuth NJ, Brodeur GM, et al. Diagnosis of beckwith-wiedemann syndrome in children presenting with wilms tumor. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* (2018) 65:e27296. doi: 10.1002/pbc.27296

43. Khan A, Feulefack J, Sergi CM. Pre-conceptional and prenatal exposure to pesticides and pediatric neuroblastoma. A meta-analysis of nine studies. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol.* (2022) 90:103790. doi: 10.1016/j.etap.2021.103790

44. Navarrete-Meneses MDP, Salas-Labadía C, Gómez-Chávez F, Pérez-Vera P. Environmental pollution and risk of childhood cancer: a scoping review of evidence from the last decade. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2024) 25:3284. doi: 10.3390/ijms250 63284

45. Navarrete-Meneses MDP, Pérez-Vera P. Pyrethroid pesticide exposure and hematological cancer: epidemiological, biological and molecular evidence. *Rev Environ Health*. (2019) 34:197-210. doi: 10.1515/reveh-2018-0070

46. Loomis D, Guyton K, Grosse Y, El Ghissasi F, Bouvard V, Benbrahim-Tallaa L, et al. Carcinogenicity of lindane, Ddt, and 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid. *Lancet Oncol.* (2015) 16:891–2. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00081-9

47. Rager JE, Bangma J, Carberry C, Chao A, Grossman J, Lu K, et al. Review of the environmental prenatal exposome and its relationship to maternal and fetal health. *Reprod Toxicol.* (2020) 98:1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.reprotox.2020.02.004

48. Mathiesen L, Buerki-Thurnherr T, Pastuschek J, Aengenheister L, Knudsen LE. Fetal exposure to environmental chemicals; insights from placental perfusion studies. *Placenta.* (2021) 106:58–66. doi: 10.1016/j.placenta.2021.01.025

49. Ventura-Miranda MI, Fernández-Medina IM, Guillén-Romera E, Ortíz-Amo R, Ruíz-Fernández MD. Effect of gestational pesticide exposure on the child's

respiratory system: a narrative review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. (2022) 19:15418. doi: 10.3390/ijerph192215418

50. Woods Rebecca M, Lorusso Jarred M, Fletcher J, ElTaher H, McEwan F, Harris I, et al. Maternal immune activation and role of placenta in the prenatal programming of neurodevelopmental disorders. *Neuronal Signal.* (2023) 7:NS20220064. doi: 10.1042/NS20220064

51. Gangemi S, Gofita E, Costa C, Teodoro M, Briguglio G, Nikitovic D, et al. Occupational and environmental exposure to pesticides and cytokine pathways in chronic diseases (review). *Int J Mol Med.* (2016) 38:1012–20. doi: 10.3892/ijmm.2016.2728

52. Colosio C, Corsini E, Barcellini W, Maroni M. Immune parameters in biological monitoring of pesticide exposure: current knowledge and perspectives. *Toxicol Lett.* (1999) 108:285–95. doi: 10.1016/S0378-4274(99)00100-9

53. Lee G-H, Choi K-C. Adverse effects of pesticides on the functions of immune system. Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol. (2020) 235:108789. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpc.2020.108789

54. Munzer C, Menegaux F, Lacour B, Valteau-Couanet D, Michon J, Coze C, et al. Birth-related characteristics, congenital malformation, maternal reproductive history and neuroblastoma: the ESCALE study (SFCE). *Int J Cancer.* (2008) 122:2315–21. doi: 10.1002/ijc.23301

55. Rios P, Bailey HD, Poulalhon C, Valteau-Couanet D, Schleiermacher G, Bergeron C, et al. Parental smoking, maternal alcohol consumption during pregnancy and the risk of neuroblastoma in children. A pooled analysis of the ESCALE and ESTELLE French studies. *Int J Cancer*. (2019) 145:2907–16. doi: 10.1002/ijc.32161

56. Rios P, Bailey HD, Lacour B, Valteau-Couanet D, Michon J, Bergeron C, et al. Maternal use of household pesticides during pregnancy and risk of neuroblastoma in offspring. A pooled analysis of the ESTELLE and ESCALE French studies (SFCE). *Cancer Causes Control.* (2017) 28:1125–32. doi: 10.1007/s10552-017-0944-5

57. Hug K, Grize L, Seidler A, Kaatsch P, Schüz J. Parental occupational exposure to extremely low frequency magnetic fields and childhood cancer: a german case-control study. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2010) 171:27–35. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwp339

58. Schüz J, Forman MR. Birthweight by gestational age and childhood cancer. *Cancer Causes Control.* (2007) 18:655–63. doi: 10.1007/s10552-007-9011-y

59. Schüz J, Weihkopf T, Kaatsch P. Medication use during pregnancy and the risk of childhood cancer in the offspring. *Eur J Pediatr.* (2007) 166:433-41. doi: 10.1007/s00431-006-0401-z

60. Schüz J, Kaatsch P, Kaletsch U, Meinert R, Michaelis J. Association of childhood cancer with factors related to pregnancy and birth. *Int J Epidemiol.* (1999) 28:631–9. doi: 10.1093/ije/28.4.631

61. Parodi S, Merlo DF, Ranucci A, Miligi L, Benvenuti A, Rondelli R, et al. Risk of neuroblastoma, maternal characteristics and perinatal exposures: the setil study. *Cancer Epidemiol.* (2014) 38:686–94. doi: 10.1016/j.canep.2014.09.007

62. Hardell L, Dreifaldt AC. Breast-feeding duration and the risk of malignant diseases in childhood in Sweden. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* (2001) 55:179–85. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601142

63. Rajaraman P, Simpson J, Neta G, Berrington de. Gonzalez A, Ansell P, Linet MS, et al. Early life exposure to diagnostic radiation and ultrasound scans and risk of childhood cancer: case-control study. *BMJ*. (2011) 342:d472. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d472

64. Pang D, McNally R, Birch JM, Investig UKCCS. Parental smoking and childhood cancer: results from the United Kingdom childhood cancer study. *Br J Cancer*. (2003) 88:373–81. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6600774

65. Buck GM, Michalek AM, Chen CJ, Nasca PC, Baptiste MS. Perinatal factors and risk of neuroblastoma. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* (2001) 15:47–53. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3016.2001.00307.x

66. Daniels JL, Olshan AF, Pollock BH, Shah NR, Stram DO. Breast-feeding and neuroblastoma, USA and Canada. *Cancer Causes Control.* (2002) 13:401–5. doi: 10.1023/A:1015746701922

67. Patton T, Olshan AF, Neglia JP, Castleberry RP, Smith J. Parental exposure to medical radiation and neuroblastoma in offspring. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* (2004) 18:178–85. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3016.2004.00554.x

68. Olshan AF, De Roos AJ, Teschke K, Neglia JP, Stram DO, Pollock BH, et al. Neuroblastoma and parental occupation. *Cancer Causes Control.* (1999) 10:539–49. doi: 10.1023/A:1008998925889

69. Olshan AF, Smith JC, Bondy ML, Neglia JP, Pollock BH. Maternal vitamin use and reduced risk of neuroblastoma. *Epidemiology*. (2002) 13:575-80. doi: 10.1097/00001648-200209000-00014

70. De Roos AJ, Teschke K, Savitz DA, Poole C, Grufferman S, Pollock BH, et al. Parental occupational exposures to electromagnetic fields and radiation and the incidence of neuroblastoma in offspring. *Epidemiology.* (2001) 12:508–17. doi: 10.1097/00001648-200109000-00008

71. Kerr MA, Nasca PC, Mundt KA, Michalek AM, Baptiste MS, Mahoney MC. Parental occupational exposures and risk of neuroblastoma: a case-control study (United States). *Cancer Causes Control.* (2000) 11:635–43. doi: 10.1023/A:1008951632482

72. Schwartzbaum JA. Influence of the mothers prenatal drug consumption on risk of neuroblastoma in the child. *Am J Epidemiol.* (1992) 135:1358–67. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a116247

73. Bunin GR, Ward E, Kramer S, Rhee CA, Meadows AT. Neuroblastoma and parental occupation. *Am J Epidemiol.* (1990) 131:776–80. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115568

74. Stavrou EP, Baker DF, Bishop JF. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and childhood cancer in New South Wales: a record linkage investigation. *Cancer Causes Control.* (2009) 20:1551–8. doi: 10.1007/s10552-009-9400-5

75. Heck JE, Lee PC, Wu CK, Tsai HY, Ritz B, Arah OA, et al. Gestational risk factors and childhood cancers: a cohort study in Taiwan. *Int J Cancer.* (2020) 147:1343–53. doi: 10.1002/ijc.32905

76. Huang X, Hansen J, Lee P-C, Wu C-K, Federman N, Arah OA, et al. Maternal diabetes and childhood cancer risks in offspring: two population-based studies. *Br J Cancer*. (2022). doi: 10.1038/s41416-022-01961-w

77. Volk J, Heck JE, Schmiegelow K, Hansen J. Parental occupational organic dust exposure and selected childhood cancers in Denmark 1968-2016. *Cancer Epidemiol.* (2020) 65:101667. doi: 10.1016/j.canep.2020.101667

78. Schüz J, Luta G, Erdmann F, Ferro G, Bautz A, Simony SB, et al. Birth order and risk of childhood cancer in the danish birth cohort of 1973-2010. *Cancer Causes Control.* (2015) 26:1575–82. doi: 10.1007/s10552-015-0651-z

79. Contreras ZA, Hansen J, Ritz B, Olsen J, Yu F, Heck JE. Parental age and childhood cancer risk: a danish population-based registry study. *Cancer Epidemiol.* (2017) 49:202–15. doi: 10.1016/j.canep.2017.06.010

80. Seppälä LK, Vettenranta K, Leinonen MK, Tommiska V, Madanat-Harjuoja LM. Preterm birth, neonatal therapies and the risk of childhood cancer. *Int J Cancer*. (2021) 148:2139–47. doi: 10.1002/ijc.33376

81. Mortensen JHS, Øyen N, Fomina T, Melbye M, Tretli S, Vollset SE, et al. Supplemental folic acid in pregnancy and childhood cancer risk. *Br J Cancer.* (2016) 114:71–5. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2015.446

82. Feychting M, Floderus B, Ahlbom A. Parental occupational exposure to magnetic fields and childhood cancer (Sweden). *Cancer Causes Control.* (2000) 11:151–6. doi: 10.1023/A:1008922016813

83. Bluhm E, McNeil DE, Cnattingius S, Gridley G, El Ghormli L, Fraumeni JF Jr. Prenatal and perinatal risk factors for neuroblastoma. *Int J Cancer*. (2008) 123:2885–90. doi: 10.1002/ijc.23847

84. Sundh KJ, Henningsen AK, Källen K, Bergh C, Romundstad LB, Gissler M, et al. Cancer in children and young adults born after assisted reproductive technology: a nordic cohort study from the committee of nordic art and safety (conartas). *Hum Reprod.* (2014) 29:2050–7. doi: 10.1093/humrep/deu143

85. Spector LG, Brown MB, Wantman E, Letterie GS, Toner JP, Doody K, et al. Association of in vitro fertilization with childhood cancer in the United States. *JAMA Pediatr.* (2019) 173:e190392-e. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.0392

86. McLaughlin CC, Baptiste MS, Schymura MJ, Zdeb MS, Nasca PC. Perinatal risk factors for neuroblastoma. *Cancer Causes Control.* (2009) 20:289–301. doi: 10.1007/s10552-008-9243-5

87. Johnson KJ, Puumala SE, Soler JT, Spector LG. Perinatal characteristics and risk of neuroblastoma. *Int J Cancer.* (2008) 123:1166–72. doi: 10.1002/ijc.23645

88. Williams LA, Sample J, McLaughlin CC, Mueller BA, Chow EJ, Carozza SE, et al. Sex differences in associations between birth characteristics and childhood cancers: a five-state registry-linkage study. *Cancer Causes Control.* (2021) 32:1289–98. doi: 10.1007/s10552-021-01479-1

89. Contreras ZA, Ritz B, Virk J, Cockburn M, Heck JE. Maternal pre-pregnancy and gestational diabetes, obesity, gestational weight gain, and risk of cancer in young children: a population-based study in California. *Cancer Causes Control.* (2016) 27:1273–85. doi: 10.1007/s10552-016-0807-5

90. Heck JE, Contreras ZA, Park AS, Davidson TB, Cockburn M, Ritz B. Smoking in pregnancy and risk of cancer among young children: a population-based study. *Int J Cancer*. (2016) 139:613–6. doi: 10.1002/ijc.30111

91. Johnson CC, Spitz MR. Neuroblastoma: case-control analysis of birth characteristics. J Natl Cancer Inst. (1985) 74:789–92.

92. Spitz MR, Johnson CC. Neuroblastoma and paternal occupation. A case-control analysis. *Am J Epidemiol.* (1985) 121:924–9. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a114062

93. Schraw JM, Rodriguez KB, Scheurer ME, Foster JH, Lupo PJ. Associations of demographic and perinatal factors with childhood neuroblastoma in texas, 1995–2011. *Cancer Epidemiol.* (2022) 78:102165. doi: 10.1016/j.canep.2022.102165

94. Kumar SV, Lupo PJ, Pompeii LA, Danysh HE. Maternal residential proximity to major roadways and pediatric embryonal tumors in offspring. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2018) 15:505. doi: 10.3390/ijerph15030505

95. Neglia JP, Smithson WA, Gunderson P, King FL, Singher LJ, Robison LL. Prenatal and perinatal risk factors for neuroblastoma. A case-control study. *Cancer.* (1988) 61:2202–6 doi: 10.1002/1097-0142(19880601)61:11<2202::aid-cncr2820611113>3.0. co;2-7